

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Psychiatry Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/psychres



Letter to the Editor

Binge watching behavior during COVID 19 pandemic: A cross-sectional, cross-national online survey



To the Editor.

During the COVID-19 lockdown phase, people experience anxiety and emotional break down (Lima et al., 2020 May 1). As people face days of isolation at home, this creates an ideal condition to engage in online activities and watching television. As recreation sources are limited at home settings and internet/television are easily accessible, readily available and of course affordable; it may result in bingewatching. People with binge-watching behavior often watch multiple episodes in a single go (Umesh and Bose, 2019). Considering this fact, the tele-industry is spending on making web-series that compel people for binge-watching and to promote this behavior often all the episodes of a particular season of web-series are released simultaneously (Umesh and Bose, 2019). In the current situation of COVID-19 pandemic with a global lockdown state, as people have little to do, there seems to be an increase in binge-watching. To the best of our knowledge, no study studied binge-watching behavior during pandemics and their short-term as well as long-term effects. This study aimed to determine the binge-watching pattern of television, internet resources during this COVID-19 lockdown in South East Asian countries.

This is a descriptive study with a cross-sectional design. It was conducted in the general population of four Southeast Asian countries (Bangladesh, India, Indonesia & Nepal). An online survey was conducted on the general population using the *Google form*, who understand English. The study questionnaire contained 26 items. Participants age 18 years and more, consenting to participate in the study and able to understand English were included in the study. The data were analyzed in terms of percentages, mean, standard deviation and proportions. Also, country-wise comparison done.

A total of 551 individuals who participated in the survey, of two, were excluded because of not meeting the age criteria and one excluded due to incomplete data. The final analysis was done in a sample of 548 participants. A total of 548 adults (age ≥18 years) sample was analyzed, out of which 61.3 % of participants were from India, 22.3 % from Nepal, 10.2 % from Bangladesh and 6.2 % from Indonesia. The mean age of the sample was 32.62 (\pm 10.29), 60% were males, 44% were graduates (44.3 %), 40.5% postgraduates, most of them belonged to the nuclear family (74.6 %) and are presently living with family (81.2%), and 53.3% had been working from home (Table 1). The previous history and pattern of viewing TV/ online videos indicate that most of the population watched frequently but for a shorterduration (38.7%) and the average time for binge-watching was 1-3 hours (68.8 %). During the lockdown period, 73.7 % agreed to a considerableincrease in bingewatching with an increasein an average time of 3-5 hours (17.3 %) and 5+ hours (11.5 %) of binge-watching. The major platform used for viewing has been you-tube (52.7 %) and the major content watched has been news (69.2 %). The frequency of binge-watching has been daily for 27.6% of participants.

Interference caused due to binge-watching indicates that sometimes

39.1 % of participants experienced sleep disturbance, 32.3 % of participants sometimes missed work and 28.1% of participants reported sometimes having a conflict with others due to binge-watching. A total of 27.6 % reported that they have tried controlling their binge-watching but have failed to do so. The assessment of insight about bingewatching indicates that 30.3% of participants sometimes feel that they are getting addicted as well as 43.2% of the participants report that they try controlling their binge-watching behavior and 29% fear that binge-watching will interfere in their future work. Regarding the consequence of binge-watching, 69.5 % of participants report that bingewatching is bad for them although 46.7% of the participants were unaware of the concept of binge-watching. Most of the participants (52.6 %) report the major psychological motivation for binge-watching as to pass time and escape boredom, 25% use it for relieving stress as well as 15.7 % use it for overcoming loneliness. On the other hand, 30.8 % of the population report that they watch TV/ online videos to keep themselves updated.

As the sources of entertainment and social interaction got limited during this pandemic, globally, people directed themselves to the readily available modes of entertainment in their home settings. It has been reported in recent day electronic and printed media thatthere is an increasein viewership of television and internet over the pastfew months, globally. During the lockdown period although more than half the participants (53.3 %) were found to be working from home yet most of them agreed that their TV/ internet usage has increased (73.7 %) considerably daily (27.6 %). This might indicate the useof bingewatching as a coping mechanism. It is considered an unhealthy coping mechanism as people tend to substitute the live unacceptable experiences with fantasy and imagination generating web-series and television shows (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984). The psychological motivation found for binge-watching has been to pass time and escape boredom (52.6 %), relieve stress (25 %), overcome loneliness (15.7%). It leads to the immediate gratification of needs. The constant availability of content for binge-watching helps in the gratification of needs whenever and wherever one wants, resulting in an imbalance between the shortterm pleasures and the potential costs of media exposure (Hofmann et al., 2016). It is too early to say whether binge-watching will result in behavioral addiction or not. However, existing evidence supports the association of binge-watching with mood disturbances, sleep disturbances, fatiguability and impairment in self-regulation (Zhang et al., 2017). This study revealed that binge-watching sometimes causes significant interference in sleep (39.1 %), disturbs in completion of work (32.3 %) as well as causes conflict with others (28.1 %) (Table 1).

Further, research is required to establish a cause-effect relationship. But, as per the existing evidence, limiting the binging behavior may be beneficial for people and may prevent the development of lifestyle-related disorders too. This study is an attempt to understand the possible

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 1}\\ \textbf{The socio-demographic profile and binge-watching behaviour of participants.} \end{tabular}$

32.6 333	10.3	28.3 (7.2)	04 (11 5)			
		20.3 (7.2)	34 (11.7)	30 (7.7)	31.4 (6.3)	0.000
	60.7	40 (71.4)	207 (61.6)	11 (32.3)	75 (61.5)	0.011
292	53.3	36 (64.3)	171 (50.9)	24 (70.6)	61 (50)	0.044
						0.000
409	74.6	38 (67.8)	267 (79.5)	16 (47)	88 (72.1)	
139	25.4	18 (32.1)	69 (20.5)	18 (52.9)	34 (27.8)	
						0.02
447	81.6	53 (94.6)	264 (78.6)	27 (79.4)	103 (84.6)	
86	15.7	1 (1.8)	61 (18.2)	5 (14.7)	19 (15.6)	
15	2.7	2 (3.6)	11 (3.3)	2 (5.9)	0 (0.)	
down						0.227
211	38.5	21 (37.5)	134 (39.9(16 (47)	40 (32.8)	
63	11.5	9 (16)	37 (11)	5 (14.7)	12 (9.8)	
212	38.7	19 (33.9)	123 (36.5)	9 (26.5)	61 (50)	
62	11.3	7 (12.5)	42 (12.5)	4 (11.8)	9 (7.4)	
1						0.368
	56.6	32 (57.1)	182 (54.2)	24 (70.6)	72 (59)	
218						
20						
n		· · · · · ·	- *****	*****	×>	0.278
121	22.1	15 (26.8)	66 (19.6)	7 (20.6)	33 (27)	
			164 (48.8)			
			, ,			
		• •				
	11.0	- (-017)	-> (11.0)	- (0.0)	-5 (12.0)	0.002
	18.3	18 (32.1)	61 (18.1)	3 (8.8)	18 (14.7)	0.002
	7.0	3 (3.4)	21 (0.5)	3 (14.7)	13 (10.0)	0.088
_	31.9	9 (16)	108 (32.1)	9 (26.5)	49 (40 2)	0.000
231	72.2	31 (33.3)	100 (07.0)	10 (47)	31 (41.0)	0.000
166	30.3	20 (35.7)	122 (36.3)	5 (14 7)	19 (15.6)	0.000
			00 (20.24)	14 (41.2)	73 (39.6)	0.77
	-		184 (54.8)	20 (58.8)	70 (57.4)	0.77
			, ,			
	10.6	13 (23.2)	30 (10.7)	0 (17.7)	17 (13.9)	0.446
	47.6	30 (53.6)	157 (46 7)	12 (35 3)	62 (50.8)	0.440
4/	4.7	4 (3.0)	14 (4.4)	1 (4.7)	10 (0.4)	0.003
334	60.0	33 (58 0)	204 (60.7)	17 (50)	80 (65.6)	0.003
	22.1	10 (32.1)	01 (18.2)	14 (41.2)	28 (22.9)	0.161
-	20.0	20 (25.7)	117 (24.0)	10 (EE 0)	E7 (46 7)	0.161
∠ δ	5	3 (5.4)	1/ (5.1)	1 (2.9)	/ (5./)	0.000
061	47.6	04 (60 7)	160 (47.6)	04 (70 ()	40 (05 6)	0.000
		, ,			• •	
49	8.9	7 (12.5)	20 (5.9)	b (17.7)	16 (13.1)	
						0.065
46	8.4	9 (16)	21 (6.3)	5 (14.7)	11 (9)	
381	69.5	34 (60.7)	236 (70.2)	19 (55.9)	92 (75.4)	
95	17.3	10 (17.9)	64 (19.1)	6 (17.7)	15 (12.3)	
26	4.7	3 (5.4)	15 (4.5)	4 (11.8)	4 (3.3)	
os during lockdo	wn					0.564
138	25.2	18 (32.1)	77 (22.9)	6 (17.7)	37 (30.3)	
237	43.2	20 (35.7)	151 (44.9)	16 (47)	50 (41)	
101	18.4	8 (14.3)	63 (18.7)	7 (20.6)	23 (18.8)	
1	447 86 15 16wn 211 63 212 62 1 310 218 20 n 121 259 95 63 ek 100 21 144 89 152 42 ched in one go 175 95 47 231 166 112 39 555 176 ch but fail to con 305 151 92 kdown 261 177 83 27 334 93 121 ng lockdown 211 193 228 46 381 95 26 605 381 95 26 605 381 95 26 605 381 27	447 81.6 86 15.7 15 2.7 16wm 211 38.5 63 11.5 212 38.7 62 11.3 1 310 56.6 218 39.8 20 3.6 1 121 22.1 259 49.1 95 17.3 63 11.5 ek 100 18.3 21 3.8 144 26.3 89 16.2 152 27.7 42 7.6 ched in one go 175 31.9 95 17.3 47 8.6 231 42.2 166 30.3 112 20.4 39 7.12 55 10 176 32.1 ch but fail to control yourself 305 55.7 151 20.4 39 7.12 55 10 176 32.1 ch but fail to control yourself 305 55.7 151 27.5 92 16.8 kdown 261 47.6 177 32.3 83 15.2 27 4.9 334 60.9 93 17 121 22.1 1ng lockdown 213 38.9 214 39.1 93 17 28 5 261 47.6 238 43.4 49 8.9 46 8.4 381 69.5 95 17.3 26 4.7 27 cos during lockdown 138 25.2 237 43.2	447 81.6 53 (94.6) 86 15.7 1 (1.8) 15 2.7 2 (3.6) down 211 38.5 9 (16) 212 38.7 19 (33.9) 62 11.3 7 (12.5) 11 310 56.6 32 (57.1) 218 39.8 21 (37.5) 20 3.6 3 (5.4) 11 121 22.1 15 (26.8) 259 49.1 29 (51.8) 95 17.3 6 (10.7) ek 100 18.3 18 (32.1) 21 3.8 1 (1.8) 144 26.3 8 (14.3) 89 16.2 5 (8.9) 152 27.7 21 (37.5) 42 7.6 3 (5.4) ched in one go 175 31.9 9 (16) 95 17.3 11 (19.6) 47 8.6 5 (8.9) 231 42.2 31 (55.3) 166 30.3 20 (35.7) 112 20.4 10 (17.9) 39 7.12 2 (3.6) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 66 30.3 20 (35.7) 112 20.4 10 (17.9) 39 7.12 2 (3.6) 55 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 66 30.3 20 (35.7) 112 20.4 10 (17.9) 39 7.12 2 (3.6) 50 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 10 3 (5.4) 65 17 3 (5.5) 66 30 (3.5) 151 27.5 12 (21.4) 92 16.8 13 (23.2) 66 177 32.3 16 (28.6) 83 15.2 8 (14.3) 27 4.9 2 (3.6) 334 60.9 33 (58.9) 93 17 5 (8.9) 121 22.1 18 (32.1) 128 5 3 (5.4) 66 8.4 9 (16) 381 69.5 34 (60.7) 95 17.3 10 (17.9) 26 47 3 (5.4) 605 during lockdown 18 21.3 38.9 20 (35.7) 18 (20.5) 18 (20.5) 18 (20.7) 28 5 17.3 10 (17.9) 26 47 3 (5.4) 605 during lockdown 18 8 25.2 18 (32.1) 28 5 17.3 10 (17.9) 26 6 4.7 3 (5.4) 605 during lockdown 18 25.2 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 18 (32.1) 19 (16) 20 (4.6) 21 (4.6) 21 (4.6) 21 (4.7) 22 (6.6) 23 (6.7) 24 (6.7) 25 (6.8) 26 (7.7) 27 (1.5) 28 5 (7.1) 28 5 (7.1) 29 (7.2) 20 (7.2)	447 81.6 53 (94.6) 264 (78.6) 86 15.7 1 (1.8) 61 (18.2) 15 2.7 2 (3.6) 11 (3.3) down 2211 38.5 21 (37.5) 134 (39.9) 63 11.5 9 (16) 37 (11) 212 38.7 19 (33.9) 123 (36.5) 62 11.3 7 (12.5) 42 (12.5) 1310 56.6 32 (57.1) 182 (54.2) 218 39.8 21 (37.5) 139 (41.4) 20 3.6 3 (5.4) 15 (4.5) 121 22.1 15 (26.8) 66 (19.6) 259 49.1 29 (51.8) 164 (48.8) 95 17.3 6 (10.7) 67 (19.9) 63 (11.5) 6 (10.7) 67 (19.9) 63 (11.5) 6 (10.7) 39 (11.6) ekt 100 18.3 18 (32.1) 61 (18.1) 16 (4.8) 144 26.3 8 (14.3) 95 (28.3) 89 16.2 27.7 21 (37.5) 87 (25.9) 42 (27.7 21 (37.5) 87 (25.9) 42 7.6 3 (5.4) 21 (6.3) ched in one go 175 17.3 11 (19.6) 64 (19.1) 47.8 8.6 5 (8.9) 31 (9.2) 231 42.2 31 (55.3) 133 (39.6) 112 20.4 10 (17.9) 83 (24.7) 39 7.12 2 (36.3) 21 (2.3) 39 7.12 2 (36.5) 28 (3.3) 39 (10.4) 35 (10.4) 37 (10.2) 33 (10.2) 36 (10.7) 36 (10.7) 39 7.12 2 (3.6) 28 (8.3) 35 (10.4)	447 81.6 53 (94.6) 264 (78.6) 27 (79.4) 86 15.7 1 (1.8) 61 (18.2) 5 (14.7) 15 2.7 2 (3.6) 11 (3.3) 2 (5.9) 16 15 2.7 2 (3.6) 11 (3.3) 2 (5.9) 16 15 2.7 2 (3.6) 11 (3.3) 2 (5.9) 16 17 11 (3.1) 15 (4.7) 17 12 11 (3.8) 15 (4.7) 19 (1.8) 18 (3.9) (1.6) 37 (1.1) 5 (14.7) 19 (1.8) 18 (3.9) 123 (36.5) 9 (26.5) 16 (2.11.3 7 (12.5) 42 (12.5) 4 (11.8) 18 (3.8) 18 (3.8) 19 (3.8) 123 (36.5) 9 (26.5) 18 (3.8) 18 (3.8) 18 (3.8) 18 (3.8) 19 (4.8)	447

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Variables	Total Number	% or SD	Bangladesh, n (% or SD)	India, n (% or SD)	Indonesia, n (% or SD)	Nepal, n (% or SD)	p-value	
Having conflict with others because excessive watching								
Never	338	61.7	30 (53.6)	197 (58.6)	27 (79.4)	84 (68.8)		
Sometimes	154	28.1	17 (30.4)	99 (29.5)	6 (17.7)	32 (26.2)		
Often	42	7.7	8 (14.3)	28 (8.3)	1 (2.9)	5 (4.1)		
Always	14	2.5	1 (1.8)	12 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)		
Perceived that you are addicted to watching during lockdown								
Never	290	52.9	31 (55.3)	175 (52.1)	15 (44.1)	69 (56.6)		
Sometimes	166	30.3	16 (28.6)	104 (30.9)	8 (23.5)	38 (31.2)		
Often	64	11.7	7 (12.5)	39 (11.6)	6 (17.7)	12 (9.8)		
Always	28	5.1	2 (3.6)	18 (5.4)	5 (14.7)	3 (2.5)		
Fear that excessive watching during lockdown interfere your study or work in the future								
Never	270	49.3	30 (53.6)	159 (47.3)	17 (50)	64 (52.5)		
Sometimes	159	29	15 (26.8)	103 (30.7)	9 (26.5)	32 (26.2)		
Often	67	12.2	8 (14.3)	38 (11.3)	5 (14.7)	16 (13.1)		
Always	52	9.5	3 (5.4)	36 (10.7)	3 (8.8)	10 (8.2)		
Perceived current quality of life	6.4	2.2	5.3 (2.2)	6.7 (2.1)	6.4 (2.2)	5.9 (2.3)	0.0001	

cyber-psychopathologies during COVID 19 pandemic. There is a need to look for the long-term effect of binge-watching in the general-population, which will give a better insight into understanding the pathological aspects of binge-watching behavior.

Declaration of Competing Interest

Nil.

Acknowledgement

Nil.

References

Hofmann, W, Reinecke, L, Meier, A, 2016. Self-Control as a Moderator of the Effects of Media Use on Well-Being. Routledge Handb Media Use Well- Int Perspect Theory Res Posit Media Eff 211.

Lazarus, RS, Folkman, S, 1984. Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer publishing company.

Lima, CKT, Carvalho, PM, de, M, Lima, I, de, AAS, Nunes, JVA, de, O, Saraiva, JS, de Souza, RI, et al., 2020 May 1. The emotional impact of Coronavirus 2019-nCoV (new Coronavirus disease). Psychiatry Res 287, 112915. Umesh, S, Bose, S, 2019. Binge-watching: A matter of concern? Indian J Psychol Med. 41 (2), 182.

Zhang, T, Wang, P, Liu, H, Wang, L, Li, W, Leng, J, et al., 2017. Physical activity, TV watching time, sleeping, and risk of obesity and hyperglycemia in the offspring of mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. Sci Rep 7 (1), 1–9.

Ayushi Dixit^a, Marthoenis Marthoenis^b, S.M. Yasir Arafat^c, Pawan Sharma^d, Sujita Kumar Kar^{e,*}

^a Clinical Psychologist, Shafa Home, Deaddiction and rehabilitation centre, Kotdwara, Uttarakhand, India

^b Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health Nursing, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh 23111, Indonesia

^c Department of Psychiatry, Enam Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka-1340. Bangladesh

^d Department of Psychiatry, Patan Academy of Health Sciences & Medical Director, Arogin Health Care and Research Center, Nepal

^e Department of Psychiatry, King George's Medical University, Lucknow-226003, U.P., India

> E-mail addresses: ayushid287@gmail.com (A. Dixit), marthoenis@hotmail.com (M. Marthoenis), arafatdmc62@gmail.com (S.M.Y. Arafat).

pawan60@gmail.com (P. Sharma), drsujita@gmail.com (S.K. Kar).

^{*} Corresponding Author: Dr. Sujita Kumar Kar, Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, U.P., India.