Association between serum sodium level trajectories and survival in patients with heart failure

Yan-Mei Xia^{1,2}, Shan Wang³, Wei-Dong Wu^{1,2} and Ji-Fang Liang^{1,2*}

¹Department of Intensive Care Unit, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030032, Shanxi, China; ²Department of Intensive Care Unit, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, China; and ³Shanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Jinzhong 030619, Shanxi, China

Abstract

Aims The effect of changes in serum sodium levels on the survival of patients with heart failure (HF) is unclear. We aimed to analyse the impact of serum sodium level trajectories on survival in intensive care unit (ICU) patients with HF.

Methods A total of 4760 patients diagnosed with HF between 2001 and 2012 from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMIC-III) database were extracted. Of these patients, 1132 patients who died within 48 h of ICU admission were excluded, and 3628 patients were included in this retrospective cohort study. Sodium levels were measured at baseline, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, and 48 h. Patients were divided into hyponatremia, normal, and hypernatremia groups based on baseline sodium levels, and trajectory modelling was performed for each group separately. Group-based trajectory model (GBTM) method was utilized to identify serum sodium levels trajectories.

Results The number of patients with hyponatremia (<135 mmol/L), normal sodium levels (135–145 mmol/L), and hypernatremia (>145 mmol/L) at baseline were 594 (16.37%), 2,738 (75.47%), and 296 (8.16%), respectively. A total of seven trajectory groups were identified, including hyponatremia-slow rise group [initial levels (IL), 128.48 ± 5.42 mmol/L; end levels (EL), 131.23 ± 3.83 mmol/L], hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group (IL, 132.13 ± 2.18 mmol/L; EL, 137.46 ± 3.68 mmol/L), normal-slow decline group (IL, 137.65 ± 2.15 mmol/L; EL, 134.50 ± 2.54 mmol/L), normal-steady-state group (IL, 139.04 ± 2.58 mmol/L), normal-slow rise group (IL, 140.94 ± 2.37 mmol/L; EL, 143.43 ± 2.89 mmol/L), hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group (IL, 146.31 ± 1.98 mmol/L; EL, 140.71 ± 3.61 mmol/L), and hypernatremia-slow decline group (IL, 148.89 ± 5.54 mmol/L; EL, 146.28 ± 3.90 mmol/L). The results showed that hyponatremia-slow rise group [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.35; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.01–1.80, *P* = 0.040], hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group (HR = 1.46; 95% CI, 1.08–1.97, *P* = 0.014), and hypernatremia-slow decline group (HR = 1.46; 95% CI, 1.08–1.97, *P* = 0.014), and hypernatremia-slow decline group (HR = 1.46; 95% CI, 1.01–1.57, *P* = 0.038) and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group (HR = 1.36; 95% CI, 1.01–1.84, *P* = 0.047) trajectories were still related to an increased risk of 1-year mortality in patients with HF.

Conclusions Serum sodium level trajectories were associated with mortality in patients with HF. Association between serum sodium level trajectories and prognosis in patients with HF deserve further study.

Keywords Heart failure; Sodium levels; Trajectory; Survival; Hyponatremia; Hypernatremia

Received: 30 May 2022; Revised: 15 September 2022; Accepted: 19 September 2022

*Correspondence to: Ji-Fang Liang, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, No. 99 Longcheng Street, Xiaodian District, Taiyuan 030032, Shanxi, China. Tel: +86 15834049774. Email: dongkekeer@163.com

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is the most common cardiovascular cause of hospitalization among individuals over 60 years of age,

with costly, disabling, and increasing characteristics.¹ The global prevalence and mortality of HF in 2020 were reported to be 6.11 million and 0.37 million, respectively.² Factors contributing to the increased prevalence of HF include an

© 2022 The Authors. ESC Heart Failure published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

ageing population and increased population of associated risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, and obesity), and treatment prolongs survival in HF patients.^{3,4} Mortality in HF patients is high, exceeding 56% within 5 years of diagnosis,⁵ and more than 30% of patients require readmission within 90 days of discharge.⁶ Several studies indicated that serum sodium levels were associated with the prognosis of HF patients.^{7,8}

Hyponatremia (serum sodium levels <135 mmol/L) is observed in approximately 20–30% of hospitalized patients with HF.9,10 Numerous studies demonstrated that both hyponatremia and hypernatremia (serum sodium levels >145 mmol/L) are associated with poor prognosis in patients admitted to intensive care units (ICU).^{11–13} However, these studies typically only assessed the effect of serum sodium levels at admission or discharge on patient prognosis. The trajectory of serum sodium changes in patients after admission may be more clinically valuable for monitoring prognosis. Matsue et al. found that the trajectory of sodium levels in the acute phase was related to prognosis in patients with acute HF, but not with baseline sodium levels.¹⁴ Inadequately, previous study focused on the impact of sodium dipping (a fall below the sodium level at admission/baseline) and excluded patients with hypernatremia, without exploring the effect of different sodium trajectories at baseline on outcomes in patients with HF. Different serum sodium levels at admission may correspond to different trajectories, and the impact of these trajectories on the prognosis of patients with HF has not been reported.

Herein, we aimed to group HF patients according to their baseline serum sodium levels. Changes in serum sodium levels in different groups of patients were identified, and the impact of different sodium level trajectories on patient survival was explored.

Methods

Data source and patients

Data used in this retrospective cohort study were extracted from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMIC-III) database between 2001 and 2012. MIMIC-III is a large single-centre database containing hospital-related information on 53 423 adult patients (16 years or older) admitted to the ICU between 2001 and 2012. Data includes demographics, clinical measurement, intervention, medical history, clinical laboratory tests and medical data. HF was identified by the International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision (ICD-9) codes (4280 to 4289 and 39891) in the MIMIC-III database. Patients who met the following criteria were included: (i) diagnosed as HF at ICU admission; (ii) aged \geq 18; and (iii) taken serum sodium measurements at least nine times within 48 h of ICU admission. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) missing survival information and (ii) dying within 48 h of ICU admission. For patients with multiple admissions during the study period, only data from the first hospital admission were collected for analysis. All included patients had nine measurements of serum sodium levels, the initial serum sodium measurements before ICU admission, and the eight serum sodium levels measurements within 48 h of ICU admission. Serum sodium values were measured every 6 h for 48 h after admission to the ICU (at 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, and 48 h). MIMIC-III database was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The requirement for individual patient consent was waived as the relevant content of the database did not affect clinical care and all protected health information was de-identified.¹⁵

Variables

Patient demographic, laboratory test results, medications use, and medical history were collected, including age (≥18 years), sex (male and female), ethnicity (Asian, Hispanic, black, white, and others), body mass index (BMI), respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), partial pressure of oxygen (PO₂), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO₂), saturation of peripheral oxygen (SPO₂), total bilirubin, base excess, sodium, potassium, lactate, white blood cells (WBC), haemoglobin, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), Oxford Acute Severity of Illness (OASIS), beta-blocker (yes and no), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI, ves and no), angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB, yes and no), digoxin (yes and no), diuretics (yea and no), implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD, yes and no), cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT, yes and no), Charlson co-morbidity index (CCI), and follow-up time. Serum sodium levels can be divided into hyponatremia (<135 mmol/L), normal sodium levels (135-145 mmol/L), and hypernatremia $(>145 \text{ mmol/L}).^{16}$

Sodium level trajectories grouping

The group-based trajectory model (GBTM) method was utilized to identify serum sodium levels with similar developmental trajectories.¹⁷ Patients were divided into three groups according to their serum sodium levels before admission to the ICU: hyponatremia, normal sodium levels, and hypernatremia. The number of trajectories in each group was confirmed using GBTM method. The detailed process was as follows. The number of groups and the order of the survey wave polynomial functions were determined by constructing a base model with no covariates. The model with

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of all included patients

Variables	Total (<i>n</i> = 3628)	1-year survival $(n = 2755)$	1-year mortality $(n = 873)$	Statistics	Р
Age, years, mean \pm SD Sex. <i>n</i> (%)	70.69 ± 13.53	69.62 ± 13.77	74.09 ± 12.13	t = -9.19 $\gamma^2 = 1.090$	<0.001 0.296
Female	1698 (46.80)	1276 (46.32)	422 (48.34)	λ	
Male	1930 (53.20)	1479 (53.68)	451 (51.66)	2	
Ethnicity, n (%)	CE (1 70)	E1 (1 OE)	14 (1 60)	χ ² = 3.283	0.512
Asian Hispanic	76 (2,09)	51 (1.85) 58 (2.11)	14 (1.60) 18 (2.06)		
Black	284 (7.83)	224 (8.13)	60 (6.87)		
White	2648 (72.99)	1991 (72.27)	657 (75.26)		
Others	555 (15.30)	431 (15.64)	124 (14.20)		
BMI, mean \pm SD	28.99 ± 8.66	28.74 ± 8.48	29.79 ± 9.14	t = -3.02	0.003
Respiratory rate, M (Q_1 , Q_3)	18.00(14.00, 23.00)	18.00(14.00, 23.00)	19.00(15.00, 24.00)	2 = 3.838	< 0.001
SBP mmHq mean \pm SD	30.27 ± 20.30 121 59 + 26 20	121 88 + 26 12	120.65 + 26.45	t = -0.55 t = 1.21	0.738
DBP, M (O_1 , O_3)	60.00 (50.00, 71.00)	60.00 (51.00, 72.00)	59.00 (49.00, 71.00)	Z = -1.862	0.063
PO_2 , M (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	109.00 (72.00, 240.00)	113.00 (73.00, 259.00)	98.00 (68.00, 196.00)	Z = -4.566	< 0.001
PCO ₂ , M (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	41.00 (35.00, 48.00)	41.00 (36.00, 47.00)	41.00 (35.00, 49.00)	Z = 0.337	0.736
SPO_2 , mean \pm SD	96.71 ± 5.92	96.74 ± 6.22	96.64 ± 4.89	t = 0.48	0.633
Base excess, M (Q_1, Q_3)	0.00(-3.00, 3.00)	0.00(-3.00, 3.00)	0.00(-3.00, 3.00)	Z = -1.480	0.139
Sodium mmol/L mean + SD	0.60(0.40, 1.00) 138 0/ + 5 2/	0.60 (0.40, 1.00) 138 18 + 5 12	0.60 (0.40, 1.00)	2 = -0.750 t = 2.66	0.450
Potassium, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	4.38 ± 0.86	4.34 ± 0.83	4.51 ± 0.95	t = -4.78	< 0.000
Lactate, mmol/L, M (Q_1 , Q_3)	1.70 (1.20, 2.50)	1.60 (1.20, 2.50)	1.70 (1.20, 2.50)	Z = 0.871	0.384
WBC, k/uL, M (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	10.30 (7.30, 14.40)	10.20 (7.30, 14.20)	10.30 (7.30, 14.80)	Z = 0.472	0.637
Haemoglobin, g/dl, mean \pm SD	11.53 ± 2.20	11.68 ± 2.22	11.04 ± 2.06	t = 7.83	< 0.001
OASIS, mean \pm SD	24.89 ± 7.04	24.62 ± 7.03	25.77 ± 7.01	t = -4.24	< 0.001
Beta-blocker $p(\%)$	11.00 (6.00, 15.00)	11.00 (5.00, 15.00)	12.00 (7.00, 15.00)	z = 2.041 $\sqrt{2} - 21.685$	0.008
No	2256 (62,18)	1655 (60.07)	601 (68,84)	χ = 21.005	<0.001
Yes	1372 (37.82)	1100 (39.93)	272 (31.16)		
ACEI, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 11.215$	< 0.001
No	2459 (67.78)	1827 (66.32)	632 (72.39)		
Yes	1169 (32.22)	928 (33.68)	241 (27.61)	$u^2 = 0.000$	0 000
ARB, // (%)	3449 (95 07)	2619 (95.06)	830 (95 07)	$\chi = 0.000$	0.990
Yes	179 (4.93)	136 (4.94)	43 (4.93)		
Diuretics, n (%)				$\chi^2 = 13.639$	< 0.001
No	727 (20.04)	514 (18.66)	213 (24.40)		
Yes	2901 (79.96)	2241 (81.34)	660 (75.60)	2 44755	0.004
Digoxin, n (%)	22/7 (00 50)	2406 (00 60)	751 (96 02)	$\chi = 14.755$	<0.001
Yes	381 (10 50)	259 (940)	122 (13 97)		
ICD, n (%)	561 (10.50)	200 (0.10)	122 (13.37)	$\chi^2 = 6.235$	0.013
No	3558 (98.07)	2693 (97.75)	865 (99.08)	<i>,</i> c	
Yes	70 (1.93)	62 (2.25)	8 (0.92)	2	
CRT, n (%)		2725 (00.27)	0.07 (00.21)	$\chi^2 = 0.014$	0.906
NO Vos	3602 (99.28)	2/35 (99.27)	6 (0 69)		
CCL mean + SD	3.54 + 2.01	3.49 + 1.99	3.72 + 2.06	t = -2.95	0.003
Hyponatremia (0 h), mean \pm SD	130.92 ± 3.97	130.97 ± 4.13	130.79 ± 4.13	t = 0.54	0.586
Normal sodium (0 h), mean \pm SD	139.21 ± 2.55	139.24 ± 2.52	139.09 ± 2.63	t = 1.27	0.204
Hypernatremia (0 h), mean \pm SD	147.43 ± 4.14	147.42 ± 4.14	14.47 ± 4.18	t = -0.09	0.925
Sodium 0 h, mmol/L, mean ± SD	138.52 ± 5.02	138.58 ± 4.89	138.35 ± 5.40	t = 1.11	0.265
Sodium 6 n, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	138.57 ± 5.02 138.69 + 4.83	138.62 ± 4.90 138.71 + 4.70	138.42 ± 5.39 138.64 + 5.22	t = 0.96 t = 0.33	0.336
Sodium 12 h, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	138.76 ± 4.64	138.75 ± 4.55	138.80 + 4.94	t = -0.27	0.791
Sodium 24 h, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	138.74 ± 4.64	138.72 ± 4.54	138.82 ± 4.92	t = -0.53	0.596
Sodium 30 h, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	138.61 ± 4.65	138.54 ± 4.60	138.82 ± 4.79	t = -1.58	0.114
Sodium 36 h, mmol/L, mean \pm SD	138.56 ± 4.64	138.49 ± 4.59	138.79 ± 4.79	t = -1.64	0.100
Sodium 42 h, mmol/L, mean ± SD	138.59 ± 4.61	138.51 ± 4.58	138.82 ± 4.70	t = -1.74	0.082
Source 48 n, mmol/L, mean \pm SD Follow time days M (Q1 Q3)	138.07 ± 4.08 365.00 (365.00 365.00)	138.30 ± 4.66 365 00 (365 00 365 00)	138.99 ± 4.72	$\tau = -2.34$ 750 / 72	0.020
Trajectories, n (%)	555.00 (505.00, 505.00)	555.00 (505.00, 505.00)	123.31 (12.10, 220.33)	$\chi^2 = 19.529$	0.003
Group 1	197 (5.43)	141 (5.12)	56 (6.41)		2.000
Group 2	397 (10.94)	284 (10.31)	113 (12.94)		

(Continues)

Total (<i>n</i> = 3628)	1-year survival (n = 2755)	1-year mortality $(n = 873)$	Statistics	Р
737 (20.31)	578 (20.98)	159 (18.21)		
1,331 (36.69)	1,042 (37.82)	289 (33.10)		
670 (18.47)	504 (18.29)	166 (19.01)		
167 (4.60)	117 (4.25)	50 (5.73)		
129 (3.56)	89 (3.23)	40 (4.58)		
	Total (<i>n</i> = 3628) 737 (20.31) 1,331 (36.69) 670 (18.47) 167 (4.60) 129 (3.56)	Total ($n = 3628$)1-year survival ($n = 2755$)737 (20.31)578 (20.98)1,331 (36.69)1,042 (37.82)670 (18.47)504 (18.29)167 (4.60)117 (4.25)129 (3.56)89 (3.23)	1-year survival (n = 3628)1-year survival (n = 2755)1-year mortality (n = 873)737 (20.31)578 (20.98)159 (18.21)1,331 (36.69)1,042 (37.82)289 (33.10)670 (18.47)504 (18.29)166 (19.01)167 (4.60)117 (4.25)50 (5.73)129 (3.56)89 (3.23)40 (4.58)	1-year survival (n = 2755)1-year mortality (n = 873)Statistics737 (20.31)578 (20.98)159 (18.21)1,331 (36.69)1,042 (37.82)289 (33.10)670 (18.47)504 (18.29)166 (19.01)167 (4.60)117 (4.25)50 (5.73)129 (3.56)89 (3.23)40 (4.58)

Table 1 (continued)

ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson co-morbidity index; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; OASIS, Oxford Acute Severity of Illness; PCO₂, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PO2, partial pressure of oxygen; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SPO², saturation of peripheral oxygen; WBC, white blood cells.

Trajectory group 1, hyponatremia-slow rise group; group 2, hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group; group 3, normal-slow decline group; group 4, normal-steady state group; group 5, normal-slow rise group; group 6, hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group; group 7, hypernatremia-slow decline group.

the highest probability of trajectories and based on the goodness-of-fit statistic by the Bayesian information criterion (BIC, BIC absolute value closest to zero) was determined to be the best fit model. Assign each patient to the matched trajectory groups based on maximum likelihood estimation to estimate the probability of variance of serum sodium value in each group.

Statistical analysis

Normality of data distribution was evaluated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range [M (Q1, Q3)], and the *t*-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for comparison between groups. Categorical variables were reported as number and percentage [n (%)], and the chi-square test was utilized for comparison between groups. The Kaplan-Meier curves were used to compare differences in survival between trajectories groups. Variables found to be predictive of mortality with P < 0.05 at the univariable analysis were introduced into the multivariable Cox regression analysis (stepwise regression). The following models were used in the Cox regression analysis: (i) unadjusted model and (ii) multivariable model that adjusted for age, BMI, respiratory rate, PO₂, potassium, haemoglobin, OASIS score, beta-blocker, diuretics, and digoxin. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used for results evaluation. A two-side P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients

A total of 4760 patients diagnosed with HF between 2001 and 2012 were extracted from the MIMIC-III database. One

thousand one hundred thirty-two patients who died within 48 h of ICU admission were excluded, and 3628 patients were eventually included in the study. Table 1 displays the baseline characteristics of included patients. The mean age and BMI of patients were 70.69 ± 13.53 years and 28.99 \pm 8.66 kg/m², respectively. The number of male patients was 1930 (53.20%). The mean Charlson co-morbidity index of patients was 3.54 ± 2.01. The mean serum sodium levels in patients with hyponatremia (<135 mmol/L), normal sodium levels (135-145 mmol/L), and hypernatremia (>145 mmol/L) at baseline were 130.92 ± 3.97 mmol/L, 139.21 ± 2.55 mmol/L, and 147.43 ± 4.14 mmol/L, respectively. The numbers of patients with hyponatremia, normal sodium levels, and hypernatremia at baseline were 594 (16.37%), 2,738 (75.47%), and 296 (8.16%), respectively. At the end of the 1-year follow-up period, 873 (24.06%) patients died, and the median follow-up time was 123. 91 (72.10, 220.33) days.

Analysis of differences between survival and death patients displayed that significant differences were observed in age, BMI, respiratory rate, PO₂, sodium, potassium, haemoglobin, OASIS score, GCS score, beta-blocker, ACEI, diuretics, digoxin, ICD, and follow-up time (all P < 0.05; *Table 1*).

Serum sodium levels trajectory groups

The numbers of trajectories in the hyponatremia group, normal sodium levels group, and hypernatremia group were confirmed using the GBTM method. A total of seven trajectory groups were identified, including two trajectories in the hyponatremia group, three trajectories in the normal sodium levels group, and two trajectories in the hypernatremia group (*Table 2* and *Figure 1*): hyponatremia-slow rise group [Group 1; initial levels, 128.48 ± 5.42 mmol/L; end levels, 131.23 ± 3.83 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), 1.00 (-1.00, 6.00)], hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group [Group 2; initial levels, 132.13 ± 2.18 mmol/L; end levels, 137.46 ± 3.68 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), 5.00

(2.00, 8.00)], normal-slow decline group [Group 3; initial 137.65 ± 2.15 mmol/L: levels, end levels. 134.50 ± 2.54 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), -3.00 (-5.00, -1.00)], normal-steady-state group [Group 4; initial 139.20 ± 2.26 mmol/L: end levels levels 139.04 \pm 2.58 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), 0.00 (-2.00, 2.00)], normal-slow rise group [Group 5; initial levels, 140.94 ± 2.37 mmol/L; end levels, 143.43 ± 2.89 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), 2.00 (0.00, 5.00)], hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group [Group 6; initial levels, 146.31 ± 1.98 mmol/L; end levels, 140.71 ± 3.61 mmol/ L; change in sodium levels (Δ), -5.00 (-8.00, -2.00)], and hypernatremia-slow decline group [Group 7; initial levels, 148.89 ± 5.54 mmol/L; end levels, 146.28 ± 3.90 mmol/L; change in sodium levels (Δ), -1.00 (-4.00, 1.00)].

Effect of serum sodium level trajectory groups on 1-year survival in patients with HF

The association between serum sodium level trajectories and 1-year survival of HF patients was shown in Table 3. Because the sodium trajectory group 4 was a steady-state group with normal sodium levels, it was used as the reference group. The results indicated that hyponatremia-slow rise group (Group 1; HR = 1.35; 95% Cl, 1.01–1.80), hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group (Group 2; HR = 1.37; 95% Cl, 1.11-1.71), hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group (Group 6; HR = 1.46; 95% CI, 1.08-1.97), and hypernatremia-slow decline group (Group 7; HR = 1.49; 95% CI, 1.07-2.07) trajectories were associated with an increased risk of 1-year mortality in HF patients compared with the Group 4. After adjustment for age, BMI, respiratory rate, PO₂, potassium, haemoglobin, beta-blocker, diuretics, OASIS score, and digoxin, hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group (Group 2; HR = 1.26; 95% CI, 1.01–1.57) and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group (Group 6; HR = 1.36; 95% CI, 1.01-1.84) trajectories were still related to an increased risk of 1-year mortality in patients with HF.

Figure 2 shows the 1-year cumulative mortality rate for different serum sodium level trajectory groups. The results indicated that patients with the hypernatremia-slow decline group (Group 7) and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group (Group 6) trajectories had the highest 1-year cumulative mortality rate than those in other groups. Patients with the hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group (Group 2) and hyponatremia-slow rise group (Group 1) trajectories also had a higher 1-year cumulative mortality rate than that of the normal group (Group 4). Furthermore, the 1-year cumulative mortality rate of patients in the normal-slow decline group (Group 3) trajectory was the same as in the normalsteady-state group (Group 4).

<u> </u>
1
σ
~
\geq
-
0
+
0
e de la constante de la consta
· —
σ
<u> </u>
+
_
e de la constante de la consta
5
ā
<u> </u>
_
12
_
.=
0
õ
<u> </u>
01
-
=
5
Ψ
S
<u> </u>
ò
0
S
- či
· <i>≚</i> .
<u> </u>
S
· 🖂
5
گ
T.
×
10
1
r0
_
0
\sim
\sim
1.4
d)
<u> </u>
0
_
_
a

sdno

			ver trajectory groups					
Variables	Total $(n = 3628)$	Group 1 $(n = 197)$	Group 2 (<i>n</i> = 397)	Group 3 $(n = 737)$	Group 4 (<i>n</i> = 1331)	Group 5 (n = 670)	Group 6 $(n = 167)$	Group 7 (<i>n</i> = 129)
Sodium 0 h, mean ± SD	138.52 ± 5.02	128.48 ± 5.41	132.13 ± 2.18	137.65 ± 2.15	139.20 ± 2.26	140.94 ± 2.37	146.31 ± 1.98	148.89 ± 5.54
Sodium 6 h, mean ± SD	138.57 ± 5.02	129.07 ± 5.22	135.58 ± 3.60	135.90 ± 3.07	138.94 ± 2.36	142.57 ± 3.41	142.16 ± 3.89	148.47 ± 5.56
Sodium 12 h, mean ± SD	138.69 ± 4.83	129.41 ± 4.77	136.12 ± 3.45	135.57 ± 2.73	139.08 ± 2.09	143.09 ± 3.15	141.65 ± 3.58	148.01 ± 4.78
Sodium 18 h, mean ± SD	138.76 ± 4.64	129.70 ± 4.29	136.68 ± 3.23	135.34 ± 2.53	139.08 ± 1.94	143.33 ± 2.39	141.62 ± 3.36	147.83 ± 4.34
Sodium 24 h, mean ± SD	138.74 ± 4.64	130.05 ± 4.07	136.96 ± 3.18	134.96 ± 2.44	139.06 ± 1.83	143.65 ± 2.35	140.88 ± 3.76	147.50 ± 4.16
Sodium 30 h, mean ± SD	138.61 ± 4.65	130.44 ± 3.79	137.01 ± 3.26	134.49 ± 2.41	138.95 ± 1.89	143.70 ± 2.34	140.41 ± 3.64	147.26 ± 4.00
Sodium 36 h, mean ± SD	138.56 ± 4.64	130.58 ± 3.91	137.17 ± 3.40	134.33 ± 2.39	138.90 ± 1.94	143.61 ± 2.55	140.48 ± 3.13	147.02 ± 3.67
Sodium 42 h, mean ± SD	138.59 ± 4.61	130.82 ± 3.63	137.27 ± 3.45	134.37 ± 2.31	138.92 ± 2.09	143.56 ± 2.71	140.59 ± 3.39	146.71 ± 3.58
Sodium 48 h, mean ± SD	138.67 ± 4.68	131.23 ± 3.83	137.46 ± 3.68	134.50 ± 2.54	139.04 ± 2.58	143.43 ± 2.89	140.71 ± 3.61	146.28 ± 3.90
Change in sodium levels	0.00 (-3.00,	1.00 (-1.00,	5.00 (2.00,	-3.00 (-5.00,	0.00 (-2.00,	2.00 (0.00,	-5.00 (-8.00,	-1.00 (-4.00,
(A), M (Q1, Q3)	3.00)	6.00)	8.00)	-1.00)	2.00)	5.00)	-2.00)	1.00)
Trajectory group 1, hyponat	tremia-slow rise gro	oup; group 2, hypon	atremia-rapid rise to	normal group; grou	p 3, normal-slow dec	cline group; group 4,	l, normal-steady state	e group; group 5,
normai-siow rise group; gro	oup o, nypernauen	ma-rapid decirine to	normal group; group	p /, nypernauerma:	siow decilite group.			

ESC Heart Failure 2023; **10**: 255–263 DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.14187



Figure 1 Serum sodium level trajectories in patients with heart failure (HF).

 Table 3
 Association between serum sodium level trajectories and 1-year survival of heart failure (HF) patients

Trajectory groups	Model 1		Model 2	
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value
Group 4	Ref		Ref	
Group 1	1.35 (1.01–1.80)	0.040	1.20 (0.90-1.60)	0.222
Group 2	1.37 (1.11–1.71)	0.004	1.26 (1.01–1.57)	0.038
Group 3	0.99 (0.82–1.21)	0.946	1.03 (0.85–1.26)	0.738
Group 5	1.17 (0.97–1.42)	0.106	1.05 (0.87–1.27)	0.614
Group 6	1.46 (1.08–1.97)	0.014	1.36 (1.01–1.84)	0.047
Group 7	1.49 (1.07–2.07)	0.018	1.05 (0.75–1.47)	0.764

95% CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Group 1, hyponatremia-slow rise group; group 2, hyponatremiarapid rise to normal group; group 3, normal-slow decline group; group 4, normal-steady state group; group 5, normal-slow rise group; group 6, hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group; group 7, hypernatremia-slow decline group; model 1, unadjusted model; model 2, adjusted for age, BMI, respiratory rate, PO₂, potassium, haemoglobin, OASIS score, beta-blocker, diuretics, and digoxin.

Discussion

In this study, we analysed the impact of serum sodium level trajectories on survival in HF patients with hyponatremia, normal sodium levels, and hypernatremia before admission. A total of seven serum sodium level trajectories were identified, of which hyponatremia-slow rise group, hyponatremiarapid rise to normal group, hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group, and hypernatremia-slow decline group trajectories were associated with an increased risk of 1-year mortality. After adjustment for all confounders, trajectories of hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group were still associated with an increased risk of 1-year mortality in patients with HF.

The sodium ion is the main component that affects the effective osmotic pressure of the extracellular fluid. Serum sodium levels are regulated by thirst and renal mechanisms, including arginine vasopressin and tonicity-responsive element binding protein.^{18,19} Several mechanisms by which hyponatremia contributes to poor outcomes in patients with HF have been reported.^{20,21} First, hyponatremia may directly reflect reduced cardiac output.²² Reduced cardiac output decreases renal perfusion and glomerular filtration rates, which are associated with poor outcomes in patients with HF.²³⁻²⁵ Second, hormonal abnormalities play an important role in the relationship between heart failure and hyponatremia. The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and sympathetic nervous system (SNS) are activated in HF patients,²⁶ resulting in renal vasoconstriction and reduced glomerular filtration rate. In addition, abnormalities of these hormones increase sodium and water retention in the body and increase the release of arginine vasopressin (AVP). The release of AVP increases vascular resistance and free water retention.27,28 Third, diuretic use may also lead to hyponatremia and adverse outcomes in patients with HF.^{29,30} Diuretic resistance does not adequately increase fluid and sodium output to relieve volume overload, oedema, or congestion and is a major cause of repeated hospitalizations in patients with chronic HF.30

A recent study found that changes in serum sodium levels within 48 h before admission were more strongly associated with mortality risk.¹³ Our study explored the association between changes in serum sodium levels and mortality in patients with HF based on the sodium level trajectory within 48 h after admission to the ICU. Our results found that both hyponatremia-related and hypernatremia-related trajectories of sodium levels were associated with increased 1-year mortality risk in patients with HF. Previous studies also demonstrated that hyponatremia or hypernatremia are independent





predictors of short-term and long-term mortality in adult patients.^{13,31,32} A meta-analysis indicated that hyponatremia is an important determinant of mortality in HF patients regardless of ejection fraction.³² Thongprayoon et al. indicated that patients with hyponatremia or hypernatremia had increased hospitalization rates and 1-year mortality compared with patients with normal serum sodium levels.¹³ In addition, a retrospective secondary analysis based on a randomized controlled trial showed that the trajectory of sodium levels in the acute phase was a better indicator of prognosis in patients with acute HF than baseline sodium levels.¹⁴ However, their study focused on the impact of sodium dipping and excluded patients with hypernatremia. Our study more comprehensively analysed the serum sodium trajectories of patients with hyponatremia, normal sodium levels, and hypernatremia at baseline. Our results further found that trajectories of hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group were associated with an increased risk of 1-year mortality in HF patients after adjustment for all confounders. These results suggested that rapid intervention with sodium levels in hyponatremia or hypernatremia patients may increase the mortality risk. However, there are significant differences in the management and correction rates between acute and chronic hyponatremia.³³ For example, chronic hyponatremia should be corrected at a rate sufficient to relieve symptoms, not at an excessive rate.³⁴ The effect of serum sodium level correction rate on the prognosis of patients with HF may require further study. Our study developed serum sodium trajectories based on serum sodium level measurements taken every 6 h within 48 h of admission. Intensive measurements can fully demonstrate the true sodium level changes in HF patients, but this may be difficult to achieve in practice. It may be possible to refer to the sodium level trajectories in this study and select a small number of sodium measurements such as the sodium

level every 12 h to reflect the patient's serum sodium trajectories in clinical practice.

Our study analysed the effect of serum sodium level trajectories on survival in patients within 48 h of ICU admission based on a large sample of the MIMIC-III database. Patients were grouped according to their serum sodium levels at baseline (hyponatremia, normal sodium levels, and hypernatremia), and the serum sodium level trajectories of different groups of patients were identified separately. A total of seven sodium level trajectories were identified, and their impact on survival was analysed. However, some limitations should be acknowledged. First, the sodium intake of each patient could not be assessed, especially by intravenous sodium intake. Second, although we attempted to adjust for confounders as much as possible, a potential unadjusted confounding could remain. Third, patients with acute and chronic dysnatraemia had different correction rates, which may affect the grouping of trajectories. Fourth, the study period was 13 years (2001-2012), which may present a time bias in the treatment of HF, as new treatment modalities, protocols, and drugs were introduced. Fifth, our study did not explore the effect of serum sodium level trajectories on different left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) patients due to the lack of LVEF data in the MIMIC-III database. Sixth, a total of 1132 patients who died within 48 h of admission were excluded, which may underestimate the impact of serum sodium trajectories on mortality in HF patients.

Conclusions

A total of seven trajectories of sodium levels in HF patients were identified based on changes in sodium levels within

48 h of ICU admission. Trajectories of hyponatremia-rapid rise to normal group and hypernatremia-rapid decline to normal group were associated with an increased risk of 1-year mortality in patients with HF. These results suggested that rapid intervention with sodium levels in hyponatremia or hypernatremia patients may increase the mortality risk. Confirmed evidence may require further study.

References

- 1. Metra M, Teerlink JR. Heart failure. Lancet. 2017; 390: 1981–1995.
- 2. Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, Boehme AK, Buxton AE, Carson AP, Commodore-Mensah Y, Elkind MSV, Evenson KR, Eze-Nliam C, Ferguson JF, Generoso G, Ho JE, Kalani R, Khan SS, Kissela BM, Knutson KL, Levine DA, Lewis TT, Liu J, Loop MS, Ma J, Mussolino ME, Navaneethan SD, Perak AM, Poudel R, Rezk-Hanna M, Roth GA, Schroeder EB, Shah SH, Thacker EL, VanWagner L, Virani SS, Voecks JH, Wang NY, Yaffe K, Martin SS. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2022 update: A report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2022; 145: e153-e639.
- Rossignol P, Hernandez AF, Solomon SD, Zannad F. Heart failure drug treatment. *Lancet*. 2019; 393: 1034–1044.
- Conrad N, Judge A, Tran J, Mohseni H, Hedgecott D, Crespillo AP, Allison M, Hemingway H, Cleland JG, McMurray JJV, Rahimi K. Temporal trends and patterns in heart failure incidence: A population-based study of 4 million individuals. *Lancet*. 2018; **391**: 572–580.
- Jones NR, Roalfe AK, Adoki I, Hobbs FDR, Taylor CJ. Survival of patients with chronic heart failure in the community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2019; 21: 1306–1325.
- Khan MS, Sreenivasan J, Lateef N, Abougergi MS, Greene SJ, Ahmad T, Anker SD, Fonarow GC, Butler J. Trends in 30- and 90-day readmission rates for heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2021; 14: e008335.
- Patel YR, Kurgansky KE, Imran TF, Orkaby AR, McLean RR, Ho YL, Cho K, Gaziano JM, Djousse L, Gagnon DR, Joseph J. Prognostic significance of baseline serum sodium in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018; 7: e007529.
- Madan VD, Novak E, Rich MW. Impact of change in serum sodium concentration on mortality in patients hospitalized with heart failure and hyponatremia. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2011; 4: 637–643.
- 9. Dunlap ME, Hauptman PJ, Amin AN, Chase SL, Chiodo JA III, Chiong JR,

Dasta JF. Current management of hyponatremia in acute heart failure: A report from the hyponatremia registry for patients with euvolemic and hypervolemic hyponatremia (HN registry). *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017; **6**: e005261.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Funding

None.

- Oh J, Kang SM, Kim IC, Han S, Yoo BS, Choi DJ, Kim JJ, Jeon ES, Cho MC, Oh BH, Chae SC, Lee MM, Ryu KH. The beneficial prognostic value of hemoconcentration is negatively affected by hyponatremia in acute decompensated heart failure: Data from the Korean heart failure (KorHF) registry. J Cardiol. 2017; 69: 790–796.
- Grim CCA, Termorshuizen F, Bosman RJ, Cremer OL, Meinders AJ, Nijsten MWN, Pickkers P, de Man AME, Schultz MJ, van Vliet P, Weigel JD, Helmerhorst HJF, de Keizer NF, de Jonge E. Association between an increase in serum sodium and in-hospital mortality in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2021; 49: 2070–2079.
- Donzé JD, Beeler PE, Bates DW. Impact of hyponatremia correction on the risk for 30-day readmission and death in patients with congestive heart failure. *Am J Med.* 2016; **129**: 836–842.
- Thongprayoon C, Cheungpasitporn W, Yap JQ, Qian Q. Increased mortality risk associated with serum sodium variations and borderline hypo- and hypernatremia in hospitalized adults. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2020; 35: 1746–1752.
- Matsue Y, Yoshioka K, Suzuki M, Torii S, Yamaguchi S, Fukamizu S, Ono Y, Fujii H, Kitai T, Nishioka T, Sugi K, Onishi Y, Noda M, Kagiyama N, Satoh Y, Yoshida K, Goldsmith SR. Prognostic importance of sodium level trajectory in acute heart failure. *Heart Vessels*. 2017; **32**: 1498–1505.
- Johnson AE, Pollard TJ, Shen L, Lehman LWH, Feng M, Ghassemi M, Moody B, Szolovits P, Anthony Celi L, Mark RG. MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. *Sci Data*. 2016; **3**: 160035.
- Seay NW, Lehrich RW, Greenberg A. Diagnosis and management of disorders of body tonicity-hyponatremia and

hypernatremia: Core curriculum 2020. Am J Kidney Dis. 2020; 75: 272–286.

- Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-based trajectory modeling in clinical research. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2010; 6: 109–138.
- Kortenoeven ML, Pedersen NB, Rosenbaek LL, Fenton RA. Vasopressin regulation of sodium transport in the distal nephron and collecting duct. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2015; **309**: F280–F299.
- Qian Q. Salt, water and nephron: Mechanisms of action and link to hypertension and chronic kidney disease. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2018; 23: 44–49.
- Deubner N, Berliner D, Frey A, Güder G, Brenner S, Fenske W, Allolio B, Ertl G, Angermann CE, Störk S. Dysnatraemia in heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2012; 14: 1147–1154.
- Hamaguchi S, Kinugawa S, Tsuchihashi-Makaya M, Matsushima S, Sakakibara M, Ishimori N, Goto D, Tsutsui H. Hyponatremia is an independent predictor of adverse clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients due to worsening heart failure. J Cardiol. 2014; 63: 182–188.
- Ishikawa SE. Hyponatremia associated with heart failure: Pathological role of vasopressin-dependent impaired water excretion. J Clin Med. 2015; 4: 933–947.
- Smith GL, Lichtman JH, Bracken MB, Shlipak MG, Phillips CO, DiCapua P, Krumholz HM. Renal impairment and outcomes in heart failure: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 47: 1987–1996.
- 24. Beldhuis IE, Lam CSP, Testani JM, Voors AA, van Spall HGC, ter Maaten JM, Damman K. Evidence-based medical therapy in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and chronic kidney disease. *Circulation*. 2022; **145**: 693–712.
- Schefold JC, Filippatos G, Hasenfuss G, Anker SD, von Haehling S. Heart failure and kidney dysfunction: Epidemiology, mechanisms and management. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2016; **12**: 610–623.
- Triposkiadis F, Karayannis G, Giamouzis G, Skoularigis J, Louridas G, Butler J. The sympathetic nervous system in heart failure physiology, pathophysiol-

ogy, and clinical implications. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; **54**: 1747–1762.

- Jao GT, Chiong JR. Hyponatremia in acute decompensated heart failure: Mechanisms, prognosis, and treatment options. *Clin Cardiol.* 2010; **33**: 666–671.
- Bettari L, Fiuzat M, Felker GM, O'Connor CM. Significance of hyponatremia in heart failure. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2012; 17: 17–26.
- 29. Ng TMH, Grazette LP, Fong MW, Yoon AJ, Lou M, Kuo A, Upadhyay RY, Han EE, Mehra A, Elkayam U. Tolvaptan vs. furosemide-based diuretic regimens in patients hospitalized for heart failure with hyponatremia

(AQUA-AHF). ESC Heart Fail. 2020; 7: 1927–1934.

- Wilcox CS, Testani JM, Pitt B. Pathophysiology of diuretic resistance and its implications for the management of chronic heart hailure. *Hypertension*. 2020; **76**: 1045–1054.
- Sakr Y, Rother S, Ferreira AM, Ewald C, Dünisch P, Riedemmann N, Reinhart K. Fluctuations in serum sodium level are associated with an increased risk of death in surgical ICU patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2013; 41: 133–142.
- Rusinaru D, Tribouilloy C, Berry C, Richards AM, Whalley GA, Earle N, Poppe KK, Guazzi M, Macin SM,

Komajda M, Doughty RN, MAGGIC Investigators. Relationship of serum sodium concentration to mortality in a wide spectrum of heart failure patients with preserved and with reduced ejection fraction: An individual patient data meta-analysis(†): Meta-analysis global group in chronic heart failure (MAGGIC). *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2012; **14**: 1139–1146.

- Kheetan M, Ogu I, Shapiro JI, Khitan ZJ. Acute and chronic hyponatremia. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021; 8: 693738.
- Buffington MA, Abreo K. Hyponatremia: A review. J Intensive Care Med. 2016; 31: 223–236.