

Maternal Gdf3 is an obligatory cofactor in Nodal signaling for embryonic axis formation in zebrafish

Brent W Bisgrove, Yi-Chu Su, H Joseph Yost*

Molecular Medicine Program, Eccles Institute of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States

Abstract Zebrafish Gdf3 (Dvr1) is a member of the TGF β superfamily of cell signaling ligands that includes *Xenopus* Vg1 and mammalian Gdf1/3. Surprisingly, engineered homozygous mutants in zebrafish have no apparent phenotype. Elimination of Gdf3 in oocytes of maternal-zygotic mutants results in embryonic lethality that can be fully rescued with *gdf3* RNA, demonstrating that Gdf3 is required only early in development, beyond which mutants are viable and fertile. *Gdf3* mutants are refractory to Nodal ligands and Nodal repressor Lefty1. Signaling driven by TGF β ligand Activin and constitutively active receptors Alk4 and Alk2 remain intact in *gdf3* mutants, indicating that Gdf3 functions at the same pathway step as Nodal. Targeting *gdf3* and *ndr2* RNA to specific lineages indicates that exogenous *gdf3* is able to fully rescue mutants only when co-expressed with endogenous Nodal. Together, these findings demonstrate that Gdf3 is an essential cofactor of Nodal signaling during establishment of the embryonic axis. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.001

Introduction

Nodal, a member of the TGF β superfamily of cell-cell signaling ligands, is essential in the establishment of vertebrate axis patterning, both the primary embryonic axis during gastrulation and the orthogonal left-right (LR) asymmetries in heart, brain and gut development. *vg1*, another member of the TGF β family, was prototypically described as the first-known regionally localized RNA in a vertebrate oocyte, localized to the vegetal pole of the egg, and subsequently in early embryos (*Rebagliati et al., 1985*). Humans and other mammals have two *vg1* orthologues, *GDF1* and *GDF3*, and zebrafish have a single orthologue, *gdf3*, previously known as *dvr1*.

Although gdf3 (vg1) has been a target of considerable study for many years, genetic mutations for functional analysis have not been reported in zebrafish. Studies in *Xenopus* have suggested that maternal Vg1 is required for early zygotic expression of anterior mesendodermal genes (*Birsoy et al., 2006*). Vg1 has been implicated in early Left-Right patterning, as overexpression of *Xenopus* Vg1 fusion proteins or mouse Gdf1 fusion proteins in specific early cell lineages can fully invert the LR axis (*Hyatt et al., 1996*; *Hyatt and Yost, 1998*; *Wall et al., 2000*). Vg1 is the only known member of the TGF β family with this early LR patterning capability. At later stages of development, overexpression or grafts into lateral plate mesoderm that activate TGF β family member Xenopus Nodal (*Xnr1*) in right lateral plate can also invert asymmetry (*Ohi and Wright, 2007*). In mice, homozygous knockout mutations have altered asymmetry (*Rankin et al., 2000*). In humans, genetic variants in *GDF1* have been implicated in complex congenital heart defects (*Karkera et al., 2007*; *Zhang et al., 2015*), likely to be effects of upstream altered LR patterning. Similar to vg1 in *Xenopus*, *gdf3* RNA and protein are abundantly stored in the oocyte and early embryo before zygotic gene activation in zebrafish (*Helde and Grunwald, 1993*; *Peterson et al., 2005*; *Essner et al.,* fish, Kupffer's vesicle (KV), the ciliated organ of asymmetry (*Essner et al., 2005*; *Essner et al.,*

*For correspondence: jyost@genetics.utah.edu

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 16

Received: 11 May 2017 Accepted: 10 November 2017 Published: 15 November 2017

Reviewing editor: Deborah Yelon, University of California, San Diego, United States

© Copyright Bisgrove et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are

credited.

CC

eLife digest All vertebrates – animals with backbones like fish and humans – have body plans with three clear axes: head-to-tail, back-to-front and left-to-right. Animals lay down these plans as embryos, when signaling molecules bind to receptors on the surface of their cells.

These signaling molecules include related proteins called "Nodal" and "Growth and Differentiation Factors". However, there has been much debate in the field of developmental biology about whether these proteins work together or independently during the early development of vertebrates.

Zebrafish are often used to study animal development, and Bisgrove et al. decided to test whether these fish need a Growth and Differentiation Factor known as Gdf3 by deleting it using genome editing. It turns out that zebrafish can survive and develop as normal without the gene for Gdf3, just as long as their mothers still had a working copy of the gene. Yet, when the offspring of mutant females did not inherit the instructions to make Gdf3 from their mothers, they died within a couple of days. This was true even if the offspring inherited a working copy of the gene from their fathers. Bisgrove et al. then went on to show that embryos from a mutant mother could be saved with an injection of short-lived RNA molecules that include the instructions to make Sdf3 is only needed during the embryo's early development.

Further experiments suggested that Gdf3 does cannot activate its receptors on its own. Instead, it is likely that Gdf3 interacts with Nodal to form a two-protein complex that activates the receptors. Two other groups of researchers have independently reported similar findings.

Mutations affecting proteins very similar to Gdf3 have been found in people with congenital heart defects. By revealing the interaction between Gdf3 and Nodal, these new findings could help scientists to understand the genetic causes of this condition in more detail. Further studies using the mutant zebrafish could also be used to explore the causes of other developmental diseases. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.002

2002), contains motile cilia that generate asymmetric fluid flow and LR patterning information that is transmitted to lateral plate mesoderm (LPM), which then conveys LR patterning information to the brain, heart and gut primordia (**Dasgupta and Amack, 2016**). Zebrafish *gdf3* is expressed in tissues implicated in LR patterning including cells adjacent to the KV, in the LPM and in heart primordia. Morpholino knockdown of *gdf3* results in normal KV structure, KV cilia length and motility, and normal asymmetric KV fluid flow, but disruption of downstream LR patterning in the LPM. Thus, zebrafish Gdf3 was proposed to transmit LR information generated by KV cilia flow to LPM (**Peterson et al., 2013**), and the reasonable expectation was that mutants of *gdf3* in zebrafish would have LR patterning defects.

We engineered mutants in zebrafish to test the roles of *gdf3*. Surprisingly, homozygous zygotic mutants develop normally and do not show alterations in LR patterning. On the other hand, zebrafish mutants in which the maternal stores of Gdf3 are eliminated have a much more dramatic embryonic lethal phenotype, which we analyzed for a wide range of tissue specification and embryonic patterning pathways. Results from a series of epistasis experiments and cell-lineage targeted rescues of *gdf3* mutants indicate that Gdf3 functions as an obligate co-factor of Nodal, and that Nodal functions as an obligate cofactor of Gdf3. These results indicate that neither Nodal nor Gdf3 can function without the other in fundamental patterning of the vertebrate embryonic axis.

Results

Zygotic gdf3 mutants are viable and fertile

We generated mutant alleles of *gdf3* by using TALENs designed to target genomic sequences encoding the first few amino acids of the pro-domain of Gdf3 (*Figure 1A*; *Figure 1—figure supple-ment 1*). High resolution melt analysis (HRMA) (*Parant et al., 2009*) was used to screen for mutations in genomic DNA from embryos of G0 founders and later, from fin clips from adults derived from those founders (*Figure 1B*). Among several mutants identified, three lines were selected and



Figure 1. Zygotic *gdf3* mutants are viable and maternal zygotic mutants have phenotypes indicative of loss of Nodal signaling. (A–C) *gdf3* mutants were generated using TALEN-mediated mutagenesis. (A) *Gdf3*, a TGFβ family member, comprises a signal peptide, pro-domain and mature TGFβ domain. TALENs were designed to target genomic sequences located near the amino end of the pro-domain. (B) Mutants were identified by high-resolution melt analysis (HRMA). (C) Three mutant alleles, *gdf3^{zy51}*, *gdf3^{zy52}*, *gdf3^{z53}*, had 8, 1 and 6 bp deletions respectively. (D–G) Morphological phenotypes of *gdf3* mutants at 24 hpf. (D) Wild-type (WT) and (E) zygotic (Z) *gdf3* mutants were phenotypically indistinguishable. (F) Maternal-zygotic (MZ) *gdf3* mutants lacked notochord, pharyngeal endoderm and had reduced anterior neural tissues. (G) MZ*gdf3* mutants were completely rescued by injection of 100 pg *gdf3* RNA at the one-cell stage. (H–W) WISH analysis of gene expression in WT (columns H-T and J-V) and MZ*gdf3* (columns I-U and K-W) mutants at 24 hpf. (H, I) *ta* (*ntl*) expression in the notochord was absent from MZ*gdf3* although tailbud expression was maintained. (J, K) *tbx16* (*spt*) expression in spinal cord neurons was absent in MZ*gdf3* while tailbud expression is unaffected. (L, M) Trunk and tail somites expressing *myod1 Figure 1* continued on next page

eLIFE Research article

Figure 1 continued

were reduced in number and altered in shape in MZgdf3. (**N**, **O**) Expression of *hand2* in the heart, pharyngeal arch mesoderm and pectoral fin buds is absent in MZgdf3. (**P–S**) Ventral neural tissues and pharyngeal endoderm expressing *foxa2* (*axial*) were absent in MZgdf3. Patterns of expression of *otx2* in the forebrain and midbrain (**T**, **U**), and *erg2b* (*krox20*) in hindbrain rhobomeres 3 and 5 (**V**, **W**) were altered in MZgdf3 mutants. All embryos (**D–W**) are shown in lateral view with rostral to the left except N, O, R, S which are dorsal views in the same orientation. Each panel is a representative image from at least 15 embryos.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.003

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Map of the genomic sequences around the first coding exon of gdf3.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.004

Figure supplement 2. The Left-Right patterning marker spaw is expressed in zygotic gdf3 mutants.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.005

Figure supplement 3. High magnification views of wild-type and MZgdf3 mutant embryos.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.006

Figure supplement 4. Phenotypic classes of MZgdf3 rescued with gdf3 RNA.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.007

Figure supplement 5. (A, B) RT-PCR analysis of cDNA prepared from 1000 cell-, 90% epiboly-, and 18 somite-stage embryo RNA, respectively, from: (Lanes 1–3) WT embryos, (Lanes 4–6) embryos derived from a female MZgdf3^{zy51} X WT male, and (Lanes 7–9) MZgdf3^{zy51} embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.008

propagated. The results presented here utilize $gdf3^{zy51}$ and $gdf3^{zy52}$ alleles; both mutations result in reading frame shifts which encode missense amino acids and early termination codons, suggesting they may be functional nulls (*Figure 1C*). The phenotypes are indistinguishable between gdf3 mutants carrying either the zy51 or zy52 allele and these mutants are used and described interchangeably. A third mutant line, $gdf3^{zy53}$, has a 6 bp in-frame deletion that removes two amino acids but leaves the predicted protein otherwise unchanged and had no observable phenotype.

Surprisingly, homozygous recessive zygotic mutants generated by in-crosses of heterozygous carriers of either $gdf3^{zy51}$ or $gdf3^{zy52}$ had no morphological differences from wild-type (WT) embryos (N > 100) (*Figure 1D,E*). Homozygous mutants survive to adulthood at normal wild-type rates. In contrast to previous results with morpholinos in zebrafish, zygotic mutants of gdf3 had normal LR patterning as assessed by scoring heart looping in clutches of embryos derived from heterozygous gdf3 in-crosses at 48 hr post-fertilization (hpf). As in WT, clutches of Zgdf3 embryos showed about a 2% heart reversal rate ($Zgdf3^{zy51}$ 64/65 normal heart looping, 2 clutches scored; $Zgdf3^{zy52}$ 154/157 normal heart looping, 3 clutches scored; WT 164/168 normal heart looping, 3 clutches scored). Consistent with the heart looping data, an examination of the expression of the early Left-Right marker gene spaw at the 18–20 somite-stage in embryos derived from WT parents or from an incross of $gdf3^{zy51}$ heterozygotes showed clutches of WT and Zgdf3 with similar percentages of normal left-sided gene expression (93% in WT clutches; 90% in Zgdf3 clutches) (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*). One quarter of the adults raised from in-crosses of gdf3 heterozygotes were homozygous for the mutant alleles as assayed by HRMA, indicating no embryonic or adult lethality of Zgdf3.

The maternal genome, not the paternal genome, dictates the phenotype of embryos

Homozygous zygotic *gdf3* mutant adults are fertile. In-crosses of homozygous mutants yielded Maternal Zygotic (MZ*gdf3*) embryos that exhibit a pronounced phenotype at 24 hpf, with 100% penetrance (N > 100) (*Figure 1F*). At 24–26 hpf, MZ*gdf3* embryos lack a notochord and spinal cord and structures associated with lateral plate mesoderm including the heart, and have greatly reduced anterior neural development reflected in the narrowed neural tube, un-folded brain and reduced tissue between the eyes resulting in cyclopia (*Figure 1—figure supplement 3*).

To confirm that the MZgdf3 phenotype was due to a loss of wild-type gdf3 gene product, we asked whether MZgdf3 embryos could be rescued by gdf3 mRNA injections (Figure 1G). To assess the dose dependence of rescue, we devised a phenotype classification system (Figure 1—figure supplement 4) somewhat analogous to the DAI (dorso-anterior indices) in Xenopus (Kao and Elinson, 1988) that has been useful for studies of axis formation. Injection of 100 pg gdf3 mRNA fully rescued over 90% of the MZgdf3 embryos, and these fully rescued embryos could survive until

adulthood and survivors were fertile. For example, of 22 rescued MZgdf3 embryos selected for an inflated swim bladder at 6 days post-fertilization and raised to adulthood, 14 survived. From those, 5 mating pairs produced clutches of embryos with the MZgdf3 phenotype at 24 hpf, demonstrating fertility of both males and females that were derived from Gdf3 null embryos that received only a pulse of WT gdf3 mRNA shortly after fertilization.

To assess whether both maternal and paternal contributions to the zygote were necessary for the MZgdf3 phenotype, we performed reciprocal pairwise crosses of male and female MZgdf3 homozy-gotes to either Zgdf3 heterozygotes or to wild-type fish and found that the mutant phenotype of the derived embryos was solely dependent on a $gdf3^{-/-}$ maternal genotype; the paternal genome did not alter the phenotype. Embryos derived from $gdf3^{-/-}$ males showed no mutant phenotype when derived from a heterozygous female with a wildtype allele of gdf3 (n = 4 mating pairs).

Strikingly, paternal contribution of a wild-type gdf3 allele could not rescue embryos derived from MZqdf3- females (n = 7 mating pairs with qdf3+/- male; n = 3 mating pairs with WT +/+ males). In other words, gdf3+/- heterozygotes that were derived from gdf3 null eggs and wildtype sperm displayed the MZgdf3 phenotype. To assess whether the paternal genome was transcriptionally activated following zygotic gene activation (ZGA) in embryos from a cross of MZgf3 female by WT male cross, we carried out RT-PCR to compare cDNA samples prepared from pre-ZGA 1000 cell-stage and post-ZGA 90% epiboly, and 18 somite-stage embryos, and compared those to samples at the same time points derived from embryos from a MZgdf3 in-cross and a WT cross (Figure 1-figure supplement 5). There was a substantial decrease in the amount of PCR product from the 1000 cell stage to the 18-somite stage in each genotype, but we were unable to detect any substantial differences among samples prepared from the same time points across the three genotypes indicating that the mutant maternal RNA from MZgdf3 embryos was as stable as that from WT embryos (Figure 1—figure supplement 5A,B). To resolve whether the paternal genome was activated in a gdf3maternal background, we designed primers specific to the WT allele of gdf3 and carried out RT-PCR on the same series of stages and genotypes (Figure 1-figure supplement 5C,D). WT gdf3 transcript was detected in all stages of WT embryos (lanes C1-3) and was absent from cDNA derived from homozygous MZgdf3 embryos (serving to indicate that the WT primers do not amplify the maternal or paternal mutant allele; lanes C7-9). WT transcript was also undetectable in pre-ZGA stage embryos (1000 cell stage, lane 4) derived from a cross of a MZgdf3 mutant female by WT male, but was present in cDNA derived from post-ZGA stages (lanes C4, 5). The fact that there is less transcript detected at 90% epiboly and 18 somite stages of embryos derived from a MZ mutant female versus a WT female may reflect perdurance of maternal WT transcript from the WT female, that there is significantly less mesoderm in MZ mutant embryos in which to express gdf3, or that the paternal genome is expressed to a lesser extent in embryos lacking WT maternal transcript (or a combination thereof). Although the paternal WT genome is clearly activated in MZgdf3 embryos, this post-ZGA expression of paternal WT qdf3 does not rescue the MZ phenotype.

Gdf3 is required for mesoderm, endoderm and neural patterning

To further characterize the phenotypes of MZqdf3 embryos, we carried out whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH) in wild-type and MZgdf3 embryos using several tissue-specific gene expression markers at 24hpf. The T-box transcription factor ta (ntl) is expressed in the notochord, a derivative of the axial mesoderm, and in the tailbud mesenchyme (Figure 1H). In MZgdf3 embryos, the notochord was absent as evidenced by the lack of ta expression in the midline; expression in the tailbud remains (Figure 11). Expression of another T-box transcription factor tbx16 (spt), expressed in paraxial and ventral mesoderm, was maintained in the tailbud of MZqdf3 embryos but tbx16 expression in a subset of spinal cord neurons in WT embryos was not detected in MZqdf3 mutants (Figure 1J, κ). Similarly, the ventral mesoderm marker evel was expressed in the tailbud of both WT and MZgdf3 embryos (not shown). The myogenic transcription factor myod1 was expressed in chevronshaped somites extending the length of the trunk and tail of embryos. In similar stage MZgdf3 embryos, myod1 expression occurred in fewer, smaller, u-shaped somites restricted to the caudal trunk and tail (Figure 1L,M). In WT embryos hand2 was expressed in the heart tube, a derivative of the anterior lateral plate mesoderm, in the pharyngeal mesoderm of the branchial arches and in the pectoral fin bud. All of these tissue-specific expression domains were absent in MZgdf3 (Figure 1N, O). The forkhead box transcription factor foxa2 (axial) was expressed in the midline in the ventral brain, the spinal floorplate and the chorda-neural hinge as well as laterally in the pharyngeal

endoderm of the branchial arches. All of these expression domains were absent in MZgdf3 mutant embryos (*Figure 1P–S*). The rostral-most regions of the neural tube were relatively unaffected in MZgdf3 embryos. Expression domains of *otx2* in the forebrain and midbrain, and *egr2b* (*krox20*) in hindbrain rhombomeres 3 and 5 were present though somewhat misproportioned and misshapen in MZgdf3 mutant embryos versus WT embryos (*Figure 1T–W*). Together, these results indicate that maternally provided Gdf3 is essential in the patterning of mesoderm, endoderm and in some aspects of neural development.

Maternal zygotic *gdf3* mutants have altered downstream pathways indicative of loss of Nodal signaling and are rescued by *gdf3* RNA injection

To investigate roles of Gdf3 during germ layer formation and early tissue patterning, we assessed the consequences of loss of Gdf3 function, gain of function and functional rescue on the patterns of tissue-specific gene expression markers by injecting WT and MZgdf3 embryos with gdf3 RNA at the 1–2 cell stage. Injected or un-injected embryos were analyzed by WISH with tissue-specific markers at 90% epiboly (*Figure 2*).

The MZgdf3 phenotypes described above are strikingly similar to phenotypes of mutant embryos lacking maternal Nodal signaling components such as in double homozygous mutants for *ndr1;ndr2* (*sqt;cyc*), MZtdgf1 (MZoep) and MZfoxh1 (**Dougan et al., 2003**; **Gritsman et al., 1999**; **Slagle et al., 2011**), or from overexpression of the Nodal antagonists *lft1* or *lft2* (**Bisgrove et al., 1999**; **Thisse and Thisse, 1999**). In all cases, these mutants or treated embryos exhibit a loss of notochord and spinal cord and reduction and disorganization in trunk and tail somites as well as a severe reduction in anterior and neural structures that manifest as a loss of brain patterning and synophthalmia as observed in MZgdf3 (see **Figure 1—figure supplement 3**). Thus, we examined expression of Nodal signaling pathway genes *ndr2*, *lft1* and *lft2* (**Figure 2A–L**). The Nodal family member *ndr2* was expressed in anterior and posterior axial mesoderm and anterior axial neurectoderm (**Figure 2A**). This WT expression pattern was unchanged in embryos injected with *gdf3* RNA (**Figure 2B**). In contrast, MZgdf3 embryos were void of any detectable *ndr2* expression, but the normal expression pattern could be completely restored by injection of *gdf3* RNA (**Figure 2C,D**).

Since a nodal-deficiency phenotype can be obtained by overexpression of Nodal antagonists *lft1* or *lft2* (*Bisgrove et al., 1999*), we asked whether the MZgdf3 phenotype was due to hyperexpression of *lft1* or *lft2*. The normal expression patterns of *lft1* in marginal mesendoderm, the axial chordamesoderm, prechordal plate mesoderm and anterior ventral neurectoderm) and *lft2* in posterior axial neurectoderm and prechordal plate mesoderm (*Figure 2E,I*) were absent in MZgdf3 embryos (*Figure 2G,K*). Neither gene expression pattern was altered in WT embryos injected with *gdf3* RNA (*Figure 2F,J*), and *gdf3* RNA injection fully rescued expression of both of these Nodal response genes in MZgdf3 (*Figure 2H,L*). These results, and the observation that *lft1* and *lft2* expression are absent from MZgdf3 embryos at shield stage during mesendoderm formation (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*), indicate that the MZgdf3-deficient phenotype was not due to hyperexpression of endogenous *lft1* and *lft2*.

We next examined expression of mesodermal genes that are not Nodal (TGFB) family members (Figure 2M-X). The transcription factors ta and qsc are direct targets of Nodal signaling and overlap with the expression of ndr2 in the midline. (Chen and Schier, 2001; Thisse et al., 1994) In WT embryos, ta was expressed in posterior axial chordamesoderm that gives rise to the notochord as well as in the marginal mesendoderm, while gsc was expressed in the anterior prechordal plate mesoderm, which gives rise to the polster, and in anterior ventral neurectoderm (Figure 2M). gsc and ta expression patterns were unchanged in embryos injected with gdf3 RNA (Figure 2N). In MZqdf3 embryos midline gsc and ta expression domains were absent while ta expression in the marginal mesendoderm was unaffected (Figure 20). Injection of gdf3 RNA fully rescued the axial expression domains of both ta and qsc (Figure 2P). The t-box transcription factor tbx16 was expressed in a broad domain of paraxial mesendoderm at the margin as well as in the midline in the prechordal plate mesoderm (Fig. Q). In MZgdf3 mutant embryos axial tbx16 expression in the prechordal plate was absent and the width of the paraxial expression domain was reduced (Figure 25). Injection of gdf3 RNA into WT embryos did not alter normal tbx16 domains but was capable of fully rescuing expression in the prechordal plate and expanding the paraxial expression domain to a normal width (Figure 2T). The homeobox transcription factor eve1 was expressed in a broad domain at



Figure 2. Gdf3 is required for mesoderm, endoderm and neural patterning. (A–J') WISH analysis of gene expression in embryos at 90% epiboly. Columns from left to right show WT embryos, WT embryos injected with 100 pg of *gdf3* RNA, MZ*gdf3* mutants and MZ*gdf3* injected with *gdf3* RNA. Each panel is a representative image of at least 15 embryos examined. (A–L) Midline and margin expression of Nodal signaling pathway genes *ndr2* (A–D) and Lefty family members *lft1* (E–H) and *lft2* (I–L) were absent in MZ*gdf3* mutants and restored by *gdf3* mRNA injection. (M–X) Analysis of early mesoderm transcription factor genes. (M–T). Expression domains of *gsc, ta* (*ntl*) and *tbx16* (*spt*) were absent from the midline of MZ*gdf3* mutants, but restored by *gdf3* RNA injection. (Q–X) Lateral and ventral mesendoderm expression domains of *tbx16* (Q–T), and *eve1* (U–X), which were reduced in width in MZ*gdf3*, were restored to wild-type levels by *gdf3* RNA. (Y–F') Endoderm expression domains of *Figure 2 continued on next page*

Figure 2 continued

transcription factors sox17 (Y–B') and foxa2 (C'–F') were absent in MZgdf3, and restored by gdf3 RNA, as was expression of foxa2 in midline neural tissues. (G'–J'). otx2 expression in the anterior neural plate is reduced in MZgdf3 but rescued to its normal extent by gdf3 RNA. (Second column from left) Strikingly, although injection of gdf3 RNA was capable of rescuing mesoderm, endoderm and neural tissues in MZgdf3 mutants it had no effect on gene expression in WT embryos.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.009

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Nodal antagonists Ift1 and Ift2 are not expressed in MZgdf3.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.010

the ventral margin of WT embryos and like other genes with margin expression was unaffected by ectopic expression of *gdf3* (*Figure 2U,V*). In MZ*gdf3* embryos the width of this expression domain was reduced, but was fully restored to normal width by the injection of *gdf3* at the 1–2 cell stage (*Figure 2W,X*).

The roles of Gdf3 in non-mesodermal germ layers were examined using probes to the transcription factors sox17, foxa2 and otx2 (Figure 2Y-J'). Sox17 is normally expressed in presumptive endoderm cells scattered throughout the blastoderm and in dorsal forerunners, a group of non-involuting cells at the dorsal margin (Figure 2Y) that form the KV. Neither of these cell types is affected by the injection of gdf3 into WT embryos (Figure 2A'). In MZgdf3, no sox17-expressing cells were detected in the blastoderm and in most embryos (14 of 16) no expression was detected in cells at the dorsal margin. In the remaining few cases, one or two sox17 positive cells were detected at the dorsal margin (Figure 2A'). sox17 expression in the presumptive endoderm and dorsal forerunner cells of MZgdf3 was restored by injection of gdf3 (Figure 2B'). Like sox17, foxa2 was also expressed in presumptive endoderm, and additionally in axial mesendoderm and neurectoderm (Figure 2C'). None of these WT expression domains was affected by overexpression of gdf3 (Figure 2D'). In MZgdf3 embryos foxa2 expression in the endoderm was absent and in the majority of embryos, expression in the axis was absent. In a few embryos (3 of 15) one or two foxa2-expressing cells were present at the dorsal margin (Figure 2E'). foxa2 expression in MZgdf3 embryos was rescued to a normal pattern by injected gdf3 RNA (Figure 2F'). The anterior neural plate, marked by triangle-shaped expression domain of otx2 that extends from the midpoint of the dorsal axis over the animal pole, was unchanged in WT embryos by overexpression of gdf3 (Figure 2G', H'). In MZgdf3 embryos a triangular otx2 expression domain was present but greatly reduced in size, failing to extend to the animal pole (Figure 21'). Like all of the marker genes examined here, otx2 expression was fully restored to a normal pattern in MZgdf3 embryos by expression of exogenous gdf3 RNA (Figure 2J'). Together, these results indicate that Nodal signaling response pathways are dependent on Gdf3 function.

MZgdf3 mutants are refractory to Nodal ligands and inhibitors

To investigate the position of Gdf3 in the Nodal signaling pathway, we expressed other members of that pathway in WT and MZgdf3 by injecting RNAs encoding those proteins at the 1–2 cell stage and assaying the resultant phenotypes at shield stage and at 24 hpf (*Figure 3*). In WT shield-stage embryos gsc was expressed in a dorsal crescent of cells that occupied about 15% of the circumference of the germ ring, while ta was expressed throughout the germ ring (*Figure 3A,B*). Shield-stage embryos of MZgdf3 lacked gsc expression and ta expression was reduced dorsally (*Figure 3D,E*) compared to WT embryos. Injection of gdf3 in WT embryos had no effect on the expression of gsc or ta and did not alter morphological phenotype at 24 hpf (*Figure 3G-I*). Injection of gdf3 into MZgdf3 embryos rescued dorsal expression of gsc and ta (*Figure 3J,K*) and morphology at 24 hpf (*Figure 3L*).

Exogenous expression of RNAs encoding Nodal family members *ndr1* and *ndr2* in WT embryos caused ectopic expression of both *gsc* and *ta* in the margin germ ring and blastoderm at shield stage and resulted in dorsalized phenotypes at 24 hpf (*Figure 3M–O,S–U*). This serves as a positive control for the power of these ligands to activate Nodal signaling. In contrast, injection of *ndr1* or *ndr2* RNA into MZgdf3 embryos did not rescue *gsc* or *ta* expression in the dorsal germ ring of shield stage embryos, did not rescue axial tissues in embryos at 24 hpf, and did not induce a dorsalized



Figure 3. MZqdf3 mutants are refractory to Nodal ligands and inhibitors, yet respond to other TGFBs and have an intact downstream response pathway. (A-V') WT and MZgdf3 embryos injected at 1-2 cell stage with RNAs encoding gdf3, other TGFβ pathway members, inhibitors of Nodal, and downstream constitutively active (CA) Alk4 and Alk2 receptors. Panels show WISH expression patterns of gsc and ta in animal pole views of shield stage embryos (dorsal toward bottom) and light micrographs of morphology at 24 hpf. Each panel is a representative image of at least 15 embryos examined. (A-C) Un-injected WT and (D-F) MZgdf3 embryos. (G-I) Wild-type embryos injected with 100 pg gdf3 RNA were unaffected (J-L). Injected gdf3 RNA completely rescued gsc and ta expression patterns and morphology at 24 hpf in MZgdf3 embryos. Injected Nodal RNAs ndr1 (10 pg) (M-O) and ndr2 (10 pg) (S-U) caused ectopic expression of gsc and ta at shield, and dorsalized embryos at 24 hpf. In contrast, (P-R) ndr1 RNA or (V-X) ndr2 RNA injection into MZgdf3 embryos failed to rescue dorsal gsc or ta expression at shield stage and did not alter mutant morphology at 24 hpf. (Y-A') Injection of 25 pg RNA encoding the Nodal inhibitor Ift1 into WT embryos resulted in a loss of dorsal gsc and ta expression at shield stage similar to that seen in MZgdf3 embryos, and with phenotypes resembling MZgdf3 at 24 hpf. (B'-D') In MZgdf3 injected with Ift1 the gsc and ta expression patterns and 24 hpf mutant phenotype were similar to un-injected MZgdf3. Phenotypes of Ift1-injected embryos appear more severe than MZgdf3 because these embryos are slightly older. Injection of 2.5 pg RNA encoding Xenopus Activin, a general TGFB pathway activator, induced ectopic expression of gsc and ta in both (E'-G') WT and (H'-J') MZgdf3 embryos, and dorsalization of embryos that survived to 24 hpf. (K'-P') Injection of 20 pg RNA encoding human constitutively active (CA)-Alk4 receptor, which mediates Nodal/GDF signaling during mesoderm induction, resulted in ectopic expression of gsc and ta and dorsalization of (K'-M') WT embryos and (N'-P') MZgdf3 mutants. (Q'-V') Injection of 25 pg RNA encoding Xenopus CA-Alk2, which mediates BMP signaling for ventral patterning, had little or no effect on the dorsal expression of gsc or ta at shield stage but lead to ventralization of both WT and MZgdf3 embryos at 24 hpf.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.011

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. (A-D) The transcriptional repressor eve1 is expressed at the ventral and lateral margin at shield stage in WT and MZgdf3 embryos.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.012

phenotype (*Figure 3P-R,V-X*). These results indicate that Nodal signaling ligands cannot activate the nodal signaling pathway in the absence of Gdf3, and gives genetic evidence that Nodal and Gdf3 function at the same step in the signaling pathway.

Lefty proteins are negative regulators of the Nodal signaling pathway (**Bisgrove et al., 1999**; **Thisse and Thisse, 1999**). Injection of *lft1* RNA into WT embryos resulted in a loss of *gsc* expression and a reduction in dorsal expression domain of *ta* (*Figure 3Y,Z*), and lacked midline tissues including notochord, pharyngeal mesoderm and endoderm and ventral brain at 24 hpf (*Figure 3A'*). These phenotypes are indicative of Nodal deficient mutants and shared by MZ*gdf3*. Importantly, injection of *lft1* RNA into MZ*gdf3* did not exacerbate their defective patterns or phenotypes (compare *Figure 3B', C', D'* with *Figure 3D,E,F*), indicating that there no residual Nodal signaling in MZ*gdf3* embryos that can be further antagonized by exogenous Lft1.

MZgdf3 mutants are responsive to non-Nodal TGF β ligands and have an intact Nodal-downstream response pathway

Given that MZgdf3 embryos do not respond to overexpression of Nodal family ligand agonists and antagonists, we tested whether the receptors and other components of the downstream pathway were intact. The TGF β ligand Activin signals through Type I and Type II Activin Receptors; subsets of which are also utilized in the propagation of Nodal signaling. To determine if loss of Gdf3 function impacted other TGF β signaling pathways, we injected embryos with RNA encoding *Xenopus* Activin. Ectopic expression of Activin in both WT and MZgdf3 embryos resulted in massive ectopic expression of gsc and ta at shield stage (*Figure 3E',F',G',H',I'*). At this concentration of injected RNA, the majority of injected WT and mutant embryos died prior to 24 hpf. Surviving WT embryos exhibited slightly dorsalized phenotypes with narrower trunk and tail and kinked notochord, and a slight increase in anterior tissues (*Figure 3G'*). Exogenous expression of Activin in MZgdf3 embryos partially rescued the mutant phenotype at 24 hpf (*Figure 3J'*). Embryos had a thin trunk and tail with a notochord present in the anterior tail and trunk. Ventral brain tissues were also rescued as evidenced by the increase width of the head and nearly normal size eyes.

Different TGF β ligands signal through various combinations of Type I and Type II receptors including the Type I receptors Alk2 (Acvr1) and Alk4 (Acvr1b) (zebrafish homologues acvr1l and acvr1ba, respectively). Alk4 functions as a Type I receptor for multiple TGF beta-related ligands to regulate dorsal mesoderm induction and left-right axis determination in Xenopus (Chen et al., 2004). Alk2 is important in the BMP signaling pathway (Branford et al., 2000), and in zebrafish (acvr1) is implicated in the specification of ventral mesoderm (Mintzer et al., 2001). To examine whether TGF β signaling components downstream of these receptors were functional in MZ*qdf*3, we injected RNAs encoding constitutively active (CA) constructs of Xenopus Alk4 and Alk2. Injection of CA-Alk4 RNA into either WT or MZgdf3 embryos caused ectopic expression of both gsc and ta at shield stage (Figure 3K', L', N', O'). At 24hpf, injected WT embryos were partially dorsalized, and injected MZgdf3 embryos were partially rescued having some notochord present and largely normal anterior morphology (Figure 3M', P'). Exogenous expression of CA-Alk2 had the effect of slightly reducing the extent of the dorsal margin gsc expression domain in WT embryos while the ta expression pattern was not noticeably altered (Figure 3Q', R'). At 24 hpf, CA-Alk2 caused a severe ventralization of the embryo characterized by loss of dorsal structures such as the notochord, expanded and misshapen somites and a reduction in anterior structures including the brain and eyes (Figure 35'). The absence of gsc expression in shield stage MZgdf3 was not rescued in embryos injected with CA-Alk2, but ta expression was expanded into the dorsal domain of the margin that normally lacked expression, indicating an expansion of more lateral or ventral mesoderm domains (Figure 3T', U'). Similar to CA-Alk2 injected WT embryos, MZgdf3 embryos at 24 hpf showed a phenotype consistent with ventralization, having further reduced anterior mesoderm and neural structures including the complete loss of eyes (Figure 3V'). A marker for ventral mesoderm specification, the transcription factor eve1, is expressed in ventral mesoderm during early gastrula stages in zebrafish. Expression of eve1 in shield stage embryos of WT or MZadf3 injected with CA-Alk2 was expanded from the ventral domain into more dorsal regions of the margin (Figure 3—figure supplement 1). These results indicate that the downstream components of the Nodal signaling pathway are intact and capable of activation in MZgdf3 mutants, and suggest that Gdf3 acts at the same level in the pathway as Nodal, as an agonist for the activation of Alk4 (Acvr1ba).

Gdf3 and Nodal must be co-expressed in dorsal midline lineages for normal development

We asked whether there was a lineage-specific requirement for the function of Gdf3 in the Nodal pathway by injecting *gdf3* or *ndr2* RNAs into a single cell at the 4–8 cell stage in WT and MZ*gdf3* embryos, with co-injection of *eGFP* RNA as a lineage tracer. We designate the injected cells as 'tar-geted cells,' recognizing that this retrospective lineage tracing allows analysis of the embryonic locations of the cells that expressed the injected exogenous *gdf3* or *ndr2*. Successfully injected embryos with targeted cells confined to limited sectors of the blastoderm were selected at 50% epiboly (*Figure 4A*). Morphological phenotypes of injected embryos were assessed at 24 hpf by transmitted light microscopy and with eGFP epifluorescence to detect fates of targeted cells, or were fixed at shield stage and processed for WISH to identify *gsc* expressing cells (purple) and IHC with anti-GFP (brown) to identify targeted cells.

Consistent with results from ubiquitous expression of gdf3 (Figure 3I), expression of exogenous gdf3 in subsets of cell lineages had no effect in WT embryos, irrespective of whether targeted cells were in midline-derived tissues (21 of 84 embryos) (Figure 4B), non-midline tissues (20 of 84) (Figure 4C) or more broadly distributed (43 of 84). Strikingly, MZqdf3 embryos were only fully rescued when gdf3-expressing targeted cells had contributed to midline tissues including the notochord and prechordal plate mesoderm (37 of 131 embryos) (Figure 4D), or in midline and nonmidline tissues (62 of 131). When targeted cells were confined to non-midline tissues (32 of 131), ectopic gdf3 expression rescued only the posterior structures of MZgdf3 embryos, including posterior notochord, but did not rescue anterior structures such as the ventral brain and eyes (Figure 4E). Results from analysis of shield stage embryos (Figure 4J-Q) were consistent with the results presented above for 24 hpf embryos, and those presented for 1-2 cell injections (Figure 3G). Ectopic gdf3 in wild-type embryos had no effect on expression of the dorsal midline marker gsc, irrespective of whether targeted cells were in the dorsal midline (4 of 69 embryos), contiguous and adjacent to the midline gsc domain (40 of 69), or not associated with the midline (25 of 69) (Figure 4J-M). The ability of ectopic expression of gdf3 to rescue gsc expression in presumptive shield stage MZgdf3 embryos was dependent on the location of the targeted cells. gsc expression was rescued when targeted cells were either in the midline (10 of 74 embryos, Figure 40) or contiguous and adjacent to the midline (42 of 74, Figure 4P). In contrast, when targeted cells were confined to regions outside the dorsal midline, gsc expression was not rescued by ectopic gdf3 expression (22 of 74, Figure 4Q). The lineage-tracing rescue data analyed at shield stage and at 24 hpf correlate well. The proportion of non-rescued embryos is about 1/3 in both cases. The proportion of embryos with targeted cells in the midline at 24 hpf is greater than the proportion of embryos with targeted cells in the dorsal midline at shield stage, most likely due to the convergence of cells toward the midline during gastrulation (Schier and Talbot, 2005).

In WT embryos, confinement of ndr2-expressing targeted cells to midline tissues (34 of 118 embryos) gave a relatively mild phenotype that included a kinked notochord (Figure 4F). This is in striking contrast to hyperdorsalization phenotypes with extremely disorganized tissues in WT embryos in which targeted cells contributed to non-midline tissues (32 of 118) (Figure 4G, Figure 4-figure supplement 1), or from ubiquitous ndr2 expression (Figure 3U). Distribution of ndr2 targeted cells to subsets of both midline and non-midline tissues (52 of 118) resulted in intermediate phenotypes. When analyzed at the shield stage, in WT embryos ndr2 induces ectopic expression of gsc in sectors that correspond to the targeted cells, regardless of whether targeted cells are located at the dorsal midline (11 of 64 embryos, Figure 45), adjacent to the midline (31 of 64, Figure 4T), or at a location distant from the midline (22 of 64, Figure 4U). In contrast to the effects of ndr2 ectopic expression in WT embryos, targeted expression of ndr2 in MZgdf3 embryos by injection at the 4-8 cell stage had no effect, that is, could not rescue, irrespective of whether targeted cells localized to prospective midline or non-midline tissues (n = 53 embryos: 11 midline, 17 non-midline, 25 broad expression) (Figure 4H,I). When analyzed at the shield stage, overexpression of ndr2 in spatially restricted clones of cells in MZgdf3 embryos failed to induce gsc expression, regardless of the location of targeted cells (n = 47, Figure 4W-Y). Thus, in the absence of gdf3, regardless of lineage, ndr2 had no apparent function; it could not induce shield-stage expression of gsc and could not rescue the MZgdf3 phenotype.

eLIFE Research article







Figure 4 continued

fluorescent illumination or were grown until shield stage and processed by WISH with a gsc probe then by IHC with anti-GFP. (B, C) 24 hpf WT embryos injected with gdf3/eGFP RNA had normal phenotypes, regardless of whether (B) midline or (C) non-midline lineages were targeted. (D) MZgdf3 embryos expressing Gdf3/eGFP in dorsally-derived midline lineages including the notochord and polster showed complete morphological rescue of notochord, somites, brain and eyes. (E) MZgdf3 embryos with expression of Gdf3/eGFP in non-midline lineages including the skin and somites showed rescue of somites and notochord but lacked normal development of anterior neural tissues and eyes. (F) Expression of Ndr2/eGFP in dorsal midline lineages in WT embryos resulted in embryos that were predominantly normal but some exhibited slightly narrower head and trunk and kinked notochords. This is strikinally distinct from (G) expression of Ndr2/eGFP outside midline lineages, which strongly dorsalized the embryos, or from ubiquitous expression in WT embryos injected with ndr2 RNA at the 1–2 cell stage (Figure 35–U). (H, I) MZqdf3 embryos injected with ndr2/eGFP RNA showed no rescue of the MZgdf3 mutant phenotype regardless of what lineages were targeted. (J-Y) Shield stage embryos that were injected at the 4-8 cell stage with the indicated RNAs were processed by WISH for gsc and by IHC for GFP. Purple cells express gsc RNA; brown cells express GFP from RNA injection. Panels show embryos representative of each phenotypic class. (J-M) WT embryos at shield stage that were injected with gdf3/eGFP RNA showed no alteration in, or ectopic expression of gsc regardless of the location of expressing cells. (N-Q) MZgdf3 embryos injected with gdf3/ eGFP RNA showed rescue of gsc expression when the expressing cells were located at (O), or adjacent to the presumptive dorsal shield (P). (R-U) WT embryos injected with ndr2/eGFP RNA showed ectopic gsc expression associated with the clone of expressing cells, regardless of the location of these cells within the embryo. (V-Y) MZgdf3 embryos injected with ndr2/eGFP RNA were unresponsive to this nodal ligand and showed no gsc expression. Note: Due to the lack of gsc expression, the location of the GFP-expressing clone of cells relative to the dorsal axis could not be reliably assigned in these embryos.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.014

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Morphological alterations caused by *ndr2* overexpression. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.013

The ability of ectopic gdf3 to fully rescue MZgdf3 embryos only when it is expressed in lineages that co-express Nodal, and the observation that MZgdf3 embryos are refractory to Nodal (ndr2) overexpression phenotypes seen in WT embryos, indicate that Gdf3 and Nodal must be co-expressed to have function, and that either ligand alone cannot function without the other.

Discussion

Maternally supplied Gdf3 is required during early embryonic development. Zygotic *gdf3* homozygous mutant embryos have no apparent morphological phenotype, and can grow to viable and fertile adults. In contrast, embryos generated from fertilization of a *gdf3*-deficient mutant egg by a wildtype *gdf3+* sperm display the same embryonic lethal MZ*gdf3* mutant phenotype as those fertilized with sperm from a homozygous *gdf3-* mutant father. This indicates that zygotic expression of wildtype *gdf3*, confirmed by allele-specific RT-PCR, from the paternal genome after zygotic gene activation (ZGA, 4.2 hpf) (*Lee et al., 2014*), is insufficient rescue the MZ*gdf3* mutant phenotype. The inability to rescue the MZ phenotype may reflect the timing and/or levels of zygotic expression. The embryonic lethal MZ*gdf3* phenotype can however, be rescued to grow to viable fertile adults when injected with *gdf3* RNA at the 1 cell to 2 cell stages, supporting the idea that Gdf3 is essential during early embryogenesis.

Although Vg1/Gdf3 has been implicated in left-right patterning by specific cell lineage-targeting overexpression experiments in *Xenopus* (*Hyatt and Yost, 1998*) and by morpholino knockdown in zebrafish (*Peterson et al., 2013*), the zygotic *gdf3* mutants had no discernable alterations in LR patterning. There are several ways to consider the divergent zygotic mutant and morpholino LR phenotypes. First, it is possible that *gdf3* morpholinos had off-target effects. However, the LR phenotype in *gdf3* morphants could be rescued by manipulation of downstream components of the LR pathway. For example, lowering the doses of Charon, thought to be an inhibitor of the transfer of LR information from Kupffer's vesicle to LPM, rescued *gdf3* morphants (*Peterson et al., 2013*). If the agent of LR information is an obligate heterodimer of Gdf3 and Nodal, then lowering the dose of the inhibitor of this agent, would be expected to rescue the partial knockdown of a component of Gdf3 function during early development, revealing roles for Gdf3 in LR patterning that are masked in the more chronic disruption of function in genetic mutants. The ability of mutants to acquire 'genetic compensation' by which some of the functions of a mutation-targeted gene are masked, has been proposed (*El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017*) and is a topic of vigorous discussion. Third, it is possible

that maternal stores of Gdf3 persist late enough into embryogenesis to participate in LR patterning and to mask loss of zygotically encoded *gdf3*. The dramatic phenotype of the MZ*gdf3* mutants which includes a loss of lateral plate mesoderm and other tissues involved in the transfer of LR patterning signals and the inability of post-ZGA expressed paternal WT *gdf3* to rescue the MZ phenotype preclude ready assessment of this possible role of *gdf3* in LR patterning.

The loss of gene expression domains marking, in particular, neural mesodermal and endodermal tissues originating in or near the embryonic shield indicates a central role of Gdf3 in maintaining/promoting embryonic organizer function during gastrulation and is consistent with a role for Gdf3 function in the Nodal signaling pathway (*Andersson et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2003*). The results of exogenous expression of the receptor-promiscuous Activin ligand and constitutively active Alk4 receptors, and their partial rescue of the MZgdf3 phenotype, indicates that the TGF β response pathway is intact in MZgdf3, and that Gdf3 functions upstream of the Alk4 receptor, genetically placing its function at the same level as Nodal. The fact that exogenous Nodal (Ndr1 and Ndr2) could not activate transcription of the mesodermal transcription factors gsc or ta, nor rescue the loss of midline tissues in MZgdf3 indicates that Gdf3 function is an essential factor in the activation of the Nodal signaling pathway, and that addition of Nodal homodimers cannot overcome the deficit of Gdf3.

Our results indicate that Gdf3 and Nodal must be co-expressed in the prospective dorsal sector of the embryo to drive embryonic patterning. Strikingly, our genetic, epistasis and lineage targeting experiments indicate that either ligand cannot function without the other ligand, so that Gdf3 is an obligate cofactor of Nodal, and Nodal is an obligate co-factor of Gdf3. Members of the Nodal and Gdf families have been shown to co-immunoprecipitate, depending on the assay and the cells in which they are co-expressed (*Fuerer et al., 2014*; *Peterson et al., 2013*), and co-expression in *Xenopus* animal cap assays induces a stronger and more distant response than either ligand alone (*Andersson et al., 2007*; *Tanaka et al., 2007*). Combining our genetic results and these previous biochemical experiments, we suggest that the functional ligand for the Nodal signaling pathway during early zebrafish development is an obligate heterodimer of Gdf3/Nodal (Gdf3/Ndr1 and/or Gdf3/ Ndr2), and importantly, that homodimers of either Nodal/Nodal or Gdf3/Gdf3 are not functional in early embryonic patterning. There is precedent for this idea from studies of the role of the TGFβ family members *bmp2* and *bmp7* during zebrafish dorsoventral (DV) patterning. While homodimers of both are found in the embryo, only the heterodimer possess sufficient receptor activity to elicit the signaling required for DV patterning (*Little and Mullins, 2009*).

There is a single Nodal locus in mammals, whereas there are three Nodal-related loci in zebrafish, ndr1, which is maternally expressed, and ndr2 and spaw which are zygotic (Long et al., 2003; Rebagliati et al., 1998a). The early embryonic functions of mouse Nodal, in germ layer and axial development (Brennan et al., 2001; Conlon et al., 1994; Varlet et al., 1997), are reflected by the functions of zebrafish paralogs Ndr1 and Ndr2 during cleavage and gastrulation (Feldman et al., 1998; Rebagliati et al., 1998a, 1998b; Sampath et al., 1998). The role of murine Nodal in Left-Right patterning (Brennan et al., 2002; Saijoh et al., 2003) appears to be accomplished by Spaw and Ndr2 during somitogenesis in zebrafish (Long et al., 2003; Rebagliati et al., 1998a). In an inversion of the usual comparisons between the mammalian and duplicated zebrafish genomes, there is a single locus of the gdf gene family in zebrafish, gdf3, whereas there are two related loci in mammalian genomes, ancestral Gdf3 and more recently derived Gdf1. Murine Gdf3 mutants (Chen et al., 2006) phenocopy early germ layer and axial phenotypes of Nodal mutants while Gdf1 mutants (Rankin et al., 2000; Wall et al., 2000) phenocopy the laterality phenotypes of node-specific Nodal mutants. To our knowledge, double mutants of Gdf1 and Gdf3 have not been reported, nor is it known whether there is a maternal contribution of either Gdf1 or Gdf3 that participates in murine embryonic patterning. As we have proposed in this study, and as proposed by Peterson et al. (2013) in zebrafish, Nodal-Gdf heterodimers are thought to be the functional entity in both germ layer and LR patterning in mice (Andersson et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2007). While the varying number of Gdf and Nodal family members between fish and mammals may complicate comparing genetic and biochemical outcomes between mammals and zebrafish, it provides an interesting opportunity to explore the evolution of these co-factors and their signaling pathways.

Materials and methods

Generation of gdf3 mutants

TALEN nucleases targeting *gdf3* were designed and constructed in conjunction with Timothy Dahlem at the Mutation Generation and Detection Core at the University of Utah (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*). Sequences encoding left (L) and right (R) TALENs were cloned into the pCS2 +expression vector and TALEN RNAs were synthesized using the Message Machine SP6 transcription kit (Ambion).

TALEN RNAs (25 pg each L and R) were pressure injected into 1–2 cell AB strain embryos derived from a pool of adults that had previously been sequenced to ensure genomic homogeneity ('gdf3 clean') around the TALEN target site. Injected G0 embryos were harvested at 24 hpf and assayed by HRMA (**Parant et al., 2009**) to determine the frequency of TALEN-induced mutations in gdf3. Sibling embryos to those showing high frequency of gdf3 mutations were raised to adulthood and outcrossed to 'gdf3 clean' AB adults. Clutches of F1 embryos were screened by HRMA to identify G0 parents carrying gdf3 mutations, and sibling embryos were raised to adulthood as potential gdf3 F1 founders, which were subsequently identified by HRMA of tailfin genomic DNA.

Wild-type and mutant zebrafish lines were maintained at the Central Zebrafish Animal Resource (CZAR) at the University of Utah. Embryos for experiments were collected from natural spawnings, cultured and staged by developmental time and morphological criteria (*Westerfield*, **1995**).

Ectopic expression assays

Capped, synthetic RNAs for injection were generated from expression constructs encoding gdf3 (Dohrmann et al., 1996), ndr, ndr2 (Rebagliati et al., 1998a, 1998b), lft1, lft2 (Bisgrove et al., 1999), Xenopus activin β b (inhbb) (Thomsen et al., 1990) and CA-alk2 (Hemmati-Brivanlou and Thomsen, 1995) and human CA-alk4 (ACVR1B) (Willis et al., 1996) using Message Machine.

Wild-type AB strain or MZgdf3 mutant embryos at the 1–2 or 4–8 cell stages were injected with a single or combinations of RNA. Following injection embryos were allowed to develop to desired stages, then photographed or fixed for in whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH). In all cases injection experiments were carried out on two independent clutches of embryos and a minimum of 15 embryos were examined for each experimental condition and in situ probe.

RNA in situ localization and immunolocalization

For WISH, embryos were fixed and processed as described previously (**Bisgrove et al., 1999**). Antisense riboprobes were synthesized from linearized DNA templates using T3 or T7 polymerases and digoxigenin labeling mixes (Roche). Probes used in this study included gsc (**Stachel et al., 1993**), ta (ntl) (**Schulte-Merker et al., 1994**), ndr2 (cyc) (**Rebagliati et al., 1998**a), lft1, lft2 (**Bisgrove et al., 1999**), tbx16 (spt) (**Ruvinsky et al., 1998**), foxa2 (axial) (**Strähle and Jesuthasan, 1993**), otx2 (**Li et al., 1994**), erg2b (krox20) (**Oxtoby and Jowett, 1993**). In all cases, a minimum of 15 embryos were examined for each experimental condition and in situ probe.

To label clones of cells expressing eGFP by IHC, embryos were re-fixed following WISH and incubated with peroxidase-conjugated GFP antibody diluted 1/500 (Rockland Immunochemicals Inc. #600-103-215). 3,3'-diaminobenzidine was used as color development substrate.

Following WISH and IHC, embryos were cleared in 70% glycerol/PBS and photographed with a Leica M165FC or Leica M205FA microscope Using Leica Application Suite digital acquisition software. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop to correct color balance, exposure, brightness and contrast only.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jan Christian for discussions of Vg1 and TGF β superfamily. We are grateful for the exemplary collegiality of Alex Schier and Rebecca Burdine in coordinating publications.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	2UM1HL098160	H Joseph Yost

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Brent W Bisgrove, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Yi-Chu Su, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; H Joseph Yost, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Brent W Bisgrove b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0665-6401 Yi-Chu Su b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1621-6650 H Joseph Yost b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2961-5669

Ethics

Animal experimentation: All zebrafish research was conducted in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health, and was approved by the University of Utah IACUC committee (protocol number 15-06004)

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.017 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.018

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28534.015

References

- Andersson O, Reissmann E, Jörnvall H, Ibáñez CF. 2006. Synergistic interaction between Gdf1 and Nodal during anterior axis development. Developmental Biology 293:370–381. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.02. 002, PMID: 16564040
- Andersson O, Bertolino P, Ibáñez CF. 2007. Distinct and cooperative roles of mammalian Vg1 homologs GDF1 and GDF3 during early embryonic development. *Developmental Biology* **311**:500–511. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ydbio.2007.08.060, PMID: 17936261
- Birsoy B, Kofron M, Schaible K, Wylie C, Heasman J. 2006. Vg 1 is an essential signaling molecule in Xenopus development. *Development* **133**:15–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02144, PMID: 16308332
- **Bisgrove BW**, Essner JJ, Yost HJ. 1999. Regulation of midline development by antagonism of lefty and nodal signaling. *Development* **126**:3253–3262. PMID: 10375514
- Branford WW, Essner JJ, Yost HJ. 2000. Regulation of gut and heart left-right asymmetry by context-dependent interactions between xenopus lefty and BMP4 signaling. *Developmental Biology* **223**:291–306. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2000.9739, PMID: 10882517
- Brennan J, Lu CC, Norris DP, Rodriguez TA, Beddington RS, Robertson EJ. 2001. Nodal signalling in the epiblast patterns the early mouse embryo. Nature 411:965–969. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35082103, PMID: 1141 8863
- Brennan J, Norris DP, Robertson EJ. 2002. Nodal activity in the node governs left-right asymmetry. Genes & Development 16:2339–2344. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1016202, PMID: 12231623

- Chen Y, Schier AF. 2001. The zebrafish Nodal signal Squint functions as a morphogen. *Nature* **411**:607–610. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35079121, PMID: 11385578
- Chen Y, Mironova E, Whitaker LL, Edwards L, Yost HJ, Ramsdell AF. 2004. ALK4 functions as a receptor for multiple TGF beta-related ligands to regulate left-right axis determination and mesoderm induction in Xenopus. Developmental Biology 268:280–294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2003.12.035, PMID: 15063168
- Chen C, Ware SM, Sato A, Houston-Hawkins DE, Habas R, Matzuk MM, Shen MM, Brown CW. 2006. The Vg1related protein Gdf3 acts in a Nodal signaling pathway in the pre-gastrulation mouse embryo. *Development* 133:319–329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02210, PMID: 16368929
- Cheng SK, Olale F, Bennett JT, Brivanlou AH, Schier AF. 2003. EGF-CFC proteins are essential coreceptors for the TGF-beta signals Vg1 and GDF1. Genes & Development 17:31–36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad. 1041203, PMID: 12514096
- Conlon FL, Lyons KM, Takaesu N, Barth KS, Kispert A, Herrmann B, Robertson EJ. 1994. A primary requirement for nodal in the formation and maintenance of the primitive streak in the mouse. *Development* **120**:1919–1928. PMID: 7924997
- Dasgupta A, Amack JD. 2016. Cilia in vertebrate left-right patterning. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371:20150410. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0410, PMID: 27821522
- Dohrmann CE, Kessler DS, Melton DA. 1996. Induction of axial mesoderm by zDVR-1, the zebrafish orthologue of Xenopus Vg1. Developmental Biology 175:108–117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1996.0099, PMID: 8608857
- **Dougan ST**, Warga RM, Kane DA, Schier AF, Talbot WS. 2003. The role of the zebrafish nodal-related genes squint and cyclops in patterning of mesendoderm. *Development* **130**:1837–1851. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.00400, PMID: 12642489
- El-Brolosy MA, Stainier DYR. 2017. Genetic compensation: A phenomenon in search of mechanisms. *PLOS Genetics* **13**:e1006780. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006780, PMID: 28704371
- Essner JJ, Vogan KJ, Wagner MK, Tabin CJ, Yost HJ, Brueckner M. 2002. Conserved function for embryonic nodal cilia. *Nature* **418**:37–38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/418037a, PMID: 12097899
- Essner JJ, Amack JD, Nyholm MK, Harris EB, Yost HJ. 2005. Kupffer's vesicle is a ciliated organ of asymmetry in the zebrafish embryo that initiates left-right development of the brain, heart and gut. *Development* **132**:1247–1260. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01663, PMID: 15716348
- Feldman B, Gates MA, Egan ES, Dougan ST, Rennebeck G, Sirotkin HI, Schier AF, Talbot WS. 1998. Zebrafish organizer development and germ-layer formation require nodal-related signals. *Nature* **395**:181–185. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/26013, PMID: 9744277
- Fuerer C, Nostro MC, Constam DB. 2014. Nodal-Gdf1 heterodimers with bound prodomains enable serumindependent nodal signaling and endoderm differentiation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289:17854–17871. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.550301, PMID: 24798330
- Gritsman K, Zhang J, Cheng S, Heckscher E, Talbot WS, Schier AF. 1999. The EGF-CFC protein one-eyed pinhead is essential for nodal signaling. *Cell* **97**:121–132. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80720-5, PMID: 10199408
- Helde KA, Grunwald DJ. 1993. The DVR-1 (Vg1) transcript of zebrafish is maternally supplied and distributed throughout the embryo. *Developmental Biology* **159**:418–426. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1993.1252, PMID: 8405668
- Hemmati-Brivanlou A, Thomsen GH. 1995. Ventral mesodermal patterning in Xenopus embryos: expression patterns and activities of BMP-2 and BMP-4. *Developmental Genetics* **17**:78–89. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.1020170109, PMID: 7554498
- Hyatt BA, Lohr JL, Yost HJ. 1996. Initiation of vertebrate left-right axis formation by maternal Vg1. Nature **384**: 62–65. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/384062a0, PMID: 8900277
- Hyatt BA, Yost HJ. 1998. The left-right coordinator: the role of Vg1 in organizing left-right axis formation. *Cell* **93**:37–46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81144-7, PMID: 9546390
- Kao KR, Elinson RP. 1988. The entire mesodermal mantle behaves as Spemann's organizer in dorsoanterior enhanced Xenopus laevis embryos. Developmental Biology 127:64–77. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(88)90189-3, PMID: 3282938
- Karkera JD, Lee JS, Roessler E, Banerjee-Basu S, Ouspenskaia MV, Mez J, Goldmuntz E, Bowers P, Towbin J, Belmont JW, Baxevanis AD, Schier AF, Muenke M. 2007. Loss-of-function mutations in growth differentiation factor-1 (GDF1) are associated with congenital heart defects in humans. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 81:987–994. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/522890, PMID: 17924340
- Lee MT, Bonneau AR, Giraldez AJ. 2014. Zygotic genome activation during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology **30**:581–613. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013027, PMID: 25150012
- Li Y, Allende ML, Finkelstein R, Weinberg ES. 1994. Expression of two zebrafish orthodenticle-related genes in the embryonic brain. *Mechanisms of Development* **48**:229–244. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0925-4773(94) 90062-0, PMID: 7893604
- Little SC, Mullins MC. 2009. Bone morphogenetic protein heterodimers assemble heteromeric type I receptor complexes to pattern the dorsoventral axis. *Nature Cell Biology* **11**:637–643. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1870, PMID: 19377468

- Long S, Ahmad N, Rebagliati M. 2003. The zebrafish nodal-related gene southpaw is required for visceral and diencephalic left-right asymmetry. *Development* **130**:2303–2316. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00436, PMID: 12702646
- Mintzer KA, Lee MA, Runke G, Trout J, Whitman M, Mullins MC. 2001. Lost-a-fin encodes a type I BMP receptor, Alk8, acting maternally and zygotically in dorsoventral pattern formation. *Development* **128**:859–869. PMID: 11222141
- **Ohi Y**, Wright CV. 2007. Anteriorward shifting of asymmetric Xnr1 expression and contralateral communication in left-right specification in Xenopus. *Developmental Biology* **301**:447–463. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio. 2006.08.021, PMID: 16959238
- Oxtoby E, Jowett T. 1993. Cloning of the zebrafish krox-20 gene (krx-20) and its expression during hindbrain development. *Nucleic Acids Research* **21**:1087–1095. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.5.1087, PMID: 84646 95
- Parant JM, George SA, Pryor R, Wittwer CT, Yost HJ. 2009. A rapid and efficient method of genotyping zebrafish mutants. *Developmental Dynamics* 238:3168–3174. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22143, PMID: 19890916
- Peterson AG, Wang X, Yost HJ. 2013. Dvr1 transfers left-right asymmetric signals from Kupffer's vesicle to lateral plate mesoderm in zebrafish. *Developmental Biology* 382:198–208. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2013. 06.011, PMID: 23791819
- Rankin CT, Bunton T, Lawler AM, Lee SJ. 2000. Regulation of left-right patterning in mice by growth/ differentiation factor-1. Nature Genetics 24:262–265. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/73472, PMID: 10700179
- Rebagliati MR, Weeks DL, Harvey RP, Melton DA. 1985. Identification and cloning of localized maternal RNAs from Xenopus eggs. Cell 42:769–777. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90273-9, PMID: 2414011
- Rebagliati MR, Toyama R, Fricke C, Haffter P, Dawid IB. 1998a. Zebrafish nodal-related genes are implicated in axial patterning and establishing left-right asymmetry. *Developmental Biology* **199**:261–272. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1006/dbio.1998.8935, PMID: 9698446
- Rebagliati MR, Toyama R, Haffter P, Dawid IB. 1998b. cyclops encodes a nodal-related factor involved in midline signaling. PNAS **95**:9932–9937. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.17.9932, PMID: 9707578
- Ruvinsky I, Silver LM, Ho RK. 1998. Characterization of the zebrafish tbx16 gene and evolution of the vertebrate T-box family. Development Genes and Evolution **208**:94–99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s004270050158, PMID: 9569350
- Saijoh Y, Oki S, Ohishi S, Hamada H. 2003. Left-right patterning of the mouse lateral plate requires nodal produced in the node. Developmental Biology 256:161–173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606(02) 00121-5, PMID: 12654299
- Sampath K, Rubinstein AL, Cheng AM, Liang JO, Fekany K, Solnica-Krezel L, Korzh V, Halpern ME, Wright CV. 1998. Induction of the zebrafish ventral brain and floorplate requires cyclops/nodal signalling. *Nature* 395:185– 189. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/26020, PMID: 9744278
- Schier AF, Talbot WS. 2005. Molecular genetics of axis formation in zebrafish. Annual Review of Genetics **39**: 561–613. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.143752, PMID: 16285872
- Schulte-Merker S, van Eeden FJ, Halpern ME, Kimmel CB, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 1994. no tail (ntl) is the zebrafish homologue of the mouse T (Brachyury) gene. *Development* **120**:1009–1015. PMID: 7600949
- Slagle CE, Aoki T, Burdine RD. 2011. Nodal-dependent mesendoderm specification requires the combinatorial activities of FoxH1 and Eomesodermin. *PLoS Genetics* 7:e1002072. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen. 1002072, PMID: 21637786
- Stachel SE, Grunwald DJ, Myers PZ. 1993. Lithium perturbation and goosecoid expression identify a dorsal specification pathway in the pregastrula zebrafish. Development 117:1261–1274. PMID: 8104775
- Strähle U, Jesuthasan S. 1993. Ultraviolet irradiation impairs epiboly in zebrafish embryos: evidence for a microtubule-dependent mechanism of epiboly. Development 119:909–919. PMID: 8187646
- Tanaka C, Sakuma R, Nakamura T, Hamada H, Saijoh Y. 2007. Long-range action of Nodal requires interaction with GDF1. Genes & Development 21:3272–3282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1623907, PMID: 18079174
- Thisse C, Thisse B, Halpern ME, Postlethwait JH. 1994. Goosecoid expression in neurectoderm and mesendoderm is disrupted in zebrafish cyclops gastrulas. *Developmental Biology* 164:420–429. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1994.1212, PMID: 8045345
- Thisse C, Thisse B. 1999. Antivin, a novel and divergent member of the TGFbeta superfamily, negatively regulates mesoderm induction. *Development* **126**:229–240. PMID: 9847237
- Thomsen G, Woolf T, Whitman M, Sokol S, Vaughan J, Vale W, Melton DA. 1990. Activins are expressed early in Xenopus embryogenesis and can induce axial mesoderm and anterior structures. *Cell* 63:485–493. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90445-K, PMID: 2225062
- Varlet I, Collignon J, Norris DP, Robertson EJ. 1997. Nodal signaling and axis formation in the mouse. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 62:105–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1997.062.01. 015, PMID: 9598342
- Wall NA, Craig EJ, Labosky PA, Kessler DS. 2000. Mesendoderm induction and reversal of left-right pattern by mouse Gdf1, a Vg1-related gene. *Developmental Biology* 227:495–509. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio. 2000.9926, PMID: 11071769
- Westerfield M. 1995. The Zebrafish Book: A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish. 3rd edn. Eugene: University of Oregon Press.

- Willis SA, Zimmerman CM, Li LI, Mathews LS. 1996. Formation and activation by phosphorylation of activin receptor complexes. *Molecular Endocrinology* **10**:367–379. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/mend.10.4.8721982, PMID: 8721982
- Zhang J, Wu Q, Wang L, Li X, Ma Y, Yao L. 2015. Association of GDF1 rs4808863 with fetal congenital heart defects: a case-control study. *BMJ Open* **5**:e009352. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009352, PMID: 26656983