RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Hybrid floral scent novelty drives pollinator shift in sexually deceptive orchids

Nicolas J Vereecken*1,2, Salvatore Cozzolino³ and Florian P Schiestl²

Abstract

Background: Sexually deceptive orchids of the genus *Ophrys* attract their pollinators, male insects, on a highly specific basis through the emission of odour blends that mimic the female sex pheromone of the targeted species. In this study, we have investigated a contact site between *Ophrys arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis*, two sympatric orchid species that are usually reproductively isolated *via* the exploitation of different pollinator "niches", but occasionally hybridise despite their apparent combination of ethological and mechanical isolation barriers. In particular, we have investigated the extent to which these *Ophrys* hybrids generate "emergent" combinations (i.e. novel and unpredictable from the parents' phenotypes) of floral traits, and how these phenotypic novelties, particularly the odour blends emitted by the flower, could facilitate the invasion of a novel pollinator "niche" and induce the rapid formation of reproductive isolation, a prerequisite for adaptive evolutionary divergence.

Results: Our chemical analyses of floral scents show that the *Ophrys* F1 hybrids investigated here produce more compounds, significantly different ratios (% of odour compounds in the total blend), as well as new compounds in their floral odour compared to their progenitors. When tested for their attractiveness to the pollinator of each parent orchid species, we found that floral scent extracts of the hybrids triggered less inspecting flights and contacts by the male bees with the scented dummy than those of the parental orchid species. However, a series of additional behavioural bioassays revealed that the novel floral scent of the hybrids was significantly more attractive than either of the two parents to a pollinator species not initially involved in the pollination of any of the parent *Ophrys* species.

Conclusions: Collectively, our results illustrate that the process of hybridisation can lead to the generation of evolutionary novelties, and that novel combinations of floral traits can drive pollinator shifts and rapid reproductive isolation in highly specific plant-pollinator interactions.

Background

Angiosperms and their insect pollinators have flourished with extraordinary diversity through parallel and successive "explosive" radiations over the past 140 million years. It has been suggested that the intimate relationships between flowering plants and their pollinators have fuelled each other's diversification [1,2] and led to some of today's textbook cases of pollinator-mediated radiation such as in the Polemoniaceae family [3]. The examination of plant-pollinator interactions indicates that discrete floral differences among closely-related plant species can induce assortative pollinator attraction and contribute to reproductive isolation [4-7]. The origin of floral novelties (changes in the floral design, display, flowering time, chemistry and/or reward type) can therefore be considered as an important driving force in the diversification of flowering plants. Differences in floral phenotype can be generated by allelic variation at sometimes only one or a few loci [8-10], yet it has been shown that more "emergent" floral novelties (i.e., not predictable from the parents' phenotypes) can also originate *via* other processes such as polyploidy [11-14] or hybridisation between sympatric taxa [15]. Hybridisation can affect several phenotypic traits and niche dimensions which made this phenomenon and its creative potential a particularly important driving force in angiosperm evolution and diversification [15-23]. The acquisition of novel combinations of floral traits can help recombinant hybrids invade a vacant pollinator "niche", unexploited by its progenitors, which represents one route to adaptive evolutionary



© 2010 Vereecken et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: nicolas.vereecken@ulb.ac.be

¹ Evolutionary Biology & Ecology, Free University of Brussels/Université Libre de Bruxelles, Avenue FD Roosevelt 50 CP 160/12, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

divergence, sympatric establishment and in some instances the origin of new species [18,24-30].

The orchid family and its ca. 24,000 species described to date (World Orchid Checklist, Royal Botanical Gardens Kew, UK) represent a particularly attractive group of flowering plants for studies addressing the ecological and evolutionary consequences of hybridisation. Indeed, the unusually high degree of specificity in pollinator attraction [31-35] and the apparent frequent formation of hybrids between orchid species, genera and even subtribes [36-38] offer a fertile loam for studies on the role of floral traits, including floral scents, in pollinator attraction. This is particularly true for some of the species-rich genera of so-called sexually deceptive orchids, like the European genus Ophrys, where pollinator attraction is brought about by a form of floral mimicry known as sexual deception. In this plant-pollinator interaction, a range of male insects (mainly wild bees, wasps and sometimes even beetles) pollinate the flowers during an attempted copulation (i.e., *pseudocopulation*) on the female decoys on the labellum [39,40]. Although floral colours/contrasts might be important in the detection of the flowers, the major floral attractant in this mimicry system is the floral scent, which mimics the female sex pheromone bouquet of a narrow range of targeted insect species [41-48]. Since sex pheromone communication channels are usually species-specific [[49], but see [50,51]], most Ophrys species are de facto reproductively isolated through the speciesspecific attraction of only one or a few closely related insect taxa [35,52,53]. Furthermore, when sympatric Ophrys taxa share the same pollinator species, cross-pollination is usually prevented by the attachment of the pollen masses (i.e., the pollinaria) of the orchid on different body parts of the insect (e.g. on the head vs. the abdomen tip) during pseudocopulation [39,40]. However, despite the apparent strength of pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation in Ophrys [54], a considerable proportion of these orchids that clearly belong to different and diagnosable species do hybridise in nature [55-59]. Hybridisation in Ophrys therefore provides unique opportunities for the formation of novel combinations of floral traits, particularly the composition of the floral scent, that can potentially drive shifts in pollinator niches and hence the rapid evolution of reproductive isolation between the hybrids and their sympatric parent species [see also [53]].

In this paper, we investigated the potential of *Ophrys* hybridisation to generate novel combinations of floral traits that could induce a pollinator shift. We used a combination of comparative chemical analyses of floral scents, genetic analyses of orchid taxa with AFLP molecular markers, *in situ* hand pollinations as well as behavioural bioassays with pollinators to uncover the origin and evolutionary consequences of hybridisation. Specifically, we ask the following questions: (i) How did the

hybrids originate?; (ii) Are there differences in floral scent composition between the parental orchid species and the hybrids?; (iii) Can these floral differences induce a "niche shift" in the hybrids towards the attraction of a "new" pollinator species not exploited by any of the parental orchid species?

Results

Behavioural experiments with fresh inflorescences

Our observations of pollinator behaviour during pseudocopulations with fresh, unpollinated flowers of the orchid taxa illustrate that the pollinators of each parent taxon systematically initiated copulation attempts on the orchid labellum in the expected position (i.e., "abdominal" on *O. lupercalis* and "cephalic" on *O. arachnitiformis*). However, we have observed that the insects' copulatory activity on the flowers regularly led to changes in their position on the labellum and occasionally to the subsequent uptake of pollinaria when in the alternative position (Figure 1). The supplementary video material [Additional file 1: Video] shows a male *C. cunicularius* pseudocopulating on the labellum of *O. lupercalis*, the other parent species, and withdrawing pollinaria on both its head and its abdomen tip during a single visit on the flowers.

Behavioural experiments with floral odour extracts

Results from our bioassays provide evidence for crossattraction among orchid taxa towards patrolling males of C. cunicularius and A. nigroaenea (Figures 1 and 2). Specifically, we found that for each pollinator, floral odour extracts of the most commonly associated Ophrys taxa (e.g. O. arachnitiformis for males of C. cunicularius) were more attractive than floral odour extracts of the other parent species. The results from these bioassays further show that the floral odour extracts of hybrids triggered significantly less inspecting flights and contacts than those of the two parental species (Mann-Whitney U-test, P < 0.01), except for the bioassays with A. nigroaenea where no significant difference in attractiveness was found (Mann-Whitney *U*-test, P = 0.263) between the attractiveness of male bees towards floral odour extracts of the hybrids and of O. arachnitiformis (Figure 2). By performing bioassays with males of A. vaga, a species not initially involved in the pollination of the parent Ophrys species, we found that the floral odour of the hybrids triggered significantly more approaching flights to and contacts with the odour source than the floral odour of any of the two parents (Figure 2) (Mann-Whitney U-test, P < 0.01).

Floral odour differentiation - hybrids and their progenitors Our analyses of floral odour extracts of each orchid taxa in the hybrid zone have detected the presence of 80 individual compounds in total, including the biologically



Figure 1 Orchids and their pollinators in the hybrid zone. A. Pseudocopulating males of *Andrena nigroaenea* (Kirby) (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae) in both the "abdominal" and the "cephalic" positions on the flower labella of *Ophrys lupercalis* Devillers-Terschuren & Devillers; B. Pseudocopulating male of *A. nigroaenea* in the "abdominal" position on a flower of *O. lupercalis* with pollinaria on its head; C. Pseudocopulating male of *Colletes cunicularius* (L.) (Hymenoptera, Colletidae) in the "cephalic" position on the flower labellum of *O. arachnitiformis*; D. Pseudocopulating male of *C. cunicularius* on the flower labellum of *O. lupercalis* with pollinaria on its head; F. Pseudocopulating male of *C. cunicularius* on the flower labellum of *O. arachnitiformis*; F. A detail of a flower of the natural hybrid between *O. arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis* at the study site in southern France. All photographs by NJ Vereecken.

active compounds identified for *A. nigroaenea*, the pollinator of *O. lupercalis* [60,61], and for *C. cunicularius*, the pollinator of *O. arachnitiformis* (= *O. exaltata*) [44- Additional file 2: Supplemental Table S1]. A comparison of the number of floral odour compounds found in each taxon is provided in Table 1. With a total of 73 odour compounds, the hybrids produce more odour compounds

(qualitatively) in their floral odour than any of their parent species. We also found some compounds to be taxonspecific, in particular 2 "new" odour compounds produced exclusively by the hybrids whose GC-MS spectra indicate that they are straight-chained alkenes (monounsaturated alkenes, position of the double bound unknown) of 23 and 25 carbon chain length, respectively.





A canonical discriminant function (CDF) analysis of odour compounds resolved the hybrids and their progenitor species into non-overlapping groups (Figure 3). This CDF analysis rejects the null hypothesis of homogeneity of covariance matrices (small Wilks' λ values: $W\lambda_1 =$ 0.000002; $W\lambda_2 = 0.042$ and associated P_1 and $P_2 < 0.001$). The high discriminatory ability of the canonical discriminant functions 1 and 2 plotted in Figure 3 provide evidence for the importance of the independent variables (i.e., all floral odour compounds) to the discriminant analysis. Canonical correlation values close to 1 ($Cc_1 =$ 0.996; $Cc_2 = 0.979$) associated with the two CDF's plotted further account for the significant contribution of the first two canonical discriminant functions to the resolving of all three orchid taxa into non-overlapping groups. The CDF's 1 and 2 account for 100% (84.0% and 16.0%, respectively) of the total odour variance among orchid taxa, which further indicates their great discriminatory ability in the model (100% of cross-validated grouped cases were correctly classified). Overall, 97.9% of all cross-validated samples were assigned correctly to their taxa by the two CDF's (O. arachnitiformis: 100%; Hybrids: 90.9%; O. lupercalis: 100%). The analysis of the partitioning of the floral odour variance yielded a significantly higher proportion of the variance among taxa (65%) over within taxa (35%).

The analysis of overall floral odour similarity (relative proportions of all compounds, in %) among samples was performed using unweighted pair groups with arithmetic averages (UPGMA). Our results show that, besides the fact that all but one sample ("23Hybr3" on Figure 4) grouped together according to taxa, the floral odour of the hybrids is chemically asymmetric towards *O. lupercalis*. The UPGMA cladogram revealed two discrete clusters, the first one comprising all the samples of *O. arachnitiformis* (solid circle at internal node, Figure 4) and the second consisting of two subclusters containing *O. lupercalis* and the hybrids (open circle at internal node, Figure 4).

Molecular hybrid index scores

The eight primer pair combinations used for AFLP analysis produced a total of 390 polymorphic markers. Using the 100% difference criterion, we identified 157 markers as species-specific for *Ophrys arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis*. Of these, 95 were present as bands in *O. arachnitiformis* and 62 in *O. lupercalis*. The hybrid index analysis to allocated individuals to the specific hybrid class with high confidence (Figure 5). The hybrid index analysis based on species-specific markers revealed exactly the same pattern of hybrid index analysis calculated with all polymorphic markers (data not shown). The mean ML hybrid index (MLI(*h*)) for hybrid individuals was 0.277 (S.E. \pm 0.008) with diagnostic species-specific markers.

Table 1: Floral odour chemistry in the hybrid zone

Orchid taxa	Number of floral odour compounds identified (% of total)	Number of taxon-specific odou compounds identified
Ophrys arachnitiformis	64 (80%)	3
Hybrids	73 (91.25%)	2
Ophrys lupercalis	66 (82.5%)	1

Summary of floral odour compound production in each orchid taxon of the hybrid zone investigated. A total of 80 odour compounds have been identified, 48 (i.e. 60%) of which were produced by all three taxa simultaneously.

We found no evidence for patterns of genetic variation suggestive of gene introgression into parental classes in the hybrid zone investigated (Figure 5).

Crossing experiments and flow cytometry analyses

None of the hand-pollinations performed between the hybrids and the parents resulted in capsule formation. Hand-pollinations between hybrids yielded only one capsule that contained few seeds that were devoid of embryo, which we therefore considered unviable. We did not check whether the pollen tubes made it all the way to the ovules in the back-crosses and the F1xF1 crosses.

The flow cytometry profiles produced consistent results within taxa and revealed differences in ploidy level among the *Ophrys* species investigated. Our analyses of pollinia and leaf fragments showed that *O. bilunulata* (control 1), *O. sphegodes* (control 2) and *O. arachnitiformis* (parent 1) have the same ploidy level, namely that



Figure 3 Floral scent profiles. Floral scent differentiation among taxa in the *Ophrys* hybrid zone investigated. Canonical discriminant function (CDF) plot of all odour compounds (relative proportions, in %) found in epicuticular extracts of the *Ophyrs* flowers. Functions 1 and 2 account for 100% (86.0% and 14.0%, respectively) of the total variability in floral odour among orchid taxa.

they are all diploid (2n = 36). The samples of *O. lupercalis*, however, contained twice as much DNA as those of the aforementioned species, which suggests that all the *O. lupercalis* individuals investigated in the hybrid zone are tetraploid (4n = 72). Finally, the analyses of DNA contents of both pollinia and leaf fragments of the hybrids revealed a ploidy level intermediate to that of the two parents, which supports the scenario that the hybrids sampled in the hybrid zone are triploid (3n = 54).

Discussion

The origin of Ophrys hybrids

Our study provided evidence for the occasional breakdown of both ethological and mechanical isolation barriers mediated by the pollinators, which provides opportunities for the formation of hybrids between O. arachnitiformis and O. lupercalis when they are found in sympatry. The cross-attraction of each parent orchid species to each pollinator was allowed by the emission of (at least partly) overlapping patterns of biologically-active compounds for each pollinator species [Additional file 2: Supplemental Table S1]. It is generally assumed that sexually deceptive orchids are strongly isolated via pre-pollination barriers, and more weakly through post-zygotic (i.e., post-pollination) mechanisms, which contrasts to the situation observed in food deceptive orchids that have weak pre-pollination [62] but strong post-zygotic isolation barriers [63]. Yet in this study, we have been able to show that pre-pollination (ethological and mechanical) isolation barriers, which are generally thought to represent strong isolation mechanisms in flowering plants, especially when they act in concert (e.g. [64]), could be more permeable than previously thought in the genus Ophrys (Figure 1 and [Additional file 1: Video]).

Many species of solitary bees that act as pollinators in *Ophrys* use specific female sex pheromone that are often based on a "variation on a theme", i.e. identical compounds in different ratios [53,57,65]. This phenomenon enhances the probability for an *Ophrys* species to be cross-attractive to different pollinators, even though the flowers might receive significantly more visits by their



Euclidean distance in floral odour

Figure 4 Floral scent clustering. Floral odour similarity between samples of Ophrys arachnitiformis (solid, black bar), hybrids (open bar) and O. lupercalis (solid, grey bar) in the orchid hybrid zone. The UPGMA cladogram was based on pairwise Euclidean distance in floral odour (relative proportions of all compounds, in %) between samples. Individuals are grouped together according to taxa (except for one sample, labelled "23Hybr3"), and hybrids cluster together with O. lupercalis (open circle at internal node), whereas samples of O. arachnitiformis cluster separately (solid circle at internal node).

1Ara1 3Ara3 2Ara2 17Ara17 8Ara8 5Ara5 13Ara13 20Ara20



hood estimates of molecular hybrid indices (MLE(*h*)) and their associated confidence intervals based on band frequencies for all individuals (both parental species and their hybrids) sampled across the hybrid zone. The hybrid index (*h*) ranges from zero to one, corresponding to pure individuals of *Ophrys lupercalis* (the other parent species) and *O. arachnitiformis* (the reference species), respectively.

most commonly associated pollinator (see Figure 2). Hence, reproductive isolation is usually maintained but does not prevent opportunities for gene flow between sympatric species, at least theoretically. Besides, the attractiveness of orchids to alternative pollinators observed in *O. arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis* (Figures 1 and 2) might enhance the reproductive output of these orchids at a local scale, a mechanism that should be favoured by selection since orchids in general and deceptive ones in particular are often pollinator-limited in their reproductive success [34,42,66,67].

Hybrid floral novelty and pollinator shift

A prerequisite for adaptive evolutionary divergence of hybrids is the invasion of an alternative "niche" in which the hybrids are subjected to different selection pressures, and the parallel evolution of reproductive isolation between the parents and the hybrids. The results from our analyses and bioassays support these two requirements, first because we have shown that the F1 hybrids emit "emergent" combinations of floral scent compouds, i.e. they are not intermediate between their parents or have the sum of their parents' traits, but instead they have developed an "emergent" floral scent novelty with completely new compounds that are not predictable from the parents' phenotypes. Second, this floral scent novelty leads to the acquisition of a novel pollinator "niche" by hybrids (Figure 3, Table 1) that can drive the rapid evolution of reproductive isolation between the hybrids and

their parents (Figure 2). These data are consistent with previous studies reporting that even small changes in floral scent chemistry in Ophrys have the potential to mediate assortative pollinator attraction [53,65] and hence promote rapid reproductive isolation between diverging sympatric orchid taxa if maintained over generations [68]. Furthermore, our results challenge the view that hybrids often experience reduced fitness compared to their parents [57,69-72] by showing that they can also outcompete their parents under certain ecological conditions (here, different pollinator environments, but see also [73]). Finally, the last major step towards fully autonomous establishment requires the hybrids to be fertile [22,25]. Here, none of our bidirectional and controlled hand-pollinations resulted in capsule formation, and hand-pollinations within the group of hybrids yielded only one capsule that contained seeds devoid of embryo, which suggests that the hybrids tested here between O. arachnitiformis and O. lupercalis are both maternally and paternally sterile, a likely consequence of their triploidy.

Although the triploid hybrids investigated have been shown to be sterile, their evolutionary potential should not be dismissed a priori. Indeed, studies on allotriploids obtained via diploid and tetraploid parent species indicate that many of the gametes produced by triploids are not functional, because they possess aneuploid, unbalanced chromosome numbers. However, triploids may occasionally generate small numbers of euploid (x, 2x) gametes and they can also produce 3x gametes via nonreduction (reviewed by [74]). This may enable allotriploids to produce fertile allotetraploids by selfing or backcrossing with diploid parents [75-78] without otherwise significant changes in the genomic architecture of the polyploid individuals [79]. Several studies have shown that massive expression changes often accompany polyploid formation but autopolyploidy might produce less dramatic expression changes than allopolyploidy. hybridisation is likely to cause more dramatic phenotypic changes than genome doubling per se because it results in transcriptional effects following the combination of differentiated genomes, with their divergent regulatory machinery, into a common nucleus (reviewed in [80]). If filled, these requirements could potentially open up a new route to the emergence of a hybrid neospecies through the attraction of a novel pollinator, provided potential pollinators are present locally. This scenario could also help explain the occurrence of several endemic species of Ophrys frequently characterised by a tetraploid genome [81].

The parental species pair investigated in this study turned out to have different ploidy levels, yet reports indicate that *O. lupercalis* might be diploid in other regions of the Mediterranean Basin [82]. These contact sites between *O. arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis* should be investigated by using the present study as a conceptual touchstone to ultimately determine whether or not the local hybrids are at least partially fertile. Other sympatric species of *Ophrys* that have the same ploidy level are known to produce hybrids that set seed when they are experimentally pollinated with pollinia from other sympatric hybrids [57,58]. Among these hybrids, several have been reported to have a significantly different floral odour bouquet compared to their parents [83]. Our results should therefore encourage investigations in these hybrid zones to test the extent to which hybrids can escape the ecological niche of their parents through the attraction of pollinators that are not involved in the pollination of their parents [19,21,25,84].

Conclusions

In this study, we have found evidence that hybridisation in sexually deceptive orchids can produce novel combinations of floral traits, particularly their floral odour (Figures 3 and 4), that can in turn lead to a pollinator shift (Figure 2). However, the development of the hybrids under study into novel "ecological" species is hindered by their sterility, which is also expected to impede the formation of later-generation progeny via selfing, sib-crossing with neighbouring hybrid individuals, or backcrossing with any of the sympatric parental species. Yet, although several assumptions are not met for making plausible ecological speciation by hybridisation in the hybrids between O. arachnitiformis and O. lupercalis, our study provides a unique window into the stepwise process by which apparent reproductive barriers can be broken down and how new combinations of floral traits can be generated, leading to the evolution of novel, highly specific plant-pollinator interactions.

Methods

Species profiles

The model organisms chosen in this study are Ophrys arachnitiformis and O. lupercalis, two species that belong to different sections within the genus Ophrys (sections Euophrys and Pseudophrys, respectively). These taxa often bloom and grow in sympatry from mid-March to mid-April in southern France where they are pollinated by males of Colletes cunicularius and Andrena nigroaenea, respectively. During pseudocopulation, the orchids' pollinaria are attached on distinct body parts of the pollinator (on the face for C. cunicularius and on the abdominal tip for A. nigroaenea). Hence reproductive isolation between O. arachnitiformis and O. lupercalis growing in sympatry is usually achieved through a combination of (i) ethological isolation (the specific attraction of distinct pollinator taxa) and (ii) mechanical isolation (the differential attachment of pollen masses on the body of their respective pollinator). However, these orchid species were found to hybridise at Torreilles (S-France) in a population where only the two aforementioned *Ophrys* species and their hybrids grew intermixed. These hybrids between these parent species are rare in the wild and when formed, they are mostly found at low densities in populations largely dominated by the parent species.

The geographic range of the solitary bee *A. vaga* extends from middle and north Europe to Central Asia [85], and in France down to the Rhône river valley [86] where both *O. arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis* are known to occur [87].

Sample collection and preparation

A total of 53 individuals representative of each orchid taxa in the hybrid zone (*O. arachnitiformis* (20); hybrids (13); *O. lupercalis* (20)) were labelled individually and sampled for chemical analyses of their floral odour; the same individuals were used to sample plant material for genetic analyses. Individual labella of fresh, unpollinated *Ophrys* flowers of both parent species and their hybrids were extracted in 200 μ l of hexane (HPLC grade) for one minute. All floral extracts were stored at -20°C for subsequent gas chromatography (GC) analyses and behavioural bioassays.

Chemical analyses

All samples were analysed by gas chromatography (GC) on a Hewlett Packard 6890N GC equipped with a HP-5 capillary column (30 m * 0.32 mm * 0.25 µm). The injector temperature was kept at 300°C. One µL aliquots of the extracts were injected splitless at 50°C (1 minute), followed by a programmed increase of oven temperature to 300°C at a rate of 10°C/min; helium was used as the carrier gas. 100 ng of n-octadecane was added as an internal standard to each sample. Compounds were identified by comparison of retention times with authentic standard compounds, and a selection of samples were analysed with a Finnigan Trace Ultra GC coupled with a Finnigan POLARIS Q ion trap mass spectrometer under the temperature conditions mentioned above. The absolute amounts of all 80 identified compounds were calculated by the internal standard method as described by Mant et al. [44]. Relative proportions (%) were calculated by summing up the absolute amounts of all compounds; absolute amounts of individual compounds were then divided by the total and multiplied by 100.

Behavioural experiments - fresh inflorescences

During this study, we have performed behavioural observations of the pollinators using fresh, unpollinated flowers of *Ophrys arachnitiformis* and *O. lupercalis*. Pollinators were observed *in situ*, caught and identified. Pollinator behaviour on the flowers was recorded using macro photography of pseudocopulating bees in order to determine if both pollinators are able to transfer polli-

naria between the parent orchid species during pseudocopulations.

Behavioural experiments - floral odour extracts

To quantify the relative attractiveness of orchid taxa in the hybrid zone, we have performed behavioural bioassays with patrolling male bees using dummies scented with solvent extracts of unpollinated flowers of each orchid taxa in the hybrid zone. The orchid species investigated are flowering in very early spring, at a time when only very few solitary bees have emerged from their underground cell. Picked inflorescences of the hybrids were tested for their attractiveness towards 5 species of solitary bees (Andrena bicolor, A. flavipes, A. vaga, Anthophora plumipes and Eucera elongatula) that could potentially act as pollinators since they were active at the same time of the year (in early March) and their distribution range overlaps with that of the orchids investigated. Among these solitary bee species, only the males of A. vaga seemed to be interested in the hybrids and they were observed visiting the flowers frenetically. These preliminary observations have led to the choice of this species as a potential pollinator of the hybrids and the subsequent series of bioassays performed in the field. All behavioural bioassays were performed in late March and early April 2006-2007 in natural populations of Colletes cunicularius and Andrena nigroaenea in Cadillon (southern France) as well as in an allopatric natural population of A. vaga (Braine-l'Alleud, Belgium) where male bees were patrolling for emerging females on restricted nesting/emergence sites. The density of bees in each site was stable over the days of observations. These bee species rank among the first bees to emerge in early spring and their flight period largely overlaps with that of the three orchid taxa investigated. Behavioural responses of male bees towards scented dummies (black cylindrical plastic beads, 4×5 mm, mounted on an insect pin) were taped using a digital voice recorder (during 3 minutes for C. cunicularius and A. vaga, 5 minutes for A. nigroaenea due to a lower population density and activity) and classified in two categories: (i) number of approaches (inspecting flight in front of the dummy at close range (< 10 cm) without any contact with the odour source), and (ii) number of contacts with the scented dummy. Odour samples were tested individually and each scented dummy was used only once. Half the amount of each natural extract was applied on each dummy with a Hamilton glass syringe (100 μ L) for each behavioural bioassay, whereas the second half was saved for chemical analyses. The dummy was placed in a male patrolling area after the solvent had evaporated. Controls (dummies treated with solvent only) were tested successively for their attractiveness after every 5th test. All bioassays were conducted between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. - when patrolling activity of male bees was at its peak. Since males of *C. cunicularius* are known to patrol fairly localised regions on the nesting/emergence site [87] and since the same phenomenon is likely to be observed in the other bee species investigated, test spots were changed after each bioassay to test the responses of different males to natural extracts of *Ophrys* flowers and to avoid any habituation of the male bees to the test spots.

DNA extraction and molecular analyses

For each orchid taxa, a leaf fragment of ca. 8 cm² was excised and the plant tissue was desiccated using silicagel, each in individual sealed plastic bags. Genomic DNA was extracted using a slight modification of CTAB protocol of Doyle & Doyle [88]. Plant leaf material was macerated in 700 µL of standard CTAB buffer, incubated at 60°C for 30 min, extracted twice with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, precipitated with isopropanol and washed with 70% ethanol. Precipitated DNA was then resuspended in 50 µL of distilled water. Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) analysis was performed using a modified version of Vos et al. [89]. Restriction-digestion was conducted using restriction enzymes EcoRI and MseI on 300 ng genomic DNA. Ligation of EcoRI and MseI adapters to restriction fragments took place concurrently with restriction digestion. A pre-amplification PCR of the restriction fragments was conducted using a template of 2 µl of the restriction-ligation product. Primers for the preamplification were EcoRI and MseI primers with one additional selective nucleotide. A second selective amplification was conducted with 1 μ l of preamplification product, primers were the same as in preamplification, but with two or three additional selective nucleotides. A total of six primer pairs were used. Fragment separation and detection took place on ABI 3130 AVANT DNA sequencer. Fragment sizes (in bp) were determined with the software Genemapper 3.7 by using an internal size standard (GeneScan Rox500, Applied Biosystem).

Molecular data analysis

The AFLP profiles generated using the various primer combinations were scored in terms of presence or absence of each marker in each individual plant. Fragments were always scored as dominant markers excluding monomorphic markers from all further analysis. The genetic marker data were used to calculate a molecular hybrid index for each individual. To estimate the hybrid index we used the software HINDEX, which applies a maximum-likelihood estimate approach [90]. The hybrid index (*h*) ranges between zero and one, corresponding to pure individuals of the alternative and reference species, respectively [90]. We calculated the hybrid index both using all polymorphic markers and the species-specific marker only. We considered markers as species-specific if they occurred in 100% of the individuals in one parental species, but were absent from the other parental species.

Crossing experiments and flow cytometry analyses

Five hybrid individuals, representing a set of 15 fresh and unpollinated flowers, were dug out, transplanted in pots and used for hand pollination experiments. Each flower was cross-pollinated using one pollen mass from another hybrid individual. Additionally, 20 hybrid pollinaria were used for hand pollinations with each parent (10 hand pollinations for each hybrid-parent pair). All the hand-pollinated individuals transferred in pots were stored in a temperate greenhouse until the inflorescences wilted and the formation of fruits (capsules) was complete. We then counted the number of capsules formed and examined the seeds contained in the capsules for the presence of embryos by light microscopy.

To assess the relative ploidy level of *Ophrys arachnitiformis, O. lupercalis* and their hybrids in the hybrid zone investigated, we have performed flow cytometry analyses using a PA-I flow cytometer with HBO (high pressure mercury lamp) PARTEC[®] (Partec Gmbh, Münster, Germany). Plant material (fresh leaf fragments and pollinia) of *O. bilunulata*, a diploid, closely-related species of *O. lupercalis* [82,87], and of *O. sphegodes* were used as controls for the calibration of the flow cytometer.

Statistic analyses

We used multivariate analyses to investigate floral odour differentiation (relative amounts of all compounds, in %) among the orchid taxa in the hybrid zone. First, we used a principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the number of variables (odour compounds) in the analysis. All 15 principal components generated by the PCA were then used in a canonical discriminant function (CDF) analysis. We used all the floral odour compounds recorded in solvent extracts since the data did not contain significant outliers and given that this multivariate method is robust even when the homogeneity of variances assumption is not met [91]. We used a pairwise individual-by-individual Euclidean distance matrix (calculated from the relative amounts of odour compounds in SPSS 13.0) as input file in GenAlEx 6 [92] to analyse the partitioning of odour variance among and within orchid taxa. This analysis is based on an adaptation of the AMOVA framework for the analysis of odour (see [68] for additional details); random permutations (n = 99) were used to test for significant differences in odour partitioning among species. The individual-by-individual Euclidean distance matrix was also transferred to PAUP* 4.0 [93] to construct an unrooted UPGMA tree to depict the floral odour similarities among individuals and taxa in the hybrid zone. To test for differences in male bee responses to natural extracts of Ophrys flowers during the behavioural bioassays, a Kruskall-Wallis test and pairwise Mann-Whitney *U*-tests were used with the level of significance (α) set at 0.05. All these statistical tests were performed with the

Additional material

SPSS 13.0 software [91].

Additional file 1 Video. The video depicts a male of the solitary bee Colletes cunicularius pseudocopulating on the flower labellum of Ophrys lupercalis: pollinia removal on the abdomen tip and on the head of the pollinator (video courtesy of J-C Milhé).

Additional file 2 Table S1 - Floral odour chemistry in the hybrid zone. Summary of the qualitative differences in floral odour compound production in each orchid taxon of the hybrid zone investigated.

Authors' contributions

NJV carried out the behavioural experiments, chemical, statistic, and flow cytometry analyses, manual crosses and drafted the manuscript. SC and his team carried out the molecular analyses and helped to draft the manuscript. FPS contributed to chemical reagents and analyses, and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to R Souche (Saint-Martin-de-Londres, France) and to P Cortis (University of Cagliari, Italy) for their valuable help on the field, as well as to OJ Hardy (Free University of Brussels/Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium) for his kind help with the flow cytometer. Thanks are also due to J-C Milhé (Anglet, France) for providing the video material used to illustrate this study. NJV was financially supported by a Belgian "Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture (F.R.I.A.)" Doctoral grant as well as a FRS-FNRS Post-Doctoral Fellowship (Belgium); FPS was funded by the Swiss National Funds (SNF Project 3100-068173).

Author Details

¹Evolutionary Biology & Ecology, Free University of Brussels/Université Libre de Bruxelles, Avenue FD Roosevelt 50 CP 160/12, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium, ²Institute of Systematic Botany, University of Zürich, Zollikerstrasse 107, CH-8008 Zürich, Switzerland and ³Department of Structural and Functional Biology University of Naples "Federico II" Complesso Universitario Monte Sant'Angelo Via Cinthia, Build 7, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

Received: 4 November 2009 Accepted: 21 April 2010 Published: 21 April 2010

References

- 1. Grimaldi DA: The co-radiations of pollinating insects and angiosperms in the Cretaceous. Ann Mo Bot Gard 1999, 86:373-406.
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Endress PK, Chase MW: Phylogeny and evolution of Angiosperms Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA; 2005.
- 3. Grant V, Grant KA: *Flower pollination in the Phlox family* Columbia University Press, New-York and London; 1965.
- 4. Darwin C: On the effects of cross and self fertilisation in the Vegetable Kingdom Charles Murray, London; 1876.
- Faegri K, Pijl L van der: The principles of pollination ecology Pergamon, Oxford; 1966.
- Stebbins GL: Adaptive radiation of reproductive characteristics in Angiosperms, I: pollination mechanisms. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 1970, 1:307-326.
- Waser NM: The adaptive nature of floral traits: ideas and evidence. In Pollination Biology Edited by: Real LA. Academic Press, New York; 1983:241-285.
- Bradshaw HD, Wilbert SM, Otto KG, Schemske DW: Genetic mapping of floral traits associated with reproductive isolation in monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). Nature 1995, 376:762-765.
- Bradshaw HD, Schemske DW: Allele substitution at a flower colour locus produces a pollinator shift in monkeyflowers. *Nature* 2003, 426:176-178.
- Zufall RA, Rausher MD: The genetic basis of a flower color polymorphism in the common morning glory (*Ipomoea purpurea*). J Hered 2003, 94(6):442-448.

- Levin DA: Polyploidy and novelty in flowering plants. Am Nat 1983, 122:1-25.
- Soltis DE, PS Soltis: The dynamic nature of polyploid genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995, 92:8089-8091.
- Thompson JN, Cunningham BM, Segraves KA, Althoff DM, Wagner D: Plant polyploidy and insect-plant interactions. Am Nat 1997, 150:730-743.
- 14. Schranz ME, Osborn TC: Novel flowering time variation in resynthesized polyploid *Brassica napus*. *J Hered* 2000, 91:242-246.
- Stebbins GL: The role of hybridisation in evolution. Proc Am Phil Soc 1959, 103:231-251.
- Rieseberg LH, Ellstrand NC: What can molecular and morphological markers tell us about plant hybridisation? *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 1993, 12:213-241.
- 17. Rieseberg LH: The role of hybridisation in evolution: old wine in new skins. Am J Bot 1995, 82(7):944-953.
- Rieseberg LH: Hybrid origins of plant species. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 1997, 28:359-389.
- Arnold ML: Natural hybridisation and evolution Oxford University Press, Oxford; 1997.
- 20. Barton NH: The role of hybridisation in evolution. *Mol Ecol* 2001, 10:551-568.
- Arnold ML: Evolution through genetic exchange Oxford University Press, Oxford; 2006.
- 22. Campbell DR, Aldridge G: Floral biology of hybrid zones. In *Ecology and Evolution of Flowers* Edited by: Harder LD, Barrett SCH. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK; 2006:326-345.
- Wissemann V: Plant evolution by means of hybridisation. Syst Biodiv 2007, 5(3):243-253.
- 24. Schluter D: Evidence for ecological speciation and its alternatives. *Science* 2009, **323**:737-741.
- Grant V: Plant speciation Columbia University Press, New-York and London; 1970.
- 26. Buerkle CA, Morris RJ, Asmussen MA, Rieseberg LH: **The likelihood of homoploid hybrid speciation.** *Heredity* 2000, **84:**441-451.
- Rieseberg LH, Raymond O, Rosenthal DM, Lai Z, Livingstone K, Nakazato T, Durphy JL, Schwarzbach AE, Donovan LA, Lexer C: Major ecological transitions in wild sunflowers facilitated by hybridisation. *Science* 2003, 301:1211-1216.
- 28. Coyne JA, Orr AH: Speciation Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, United States of America; 2004.
- 29. Gavrilets S: *Fitness landscapes and the origin of species* Princeton University Press, Princeton & Oxford; 2004.
- 30. Gross BL, Rieseberg LH: The ecological genetics of homoploid hybrid speciation. J Hered 2005, 96:241-252.
- 31. Tremblay RL: Trends in the pollination ecology of the Orchidaceae: evolution and systematics. *Can J Bot* 1992, **70**:642-650.
- 32. Nilsson LA: Orchid pollination biology. Trends Ecol Evol 1992, 7:255-259.
- 33. Gravendeel B, Smithson A, Slik FJW, Schuiteman A: Epiphytism and pollinator specialization: drivers for orchid diversity? *Phil Trans Linn Soc Lond B, Biol Sci* 2004, **359**:1523-1535.
- Tremblay RL, Ackerman JD, Zimmerman JK, Calvo RN: Variation in sexual reproduction in orchids and its evolutionary consequences: a spasmodic journey to diversification. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2005, 84:1-54.
- Schiestl FP, Schlüter PM: Floral isolation, specialized pollination and pollinator behaviour in orchids. Annu Rev Entomol 2009, 54:425-446.
- 36. Pijl L Van der, Dodson CH: Orchid flowers--their Pollination and Evolution University of Miami Press, Coral Gables, Florida, USA; 1966.
- Dressler RL: The orchids: natural history and classification Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA; 1981.
- Ellstrand NC, Whitkus R, Rieseberg LH: Distribution of spontaneous plant hybrids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:5090-5093.
- Kullenberg B: Studies in Ophrys pollination. Zool Bidr Uppsala 1961, 34:1-340.
- Borg-Karlson A-K: Chemical and ethological studies of pollination in the genus Ophrys (Orchidaceae). Phytochemistry 1990, 29(5):1359-1387.
- Schiestl FP, Ayasse M, Paulus HF, Löfstedt C, Hansson BS, Ibarra F, Francke W: Orchid pollination by sexual swindle. *Nature* 1999, 399:421-422.
- Ayasse M, Schiestl FP, Paulus HF, Löfstedt C, Hansson BS, Ibarra F, Francke W: Evolution of reproductive strategies in the sexually deceptive orchid Ophrys sphegodes: how does flower-specific variation of odour signals influence reproductive success? Evolution 2000, 54:1995-2006.

- 43. Ayasse M, Schiestl FP, Paulus HF, Ibarra F, Francke W: **Pollinator attraction** in a sexually deceptive orchid by means of unconventional chemicals. *Proc R Soc Lond B, Biol Sci* 2003, **270:**517-522.
- Mant JG, Brändli C, Vereecken NJ, Schulz C, Francke W, Schiestl FP: Cuticular hydrocarbons as source of the sex pheromone in *Colletes cunicularius* (Hymenoptera: Colletidae) and the key to its mimicry by the sexually deceptive orchid *Ophrys exaltata* (Orchidaceae). *J Chem Ecol* 2005, **31(8)**:1765-1787.
- 45. Stökl J, Twele R, Erdmann DH, Francke W, Ayasse M: Comparison of the flower scent of the sexually deceptive orchid *Ophrys iricolor* and the female sex pheromone of its pollinator *Andrena morio*. *Chemoecology* 2007, **17**:231-233.
- Vereecken NJ, Schiestl FP: The evolution of imperfect floral mimicry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105(21):7484-7488.
- Vereecken NJ, Schiestl FP: On the roles of colour and scent in a specialised floral mimicry system. Ann Bot 104(6):1077-1084.
- Vereecken NJ: Deceptive behaviour in plants. I. Pollination by sexual deception in orchids: a host-parasite perspective. In *Plant-Environment Interactions - From Sensory Plant Biology to Active Plant Behavior* Edited by: Baluska F. Springer Verlag; 2009:203-222.
- 49. Wyatt TD: Pheromones and animal behaviour: communication by smell and taste Cambridge University Press, New-York, USA; 2003.
- Schiestl FP, Peakall R: Two orchids attract different pollinators with the same floral odour compound: ecological and evolutionary implications. *Funct Ecol* 2005, 19:674-680.
- Linn CE jr, Musto CJ, Roelofs WL: More rare males in Ostrinia: response of Asian Corn Borer moths to the sex pheromone of the European Corn Borer. J Chem Ecol 2007, 33:199-212.
- Paulus HF, Gack C: Pollinators as prepollinating isolation factors: evolution and speciation in *Ophrys* (Orchidaceae). *Isr J Bot* 1990, 39:43-79.
- 53. Schiestl FP, Ayasse M: Do changes in floral odour cause speciation in sexually deceptive orchids? *Plant Syst Evol* 2002, 234:111-119.
- 54. Grant V: Modes and origins of mechanical and ethological isolation in angiosperms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994, **91:**3-10.
- Stebbins GL, Ferlan L: Population variability, hybridisation, and introgression in some species of *Ophrys. Evolution* 1956, 10(1):32-46.
- 56. Danesch O, Danesch E: Orchideen Europas, Ophrys-hybriden Bern-Stuttgart: Hallwag; 1972.
- Stökl J, Schlüter PM, Stuessy TF, Hannes F, Paulus GA, Ayasse M: Scent variation and hybridisation cause the displacement of a sexually deceptive orchid species. Am J Bot 2008, 95:472-481.
- Cortis P, Vereecken NJ, Schiestl FP, Barone Lumaga MR, D'Emerico S, Scrugli A, Cozzolino S: Pollinator convergence and the nature of species boundaries in sympatric Sardinian *Ophrys* (Orchidaceae). *Ann Bot* 2009, 104(3):497-506.
- Souche R: Hybrides d'Ophrys du bassin méditerranéen occidental Editions sococor, Saint-Martin-de-Londres, France; 2008.
- Schiestl FP, Ayasse M, Paulus HF, Löfstedt C, Hansson BS, Ibarra F, Francke W: Orchid pollination by sexual swindle. *Nature* 1999, 399:421-422.
- Ayasse M, Schiestl FP, Paulus HF, Löfstedt C, Hansson BS, Ibarra F, Francke W: Evolution of reproductive strategies in the sexually deceptive orchid Ophrys sphegodes: how does flower-specific variation of odour signals influence reproductive success? Evolution 2000, 54:1995-2006.
- Cozzolino S, Schiestl FP, Müller A, De Castro O, Nardella AM, Widmer A: Evidence for pollinator sharing in Mediterranean nectar-mimic orchids: absence of premating barriers? Proc R Soc Lond B, Biol Sci 2005, 272(1569):1271-1278.
- Scopece G, Musacchio A, Widmer A, Cozzolino S: Patterns of reproductive isolation in Mediterranean deceptive orchids. *Evolution* 2007, 61(11):2623-2642.
- Johnson SD: Pollinator-driven speciation in plants. In *Ecology and evolution of flowers* Edited by: Harder LD, Barrett SCH. Oxford University Press, New-York, United States of America; 2006:295-310.
- Stökl J, Paulus H, Dafni A, Schulz C, Francke W, Ayasse M: Pollinator attracting odour signals in sexually deceptive orchids of the Ophrys fusca group. Plant Syst Evol 2005, 254:105-120.
- 66. Darwin C: *The various contrivances by which British and foreign orchids are fertilised by insects* John Murray, London; 1862.
- 67. Correvon H, Pouyanne M: Un curieux cas de mimétisme chez les Ophrydées. J Soc Nat Hortic France 1916, 4:29-47.

- Mant JG, Peakall R, Schiestl FP: Does selection on floral odour promote differentiation among populations and species of the sexually deceptive genus *Ophrys*? *Evolution* 2005, 59:1449-1463.
- Campbell DR, Waser NM, Melendez-Ackerman EJ: Analyzing pollinatormediated selection in a plant hybrid zone: hummingbird visitation patterns on three spatial scales. *Am Nat* 1997, 149:295-315.
- Campbell DR, Waser NM, Pederson GT: Predicting patterns of mating and potential hybridisation from pollinator behaviour. Am Nat 2002, 159:438-450.
- 71. Emms SK, Arnold ML: **Site-to-site differences in pollinator visitation patterns in a Louisiana iris hybrid zone.** *Oikos* 2000, **91**:568-578.
- Kirk H, Vrieling K, Klinkhamer PGL: Maternal effects and heterosis influence the fitness of plant hybrids. New Phytol 2005, 166:685-694.
- Bleeker W: Interspecific hybridisation in *Rorippa* (Brassicaceae): patterns and processes. *Syst Biodiv* 2007, 5(3):311-319.
- 74. Ramsey J, Schemske DW: Pathways, mechanisms and rates of polyploid formation in flowering plants. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 1998, **29:**477-501.
- 75. Dermen H: Polyploidy in petunia. Am J Bot 1931, 18:250-261
- Johnsson H: Cytological studies of diploid and triploid *Populus tremula* and of crosses between them. *Hereditas* 1940, 26:321-351.
- 77. Zohary D, Nur U: Natural triploids in the orchard grass, *Dactylis glomerata*, L., polyploid complex and their significance for gene flow from diploid to tetraploid levels. *Evolution* 1959, 13:311-317.
- Dujardin M, Hanna WW: Cytology and breeding behaviour of a partially fertile triploid pearl millet. J Hered 1988, 79:216-218.
- Ainouche ML, Baumel A, Salmon , Yannic G: hybridisation, polyploidy and speciation in Spartina (Poaceae). New Phytol 2003, 161:165-172.
- Doyle JJ, Flagel LE, Paterson AH, Rapp RA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Wendel JF: Evolutionary Genetics of Genome Merger and Doubling in Plants. Annu Rev Genet 2008, 42:443-461.
- Bernardos S, Amich F, Gallego F: Karyological and taxonomic notes on Ophrys (Orchidaceae) from the Iberian Peninsula. Bot J Linn Soc 2003, 142(4):395-406.
- 82. Bournérias M, Prat D: *Les orchidées de France, de Belgique et du Luxembourg* 2nd edition. Parthénope Collection, Biotope, Mèze, France; 2005.
- Ayasse M: Floral scent and pollinator attraction in sexually deceptive orchids. In *Biology of Floral Scent* Edited by: Dudareva N, Pichersky E. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2006:219-241.
- Waser NM, Campbell DR: Ecological speciation in flowering plants. In *Adaptive speciation* Edited by: Dieckmann U, Doebeli M, Metz JAJ, Tauz D. Studies in Adaptive Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2004:264-277.
- Westrich P: Die Wildbienen Baden-Württembergs, Teil 1 & 2 Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart; 1990.
- Warncke K, Desmier de Chenon R, Leclercq J: Altas provisoire des insectes de France - Hymenoptera: Apoidea, Andrenidae: Andrena (Fabricius 1775) Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques de l'Etat, Zoologie Générale et Faunistique, Gembloux (Belgique)/Office Pour l'Information Entomologique, Versailles (France); 1974.
- 87. Delforge P: Guide des orchidées d'Europe, d'Afrique du Nord et du Proche-Orient Delachaux & Niestlé, Paris; 2005.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL: A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem Bull* 1987, 19:11-15.
- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Lee T van de, Hornes M, et al.: AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucl Acids Res 1995, 23:4407-4414.
- 90. Buerkle CA: Maximum-likelihood estimation of a hybrid index based on molecular markers. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2005, **5**:684-687.
- 91. Brosius F: SPSS Version 11 Mitp-Verlag, Bonn, Germany; 2002
- Peakall R, Smouse PE: GenAlEx 6: genetic analysis in excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2005, 6:288-295.
- Swofford DL: PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4 Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts; 2000.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-103

Cite this article as: Vereecken *et al.*, Hybrid floral scent novelty drives pollinator shift in sexually deceptive orchids *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2010, **10**:103

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit BioMed Central