

CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma has heterogeneous clinical features and poor prognosis: a single-center retrospective study in China Journal of International Medical Research 2022, Vol. 50(9) 1–13 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605221110075 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Ting Yin^{1,*}, Ling Qi^{1,2,*}, Yulan Zhou^{1,2}, Fancong Kong^{1,2}, Shixuan Wang^{1,2}, Min Yu^{1,2} and Fei Li^{1,2,3}

Abstract

Objective: De novo CD5-positive (CD5+) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) has different clinical characteristics compared with CD5-negative (CD5-) DLBCL. However, few studies have been reported in Chinese cohorts. We investigated the clinical features and prognosis of patients with CD5+ DLBCL and summarized the related literature.

Methods: Data from 245 patients with newly diagnosed DLBCL were retrospectively assessed. **Results:** Thirty-one and 214 patients were diagnosed with CD5+ DLBCL or CD5- DLBCL, respectively. In the CD5+ DLBCL group, there were significantly higher proportions of patients with older age (\geq 60 years), International Prognostic Index (IPI) \geq 3, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scores \geq 2, bone marrow involvement, positive B-cell lymphoma 2 expression, and positive MYC expression. Survival analysis showed that CD5+ DLBCL had a markedly poorer 2-year progression-free survival than CD5- DLBCL (18.2% vs. 56.2%). Univariate analysis indicated that age \geq 60 years, ECOG score \geq 2, IPI \geq 3, B symptoms, and no rituximab-based treatment were poor predictive factors for overall survival (OS). Multivariate analysis revealed that B symptoms and no rituximab-based treatment, but not positive CD5 expression, were independent factors for OS.

Conclusions: Patients with CD5+ DLBCL had heterogeneous clinical characteristics and poor survival. The development of more targeted and effective therapies is needed.

 ¹Center of Hematology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China
 ²Institute of Hematology, Jiangxi Academy of Clinical Medical Sciences, Nanchang, China
 ³Institute of Lymphoma and Myeloma, Nanchang University, Nanchang, China *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Fei Li, Center of Hematology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, 17 Yongwai Zheng Street, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China. Email: yx021021@sina.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Keywords

CD5, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, heterogeneous, predictive factor, prognosis, survival

Date received: 22 December 2021; accepted: 10 June 2022

Introduction

As the most common type of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) with a highly heterogeneous clinical course, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is a biologically distinct disorder with specific morphological, immunohistochemical, and molecular variants.^{1,2} CD5, a cell surface glycoprotein that mediates signal transduction, is mainly expressed in T cells and expressed at low levels in B cells.³ In general, CD5-positive (CD5+) B-cell NHL is observed in chronic lymphocytic leukemia, mantle cell lymphoma, marginal zone B-cell lymphoma, Burkitt lymphoma, and 5% to 22% of DLBCL cases.⁴⁻⁸ CD5+ DLBCL is a specific subgroup with aggressive biological characteristics, such as a higher lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) level, bone marrow (BM) involvement, and central nervous system (CNS) involvement.^{9,10} With the current front-line chemotherapy regimen R-CHOP (rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone), patients with CD5+ DLBCL have an inferior survival rate, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) of only 35.5% compared with 64.8% in patients with CD5- DLBCL.⁴ Moreover, there are still no standard therapies for patients with CD5+ DLBCL. Some studies have reported that even intensive chemotherapy, such as the dose-adjusted chemotherapy regimen EPOCH (etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin) plus rituximab (R-DA-EPOCH) or autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto-HSCT), may

not achieve promising OS in patients with CD5+ DLBCL.^{11–13}

Currently, large-scale studies on de novo CD5+ DLBCL are relatively rare because of the limited cases.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Moreover, most reports are from the Western world, with few studies in Chinese cohorts.^{5,17} Recently, novel treatments, such as chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy or targeted drugs, have been reported to be effective for patients with CD5+ DLBCL.¹⁸⁻²⁰ In the present study, we aimed to explore the clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of patients with de novo CD5+ DLBCL in our center. Moreover, we summarized the related literature to further improve the recognition and understanding of this heterogeneous subtype of DLBCL.

Patients and methods

Patients and tests

We collected and retrospectively analyzed the relevant information from patients with newly diagnosed DLBCL who were admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University from January 2014 to January 2019. Patients with unspecified DLBCL were included in our study. Patients with primary mediastinal (thymic) B-cell lymphoma, anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive large B-cell lymphoma, plasma cell lymphoma, lymphoma tumorous granulomatous disease, and intravascular large B-cell lymphoma were excluded. Patients were diagnosed with CD5+ DLBCL according to the positive expression of CD5 by immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry.

Pretreatment evaluation consisted of a history and physical examination, and laboratory tests included peripheral blood examination, renal and liver function, LDH level, serum beta 2-microglobulin level, serum immunoglobulin level, and virus inspection. Patients underwent BM aspiration for immunophenotyping and metaphase karyotyping, BM biopsy, and computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis or positron emission tomography/CT. DLBCL-related immunohistochemistry indexes, including B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2), MYC, BCL-6, Multiple myeloma oncogene-1 (MUM-1), CD10, and Ki-67, were routinely detected. No ethical approval was required because this study did not involve ethical issues. This study was a retrospective analysis and did not require ethics committee approval. The patients participating in the study all provided verbal informed consent. The article has been prepared according to the STROBE checklist.²¹

Treatment regimens

Patients received rituximab-based chemo-(R-CHOP immunotherapy [rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone]), CHOP, or R-DA-EPOCH according to standard doses. Rituximab was administered at 375 mg/m² on day 0 per cycle. Dexamethasone (10 mg) and promethazine hydrochloride (25 mg) were administered before rituximab for each course. Treatments, including hydration, alkalization, and protection of the liver, heart, and stomach, were routinely given. Myeloid growth factors were only administered if patients experienced grade 3 or 4 neutropenia. Suspensions of red blood cells or platelets were infused for patients with hemoglobin <70 g/L or platelet counts $<20 \times 10^9$ /L. Courses were repeated every 4 weeks depending on the recovery of neutrophil or platelet counts. The therapeutic effects were evaluated every two courses. The tumor was evaluated every 3 months for 2 years until relapse or progression when all induction therapies were completed.

Response criteria

Treatment response was evaluated when at least two cycles of therapy were completed according to the NHL international therapeutic evaluation standard.²² Complete response (CR) was defined as the complete disappearance of all detectable sites and symptoms of the disease. Partial response (PR) was defined as a 50% or greater improvement in the disease localization. The overall response rate (ORR) was defined as CR plus PR. The rest of patients were defined as not consistent with the criteria of CR and PR.

Statistical analysis

Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of treatment initiation until disease progression or death, and OS was calculated from the date of treatment initiation to death. Survival curves were graphed by the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between curves were analyzed for statistical significance using the log-rank test. Categorical variables were compared using Pearson's chi-square test. Multivariate analysis was performed using the cox-regression method. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Clinical characteristics of patients newly diagnosed with CD5+ DLBCL

Among all 245 patients with DLBCL, there were 31 CD5+ DLBCL cases and 214 CD5- DLBCL cases. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of these patients. Among 31 patients with CD5+ DLBCL, 54.8% were women, and 45.2% were men. Their age ranged from 35 to 84 years old, and the median age was 61 years old. The CD5+ DLBCL group had significantly higher proportions of cases with International

Table 1. Characteristics of 245 patients.

Prognostic Index (IPI) ≥ 3 (51.6% vs. 32.7%, P = 0.045), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scores ≥ 2 (54.8% vs. 25.7%, P = 0.001), and BM involvement (19.4% vs. 7.5%, P = 0.031) than the CD5– DLBCL group. There was no significant difference in sex, serum LDH levels, Ann Arbor stage, B symptoms, and extranodal invasion areas. Among those with CD5 + DLBCL, the primary site was the lymph nodes in seven patients, and the extranodal sites of the disease included the BM (two patients), abdominal organs (six patients), tonsil (five patients), facial organs (one patient), CNS (four patients), bone (two patients), testicles

Parameters N (%)	CD5 + DIBCL (N = 31)	CD5 - DIBCI (N = 214)	P-value
			1 Value
Age			
Median	61 (35-84)	56 (13–87)	
\geq 60	19 (61.3)	83 (38.7)	0.018
<60	12 (38.7)	131 (61.3)	
Sex			0.275
Men	14 (45.2)	119 (55.6)	
Women	17 (54.8)	95 (44.4)	
IPI			0.045
\geq 3	16 (51.6)	70 (32.7)	
	15 (48.4)	144 (67.3)	
Ann Arbor Stage			0.219
I–II	13 (41.9)	115 (53.7)	
III–IV	18 (58.1)	99 (42.3)	
LDH, U/L			0.325
>220	18 (58.1)	104 (48.6)	
	13 (41.9)	110 (51.4)	
ECOG score	· · · ·		0.001
>2	17 (54.8)	55 (25.7)	
2	14 (45.2)	159 (74.3)	
B symptoms	· · · ·		0.855
Absent	23 (74.2)	162 (75.7)	
Present	8 (25.8)	52 (24.3)	
Primary site at diagnos	is		
Lymph nodes	7 (22.6)	77 (36.0)	0.142
Extranodal sites	24 (77.4)	137 (64.0)	
BM involvement	()	()	0.031
Absent	25 (80.6)	198 (92.5)	
Present	6 (19.4)	16 (7.5)	
	()	()	

CD5+, CD5 positive; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; CD5-, CD5 negative; IPI, international prognostic index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; BM, bone marrow.

(two patients), and chest organs (two patients) (Figure 1). Importantly, in addition to four patients with primary CD5+ DLBCL of the CNS, five patients with CD5+ DLBCL were admitted for headache and dizziness, but their disease did not involve the CNS, and one patient presented with acute cerebral infarction during hospitalization.

Immunohistochemical characteristics

Table 2 summarizes the immunohistochemical findings. Based on the cell of origin, 35.5% (11/31) of patients with CD5+ DLBCL were assigned to the germinal center B-cell-like (GCB) subgroup, and 64.5% (20/31) of patients were assigned to the non-GCB subgroup. In addition, 42.1%



Figure I. Organ involvement in de novo CD5+ and CD5- DLBCL. The disease site at diagnosis was mainly divided into lymph node involvement and extranodal involvement. Sites of extranodal involvement mainly included abdominal organs, tonsils, BM, facial organs, CNS, bone, testicles, and chest organs. DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; BM, bone marrow; CNS, central nervous system.

	CD5+ DLBCL, N (%)	CD5- DLBCL, N (%)	P-value
соо			0.376
GCB	11 (35.5)	90 (42.1)	
Non-GCB	20 (64.5)	105 (49.1)	
Missing	0 (0)	19 (8.8)	
Ki-67			0.056
<80%	16/31 (51.6)	65/192 (33.9)	
≥ 80%	15/31 (48.4)	127/192 (66.1)	
MYC/BCL-2 double-expression	8/31 (25.9)	40/170 (23.5)	0.351
CD10 positive	11/31 (35.5)	75/202 (37.1)	0.607
MYC positive	17/31 (54.8)	57/170 (33.5)	0.035
BCL-6 positive	23/31 (74.2)	149/190 (78.4)	0.599
BCL-2 positive	28/31 (90.3)	135/185 (73)	0.038
MUM-I positive	10/31 (32.3)	152/192 (79.2)	0.157

Table 2. Immunophenotypic features according to immunohistochemistry.

Notes: CD5+, CD5 positive; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; CD5-, CD5 negative; COO, cell of origin; GCB, germinal center B-cell-like; BCL, B-cell lymphoma; MUM-I, multiple myeloma oncogene-I.

(90/214) of cases in the GCB subgroup and 49.1% (105/214) of cases in the non-GCB group had CD5– DLBCL, but this difference was not significant. Importantly, we found that the expression levels of MYC (54.8% vs. 33.5%, P = 0.035) and BCL-2 (90.3% vs. 73%, P = 0.038) were significantly higher in patients with CD5+ DLBCL in our cohort. Other indexes, including the proliferation marker Ki-67, CD10, BCL-6, MUM-1, and MYC/BCL-2 double expression, were not significantly different between CD5+ DLBCL and CD5– DLBCL cases.

Therapeutic response and outcomes

A total of 111 newly diagnosed patients (22 CD5+ DLBCL; 89 CD5- DLBCL) received at least 6 to 8 courses of R-based chemotherapy (R-CHOP for patients with IPI <3, R-DA-EPOCH for patients with IPI \geq 3), 73 patients (four CD5+ DLBCL; 69 CD5- DLBCL) received at least 6 to 8 courses of CHOP, 46 patients (five CD5+ DLBCL; 41 CD5- DLBCL) received only 2 to 3 courses of R-CHOP or CHOP, and the remaining patients with CD5- DLBCL (n=15) received modified CHOP-like chemotherapy, including CVP (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisolone) (n=5), CHP (cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, and prednisolone) (n = 5), CHO (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and epirubicin) (n = 4), and EPOCH (n = 1). No patients underwent HSCT. All patients had complete follow-up data.

Rituximab-based therapy is particularly important for the treatment of DLBCL. Therefore, we further analyzed the therapeutic response in 111 patients receiving at least 6 to 8 courses of R-based chemotherapy. The CR rate and ORR were 59.6% and 71.9% in patients with CD5- DLBCL and 45.5% and 63.6% in patients with CD5+ DLBCL, respectively. There was no statistical difference in the CR rate or ORR between the two groups. We further analyzed the survival data of these patients. The median follow-up time from the initial treatment was 24 months (range: 6-68 months). The 2-year PFS was significantly shorter in patients with CD5+ DLBCL than in those with CD5- DLBCL (18.2% vs. 56.2%, P = 0.038). However, OS (31.8%) vs. 56.2%) was not statistically different between the two groups (Figure 2).

Univariate and multivariate survival analyses for OS

We further analyzed the risk factors that might affect OS in the 184 patients who



Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier survival curves in patients with de novo CD5+ and CD5- DLBCL treated with rituximab combination therapy. (a) Patients with CD5+ DLBCL showed an obviously shorter 2-year PFS compared with patients with CD5- DLBCL (18.2% vs. 56.2%, P = 0.038) and (b) There was no difference in the 2-year OS rate between the de novo CD5+ and CD5- DLBCL groups (31.8% vs. 56.2%). DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival.

	Univariat	te analysis (OS)		Multivari	ate analysis (OS)	
Variables	HR	(95%CI)	Р	HR	(95%CI)	Р
CD5+	0.773	0.239–2.502	0.668	NS		
Sex	0.848	0.160-11.17	0.699	NS		
Age \geq 60	0.327	0.139-0.767	0.010	0.430	0.174-1.060	0.067
LDH ≥220 U/L	0.409	0.167-1.001	0.050	0.558	0.218-1.424	0.222
ECOG score \geq 2	0.289	0.121-0.691	0.005	1.469	0.549-3.930	0.443
IPI ≥3	0.297	0.125-0.703	0.006	0.481	0.189-1.222	0.124
Stage (III or IV)	0.775	0.333-1.800	0.553	NS		
B symptoms	0.203	0.085-0.488	0.001	0.259	0.097-0.691	0.007
BM involvement	0.376	0.120-1.110	0.093	0.182	0.051-0.651	0.009
Rituximab-based	3.267	1.379–7.737	0.007	3.811	0.549–3.930	0.005

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of parameters for OS.

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NS, not significant; CD5+, CD5 positive; IPI, international prognostic index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; BM, bone marrow. OS, overall survival.

received at least 6 to 8 courses of R-CHOP or CHOP. Based on the results of univariate and multivariate analyses shown in Table 3, patients with age ≥ 60 years old (P = 0.010), ECOG scores ≥ 2 (P = 0.005), IPI >3 (P = 0.006), B symptoms (P < 0.001), and no rituximab-based therapy (P = 0.007) had inferior survival compared with the control group. In the multivariate analysis, when the above-mentioned prognostic variables were adjusted, B symptoms (P = 0.007) and rituximab-based therapy (P = 0.005)no remained independent factors for OS. However, the positive expression of CD5 was not an independent prognostic factor for OS. Finally, Table 4 summarizes previously reported cases of de novo CD5+ DLBCL.

Discussion

As a poor prognostic subtype, de novo CD5+ DLBCL has gradually attracted increasing attention in recent years. The mechanism underlying the poor prognosis of CD5+ DLBCL remains largely unclear. According to currently available reports, there may be two main potential mechanisms involved.²³ One mechanism is the

CD5-mediated B-cell receptor (BCR)dependent pathway. As an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif, CD5 functionally inhibits BCR signaling-mediated apoptosis, likely by recruiting the SH2 domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase-1 after being phosphorylated by Lyn.^{24,25} Another mechanism is the CD5-mediated BCR-independent pathway, which involves the overexpression of interleukin-10, BCL-2, cyclin D2, and C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 and activates the phosphorylation of ERK, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, and nuclear factor of activated T cells.²⁶⁻³⁰ Both promote tumor cell survival and extranodal infiltration, resulting in poor prognosis in de novo CD5+ DLBCL.

CD5+ DLBCL is identified according to the positive expression of CD5 in DLBCL tumor cells via immunohistochemistry or flow cytometry.¹³ However, the cut-off value of positive CD5 expression by immunohistochemistry has no standard threshold, ranging from 10% to 30% in different reports.⁶ In our present Chinese cohort, immunohistochemistry was used to identify the positive expression of CD5 with

Table 4. Summary of previously reported cases of de novo CD5+ DLBCL.

References	Country	N, %*	Sex (M/W)	Median age	NGCB/GCB	CD10+	BCL-2+	BCL6+	First-line treatment	Response rate	Prognosis
Yamaguchi M et al 2002	Japan	601	49/60	66	I	5 (5%)	I	I	СНОР	I	5-year OS, 34%
Ennishi D et al., 2008	Japan	11 (9.1)	6/5	68	6/5	I	(%16) 01	I	CHOP/R-CHOP	I	year PFS 18%, 2-year OS 45%
Hyo R et al., 2010 Niitsu et al., 2010	Japan Japan	19 102 (16.8)	8/11 58/44	66 65	15/4 85/17	2 (11%) 9 (9%)	2 (11%) 82 (80%)	2 (I1%) 39 (38%)	R-CHOP R-CHOP	1 1	2-year OS 84% 4-year PFS 47.4%,
XuMonette ZY et al., 2015		48 (5.5)	14/17	I	23/7	23 (48%)	47 (98%)	I	R-CHOP	I	4-year US 57.8% Median PFS 21.3 (m), OS 25.3 (m)
Zang Alinari L et al.,	China USA	33 102	1 6/17 57/45	53 62	- 64/24	3 (9%) -	1 1	18 (55%) -	CHOP/R-CHOP R-CHOP/DA-	– ORR 85%	2-year OS 53.9% 3-year PFS 40%,
2016 Thakral B et al., 2017	NSA	16 (12.3)	12/4	63	12/4	6 (38%)	I	I	R-EPOCH REPOCH	CR 56%	3-year OS 65% Median OS 25.3 (m)
Zhao et al., 2019	China	64 (9.2)	34/30	63	46/14	I	54 (83%)	I	R-CHOP/DA- EDOCU P	I	3-year PFS 41.1%, 3 OS 40 7%
Tang et al., 2019		30 (21.5)	14/16	58	25/2	I (3%)	25 (83%)	18 (55%)	R-CHOP	I	Median PFS 18 (m), median OS 29.5
Na HY et al., 2019	Korea	29 (7.4)	9/20	66 , 1 2	24/5	5 (17%)	17 (59%)	14 (48%)			(m)
Wang et al., 2020 Bei Hu et al., 2020	China USA	34 (7.1) 102 (–)	12/23 55/67	64.2 62	26/8 45/30	- 5 (5%)	22 (65%) 17 (17%)	- 14 (14%)	CHOP/R-CHOP RCHOP/RECHOP/	CR 55.9% -	5-year PFS 47.1%, 5-year OS 55.9% Median PFS 18.9 (m),
This study	China	31 (12.7)	14/17	56	20/11	11 (35%)	28 (90%)	23 (75%)	R-Hyper CVAD R-CHOP/RECHOP	ORR 63.6%	median OS 112 (m) 2-year PFS 18.2%, 2-year OS 31.8%
M, men; W, women; prednisone; R-EPOC prednisone; R-Hyper rate; PFS, progressio	GCB, germ JH, rituxima - CVAD, ritu n-free surviv	ninal center B tb, etoposide, (uximab, cyclopi val; OS, overal	cell-like; C cyclophosf hosphamid Il survival;	HOP, cyclo bhamide, dc le, vincristir m, month.	phosphamide, de xorubicin, vincri ne, doxorubicin, *, patients with	oxorubicin, v istine, predni dexamethaso CD5+ DLB(incristine, pr isone; DA-EF one alternati CL /all patier	ednisone.; R ¹ POCH, dose- ng, methotre nts.	CHOP, rituximab, cyclopl adjusted etoposide, cyclo xate, cytarabine; CR, con	hosphamide, do pphosphamide, d nplete response;	korubicin, vincristine, oxorubicin, vincristine, ORR, overall response

a cut-off value of 10%. According to the reports, patients limited with CD5+DLBCL appear to be predominately older (>60 years old) and women (women/men >1).^{9,10,31} Moreover, several studies have reported a higher LDH level in CD5+ DLBCL populations and an increased tensymptoms.³² of developing В dency Additionally, CD5+ DLBCL has been found to have more than one extranodal site involvement (26%–45%), including the BM (28%) and CNS (13%). Therefore, CD5+ DLBCL possesses a higher probability of ECOG performance status scores > 1, and 40% of cases belong to the IPI high-risk group.^{10,31} In our present research, the CD5+ DLBCL group also had a higher proportion of elderly patients and those with higher IPI scores, ECOG scores, and BM involvement, which was consistent with the literature reports.

Immunohistochemically, the majority of CD5+ DLBCL cases are CD10-, BCL-2+, and MUM-1+, belonging to the activated B-cell/non-GCB subtype of DLBCL. Moreover, the phenotype of CD19+, CD20+, CD21-, CD23- and a predominance of surface IgM κ have been found in CD5+ DLBCL.33 In our present study, 64.5% and 35.5% of the 31 patients with CD5+ DLBCL were assigned to the non-GCB subgroup and GCB subgroup, respectively, using the Hans typing method (based on CD10, BCL-6, and MUM-1). However, the difference was not statistically significant between CD5+ DLBCL and CD5-DLBCL cases. Double-expression DLBCL has been reported in 27.6% of patients with CD5+ DLBCL, which predicts poor survival, but only in 3% of those with CD5- DLBCL.¹⁷ In our present study, we found that 90.3% and 54.8% of patients with CD5+ DLBCL positively expressed BCL-2 and MYC, respectively, which were higher than the percentages for patients with CD5-DLBCL (P = 0.038, 0.035). However, no difference in double expression was found between CD5+ DLBCL and CD5-DLBCL (25.9% vs. 23.5%).

Currently, few studies have compared the therapeutic effect between de novo CD5+ DLBCL and CD5- DLBCL. Alinari et al. reported an ORR of 85% in 102 patients with CD5+ DLBCL receiving rituximabrelated treatment. More importantly, comwith CD5-DLBCL, pared CD5+DLBCL has a significantly worse prognosis and higher relapse rate (14.2%).¹¹ In our present study, the ORR was only 63.6% in patients with CD5+ DLBCL, which was lower than that reported in the study by Alinari et al., potentially because of ethnic differences. Moreover, we found a higher proportion of patients with GCB DLCBL using rituximab-based therapy at our center, which may be the most important reason for the lower ORR in our study. The 5-year OS for CD5+ DLBCL is only 35.5%, whereas that for CD5- DLBCL is 64.8%.¹¹ Table 4 summarizes the previously reported cases of de novo CD5+ DLBCL. The PFS of patients with CD5+ DLBCL was remarkably shorter compared with that of patients with CD5- DLBCL, supporting the conclusion of a poorer prognosis in those with CD5+ DLBCL. However, there was no statistical difference in OS because of the short follow-up time and small sample size in our present study.

The current standard first-line therapy R-CHOP is insufficient for the CD5+ DLBCL cohort, and their long-term OS is not improved even with high-intensity chemotherapy, such as R-DA-EPOCH, R-Hyper CVAD, or autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation (auto-PBSCT).^{11,16,34} Thakral et al. reported that 56% of patients with CD5+ DLBCL receiving R-EPOCH reach CR, and the median OS is only 28.1 months, which is worse compared with that of patients with CD5– DLBCL with a CR rate of 69%.¹² Moreover, Alinari et al. reported that among 102 patients with CD5+ DLBCL at nine USA institutions, 28 patients received auto-PBSCT, 20 patients relapsed, six patients died, and the median OS from HSCT to relapse or death was only 4.9 months, suggesting that auto-PBSCT fails to salvage the majority of these patients.¹¹ However, some studies have reported that R-DA-EPOCH in combination with highdose methotrexate decreases CNS involvement in patients with CD5+ DLBCL.³⁵ A clinical phase II study of R-DA-EPOCH in combination with high-dose methotrexate in patients with CD5+ DLBCL reported 2-year PFS and OS rates of 77% and 87%, respectively, which are significantly improved compared with those for the conventional R-chemotherapy control.³⁶

The optimal treatments for patients with CD5+ DLBCL remain largely unclear. Therefore, it is necessary to develop novel drugs for these patients. Because most patients with CD5+ DLBCL have the non-GCB/ABC subtype,³⁷ new drugs that can improve the outcomes of non-GCB/ABC DLBCL may theoretically be suitable for those with CD5+ DLBCL. Lenalidomide in combination with R-CHOP (R2-CHOP) was reported to significantly increase the 2year OS of patients with non-GCB DLBCL from 46% to 83% in a phase II study.³⁸ Ibrutinib in combination with lenalidomide (>15 mg) and rituximab or R-CHOP yields a response rate of 48% and 100% in non-GCB DLBCL, respectively.^{39,40}

Despite the higher occurrence of BCL-2 overexpression in patients with CD5+ DLBCL, venetoclax alone does not show promising results (ORR: 18%; CR: 12%; PFS: 1 month) because of the highly variable BCL-2 expression in DLBCL.^{18,41} However, it shows synergistic effects in combination with ibrutinib, R-CHOP, or radiotherapy.^{19,42,43} The expression of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) is higher in the non-GCB subtype,⁴⁴ and sporadic cases of CD5+ DLBCL have also been found to express PD-L1.²⁰ A study reported that 90% of patients with DLBCL respond to PD-L1 inhibitors in combination with R-CHOP, with a 2-year OS rate of 84%, indicating that PR-CHOP is a potentially effective method for these patients.⁴⁵ However, very few studies have reported the effects of PD-1 antibodies alone or in combination for patients with CD5+ DLBCL.

As a retrospective study, our study also has certain limitations. First, this was a single-center study with a limited number of patients. Unfortunately, among patients treated with CHOP, there were only four cases with CD5 + DLBCL; therefore, a meaningful statistical analysis could not be performed. Second, we did not obtain sufficient fluorescence in situ hybridization results from patients. Third, the follow-up time was limited.

Conclusions

Collectively, CD5+ DLBCL is a subtype of DLBCL with a poor prognosis, and its biology, mechanisms, and optimal therapeutic options need to be further explored. Lenalidomide, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors, BCL-2 inhibitors, and immune therapies alone or in combination presented promising results for patients with non-GCB/ABC DLBCL and might improve the outcomes of those with CD5+ DLBCL. Further clinical trials are required to test the effectiveness of these novel drugs alone or in combination for patients with CD5+ DLBCL.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors have stated that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was financially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81960043) and the National Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20192ACB20030).

ORCID iDs

Ting Yin D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9817-8354

Ling Qi D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7165-6803

References

- Colomo L, López-Guillermo A, Perales M, et al. Clinical impact of the differentiation profile assessed by immunophenotyping in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood* 2003; 101: 78–84.
- Alizadeh AA, Eisen MB, Davis RE, et al. Distinct types of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identified by gene expression profiling. *Nature* 2000; 403: 503–511.
- Kipps TJ. The CD5 B cell. Adv Immunol 1989; 47: 117–185.
- Ballesteros E, Osborne BM and Matsushima AY. CD5+ low-grade marginal zone B-cell lymphomas with localized presentation. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1998; 22: 201–207.
- Xu-Monette ZY, Tu M, Jabbar KJ, et al. Clinical and biological significance of de novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in Western countries. *Oncotarget* 2015; 6: 5615–5633.
- Tang H, Zhou H, Wei J, et al. Clinicopathologic significance and therapeutic implication of de novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Hematology (Amsterdam, Netherlands)* 2019; 24: 446–454.
- Burns BF, Warnke RA, Doggett RS, et al. Expression of a T-cell antigen (Leu-1) by B-cell lymphomas. *Am J Pathol* 1983; 113: 165–171.
- Lin CW, O'Brien S, Faber J, et al. De novo CD5+ Burkitt lymphoma/leukemia. Am J Clin Pathol 1999; 112: 828–835.
- Miyazaki K, Yamaguchi M, Suzuki R, et al. CD5-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a retrospective study in 337 patients treated by chemotherapy with or without rituximab. *Ann Oncol* 2011; 22: 1601–1607.
- 10. Yamaguchi M, Nakamura N, Suzuki R, et al. De novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell

lymphoma: results of a detailed clinicopathological review in 120 patients. *Haematologica* 2008; 93: 1195–1202.

- Alinari L, Gru A, Quinion C, et al. De novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: Adverse outcomes with and without stem cell transplantation in a large, multicenter, rituximab treated cohort. *Am J Hematol* 2016; 91: 395–399.
- Thakral B, Medeiros LJ, Desai P, et al. Prognostic impact of CD5 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in patients treated with rituximab-EPOCH. *Eur J Haematol* 2017; 98: 415–421.
- Harada S, Suzuki R, Uehira K, et al. Molecular and immunological dissection of diffuse large B cell lymphoma: CD5+, and CD5- with CD10+ groups may constitute clinically relevant subtypes. *Leukemia* 1999; 13: 1441–1447.
- Ennishi D, Takeuchi K, Yokoyama M, et al. CD5 expression is potentially predictive of poor outcome among biomarkers in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma receiving rituximab plus CHOP therapy. *Ann Oncol* 2008; 19: 1921–1926.
- Hyo R, Tomita N, Takeuchi K, et al. The therapeutic effect of rituximab on CD5positive and CD5-negative diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol* 2010; 28: 27–32.
- Zhang F, Li L, Zhang L, et al. Prognostic analysis of CD5 expression in double-hit diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and effectiveness comparison in patients treated with doseadjusted EPOCH plus rituximab/R-CHOP regimens. *Blood Lymphat Cancer* 2019; 9: 33–43.
- Na HY, Choe JY, Shin SA, et al. Characteristics of CD5-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma among Koreans: High incidence of BCL2 and MYC doubleexpressors. *PloS one* 2019; 14: e0224247.
- Tsuyama N, Sakata S, Baba S, et al. BCL2 expression in DLBCL: reappraisal of immunohistochemistry with new criteria for therapeutic biomarker evaluation. *Blood* 2017; 130: 489–500.
- Zelenetz AD, Salles G, Mason KD, et al. Venetoclax plus R- or G-CHOP in non-Hodgkin lymphoma: results from the

CAVALLI phase 1b trial. *Blood* 2019; 133: 1964–1976.

- Suzuki Y, Sakakibara A, Shimada K, et al. Immune evasion-related extranodal large B-cell lymphoma: A report of six patients with neoplastic PD-L1-positive extranodal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Pathol Int* 2019; 69: 13–20.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies[J]. *Int J Surg* 2014; 12: 1495–1499.
- Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid ME, et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 579–586.
- 23. Xu Y, Sun W and Li F. De Novo CD5 Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma: Biology, Mechanism, and Treatment Advances. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2020; 20: e782–e790.
- 24. Maeda A, Ishiai M, Hashimoto A, et al. Regulation of the phospholipase Cgamma2 pathway in B cells. *Immunological reviews* 2000; 176: 19–29.
- 25. Perez-Villar JJ, Whitney GS, Bowen MA, et al. CD5 negatively regulates the T-cell antigen receptor signal transduction pathway: involvement of SH2-containing phosphotyrosine phosphatase SHP-1. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; 19: 2903–2912.
- Garaud S, Taher TE, Debant M, et al. CD5 expression promotes IL-10 production through activation of the MAPK/Erk pathway and upregulation of TRPC1 channels in B lymphocytes. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2018; 15: 158–170.
- Mohamedali A, Soeiro I, Lea NC, et al. Cyclin D2 controls B cell progenitor numbers. *J Leukoc Biol* 2003; 74: 1139–1143.
- Igawa T, Sato Y, Takata K, et al. De novo CD5-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphomas show high specificity for cyclin D2 expression. *Diagn Pathol* 2013; 8: 81.
- Xu ZZ, Shen JK, Zhao SQ, et al. Clinical significance of chemokine receptor CXCR4 and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) expression in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2018; 59: 1451–1460.

- Garaud S, Morva A, Lemoine S, et al. CD5 promotes IL-10 production in chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells through STAT3 and NFAT2 activation. *J Immunol* 2011; 186: 4835–4844.
- Yamaguchi M, Seto M, Okamoto M, et al. De novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a clinicopathologic study of 109 patients. *Blood* 2002; 99: 815–821.
- Jain P, Fayad LE, Rosenwald A, et al. Recent advances in de novo CD5+ diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Am J Hematol* 2013; 88: 798–802.
- 33. Matolcsy A, Chadburn A and Knowles DM. De novo CD5-positive and Richter's syndrome-associated diffuse large B cell lymphomas are genotypically distinct. *Am J Pathol* 1995; 147: 207–216.
- Hu B, Nastoupil LJ, Loghavi S, et al. De novo CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, NOS: clinical characteristics and outcomes in rituximab era. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2020; 61: 328–336.
- 35. García-Suárez J, Bañas H, Arribas I, et al. Dose-adjusted EPOCH plus rituximab is an effective regimen in patients with poorprognostic untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: results from a prospective observational study. *Br J Haematol* 2007; 136: 276–285.
- 36. Miyazaki K, Asano N, Yamada T, et al. DA-EPOCH-R combined with high-dose methotrexate in patients with newly diagnosed stage II-IV CD5-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a single-arm, openlabel, phase II study. *Haematologica* 2020; 105: 2308–2315.
- Tagawa H, Suguro M, Tsuzuki S, et al. Comparison of genome profiles for identification of distinct subgroups of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood* 2005; 106: 1770–1777.
- 38. Nowakowski GS, LaPlant B, Macon WR, et al. Lenalidomide combined with R-CHOP overcomes negative prognostic impact of non-germinal center B-cell phenotype in newly diagnosed diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma: a phase II study. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33: 251–257.
- 39. Younes A, Thieblemont C, Morschhauser F, et al. Combination of ibrutinib with

rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CHOP) for treatment-naive patients with CD20-positive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a non-randomised, phase 1b study. *Lancet Oncol* 2014; 15: 1019–1026.

- 40. Goy A, Ramchandren R, Ghosh N, et al. Ibrutinib plus lenalidomide and rituximab has promising activity in relapsed/refractory non-germinal center B-cell-like DLBCL. *Blood* 2019; 134: 1024–1036.
- Davids MS, Roberts AW, Seymour JF, et al. Phase I First-in-Human Study of Venetoclax in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2017; 35: 826–833.
- 42. Kuo HP, Ezell SA, Schweighofer KJ, et al. Combination of Ibrutinib and ABT-199 in

Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma and Follicular Lymphoma. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2017; 16: 1246–1256.

- O'Steen S, Green DJ, Gopal AK, et al. Venetoclax Synergizes with Radiotherapy for Treatment of B-cell Lymphomas. *Cancer Res* 2017; 77: 3885–3893.
- Chen BJ, Chapuy B, Ouyang J, et al. PD-L1 expression is characteristic of a subset of aggressive B-cell lymphomas and virusassociated malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; 19: 3462–3473.
- 45. Smith SD, Till BG, Shadman MS, et al. Pembrolizumab with R-CHOP in previously untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: potential for biomarker driven therapy. *Br J Haematol* 2020; 189: 1119–1126.