

Fucosyltransferase 8 is Overexpressed and Influences Clinical Outcomes in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients

frontiers

Yiqian Liang¹, Ting Wang², Rui Gao¹, Xi Jia¹, Ting Ji¹, Puyu Shi³, Jianjun Xue¹, Aimin Yang¹, Mingwei Chen³ and Peng Han⁴*

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Xi'an No. 4 Hospital, Xi'an, China, ³Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁴Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

Background: Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), the most prevalent type of lung cancer, is often metastatic and has a poor prognosis. Recent studies have demonstrated an important role for fucosyltransferase 8 (FUT8) in carcinogenesis and cancer progression.

Methods: A meta-analysis with 15 eligible datasets from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) was performed to explore the expression of FUT8 in LUAD. The results were further verified in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, followed by survival analysis using Kaplan-Meier plotter. We also validated the protein expression of FUT8 by immunohistochemistry (IHC). *In vitro* experiments were conducted to determine the biological effects of FUT8 in LUAD cells.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: József Tímár, Semmelweis University, Hungary

> *Correspondence: Peng Han penghanent@hotmail.com

Received: 10 October 2021 Accepted: 19 January 2022 Published: 14 February 2022

Citation:

Liang Y, Wang T, Gao R, Jia X, Ji T, Shi P, Xue J, Yang A, Chen M and Han P (2022) Fucosyltransferase 8 is Overexpressed and Influences Clinical Outcomes in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients. Pathol. Oncol. Res. 28:1610116. doi: 10.3389/pore.2022.1610116 **Results:** The meta-analysis showed the FUT8 expression in LUAD tissues was significantly higher than those in normal lung tissues [standard mean difference (SMD): 1.40; 95% confidence interval (CI): .95–1.85]. The results of TCGA database verified the expression of FUT8 increased in LUAD tissues versus normal tissues. IHC analyses indicated that the protein levels of FUT8 were up-regulated in LUAD, and elevated FUT8 expression was significantly correlated with poor prognosis in LUAD patients. Multivariable Cox regression analysis revealed that FUT8 expression was an independent prognostic factor. Besides, *in vitro* experiments showed that knockdown of FUT8 in LUAD cells markedly restrained cell proliferation, and stimulated cell apoptosis.

Conclusion: This study indicates that increased FUT8 expression is correlated with shortened survival of LUAD patients and might favor the progression of the disease.

Keywords: FUT8, lung adenocarcinoma, bioinformatics analysis, prognosis, proliferation

INTRODUCTION

In China, lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related deaths in both men and women (1). According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017, the mortality for lung cancer increased by 28.2% from 1990 to 2017 in China (2). It is estimated that 774,323 new lung cancer cases occurred in China in 2018, ranking first among all cancer types. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most frequently diagnosed histologic subtype of lung cancer, representing 38.5% of all pulmonary neoplasm cases. Most patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are diagnosed at advanced stages and have a dismal 5-year survival rate of 6.9% (3). Recently, the identification of common driver mutations, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase, leads to the development of many novel targeted therapies that has profoundly changed the survival outcomes of NSCLC patients, especially those with LUAD (4). However, cancer cells often develop inevitably acquired resistance to these molecular targeted drugs after 10-14 months (5). The emergence of drug resistance leads to unsatisfactory clinical effects of targeted drugs and limit their clinical application. Therefore, it is urgently necessary to identify novel and better therapeutic targets for patients with LUAD.

Fucosylation is the process of transferring a fucose residue from donor guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-fucose to oligosaccharide, glycoprotein, and glycolipid acceptors. Fucosyltransferases (FUTs) mediate the addition of fucose in a1,2 (FUT1 and 2), a1,3 (FUT3-7 and FUT9-11), a1,4 (FUT3 and 5) or a1,6 linkage (FUT8) to N- and O-linked glycans, or lipidlinked glycans (6). Fucose can also be added directly to the Ser and Thr residues of glycoprotein acceptors that contain either the epidermal growth factor (EGF) or the thrombospondin type repeat sequences (protein-O-fucosyltransferase 1 and 2, respectively) (7). Fucosylated glycans have crucial roles in blood transfusion reactions, host-microbe interactions, selectin-dependent leukocyte adhesion, and numerous ontogenic events, including ligand-induced Notch signaling events (8).

Core fucosylation (a1,6-fucosylation) consists of the addition of a1,6-fucose to the innermost N-acetylglucosamine residue of N-glycans carried by the glycoproteins (9). Altered core fucosylation has been implicated in malignant transformation, invasion, and metastasis of certain types of cancer, such as hepatocellular, colorectal, breast and ovarian cancers (10-13). Unlike other FUTs with redundant functions, FUT8 is an enzyme that is uniquely responsible for catalyzing the reaction of core fucosylation. Recently, increasing evidence has supported the crucial implication of a1,6-fucosylation by FUT8 in tumor malignancy, antibody-dependent growth and cellular cytotoxicity, as well as cell adhesion and cancer metastasis (11, 14-17). In our previous study, we found that the serum level of core fucosylation was significantly higher in LUAD patients than in healthy controls, and the levels of core fucosylation in the sera had an increasing trend with clinical stages of LUAD (18). However, the understanding of the prognostic significance and underlying role of FUT8 in LUAD is still limited.

In this study, a meta-analysis was first performed to evaluate the expression pattern and latent diagnostic value of FUT8 in LUAD based on data obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Then, we investigated the contributing role of FUT8 in LUAD by mining The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) datasets and using the program Kaplan-Meier plotter, and FUT8 immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining on LUAD samples was also conducted. Moreover, we performed *in vitro* experiments to investigate the biological function of FUT8 in LUAD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatics Analysis

To investigate the expression of FUT8 in LUAD, we performed a meta-analysis based on data from GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/). The following search terms were used to retrieve eligible datasets from GEO: (lung OR pulmonary) AND (cancer OR carcinoma OR adenocarcinoma OR tumor OR malignanc* OR neoplas*). The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) human species; 2) FUT8 expression was examined in both LUAD tissues and non-cancerous tissues; 3) at least three samples were included in each group. The pooled standard mean difference (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated to assess the overall expression level of FUT8 in LUAD. Chi-square test and I^2 statistic were used to evaluate the heterogeneity among included studies. A random-effects model was selected if there was heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$ or p < .05). Otherwise, a fixed-effects model would be selected (19). The stability of the pooled SMD was assessed by sensitivity analysis. Publication bias was ascertained using Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. A summary receiver operating characteristic (sROC) curve was generated to illustrate the diagnostic ability of FUT8 expression for LUAD.

LUAD RNA-Seq datasets of tumor and nontumor tissues was downloaded from TCGA Data Portal (https://portal.gdc. cancer.gov/). The differences in FUT8 expression between tumor and nontumor groups were analyzed using R language. The prognostic value of FUT8 was analyzed by the meta-analysis tool Kaplan-Meier plotter (20). Univariate Cox regression analysis, Kaplan-Meier survival plot with hazard ratio (HR) and log-rank *p*-value were calculated and plotted.

Subject Recruitment and Sample Collection

A total of 92 patients with primary LUAD who underwent surgical resection at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University between January 2008 and July 2013 were enrolled in this study for IHC analysis. They had received no prior radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy, and had available paraffin-embedded specimens. The corresponding adjacent normal tissues were collected from 88 of the 92 LUAD patients. We examined the clinicopathologic features as follows: age at surgical resection, sex, stage, lymph node metastases, differentiation, EGFR mutation status, and overall survival. The study was approved by the ethic committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (No.

Datasets	Region	Year	Platform	Sample size (T/N)	FUT8 expression (mean ± SD)	
					т	N
GSE31210	Japan	2012	GPL570	226/20	175.34 ± 85.16	91.54 ± 16.82
GSE68571	United States	2015	GPL80	86/10	259.10 ± 116.99	124.92 ± 34.67
GSE10072	United States	2008	GPL96	58/49	8.88 ± .63	7.96 ± .30
GSE19188	Netherlands	2010	GPL570	45/65	.55 ± 1.08	27 ± .53
GSE7670	Taiwan	2007	GPL96	26/26	665.98 ± 344.82	328.63 ± 113.07
GSE32863	United States	2012	GPL6884	58/58	8.68 ± .69	7.98 ± .39
GSE136043	China	2020	GPL13497	5/5	9.63 ± .81	7.45 ± .08
GSE130779	China	2020	GPL20115	8/8	56.60 ± 32.64	113.87 ± 71.73
GSE121397	China	2018	GPL17586	3/3	6.43 ± .23	5.82 ± .29
GSE116959	France	2019	GPL17077	57/11	9.78 ± .66	8.88 ± .29
GSE140797	China	2020	GPL13497	7/7	10.05 ± .59	8.19 ± .24
GSE118370	China	2018	GPL570	6/6	10.93 ± .33	9.47 ± .34
GSE74706	Germany	2016	GPL13497	10/10	.73 ± .68	32 ± .36
GSE43458	United States	2013	GPL6244	30/30	9.54 ± .53	8.56 ± .30
GSE21933	Taiwan	2012	GPL6254	11/11	7.20 ± 1.80	8.17 ± .68

FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; T, tumor; N, normal; SD, standard deviation.

XJTU1AF2020LSK-199) and informed consent was obtained from every participant before the initiation of any studyrelated procedure.

Immunohistochemistry Analysis

The method used for immunostaining was the streptavidin-biotin amplified system. Briefly, after deparaffinization and rehydration, antigen retrieval was done by heating in Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0) at 97°C followed by cooling at room temperature. Slides were then incubated with 1000-fold diluted anti-human FUT8 monoclonal antibody (Proteintech, Wuhan, China) at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, the biotinylated secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, United States) was applied to all the sections for 30 min at room temperature, and the sections were washed thrice with PBS, incubated with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vector Laboratories, United States) for 30 min. Following 3-3'-diaminobenzidine color development, slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

FUT8 expression was evaluated using the intensity of staining (0 = negative, 1 = weak, 2 = intermediate, and 3 = strong) and the proportion of stained tumor cells per slide (0–100%) as previously reported (21). FUT8 immunostaining was considered to indicate high expression when \geq 30% of tumor cells with intermediate or strong staining. Cases with negative, weak, and focal staining with 2 or 3 intensity were considered to indicate low expression. The levels of FUT8 were independently evaluated by two pathologists.

Cell Culture and Transfection

Human lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549 was obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank (Shanghai, China), and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). A549 Cells were transfected with FUT8 siRNA or negative control (NC) siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The sequences were si-FUT8 (sense: 5'-GUGGAGUGAUCCUGG

AUAUTT-3', Anti-sense: 5'-AUAUCCAGGAUCACUCCACTT-3'), and si-NC (sense: 5'-UUCUCCGAACGUG UCACGUdTdT-3', Anti-sense: 5'-ACGUGACACGUUCGGAGAAdTdT-3'). After 48 h, the transfection efficiency was verified *via* RT-PCR and western blotting.

Quantitative Real Time RT-PCR

Total RNA were isolated from harvested cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript RT master mix (Perfect Real Time) (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan). RT-PCR analysis of FUT8 and β -actin was performed in a StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, United States) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Perfect Real Time) (TaKaRa). PCR amplifications were conducted using the following protocol: 95°C for 30 s, for 40 cycles at 95°C for 5s (denaturation step), at 60°C for 30 s (annealing/extension steps). The 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ CT} method was used to determine differences in FUT8 expression levels between specimens. The primers used in this work were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and their sequences were included in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Western Blot Analysis

After the indicated treatment, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Beyotime, China) containing proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The Pierce Coomassie Plus colorimetric protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) was used to measure the protein concentration, and approximately 30 μ g of total protein was separated by 8% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose. The membrane was blocked in 5% skim milk for 1 h at room temperature and then overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against FUT8 (Abcam, Waltham, MA, United States) and β -actin (Sigma-Aldrich). After incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States), the blots were visualized using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence Detection Kit (GE Healthcare).



GSE32863. (G) GSE136043. (H) GSE130779. (I) GSE121397. (J) GSE116959. (K) GSE140797. (L) GSE118370. (M) GSE74706. (N) GSE43458. (O) GSE21933. Abbreviations: FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

Cell Proliferation and Apoptosis

Cell proliferation was detected by the cell count kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, 1×10^4 transfected cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates. After 24, 48, or 72 h of cell growth, 10 µl CCK-8 was added to each well and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The

absorbance value was measured in a microplate reader at 450 nm.

For apoptosis measurement, 1×10^5 transfected cells were seeded in 6-well plates with RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS for 48 h. The cells were then harvested, washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, and re-suspended with



confidence interval; sROC, summary receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve.binding buffer at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/ml. Apoptosis*p*-values of <.05</td>was determined by flow cytometry after staining with 7-AAD andbasis of 2-sided

p-values of <.05 were considered statistically significant on the basis of 2-sided testing. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 and GraphPad Prism v5.0.

Statistical Analysis

The relationship between FUT8 expression and clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed using χ^2 test for categorical variables and using unpaired *t* test for continuous variables. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time interval from date of surgery to the date of death, and was censored at last follow-up. Survival data were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses for OS were used to identify the significant prognostic factors in LUAD.

annexin V-APC (Keygen Biotech, Nanjing, China).

RESULTS

Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Fucosyltransferase 8 Expression and Lung Adenocarcinoma Based on GEO Datasets

A total of 15 records with FUT8 expression detected in both LUAD samples and control samples were collected in this study. The basic information on these datasets is included in



FIGURE 3 | TCGA database analysis of differential expression of the FUT8 gene and prognosis analysis in public databases. (A) mRNA expression levels of FUT8 in LUAD tissues and normal tissues obtained from TCGA datasets. (B) ROC curve for FUT8 expression in TCGA LUAD. (C) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival and FUT8 expression in patients with LUAD using the program Kaplan-Meier plotter. Abbreviations: FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; AUC, area under the curve; HR, hazard ratio.

TABLE 2 Analysis of FL	T8 protein expression in LUAD	and adjacent normal
tissues.		

	Case	FUT8 protein expression		<i>p</i> -value
		Low (%)	High (%)	
LUAD tissues	92	40 (43.5%)	52 (56.5%)	1.585 × 10 ⁻⁸
Adjacent normal tissues	88	74 (84.1%)	14 (15.9%)	

FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

Table 1. The expression of FUT8 in each dataset is presented as scatter plots in Figure 1. Due to the divergences in individual studies, we then combined all of the included datasets. The pooled SMD of FUT8 was 1.40 (95% CI = .95-1.85) by the random-effects model (Figure 2A). The I-squared value was 82.8%, and the *p*-value was less than .001. Sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled results were stable (Figure 2B). No publication bias was detected in these studies as indicated by Begg's funnel plot (p = .235) and Egger's test (p = .289) (Figure 2C). Simultaneously, sROC curve was also generated to illustrate the ability of FUT8 expression to differentiate LUAD patients from normal controls. As shown in Figure 2D, the area under the curve (AUC) for FUT8 was .95 (95% CI = .93-.97), with a sensitivity and specificity of .72 (95% CI = .62-.80) and .95 (95% CI = .92-.98), respectively.

Fucosyltransferase 8 Expression Validation in Lung Adenocarcinoma From TCGA Database

The data on 533 LUAD cases (533 cancer tissues and 59 normal lung tissues) with FUT8 expression was extracted from TCGA database. As shown in **Figure 3A**, the expression level of FUT8 in LUAD tissues was significantly elevated compared with normal tissues in TCGA ($\log_2FC = 1.38$, $p = 9.78 \times 10^{-27}$), which was consistent with the result of meta-analysis by GEO datasets. ROC curve was generated to assess the ability of FUT8 differentiating LUAD from normal lung tissues (**Figure 3B**). The AUC was .919 (95% CI = .897-.941, p < .001) with a specificity of 98.3% at a sensitivity of 79.2%.

Survival Analysis of Fucosyltransferase 8 in Lung Adenocarcinoma Using Public Databases

The Kaplan-Meier plotter was used to evaluate the association between FUT8 expression and survival using GEO and TCGA datasets. A total of 672 LUAD patients with FUT8 expression and survival data were categorized into high expression group and low expression group according to the median of all samples. We found that FUT8 overexpression was correlated



fucosyltransferase 8; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

with worse OS in LUAD patients (p = .00015; HR = 1.62; 95% CI = 1.26–2.08) (**Figure 3C**). The median survival of LUAD patients with low FUT8 expression was 136.33 months, while high FUT8 expression cohort had a median survival of 75.43 months.

Expression Validation of Fucosyltransferase 8 in Lung Adenocarcinoma Tissues by IHC

To verify the results from public databases, we examined FUT8 expression in LUAD tissues and their matched adjacent normal tissues by IHC. As shown in **Table 2**, the overexpression rate of FUT8 protein was significantly higher in LUAD (52/92, 56.5%) compared to normal tissues (14/88, 15.9%) ($p = 1.585 \times 10^{-8}$). The representative pictures of FUT8 immunohistochemical expression in LUAD and normal samples are shown in **Figure 4**. The correlations between FUT8 protein expression and clinicopathological features was further investigated in 92 patients with LUAD. The result showed that FUT8 expression was not associated with age, sex, differentiation, tumor status, clinical stage,

lymph node metastases and EGFR mutation (all p > .05; Table 3).

Association Between Fucosyltransferase 8 Expression and Survival in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients

Figure 5A shows the Kaplan-Meier survival curve for OS for high and low FUT8 expression groups. The LUAD patients with low FUT8 expression showed significantly better OS than those with high FUT8 expression (p = .0002). We also assessed the prognostic significance of clinicopathological features for survival of patients with LUAD (**Figure 5**). The results revealed that clinical stage and lymph node metastasis correlated to OS of LUAD patients. The patients with advanced stage LUAD demonstrated significantly worse prognosis than those with early stage LUAD (p = .000005; **Figure 5D**). The lymph node-positive patients showed significantly worse OS than those with negative lymph node metastasis (p = .001; **Figure 5E**).

Univariate analysis revealed that high FUT8 expression (HR = 3.27; 95% CI = 1.70-6.30; p = .0004), advanced stage (HR = 3.45;

TABLE 3 Correlation between FUT8 expression and clinicopathological factors in
92 patients with LUAD.

Characteristics	FUT8 protei	FUT8 protein expression		
	Low (N = 40)	High (<i>N</i> = 52)		
Age, years	61.35 ± 9.01	64.23 ± 10.44	.168	
Sex				
Male	23 (42.5%)	28 (46.2%)	.727	
Female	17 (57.5%)	24 (53.8%)		
Differentiation				
Well/moderate	28 (70.0%)	29 (55.8%)	.163	
Poor	12 (30.0%)	23 (44.2%)		
Tumor status (T)				
T1+T2	29 (72.5%)	44 (84.6%)	.155	
T3+T4	11 (27.5%)	8 (15.4%)		
Stage				
+	27 (67.5%)	32 (61.5%)	.555	
III + IV	13 (32.5%)	20 (38.5%)		
Lymph node metastas	sis			
Negative	22 (55.0%)	21 (40.4%)	.164	
Positive	18 (45.0%)	31 (59.6%)		
EGFR mutation				
Negative	33 (82.5%)	38 (73.1%)	.286	
Positive	7 (17.5%)	14 (26.9%)		

Values are mean \pm SD (standard deviation) or n (%).

FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor.

95% CI = 1.95–6.08; p = .00002), and positive lymph node metastasis (HR = 2.55; 95% CI = 1.40–4.65; p = .002) were associated with shorter OS (**Table 4**). After accounting for the effects of age, sex, differentiation, tumor status, stage and lymph node metastasis, multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that the level of FUT8 expression was an independent predictor of poor OS (HR = 2.87; 95% CI = 1.42–5.77; p = .003; **Table 4**). Additionally, clinical stage was also an independent prognostic factor (HR = 2.81; 95% CI = 1.36–5.83; p = .005; **Table 4**).

Knockdown of Fucosyltransferase 8 Inhibits Lung Adenocarcinoma Cell Proliferation and Triggers Cell Apoptosis

To determine the biological role of FUT8 in LUAD cell proliferation and apoptosis, we established FUT8-knockdown cell lines (A549) using siRNA. RT-PCR and western blot analysis indicated that the expression of FUT8 was dramatically down-regulated on the mRNA and protein level by FUT8 siRNA (**Figure 6A**). As shown in **Figure 6B**, silencing the expression of FUT8 *in vitro* markedly arrested cell proliferation in LUAD cells. In addition, LUAD cells with down-regulated expression of FUT8 showed a significantly increased percentage of apoptotic cells (p < .001; **Figure 6C**).

DISCUSSION

The up-regulation of FUT8 expression has been reported in various types of cancer, including pancreatic, colorectal, thyroid, ovarian and lung cancers, and linked to the severity of malignant tumors (22–25).

For example, in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, FUT8 protein expression was elevated in carcinoma compared with normal pancreatic duct tissues, and FUT8 overexpression was directly correlated with lymph-node metastases and worse relapse-free survival (22). In colorectal carcinoma, a significant increase was observed in the FUT8 expression in tumor tissues as compared to healthy and transitional tissues, inflammatory lesions and adenomas (24). A study by Chen et al. investigated the clinical relevance of FUT8 expression in NSCLC, and indicated up-regulation of FUT8 in NSCLC was associated with distal metastasis, recurrence, and poor survival of patients (26). Another study on NSCLC by Honma et al. showed that high expression of FUT8 was found in 67 of 129 NSCLC patients, and FUT8 expression was significantly more prevalent in non-squamous cell carcinoma than in squamous cell carcinoma cases (21). Zhou et al. (27) conducted RT-PCR and western blot analyses in 13 and 9 LUAD tissues, respectively, and found that the mRNA and protein expression level of FUT8 was significantly increased in LUAD. In the present study, we systemically retrieved previous microarray data in GEO for a meta-analysis to retrospectively investigate the expression levels of FUT8 in LUAD. The pooled results showed that FUT8 was significantly up-regulated in LUAD tissues, and FUT8 expression had a potential diagnostic value for LUAD. The results above were further validated by TCGA data mining. According to the survival analyses using Kaplan-Meier plotter, in LUAD patients, the death rate tended to be higher and the prognosis was likely to be worse under high FUT8 expression.

In this study, the FUT8 expression and its value as a prognostic factor was further confirmed using LUAD specimens and adjacent lung tissues recruited from our hospital. The results of IHC analysis showed FUT8 was indeed overexpressed in LUAD tissues. In a previous study, we investigated the profile of serum N- and O-glycans in NSCLC patients and control individuals using lectin microarrays (18). We observed that the serum levels of a1,6fucosylation identified by Aleuria aurantia Lectin (AAL, a lectin prefers to bind core fucosylation) were elevated in LUAD patients. These results suggested that the increased levels of core fucosylation observed in serum of patients with LUAD might be the result of increased synthesis of core fucosylated proteins in the cancer tissue. In addition, Kaplan-Meier survival curves suggested that increased FUT8 expression was associated with poor prognosis in patients with LUAD. More importantly, FUT8 expression was significantly correlated with OS of LUAD patients both in univariate analysis and multivariate analysis. The result indicated FUT8 expression was an independent risk indicator of OS in LUAD. We also carried out in vitro experiments to uncover the biological function of FUT8 in LUAD. We found that knockdown of FUT8 in LUAD cells markedly repressed cell proliferation and induced cell apoptosis, indicating that FUT8 could drive LUAD development.

There are still some limitations in this study. Due to the relatively small sample size for IHC analysis, further studies with larger cohort of patients are required to validate our findings. Further experiments are indispensable to understand the underlying mechanism of FUT8 promoting LUAD development. Furthermore, core fucosylation of glycoproteins, such as adhesions, integrins and TGF- β 1 (11, 28–30), might be associated with the migratory and invasive ability of LUAD.



TABLE 4 | Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses for overall survival in 92 LUAD patients.

Characteristics	N (%)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p Value
FUT8 expression, high vs. low	52/40 (56.5%/43.5%)	3.27 (1.70-6.30)	.0004*	2.87 (1.42–5.77)	.003*
Age, > 60 vs. \leq 60 years	54/38 (58.7%/41.3%)	1.29 (.73-2.28)	.384	1.24 (.68-2.27)	.478
Sex, male vs. female	51/41 (55.4%/44.6%)	1.44 (.82-2.54)	.205	1.51 (.84-2.73)	.173
Differentiation, poor vs. well/moderate	35/57 (38.0%/62.0%)	1.52 (.87-2.66)	.140	1.09 (.59-2.00)	.787
Tumor status, T3+T4 vs. T1+T2	19/73 (20.7%/79.3%)	1.58 (.82-3.02)	.171	.93 (.42-2.08)	.861
Stage, III + IV vs. I + II	33/59 (35.9%/64.1%)	3.45 (1.95-6.08)	.00002*	2.81 (1.36-5.83)	.005*
Lymph node metastasis, positive vs. negative	49/43 (53.3%/46.7%)	2.55 (1.40-4.65)	.002*	1.58 (.77–2.36)	.213

^{*}p < .05.

. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; FUT8, fucosyltransferase 8; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.



Further investigations focused on the functions of FUT8 in LUAD migration and invasion are needed to conclusively determine its biological role.

In summary, FUT8 is up-regulated in LUAD tissues and increased FUT8 expression is correlated with poor prognosis of LUAD patients. *In vitro* studies show that FUT8 might facilitate cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis in LUAD. These findings indicate that FUT8 might be used as a novel independent marker reliably predicting the clinical outcome in LUAD patients, and has the potential to become a valuable therapeutic target for LUAD.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YL and PH designed and obtained funding for the study. TW, PS, and MC were involved with sample collection and processing. YL, RG, XJ, and TJ conducted IHC and *in vitro* experiments. YL, PH, AY, and JX conducted the statistical analyses. YL drafted the original manuscript. All authors revised the article and approved the final version to be published.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81902322), Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi Province (grant number 2020JQ-526), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities in Xi'an Jiaotong University (grant number xzy012019109), and Key Research and Development Project of Science and Technology of Shaanxi Province (grant number 2018KW-039).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the pathologists, Jiaojiao Zhang and Jie Lian, from the department of pathology at First Affiliated

REFERENCES

- Feng R-M, Zong Y-N, Cao S-M, Xu R-H Current Cancer Situation in China: Good or Bad News from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics? *Cancer Commun* (2019) 39(1):22. doi:10.1186/s40880-019-0368-6
- Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, Yin P, Zhu J, Chen W, et al. Mortality, Morbidity, and Risk Factors in China and its Provinces, 1990-2017: a Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* (2019) 394(10204): 1145–58. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(19)30427-1
- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Miller D, Brest A, Yu M, et al. editors. based on November 2019 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2017. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute (2020). Available at: https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/ 1975_2017/ (Accessed March 1, 2021).
- Ruiz-Ceja KA, Chirino YI Current FDA-Approved Treatments for Non-small Cell Lung Cancer and Potential Biomarkers for its Detection. *Biomed Pharmacother* (2017) 90:24–37. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.018
- Camidge DR, Pao W, Sequist LV Acquired Resistance to TKIs in Solid Tumours: Learning from Lung Cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2014) 11(8): 473–81. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.104
- Mollicone R, Moore SEH, Bovin N, Garcia-Rosasco M, Candelier J-J, Martinez-Duncker I, et al. Activity, Splice Variants, Conserved Peptide Motifs, and Phylogeny of Two New α1,3-Fucosyltransferase Families (FUT10 and FUT11). J Biol Chem (2009) 284(7):4723–38. doi:10.1074/jbc. M809312200
- Ma B, Simala-Grant JL, Taylor DE Fucosylation in Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes. *Glycobiology* (2006) 16(12):158R–184R. doi:10.1093/glycob/ cwl040
- Becker DJ, Lowe JB Fucose: Biosynthesis and Biological Function in Mammals. *Glycobiology* (2003) 13(7):41R–53R. doi:10.1093/glycob/ cwg054
- Pinho SS, Reis CA Glycosylation in Cancer: Mechanisms and Clinical Implications. Nat Rev Cancer (2015) 15(9):540–55. doi:10.1038/nrc3982
- Abbott KL, Nairn AV, Hall EM, Horton MB, McDonald JF, Moremen KW, et al. Focused Glycomic Analysis of the N-Linked Glycan Biosynthetic Pathway in Ovarian Cancer. *Proteomics* (2008) 8(16):3210–20. doi:10.1002/ pmic.200800157
- 11. Osumi D, Takahashi M, Miyoshi E, Yokoe S, Lee SH, Noda K, et al. Core Fucosylation of E-Cadherin Enhances Cell-Cell Adhesion in Human colon Carcinoma WiDr Cells. *Cancer Sci* (2009) 100(5):888–95. doi:10.1111/j.1349-7006.2009.01125.x
- Comunale MA, Lowman M, Long RE, Krakover J, Philip R, Seeholzer S, et al. Proteomic Analysis of Serum Associated Fucosylated Glycoproteins in the Development of Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Proteome Res (2006) 5(2):308–15. doi:10.1021/pr050328x
- Potapenko IO, Haakensen VD, Lüders T, Helland A, Bukholm I, Sørlie T, et al. Glycan Gene Expression Signatures in normal and Malignant Breast Tissue; Possible Role in Diagnosis and Progression. *Mol Oncol* (2010) 4(2):98–118. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2009.12.001
- Okazaki A, Shoji-Hosaka E, Nakamura K, Wakitani M, Uchida K, Kakita S, et al. Fucose Depletion from Human IgG1 Oligosaccharide Enhances Binding

Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University for evaluating FUT8 expression in IHC staining.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.por-journal.com/articles/10.3389/pore.2022.1610116/ full#supplementary-material

Enthalpy and Association Rate between IgG1 and FcγRIIIa. J Mol Biol (2004) 336(5):1239–49. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.01.007

- Shinkawa T, Nakamura K, Yamane N, Shoji-Hosaka E, Kanda Y, Sakurada M, et al. The Absence of Fucose but Not the Presence of Galactose or Bisecting N-Acetylglucosamine of Human IgG1 Complex-type Oligosaccharides Shows the Critical Role of Enhancing Antibodydependent Cellular Cytotoxicity. J Biol Chem (2003) 278(5):3466–73. doi:10.1074/jbc.M210665200
- Wang X, Gu J, Ihara H, Miyoshi E, Honke K, Taniguchi N Core Fucosylation Regulates Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Mediated Intracellular Signaling. J Biol Chem (2006) 281(5):2572–7. doi:10.1074/ jbc.M510893200
- Wang X, Inoue S, Gu J, Miyoshi E, Noda K, Li W, et al. From the Cover: Dysregulation of TGF- 1 Receptor Activation Leads to Abnormal Lung Development and Emphysema-like Phenotype in Core Fucose-Deficient Mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci (2005) 102(44):15791–6. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0507375102
- Liang Y, Han P, Wang T, Ren H, Gao L, Shi P, et al. Stage-associated Differences in the Serum N- and O-Glycan Profiles of Patients with Nonsmall Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Proteom* (2019) 16:20. doi:10.1186/s12014-019-9240-6
- Xiong D-d., Li Z-y., Liang L, He R-q., Ma F-c., Luo D-z., et al. The LncRNA NEAT1 Accelerates Lung Adenocarcinoma Deterioration and Binds to Mir-193a-3p as a Competitive Endogenous RNA. *Cell Physiol Biochem* (2018) 48(3):905–18. doi:10.1159/000491958
- Győrffy B, Surowiak P, Budczies J, Lánczky A Online Survival Analysis Software to Assess the Prognostic Value of Biomarkers Using Transcriptomic Data in Non-small-cell Lung Cancer. *PloS one* (2013) 8(12):e82241. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082241
- Honma R, Kinoshita I, Miyoshi E, Tomaru U, Matsuno Y, Shimizu Y, et al. Expression of Fucosyltransferase 8 Is Associated with an Unfavorable Clinical Outcome in Non-small Cell Lung Cancers. Oncology (2015) 88(5):298–308. doi:10.1159/000369495
- 22. Tada K, Ohta M, Hidano S, Watanabe K, Hirashita T, Oshima Y, et al. Fucosyltransferase 8 Plays a Crucial Role in the Invasion and Metastasis of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Surg Today* (2020) 50(7):767–77. doi:10. 1007/s00595-019-01953-z
- 23. Ito Y, Miyauchi A, Yoshida H, Uruno T, Nakano K, Takamura Y, et al. Expression of α1,6-fucosyltransferase (FUT8) in Papillary Carcinoma of the Thyroid: its Linkage to Biological Aggressiveness and Anaplastic Transformation. *Cancer Lett* (2003) 200(2):167–72. doi:10.1016/s0304-3835(03)00383-5
- 24. Muinelo-Romay L, Vázquez-Martín C, Villar-Portela S, Cuevas E, Gil-Martín E, Fernández-Briera A Expression and Enzyme Activity of $\alpha(1,6)$ fucosyltransferase in Human Colorectal Cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2008) 123(3): 641–6. doi:10.1002/ijc.23521
- Takahashi T, Ikeda Y, Miyoshi E, Yaginuma Y, Ishikawa M, Taniguchi N ? 1,6fucosyltransferase Is Highly and Specifically Expressed in Human Ovarian Serous Adenocarcinomas. *Int J Cancer* (2000) 88(6):914–9. doi:10.1002/1097-0215(20001215)88:6<914::aid-ijc12>3.0.co;2-1
- 26. Chen CY, Jan YH, Juan YH, Yang CJ, Huang MS, Yu CJ, et al. Fucosyltransferase 8 as a Functional Regulator of Nonsmall Cell Lung

Cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America (2013) 110(2):630-5. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1220425110

- Zhou W, Ma H, Deng G, Tang L, Lu J, Chen X Clinical Significance and Biological Function of Fucosyltransferase 2 in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(57):97246–59. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.21896
- Zhao Y, Itoh S, Wang X, Isaji T, Miyoshi E, Kariya Y, et al. Deletion of Core Fucosylation on α3β1 Integrin Down-Regulates its Functions. J Biol Chem (2006) 281(50):38343–50. doi:10.1074/jbc.M608764200
- 29. Wang Y, Fukuda T, Isaji T, Lu J, Im S, Hang Q, et al. Loss of αl,6-fucosyltransferase Inhibits Chemical-induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Tumorigenesis by Down-regulating Several Cell Signaling Pathways. FASEB j. (2015) 29(8):3217–27. doi:10.1096/fj.15-270710
- 30. Tu C-F, Wu M-Y, Lin Y-C, Kannagi R, Yang R-B FUT8 Promotes Breast Cancer Cell Invasiveness by Remodeling TGF-β Receptor Core Fucosylation. *Breast Cancer Res* (2017) 19(1):19. doi:10.1186/s13058-017-0904-8

Copyright © 2022 Liang, Wang, Gao, Jia, Ji, Shi, Xue, Yang, Chen and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.