SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 17 March 2016 Accepted: 01 June 2016 Published: 16 June 2016

OPEN Study on the Electrochemical Reaction Mechanism of ZnFe₂O₄ by In Situ Transmission Electron Microscopy

Qingmei Su¹, Shixin Wang¹, Libing Yao², Haojie Li², Gaohui Du², Huiqun Ye¹ & Yunzhang Fang¹

A family of mixed transition-metal oxides (MTMOs) has great potential for applications as anodes for lithium ion batteries (LIBs). However, the reaction mechanism of MTMOs anodes during lithiation/ delithiation is remain unclear. Here, the lithiation/delithiation processes of ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles are observed dynamically using in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Our results suggest that during the first lithiation process the ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles undergo a conversion process and generate a composite structure of 1–3 nm Fe and Zn nanograins within Li₂O matrix. During the delithiation process, volume contraction and the conversion of Zn and Fe take place with the disappearance of Li₂O, followed by the complete conversion to Fe_2O_3 and ZnO not the original phase ZnFe₂O₄. The following cycles are dominated by the full reversible phase conversion between Zn, Fe and ZnO, Fe₂O₂. The Fe valence evolution during cycles evidenced by electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) techniques also exhibit the reversible conversion between Fe and Fe_2O_3 after the first lithiation, agreeing well with the in situ TEM results. Such in situ TEM observations provide valuable phenomenological insights into electrochemical reaction of MTMOs, which may help to optimize the composition of anode materials for further improved electrochemical performance.

The ever-growing need for high energy density, power density and stable cyclability has prompted considerable attention to develop promising anode materials for lithium ion batteries (LIBs) to meet the rapid development of portable electronics. Various transition metal oxides (TMOs), such as iron oxides¹⁻³, cobalt oxides^{4,5} manganese oxides^{6,7} and nickel oxides^{8,9}, have been competitive anode candidates for LIBs due to their stable capability and high reversible capacities $(500-1000 \text{ mAh g}^{-1})^{8,10,11}$. Recently, iron oxides anode has received an upsurge of interest due to their fascinating superiority. However, the poor electrical conductivity, severe volume expansion and higher oxidation potential restrict their applications on LIBs¹². Furthermore, the rapid capacity fading of iron oxides anode materials remains a major drawback. In particular, the Fe₂O₃ anode materials have been found to be irreversible in the first lithiation by in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM); they undergo a reversible phase conversion between FeO and Fe/Li₂O during the lithiation-delithiation cycles¹³. The Fe₂O₃ anode materials cannot recover to their original structure after delithiation, which cause a large capacity loss in the first cycle. Recently, Fe-based oxides (AFe₂O₄, A = Mn¹⁴, Co¹⁵, Ni¹⁶, Cu¹⁷ and Zn¹⁸, etc) have been studied as promising anodes for LIBs to improve their cyclability. It is anticipated that they can effectively overcome the drawbacks of pure iron oxide anode; then larger reversible capacity, better cyclability, and better rate performance can be achieved by the suitable combination of different metal species¹⁹.

ZnFe₂O₄ stands out from other Fe-based spinel series anodes because of its high abundance and high theoretical specific capacity of 1072 mAh g^{-120} . Qin *et al.* first reported the use of ZnFe₂O₄ as an anode synthesized by a pulsed laser deposition method²¹, and their initial reversible capacity was 556 mAh g^{-1} and after100 cycles 78% of the capacity (434 mAh g^{-1}) was still retained. Then the electrochemical performances of diverse $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanostructure have been greatly enhanced, such as ZnFe₂O₄/C hollow spheres²² ZnFe₂O₄ octahedrons²³ ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers (ZFO-NF) and nanorods²⁰, and so on. However, a few of the fundamental mechanism concerning the

¹Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Solid State Optoelectronic Devices, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, 321004, China. ²Institute of Physical Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, 321004, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.S. (email: sugingmei@zjnu.cn) or G.D. (email: gaohuidu@zjnu. edu.cn)



Figure 1. (a) TEM, (b) The high–magnification TEM, (c) HRTEM, and (d) ED pattern of the obtained products $ZnFe_2O_4/graphene$ electrode.

electrochemical process remain unclear. Wang's group²⁴ have reported that the products of the deeply discharged are LiZn and Fe, and the recharged materials are ZnO and Fe₂O₃, which is distinguishing from the previous *in situ* TEM study on Fe₂O₃ anode¹³. While, Chowdari B.V.R. *et al.*²⁵ have suggested that the reaction mechanism of ZnFe₂O₄ is reversible reactions of LiZn to ZnO and Fe to FeO after the first discharge process. Particularly, the dynamic electrochemical reaction of binary transition metal oxide for LIBs is still in a black box.

In situ TEM technique has been recognized as an excellent option to monitor real-time observation of electrode materials with lithium and sodium on the nanometer scale²⁶⁻²⁹. Some successes have been achieved on understanding the electrochemical mechanism of SnO_2^{30} , $Si^{31,32}$, ZnO^{33} , CeO_2^{34} , $Fe_2O_3^{13}$, carbon nanotube $(CNT)^{35}$, graphene³⁶, and Co_9S_8/CNT^{37} in real time through the *in situ* TEM technique. Up to now, the electrochemical reaction between $ZnFe_2O_4$ and Li has not been studied. Here, an all-solid nano–LIB was constructed inside a high–resolution TEM using $ZnFe_2O_4$ as working electrode to visualize the microstructure and phase evolution during electrochemical processes. It is found that upon lithiation the $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle was converted into numerous Fe and Zn nanograins within Li₂O matrix with a severe volume expansion. During delithiation, the anode cannot be converted to its original phase $ZnFe_2O_4$ but transformed to Fe_2O_3 and ZnO. The Fe valence evolution of $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle is also studied by EELS measurements, which agrees well with the *in situ* TEM results for provided the direct experimental evidence of the reaction mechanism of $ZnFe_2O_4$ during lithium-ion insertion and extraction.

Results

The microstructure characterization of the obtained $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene is shown in Fig. 1. Figure 1(a) is the TEM image of $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene, it indicates there are many $ZnFe_2O_4$ particles with sizes of $120 \sim 180$ nm anchored on graphene, and the transparent nature of the graphene implies that it is fully exfoliated into single or few-layer sheet. A high–magnification TEM image of an individual $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle is given in Fig. 1(b). Obviously, the $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle is primarily composed of nanocrystals with a size ~ 10 nm. The smaller size of $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanocrystals can shorten Li⁺ diffusion pathways, increase the electron/ion conductance, and reduce the volume change induced by lithiation/delithiation, further enable the $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanocrystals to show an improved electrochemical performance. The high resolution transmission electron micrograph (HRTEM) was taken along the [114] zone axis with the (220) lattice finger directly seen with a spacing of 0.30 nm as shown in Fig. 1(c); the corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(c), in accordance with the (220), (131), and (311) planes of the cubic structure of $ZnFe_2O_4$ (JCPDS card no. 89–1012). Figure 1(d) presents an ED pattern recorded from the synthesized $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene. All the diffraction rings can be perfectly indexed as a cubic structure of $ZnFe_2O_4$ (JCPDS card no. 89–1012); it further confirms that the resultant products are $ZnFe_2O_4$ phase.

To investigate the electrochemical behavior of $ZnFe_2O_4$ during lithiation–delithiation cycles, an *in situ* nanoscale electrochemical device of $ZnFe_2O_4$ was constructed, as schematically shown in Fig. 2(a). Briefly, the electrochemical nano–LIB device consists of three essential components: $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene anode, metal Li counter electrode, and the naturally grown solid electrolyte Li₂O layer on metal Li. After contact between Li₂O and $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene anode was established, a constant potential of -1.0 V was applied to the $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene against to the Li counter electrode to drive the first lithiation of $ZnFe_2O_4$. Figure 2(b,c) and Supplementary Movie S1,S2 show the morphological changes of two $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles with diameters of ~196 and 205 nm in the



Figure 2. Morphological changes of two $ZnFe_2O_4$ particles during lithiation with a potential of -1 V. (a) Schematic illustration of the *in situ* nano-battery setup. (**b**-**b**₃) TEM snapshots show the morphological evolution of a ZnFe₂O₄ particle anchored on graphene with a diameter of ~196 nm. (**c**-**c**₃) TEM snapshots show the morphological evolution of a second ZnFe₂O₄ particle sited on the edge of graphene with a diameter of ~205 nm. The red dashed curves demonstrate the reaction front. All the scale bars are 50 nm.





first electrochemical lithiation process. It suggests that the lithiation occurred on all surfaces within 37.0 s, indicating the fast lithium diffusion on the $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle surface. As shown in Fig. 2(b), a ~23% size increase from 196 to 241 nm in the diameter was seen for the $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle anchored on graphene layer, and the first lithiation lasted for 21 s, giving a lithiation ratio of ~2.14 nm/s for this $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle. As for another $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle sited on the edge of the graphene (Fig. 2c), its diameter expanded from 205 nm to about 255 nm after full lithiation within 37.0 s, and the lithiation ratio of this $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle is about 1.25 nm/s, which is much smaller than that of $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle on graphene layer. This can be attributed to the good Li⁺ conductivity of graphene. In other words, the good Li⁺ conductivity of graphene sheets makes the fast diffusion of lithium around $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle.

Close view on the microstructure of ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle after full lithiation and delithiation is shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3a,b is the TEM image of an individual ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle anchored on graphene after the first lithiation. It shows that many small nanograins with sizes of 1-3 nm dispersed in Li₂O matrix, saying after the first lithiation process Fe and Zn nanocrystals embedded in Li₂O matrix uniformly, as evidenced by HRTEM and ED in Fig. 3b,c. From the HRTEM image of the fully lithiated ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle that displayed in Fig. 3b, the lattice spacing recorded from the nanocrystals is about 2.10 Å, agrees with the (110) plane of Fe and (101) plane of Zn. Figure 3c is the ED pattern collected from the lithiated $ZnFe_2O_4$ graphene electrode. The diffraction rings corresponding to the (111), (220), (311) lattice planes of Li_2O are marked by red lines, while the (110), (101) lattice planes of Fe and Zn have been labeled. Then the potential was reversed to + 3 V to initiate the delithiation process, and the TEM images of the electrode after full delithiation are shown in Fig. 3d,e. When the electrode was fully delithiated, the clear lattice fringes (0.25 and 0.27 nm) correspond to (101) plane of phase ZnO and (104) plane of phase Fe₂O₃ respectively. Also the ED pattern (Fig. 3f) confirms the presence of Fe₂O₃ and ZnO in the delithiated product, rather than $ZnFe_2O_4$. As for $ZnFe_2O_4$ electrode, in the most favorable case, the Fe^{2+} ions in FeO would be further reversibly oxidized to form trivalent Fe³⁺ ions to obtain Fe₂O₃ due to the synergetic effects of Zn and Fe in ZnFe₂O₄ electrode^{23,24}. In addition, no Li₂O diffraction ring was detected from the ED pattern, suggesting that the Li₂O was almost consumed up during delithiation process.

We next concern about the electrochemical behaviors of $ZnFe_2O_4$ graphene electrode during lithiation/delithiation cycles. A $ZnFe_{3}O_{4}$ particle with a nearly spherical shape and the initial diameter of ~196 nm is selected to check the morphology evolution, as shown in Fig. 4a. The ED pattern of the obtained ZnFe₂O₄/graphene electrode is given in Fig. 4a1. It can be perfectly indexed as the face-centered crystal structure of ZnFe₂O₄ (JCPDS no. 89-1012). The pristine ZnFe2O4 nanoparticle was inflated and expanded its size to 223 nm after full lithiation (Fig. 4b). The ED pattern of the fully lithiated ZnFe₂O₄/graphene electrode is shown in Fig. 4b1; the diffraction rings can be well indexed as Fe, Zn and fcc Li₂O, suggesting the ZnFe₂O₄ was transformed to Fe, Zn and fcc Li₂O after the first full lithiation process. Then the potential was reversed to + 3 V to facilitate the delithiation process. Along with the first delithiation process, volume contraction observed throughout the whole nanosphere with the size decreasing from 223 nm to 200 nm. The fully delithiated phase was identified as Fe₂O₃ and ZnO, as examined by the ED pattern of the delithiated $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene electrode. Then the second lithiation/delithiation cycle was investigated by reversing the applied potential of -1 and +3 V, as shown in Fig. 4c–e. The TEM image of $ZnFe_2O_4$ particle after the second lithiation process is given in Fig. 4c; the marked $ZnFe_2O_4$ particle expanded its size to \sim 240 nm again, just as the first lithiation process. The ED pattern that recorded from the lithiated ZnFe₃O₄/ graphene electrode after the second lithiation is shown in Fig. 4d1, it indicates the product was Fe, Zn and cubic Li₂O. The lithiated ZnFe₂O₄ particle shrunk its size to 211 nm again in the second delithiation process showing the reversible micromorphology change, as displayed in Fig. 4e. Figure 4e1 shows the corresponding ED pattern





of the delithiated $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene electrode, and the ED pattern confirms the resultant Fe and Zn nanograins transformed to Fe_2O_3 and ZnO again in the second delithiation process. As discovered above, all the TEM results indicate the reversible conversion from Fe to Fe_2O_3 and Zn to ZnO after the first lithiation process.

An EELS that assisted in TEM is a useful technique for analyzing the valences of some transition metal elements at the nanoscale. The transition of an electron from a 2p level to 3d orbitals leads to the formation of $L_{2,3}$ white lines due to the unoccupied 3d orbitals of transition metals³⁸. The L_3/L_2 white-line intensity ratio (I_{L_3}/I_{L_2}) measured in 3d transition metal is used to determine the occupation number of 3d electrons. Here the $I_{13}/I_{1,2}$ of Fe has been obtained to correlate EELS features with the valence states of Fe in the first three lithiated and delithiated states. Figure 5 shows the EELS results of Fe collected from the lithiated and delithiated $ZnFe_2O_4/$ graphene electrode to confirm the evolution of valence states of Fe elements in the electrochemical lithiation and delithiation cycles. The EELS spectrum of Fe in the original $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene electrode is shown in Fig. 5a, we can see that the L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe is 5.3, confirming that the valence state of Fe is undoubtedly $3 + 3^9$. The L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe in the fully lithiated stage reduced to 2.3, which is smaller than that of Fe²⁺ (4.1 ± 0.2), agrees well with the valence state of 0^{40} , as given in Fig. 5b, suggesting the oxide state transition of Fe from 3+ to zero. From the EELS spectrum of Fe recorded from a fully delithiated ZnFe₂O₄/graphene anode that shown in Fig. 5c, in which the L₃/L₂ intensity ratio of Fe increased to 5.1, this EELS can be regarded approximately complete oxidation, that is, Fe "3+" fingerprint. This result confirms that after the first cycle the delithiated product was Fe₂O₃. It says the Fe element can renew its original state of Fe³⁺ after the first delithiation process, further demonstrating good reversibility of Fe metal. Figure 5d displays the L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe is 2.5 after the second full lithiation process, which is similar with that of Fe in the first lithiated stage, corresponding to the valance state of zero. Then the L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe increased to 5.2 again calculated from the EELS spectrum given in Fig. 5e, it implies that the valance of Fe element is +3 after the second delithiation process. Expectedly, the similar reversal of L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe in ZnFe₂O₄ was also noticed in the third cycle. The repeated changes in L_3/L_2 intensity ratio of Fe indicate the complete and reversible electrochemical transition between Fe⁰ and Fe³⁺ during the electrochemical processes, thus leading to high reversibility for iron oxide based anodes. The EELS results are agree well with the ED results shown in Fig. 4a1-e1, which reveal that the electrochemical reaction of iron oxide phase in ZnFe₂O₄ during the electrochemical processes is a reversible phase transition between Fe and Fe₂O₃ after the first lithiation process.

According to the above in situ TEM analysis, the possible reactions of ZnFe₂O₄ during the lithiation/delithiation process are proposed as follows. During the first lithiation process, Li⁺ ions can diffuse quickly on graphene sheets, leading to a uniform lithiation take place on the surface of ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. As Li⁺ insertion continued, the lithiation front in the ZnFe₂O₄ gradually propagated and gave rise to a visible interface between the lithiated and unlithiated phases. As a result, lithiation is essentially the destruction of the crystal structure, lithium is intercalated into ZnFe₂O₄ and led to metallic Zn, Fe nanograins and Li₂O appear in the product followed by the obvious volume expansion. The first lithiation can be expressed as: $ZnFe_2O_4 + 8Li^+ +$ $8e^- \rightarrow Zn + 2Fe + 4Li_2O$. During the delithiation process, Li-ion will firstly be extracted from the lithiated ZnFe₂O₄ leading to volume contraction, and lithiated product metallic Fe and Zn nanograins can be oxidized to metal oxide (Fe₂O₃ and ZnO) with the presence of Li₂O through the conversion reaction, ZnFe₂O₄ is not the initial molecule that can be recovered. So the delithiation process can be described as: $Zn + Li_2O \rightarrow ZnO + 2Li^+ + 2e^-$, $2Fe + 3Li_2O \rightarrow Fe_2O_3 + 6Li^+ + 6e^-$. In the following lithiation/delithiation cycles, the reversible conversion reaction of ZnO, Fe₂O₃ and metallic Zn, Fe nanoparticles take place and indicates good reversibility. Thus, after the first cycle, reversible reactions can be expressed as following equations: $ZnO + 2Li^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow Zn + Li_2O$, $Fe_2O_3 + 6Li^+ + 6e^- \leftrightarrow 2Fe + 3Li_2O$. Figure 6 schematically outlines these changes of the ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles during lithiation/delithiation cycles.

Therefore, the stable cycling response of $ZnFe_2O_4$ (see Supplementary Fig. S1) may be ascribed to not only the synergistic effect of different type metal oxide species (Zn and Fe) on $ZnFe_2O_4$, but also a facile and easier lithium ion diffusion on graphene during the lithiation/delithiation cycles. Also, in the following lithiation/delithiation cycles, ZnO and Fe_2O_3 convey reversible electrochemical reactivity toward Li and then reveal a reversible phase conversion of Zn-ZnO and Fe-Fe₂O₃, accounting for good reversibility and high Coulombic efficiency.

Conclusions

In summary, the electrochemical reaction mechanism of $ZnFe_2O_4$ for lithium ion battery anode is investigated by *in situ* TEM, and the results show that in the first lithiation process lithium-ion is intercalated into $ZnFe_2O_4$, generating ultrafine (1–3 nm) Fe and Zn nanocrystallites within Li₂O matrix followed by obvious volume expansion. In the first delithiation process, the HRTEM and ED results show that $ZnFe_2O_4$ is not the original molecule that can be recovered, but metallic Zn and Fe nanoparticles oxidized to their respective metal oxides ZnO and Fe_2O_3 with the disappearance of Li₂O through the complete conversion reaction. The ED patterns and EELS spectra reveal that the electrochemical lithiation/delithiation processes of $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles as anode in LIBs are revealed to be reversible phase transition between Fe, Zn nanograins and Fe_2O_3 , ZnO nanograins. The information obtained from our findings can help to further improve the electrochemical performance of this type material and also is insightful for exploring various types of electrode materials in LIB technology.

Methods

Materials synthesis. Graphite oxide (GO) was synthesized by a modified Hummers' method⁴¹. ZnFe₂O₄/ graphene was prepared by a hydrothermal route. Firstly, GO (100 mg) was dispersed in ethylene glycol (80 ml) with sonication for 30 min to form a homogeneous dispersion. Then, $Zn(Ac)_2 H_2O$ (0.55 g), FeCl₃ (0.81 g), and NaAc (3.6 g) were added into the above solution with stirring for 30 min, and the mixture was transferred into a Teflon–lined autoclave with a capacity of 100 mL, and maintained at 200 °C for 24 h. The precipitate was isolated









.....

by filtration and washed several times after cooling down to room temperature. Finally, the product was obtained by drying the precipitate at 60 °C for 12 h.

In situ **TEM electrochemical setup.** The nano-LIBs experimental set-up was constructed inside a TEM (JEOL JEM–2100F) to enable the *in situ* observation on the electrochemical behaviors of $ZnFe_2O_4$ anode with the assistance of HRTEM, electron diffraction (ED) and EELS measurements. The $ZnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles anchored on graphene were used as the working electrode, and Li metal was coated onto a piezo–driven W probe and regarded as lithium source and counter electrode, an oxide layer of Li₂O formed on Li metal when it is exposed to air act as the solid electrolyte. The detailed setup procedure for the nano-LIB can be found in literature¹³. When $ZnFe_2O_4$ /graphene driven by the nanomanipulator of the TEM-STM holder is contact with the Li₂O layer, a nano-LIBs cell is successfully constructed. After that, a potential of -1V was applied to the $ZnFe_2O_4$ electrode against the Li source to drive Li⁺ transport to initiate lithiation process, and then the bias was reversed to + 3V to facilitate delithiation. EELS measurements were performed on a TEM with the assistance of Gatan EELS attachments.

References

- Wang, B., Wu, H. B., Zhang, L. & Lou, X. W. Self-supported construction of uniform Fe₃O₄ hollow microspheres from nanoplate building blocks. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 4165–4262 (2013).
- 2. Zhou, G. *et al.* Graphene-wrapped Fe₃O₄ anode material with improved reversible capacity and cyclic stability for lithium ion batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **22**, 5306–5313 (2010).
- 3. Ye, J., Zhang, J., Wang, F., Su, Q. & Du, G. H. One-pot synthesis of Fe₂O₃/graphene and its lithium–storage performance. *Electrochim.* Acta 113, 212–217 (2013).
- Lou, X. W., Deng, D., Lee, J. Y., Feng, J. & Archer, L. A. Self-supported formatnion of needlelike Co₃O₄ nanotubes and their application as lithium-ion battery electrodes. *Adv. Mater.* 20, 258–262 (2008).
- 5. Yuan, W. et al. Porous CoO/C polyhedra as anode material for Li-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 108, 506-511 (2013).
- Guo, C. X., Wang, M., Chen, T., Lou, X. W. & Li, C. M. A hierarchically nanostructured composite of MnO₂/conjugated polymer/ graphene for high-performance lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 1, 736–741 (2011).
- Reddy, A. L. M., Shaijumon, M. M., Gowda, S. R. & Pulickel, M. A. Coaxial MnO₂/carbon nanotube array electrodes for high-performance lithium batteries. *Nano Lett.* 9, 1002–1006 (2009).
- Su, D., Kim, H. S., Kim, W. S. & Wang, G. X. Mesoporous nickel oxide nanowires: hydrothermal synthesis, characterisation and applications for lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors with superior performance. *Chem. – Eur. J.* 18, 8224–8229 (2012).
- 9. Xie, D. *et al.* Synthesis of porous NiO-wrapped graphene nanosheets and their improved lithium storage properties. *J. Phys. Chem.* C 117, 24121–24128 (2013).
- 10. Poizot, P., Laruelle, S., Grugeon, S. & Tarascon, J. M. Nano–sized transition–metal oxides as negative-electrode materials for lithiumion batteries. *Nature* **407**, 496–499 (2000).
- 11. Zhang, G. *et al.* General formation of complex tubular nanostructures of metal oxides for the oxygen reduction reaction and lithium-ion batteries. *Angew. Chem. In. Ed.* **125**, 8805–8809 (2013).
- Liu, J. et al. Iron oxide-based nanotube arrays derived from sacrificial template-accelerated hydrolysis: Large-area design and reversible lithium storage. Chem. Mater. 22, 212–217 (2009).
- Su, Q. M., Xie, D., Zhang, J., Du, G. H. & Xu, B. S. *In situ* transmission electron microscopy observation of the conversion mechanism of Fe₂O₃/graphene anode during lithiation–delithiation processes. ACS Nano 7, 9115–9121 (2013).
- Xiao, Y. et al. MnFe₂O₄-graphene nanocomposites with enhanced performances as anode materials for Li-ion batteries. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 3939–3945 (2013).
- Li, Z. T. et al. High capacity three-dimensional ordered macroporous CoFe₂O₄ as anode material for lithium ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 55, 4594–4598 (2010).
- Cherian, C. T. et al. Morphologically robust NiFe₂O₄ nanofibers as high capacity Li-ion battery anode material. ACS Appl. Mater. & interfaces 5, 9957–9963 (2013).
- Jin, L. et al. Hollow CuFe₂O₄ spheres encapsulated in carbon shells as an anode material for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 56, 9127–9132 (2011).

- Sharma, Y., Sharma, N., Rao, G. V. S. & Chowdari, B. V. R. Li-storage and cyclability of urea combustion derived ZnFe₂O₄ as anode for Li-ion batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* 5, 2380–2385 (2008).
- Yuan, C., Wu, H. B., Xie, Y. & Lou, X. W. Mixed transition-metal oxides: design, synthesis, and energy-related applications. Angew. Chem. In. Ed. 53, 1488–1504 (2014).
- Teh, P. F., Sharma, Y., Pramana, S. S. & Srinivasan, M. Nanoweb anodes composed of one-dimensional, high aspect ratio, size tunable electrospun ZnFe₂O₄ nanofibers for lithium ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. 21, 14999–15008 (2011).
- 21. Li, Y. N., Chu, Y. Q. & Qin, Q. Z. Nanocrystalline ZnFe₂O₄ and Ag–doped ZnFe₂O₄ films used as new anode materials for Li–ion batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **151**, A1077–A1083 (2004).
- 22. Deng, Y. et al. One-pot synthesis of ZnFe₂O₄/C hollow spheres as superior anode materials for lithium ion batteries. Chem. Commun. 47, 6828-6830 (2011).
- Xing, Z., Ju, Z., Yang, Xu J. H. & Qian, Y. One-step hydrothermal synthesis of ZnFe₂O₄ nano-octahedrons as a high capacity anode material for Li-ion batteries. *Nano Research* 5, 477–485 (2012).
- Guo, X. W. *et al.* Lithium storage in hollow spherical ZnFe₂O₄ as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* 12, 847–850 (2010).
- Sharma, Y. *et al.* Li–storage and cyclability of urea combustion derived ZnFe₂O₄ as anode for Li-ion batteries. *Electrochimica Acta*, 53, 2380–2385 (2008).
- Liu, X. H. et al. In situ TEM experiments of electrochemical lithiation and delithiation of individual nanostructures. Adv. Energy Mater. 2, 722–741 (2012).
- 27. Liu, X. H. & Huang, J. Y. In situ TEM electrochemistry of anode materials in lithium ion batteries. Energy & Environ. Sci. 4, 3844-3860 (2011).
- Wang, C. M. et al. In situ transmission electron microscopy and spectroscopy studies of interfaces in Li ion batteries: Challenges and opportunities. J. Mater. Research 25, 1541–1547 (2010).
- Huang, J.Y. et al. In situ observation of the electrochemical lithiation of a single SnO₂ nanowire electrode. Science 330, 1515–1519 (2010).
- 30. Zhang, L. Q. *et al.* Controlling the lithiation–induced strain and charging rate in nanowire electrodes by coating. *ACS Nano* 5, 4800–4809 (2011).
- 31. Liu, X. H. et al. Anisotropic swelling and fracture of silicon nanowires during lithiation. Nano Lett. 11, 3312–3318 (2011).
- 32. Wang, C. M. *et al. In situ* TEM investigation of congruent phase transition and structural evolution of nanostructured silicon/carbon anode for lithium ion batteries. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 1624–1632 (2012).
- Su, Q., Dong, Z., Zhang, J., Du, G. H. & Xu, B. S. Visualizing the electrochemical reaction of ZnO nanoparticles with lithium by in situ TEM: two reaction modes are revealed. *Nanotechnology* 24, 255705 (2013).
- Su, Q. M., Chang, L., Zhang, J., Du, G. H. & Xu, B. S. In situ TEM observation of the electrochemical process of individual CeO₂/ graphene anode for lithium ion battery. J. Phys. Chem. C 117, 4292–4298 (2013).
- 35. Liu, Y. H. et al. Lithiation-induced embrittlement of multiwalled carbon nanotubes. ACS Nano 5, 7245-7253 (2011).
- 36. Liu, X. H. *et al. In situ* transmission electron microscopy of electrochemical lithiation, delithiation and deformation of individual graphene nanoribbons. *Carbon* **50**, 3836–3844 (2012).
- Su, Q. M. *et al. In situ* transmission electron microscopy investigation of the electrochemical lithiation–delithiation of individual Co₉S₈/Co–filled carbon nanotubes. ACS Nano 7, 11379–11387 (2013).
- 38. Bnvoso, N. R. Use of electron-energy loss near-edge fine structure in the study of minerals. Am. Mineral. 79, 411-425 (1994).
- 39. Schmid, H. & Mader, W. Oxidation states of Mn and Fe in various compound oxide systems. *Micron* 37, 426–432 (2006).
- 40. Taftø, J. & Krivanek, O. Site-specific valence determination by electron energy-loss spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 560-563 (1982).
- 41. Hummers Jr, W. S. & Offeman, R. E. Preparation of graphitic oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 1339 (1958).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11504330, 11574273), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (No. LQ15B01001), Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technology Key Innovation Team (No. 2011R50012) and Key Laboratory (No. 2013E10022).

Author Contributions

Q.S. and G.D. designed the experiments. Q.S. and S.W. carried out the *in situ* TEM experiments, analyzed data and wrote this manuscript. L.Y., H.L. and H.Y. fabricated the $ZnFe_2O_4/graphene$ samples and tested their performance as anode in lithium-ion batteries. G.D. and Y.F. revised the manuscript. All the authors contributed to the discussions and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Su, Q. *et al.* Study on the Electrochemical Reaction Mechanism of ZnFe₂O₄ by *In Situ* Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28197; doi: 10.1038/srep28197 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/