

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Off-pump or minimized on-pump coronary surgery - initial experience with Circulating Endothelial Cells (CEC) as a supersensitive marker of tissue damage

Thorsten Wittwer^{1,2*}, Yeong-Hoon Choi^{1,2}, Klaus Neef^{1,2}, Mareike Schink^{1,2}, Anton Sabashnikov¹ and Thorsten Wahlers^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Off-pump-coronary-artery-bypass-grafting (OPCAB) and minimized-extracorporeal-circulation (Mini-HLM) have been proposed to avoid harmful effects of cardiopulmonary-bypass (CPB). Controversies exist whether OPCAB is still superior in perioperative outcome. Circulating endothelial cells (CEC) are sensitive markers of endothelial damage and are significantly elevated in conventional-CPB-procedures as compared to Mini-HLMrevascularisation. Therefore, CEC might be of specific value in evaluating effectiveness of Mini-HLM and OPCAB as currently applied less-invasive coronary procedures.

Methods: 76 coronary patients were randomly assigned either to OPCAB (n = 34) or to Mini-HLM (ROCsafeTM, Terumo Inc., n = 42) procedures. Perioperative data, clinical and serological outcome and measurements of CECrelease and parameters of endothelial function (v.Willebrand-Factor, soluble-thrombomodulin) perioperatively (preoperative-baseline, post-Mini-HLM/release of OPCAB-stabilizer, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 5 days postoperatively) were obtained and compared by ANOVA models including repeated-measures-analysis.

Results: Mean graft-number was 3.06 ± 0.72 in Mini-HLM-patients and 1.89 ± 0.74 in OPCAB-patients (p < 0.001). However, ventilation-, ICU- and total-hospital duration were comparable between groups as well as chest-tubedrainage, transfusion requirements, hemodynamics and catecholaminergic support (p > 0.05). CEC-release did not differ between groups (p = 0.274) and was generally within normal limits, Troponin-T levels where not significanty different (p = 0.108). No myocardial infarctions, strokes or deaths occurred, neuron specific enolase (NSE) did not show any differences between groups (p = 0.194).

Conclusion: Conceptional advantages of minimized CPB systems (ROCsafeTM) result in morbidity and mortality comparable with OPCAB procedures. Mini-HLM therefore minimizes CPB-related systemic and organ injury as demonstrated by low CEC-values which indicates intact endothelial integrity. Furthermore, Mini-HLM combines OPCAB-benefits with low morbidity in high-risk patients while facilitating more complete revascularization in complex patients.

Keywords: Minimal Invassive Cardiac Surgery, Minimised Extracorporeal circulation, OPCAB, Circulating endothelial cells

* Correspondence: th.wittwer-md@t-online.de

¹Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Heart Center, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2011 Wittwer et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Bio Med Central Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

For decades coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) was performed with the use of conventional cardiopulmonary bypass (CCPB). However, CCPB has been considered to be a potent stimulus of a generalized inflammatory state and thus having the potential to result in significant morbidity [1]. In order to decrease morbidity and mortality associated with coronary surgery, myocardial revascularization without CCPB has been introduced into clinical practice in terms of the off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (OPCAB) procedure [2]. A number of randomized controlled studies comparing OPCAB to CCPB have been completed since then. Although outcomes have been largely comparable, the evidence of benefit of OPCAB has not been as convincing as primarily anticipated [3]. Technically, OPCAB revascularisation can be very demanding, particularly when marginal branches need to be revascularized which may result in severe hemodynamic instability due to cardiac displacement [4]. Therefore, initial enthusiasm for OPCAB became especially tempered by concern about the completeness of revascularization, the rate of perioperative myocardial infaction and long-term graft patency rates [5,6]. As a consequence, minimized extracorporeal circulation systems (Mini-HLM) have been proposed to avoid the potentially harmful effects of CCPB. The basic idea of Mini-HLM is to ensure adequate perfusion by a closed, extremely minimized circuit based on a rotary blood pump and a high-performance membrane oxygenator with elimination of blood-to-air contact by avoiding a venous reservoir, minimizing hemodilution and mechanical blood trauma and significant reduction of contact activation by reduced foreign surfaces [7]. Meanwhile, a clear superiority of Mini-HLM systems could be proven when compared to conventional CPB circuits [8]. Among the different available minimized systems, the ROCSafe[™] systems (Terumo Medical Corp., Somerset, NJ, USA) is associated with superior de-airing, is suitable for both coronary and aortic valve surgery and was shown to improve postoperative recovery, reduce early inflammatory response, transfusion requirements and atrial fibrillation [9,10]. One major mechanism of the beneficial effect of Mini-HLM is considered to be the lesser degree of endothelial injury which can be specifically assessed by quantification of Circulating Endothelial Cells (CEC) which represent a novel marker of the intrinsic endothelial damage caused by cardiopulmonary bypass [11]. Detachment of endothelial cells into the blood stream represents a serious injury of the endothelium as one of multiple severe adverse effects of CCPB [1,11]. As quantification of CEC can unveil both endothelial damage and correlate with activity as well as degree of injury at early preclinical stages [12,13], the combined approach of CEC quantification and cardiac Troponin measurement may significantly improve the diagnostic accuracy in evaluation of different coronary revascularization procedures in analogy to findings in NSTEMI-patients [11,14]. As there are still very few studies available comparing the modern less invasive surgical procedures Mini-HLM- with OPCAB-revascularization [15], it was the aim of our present study to directly compare both currently applied surgical revascularization procedures with special regard to the corresponding kinetics of perioperative CEC release which was not performed in the available literature so far.

Materials and methods

1. Patients

This prospective randomized ethics approved clinical trial was performed between July 2009 and January 2010 at our institution. Included were a total of 76 stable coronary patients (age > 18 years) according to the following criteria: all patients were scheduled for elective isolated myocardial revascularization performed via full median sternotomy and had been judged technically suitable for both OPCAB and Mini-HLM techniques. Indication for coronary surgery was established on the basis of current international guidelines [16]. Patients with unstable angina, myocardial infarction preoperative proinflammatory status, insulin-dependent diabetes or inflammatory vascular diseases were excluded from this study as CEC-values are known to be elevated in all these instances [17]. After inclusion, all patients were randomized according to a computer-generated algorithm either to the OPCAB or the Mini-HLM-procedure. The institutional ethics committee approved this study, and all patients gave informed written consent prior to entering the study.

2. Analysis of CEC frequency

CEC frequency in the peripheral blood was determined as described previously [11] with minor modifications (Figure 1). Briefly, arterial blood samples were collected in 2,7 ml EDTA tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany), and stored at 4°C for a maximum of 24 h for later batch analysis. The monoclonal mouse anti-human CD146 antibody (clone S-Endo1/F4-35H7, Biocytex, Marseille, France) was conjugated to rat-anti-mouse-IgG1-dynabeads (diameter 4.5 μ m, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For Immunomagnetic labeling of CEC the EDTA blood sample was diluted 1:1: with PEB buffer (phosphate buffered saline, PBS, pH 7.4 (Invitrogen), 0.01% bovine serum albumin, BSA (PAA, Cölbe, Germany), 10 mM ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid, EDTA (Carl



Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany)) and adding 100 μ l FcR blocker (Miltenvi Biotec, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) to prevent unspecific leukocyte binding, and 100 μ l CD146-coupled dynabeads. Samples were incubated on a rotator (10 rpm) for one hour at 4°C. Immunomagnetically labeled cells were isolated in a specific magnetic separator (Dynal MPC-L, Invitrogen). After washing thrice with PEB the isolated cells were resuspended in 90 μ l PEB + 10 μ l fluorescein-labeled Ulex-europaeusagglutenin-1 (UEA-1, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and incubated for 1 h on a shaker (300 rpm) at 4°C in the dark. After three wash cycles in PEB the cells were resuspended in 200 µ l PEB. CEC were identified and enumerated in 50 μ l samples independently by three blinded observers using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Ti-U equipped with a DS-Qi1MC camera, Nikon, Düsseldorf, Germany) at 20x magnification, phase contrast, 10% transmission light and fluorescein excitation.

Criteria defining a CEC [18] were:

- 1. fluorescein positive
- 2. 15-30 μ m diameter of cell body and
- 3. bound to at least 4 dynabeads.

The total number of CEC was normalized to a volume of one ml of peripheral blood

3. Serology

Serological evaluation of patients' blood was performed at six different time points perioperatively (Figure 2) according to standard hospital protocols including cardiac enzymes creatinin kinase (CK), CK-MB, Troponin T and neuron-specific enolase (NSE). Additionally, von-Willebrand factor antigen (vWF) was measured by immunoturbidimetric determination using the Dade Behring vWF:Ag test kit (Dade Behring Marburg GmbH, Marburg, Germany). For determination of soluble thrombomoduline concentration (sTM, CD 141), a commercial solid phase sandwich enzyme-linked immunsorbent assay kit was used (human sCG141 ELISA kit. Diaclone Research, Besancon, France).

4. Hemodynamic evaluation

All patients were monitored by invasive hemodynamic assessment using a pulmonary artery catheter. Data collection was performed at 7 different time points perioperatively (Figure 2).

5. Statistical Analysis

All data were stored and analyzed using the SPSS statistical package 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Descriptive statistics were computed for variables of interest and analyzed using univariate ANOVA. Continuous data were analyzed using ANOVA with repeated



measures. Significance was assumed with a p-value < 0.05.

Results

Patients' perioperative demographics are summarized in Table 1. There was no statistical difference between

both experimental groups regarding age, gender, weight and Euro-Score. Generally, no mortality, perioperative stroke or ST-elevation myocardial infarct was observed during the entire study period. Operation time was significantly longer in the Mini-HLM group (179 \pm 34 minutes vs. 141 \pm 34 minutes), however perioperative

Table 1 Patients' demographics and perioperative data

	Mini-HLM	OPCAB	p-Wert
Age (yrs)	65,6 ± 11,2	64,7 ± 10,9	0,723
Heigh (cm)	173 ± 7	168 ± 8	0,307
Weight (kg)	85,1 ± 12,1	83,7 ± 14,6	0,673
Additive Euroscore	3,1 ± 2,1	3,0 ± 2,0	0,766
Mortality	0	0	
Stroke	0	0	
STEMI	0	0	
Transitory psychotic disorder syndrome	2/42 (4,7%)	1/34 (2,9%)	0,197
Postoperative atrial fibrillation	16/42 (38,1%)	14/34 (41,2%)	0.817
Operation time (minutes)	174,6 ± 33,4	138,9 ± 32,9	< 0.001
LIMA +RIMA usage	11/42 (26,2%)	6/34 (17,6%)	0.419
Intensive care stay (days)	2,55 ± 0,97	2,18 ± 7,3	0,075
Chest tube drainage (48 hours)	1204 ± 600	1040 ± 412	0,187
Ventilation time (hours)	16,3 ± 10,0	13,2 ± 3,9	0,101
Transfusion of Red Blood Cells postoperatively	1,45 ± 1,96	0,81 ± 1,31	0,119
Transfusion of thrombocytes postoperatively	0,35 ± 0,74	0,16 ± 0,45	0,195
Transfusion of Fresh Frozen Plasma postoperatively	0,75 ± 2,1	0,56 ± 1,4	0,65

incidence of atrial fibrillation or transitory psychotic disorder syndromes was equally low distributed between groups. Operative usage of bilateral internal mammry artery grafts did not show any significant differences, and overall chest tube drainage, ventilation time, transfusion requirements and total intensive care stay were comparable in both cohorts. Serial assessment of patients' hemodynamics did nor show any differences in cardiac index (p = 0.504, Figure 3).

Circulating Endothelial Cells

Preoperative CEC numbers (cells per milliliter of blood) did not differ between the experimental groups (Mini-HLM: 7,39 \pm 9,94; OPCAB: 7,03 \pm 12,54; p = 0.901). 60 minutes after arrival on the ICU, CEC values peaked in both groups and decreased over time until postoperative day 5, where the preoperative niveau was reached (Figure 4). Statistical analysis did not reveal any significant differences regarding the CEC kinetics between both groups (p = 0.274).

Serology

Serial evaluation of troponin T values (Figure 5, p = 0.108) and NSE did not show any significant differences between Mini-HLM and OPCAB operated patients according to the clinical results of freedom from STEMI and incidence of transitory psychotic disorder syndrome. Furthermore, kinetics of soluble thrombomodulin (p = 0.102, Figure 6) and von Willebrand factor antigen did not show any significant differences.

Vessel disease and graft number

According to the preoperative angiograms, the degree of vessel disease was equally distributed between groups, and a mean number of 2.72 ± 0.52 grafts was preoperatively planned in Mini-HLM patients in contrast to 2.47 ± 0.84 grafts in OPCAB patients (p = 0.204). Intraoperatively, however, the actual graft number (Figure 7) was significantly higher in the Mini-HLM group ($3.06 \pm$





0.72) as compared to OPCAB operated patients (1.89 \pm 0.74, p < 0.01) indicating a more complete revascularization in the Mini-HLM group.

Comment

Cardiac surgery performed with CCPB may lead to serious complications in up to 20% of low-risk patients [19]. More than two decades ago, Kirklin et al. [1] reported complement activation following CCPB which triggers a whole body defense reaction which may lead to significant alterations of cerebral function and multiple other harmful effects. After introduction of the minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypass (MID-CAB) procedure for treatment of single vessel (LAD) disease [20], the evolution of that promising off-pump approach approach let to the interdisciplinary multivessel "hybrid procedure" with MIDCAB-grafting of the LAD culprit lesion followed by interventional stenting of remaining coronary lesions [21]. However, long-term





outcome of hybrid procedures might be limited by the known restenosis rates of stented areas [22]. The complete surgical multivessel revascularization on the beating heart (OPCAB) was introduced in the mid-1990's [2] and is a safe and well-established technique. Patients who undergo coronary surgery with this strategy were initially shown to have a lower incidence of postoperative complications and remarkable advantages in terms of hospital stay [23]. However, although there was a significantly lower deterioration in psychometric tests in OPCAB patients in the early postoperative course as compared to CCPB patients [24], this advantage of the OPCAB technique has resolved with respect to the 5-year cognitive and cardiac outcomes [25]. Furthermore, complete coronary revascularization may not be achievable in all patients by off-pump techniques owing to the complex anatomy of coronary lesions and the possibility of hemodynamic instability while the beating heart is manipulated [4]. Interestingly, recent studies show inferior long-term patency



rates and incompleteness of revascularization with regard to OBCAB-techniques [26,27]. In the recently published ROOBY trial [28], especially the lower patency rate of saphenous vein grafts in the OPCAB group accounted for the observed differences in graft function. However, with special attention to the prognostically important left internal thoracic artery grafts to the LAD culprit lesions, it could be shown that with classification of those grafts according to the established FitzGibbon grade [29] - there were significantly fewer grade A grafts in the OPCAB group than in the cardiopulmonary bypass group indicating lower quality of graft anastomoses. As a consequence, multiple efforts were taken to achieve the same advantages with modified cardiopulmonary bypass systems as can be achieved with OPCAB approaches. The solution was miniaturization of CBP-systems thus resulting in reduction of foreign surfaces, avoidance of blood-air contact and significant reduction of priming volume. The advantages of such minimized systems have been shown in several clinical studies so far [30,31]. Overall experience indicates an inferior biocompatibility of CCPB compared to Mini-HLM [32] which is considered to be caused by contact activation of blood cells with artificial surfaces and air, the ischemia and reperfusion injury and hemodilution. Furthermore, the endoxemia caused by intestinal hypoperfusion represents a predominant trigger of complement activation and profound endothelial damage [33]. In this context, a modern approach for assessing endothelial integrity includes the determination of circulating endothelial cells (CEC) in the peripheral blood. CEC are defined as mature endothelial cells in the peripheral blood, detached from vessel walls as a result of injury via mechanical strain or disease or inflammation via paracrine or endocrine factors. The correlation of CEC and cardiovascular disease and its implications have recently been reviewed extensively [34]. Under physiologic conditions, CEC occur in humans in the range of 5-10 cells per ml blood, whereas elevated numbers are found in patients with different vascular disorders and type 2 diabetes mellitus [35,36]. The detachment of endothelial cells into the blood stream represents a serious injury of the endothelium as one of multiple severe adverse effects of CCPB [1,11], and overall CEC values are significantly lower in OPCAB patients when compared to standard cardiopulmonary bypass procedures [37]. CEC do not only unveil endothelial damage but also correlate with activity and degree of endothelial injury [12]. Therefore, CEC are considered to represent a novel marker of the intrinsic endothelial damage caused by CCPB, and use of modern Mini-HLM systems were found to be associated with significantly reduced CEC release as compared to CCPB [11].

The main results of this present study indicate that a Mini-HLM approach by means of the ROCSafe[™] system can achieve overall clinical results that are completely comparable to those of OPCAB revascularisation. Although non-elective patients and patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus had to be excluded from the study as unstable angina and/or acute myocardial infarction as well as diabetes per se significantly increase CEC numbers [17], no further restrictions were imposed with regard to enrollment, and the study patients therefore represent an institution-based cohort of routine coronary surgical practice. As the development of modern and risk-adjusted concepts for complete and safe revascularization in coronary patients is one of the main goals in coronary surgery, use of Mini-HLM and thus minimizing the side effects of CCPB is a desirable modern approach. In today's economically affected health care systems, this conclusion is especially important as OPCAB procedures are associated with longer hospital stays and greater overall hospitalization costs in significant dimensions [38]. Increasingly, the referring cardiologists or the patients themselves insist on an OPCAB procedure. The medical decision to apply the OPCAB technique in these patients is a delicate balance between handling the pressure to compete for more CABG cases and providing sound surgical care [38]. Therefore, performing OPCAB in every single patient who seems to be a candidate for surgical myocardial revascularisation for the sole purpose of attracting more patients or due to other political and economic pressures may not be appropriate and economically hazardous [38]. With regard to the increasing overall excellent experience with Mini-HLM worldwide [39,40], OPCAB should be restricted to carefully selected special cases, i.e. patients presenting with severely calcified aorta etc..

The described results should be considered provisional and worthy of further investigation in larger studies, because the relatively small sample size might represent a limitation to our conclusions. However, the major finding of the present investigation is the fact that CEC release and, thus, endothelial damage, is completely comparable between Mini-HLM procedures and the OPCAB technique.

Conclusion

Conceptional advantages of the closed minimized CPBsystem ROCsafe[™] result in morbidity and mortality comparable with OPCAB procedures. Mini-HLM, therefore, minimizes CPB-related systemic and organ injury as demonstrated by low CEC-values which indicates intact endothelial integrity. Furthermore, Mini-HLM combines OPCAB-benefits with less morbidity in highrisk-patients while facilitating more complete revascularisation in patients with complex lesions. Mini-HLM should therefore be applied as a routine and gold standard technique in coronary artery bypass surgery.

Abbreviation list

CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; CCPB: conventional cardiopulmonary bypass; CEC: circulating endothelial cells; ICU: intensive care unit; LAD: left anterior descending artery; MIDCAB: minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypass; Mini-HLM: minimized extracorporeal circulation system; NSE: neuron-specific enolase; NSTEMI: non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; OPCAB: off-pump coronary artery bypass; sTM: soluble thrombomoduline; vWF: von-Willebrand factor.

Author details

¹Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Heart Center, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany. ²Center of Molecular Medicine Cologne, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany.

Authors' contributions

TW (first author) created concept and design, performed most surgical procedures, performed data analysis and interpretation, calculated all statistics and drafted the article. YHC participated in the design of the study, performed surgical procedures, revised the manuscript critically and approved the final version. KF participated in the study concept and data analysis, revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript. MS participated in data analysis, revised the article and approved the final manuscript. AS collected all data, participated in the analysis of data, revised the article and approved the final manuscript. TW (senior author) approved the concept of the study, revised the article and approved the final version. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 June 2011 Accepted: 19 October 2011 Published: 19 October 2011

References

- Kirklin JK, Westby S, Blackstone EH, Kirklin JW, Chenoweth DE, Pacifico AD: Complement and the damaging effects of cardiopulmonary bypass. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1983, 86:845-57.
- Buffolo E, de Andrade CS, Branco JN, Teles CA, Aguiar LF, Gomes WJ: Coronary artery bypass grafting without cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann Thorac Surg 1996, 61:63-66.
- Feng ZZ, Shi J, Zhao XW, Xu ZF: Meta-analysis of on-pump and off-pump coronary arterial revascularization. Ann Thorac Surg 2009, 87:757-65.
- Tasdemir O, Vural KM, Karagoz H, Bayazit K: Coronary artery bypass grafting on the beating heart without the use of the extracorporeal circulation: review of 2052 cases. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1998, 116:68-73.
- Magee MJ, Hebert E, Herbert MA, Prince SL, Dewey TM, Culica DV, Mack MJ: Fewer grafts performed in off-pump Bypass surgery: patient selection or incomplete revascularisation? Ann Thorac Surg 2009, 87:1113-8.
- Hannan EL, Wu C, Smith CR, Higgins RS, Carlson RE, Culliford AT, Gold JP, Jones RH: Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: differences in short-term outcomes and in long-term mortality and need for subsequent revascularization. *Circulation* 2007, 116:1145-52.
- Curtis N, Vohra HA, Ohri SK: Mini-extracorporeal circuit cardiopulmonary bypass systems: a review. *Perfusion* 2010, 25:115-24.
- Beghi C, Nicolini F, Agostinelli A, Borrello B, Budillon AM, Bacciottini F, Friggeri M, Costa A, Belli L, Battistelli L, Gherli T: Mini-cardiopulmonary bypass system: results of a prospective randomized study. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006, 81:1396-400.
- Kutschka I, Schoenrock U, El-Essawi A, Pahari D, Anssar M, Harringer W: A new minimized perfusion circuit provides highly effective ultrasound controlled deairing. *Artificial Organs* 2007, 31:215-20.
- Kutschka I, Skorpil J, El Essawi A, Hajek T, Harringer W: Beneficial effects of modern perfusion concepts in aortic valve and aortic root surgery. *Perfusion* 2009, 24:37-44.
- 11. Skrabal CA, Choi YH, Kaminski A, Steiner M, Kundt G, Steinhoff G, Liebold A: Circulating endothelial cells demonstrate an attenuation of endothelial

damage by minimizing the extracorporeal circulation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006, 132:291-6.

- Woywodt A, Bahlmann FH, De Groot K, Haller H, Haubitz M: Circulating endothelial cells: life, death, detachment, and repair of the endothelial cell layer. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002, 17:1728-30.
- Erdbruegger U, Haubitz M, Woywodt A: Circulating endothelial cells: a novel marker for endothelial damage. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2006, 373:17-26.
- Quilici J, Banzet N, Paule P, Meynard JB, Mutin M, Bonnet JL, Ambrosi P, Sampol J, Dignat-George F: Circulating endothelial cell count as a diagnostic marker for non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 2004, 110(12):1586-91.
- Mazzei V, Nasso G, Salamone G, Castorino F, Tommasini A, Anselmi A: Prospective randomized comparison of coronary bypass grafting with minimal extracorporeal circulation system (MECC) versus off-pump coronary surgery. Circulation 2007, 1761-76.
- American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: ACC/AHA 2004 guideline update for coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Circulation* 2004, 110:340-437.
- Mutin M, Canavy I, Blann A, Bory M, Sampol J, Dignat-George F: Direct evidence of endothelial injury in acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina by demonstration of circulating endothelial cells. *Blood* 1999, 2951-8.
- Woywodt A, Blann AD, Kirsch T, Erdbruegger U, Banzet N, Haubitz M, Dignat-George F: Isolation and enumeration of circulating endothelial cells by immunomagnetic isolation: proposal of a definition and a consensus protocol. J Thromb Haemost 2006, 4:671-7.
- 19. Grover FL: **The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database: current status and future directions.** *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999, **68**:367-73.
- Cremer J, Strüber M, Wittwer T, Ruhparwar A, Harringer W, Zuk J, Mehler D, Haverich A: Off-Bypass Coronary Bypass Grafting via Minithoracotomy Using Mechanical Epicardial Stabilization. Ann Thorac Surg 1997, 63: S79-83.
- Wittwer T, Cremer J, Boonstra P, Grandjean J, Mariani M, Mügge A, Drexler H, den Heijer P, Leitner ER, Hepp A, Wehr M, Haverich A: Myocardial Hybrid Revascularization with Minimally Invasive Direct Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (MIDCAB) combined with Coronary Angioplasty: Preliminary results of a multicenter study. *Heart* 2000, 83:58-63.
- Byrne JG, Leacche M, Vaughan DE, Zhao DX: Hybrid cardiovascular procedures. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2008, 1:459-68.
- Calafiore AM, Di Mauro M, Contini M, Di Giammarco G, Pano M, Vitolla G, Bivona A, Carella R, D'Alessandro S: Myocardial revascularization with and without cardiopulmonary bypass in multivessel disease: impact of the strategy on early outcome. Ann Thorac Surg 2001, 72:456-63.
- Van Dijk D, Jansen EW, Hijman R, Nierich AP, Diephuis JC, Moons KG, Lahpor JR, Borst C, Keizer AM, Nathoe HM, Grobbee DE, De Jaegere PP, Kalkman CJ: Cognitive outcome after off-pump and on-pump techniques for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2002, 287:1405-12.
- Kozora E, Kongs S, Collins JF, Hattler B, Baltz J, Hampton M, Grover FL, Novitzky D, Shroyer AL: Cognitive outcomes after on- versus off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2010, 90:1134-41.
- Takagi H, Tanabashi T, Kawai N, Umemoto T: Off-pump coronary artery bypass sacrifices graft patency: meta analysis of randomized trials. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2007, 133:e2-e3.
- Lim E, Drain A, Davies W, Edmonds L, Rosengard BR: A systematic review of randomized trials comparing revascularization rate and graft patency of off-pump and conventional coronary surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006, 132:1409-13, Review.
- Shroyer AL, Grover FL, Hallter B, Collins JF, McDonald GO, Kozora E, Lucke JC, Baltz JH, Novitzky D: Veterans Affairs Randomized On/Off Bypass (ROOBY) Study Group. On-pump versus off-pump coronary-artery bypass surgery. N Engl J Med 2009, 261:1827-37.
- FitzGibbon GM, Burton JR, Leach AJ: Coronary bypass graft fate: angiographic grading of 1400 consecutive grafts early after operation and of 1132 after one year. *Circulation* 1978, 57:1070-74.
- Murakami T, Iwagaki H, Saito S, Ohtani S, Kuroki K, Kuinose M, Tanaka N, Tanemoto K: Equivalence of the acute cytokine surge and myocardial injury after coronary bypass grafting with and without a novel extracorporeal circulation system. J Int Med Res 2005, 33:133-49.

- Fromes Y, Gaillard D, Ponzio O, Chauffert M, Gerhardt MF, Deleuze P, Bical OM: Reduction of the inflammatory response following coronary bypass grafting with total minimal extracorporeal circulation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2002, 22:527-33.
- Remadi JP, Rakotoarivello Z, Marticho P, Trojette F, Benamar A, Poulain H, Tribouilloy C: Aortic valve replacement with the minimal extracorporeal circulation (Jostra MECC system) versus standard cardiopulmonary bypass: a randomized prospective trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2004, 128:436-41.
- Paparella D, Yau TM, Young E: Cardiopulmonary bypass induced inflammation: pathophysiology and treatment. An update Eur J Cardiothoarc Surg 2002, 21:232-44.
- Sabatier F, Camoin-Jau L, Anfosso F, Sampol J, Dignat-George F: Circulating endothelial cells, microparticles and progenitors: key players towards the definition of vascular competence. J Cell Moll Med 2009, 13:454-71.
- McClung JA, Naseer N, Saleem M, Rossi GP, Weiss MB, Abraham NG, Kappas A: Circulating endothelial cells are elevated in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus independently og HbA1c. *Diabetologia* 2005, 48:345-50.
- Dignat-George F, Sampol J: Circulating endothelial cells in vascular disorders: new insights into an old concept. Eur J Haematol 2000, 65:215-20.
- Schmid FX, Vudattu N, Floerchinger B, Hilker M, Eissner G, Hoenicka M, Holler E, Birnbaum DE: Endothelial apoptosis and circulating endothelial cells after bypass grafting with and without cardiopulmonary bypass. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2006, 496-500.
- Chu D, Bakaeen FG, Dao TK, Lemaire SA, Coselli JS, Huh J: On-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in a cohort of 63.000 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009, 87:1820-7.
- Puehler T, Haneya A, Philipp A, Wiebe K, Keyser A, Rupprecht L, Hirt S, Kobuch R, Diez C, Hilker M, Schmid C: Minimal extracorporeal circulation: an alternative for on-pump and off-pump coronary revascularization. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009, 87:766-72.
- Liebold A, Khosravi A, Westphal B, Skrabal C, Choi YH, Stamm C, Kaminski A, Alms A, Birken T, Zurakowski D, Steinhoff G: Effect of closed minimized cardiopulmonary bypass on cerebral tissue oxygenation and microembolization. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006, 131:268-76.

doi:10.1186/1749-8090-6-142

Cite this article as: Wittwer *et al.*: **Off-pump or minimized on-pump** coronary surgery - initial experience with Circulating Endothelial Cells (CEC) as a supersensitive marker of tissue damage. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* 2011 **6**:142.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central