Metagenomic and Biochemical Characterizations of Sulfur Oxidation Metabolism in Uncultured Large Sausage-Shaped Bacterium in Hot Spring Microbial Mats

Satoshi Tamazawa^{1,2}⁹, Kazuto Takasaki¹⁹, Hideyuki Tamaki¹*, Yoichi Kamagata^{1,3}, Satoshi Hanada^{1,2}

1 Bioproduction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, 2 Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, 3 Division of Applied Bioscience, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Abstract

So-called "sulfur-turf" microbial mats in sulfide containing hot springs (55–70°C, pH 7.3–8.3) in Japan were dominated by a large sausage-shaped bacterium (LSSB) that is closely related to the genus *Sulfurihydrogenibium*. Several previous reports proposed that the LSSB would be involved in sulfide oxidation in hot spring. However, the LSSB has not been isolated yet, thus there has been no clear evidence showing whether it possesses any genes and enzymes responsible for sulfide oxidation. To verify this, we investigated sulfide oxidation potential in the LSSB using a metagenomic approach and subsequent biochemical analysis. Genome fragments of the LSSB (a total of 3.7 Mb sequence including overlapping fragments) were obtained from the metagenomic fosmid library constructed from genomic DNA of the sulfur-turf mats. The sequence annotation clearly revealed that the LSSB possesses sulfur oxidation-related genes coding sulfide dehydrogenase (SD), sulfide-quinone reductase and sulfite dehydrogenase. The gene encoding SD, the key enzyme for sulfide oxidation, was successfully cloned and heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli*. The purified recombinant enzyme clearly showed SD activity with optimum temperature and pH of 60°C and 8.0, respectively, which were consistent with the environmental conditions in the hot spring where the sulfur-turf thrives. Furthermore, the affinity of SD to sulfide was relatively high, which also reflected the environment where the sulfue could be continuously supplied. This is the first report showing that the LSSB harbors sulfide oxidation metabolism adapted to the hot spring environment and can be involved in sulfide oxidation in the sulfur-turf microbial mats.

Citation: Tamazawa S, Takasaki K, Tamaki H, Kamagata Y, Hanada S (2012) Metagenomic and Biochemical Characterizations of Sulfur Oxidation Metabolism in Uncultured Large Sausage-Shaped Bacterium in Hot Spring Microbial Mats. PLoS ONE 7(11): e49793. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793

Editor: David L. Kirchman, University of Delaware, United States of America

Received July 24, 2012; Accepted October 11, 2012; Published November 21, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Tamazawa et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: No current external funding sources for this study.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: tamaki-hideyuki@aist.go.jp

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

A wide variety of microbial mats develop in geothermal hot springs, and form the unique microbial ecosystems composed of physiologically and phylogenetically diverse microbes. Sulfide is dissolved in geothermal waters of various hot springs all over the world, and considered as one of the important energy sources in those particular ecosystems. Indeed, sulfide-containing hot springs form colorful microbial mats (white, gray, yellow, red, pink, green, etc.) harboring different types of microbes (e.g., lithotrophic sulfur oxidizing bacteria, anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria, cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacteria depending these autotrophs) [1–8]. Although a few main constituents in those mats were previously isolated [9,10], the majority of them are yet to be cultured and their physiological and ecological functions have not been fully understood.

White microbial mats, so-called sulfur-turf, develop in hightemperature sulfidic hot springs [2,6]. The sulfur-turf mats are dominated by an uncultured large sausage-shaped bacterium (LSSB) with a large amount of elemental sulfur particles around its cells. Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene revealed that the LSSB was related to the genus Sulfurihydrogenibium within the phylum Aquificae [11,12]. To date, five species and the remaining isolates of Sulfurihydrogenibium, e.g., Sulfurihydrogenibium subterraneum, S. azorense, S. yellowstonense, S. rodmanii and S. kristjanssonii, have been isolated and validly described [13-17]. The first species was isolated from a subsurface hot aquifer water in a gold mine in Japan [13,18], and all the remains were isolated from terrestrial hot springs in Portuguese, the United States (Yellowstone), Russia and Iceland [14-17]. All of the isolates show rod-shaped cells with less than 2.8 µm long and are thermophilic, microaerophilic, elemental sulfur- and thiosulfate-utilizing chemolithoautotrophic organisms. By contrast, the LSSB is, as the name implies, sausage shaped and gigantic bacterium with length of 5-20 µm. This conspicuous organism is widespread in sulfide-containing hot springs. Some previous studies proposed that the uncultured LSSB could be associated with sulfide oxidation in the sulfur-turf microbial mats in the hot springs. Maki (1987) reported that the sulfur-turf mats oxidize sulfide to elemental sulfur, and further oxidize the precipitated elemental sulfur to sulfate via thiosulfate [19]. Nakagawa and Fukui (2003) suggested that there was an active sulfur cycle between the LSSB as a sulfide consumer and

Thermodesulfobacteria-like microbes as a sulfide producer in sulfidecontaining hot spring streamers [11]. Recently, Kubo et al. (2011) also hypothesized that LSSB might be a sulfide oxidizer in the sulfidic hot spring [12]. However, no report shows that any known *Sulfurihydrogenibium* isolates closely related to the LSSB can utilize sulfide, although they are known to oxidize elemental sulfur and thiosulfate. Furthermore, there is no direct evidence in genetic and biochemical respects showing that LSSB possesses sulfide oxidation metabolism so far due to the lack of its genomic information as well as the axenic culture.

To clarify the sulfide-oxidizing metabolism and its related functions in the LSSB, we conducted metagenomic and biochemical investigations of the sulfur-turf mats in the sulfide rich hot spring in Japan in this study. In particular, we constructed metagenomic fosmid libraries from genomic DNA of the sulfurturf mats in which LSSB dominated, and annotated the key genes responsible for sulfide oxidation metabolism in the LSSB genome fragment obtained. Furthermore, based on the metagenomic information, we succeeded in cloning, heterologous expression, and biochemical characterization of the sulfide dehydrogenase, a critical enzyme for sulfide oxidation.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Sulfur-turf microbial mats were collected from Nakabusa hot spring (55–70°C, pH 7.3–8.3) in Nagano Prefecture, Japan (36°23.483N, 137°44.883E). The microbial mats were taken in sterile plastic tube (50 ml) with spring water, and were immediately placed under anaerobic conditions using the Anaero-Pack system (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co., Japan). All necessary permits were obtained for the described field studies by the owner of Nakabusa hot spring. The samples containing the large sausage-shaped bacterium (LSSB) and glittering elemental sulfur particles were harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 5 min, and washed twice with 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) to wash off other contaminated microbes. The washed samples were examined under a phase contrast microscope (OLYMPUS AX80, OLYMPUS, Japan) with a CCD camera (C4742-95-12ER, HAMAMATSU, Japan). The samples were stored at -80° C until use.

Total DNA Extraction and Purification

The mat sample was freeze-dried by FLEXI-DRY (FTS systems, NY, USA) and grinded by a sterile mortar and pestle. Total genomic DNA was extracted from the freeze-dried sample using direct lysis method described previously [6,20], purified with chloroform-phenol (1:1, vol/vol) twice, and washed once with chloroform. The DNA was precipitated with isopropanol, and washed with 70% ethanol. The purified DNA was analyzed on pulsed field gel electrophoresis (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) to ensure that the DNA is not degraded.

16S rRNA Gene Clone Library Analysis

16S rRNA genes were PCR-amplified from the purified DNA by using the bacterial universal primer EUB338 and 1492R as described by Tamaki et al. [21]. The purified rRNA gene fragments were cloned with a pT7Blue T-vector kit (Novagen, Germany). The clonal DNAs were amplified from randomly selected recombinants by direct PCR with M13 primers purified with a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Germany), and then used as templates for sequencing. Sequencing was performed with a universal primer 907R, a DTCS-Quick Start kit (Beckman coulter, CA, USA), and a CEQ-2000 automated sequence analyzer (Beckman coulter). The sequences of all 16S rRNA gene



В

Figure 1. Photographs of sulfur-turf microbial mat. [A] A photograph of sulfur-turf microbial mat found in the hot spring stream, [B] a phase contrast photomicrograph of the LSSB with elemental sulfur granules. An arrow indicates flowing channel of sulfide-containing hot spring water. Scale bar = 10 μ m. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.q001



Sulfide-quinone reductase

Figure 2. Conceptual model of inorganic sulfur compounds oxidation pathway and respiratory complex in the LSSB. Sulfide dehydrogenase, DhsU; sulfide-quinone reductase, Sqr; sulfite dehydrogenase, Sor; sox complex, SoxXYZAB; quinone, Q; cytochrome *c*, Cyt *c*; cytochrome *bc1* complex, Cyt *bc1* complex; *Cbb3*-type cytochrome *c* oxidase, *Cbb3*-type cyt *c* oxidase. The solid arrow shows the reaction confirmed by both metagenomic and biochemical approaches. The dashed arrows indicate the putative reactions identified by only metagenomic information. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.g002

clones (\sim 660 bp) were determined, compared with those in the GenBank database by using the BLAST program (NCBI-BLAST, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST), and aligned by ClustalW (ver. 2.1). The sequence similarity of 99% was used as a cutoff value for grouping the sequences into different operational taxonomic units (OTUs).

Metagenomics

A fosmid library was constructed from the purified DNA that was digested with MboI (TaKaRa, Japan) using CopyControl pCC1FOS vector system (Epicentre Technologies, WI, USA). Both 3' and 5' ends of the 6,432 clones (36 kbp of the average insert size) were sequenced using the BigDyeTerminator Version 3.1 reactions kit (PerkinElmer, MA, USA) v3.1 on ABI3730×l sequencers (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The paired-end sequence reads from each fosmid clone were individually assembled to generate non-redundant meta-sequences using the Phred/Phrap/Consed software package (http://www.phrap.org/) [22,23]. To phylogenetically classify the meta-sequences, Xana-Mine (Xanagen, Japan), which is based on a self-organizing map (SOM) algorithm, was employed [24-26]. To obtain the sequences derived from LSSB, SOM (first SOM) was constructed with tetranucleotide frequencies for 1,720 contigs from the sulfur-turf and 212 complete genome sequences (191 of bacteria and 21 of archaea). The high-dimensional data was approximated and described as the two-dimensional map using XanaMine Viewer (Xanagen). Based on the SOM analysis, the sequences of the LSSB were sorted out as described previously [24]. All the fosmid clones containing the sorted sequences were pooled and randomly sheared to 1-2 kb fragments using a HydroShear (GeneMachines, CA, USA), and were sequenced with the whole-genome shotgun method using ABI3730×l sequencers (Applied Biosystems). These shotgun sequencing reads were assembled together using the Phred/Phrap/Consed software, which produced 3,349 contigs and 10,298 singlets. To screen the sequences of LSSB from Escherichia coli and minor contaminated sequences, SOM (second SOM) was reconstructed with tetranucleotide frequencies for the obtained 3,349 contigs and 10,298 singlets and 212 complete genome sequences. Based on the SOM analysis, 3.7 Mbp (1,504 contigs and 135 singlets) of sequences were eventually obtained from the LSSB genome. XanaGenome (Xanagen), a comprehensive database system for microbial genome analysis, was used for automatic and manual annotations of open reading frames (ORFs) that are likely to encode proteins for the screened sequences. NCBI-BLAST for homology search and protein domain analysis via UniProt Knowledgebase (http://ca.expasy.org/) [27] were also conducted for the sequence annotation.

Amino Acid Sequence Analysis of the SD Gene (dhsU1)

ClustalW (ver. 2.1) was used for multiple alignments of amino acid sequences of sulfide dehydrogenase (SD) and putative SD. Phylogenetic tree of SDs was constructed by a neighbor-joining method based on the aligned amino acid sequence data. Signal sequence of SD (DhsU1) was predicted by SignalP 3.0 (http:// www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).

Cloning of the SD Gene (dhsU1)

Based on the metagenome information, a primer set specific to the *dhsU1* gene of the LSSB was designed. The forward primer (dhsU1F2B, 5'-<u>GGATCCGGCCATGTCTTCAAAA-3')</u> and the reverse primer (dhsU1R2X, 5'-GTTT<u>CTCGAG</u>TCCCT-TACCCCAT-3') contain a *Bam*HI restriction site (underlined) and a *XhoI* restriction site (underlined), respectively. The PCRamplification of the *dhsU1* gene was performed as follows: an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 52°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 1.5 min, and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were cloned with a pT7Blue T-Vector Kit. The clonal DNAs were excised with *Bam*HI and *XhoI*, and re-ligated into the pET-28b Vector (Novagen) digested with the same restriction enzymes (pT28dhsU1).

Expression and Purification of the Recombinant SD (DhsU1)

The pT28dhsU1 was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (TaKaRa). The recombinant *E. coli* was cultured at 37°C with shaking in LB liquid medium supplemented with kanamycin (30 µg/ml) until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.5–1.0 and then induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 25°C for 16 h. The cultured cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were frozen and thawed once, and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl



Figure 3. Schematic map of the *dhsU* **gene cluster found in the LSSB.** Arrows indicate the position and direction of each ORF. Black, lightgray, dark-gray, and white arrows show *dhsU* genes, cytochrome-like genes, sox-related genes, and a Fe-S protein gene and a ferredoxin gene, respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.q003

(pH 8.0), 250 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20. The lysate was disrupted by ultra sonication on ice, and then the crude extracts were collected by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The crude extracts were purified using His-Select Nickel Affinity Gel (Sigma, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Eluted fractions were equilibrated with 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) at least twice using Spectra/Por Dialysis Membrane MW 3500 (Spectrum Laboratories, CA, USA), and were finally concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 (Millipore, Ireland). The protein was quantified by the method of Bradford [28].

Protein Electrophoresis

The homogeneity and molecular masses of the denatured recombinant proteins were estimated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using 10% polyacrylamide gel [29]. The gel was stained with Bio-Safe CBB G-250 (BioRad) after electrophoresis.

UV/visible Spectroscopy

Ultra-violet/visible spectra of the purified recombinant enzyme were measured with DU800 SPECTROPHOTOMETER (Beck-

man coulter) under the following conditions: wavelength Interval; 1.0 nm, Scan Speed; 1200 nm/min, wavelength range; 300 nm– 800 nm. The reduced spectra were immediately detected after addition of a trace amount of dithionite.

Enzyme Assay

SD activity was determined with the reaction mixture containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH8.0), 30 μ M horse heart cytochrome *c*, 10 μ M disodium sulfide and 50 μ g of enzyme. The reaction was performed at 60°C and started by addition of disodium sulfide. The reduction of horse heart cytochrome *c* was detected at 550 nm using DU800 SPECTROPHOTOMETER (Beckman Coulter). Non-enzymatic reduction of horse heart cytochrome *c* was subtracted from the reduction value mentioned above. One unit of enzyme activity is defined as 1 μ mol of horse heart cytochrome *c* reduced per minute.

The optimal temperature of the purified recombinant enzyme was determined in a temperature range of $40-80^{\circ}$ C. The optimal pH of the enzyme was also determined from pH 7.0 to 10.0 with following buffers: 50 mM Tris-HCl for pH 7.0 to 9.0; \mathcal{N} -cyclohexyl-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid (CAPS) for pH 9.5 to 10.0. The thermostability of the enzyme was investigated by

Table 1. Purification of recombinant DhsU1 of the LSSB.

	Total protein (mg)	Total activity (U)	Specific activity (U/mg)	Purification (fold)	Yield (%)
Crude extract	187.6	5.774	0.031	1.0	100
Ni-NTA	9.2	2.344	0.255	8.3	40.6

The reaction mixture contained 100 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.0), 30 µM horse heart cytochrome *c*, 10 µM sodium sulfide and 25 µg crude or purified DhsU1. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 1.0 ml. The reaction was started by adding sodium sulfide. One unit of activity (U) is defined as 1 µmol of horse-heart cytochrome *c* reduced per minute.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.t001



Figure 4. SDS-PAGE of recombinant DhsU1 of the LSSB. Lane 1, crude fraction; lane 2, purified DhsU1; M, molecular weight standard (19.5–104.3 kDa). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.q004

measuring the residual activity after pre-incubation of the enzyme at 50, 60, 70 and $80^{\circ}C$ for 30 min.

The substrate specificity of the purified recombinant enzyme was determined using different substrates, sulfur (0.02, 0.2 and

2 mM), sulfite (0.02 and 0.4 mM) and thiosulfate (0.02 and 2 mM). SD activity was determined as described above.

For inhibition test, potassium ferricyanide known as a SD inhibitor was used. After pre-incubation of the recombinant enzyme with 10 μ M or 25 μ M potassium ferricyanide for 5 min at 25°C, the SD activity was measured as described above.

Kinetic properties of the recombinant enzyme were measured at various concentrations of disodium sulfide $(2-20 \ \mu\text{M})$ at 60°C in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 30 μ M horse heart cytochrome *c* and 50 μ g of enzyme, and at various concentrations of horse heart cytochrome *c* (5–100 μ M) at 60°C in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 μ M disodium sulfide and 50 μ g of enzyme.

Accession Numbers

The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in DDBJ with accession number AB735049 to AB735181.

Results

Microbial Community of the Sulfur-turf Microbial Mat

Sulfur-turf microbial mats were collected from a sulfidic hot spring, Nakabusa spa, Japan. Microscopic observation indicated the microbial community in the sulfur-turf was dominated by a large sausage-shaped bacterium (LSSB) (5-40 µm in cell length) with a large amount of elemental sulfur particles around its cells (Fig. 1). In the 16S rRNA gene clone library analysis, a total of 99 clonal sequences were grouped into nine operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (ST-01 to ST-09) that were affiliated with the five bacterial phyla, Aquificae (92% of total clones), Deinococcus/Thermus (3%), Firmicutes (3%), Thermodesulfobacteria (1%) and Armatimonadetes (1%) (formerly called the candidate phylum OP10) (Table S1, Fig. S1) [30]. Ninety one clones of ST-B01 and ST-B02 (92% of total clones) showed high sequence similarities with >98% to the LSSB clone (uncultured Aquificales bacterium clone NKB2-1) that was previously retrieved from the sulfur-turf microbial mats in the Nakabusa hot spring (Table S1, Fig. S1) [12]. This clearly



Figure 5. Phylogenetic relationship of DhsU1 homologs including real and putative SD between LSSB and other bacteria. The tree was constructed using neighbour-joining method based on the amino acid sequences. The scale bar indicates 0.1 substitutions per amino acid position. The numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values (100 replicates). Asterisk indicates the real SDs previously proved to have its enzymatic activity *in vitro*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.g005

LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	1 1 1 1	** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *** *
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	59 51 51 48	*** * * * ** ** *** *** *** IKIWDK-DIS <mark>VTLIE</mark> PSTKYYTCPFSNTVIGGFKKMEDIEVDYSILKGKYGVNIHQTVI IKLADP-SIEVTLIEPNTDYYTCYLSNEVIGGDRKLESIKHGYDGLR-AHGIQVVHDSAT IKLADP-SIEVTLIEPNETYYTCYMSNEVIGGDRELASLRVGYDGLR-AHGIQVVHDSAL MARDSQGALDVTLVEANPVYTTCFFSNLYIGGFRDFESLQHGYDKLS-AAGVAVVNDTAV O
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	118 109 109 107	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	178 169 169 167	KQLEAMPDGGTFVMVIPPMPYRCPPGPYERASLVAWYLKNNKPKSKILLLDAKNDFAKQK KQLEDMADGGTVVIAPPAAPFRCPPGPYERASQVAYYLKAHKPKSKVIILDSSQTFSKQS RQLESMDDGGVVIIAPPAPFRCPPGPYERASQIAHYLKAHKSKSKVIILDNSQTFSKQA RMIEAMPQGGVFAMVAPPNPYRCPPGPYERVSMVAHLLKQTNPTAKIIILDPKDKFSKQA
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	238 229 229 227	* ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	293 288 288 281	** * ** *** **************************
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	352 348 348 341	AIVATVNGKNPEDTTIVNTCYSLVSPDYGISVAAVYNVTQEGIKAVNGAGGVSPTGMD AVVALLKGEEPGTPSYLNTCYSILAPAYGISVAAIYRPNADGSAIESVPDSGGVTPVDAP AVVALLKGEEPGTPSYLNTCYSILAPGYGISIAAVYRPNAEGKAIEAVPDSGGITPVDAP AIRGELTGSRVFPAKYSNTCWSLLAPDDGIKLGASYQPNGDRIASVENFISNTGED Â
LSSB_DhsU1 Allochromatium vinosum_FccB Thermochromatium tepidum_FccB Paracoccus pantotrophus_SoxF	410 408 408 397	SKFREKEAIYAQVWYDNIVADTWGKG DWVLEREVQYAYSWYNNIVHDTFG DWVLEREVQYAHSWYNNIVHDTFG AALRKLTYEESLGWYAGITADIFG

Figure 6. Multiple alignment of SD amino acid sequences of LSSB and other bacteria with its activity. The active site, FAD binding site and disulfide-bond residues are indicated by \bigcirc , \blacktriangle and \triangle , respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.g006

indicated that the LSSB dominated in the microbial mat obtained in this study.

Metagenome Sequencing of the LSSB

A fosmid library containing a total of 6,432 clones with average insert size of 36 kbp was constructed using the DNA extracted from the sulfur-turf mats (Table S2). A total of 12,864 reads (approximately 7.5 Mb) were obtained by paired-end sequencing and assembled to 1,720 contigs (Table S2). Based on selforganizing map (first SOM) analysis established by Abe et al. [24], 1,064 of 1,720 contigs were grouped and sorted out as the sequences of LSSB. Further shotgun sequencing of the fosmid clones with the LSSB sequences produced 34,076 reads (approximately 15 Mbp) that generated 3,349 contigs and 10,298 singlets by assembly. We further performed SOM analysis (second SOM) for those contigs and singlets, and eventually obtained a total of 3.7 Mbp sequences (1,504 contigs and 135 singlets) derived from the LSSB genomes. Assuming 1.7 Mbp of genome size of the

	This study	P. pantotrophus	Thiobacillus sp. W5
K _m for sulfide (μM)	16.5	2.3	1.7
$K_{\rm m}$ for horse-heart cytochrome c (μ M)	52.0	116	3.8
$V_{\rm max}$ (µmol mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	2.5	ND*	2.1
$K_{\rm cat}$ (s ⁻¹)	1.9	3.9	1.8

Table 2. Comparisons of kinetic properties of recombinant DhsU1 of the LSSB with previously known SDs from other bacteria.

*ND, not determined.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.t002



Figure 7. Effect of [A] pH and [B] temperature on recombinant LSSB DhsU1 and [C] its thermostability. The optimal temperature was determined by measuring the enzyme activity at selected temperatures from 40–80°C. The optimal pH was determined in several buffers with a range from 7.0–10.0 (50 mM): Tris-HCI (7.0–9.0) and CAPS (9.5–10.0). The thermostability was determined by using pre-incubated DhsU1 for 30 min at 30–90°C.

LSSB based on those of the closest relatives (Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense Az-Fu1, 1.6 Mbp; Sulfurihydrogenibium sp. strain Y03AOP1, 1.8 Mbp) [31], the expected sequence coverage is approximate-ly $\times 2.2$. The sequence completeness of the LSSB was also roughly estimated to be 90% as described by Jones et al. [32] and in the supplementary method (Table S2). The average GC content of the LSSB genome was 34.5% that was similar to those of the known Sulfurihydrogenibium isolates (28–35% with the average of 32%) [13–17].

Metagenomic Characterization of Sulfur-oxidation and Carbon Metabolisms in the LSSB

In the Nakabusa hot spring, the main energy sources are the reduced sulfur compounds [11], mainly formed as sulfide that is continuously supplied to the sulfur-turf mats from hot spring water. To verify if the LSSB possesses sulfur oxidation metabolism, we attempted to identify the genes associated with sulfur oxidation in the obtained metagenomic sequences of the organism. Using the BLASTP program, genes encoding the putative sulfur compounds oxidizing enzymes were successfully identified as follows: sulfide dehydrogenase (dhsU1 and dhsU2) (SD) and sulfidequinone reductase (sqr1 and sqr2) catalyzing the oxidation of sulfide to elemental sulfur; sulfite dehydrogenase (sor) mediating further oxidation of sulfite to sulfate; the sulfur oxidation proteins oxidizing thiosulfate to sulfate (soxX, soxY, soxZ, soxA and soxB) (Fig. 2 and Table S3). There was no evidence for genes associated with elemental sulfur oxidation. The SD genes formed a cluster with a cytochrome-like gene, a Rieske iron sulfur protein gene, and sox genes (Fig. 3). An ORF (no. x0974) encoding flavocytochrome was located in the upstream of the dhsU1 gene (Fig. 3). An ORF (no. x0976) encoding Rieske iron sulfur protein was displayed between dhsU1 and dhsU2 genes (Fig. 3). An ORF (no. x2661) encoding monoheme cytochrome sulfur oxidation protein (soxX) was placed in the downstream of the dhsU2 gene, and the ORFs encoding sox genes (soxY, soxZ, soxA and soxB) were also located in further downstream of the soxX gene (Fig. 3). The following respiration-related genes necessary for sulfide oxidation were also identified: a quinone (no. x1488), cytochrome c (no. x0925), cytochrome bc1 complex (no. x0411 and x0412) and also cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase (no. x0212, x0213, x0214 and x0216) as terminal oxidase (Table S3). Those genes were placed in the different locus remote from the sulfur oxidizing genes.

We further identified all the genes required for reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle, which was recently found in the members of the *Aquificae* [33], in the draft genome of LSSB (Table S4). Taken together, our metagenomic analysis clearly suggested that LSSB has the genetic potential to fix carbon dioxide by the rTCA cycle and can grow autotrophically by oxidizing sulfide that is continuously supplied from the hot spring.



Figure 8. Ultraviolet-visible absorption spectrum of recombinant DhsU1 of the LSSB. DhsU1 (4.5 mg/ml) in 100 mM Tris-HCI buffer (pH 8.0) at room temperature. (A) air-oxidized DhsU1, (B) dithionite-reduced DhsU1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049793.g008

Cloning, Expression, Purification and Enzymatic Assay of Sulfide Dehydrogenase (SD) Gene from LSSB

To biochemically confirm if LSSB can oxidize sulfide, we attempted to clone and express the key gene for sulfide oxidation (putative SD gene) found in the LSSB in this study. Both dhsU1 and dhsU2 genes excluding signal peptides were cloned into pET28-b and the heterologous expression system using E. coli BL21(DE3) was constructed for both genes. We succeeded in cloning and expression of the dhsU1 gene, although the dhsU2 was not expressed possibly due to the dhsU2 gene sequence harboring two rare codons for the host E. coli. We further confirmed the SD activity of the recombinant DhsU1. The SD activity of the crude extract showed 0.031 U/mg (Table 1). The SD activity of the recombinant DhsUl purified with His-Tag affinity chromatograph showed 0.255 U/mg, indicating 8.3 folds higher activity than that of the crude extract (Table 1). Single band was detected in SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4). The molecular weight was estimated to be 47 kDa consistent with the predicted size based on the deduced amino acid sequence. The enzymatic properties of the recombinant DhsU1 were characterized as described below.

Amino Acid Sequence Analysis of dhsU1

We performed amino acid sequence analysis for a SD gene (dhsU1) of the LSSB. Phylogenetic tree constructed using the amino acid sequences of the SD showed that the DhsU1 of the LSSB formed a deep branch with SULAZ_1677 (SD activity has not been reported) derived from *S. azorense* in the phylum *Aquificae* and was distantly related to the other bacterial SDs (Fig. 5). The amino acid sequence similarity between the DhsU1 and SULAZ_1677 was 88%. Comparing to SDs known to have SD activity, the LSSB DhsU1 showed the highest sequence similarity (50%) to flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase (Fcsd) flavoprotein subunit (FccB) from *Allochromatium vinosum* within the *Gammaproteobacteria*.

Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of the DhsU1 of the LSSB and other bacterial SDs known to have SD activity showed the conserved amino acid residues, i.e. Cys53 residue at FAD binding site, Cys173 and Cys344 residues in forming a disulfide bridge, and Glu169 residue at the active site (Fig. 6). All the above residues were previously identified with the X-ray crystal structure analysis in a well-studied SD, Fcsd from *A. vinosum* [34].

Using SignalP search, signal sequences were found in the Nterminal within the first 26 amino acid sequence of the DhsU1 of the LSSB (data not shown), and were related to the sequence of the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) peptide. Tat peptide was used for secretion of various proteins into the periplasmic space [35], suggesting that the DhsU1 was localized in the periplasm of the cell.

Enzymatic Characteristics of Sulfide Dehydrogenase (DhsU1)

The optimal temperature and pH of the purified recombinant DhsU1 were 60°C and 8.0, respectively (Fig. 7A, B). In thermostability test, DhsU1 could be tolerant high temperature and showed 86% and 30% of the residual SD activities when the enzyme was preheated for 30 min at 70°C and 80°C, respectively (Fig. 7C). The SD activity of the recombinant DhsU1 was not observed when elemental sulfur, sulfite and thiosulfate were used as the substrate. The effect of ferricyanide, an inhibitor of SD [36–38], on the DhsU1 activity was investigated. With the addition of 10 μ M ferricyanide, the residual activity decreased to 24.5% of the control (without inhibitor). The addition of 25 μ M ferricyanide completely inhibited the activity (data not shown). The $K_{\rm m}$ values of the DhsU1 for sulfide and horse heart cytochrome *c* were 16.7 μ M and 52.0 μ M, respectively. The DhsU1 had a $V_{\rm max}$ value of 2.5 μ mol/mg⁻¹/min⁻¹ and a $K_{\rm cat}$ value of 1.9 s⁻¹ (Table 2).

UV-visible spectrum analysis was performed for the DhsU1, since the deduced amino acid sequence of the DhsU1 possessed a FAD binding domain and the macroscopic color of the purified recombinant DhaUl was yellow. The DhsUl had diagnostic peeks at 364 nm and 454 nm (Fig. 8), and these peeks disappeared after addition of dithionite (Fig. 8).

Discussion

The sulfur-turf microbial mat developed in the high-temperature sulfidic hot spring forms a unique ecosystem where hydrogen sulfide is the primary energy source and constantly supplied [11,39]. However, microbial functions associated with sulfur cycle in the sulfur-turf mats are not fully understood mainly due to the difficulty in cultivation of the main constituents. The large sausage-shaped bacterium (LSSB) was predominant in the sulfurturf mats, and was yet to be cultured. Several previous reports suggest that the organism may be a chemolithoautotrophic and microaerophilic sulfide oxidizing thermophile [2,19,40,41]. However, there is no direct evidence showing whether or not the organism possesses the responsible genes and enzymes for sulfide oxidation due to the absence of an isolated strain. To verify this, we conducted metagenomic and enzymatic analyses for the sulfurturf microbial mats focusing on numerically abundant LSSB.

Using metagenomic approach, we obtained approximately 3.7 Mbp of LSSB sequences (Table S2) covering approximately 90% of its genome. This allowed us to analyze the metabolic potential of the LSSB. 1,472 ORFs were found out from the LSSB draft genome sequences. From those ORFs, all the necessary genes for sulfide oxidation such as sulfide dehydrogenase (SD) gene, sulfide-quinone reductase (Sqr) gene, and other respiration-related genes for quinone synthesis, cytochrome, cytochrome bc1 complex and terminal oxidase were identified in the present study (Fig. 2 and Table S3). In addition, we found all the genes required for reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle for carbon dioxide fixation in the LSSB (Table S4). The findings clearly suggested that the LSSB is an autotrophic sulfide oxidizer that can fix carbon using rTCA cycle and obtain energy by sulfide oxidation. The genes responsible for sulfite and thiosulfate oxidations (e.g. sor, soxX, soxY, soxZ, soxA and soxB) were also found in the ORFs, suggesting that the organism have an ability to oxidize sulfite and thiosulfate as well (Table S3). However, the observation of abundant elemental sulfur particles on the LSSB cells suggests that sulfide oxidation can serve as a main energy-yielding pathway. The terminal oxidase identified in the genome was the *cbb3*-type supporting microaerobic respiration (Table S3), and no aerophilictype terminal oxidase such as *aa3*-type has been found in the genome fragments. The LSSB may therefore oxidize sulfide under microaerobic conditions as suggested in the previous report [12,41]. Such ability to autotrophically oxidize sulfide under microaerobic conditions is advantageous in the sulfidic hightemperature hot spring, and provides the LSSB a distinct niche as a primary producer in such ecosystem.

Recently, based on a metagenomic analysis, Inskeep et al. (2010) also reported that filamentous Aquificae bacteria were dominating in a white streamer in sulfidic hot spring water at Mammoth Hot Spring site in Yellowstone National Park [42]. Indeed, like our study, majority (~90%) of the metagenomic sequences exhibits high similarity (>90% of nucleotide identity) to the genome of *Sulfurihydrogenibium* sp. Y03AOP1 within the Aquificae [31], and contains genes responsible for inorganic sulfur compound oxidation, respiration, and carbon fixation via rTCA cycle, although no physiological or biochemical evidence of the metabolisms is not shown. Such similar findings in the two geographically distinct hot springs, Mammoth Hot Spring (USA) and Nakabusa spring (Japan), suggest the importance of the

dominant *Aquificae* bacterium and its sulfide oxidation metabolism in the sulfidic geothermal environments worldwide.

Sulfide dehydrogenase (SD) is a key enzyme for sulfide oxidation and known to be a flavoprotein that oxidizes sulfide to elemental sulfur and transfers electrons to cytochrome. In this study, we succeeded in the cloning and heterologous expression of the SD gene (dhsU1) found in the genome fragments of the uncultured LSSB (Fig. 4, Table 1). In fact, the crude and affinity purified DhsU1 showed the significant SD activity. The SD activity was completely inhibited by a known inhibitor, ferricyanide, [36–38], also supporting that the recombinant DhsU1 is SD. This is the first report showing the successful isolation and heterologous expression of the sulfide oxidizing gene by using the metagenome obtained from the hot spring microbial mats. Moreover, this also provides the first evidence that the member of the phylum Aquificae possessed SD activity. SD-like sequences have been identified in the genomes of several Aquificae bacteria isolated from hot springs, which suggests that SD can play an important role in their sulfide oxidation in the environments.

The enzymatic properties of the recombinant DhsU1 derived from the LSSB were further characterized. The DhsU1 showed high substrate specificity toward sulfide and its SD activity was not detected using elemental sulfur, sulfite or thiosulfate. The optimal temperature and pH of the recombinant DhsU1 were consistent with the environmental conditions (water temperature, 55–70°C; pH, 7.3–8.3) in the sulfur-turf microbial ecosystem. The $K_{\rm m}$ of the DhsU1 for sulfide (16.5 μ M) was eight times higher than those of the previously known bacterial SDs [38,43], suggesting that the enzyme has relatively low affinity to sulfide. This also fits to the environmental conditions where relatively high concentration of sulfide is continuously supplied [11]. These findings indicate that the SD from the LSSB adapted to the inhabiting environment.

Amino acid sequence analysis of the previously known SDs indicated that the DhsU1 of the LSSB was most similar (50% of sequence similarity) to the flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase (Fcsd) flavoprotein subunit of (FccB) from Allochromatium vinosum within the Gammaproteobacteria [34]. Both enzymes harbor a signal peptide, the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) peptide, indicating those are located in the periplasmic space. The amino acid sequences of the DhsU1 of the LSSB had a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) binding site at the same position of Cys42 as the Fcsd from A. vinosum, indicating that FAD is covalently bound to the DhsU1 (Fig. 6). The identical residues of Cys161 and Cys337 in the Fcsd, which are considered as forming disulfide bond, were also found in the DhsU1 (Fig. 6). The previous report [34] indicated that the disulfide bond is adjacent to the FAD. Griesbeck et al. (2002) also identified the pair of cysteines in the Sqr from Rhodobacter capsulatus and found that the SD activity disappeared when respective cysteine residue was replaced with serine [44]. The fact that those cysteine residues are conserved among the SDs from the LSSB (the phylum Aquificae) and A. vinosum (the Gammaproteobacteria) and Sqr from R. capsulatus (the Alphaproteobacteria), despite their distinct placement in phylogeny, strongly suggests the importance of the pair residues for maintaining their SD activities.

The spectrum analysis of the protein showed two diagnostic peaks of flavin at 364 and 454 nm without other conspicuous peaks (Fig. 8). Those peaks were decreased by addition of dithionite, indicating that flavin was completely reduced (Fig. 8). Furthermore, SDS-PAGE showed a single band (Fig. 4) and gel filtration indicated the purified recombinant DhsU1 is a monomer (data not shown). These results show that the purified recombinant DhsU1 is a monomeric flavoprotein. So far, the monomeric flavoprotein SD has been observed only in two species, *Paracoccus* pantotrophus (the phylum Proteobacteria) and Chlorobaculum tepidum (the phylum Chlorobi) [43,45]. All the other SDs is the heterodimer protein composed of flavoprotein subunit and di-heme or mono-heme cytochrome subunit [38,46–48]. Although the DhsU1 amino acid sequence showed high similarity to the heterodimer SD from A. vinosum, the DhsU1 structure was more similar to the monomer SD from P. pantotrophus and C. tepidum. Taken together with the fact that LSSB belongs to the phylum Aquificae, an early branching lineage in the domain Bacteria in the 16S rRNA gene-based phylogeny, the findings suggest that the monomer-type SD may be an ancient origin of the bacterial SD. This will provide further insight into the largely unknown molecular evolution of the enzyme. Such enzymatic information could not be obtained by using only metagenome data but by a combined use of metagenomic and biochemical approaches in this study.

In conclusion, this study using metagenomic approach strongly suggested that the uncultured LSSB dominated in the high-temperature sulfidic hot spring possessed autotrophic sulfide oxidizing metabolism and could grow as a main primary producer in such ecosystem. Based on the metagenomic information, we succeeded in cloning and heterologous expression of the SD of the LSSB, a critical enzyme for oxidation of sulfide that is considered as the primary electron donor in its habitat. The enzymatic properties, i.e., its thermophily, moderately alkalophile and a high $K_{\rm m}$ for sulfide, were consistent with the environmental conditions, indicating that the SD of the LSSB had adapted to the sulfidic hot spring environment. This is the first report showing that the LSSB has the ability to oxidize sulfide using the unique monomeric SD in the hot spring environment.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 The phylogenetic composition of the microbial community in the sulfur-turf mat based on 16S rRNA gene clone library analysis.

References

- Reysenbach AL, Wickham GS, Pace NR (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of the hyperthermophilic pink filament community in Octopus Spring, Yellowstone National Park. Appl Environ Microbiol 60: 2113–2119.
- Yamamoto H, Hiraishi A, Kato K, Chiura HX, Maki Y, et al. (1998) Phylogenetic evidence for the existence of novel thermophilic bacteria in hot spring sulfur-turf microbial mats in Japan. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 1680– 1687.
- Ward DM, Ferris MJ, Nold SC, Bateson MM (1998) A natural view of microbial biodiversity within hot spring cyanobacterial mat communities. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62: 1353–1370.
- Skirnisdottir S, Hreggvidsson GO, Hjorleifsdottir S, Marteinsson VT, Petursdottir SK, et al. (2000) Influence of sulfide and temperature on species composition and community structure of hot spring microbial mats. Appl Environ Microbiol 66: 2835–2841.
- Hjorleifsdottir S, Skirnisdottir S, Hreggvidsson GO, Holst O, Kristjansson JK (2001) Species Composition of Cultivated and Noncultivated Bacteria from Short Filaments in an Icelandic Hot Spring at 88 degrees C. Microb Ecol 42: 117–125.
- Nakagawa T, Fukui M (2002) Phylogenetic characterization of microbial mats and streamers from a Japanese alkaline hot spring with a thermal gradient. J Gen Appl Microbiol 48: 211–222.
- Elshahed MS, Senko JM, Najar FZ, Kenton SM, Roe BA, et al. (2003) Bacterial diversity and sulfur cycling in a mesophilic sulfide-rich spring. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 5609–5621.
- Lau MC, Aitchison JC, Pointing SB (2009) Bacterial community composition in thermophilic microbial mats from five hot springs in central Tibet. Extremophiles 13: 139–149.
- Yamaoka T, Satoh K, Katoh S (1978) Photosynthetic Activities of a Thermophilic Blue-Green-Alga. Plant and Cell Physiology 19: 943–954.
- Hanada S, Takaichi S, Matsuura K, Nakamura K (2002) Roseiflexus castenholzii gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic, filamentous, photosynthetic bacterium that lacks chlorosomes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52: 187–193.
- Nakagawa T, Fukui M (2003) Molecular characterization of community structures and sulfur metabolism within microbial streamers in Japanese hot springs. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 7044–7057.

(TIF)

Table S1 Phylogenetic affiliations and numbers of bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences in the clone library generated from the sulfur-turf microbial mats. (DOCX)

Table S2 Summary statistics for the sulfur-turf metagenome sequencing and assembling, and the draft genome of the LSSB.

 (\mathbf{DOCX})

Table S3Sulfur oxidation related genes identified fromthe draft genome of the LSSB.(DOCX)

 Table S4
 CO₂ fixation related genes identified from the draft genome of the LSSB.

(DOCX)

Method S1 Genome sequence completeness estimation. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Tomohiro Tamura and Noriko Tamura for supporting us in the gel filtration analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ST KT HT YK SH. Performed the experiments: ST KT. Analyzed the data: ST KT HT. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ST KT. Wrote the paper: ST KT HT YK SH.

- Kubo K, Knittel K, Amann R, Fukui M, Matsuura K (2011) Sulfurmetabolizing bacterial populations in microbial mats of the Nakabusa hot spring, Japan. Syst Appl Microbiol 34: 293–302.
- Takai K, Kobayashi H, Nealson KH, Horikoshi K (2003) Sulfurihydrogenibium subterraneum gen. nov., sp. nov., from a subsurface hot aquifer. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 823–827.
- Aguiar P, Beveridge TJ, Reysenbach AL (2004) Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense, sp. nov., a thermophilic hydrogen-oxidizing microaerophile from terrestrial hot springs in the Azores. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 33–39.
- 15. Nakagawa S, Shtaih Z, Banta A, Beveridge TJ, Sako Y, et al. (2005) Sulfurihydrogenibium yellowstonense sp. nov., an extremely thermophilic, facultatively heterotrophic, sulfur-oxidizing bacterium from Yellowstone National Park, and emended descriptions of the genus Sulfurihydrogenibium, Sulfurihydrogenibium subterraneum and Sulfurihydrogenibium azorense. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55: 2263–2268.
- O'Neill AH, Liu Y, Ferrera I, Beveridge TJ, Reysenbach AL (2008) Sulfurihydrogenibium rodmanii sp. nov., a sulfur-oxidizing chemolithoautotroph from the Uzon Caldera, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia, and emended description of the genus Sulfurihydrogenibium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 1147–1152.
- Flores GE, Liu Y, Ferrera I, Beveridge TJ, Reysenbach AL (2008) Sulfurihydrogenibium kristjanssonii sp. nov., a hydrogen- and sulfur-oxidizing thermophile isolated from a terrestrial Icelandic hot spring. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 1153–1158.
- Takai K, Hirayama H, Sakihama Y, Inagaki F, Yamato Y, et al. (2002) Isolation and metabolic characteristics of previously uncultured members of the order aquificales in a subsurface gold mine. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 3046–3054.
- Maki Y (1987) Biological Oxidation of Sulfide and Elemental Sulfur by the a-Type Sulfur-Turf Growing in Hot-Spring Effluents. Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 33: 123–134.
- Zhou J, Bruns MA, Tiedje JM (1996) DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 62: 316–322.
- Tamaki H, Sekiguchi Y, Hanada S, Nakamura K, Nomura N, et al. (2005) Comparative analysis of bacterial diversity in freshwater sediment of a shallow

eutrophic lake by molecular and improved cultivation-based techniques. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 2162–2169.

- Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P (1998) Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. I. Accuracy assessment. Genome Res 8: 175–185.
 Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P (1998) Consed: a graphical tool for sequence
- Gordon D, Holgan C, Orech T (1950) conset: a graphical tool for sequence finishing. Genome Res 8: 195–202.
 Abe T, Kanaya S, Kinouchi M, Ichiba Y, Kozuki T, et al. (2003) Informatics for
- unveiling hidden genome signatures. Genome Res 13: 693–702. 25. Kanaya S, Kinouchi M, Abe T, Kudo Y, Yamada Y, et al. (2001) Analysis of
- 22. Kanaya S, Khouen K, Koe F, Kudo F, Fahada F, et al. (2001) Analysis of codon usage diversity of bacterial genes with a self-organizing map (SOM): characterization of horizontally transferred genes with emphasis on the E. coli O157 genome. Gene 276: 89–99.
- Mitsumori M, Nakagawa S, Matsui H, Shinkai T, Takenaka A (2010) Phylogenetic diversity of gene sequences isolated from the rumen as analysed using a self-organizing map (SOM). J Appl Microbiol 109: 763–770.
- Gasteiger E, Jung E, Bairoch A (2001) SWISS-PROT: connecting biomolecular knowledge via a protein database. Curr Issues Mol Biol 3: 47–55.
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72: 248–254.
- Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680–685.
- 30. Tamaki H, Tanaka Y, Matsuzawa H, Muramatsu M, Meng XY, et al. (2011) Armatimonas rosea gen. nov., sp. nov., of a novel bacterial phylum, Armatimonadetes phyl. nov., formally called the candidate phylum OP10. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61: 1442–1447.
- Reysenbach AL, Hamamura N, Podar M, Griffiths E, Ferreira S, et al. (2009) Complete and draft genome sequences of six members of the Aquificales. J Bacteriol 191: 1992–1993.
- Jones DS, Albrecht HL, Dawson KS, Schaperdoth I, Freeman KH, et al. (2012) Community genomic analysis of an extremely acidophilic sulfur-oxidizing biofilm. ISME J 6: 158–170.
- Hugler M, Huber H, Molyneaux SJ, Vetriani C, Sievert SM (2007) Autotrophic CO2 fixation via the reductive tricarboxylic acid cycle in different lineages within the phylum Aquificae: evidence for two ways of citrate cleavage. Environ Microbiol 9: 81–92.
- Chen ZW, Koh M, Van Driessche G, Van Beeumen JJ, Bartsch RG, et al. (1994) The structure of flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase from a purple phototrophic bacterium. Science 266: 430–432.
- Robinson C, Matos CF, Beck D, Ren C, Lawrence J, et al. (2011) Transport and proofreading of proteins by the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) system in bacteria. Biochim Biophys Acta 1808: 876–884.

- Kusai A, Yamanaka T (1973) Cytochrome c (553, Chlorobium thiosulfatophilum) is a sulphide-cytochrome c reductase. FEBS Lett 34: 235–237.
- Yamanaka T, Kusai A (1976) The function and some molecular features of cytochrome c-553 derived from Chlorobium thiosulfatophilum. Elsevier: 292– 301.
- Visser JM, de Jong GAH, Robertson LA, Kuenen JG (1997) A novel membranebound flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase from the colourless sulfur bacterium Thiobacillus sp. W5. Arch Microbiol 167: 295–301.
- D'Imperio S, Lehr CR, Oduro H, Druschel G, Kuhl M, et al. (2008) Relative importance of H2 and H2S as energy sources for primary production in geothermal springs. Appl Environ Microbiol 74: 5802–5808.
- Maki Y (1986) Factors in Habitat Preference Insitu of Sulfur-Turfs Growing in Hot-Springs Effluents - Dissolved-Oxygen and Current Velocities. Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 32: 203–213.
- MAKI Y (1991) Study of the" Sulfur-turf": a Community of Colorless Sulfur Bacteria Growing in Hot Spring Effluent. Bulletin of Japanese Society of Microbial Ecology 6: 33–43.
- Inskeep WP, Rusch DB, Jay ZJ, Herrgard MJ, Kozubal MA, et al. (2010) Metagenomes from high-temperature chemotrophic systems reveal geochemical controls on microbial community structure and function. PLoS One 5: e9773.
- Quentmeier A, Hellwig P, Bardischewsky F, Wichmann R, Friedrich CG (2004) Sulfide dehydrogenase activity of the monomeric flavoprotein SoxF of Paracoccus pantotrophus. Biochemistry 43: 14696–14703.
- Griesbeck C, Schutz M, Schodl T, Bathe S, Nausch L, et al. (2002) Mechanism of sulfide-quinone reductase investigated using site-directed mutagenesis and sulfur analysis. Biochemistry 41: 11552–11565.
- 45. Ogawa T, Furusawa T, Shiga M, Seo D, Sakurai H, et al. (2010) Biochemical studies of a soxF-encoded monomeric flavoprotein purified from the green sulfur bacterium Chlorobaculum tepidum that stimulates in vitro thiosulfate oxidation. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 74: 771–780.
- Bartsch RG, Kamen MD (1960) Isolation and properties of two soluble heme proteins in extracts of the photoanaerobe Chromatium. J Biol Chem 235: 825– 831.
- Garcia Castillo MC, Lou BS, Ondrias MR, Robertson DE, Knaff DB (1994) Characterization of flavocytochrome C552 from the thermophilic photosynthetic bacterium Chromatium tepidum. Arch Biochem Biophys 315: 262–266.
- Kostanjevecki V, Brige A, Meyer TE, Cusanovich MA, Guisez Y, et al. (2000) A membrane-bound flavocytochrome c-sulfide dehydrogenase from the purple phototrophic sulfur bacterium Ectothiorhodospira vacuolata. J Bacteriol 182: 3097–3103.