

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Cancer Cell



Letter

Cancer Care Disparities during the COVID-19 Pandemic: COVID-19 and Cancer Outcomes Study

Andrew L. Schmidt,^{1,4} Ziad Bakouny,^{1,4} Sheena Bhalla,^{2,4} John A. Steinharter,¹ Douglas A. Tremblay,² Mark M. Awad,¹ Alaina J. Kessler,² Robert I. Haddad,¹ Michelle Evans,³ Fiona Busser,¹ Michael Wotman,³ Catherine R. Curran,¹ Brittney S. Zimmerman,² Gabrielle Bouchard,¹ Tomi Jun,² Pier V. Nuzzo,¹ Qian Qin,² Laure Hirsch,¹ Jonathan Feld,² Kaitlin M. Kelleher,¹ Danielle Seidman,² Hsin-Hui Huang,² Heather M. Anderson-Keightly,² Sarah Abou Alaiwi,¹ Talia D. Rosenbloom,² Penina S. Stewart,² Matthew D. Galsky,^{2,5} Toni K. Choueiri,^{1,5} and Deborah B. Doroshow^{2,5,*}

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.10.023

Patients with cancer, both active and previously treated, may be at higher risk of severe outcomes from COVID-19 compared to the general population (Dai et al., 2020; Bakouny et al., 2020; Westblade et al., 2020). Oncologists and health care systems have adapted the delivery of cancer care to mitigate the increased risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 among oncology patients (Ürün et al., 2020). However, the ways in which the pandemic has incited changes in multidisciplinary cancer care remain poorly defined with minimal prospective data. In this multicenter, prospective cohort study of 2,365 outpatients receiving cancer care during the pandemic, we detail significant disruptions to routine cancer care and racial disparities in care disruption and COVID-19 outcomes.

The COVID-19 and Cancer Outcomes Study (CCOS) is a multicenter prospective cohort study comprised of adult patients (18 years or older) with a current or past history of hematological malignancy or invasive solid tumor who were scheduled for an outpatient medical oncology visit on the index week between March 2 and March 6, 2020, at the Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in New York City (MSSM), and the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston (DFCI). An electronic data capture platform was used to collect patient-, cancer-, and treatment-related variables during 3 months prior to the index week (from December 2, 2019, hereafter referred to baseline period) and 3-month

follow-up (until June 6, 2020, referred to as the pandemic period).

The primary objective was to examine the impact of COVID-19 on the delivery of cancer care as measured by the number of total visits, in-person outpatient visits, and telehealth visits. Secondary objectives included defining pandemicrelated delays of planned oncologic care and COVID-19 diagnosis. To evaluate the correlates of changes in cancer care delivery, multivariable logistic regression models, with listwise deletion for missing data, that included the following independent variables were computed: self-reported race ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, Hispanic, non-Hispanic Black, or Other), cancer center (DFCI or MSSM), cancer status (metastatic/active, localized/stable, or No Evaluable Disease [NED]/complete remission, with the former referring to solid malignancies and the latter hematologic malignancies), receipt of systemic therapy during the index period (yes or no), and tumor type (genitourinary, hematological, breast, thoracic, gastrointestinal, head and neck, or other solid tumor). For numbers of visits, perpatient differences in the numbers of visits in the pandemic period compared to the baseline period were used as the dichotomized dependent variables (any increase in telehealth visits, and any decrease in all or in-person visits). Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare within-patient visit numbers

between periods. Median follow-up was determined using the inverse Kaplan-Meier method. All tests were two-tailed and considered statistically significant for p < 0.05. All analyses were done in the R statistical environment (v3.6.1).

Median age was 65 years (range, 20-390), and 1,278 (54.0%) patients were male. The racial and ethnic distribution included 1,346 (56.9%) non-Hispanic White patients, 299 (12.6%) non-Hispanic Black patients, and 297 (12.6%) Hispanic patients. Median follow-up was 84 days (95% CI, 82-84). In the 3-month pandemic period, 960 patients (40.6%) experienced a decrease in all visits, 1,219 (51.6%) had a decrease in in-person visits, and 760 (32.2%) had an increase in telehealth visits (Wilcoxon p < 0.01 for all three comparisons). When differences in visits were examined by race/ ethnicity, after adjusting for cancer center, cancer status, receipt of systemic therapy, and tumor type, a significant reduction in overall visits was observed for Hispanic patients compared to White patients (aOR 1.34; 95% CI, 1.02-1.77; Table S1). No difference was demonstrated for Black or "Other" patients compared to White patients.

When adjusted for the same variables, no significant changes were demonstrated for in-person visits by race or ethnicity. However, Black (aOR 0.69; 95% CI, 0.50–0.94) and Hispanic patients (aOR 0.71; 95% CI, 0.51–0.98) were less likely to use telehealth during the pandemic period (Table S1).



¹Department of Medical Oncology, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02215, USA

²Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York City, NY 10029, USA

³Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY 10029, USA

⁴These authors contributed equally

⁵These authors contributed equally

^{*}Correspondence: deborah.doroshow@mssm.edu



Cancer Cell

During the 3-month follow-up period after the index date, 128 patients (5.5%) were diagnosed with COVID-19 infection. Of these, 122 (5.2% of all patients) had a positive SARS CoV-2 PCR or antibody test, and 6 (0.3% of all patients) were clinically presumed positive without a corresponding test. Non-Hispanic Black patients were more likely to experience COVID-19 infection (aOR 1.86; 95% CI, 1.10-3.11), as were Hispanic patients (aOR 3.19; 95% CI, 2.00-5.10; Table S1).

Based on narrative accounts provided in the electronic medical record (EMR), 239 patients (10.1%) had treatment delayed secondary to the pandemic. Of these, 48 (20.0%) were determined to be the direct result of COVID-19 infection. Treatment delays were more likely to be experienced by Hispanic patients (aOR 1.53; 95% CI, 1.03-2.26). No significant difference was demonstrated for Black patients (aOR 1.26; 95% CI, 0.84-1.88) or patients from "Other" ethnic groups. No ethnic or racial disparities were observed for delays in diagnostic imaging. During the pandemic period, 646 (27.3%) patients had a change of treatment compared to 734 (31.0%) during the baseline period. A relatively small subset of the decisions to change treatment were judged to be due to the pandemic (n = 76, 11.8%).

In conclusion, significant disruptions to cancer care were observed during the pandemic at tertiary institutions in New York and Boston, A significant decrease in outpatient visits and increase in telehealth visits were noted during the pandemic period. However, Black and Hispanic patients were less likely to have an increase in telehealth utilization and were more likely to develop COVID-19 infection compared to white patients. Hispanic patients were more likely than White patients to have pandemic-related delays in cancer care. Racial and ethnic barriers to the adoption of telehealth and related socioeconomic factors place members of these vulnerable populations at disproportionate risk for both COVID-19 infection and decreased cancer-related visits, thereby exacerbating existing health disparities. Sustained efforts to reduce racial and ethnic barriers to the adoption of telehealth, as well as broader efforts to reduce the systemic inequities that place these populations at risk for COVID-19 infection, will be critical to narrowing disparities.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.10.023.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors report the following conflicts of interest: A.L.S., educational travel support from Pfizer and Astellas: Z.B., non-financial support from Bristol Myers Squibb and research support from Genentech/ImCore; M.M.A., research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb Lilly, AstraZeneca, and Genentech and a consulting/advisory role with Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, AstraZeneca, Genentech, Merck, Achilles, and Abbvie; R.I.H., consulting/ advisory role with BMS, Merck, Pfizer, Genetech, Astra Zeneca, and GSK and research grant/funding from Merck, BMS, Pfizer, Genentech, GSK, and Astra Zeneca. M.D.G. reports stock from Rappta Therapeutics; a consulting/advisory role for BioMotiv, Janssen, Dendreon, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Astellas, Genentech, Bristol-Myers Pfizer, EMD Novartis, AstraZeneca, Seattle Genetics, Incyte, Alleron Therapeutics, Dracen, Inovio Pharmaceuticals. NuMab, and Dragonfly Therapeutics; and institutional research funding from Janssen, Dendreon, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, and Genentech/Roche, T.K.C. reports research support from AstraZeneca, Alexion, Bayer, Bristol MyersSquibb/ER Squibb and sons LLC, Cerulean, Eisai, Foundation Medicine Inc., Exelixis, Ipsen, 16 Tracon, Genentech, Roche, Roche Products Limited, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Peloton, Pfizer, Prometheus Labs, Corvus, Calithera, Analvsis Group, Sanofi/Aventis, Takeda; honoraria, AstraZeneca, Alexion, Sanofi/Aventis, Bayer, Bristol Myers-Squibb/ER Squibb and sons LLC, Cerulean, Eisai, Foundation Medicine Inc., Exelixis, Genentech, Roche, Roche Products Limited, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, Peloton, Pfizer, EMD Serono, Prometheus Labs, Corvus, Ipsen, Up-to-Date, NCCN, Analysis Group, NCCN, Michael J. Hennessy (MJH) Associates. Inc (Healthcare Communications Company with several brands such as OnClive, PeerView and PER), Research to Practice, L-path, Kidney Cancer Journal, Clinical Care Options, Platform Q. Navinata Healthcare. Harborside Press. American Society of Medical Oncology, NEJM, Lancet Oncology, Heron Therapeutics, Lilly Oncology; a consulting or advisory role for AstraZeneca, Alexion, Sanofi/Aventis, Bayer, Bristol Myers-Squibb/ ER Squibb and sons LLC, Cerulean, Eisai, Foundation Medicine Inc., Exelixis, Genentech, Heron Therapeutics, Lilly, Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, Peloton, Pfizer, EMD Serono, Prometheus Labs, Corvus, Ipsen, Up-to-Date, NCCN, Analysis Group, Pionyr, Tempest, Lilly Ventures; owns stocks of Pionyr and Tempest; leadership roles as Director of Genitourinary Oncology Division at Dana-Farber and past President of Medical Staff at Dana-Farber, member of NCCN Kidney panel and the GU Steering Committee, past chairman of the Kidney Cancer Association Medical and Scientific Steering Committee, KidneyCan Advisory board, Kidney cancer Research Summit co-chair (2019-present); patents, royalties, or other intellectual properties including International Patent Application No. PCT/US2018/ 12209, entitled "PBRM1 Biomarkers Predictive of Anti-Immune Checkpoint Response," January 3, 2018, claiming priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 17 No. 62/445,094, filed January 11, 2017; International Patent Application No. PCT/US2018/058430, entitled "Biomarkers of Clinical Response and Benefit to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy," filed October 31, 2018, claiming priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/581,175, filed November 3, 2017; and travel, accommodations, and expenses, in relation to consulting, advisory roles, or honoraria; medical writing and editorial assistance support may have been funded by communications companies funded by pharmaceutical companies (ClinicalThinking, Envision Pharma Group, Fishawack Group of Companies, Health Interactions, Parexel, Oxford PharmaGenesis, and others). T.K.C.'s institution (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute) may have received additional independent funding of drug companies or/and royalties potentially involved in research around the subject matter. T.K.C. has mentored several non-US citizens on research projects with potential funding (in part) from non-US sources/ foreign components. D.B.D. reports consulting/ advisory roles with Ipsen, Boeringer Ingelheim, Atheneum Partners, Boston Healthcare Associates, Dedham Group, and Guidepoint Global Advisors and travel expenses from Ipsen.

REFERENCES

Bakouny, Z., Hawley, J.E., Choueiri, T.K., Peters, S., Rini, B.I., Warner, J.L., and Painter, C.A. (2020). COVID-19 and cancer: current challenges and perspectives. Cancer Cell 38, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

Dai, M., Liu, D., Liu, M., Zhou, F., Li, G., Chen, Z., Zhang, Z., You, H., Wu, M., Zheng, Q., et al. (2020). Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. Cancer Discov. 10, 783-791

Ürün, Y., Hussain, S.A., Bakouny, Z., Castellano, D., Kılıçkap, S., Morgan, G., Mckay, R.R., Pels, K., Schmidt, A., Doroshow, D.B., et al. (2020). Survey of the impact of COVID-19 on oncologists' decision making in cancer. JCO Glob. Oncol. 6, 1248-1257

Westblade, L.F., Brar, G., Pinheiro, L.C., Paidoussis, D., Rajan, M., Martin, P., Goyal, P., Sepulveda, J.L., Zhang, L., George, G., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 viral load predicts mortality in patients with and without cancer who are hospitalized with COVID-19. Cancer Cell 38, https://doi. ora/10.1016/i.ccell.2020.09.007.