# The Essence of Clinical Practice Guidelines for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis, 2021: 5. Postoperative Prognosis

# Miho Sekiguchi

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan

#### **Keywords:**

Residual symptom, Prognostic factor, Physiotherapy

Spine Surg Relat Res 2023; 7(4): 314-318 dx.doi.org/10.22603/ssrr.2022-0082

This article is the last part of the five-article series, *The Essence of Clinical Practice Guidelines for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis, published in the Spine Surgery and Related Research,* Special Issue.

# Remaining Symptoms after Surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS)

# Summary

- Postoperative leg numbness and gait disturbance tend to persist in patients with numbness in the lower extremities at rest before surgery.
- Leg pain and numbness tend to persist in patients with diabetes mellitus.
- Leg cramp is a symptom that often coexists in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS), but there is no unified view as to whether surgery can improve it.

# **Commentary**

Preoperative numbness at rest (OR 85.6) was associated with residual leg pain/numbness, and preoperative numbness at rest (OR 4.5) and foot drop (OR 11.6, 95% CI 2.5-59.1) were associated with residual gait disturbance<sup>1)</sup>. The degree of symptoms after surgery was stronger in the DM group than in the non-DM group. It is necessary to explain that leg numbness and pain tend to remain when performing surgery on LSS patients with DM<sup>2)</sup>. The mechanism of leg cramps is complex and remains inconclusive. Therefore, it cannot be confirmed whether leg cramps are a symptom of LSS or a comorbidity.

# Poor Prognostic Factors for Surgical Outcomes of LSS

# Summary

- · Preoperative factors and pathological conditions
- 1) Preoperative symptoms

When low back/leg pain associated with prolonged symptom duration, leg numbness at rest, and low back pain are dominant, ADL/QOL disturbance impedes the postoperative prognosis improvement.

2) Pathological conditions

Spondylolisthesis, instability, spinal deformity, and foraminal stenosis tend to cause poor postoperative prognosis. In patients with scoliosis, the larger the Cobb angle, the more likely the symptoms will persist after surgery.

3) Surgical history and surgical procedures

The lumbar spine surgery and highly invasive complicated fusion and knee arthroplasty histories are associated with reoperation and decreased improvement rate of postoperative dysfunctions.

• Preoperative examinations (e.g., imaging study)/spinal parameters and surgical outcomes

1) MRI

Small cross-sectional area of the dural sac is associ-

Corresponding author: Miho Sekiguchi, miho-s@fmu.ac.jp

Received: April 8, 2022, Accepted: April 26, 2022

Copyright © 2023 The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research

ated with improving postoperative low back/leg pain and satisfaction but is not necessarily directly linked to the prognostication of postoperative outcomes.

A small paraspinal muscle cross-sectional area (<8.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) in preoperative MRI indicates a poor postoperative course.

2) Electrophysiological study

It can detect neurological disorders not visualized in MRI and prognosticate postoperative dysfunctions.

3) Spinal parameters

Sagittal alignment is important for the prognosis of patient QOL/ADL.

# · Lifestyle-related diseases/patient factors

#### 1) Underlying diseases/lifestyle-related diseases

Age, insulin use, and preoperative low ADL are associated with postoperative complications. The use of corticosteroids is associated with postoperative complications and reoperation.

Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) is associated with reoperation due to postoperative symptom exacerbation and adjacent segment disease.

Although patients with obesity have an improvement effect from surgery, the effect is limited, and they tend to have more wound complications than patients without obesity.

### 2) Patient factors

Age does not necessarily strongly influence postoperative outcomes.

Smoking may reduce the degree of improvement of ADL and QOL and may be associated with postoperative satisfaction.

Evaluating and treating pre- and postoperative depressive states are important for improving prognosis.

# · Surgical complications and adjacent segment disease

#### 1) Surgical complications

The incidence of intraoperative dural injury has been reported to be 7.0%-7.4%, and old age and hypertension are associated factors. However, there is a lack of evidence supporting its direct link to poor postoperative prognosis.

#### 2) Adjacent segment disease and reoperation

The risk factors of adjacent segment disease are age, stenosis at the cranial adjacent segment, simultaneous decompression at the adjacent segment, and symptomatic adjacent segment disease leading to reoperation can occur in 20%-25% of patients.

#### Commentary

#### 1. Preoperative factors and pathological conditions

#### 1-1. Preoperative symptoms

Numbness at rest before surgery was the predictor of residual leg pain/numbness after surgery, and numbness at rest and drop foot before surgery were the predictors of residual gait disturbance after surgery<sup>1</sup>). Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) after surgery in patients with the strong intensity of preoperative leg pain was more significantly improved than in patients with weak preoperative leg pain<sup>3</sup>. Surgical outcomes were poorer in patients whose low back pain was more dominant than leg pain before surgery<sup>4</sup>. A minimum of two years of symptom duration was the factor of poor surgical outcome<sup>5</sup>. A more than one year of disease duration was a correlated factor for residual leg numbness after surgery<sup>6</sup>.

#### 1-2. Pathological conditions

Preoperative retrolisthesis at the stenosed level was a predictor of reoperation for delayed-onset symptomatic foraminal stenosis<sup>7</sup>. Spinal stenosis associated with lumbar retrolisthesis with instability had poor outcomes after posterior suspensory ligament removal and bilateral partial laminectomy<sup>8</sup>. In patients who underwent decompression for LSS, preoperative degenerative scoliosis and large Cobb angles were poor prognostic factors for low back pain<sup>9</sup>.

#### 1-3. Surgical history and surgical procedures

The reoperation rate in patients with lumbar spine surgery history was significantly higher than that in patients without lumbar spine surgery history. Decompression with fusion (OR 1.56) was associated with reoperation<sup>10</sup>. The history of total knee arthroplasty was associated with exacerbating ODI scores one year after surgery<sup>11</sup>.

2. Preoperative examinations)/spinal parameters and surgical outcomes

#### 2-1. MRI

The narrow cross-sectional area of the dural sac in preoperative MRI was associated with low back and leg pain after surgery<sup>12)</sup> and postoperative patient satisfaction<sup>9)</sup>. Meanwhile, no relationship existed between postoperative outcomes and the degree of stenosis on MRI<sup>13)</sup>. Paraspinal muscle crosssectional area less than 8.5 cm<sup>2</sup> on preoperative MRI indicated poor postoperative outcomes<sup>14)</sup>.

#### 2-2. Electrophysiological study

Electrophysiological studies on patients diagnosed with LSS or lumbar disc herniation stated that detected radiculopathy was significantly associated with poor postoperative outcomes<sup>15</sup>.

#### 2-3. Spinal parameters

Patients with poor sagittal alignment had a high postoperative frequency of falls, and low QOL and ADL<sup>16</sup>, while there is no difference in postoperative health-related QOL<sup>17</sup>. It has been reported that patients with a large preoperative sagittal vertebral axis had a low health-related QOL, and patients with a sacral slope smaller than 35° frequently had postoperative dysfunction<sup>18</sup>.

# 3. Lifestyle-related diseases and patient factors

#### 3-1. Underlying diseases/lifestyle-related diseases

Independent risk factors for postoperative complications were age, various fusion surgeries, insulin use for diabetes mellitus, and low preoperative function<sup>19</sup>. Using steroids was associated with postoperative complications<sup>19)</sup> and reoperation<sup>20)</sup>. DISH extended to the lumbar segment (HR 2.05) was associated as an independent factor for reoperation<sup>21)</sup>. Adjacent level stenosis (OR 3.9) and the number of levels operated (OR 2.69) were the factors associated with reoperation due to adjacent segment disease<sup>22)</sup>. The obese patients with lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis had higher infection and reoperation rates and less improvement in physical function<sup>23)</sup>. LSS patients with obesity who underwent surgery found negative predictor factors for symptom and ODI improvement<sup>24,25)</sup> and satisfaction with surgical outcomes<sup>26)</sup>. They also found the occurrence risk of postoperative complications (RR 2.14) and wound complications (RR 3.11)<sup>27)</sup>.

#### 3-2. Patient factors

Postoperative satisfaction in patients aged 75 years or younger (OR 4.03) or without a history of lumbar spine surgery (OR 3.65) was high<sup>28)</sup>. Additionally, no significant difference was observed in complications between the instrumented surgical and decompression alone groups in the elderly aged  $\geq$ 85 years<sup>29)</sup>. Smokers improved less in QOL and ODI after surgery<sup>30)</sup>, and smoking was associated with dissatisfaction<sup>31)</sup>.

Pre- and postoperative depressive symptoms are involved in postoperative outcomes of patients throughout the perioperative period<sup>32,37)</sup>. Patients who had recovered from depressive symptoms improved dysfunction, gait ability, and other symptoms two years after surgery<sup>38)</sup>.

#### 4. Surgical complications and adjacent segment disease

4-1. Outline of complications associated with lumbar spine surgery

The incidence of dural injury was 7.0% in lumbar spine surgery<sup>39</sup>, 6.3% in decompression surgery for  $LSS^{40}$ , and 7.4% in lumbar surgeries<sup>41</sup>. The correlated factor of dural injury was hypertension (OR 1.21)<sup>40</sup>.

#### 4-2. Adjacent segment disease (ASD) and reoperation

The risk factor of ASD occurrence was age  $(RR1.02)^{42}$ ,

decompression with adjacent vertebra (OR 4.73), and preoperative spinal stenosis at the cranial adjacent segment (OR 7.87)<sup>43)</sup>. Additionally, the risk factors for reoperation were moderate or severe stenosis (HR 1.71), dominant low back pain (HR 2.09), and the absence of neurogenic intermittent claudication (HR 1.89)<sup>44)</sup>.

# **Postoperative Physiotherapy for LSS**

## Summary

Postoperative physiotherapy can be recommended to alleviate pain and improve ADL/QOL three months after surgery. However, it lacks usefulness one year after surgery. (Recommendation 2, Agreement ratio 92%, Strength of evidence B)

# Commentary

High-quality RCTs are anticipated to clarify the usefulness of postoperative physiotherapy further.

# 1. Effects of postoperative physiotherapy three months after surgery

The intervention of physiotherapy after surgery showed the effectiveness for low back pain, leg pain, ADL of ODI, and the physical component summary scores of the Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey (SF-12)<sup>45-47</sup>.

# 2. Effects of postoperative physiotherapy one year after surgery

Postoperative physiotherapy revealed no effect on low back pain<sup>45,48-50</sup>, while leg pain has improved in the intervention group over time<sup>45,48-51</sup>. It may be due to differences in surgical procedures and physiotherapy intervention methods, but future research is anticipated. Evaluating for physical function one year after surgery revealed no effects of intervention for improving walking distance<sup>45</sup>. Postoperative physiotherapy intervention did not influence ADL improvement<sup>45,48-51</sup>.

# 3. Medical economic effects and improvement of life prognosis

No studies on medical economic effects could be found, whether it can improve the life prognosis of patients with LSS.

#### 4. Adverse events

Transient exacerbation of pain was observed in 15.3%, and mood swings were observed in 5.1% of patients in the intervention group<sup>48)</sup>; however, both were cured by standard care.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares that there are no relevant conflicts of interest.

The original version of this clinical practice guidelines ap-

peared in Japanese as Youbu Sekichukan Kyosakushou Shinryo Guidelines, and its translated version in English was published in Japanese Orthopedic Association clinical practice guidelines on the management of lumbar spinal stenosis, 2021 - Secondary publication. J Orthop Sci. 2022 May 18: S0949-2658(22)00116-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jos.2022.03.013.

## References

- Hara N, Oka H, Yamazaki T, et al. Predictors of residual symptoms in lower extremities after decompression surgery on lumbar spinal stenosis. Eur Spine J. 2010;19(11):1849-54.
- **2.** Yamakawa J, Owashi K, Oyama K, et al. Residual lower limb numbness in patients who underwent surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis with diabetes mellitus: a comparison with non-diabetic patients. Tohoku J Orthop Traumatol. 2014;57:39-41. Japanese.
- **3.** Hermansen E, Myklebust TÅ, Austevoll IM, et al. Clinical outcome after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in patients with insignificant lower extremity pain. A prospective cohort study from the Norwegian registry for spine surgery. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2019;20(1):36.
- **4.** Sigmundsson FG, Jönsson B, Strömqvist B. Preoperative pain pattern predicts surgical outcome more than type of surgery in patients with central spinal stenosis without concomitant spondylolisthesis: a register study of 9051 patients. Spine. 2014;39(3):E199-210.
- Sigmundsson FG, Kang XP, Jönsson B, et al. Prognostic factors in lumbar spinal stenosis surgery. Acta Orthop. 2012;83(5):536-42.
- **6.** Oba H, Tsutsumimoto T, Yui M, et al. A prospective study of recovery from leg numbness following decompression surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis. J Orthop Sci. 2017;22(4):670-5.
- Ikegami D, Hosono N, Mukai Y, et al. Preoperative retrolisthesis as a predictive risk factor of reoperation due to delayed-onset symptomatic foraminal stenosis after central decompression for lumbar canal stenosis without fusion. Spine J. 2017;17(8):1066-73.
- Takenaka S, Tateishi K, Hosono N, et al. Preoperative retrolisthesis as a risk factor of postdecompression lumbar disc herniation. J Neurosurg Spine. 2016;24(4):592-601.
- **9.** Oba H, Takahashi J, Tsutsumimoto T, et al. Predictors of improvement in low back pain after lumbar decompression surgery: prospective study of 140 patients. J Orthop Sci. 2017;22(4):641-6.
- Deyo RA, Martin BI, Kreuter W, et al. Revision surgery following operations for lumbar stenosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93 (21):1979-86.
- **11.** Mohammadi HR, Azimi P, Benzel EC, et al. The role of stenosis ratio as a predictor of surgical satisfaction in patients with lumbar spinal canal stenosis: a receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. J Neurosurg Sci. 2016;60(3):345-9.
- Sigmundsson FG, Kang XP, Jonsson B, et al. Prognostic factors in lumbar spinal stenosis surgery. Acta Orthop 2012;83(5):536-542.
- **13.** Azimi P, Azhari S, Benzel EC, et al. Outcomes of surgery in patients with lumbar spinal canal stenosis: comparison of three types of stenosis on MRI. PLoS One 2016;11(6):e0158041.
- 14. Zotti MGT, Boas FV, Clifton T, et al. Does pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging of the lumbar multifidus muscle predict clinical outcomes following lumbar spinal decompression for symptomatic spinal stenosis? Eur Spine J. 2017;26(10):2589-97.
- **15.** Lee JH, Lee S-H. Clinical usefulness of electrodiagnostic study to predict surgical outcomes in lumbosacral disc herniation or spinal stenosis. Eur Spine J. 2015;24(10):2276-80.
- 16. Lee BH, Park J-O, Kim H-S, et al. Spinal sagittal balance status affects postoperative actual falls and quality of life after decom-

pression and fusion in-situ surgery in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2016;148:52-9.

- 17. Hikata T, Watanabe K, Fujita N, et al. Impact of sagittal spinopelvic alignment on clinical outcomes after decompression surgery for lumbar spinal canal stenosis without coronal imbalance. J Neurosurg Spine. 2015;23(4):451-8.
- **18.** Bayerl SH, Pöhlmann F, Finger T, et al. The sagittal spinal profile type: a principal precondition for surgical decision making in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. J Neurosurg Spine. 2017;27(5): 552-9.
- **19.** Deyo RA, Hickam D, Duckart JP, et al. Complications after surgery for lumbar stenosis in a veteran population. Spine. 2013;38 (19):1695-702.
- 20. Hiratsuka S, Takahata M, Hojo Y, et al. Increased risk of symptomatic progression of instability following decompression for lumbar canal stenosis in patients receiving chronic glucocorticoids therapy. J Orthop Sci. 2019;24(1):14-8.
- **21.** Yamada K, Satoh S, Abe Y, et al. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis extended to the lumbar segment is a risk factor of reoperation in patients treated surgically for lumbar stenosis. Spine. 2018;43(20):1446-53.
- 22. Cheung PWH, Fong HK, Wong CS, et al. The influence of developmental spinal stenosis on the risk of re-operation on an adjacent segment after decompression-only surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis. Bone Joint J. 2019;101-B(2):154-61.
- 23. Rihn JA, Radcliff K, Hilibrand AS, et al. Does obesity affect outcomes of treatment for lumbar stenosis and degenerative spondy-lolisthesis? Analysis of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). Spine. 2012;37(23):1933-46.
- 24. Burgstaller JM, Held U, Brunner F, et al. The impact of obesity on the outcome of decompression surgery in degenerative lumbar spinal canal stenosis: analysis of the lumbar spinal outcome study (LSOS): a Swiss prospective multicenter cohort study. Spine. 2016;41(1):82-9.
- 25. Giannadakis C, Nerland US, Solheim O, et al. Does obesity affect outcomes after decompressive surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis? A multicenter, observational, registry-based study. World Neurosurg. 2015;84(5):1227-34.
- 26. Knutsson B, Michaëlsson K, Sandén B. Obesity is associated with inferior results after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: a study of 2633 patients from the Swedish spine register. Spine. 2013;38(5): 435-41.
- 27. De la Garza-Ramos R, Bydon M, Abt NB, et al. The impact of obesity on short- and long-term outcomes after lumbar fusion. Spine. 2015;40(1):56-61.
- 28. Aalto T, Sinikallio S, Kröger H, et al. Preoperative predictors for good postoperative satisfaction and functional outcome in lumbar spinal stenosis surgery - a prospective observational study with a two-year follow-up. Scand J Surg. 2012;101(4):255-60.
- **29.** Onda S, Kanayama M, Hashimoto T, et al. Peri-operative complications of lumbar spine surgery in patients over eighty five years of age: a retrospective cohort study. Int Orthop. 2018;42(5):1083-9.
- 30. Gulati S, Nordseth T, Nerland US, et al. Does daily tobacco smoking affect outcomes after microdecompression for degenerative central lumbar spinal stenosis? A multicenter observational registry-based study. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2015;157(7):1157-64.
- **31.** Sanden B, Försth P, Michaëlsson K. Smokers show less improvement than nonsmokers two years after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: a study of 4555 patients from the Swedish spine register. Spine. 2011;36(13):1059-64.
- 32. McKillop AB, Carroll LJ, Battié MC. Depression as a prognostic

factor of lumbar spinal stenosis: a systematic review. Spine J. 2014;14(5):837-46.

- 33. Sinikallio S, Aalto T, Lehto SM, et al. Depressive symptoms predict postoperative disability among patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: a two-year prospective study comparing two age groups. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;32(6):462-8.
- **34.** Sinikallio S, Aalto T, Airaksinen O, et al. Depressive burden in the preoperative and early recovery phase predicts poorer surgery outcome among lumbar spinal stenosis patients: a one-year prospective follow-up study. Spine. 2009;34(23):2573-8.
- **35.** Merrill RK, Zebala LP, Peters C, et al. Impact of depression on patient-reported outcome measures after lumbar spine decompression. Spine. 2018;43(6):434-9.
- 36. Sinikallio S, Aalto T, Koivumaa-Honkanen H, et al. Life dissatisfaction is associated with a poorer surgery outcome and depression among lumbar spinal stenosis patients: a 2-year prospective study. Eur Spine J. 2009;18(8):1187-93.
- **37.** Pakarinen M, Vanhanen S, Sinikallio S, et al. Depressive burden is associated with a poorer surgical outcome among lumbar spinal stenosis patients: a 5-year follow-up study. Spine J. 2014;14(10): 2392-6.
- **38.** Sinikallio S, Aalto T, Airaksinen O, et al. Depression is associated with a poorer outcome of lumbar spinal stenosis surgery: a two-year prospective follow-up study. Spine. 2011;36(8):677-82.
- 39. Takai K, Matsumoto T, Yabusaki H, et al. Surgical complications associated with spinal decompression surgery in a Japanese cohort. J Clin Neurosci. 2016;26:110-5.
- 40. Yoshihara H, Yoneoka D. Incidental dural tear in lumbar spinal decompression and discectomy: analysis of a nationwide database. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013;133(11):1501-8.
- 41. Stromqvist F, Jönsson B, Strömqvist B, Swedish Society of Spinal Surgeons. Dural lesions in decompression for lumbar spinal stenosis: incidence, risk factors and effect on outcome. Eur Spine J. 2012;21(5):825-8.
- **42.** de la Garza-Ramos R, Kerezoudis P, Sciubba DM, et al. The effect of preoperative diagnosis on the incidence of adjacent segment disease after lumbar fusion. J Neurosurg Sci. 2018;62(1):4-9.
- 43. Zhong ZM, Deviren V, Tay B, et al. Adjacent segment disease af-

ter instrumented fusion for adult lumbar spondylolisthesis: incidence and risk factors. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2017;156:29-34.

- **44.** Gerling MC, Leven D, Passias PG, et al. Risk factors for reoperation in patients treated surgically for lumbar stenosis: a subanalysis of the 8-year data from the SPORT trial. Spine. 2016;41(10): 901-9.
- 45. Aalto TJ, Leinonen V, Herno A, et al. Postoperative rehabilitation does not improve functional outcome in lumbar spinal stenosis: a prospective study with 2-year postoperative follow-up. Eur Spine J. 2011;20(8):1331-40.
- **46.** Archer KR, Devin CJ, Vanston SW, et al. Cognitive-behavioralbased physical therapy for patients with chronic pain undergoing lumbar spine surgery: a randomized controlled trial. J Pain. 2016; 17(1):76-89.
- 47. Skolasky RL, Maggard AM, Li D, et al. Health behavior change counseling in surgery for degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis. Part I: improvement in rehabilitation engagement and functional outcomes. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96(7):1200-7.
- **48.** Monticone M, Ferrante S, Teli M, et al. Management of catastrophising and kinesiophobia improves rehabilitation after fusion for lumbar spondylolisthesis and stenosis. A randomised controlled trial. Eur Spine J. 2014;23(1):87-95.
- **49.** Mannion AF, Denzler R, Dvorak J, et al. A randomised controlled trial of post-operative rehabilitation after surgical decompression of the lumbar spine. Eur Spine J. 2007;16(8):1101-17.
- 50. McGregor AH, Doré CJ, Morris TP, et al. ISSLS prize winner: Function After Spinal Treatment, Exercise, and Rehabilitation (FASTER): a factorial randomized trial to determine whether the functional outcome of spinal surgery can be improved. Spine. 2011;36(21):1711-20.
- **51.** Skolasky RL, Maggard AM, Wegener ST, et al. Telephone-based intervention to improve rehabilitation engagement after spinal stenosis surgery: a prospective lagged controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(1):21-30.

Spine Surgery and Related Research is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).