scientific reports

OPEN



The effect of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Yumiao Fu^{1,4}, Yifan Song^{1,4}, Yining Li^{1,4}, Dalinda Isabel Sanchez-Vidana^{1,2,4}, Jack Jiaqi Zhang¹, Way Kwok-Wai Lau³, Davynn Gim Hoon Tan¹, Shirley Pui Ching Ngai¹ Benson Wui-Man Lau^{1,2}

Currently, 280 million people worldwide experience depression, ranking it third in the global burden of disease. The incidence of depression has risen due to the COVID-19 pandemic, making it essential to examine evidence-based practices in reducing depressive symptoms during this unprecedented time. This systematic review and meta-analysis aim to analyze randomized controlled trials during the COVID-19 pandemic that evaluated the effect of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms in individuals with depression. Four databases (PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus) were searched in November 2023 using search terms including meditation, mindfulness, depression, and depressive symptoms. The meta-analysis was conducted using Review Manager 5.4 software (Cochrane Collaboration). A random model and Standard Mean Difference analysis with 95% CIs were used for continuous variables. The systematic review included 26 RCT studies. The meta-analysis showed significant effects of mindfulness meditation interventions (SMD = -1.14; 95% CI -1.45 to -0.83; P < 0.001) in reducing depressive symptoms compared to comparison groups. The findings suggest a positive effect of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms in individuals with depression during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords COVID-19, Depression, Depressive symptoms, Meditation, Mindfulness

Depression, a complex and multifaceted mental illness, affects millions of people worldwide and remains a significant challenge to understand and treat due to its unclear pathogenesis and numerous contributing factors². About 280 million people in the world are currently suffering from depression². The symptoms of depression manifest in the cognitive, emotional, and neurological domains, and the resulting functional impairment increases with severity³. The pathogenesis of depression is not yet fully understood, and the prevailing academic view is that depression occurs as a pathological result of the interaction of multiple factors³. Several studies have shown that depression may be associated with levels and activity of serotonin and dopamine levels, changes in hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA), hippocampal neuroplasticity, neurogenesis, structural changes in the brain, inflammation, genes and other factor^{3–8}. It is worth noting that the serotonin hypothesis, which is currently controversial, asserts that the behavior and functioning of people with depression are related to their levels of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5HT) in the brain⁶. A study by Moncrieff et al. shows that there is no compelling evidence for including serotonin levels as a contributing factor to depression. It is because of such a complex multifactorial nature that the treatment of depression is challenging.

¹Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China. ²Mental Health Research Centre, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China. ³Department of Health Sciences, School of Nursing and Health Studies, Hong Kong Metropolitan University, Hong Kong SAR, China. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Yumiao Fu, Yifan Song, Yining Li and Dalinda Isabel Sanchez-Vidana. ^{III} email: wkwlau@hkmu.edu.hk; davynn.gh.tan@polyu.edu.hk; benson.lau@polyu.edu.hk

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted global mental health, exacerbating depression and anxiety rates, and highlighting the urgent need for effective interventions to mitigate its effects⁹. The severe respiratory symptoms, high mortality rates, and rapid spread of the virus have contributed to its classification as a serious illness affecting both physical and mental health^{10,11}. The widespread implementation of social distancing regulations, mandatory lockdowns, and other psychosocial factors during the pandemic have further exacerbated depression¹². The rise in depression and anxiety during the pandemic has created a significant global burden on health systems and is expected to have long-term economic and social repercussions¹¹. The emergence of new variants has caused heightened concerns about reinfection, leading to increased psychological distress and the need for more mental health support, further exacerbating the risk of depression¹³. Therefore, it is crucial to identify evidence-based interventions to provide timely and accessible mental health care to those affected, thereby reducing the burden of depression during the pandemic.

Approaches to treat depression

The use of antidepressant medications is the first-line treatment approach for depression, with a variety of classes of medications being used to target different symptoms and severity levels¹⁴. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the most commonly used antidepressants in clinical practice¹⁵. Furthermore, serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) are also widely used in depression treatment^{16,17}. One article compared the efficacy and resistance of several existing antidepressant medications. They found that discontinuation rates were higher in most antidepressant groups than in the placebo group¹⁸. Another meta-analysis showed that antidepressant efficacy increased incrementally with the severity of depression, but there was minimal improvement in mild or moderate patients¹⁹. The effect size, advantages and disadvantages of antidepressants are shown in Table 1.

Despite their widespread use, antidepressants have significant drawbacks that warrant consideration, including potential side effects, limited treatment options for drug-resistant cases, and high costs associated with long-term management^{26,27}. Antidepressants can cause significant changes in body weight, nausea, and headache symptoms, and even negatively affect sexual function^{15,16,28,29}. In drug-resistant clinical cases, other treatment alternatives are used such as electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)³. However, accessibility to treatment options for drug-resistant cases is limited³⁰. This kind of intervention is not suitable for all patients because electroconvulsive therapy may cause cognitive impairment and loss of autobiographical memory³¹. Depression is a chronic condition with a high relapse rate and requires expensive long-term counseling and medication³². Hospitalization may be required if somatization symptoms are severe, which will add additional costs. Given the above-mentioned issues, there is an urgent need for novel and effective therapies for depression.

Effective non-pharmacological interventions (Table 1), including psychotherapy, exercise, dietary treatment, and music therapy, have emerged as viable alternatives to antidepressant medications for reducing depressive symptoms, offering a safer and potentially more effective treatment option for individuals with depression^{33,34}. Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) is the combination of basic behavior and cognitive principles, can help patients understand and check how their thoughts, emotions and behavior interact, leading to or aggravate depression, to teach patients how to use more adaptive thoughts and behavior to replace dysfunctional thoughts and behavior, which can reduce pain and improve mood³⁵. Currently, cognitive behavioral therapy has been a mature and effective psychotherapy for the treatment of depression³⁶. Exercise therapy, especially tai chi, has also been reported to be beneficial in the non-pharmacological treatment of depression³⁷. In terms of dietary therapy, saffron is credited with antidepressant potential. Another article revealed that saffron's antidepressant effect may be comparable to the effects of several antidepressant medications, with fewer side effects³⁸. Music therapy uses music as a means of intervening with depressed patients through both active and receptive approaches^{39,40}. In summary, these treatments can be used in addition to medication or as stand-alone treatment options to help patients reduce their depressive symptoms.

While non-pharmacological interventions for depression have been shown to be effective in reducing symptoms and improving quality of life, they can also have potential side effects that should be considered. Adverse effects reported in CBT include distress, worsening of symptoms, and strains in family relations⁴¹. Music therapy may cause anxiety, depression, disorientation, mental fatigue, emotional distress, or physical discomfort due to factors such as maladaptive use, altered states, repetition, negative associations, or uncomfortable sound

Treatment	Effect size	Advantages	Disadvantages
Antidepressants	- 0.3 ²⁰	Currently available to prevent relapse and recurrence of depression In long-term antidepressant treatment, antidepressants can be administered by non-psychiatric physicians without special- ized training ²¹	Adverse effects, higher cost, social stigma ²² , gastrointestinal symptoms, sexual disfunction, weight gain, sleep disturbances, require high compliance ²¹ , low remission rate, and high recurrence rate ²³
СВТ	- 0.75 ²⁴	Cost-effective, superior relapse prevention ²⁵	Time-consuming, costly and not easily accessible in some countries, may not be effective for all individuals ²⁵
Exercise	Walking and jogging ²² : -0.62 Yoga ²² : -0.55 Tai chi or Qi Gong ²² : -0.42 Strength training ²² : -0.49 Mixed aerobic exercise ²² : -0.43	Fewer adverse effects than antidepressant treatment, easy to perform, low cost, no stigma ²³	High drop-out rate than antidepressant treatment ²²

Table 1. Comparative effect sizes of pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments for depression.

properties⁴². The adverse effects commonly reported in exercise interventions, including muscle or joint pain, headache, and fatigue, can be exacerbated if pre-existing orthopedic injuries are present⁴³.

Mindfulness meditation for depression

Mindfulness meditation, a non-pharmacological intervention, has been shown to have a significant impact on depressive symptoms in various populations and has emerged as a promising adjunct therapy for depression. According to the National Institutes of Health, mindfulness meditation focuses on the interactions between the brain, mind, body, and behavior⁴⁴. Mindfulness meditation can also be a form of mental training to help improve concentration and mood⁴⁵. Modern mindfulness meditation techniques can be categorized as loving-kindness meditation, focused attention meditation, open-monitoring meditation, mind-body meditation, and transcendental meditation⁴⁶. Mindfulness meditation is commonly defined clinically as non-judgmental attention to the energies of the present moment⁴⁵. Mindfulness meditation is often used in everyday life to reduce stress and anxiety⁴⁷. In recent years, many studies have shown the positive effects of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms in several populations⁴⁸⁻⁵¹. Mindfulness meditation can lead to a calming of attitudes, help people to modulate their attention, and guide them to focus on their feelings in the present moment⁴⁵. People can increase their self-awareness when practicing mindfulness meditation, which helps them to better comprehend their own emotions and states, thus increasing flexibility of thought and driving positive thoughts⁴⁵. In addition, mindfulness meditation can help people relieve stress and detach from negative feelings^{50,52-54}. Results of a meta-analysis showed a significant effect of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms and recommended the use of meditation-based interventions as evidence-based treatments⁵⁵. In another study on mindfulness meditation and mental disorders, meditation was shown to be an effective adjunct therapy for depression⁴⁶. In addition, the simplicity and safety of the procedure are the biggest advantages of mindfulness meditation over other depression treatment methods, such as ECT. It is less restricted by environment and time and can be done anywhere at any time³⁷. Furthermore, mindfulness meditation can help treat depression and alleviate depressive symptoms at a lower cost compared with CBT, usual care, and maintenance antidepressant medication^{37,56}. In the context of social distancing and lock down experienced in the COVID-19 pandemic, mindfulness meditation interventions could be remotely administered⁵⁷. Another advantage of mindfulness meditation during the pandemic is that it can be delivered to a large number of people at once in group sessions led by trained instructors, making it a more scalable intervention compared to other approaches for depression.

Recent meta-analyses have explored the efficacy of mindfulness meditation interventions in treating depression across various age groups, yielding valuable insights into the benefits and limitations of this therapeutic approach. One meta-analysis confirmed the effectiveness of mindfulness meditation interventions in the treatment of depression by comparing 45 studies that concluded that mindfulness meditation improved depression to some extent in emerging adults⁵⁰. Another meta-analysis conducted included depressed adults over the age of 65, and the final results included a total of 19 studies⁵¹. They concluded that mindfulness meditation can effectively improve the development of depression in older adults and can be used as an adjunct or alternative therapy to conventional treatment for depression in the elderly⁵¹. A meta-analysis analyzed individual differences in meditation interventions. They included and analyzed 51 studies and showed that individuals with higher levels of psychopathology or depression had poorer mental health after the meditation intervention⁵⁸. However, participant demographics, psychological characteristics, and practice duration did not significantly influence the effects of the meditation intervention⁵⁸. It is important to note that the COVID-19 pandemic has presented a unique and unprecedented situation, and the effectiveness of mindfulness meditation in addressing depression symptoms during this specific timeframe remains uncertain and may provide valuable information. For instance, the evaluation of efficacy of mindfulness meditation is important because it can guide researchers and clinicians to consider mindfulness meditation as an alternative therapeutic option in times of natural disasters or unprecedented situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

As the incidence of depression continues to rise in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic¹¹, it is essential to investigate the effectiveness of evidence-based practices, such as mindfulness meditation, in this unique and timely context. Although we have already resumed to the new-normal after the COVID-19 pandemic, it is unclear that if mindfulness meditation might still provide benefits in any future possible outbreaks. Previous systematic reviews on mindfulness meditation and depression did not include the follow-up period in the metaanalyses^{50,51}. Therefore, the long-term efficacy of mindfulness meditation deserves to be explored. In terms of the intervention period, one meta analysis⁵⁰ concluded that a short-term meditation intervention did not affect reducing depression. In contrast, the results of another meta analysis⁵⁸ contradicted this. Therefore, it is crucial to determine whether the duration of the mindfulness meditation intervention has an impact on the reduction of depressive symptoms. It is uncertain if mindfulness meditation can still alleviate depression during disasters, such as the COVID-19 epidemic. Given the uncertainty of future outbreaks, it is important to assess the efficacy of this evidence-based treatment within this specific timeframe. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis aim to analyze the effectiveness of mindfulness meditation in reducing depressive symptoms in individuals with depression in randomized controlled trials conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, this study aims to compare the effects of different durations of mindfulness meditation interventions, examine how different control group conditions influence the benefits of meditation, assess the differential effects of faceto-face offline versus online and app-based meditation interventions, and evaluate the relative effectiveness of meditation interventions alone versus in combination with other treatments. The research questions guiding this systematic review and meta-analysis are: What is the overall effect of mindfulness meditation on depressive symptoms in individuals with depression, and does the duration of the intervention influence its effectiveness? Do different control group conditions (e.g., waitlist, standard care, or active control) affect the observed benefits of mindfulness meditation? Are face-to-face offline and online/app-based mindfulness meditation interventions equally effective in reducing depressive symptoms, and what are the potential advantages or limitations of each format? Finally, does combining mindfulness meditation with other treatments enhance its benefits for depressive symptoms, and if so, which combinations are most effective?

Method

Search strategy

An electronic search using PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus was conducted on November 1st, 2023. The search terms and search strategy are shown in Table 2. Two reviewers were independently involved in the literature search and study selection process. Discrepancies in the study selection were resolved by a third investigator.

Study selection

The inclusion criteria were (1) randomized controlled trial studies that compared the intervention to a control condition were included, (2) participants were adults above 18 years of age, (3) mindfulness-meditation intervention was used as the main intervention alone or combined with treatment as usual, (4) data collection was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, (5) studies that measured depression symptoms using validated tools were included. Studies were excluded if they (1) were reviews, meta-analyses, dissertations, book chapters, or study protocols, (2) did not evaluate mindfulness meditation on depression or depression was the second outcome, (3) were not published in English, (4) did not include results from statistical data analysis of the outcomes of interest.

Risk of bias

Two independent reviewers assessed the methodological quality using the Cochrane Randomized Trials Risk of Bias tool (RoB2). The risk assessment considered the randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome and selection of the reported result to evaluate the overall bias. The outcomes of the assessment were categorized as "High Risk", "Low Risk" and "Some concerns". In case of discrepancies, the final decision was made by discussion or consultation with a third author.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted to compare the effect of mindfulness meditation interventions on depression symptoms with the control group. The Review Manager version 5.4 (Cochrane Collaboration) was used to perform the meta-analysis. To analyze the effect of mindfulness meditation on depression, quantitative data of depressive symptoms measured using validated scales were extracted at baseline, post-test and follow-up. Sub-group analyses were conducted to explore the long-term effects of the mindfulness meditation interventions. Considering the variability of the data, standardized mean differences (SMD) were used to generate effect sizes for the forest plot. A random effect model for meta-analysis was used⁵⁹. For each meta-analysis, hedge's g and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated⁶⁰. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software version 3.0 was used to conduct univariate meta-regression analysis with depression (baseline depression level), patient's demographics (age, gender), intervention-related variables (total number of sessions, total duration of the intervention, duration per session), and type of control.

Results

Study selection

A total of 1599 records were retrieved from PubMed (n = 120), Embase (n = 149), Web of Science (n = 1151) and Scopus (n = 179) (Fig. 1). 191 duplicate records were excluded and the title and abstract of 1408 were screened. 1105 articles were removed after title and abstract screening. Of the remaining 303 studies, 277 papers were

Databases	Search strategy
PubMed	((Meditation[MeSH Major Topic]) OR (Meditation[Title/Abstract]) OR (Transcendental Meditation[Title/Abstract]) OR (Mindfulness[Title/Abstract]) OR (Mindfull[Title/Abstract])) AND ((Depression[MeSH Major Topic]) OR (Emotional Depression[Title/Abstract]) OR (Symptom, Depressive[Title/Abstract]) OR (Depressive Symptoms[Title/Abstract])) OR (RCT[Title/Abstract]) OR (Randomized controlled trial[Title/Abstract])) Refined by: 2020–2023
Embase	('meditation'/exp OR meditation OR 'transcendental meditation':ti,ab,kw OR mindfulness:ti,ab,kw OR mindful:ti,ab,kw) AND ('depression' OR 'depressive symptoms':ti,ab,kw OR 'depressive symptom':ti,ab,kw OR 'symptom, depressive':ti,ab,kw OR 'emotional depression':ti,ab,kw) AND [randomized controlled trial]/lim AND [2020–2023]/py
Web of Science	TS = (meditation OR Transcendental Meditation OR Mindfulness OR Mindful) AND TS = (depression OR Depressive Symptoms OR Depressive Symptom OR Symptom, Depressive OR Emotional Depression) AND TS = (RCT OR rand- omized control trial) Refined by: Date: 2020–2023
Scopus	(TITLE-ABS-KEY (transcendental AND meditation) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (meditation) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (mind- fulness) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (mindful) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (depressive AND symptoms) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (depressive AND symptom) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (symptom, AND depressive) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (emotional AND depression) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (depression) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (rct) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (randomized AND control AND trial)) AND PUBYEAR > 2019 AND PUBYEAR < 2024 AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "re")) Refined by: 2020–2023

Table 2. Databases and research strategy.

.....



Fig. 1. PRISMA flowchart of study selection.

excluded because they were not RCTs, missing data, data collection was not during the COVID-19 pandemic or depression was not the primary outcome. Finally, 26 studies were included in both the systematic review and meta-analysis.

Quality and characteristics of included studies

The results of the quality assessment are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Most articles have a low risk of bias for random sequence generation. All articles have unclearly and high risk of bias in the measurement of the outcome because it was difficult to blind the participants due to the nature of the intervention.

The populations included in the studies were adults. A total of 3440 participants were included in the selected studies with 1756 participants in the intervention group and 1684 participants in the control group. Six studies included women only^{61–65}. Some studies investigated different cohorts of participants with depression-related conditions such as post-stroke depression⁶⁶ (1.40%), treatment-resistant depression⁶⁷ (1.31%), major depressive disorder⁶² (0.90%), emotion-related disorder⁶⁸ (2.18%) or other health conditions that included measurement of depressive symptoms such as early-stage breast cancer⁶⁹ (1.48%), autism spectrum disorder⁷⁰ (1.45%), acute myocardial infarction⁷¹ (2.91%) and COVID-19 infection^{72,73} (6.05%).

	D1	D2	<u>D3</u>	D4	D5	Overall		
Al-Refae, 2021	•		•	•	•	•	•	Low risk
Baklouti, 2023	+	•	•	•	!	•	1	Some concerns
Bruhns, 2021	+	•	•	•	•	-	•	High risk
Dai, 2022		•	•	•	•	•		
Duan, 2023	•	•	•	•	•	•	D1	Randomisation process
Garcia, 2023		•	+	•		•	D2	Deviations from the intended interventions
Gutierrez-Hernandez, 2023	•	+	+	•	•	•	D3	Missing outcome data
Hsiung, 2023	•	•	+	•	1	•	D4	Measurement of the outcome
Huberty, 2022	•	•	•	•	•	•	D5	Selection of the reported result
Komariah, 2022	+	•	•	•		•		
Leng, 2023	•	•	•		•	•		
Li, 2023	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Li, 2023 (2)		•	•	•	•	!		
Liu, 2022	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Liu, 2021		•	•	•	•	•		
Maddock, 2023	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Mahendru, 2021		•	•	•	•	•		
Nadholta, 2023	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Nasrollahi, 2022	•		•	•	•	•		
Khazraee, 2023	•		+	•	1	•		
Stecher, 2023	•	1	+	•	•	•		
Van der Meulen, 2023	•	•	+	•		•		
Wang, 2023	•	•	+	•	•	•		
Wu, 2023	+	+	•	•	!	•		
Yuan, 2023	+	•	+	•		•		
Zhang, 2023	+	1	+	•	•	•		

Fig. 2. Traffic Light Plots of the Risk of Bias for RCTs.

In total, 12 studies had longer interventions with more than 8 weeks of mindfulness meditation practice^{61–64,65,67,69,70,74-77}. Ten articles included follow-up measurements^{62,37–67,69,70,75,77,78}. Six studies included meditation combined with other treatments such as Yoga^{63,69,74} (n = 288), rTMS⁶⁶ (n = 48), sleep coaching⁷⁶ (n = 1026) and mindful hypnotherapy⁷² (n = 31). A total of 635 participants from 8 studies received mindfulness meditation interventions delivered offline^{61,62,64,65,66,69,71,75} and the other 18 studies received online or app-based mindfulness meditation interventions (n = 2732). There are 3 types of control groups: active control^{65,68,77,79} (n = 158), passive control or waiting list^{61,62,70,74,76,78,80-83} (n = 991), and treat as usual group^{63–37,66–69,71–73,75,84} (n = 535).

The most frequently tools used to assess depressive symptoms were the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS) and the Hamilton Depression and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) (see Appendix 1).

As percentage (intention-to-treat)







Results of the statistical analysis

The results of the meta-analysis showed a significant overall effect (standard mean difference (SMD) = -1.14; 95% CI -1.45 to -0.83; P < 0.001, Fig. 4). The statistical analysis showed that subjects effectively reduced depressive symptoms in the mindfulness meditation groups.

The long-term effects of mindfulness meditation to reduce depression symptoms were investigated at followup (see Fig. 5). The SMD was -1.28 (95% CI -1.93 to -0.62) with statistical significance (P < 0.001). In addition, the meta-analysis indicates that mindfulness meditation interventions that lasted more than 8 weeks (see Fig. 6) reduced participants' depressive symptoms slightly better (SMD = -1.44; 95% CI -2.00 to -0.87) than those that lasted less time (see Fig. 6; SMD) = -0.90; 95% CI -1.28 to -0.53).

Subgroup analyses conducted based on different types of control groups (Figs. 7, 8, and 9) revealed that mindfulness meditation interventions either delivered online or offline, reduced depressive symptoms regardless of the type of comparison group, including, active or passive control groups. The largest SMD was observed when the comparison was done against active control with an SMD of -1.60 (95% CI -2.97 to -0.23), followed by

	Intervention Control							Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Al-Refae 2021	10.93	10.14	69	14.1	11.6	75	4.1%	-0.29 [-0.62, 0.04]	+
Aydin 2023	4.44	3.55	50	10.98	4.64	50	4.0%	-1.57 [-2.02, -1.12]	*
Baklouti 2023	3.2	3.58	65	10.95	9.45	95	4.1%	-1.01 [-1.34, -0.68]	+
Bruhns 2021	9.3	5.22	90	10.17	4.32	135	4.2%	-0.18 [-0.45, 0.08]	*
Dai 2022	1.61	1.325	52	2.51	1.06	56	4.1%	-0.75 [-1.14, -0.36]	+
Duan 2023	15.63	2.02	24	17.38	1.41	24	3.7%	-0.99 [-1.59, -0.39]	-
Garcia 2023	19.95	12	22	24.87	14.2	23	3.8%	-0.37 [-0.96, 0.22]	
Gutierrez-Hernandez 2023	10.33	2.71	74	12.35	5.04	65	4.1%	-0.51 [-0.84, -0.17]	-
Hsiung 2023	3.05	3.46	37	4.3	4.5	33	4.0%	-0.31 [-0.78, 0.16]	
Huberty 2022	5.96	4.93	583	6.47	5.12	443	4.3%	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.02]	1
Khazraee 2023	10.13	11.74	16	34.13	7.77	15	3.1%	-2.33 [-3.27, -1.39]	
Komariah 2022	7.54	7.309	61	9.46	7.7	61	4.1%	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.10]	-
Leng 2023	5.7	0.62	35	8.9	0.62	35	3.0%	-5.10 [-6.09, -4.12]	
Li 2023	41.16	14.76	29	52.8	13.44	29	3.8%	-0.81 [-1.35, -0.28]	+
Li 2023 (2)	13.84	11.21	37	20.49	13.73	38	4.0%	-0.52 [-0.99, -0.06]	
Liu 2021	1.08	1.44	25	2.5	2.37	26	3.8%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	
Liu 2022	3.11	2.7	61	4.6	3.2	63	4.1%	-0.50 [-0.86, -0.14]	1
Maddock 2023	2.94	2.49	33	4.04	2.58	29	3.9%	-0.43 [-0.93, 0.08]	
Mahendru 2021	1.81	2.37	42	4.67	4.02	42	4.0%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.41]	-
Nadholta 2023	4.41	0.998	34	10.35	1.191	43	3.1%	-5.30 [-6.27, -4.33]	
Nasrollahi 2022	7.83	1.05	53	16.26	11.06	53	4.0%	-1.07 [-1.47, -0.66]	+
Stecher 2023	7.6	8.7	25	14.6	12.1	25	3.8%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]	
Van der Meulen 2023	24.67	14.42	64	32.26	22.63	65	4.1%	-0.40 [-0.75, -0.05]	-
Wang 2023	6.08	0.68	47	11.7	0.82	32	2.5%	-7.52 [-8.81, -6.24]	
Wu 2023	48.09	2.5	50	52.62	4.23	50	4.0%	-1.29 [-1.73, -0.86]	
Zhang 2023	5.21	4.46	78	7.86	5.07	79	4.2%	-0.55 [-0.87, -0.23]	-
Total (95% CI)			1756			1684	100.0%	-1.14 [-1.45, -0.83]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.59° ($Chi^2 = 41$	6.04 dt	= 25 (F	- < 0.00	001): 12	= 94%			
Test for overall effect: $7 = 7.1$	5 (P < 0	00001	20 (1	0.00		0.70			-10 -5 0 5 10
1001101 0101011 01000. 2 - 1.1	0 (i · 0.								Favours [intervention] Favours [control]

Fig. 4. Forest plot assessing the effectiveness of mindfulness meditation interventions on people with depressive symptoms.



Fig. 5. Forest plot comparing follow-up effects.

a) Intervention longer than 8 weeks

	Inte	erventio	n	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	
Aydin 2023	4.44	3.55	50	10.98	4.64	50	8.7%	-1.57 [-2.02, -1.12]	+	
Baklouti 2023	3.2	3.58	65	10.95	9.45	95	8.9%	-1.01 [-1.34, -0.68]	-	
Garcia 2023	19.95	12	22	24.87	14.2	23	8.3%	-0.37 [-0.96, 0.22]		
Hsiung 2023	3.05	3.46	37	4.3	4.5	33	8.6%	-0.31 [-0.78, 0.16]	-	
Huberty 2022	5.96	4.93	583	6.47	5.12	443	9.1%	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.02]	•	
Khazraee 2023	10.13	11.74	16	34.13	7.77	15	7.3%	-2.33 [-3.27, -1.39]		
Leng 2023	5.7	0.62	35	8.9	0.62	35	7.1%	-5.10 [-6.09, -4.12]		
Liu 2022	3.11	2.7	61	4.6	3.2	63	8.8%	-0.50 [-0.86, -0.14]	-	
Nadholta 2023	4.41	0.998	34	10.35	1.191	43	7.2%	-5.30 [-6.27, -4.33]		
Nasrollahi 2022	7.83	1.05	53	16.26	11.06	53	8.7%	-1.07 [-1.47, -0.66]	-	
Stecher 2023	7.6	8.7	25	14.6	12.1	25	8.4%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]		
Van der Meulen 2023	24.67	14.42	64	32.26	22.63	65	8.8%	-0.40 [-0.75, -0.05]	-	
Total (95% CI)			1045			943	100.0%	-1.44 [-2.00, -0.87]	•	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$).90; Chi	$^{2} = 271$		7						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.99	(P < 0.0)	Favours [Intervention] Favours [control]	0						

b) Intervention less than 8 weeks

	Inte	rventio	n	c	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Al-Refae 2021	10.93	10.14	69	14.1	11.6	75	7.7%	-0.29 [-0.62, 0.04]	~
Bruhns 2021	9.3	5.22	90	10.17	4.32	135	7.9%	-0.18 [-0.45, 0.08]	-
Dai 2022	1.61	1.325	52	2.51	1.06	56	7.5%	-0.75 [-1.14, -0.36]	~
Duan 2023	15.63	2.02	24	17.38	1.41	24	6.7%	-0.99 [-1.59, -0.39]	
Gutierrez-Hernandez 2023	10.33	2.71	74	12.35	5.04	65	7.7%	-0.51 [-0.84, -0.17]	-
Komariah 2022	7.54	7.309	61	9.46	7.7	61	7.6%	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.10]	-
Li 2023	41.16	14.76	29	52.8	13.44	29	7.0%	-0.81 [-1.35, -0.28]	-
Li 2023 (2)	13.84	11.21	37	20.49	13.73	38	7.3%	-0.52 [-0.99, -0.06]	~
Liu 2021	1.08	1.44	25	2.5	2.37	26	6.9%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	
Maddock 2023	2.94	2.49	33	4.04	2.58	29	7.1%	-0.43 [-0.93, 0.08]	-
Mahendru 2021	1.81	2.37	42	4.67	4.02	42	7.3%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.41]	
Wang 2023	6.08	0.68	47	11.7	0.82	32	4.1%	-7.52 [-8.81, -6.24]	
Wu 2023	48.09	2.5	50	52.62	4.23	50	7.4%	-1.29 [-1.73, -0.86]	~
Zhang 2023	5.21	4.46	78	7.86	5.07	79	7.7%	-0.55 [-0.87, -0.23]	*
Total (95% CI)			711			741	100.0%	-0.90 [-1.28, -0.53]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.44$; C	$chi^2 = 14$	12.84, d	f = 13	(P < 0.0)	00001);	$l^2 = 91$.%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.7$	7 (P < 0	0.00001)						Favours [Intervention] Favours [control]

Fig. 6. Forest plot for interventions that: (a) lasted longer than 8 weeks; and (b) lasted less than 8 weeks.

the passive control group (SMD = -1.22), and treatment as usual group (SMD = -0.96). The results also indicate that offline or in-person interventions (SMD = -0.99; 95% CI -1.37 to -0.60) had a similar effect size to online or app-based meditation interventions (Fig. 8; SMD = -1.22; 95% CI -1.63 to -0.82). Mindfulness meditation in combination with other interventions (SMD = -1.31; 95% CI -2.09 to -0.53) were more effective than using mindfulness meditation alone (see Fig. 9; SMD = -1.11; 95% CI -1.47 to -0.75). The univariate meta-regression analysis revealed that the total duration of intervention (p = 0.0015) was significant. This may indicate that the longer the duration, the more efficacious the intervention to reduce depression symptoms (Table 3). The regression scatterplot figures can be found in Appendix 2.

(a) active control group

	Inte	erventio	n	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	S	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	I	V, Random, 95	% CI		
Leng 2023	5.7	0.62	35	8.9	0.62	35	23.2%	-5.10 [-6.09, -4.12]					
Li 2023	41.16	14.76	29	52.8	13.44	29	25.4%	-0.81 [-1.35, -0.28]		-			
Maddock 2023	2.94	2.49	33	4.04	2.58	29	25.5%	-0.43 [-0.93, 0.08]		-			
Van der Meulen 2023	24.67	14.42	64	32.26	22.63	65	26.0%	-0.40 [-0.75, -0.05]		•			
Total (95% CI)			161			158	100.0%	-1.60 [-2.97, -0.23]		◆			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	.85; Chi	² = 80.03		-10 -5	ó	5	10						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.30 (P = 0.02	Favours [inte	vention] Favo	urs [control]								

(b) passive control or waiting list

	Intervention Control							Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Al-Refae 2021	10.93	10.14	69	14.1	11.6	75	10.8%	-0.29 [-0.62, 0.04]	+
Aydin 2023	4.44	3.55	50	10.98	4.64	50	10.4%	-1.57 [-2.02, -1.12]	+
Baklouti 2023	3.2	3.58	65	10.95	9.45	95	10.8%	-1.01 [-1.34, -0.68]	+
Bruhns 2021	9.3	5.22	90	10.17	4.32	135	10.9%	-0.18 [-0.45, 0.08]	+
Dai 2022	1.61	1.325	52	2.51	1.06	56	10.6%	-0.75 [-1.14, -0.36]	+
Gutierrez-Hernandez 2023	10.33	2.71	74	12.35	5.04	65	10.7%	-0.51 [-0.84, -0.17]	+
Huberty 2022	5.96	4.93	583	6.47	5.12	443	11.2%	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.02]	1
Khazraee 2023	10.13	11.74	16	34.13	7.77	15	8.2%	-2.33 [-3.27, -1.39]	
Stecher 2023	7.6	8.7	25	14.6	12.1	25	9.9%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]	
Wang 2023	6.08	0.68	47	11.7	0.82	32	6.6%	-7.52 [-8.81, -6.24]	
Total (95% CI)			1071			991	100.0%	-1.22 [-1.73, -0.71]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.60; C	chi² = 20	2.47, df	= 9 (P	< 0.000	01); I ²	= 96%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.6	7 (P < 0.	-10 -5 0 5 10							
									Favours (intervention) Favours (control)

(c) TAU, treat as usual group

	Inte	erventio	n	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Duan 2023	15.63	2.02	24	17.38	1.41	24	7.9%	-0.99 [-1.59, -0.39]	+
Garcia 2023	19.95	12	22	24.87	14.2	23	8.0%	-0.37 [-0.96, 0.22]	-
Hsiung 2023	3.05	3.46	37	4.3	4.5	33	8.5%	-0.31 [-0.78, 0.16]	+
Komariah 2022	7.54	7.309	61	9.46	7.7	61	8.9%	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.10]	1
Li 2023 (2)	13.84	11.21	37	20.49	13.73	38	8.5%	-0.52 [-0.99, -0.06]	-
Liu 2021	1.08	1.44	25	2.5	2.37	26	8.1%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	-
Liu 2022	3.11	2.7	61	4.6	3.2	63	8.9%	-0.50 [-0.86, -0.14]	-
Mahendru 2021	1.81	2.37	42	4.67	4.02	42	8.6%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.41]	-
Nadholta 2023	4.41	0.998	34	10.35	1.191	43	6.3%	-5.30 [-6.27, -4.33]	-
Nasrollahi 2022	7.83	1.05	53	16.26	11.06	53	8.7%	-1.07 [-1.47, -0.66]	-
Wu 2023	48.09	2.5	50	52.62	4.23	50	8.6%	-1.29 [-1.73, -0.86]	•
Zhang 2023	5.21	4.46	78	7.86	5.07	79	9.0%	-0.55 [-0.87, -0.23]	*
Total (95% CI)			524			535	100.0%	-0.96 [-1.38, -0.55]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau?=	0.47.0	hi² = 11	0.28 d	(= 11 (P	0.00 [1.00, 0.00]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Test for overall effect:	7-15/	1/P < 0	0.20, 0	-20 -10 0 10 20					
restion overall effect.	2 - 4.04	(r < 0.)	00001)						Eavours [intervention] Eavours [control]

Fig. 7. Forest plot for studies that used: (a) active control group; (b) passive control or waiting list control; and (c) *TAU* treat as usual group.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected all aspects of human health¹¹. Many individuals and families are experiencing significant COVID-19-related stress and are struggling to find useful coping mechanisms. In response to this, there has been growing interest in the potential of mindfulness meditation as a means of alleviating these symptoms⁸². However, the evidence regarding the effectiveness of mindfulness meditation in the context of disaster-induced depression, such as that experienced during the COVID-19 outbreak, remains inconclusive. This systematic review and meta-analysis focused on RCTs that evaluated the effects of meditation interventions on depression symptoms after the COVID-19 pandemic. The current evidence indicates that mindfulness and meditation intervention may have certain beneficial effects in reducing depression levels during the COVID-19 period. Once people learn mindfulness meditation strategies, they can practice it anytime, anywhere and incorporate it into their lives⁸². Mindfulness meditation is a potentially practical life skill that can be used to deal with a variety of health issues⁵⁵. This systematic review marks an important step in the use of mindfulness meditation as an adjunctive therapy to reduce depression symptoms under pandemic conditions.

Follow-up data were analyzed to examine whether short-term effects were maintained over time. Although our data suggest that mindfulness meditation interventions have long-term efficacy (p < 0.001), 6 of the 10 articles

a) offline or in-person

	Inte	erventio	n	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Aydin 2023	4.44	3.55	50	10.98	4.64	50	13.0%	-1.57 [-2.02, -1.12]	•
Duan 2023	15.63	2.02	24	17.38	1.41	24	11.4%	-0.99 [-1.59, -0.39]	+
Hsiung 2023	3.05	3.46	37	4.3	4.5	33	12.8%	-0.31 [-0.78, 0.16]	-
Khazraee 2023	10.13	11.74	16	34.13	7.77	15	8.2%	-2.33 [-3.27, -1.39]	+
Liu 2022	3.11	2.7	61	4.6	3.2	63	13.9%	-0.50 [-0.86, -0.14]	-
Nasrollahi 2022	7.83	1.05	53	16.26	11.06	53	13.4%	-1.07 [-1.47, -0.66]	•
Van der Meulen 2023	24.67	14.42	64	32.26	22.63	65	14.0%	-0.40 [-0.75, -0.05]	•
Wu 2023	48.09	2.5	50	52.62	4.23	50	13.2%	-1.29 [-1.73, -0.86]	*
Total (95% CI)			355			353	100.0%	-0.99 [-1.37, -0.60]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).25; Chi	² = 39.9	0, df = 7		-20 -10 0 10 20				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.00 (P < 0.00	001)		Favours [intervention] Favours [control]				

b) online or app-based

	Inte	erventio	n	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Al-Refae 2021	10.93	10.14	69	14.1	11.6	75	6.0%	-0.29 [-0.62, 0.04]	1
Baklouti 2023	3.2	3.58	65	10.95	9.45	95	5.9%	-1.01 [-1.34, -0.68]	•
Bruhns 2021	9.3	5.22	90	10.17	4.32	135	6.0%	-0.18 [-0.45, 0.08]	1
Dai 2022	1.61	1.325	52	2.51	1.06	56	5.9%	-0.75 [-1.14, -0.36]	-
Garcia 2023	19.95	12	22	24.87	14.2	23	5.5%	-0.37 [-0.96, 0.22]	-
Gutierrez-Hernandez 2023	10.33	2.71	74	12.35	5.04	65	5.9%	-0.51 [-0.84, -0.17]	-
Huberty 2022	5.96	4.93	583	6.47	5.12	443	6.2%	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.02]	1
Komariah 2022	7.54	7.309	61	9.46	7.7	61	5.9%	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.10]	1
Leng 2023	5.7	0.62	35	8.9	0.62	35	4.5%	-5.10 [-6.09, -4.12]	-
Li 2023	41.16	14.76	29	52.8	13.44	29	5.6%	-0.81 [-1.35, -0.28]	-
Li 2023 (2)	13.84	11.21	37	20.49	13.73	38	5.7%	-0.52 [-0.99, -0.06]	-
Liu 2021	1.08	1.44	25	2.5	2.37	26	5.5%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	-
Maddock 2023	2.94	2.49	33	4.04	2.58	29	5.7%	-0.43 [-0.93, 0.08]	-
Mahendru 2021	1.81	2.37	42	4.67	4.02	42	5.8%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.41]	-
Nadholta 2023	4.41	0.998	34	10.35	1.191	43	4.6%	-5.30 [-6.27, -4.33]	+
Stecher 2023	7.6	8.7	25	14.6	12.1	25	5.5%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]	+
Wang 2023	6.08	0.68	47	11.7	0.82	32	3.8%	-7.52 [-8.81, -6.24]	
Zhang 2023	5.21	4.46	78	7.86	5.07	79	6.0%	-0.55 [-0.87, -0.23]	•
Total (95% CI)			1401			1331	100.0%	-1.22 [-1.63, -0.82]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.69; C	hi² = 35	3.84, df	= 17 (F	° < 0.00	001); l²				
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.9	2 (P < 0.	.00001)			Favours [intervention] Favours [control]				

Fig. 8. Forest plot for interventions that were (a) offline or in-person and delivered (b) online or app-based.

showed that the treatment effect was not statistically significant compared with the control group after followup^{65,67,69,70,75,78}. The treatment effect decreased at follow-up. This suggests that mindfulness meditation interventions need to be practiced consistently to maintain the benefits gained and to provide patients with emotion and symptom management skills that are effective in the long term⁶⁹. In addition, the result in this meta-analysis also suggests that longer-term interventions (lasting more than 8 weeks) were more adequate to reduce depressive symptoms more substantially. This indicates that sustained and consistent practice of mindfulness meditation may be necessary to achieve substantial improvements in depressive symptoms, particularly in the context of disaster-induced depression such as that experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic. The effectiveness of longer-term mindfulness meditation interventions is supported by previous studies on mindfulness meditation that have indicated that the mental health benefits tend to amplify over time⁸⁵.

It is worth noting that the control group settings were not uniform across the reviewed articles. When compared with active control groups (SMD of -1.60), mindfulness meditation interventions were more effective than when compared with passive control groups (SMD = -1.22). However, only a few studies used active control groups with a small sample size in the comparison group. The larger effect observed when comparing mindfulness interventions and active control groups as opposed to passive control groups may be explained by the nature of the conditions of the active controls. It has been previously suggested that active controls used in mindfulness should be structurally equivalent to the intervention¹. For instance, conditions such as the number and duration of sessions, therapist training and qualification, format (i.e., individual or group-based), and group discussion (if applicable) of the active control should match the conditions of the mindfulness-based intervention group¹. The structural differences between the active control and the intervention group of the studies included in the subgroup analysis may be responsible for the larger effect observed when compared with passive controls. In one study⁶⁵, the intervention group used a group-based format, whereas the active control involved individual consultation sessions. This difference in format may have contributed to a more significant disparity between the two groups, as the group-based intervention could lead to enhanced participant engagement and support. Therefore, it is crucial for future studies to ensure structural equivalence when selecting an appropriate active control, thereby verifying that the structural conditions are comparable to those of the intervention.

(a) mind-only

	Inte	rventio	n	C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Al-Refae 2021	10.93	10.14	69	14.1	11.6	75	5.4%	-0.29 [-0.62, 0.04]	+
Aydin 2023	4.44	3.55	50	10.98	4.64	50	5.2%	-1.57 [-2.02, -1.12]	-
Bruhns 2021	9.3	5.22	90	10.17	4.32	135	5.5%	-0.18 [-0.45, 0.08]	+
Dai 2022	1.61	1.325	52	2.51	1.06	56	5.3%	-0.75 [-1.14, -0.36]	+
Garcia 2023	19.95	12	22	24.87	14.2	23	4.9%	-0.37 [-0.96, 0.22]	
Gutierrez-Hernandez 2023	10.33	2.71	74	12.35	5.04	65	5.4%	-0.51 [-0.84, -0.17]	+
Hsiung 2023	3.05	3.46	37	4.3	4.5	33	5.1%	-0.31 [-0.78, 0.16]	
Khazraee 2023	10.13	11.74	16	34.13	7.77	15	4.1%	-2.33 [-3.27, -1.39]	
Komariah 2022	7.54	7.309	61	9.46	7.7	61	5.3%	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.10]	-
Leng 2023	5.7	0.62	35	8.9	0.62	35	4.0%	-5.10 [-6.09, -4.12]	
Li 2023	41.16	14.76	29	52.8	13.44	29	5.0%	-0.81 [-1.35, -0.28]	-
Li 2023 (2)	13.84	11.21	37	20.49	13.73	38	5.2%	-0.52 [-0.99, -0.06]	-
Maddock 2023	2.94	2.49	33	4.04	2.58	29	5.1%	-0.43 [-0.93, 0.08]	-
Mahendru 2021	1.81	2.37	42	4.67	4.02	42	5.2%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.41]	+
Nasrollahi 2022	7.83	1.05	53	16.26	11.06	53	5.3%	-1.07 [-1.47, -0.66]	-
Stecher 2023	7.6	8.7	25	14.6	12.1	25	4.9%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]	
Van der Meulen 2023	24.67	14.42	64	32.26	22.63	65	5.4%	-0.40 [-0.75, -0.05]	-
Wang 2023	6.08	0.68	47	11.7	0.82	32	3.3%	-7.52 [-8.81, -6.24]	
Wu 2023	48.09	2.5	50	52.62	4.23	50	5.2%	-1.29 [-1.73, -0.86]	+
Zhang 2023	5.21	4.46	78	7.86	5.07	79	5.4%	-0.55 [-0.87, -0.23]	+
Total (95% CI)			964			990	100.0%	-1.11 [-1.47, -0.75]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.60; 0	chi² = 25	8.12, df	= 19 (F	o < 0.00	001); I ² :	= 93%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.0	1 (P < 0.	00001)							Eavours [intervention] Eavours [control]
									r arous (intervention) r avous [control]

(b) mind + other

	Inte	erventio	n	C	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Baklouti 2023	3.2	3.58	65	10.95	9.45	95	17.5%	-1.01 [-1.34, -0.68]	•
Duan 2023	15.63	2.02	24	17.38	1.41	24	16.3%	-0.99 [-1.59, -0.39]	+
Huberty 2022	5.96	4.93	583	6.47	5.12	443	18.0%	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.02]	1
Liu 2021	1.08	1.44	25	2.5	2.37	26	16.5%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	•
Liu 2022	3.11	2.7	61	4.6	3.2	63	17.4%	-0.50 [-0.86, -0.14]	•
Nadholta 2023	4.41	0.998	34	10.35	1.191	43	14.1%	-5.30 [-6.27, -4.33]	+
Total (95% CI)			792			694	100.0%	-1.31 [-2.09, -0.53]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.87; Chi ² = 136.92, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); I ² = 96%								-20 -10 0 10 20	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.29 (P = 0.0010)									Favours [intervention] Favours [control]

Fig. 9. Forest plot for interventions, including (**a**) mindfulness meditation only (mind-only) or (**b**) mindfulness meditation combined with other interventions (mind + other).

Moderators	N	Univariate coefficient	Z-value	p-value
Demographics:				
Mean age (years)	25	0.0053	0.56	0.5751
Gender (percentage of female patients)	26	-0.0103	-1.78	0.0748
Baseline:				
Baseline depression level	24	-0.0028	-0.33	0.7433
Intervention:				
Total number of sessions	22	-0.0119	-1.22	0.2206
Duration per session	21	0.0008	0.35	0.7248
Total duration of intervention	21	-0.0009	-3.17	0.0015*
Type of control:				
Passive vs. Active		0.5433	1.14	0.2535
Passive vs. TAU	26	0.062	0.18	0.8593
TAU vs. Active		-0.5171	-1.02	0.3056

Table 3. Results of meta-regression of moderators for the effect sizes of depression symptoms. TAU Treatmentas usual. *p < 0.05.

It is important to consider the comparison groups used in RCT studies of mindfulness interventions (Davidson & Kaszniak 2015), and most published mindfulness intervention RCTs use TAU or wait-list controlled comparison groups. These studies provide a valuable initial evaluation of whether mindfulness interventions have an impact on outcomes above and beyond standard care or no treatment. Researchers have made impressive efforts to develop active treatment comparison programs that control for non-mindfulness-specific treatment factors (e.g., group support, home practice exercises, relaxation, placebo expectancies). These programs provide opportunities to evaluate whether mindfulness interventions have unique treatment effects above and beyond non-mindfulness-specific factors and whether mindfulness interventions can outperform gold-standard active pharmacological or behavioral treatments.

Mindfulness meditation interventions reduced depressive symptoms when compared with TAU. Therefore, standardizing the treatment provided to the control group is a challenge that should be addressed in future research as the intensity of treatment received by the control group can directly impact the effectiveness of the intervention measures undertaken by the experimental group.

There are a variety of interventions on mindfulness meditation to reduce symptoms associated with depression, which include mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT), yoga, combined with rTMS and online programs^{48,55,86}. Our result is similar to previous research that meditation can be combined with other interventions to reduce depression symptoms⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹. MBSR and MBCT are the most frequently used interventions in mindfulness meditation for alleviating depression symptoms, as indicated by their utilization in many research articles^{61,64,65-69,71,75,78-80,82-84,86}. Some studies believe that mindfulness meditation can help individuals cope with depression during the COVID-19 pandemic by reducing over-identification with one's own negative emotions through self-compassion^{62,77–80,83}. Self-compassion is the ability to treat oneself with kindness (with care and understanding rather than self-judgment)78. Improved self-compassion increases a person's openness and heightened ability to adapt to stressful situations^{90,91}. Another article highlighted "decentering" as a clinically meaningful mechanism linked to reducing depression relapse/recurrence⁷⁹. Furthermore, potential mechanisms contributing to the effectiveness of MBCT in reducing depression symptoms include increasing mindfulness and decreasing experiential avoidance, reductions in cognitive reactivity, improvements in autobiographical memory specificity, and reductions in rumination⁷⁹. In the future, a more comprehensive exploration of these mechanisms may aid in refining mindfulness meditation, enhancing its effectiveness, and potentially eliminating aspects of the treatment that do not yield positive outcomes while incorporating components that do.

Three articles within the reviewed literature incorporate yoga as part of their intervention strategies^{63,69,74}. Mindfulness-based yoga intervention, also included elements like body scanning and sitting meditation, designed to teach individuals how to observe the present moment in a non-reactive and non-judgmental manner⁶⁹. MBSR participants acquired the ability to approach even intense negative emotions with this non-reactive and nonjudgmental mindset. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, 18 articles have been implemented online or smartphone-used meditation and they have similar effects in reducing depression symptoms compared with inperson intervention. It is lower in cost and broader in coverage compared to traditional face-to-face intervention and is more in line with the development of the times. The benefits of Internet-based interventions lie mainly in the high degree of autonomy and privacy. They can be used from any location and are usually offered for free or at a low cost. They are not intended to replace traditional psychotherapy, but rather to expand the scope of traditional therapy⁹². One article found that expected treatment outcomes and attitudes toward Internet and cell phone interventions were correlated with frequency of use⁸¹. The more positive the attitude and the more positive the expected outcome, the more frequently the self-help smartphone application was used. Participants with higher expectations and greater hope for treatment outcomes also experienced greater reductions in depressive symptoms. In addition, digital interventions are more effective when they include customized therapist support that provides feedback, questions, and clarifying answers⁹³. These variables can have an impact on determining the effectiveness of methods to improve efficacy, which needs further investigation.

In one of the studies, Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) was combined with Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) as an intervention, and it proved effective in reducing depression symptoms⁶⁶. Patients who underwent this combination therapy demonstrated significant improvements in various aspects, including their depressed mood, cognitive functioning, daily living activities, and sleep quality. An article⁶⁶ proposed that depressive symptoms are closely linked to cognitive deficits. The use of rTMS, which incorporates positive thinking meditation and MBSR, appears to enhance the ability of depressed patients to suppress negative stimuli. This improvement is achieved by elevating the Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLPFC) activation level and enhancing cognitive functioning. This observation is in line with previous research findings^{45,94,95}. These findings align with the Mindfulness-to-Meaning Theory, which posits that interventions focused on promoting positive thinking effectively enhance cognitive functioning and reduce negative cognitive biases associated with cognitive deficits in individuals with depression⁹⁶. Moreover, the synergistic effect of MBSR enables the state of depression among patients receiving rTMS to remain stable and valid over the 8-week follow-up period⁶⁶.

The studies included did not report any adverse events arising from the mindfulness meditation interventions evaluated. However, it is important to consider safety and potential adverse effects associated with mindfulness meditation practices. In recent years, as mindfulness meditation has become more widely used, concerns about its potential adverse effects have also grown. Several adverse effects associated with mindfulness meditation such as pain, anxiety, and even more serious issues like episodes of psychosis or mania, have been reported^{97–99}. While some studies have attempted to standardize the reporting of meditation-related adverse reactions (MRAEs), definitions have remained inconsistent¹⁰⁰. Studies specifically focused on contraindications and adverse events experienced by individuals who learn how to meditate in mindfulness-based practices (MBP) have found that serious adverse events are relatively rare, typically occurring during intensive meditation practice¹⁰¹. These reported adverse events are not shown to have more serious consequences or require hospitalization²⁻³. Mindfulness

meditation is still considered a safe intervention due to the rare occurrence of adverse events^{97,102}. Nevertheless, it should be noteworthy that mindfulness meditation may not be suitable for everyone. Therefore, mindfulness meditation teachers and mindfulness researchers should be cautious and carefully screen participants to identify severe symptoms of anxiety, psychosis, trauma, or any physical condition such as asthma or breathing difficulties before the implementation of mindfulness meditation interventions¹⁰³. Furthermore, mindfulness meditation teachers and researcher must receive the relevant training to screen for potential risks regarding mindfulness meditation practices and to identify adverse events, without causing panic, trauma memory or other discomfort to help patients practice mindfulness meditation safely⁹⁸.

Limitations

Despite the use of rigorous search criteria and comprehensive reporting of the reviews, there are limitations to the reviews that need to be considered. Firstly, the selected articles were limited to English, which may have resulted in a lack of high-quality articles not written in English. Secondly, the methodological differences between the studies evaluated limits the generalizability of the results. For example, there was a lack of consistency in the outcome measures, with depression assessed using five different scales, each of which may have defined each outcome in a slightly different way. Finally, some characteristics of the participants varied from study to study, such as age, medication taken, and daily activities varied between studies and this variability in the study populations needs to be considered to interpret the overall findings.

Conclusions

Considering the unpredictability of future outbreaks, it is crucial to evaluate the effectiveness of mindfulness medication based on data within this particular timeframe. The systematic review and meta-analysis incorporated data from 26 studies, and the findings suggest that mindfulness meditation interventions alleviate depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 epidemic outbreak, thus improving people's overall physical and mental health. The findings of suggest that prolonged intervention (more than 8 weeks) may be more effective in reducing depressive symptoms. Mindfulness meditation may have long-term benefits, but continuous practice is needed. Online meditation has similar effects compared with offline interventions. Meditation can be combined with other interventions to reduce depressive symptoms. Furthermore, upcoming studies investigating meditation interventions for individuals with depressive symptoms should carefully consider factors such as the dosage of the intervention and the standardization of control groups.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Received: 29 April 2024; Accepted: 26 August 2024 Published online: 30 August 2024

References

- 1. MacCoon, D. G. et al. The validation of an active control intervention for mindfulness based stress reduction (MBSR). *Behav. Res. Ther.* **50**, 3–12 (2012).
- World Health Organization. Depressive disorder (depression). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression (2023).
- 3. Malhi, G. S. & Mann, J. J. Depression. Lancet 392, 2299-2312 (2018).
- Wang, H.-Q., Wang, Z.-Z. & Chen, N.-H. The receptor hypothesis and the pathogenesis of depression: Genetic bases and biological correlates. *Pharmacol. Res.* 167, 105542 (2021).
- Moncrieff, J. et al. The serotonin theory of depression: A systematic umbrella review of the evidence. *Mol. Psychiatry* 28, 3243–3256 (2023).
- 6. Jesulola, E., Micalos, P. & Baguley, I. J. Understanding the pathophysiology of depression: From monoamines to the neurogenesis hypothesis model—Are we there yet?. *Behav. Brain Res.* **341**, 79–90 (2018).
- Tartt, A. N., Mariani, M. B., Hen, R., Mann, J. J. & Boldrini, M. Dysregulation of adult hippocampal neuroplasticity in major depression: Pathogenesis and therapeutic implications. *Mol. Psychiatry* 27, 2689–2699 (2022).
- 8. Gałecki, P. & Talarowska, M. Inflammatory theory of depression. Psychiatr. Pol. 52, 437-447 (2018).
- 9. Torales, J., O'Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M. & Ventriglio, A. The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* **66**, 317–320 (2020).
- Shereen, M. A., Khan, S., Kazmi, A., Bashir, N. & Siddique, R. COVID-19 infection: Emergence, transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. J. Adv. Res. 24, 91–98 (2020).
- 11. Santomauro, D. F. et al. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* **398**, 1700–1712 (2021).
- Zhu, S. et al. Effects of virtual reality intervention on cognition and motor function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment or dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.586999 (2021).
- Araf, Y. et al. Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: Genomics, transmissibility, and responses to current COVID-19 vaccines. J. Med. Virol. 94, 1825–1832 (2022).
- Ruberto, V. L., Jha, M. K. & Murrough, J. W. Pharmacological treatments for patients with treatment-resistant depression. *Pharmaceuticals* 13, 116 (2020).
- Moret, C., Isaac, M. & Briley, M. Review: Problems associated with long-term treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. J. Psychopharmacol. 23, 967–974 (2009).
- 16. Gill, H. et al. Antidepressant medications and weight change: A narrative review. Obesity 28, 2064–2072 (2020).
- 17. Peng, G., Tian, J., Gao, X., Zhou, Y. & Qin, X. Research on the pathological mechanism and drug treatment mechanism of
- depression. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 13, 514–523 (2015).
 18. Cipriani, A. et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major
- depressive disorder: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 391, 1357–1366 (2018).
 19. Fournier, J. C. et al. Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity: A patient-level meta-analysis. *JAMA* 303, 47 (2010).

- 20. Hengartner, M. P. & Plöderl, M. Statistically significant antidepressant-placebo differences on subjective symptom-rating scales do not prove that the drugs work: Effect size and method bias matter!. *Front. Psychiatry* **9**, 517 (2018).
- Cosci, F., Mansueto, G. & Fava, G. A. Relapse prevention in recurrent major depressive disorder. A comparison of different treatment options based on clinical experience and a critical review of the literature. *Int. J. Psychiatry Clin. Pract.* 24, 341–348 (2020).
- 22. Recchia, F. et al. Comparative effectiveness of exercise, antidepressants and their combination in treating non-severe depression: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **56**, 1375–1380 (2022).
- 23. Xie, Y. et al. The effects and mechanisms of exercise on the treatment of depression. Front. Psychiatry 12, 1904 (2021).
- 24. Lopez, M. A. & Basco, M. A. Effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy in public mental health: Comparison to treatment as usual for treatment -resistant depression. *Adm. Policy Ment. Health* **42**, 87–98 (2015).
- 25. Myhr, G. & Payne, K. Cost-effectiveness of cognitive-behavioural therapy for mental disorders: Implications for public health care funding policy in Canada. *Can. J. Psychiatry* **51**, 662–670 (2006).
- Strawn, J. R., Mills, J. A., Poweleit, E. A., Ramsey, L. B. & Croarkin, P. E. Adverse effects of antidepressant medications and their management in children and adolescents. *Pharmacotherapy* 43, 675–690 (2023).
- 27. Zhou, Q., Li, X., Yang, D., Xiong, C. & Xiong, Z. A comprehensive review and meta-analysis of neurological side effects related to second-generation antidepressants in individuals with major depressive disorder. *Behav. Brain Res.* 447, 114431 (2023).
- 28. Lorenz, T., Rullo, J. & Faubion, S. Antidepressant-induced female sexual dysfunction. Mayo Clin. Proc. 91, 1280–1286 (2016).
- 29. Rothmore, J. Antidepressant-induced sexual dysfunction. Med. J. Aust. 212, 329-334 (2020).
- Voineskos, D., Daskalakis, Z. J. & Blumberger, D. M. Management of treatment-resistant depression: Challenges and strategies. Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat. 16, 221–234 (2020).
- 31. Porter, R. J. et al. Cognitive side-effects of electroconvulsive therapy: What are they, how to monitor them and what to tell patients. *BJPsych Open* **6**, e40 (2020).
- 32. Moriarty, A. S. et al. Predicting relapse or recurrence of depression: Systematic review of prognostic models. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 221, 448–458 (2022).
- 33. Sukhato, K. et al. Efficacy of home-based non-pharmacological interventions for treating depression: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open* 7, e014499 (2017).
- 34. Farah, W. H. et al. Non-pharmacological treatment of depression: A systematic review and evidence map. *Evid.-Based Med.* **21**, 214–221 (2016).
- 35. Batinic, B. Cognitive models of positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia and implications for treatment. *Psychiatria Danubina* **31**, 181–4 (2019).
- Weersing, V. R., Jeffreys, M., Do, M.-C.T., Schwartz, K. T. G. & Bolano, C. Evidence base update of psychosocial treatments for child and adolescent depression. J. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol. 46, 11–43 (2017).
- Zhang, X. et al. Effectiveness of digital guided self-help mindfulness training during pregnancy on maternal psychological distress and infant neuropsychological development: Randomized controlled trial. J. Med. Internet Res. 25, e41298 (2023).
- Shafiee, M., Arekhi, S., Omranzadeh, A. & Sahebkar, A. Saffron in the treatment of depression, anxiety and other mental disorders: Current evidence and potential mechanisms of action. J. Affect. Disord. 227, 330–337 (2018).
- 39. Aalbers, S. et al. Music therapy for depression. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 11, CD004517 (2017)
- 40. Hartmann, M. et al. Musical interaction in music therapy for depression treatment. Psychol. Music 51, 33-50 (2023).
- Schermuly-Haupt, M.-L., Linden, M. & Rush, A. J. Unwanted events and side effects in cognitive behavior therapy. Cogn. Ther. Res. 42, 219–229 (2018).
- Murakami, B. The music therapy and harm model (MTHM): Conceptualizing harm within music therapy practice. ECOS Revista Científica de Musicoterapia y Disciplinas Afines 6, 003–003 (2021).
- Heissel, A. et al. Exercise as medicine for depressive symptoms? A systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regression. Br. J. Sports Med. 57, 1049–1057 (2023).
- Simkin, D. R. & Black, N. B. Meditation and mindfulness in clinical practice. *Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Clin. N. Am.* 23, 487–534 (2014).
- 45. Tang, Y.-Y., Hölzel, B. K. & Posner, M. I. The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 213–225 (2015).
- Shen, H., Chen, M. & Cui, D. Biological mechanism study of meditation and its application in mental disorders. *Gen. Psych.* 33, e100214 (2020).
- 47. Maric, V., Ramanathan, D. & Mishra, J. Respiratory regulation & interactions with neuro-cognitive circuitry. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **112**, 95–106 (2020).
- González-Valero, G., Zurita-Ortega, F., Ubago-Jiménez, J. L. & Puertas-Molero, P. Use of meditation and cognitive behavioral therapies for the treatment of stress, depression and anxiety in students. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *IJERPH* 16, 4394 (2019).
- Li, Y., Zhang, J., Meng, Y. & Hofmann, S. G. Effect of mindfulness meditation on depression during pregnancy: A meta-analysis. *Mindfulness* https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.963133 (2023).
- 50. Reangsing, C., Lauderman, C. & Schneider, J. K. Effects of mindfulness meditation intervention on depressive symptoms in emerging adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Integr. Complement. Med. 28, 6–24 (2022).
- Reangsing, C., Rittiwong, T. & Schneider, J. K. Effects of mindfulness meditation interventions on depression in older adults: A meta-analysis. *Aging Mental Health* 25, 1181–1190 (2021).
- 52. Pascoe, M. C., Thompson, D. R., Jenkins, Z. M. & Ski, C. F. Mindfulness mediates the physiological markers of stress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Psychiatr. Res. 95, 156–178 (2017).
- Xunlin, N., Lau, Y. & Klainin-Yobas, P. The effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions among cancer patients and survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer 28, 1563–1578 (2020).
- 54. Chayadi, E., Baes, N. & Kiropoulos, L. The effects of mindfulness-based interventions on symptoms of depression, anxiety, and cancer-related fatigue in oncology patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **17**, e0269519 (2022).
- Goldberg, S. B. et al. Mindfulness-based interventions for psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Psychol. Review* 59, 52–60 (2018).
- Zhang, L. et al. Economic evaluations of mindfulness-based interventions: A systematic review. *Mindfulness (N. Y.)* 13, 2359–2378 (2022).
- Borghi, S. et al. Beneficial effects of a program of Mindfulness by remote during COVID-19 lockdown. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol.* Sci. 26, 5562–5567 (2022).
- Buric, I., Farias, M., Driessen, J. M. A. & Brazil, I. A. Individual differences in meditation interventions: A meta-analytic study. Br. J. Health Psychol. 27, 1043–1076 (2022).
- Liberati, A. et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med.* 6, e1000100 (2009).
- 60. Higgins, J. P. T. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327, 557-560 (2003).
- 61. Aydin, Ş & Budak, F. K. Effect of mindfulness-based stress reduction training on anxiety, depression, and hopelessness in menopausal women: An experimental study. *Psychiatric Ann.* **53**, 83–94 (2023).

- 62. Khazraee, H., Bakhtiari, M., Kianimoghadam, A. S. & Ghorbanikhah, E. The effectiveness of mindful hypnotherapy on depression, self-compassion, and psychological inflexibility in females with major depressive disorder: A single-blind, randomized clinical trial. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Hypnosis* **71**, 63–78 (2023).
- 63. Nadholta, P. et al. Mind-body practice as a primer to maintain psychological health among pregnant women-YOGESTA-a randomized controlled trial. *Front. Public Health* 11, 1201371 (2023).
- 64. Nasrollahi, M., Ghazanfar Pour, M., Ahmadi, A., Mirzaee, M. & Alidousti, K. Effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction on depression, anxiety, and stress of women with the early loss of pregnancy in southeast Iran: A randomized control trial. *Reprod. Health* **19**, 233 (2022).
- 65. Van Der Meulen, R. T., Veringa-Skiba, I. K., Van Steensel, F. J. A., Bögels, S. M. & De Bruin, E. I. Mindfulness-based childbirth and parenting for pregnant women with high fear of childbirth and their partners: Outcomes of a randomized controlled trial assessing short- and longer-term effects on psychological well-being, birth and pregnancy experience. *Midwifery* 116, 103545 (2023).
- 66. Duan, H. et al. Effectiveness evaluation of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy combined with mindfulnessbased stress reduction for people with post-stroke depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **20**, 930 (2023).
- 67. Garcia, A. et al. Efficacy of an adjuvant non-face-to-face multimodal lifestyle modification program for patients with treatmentresistant major depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res.* **319**, 114975 (2023).
- 68. Li, Y. et al. A randomized controlled trial of an online self-help mindfulness intervention for emotional distress: Serial mediating effects of mindfulness and experiential avoidance. *Mindfulness* 14, 1–14 (2023).
- Liu, W., Liu, J., Ma, L. & Chen, J. Effect of mindfulness yoga on anxiety and depression in early breast cancer patients received adjuvant chemotherapy: A randomized clinical trial. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 148, 2549–2560 (2022).
- Stecher, C. et al. App-based meditation habits maintain reductions in depression symptoms among autistic adults. Autism 28, 1487–502 (2024).
- 71. Wu, K. et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction combined with early cardiac rehabilitation improves negative mood states and cardiac function in patients with acute myocardial infarction assisted with an intra-aortic balloon pump: A randomized controlled trial. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **10**, 1166157 (2023).
- 72. Liu, Y. et al. Using mindfulness to reduce anxiety and depression of patients with fever undergoing screening in an isolation ward during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Front. Psychol.* **12**, 664964 (2021).
- Mahendru, K. et al. Effect of meditation and breathing exercises on the well-being of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection under institutional isolation: A randomized control trial. *Indian J. Palliat. Care* 27, 490–494 (2021).
- 74. Baklouti, S. et al. The effect of web-based Hatha yoga on psychological distress and sleep quality in older adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* **50**, 101715 (2023).
- Hsiung, Y., Chen, Y.-H., Lin, L.-C. & Wang, Y.-H. Effects of Mindfulness-Based Elder Care (MBEC) on symptoms of depression and anxiety and spiritual well-being of institutionalized seniors with disabilities: A randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr.* 23, 497 (2023).
- Huberty, J. L., Espel-Huynh, H. M., Neher, T. L. & Puzia, M. E. Testing the pragmatic effectiveness of a consumer-based mindfulness mobile app in the workplace: Randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* 10, e38903 (2022).
- Leng, L. L., Yin, X. C., Chan, C. L. W. & Ng, S. M. Antenatal mobile-delivered mindfulness-based intervention to reduce perinatal depression risk and improve obstetric and neonatal outcomes: A randomized controlled trial. J. Affect. Disord. 335, 216–227 (2023).
- Gutiérrez-Hernández, M. E., Fanjul Rodríguez, L. F., Díaz Megolla, A., Oyanadel, C. & Peñate Castro, W. The effect of daily meditative practices based on mindfulness and self-compassion on emotional distress under stressful conditions: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Investig. Health Psychol. Educ.* 13, 762–775 (2023).
- 79. Maddock, A., McGuigan, K. & McCusker, P. A randomised trial of mindfulness-based social work and self-care with social workers. *Curr. Psychol.* 42, 9170–9183 (2023).
- Al-Refae, M., Al-Refae, A., Munroe, M., Sardella, N. A. & Ferrari, M. A self-compassion and mindfulness-based cognitive mobile intervention (serene) for depression, anxiety, and stress: Promoting adaptive emotional regulation and wisdom. *Front. Psychol.* 12, 648087 (2021).
- Bruhns, A., Lüdtke, T., Moritz, S. & Bücker, L. A mobile-based intervention to increase self-esteem in students with depressive symptoms: Randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* 9, e26498 (2021).
- Dai, Z. et al. Mindfulness-based online intervention on mental health among undergraduate nursing students during coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in Beijing, China: A randomized controlled trial. *Front. Psychiatry* 13, 949477 (2022).
- Wang, M. et al. Effects of a online brief modified mindfulness-based stress reduction therapy for anxiety among Chinese adults: A randomized clinical trial. J. Psychiatr. Res. 161, 27–33 (2023).
- Komariah, M., Ibrahim, K., Pahria, T., Rahayuwati, L. & Somantri, I. Effect of mindfulness breathing meditation on depression, anxiety, and stress: A randomized controlled trial among university students. *Healthcare* 11, 26 (2022).
- Sedlmeier, P., Loße, C. & Quasten, L. C. Psychological effects of meditation for healthy practitioners: An update. *Mindfulness* 9, 371–387 (2018).
- Li, H. et al. Effect of the online mindfulness-based stress reduction on anxiety and depression status of COVID-19 patients treated in fangcang hospitals: A randomized controlled trial. *Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag.* 16, 2735–2745 (2023).
- Yang, Y., Cao, D., Lyu, T. & Gao, W. Meta-analysis of a mindfulness yoga exercise intervention on depression—Based on intervention studies in China. Front. Psychol. 14, 1283172 (2023).
- Fjorback, L. O., Arendt, M., Ørnbøl, E., Fink, P. & Walach, H. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy—A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 124, 102–119 (2011).
- Hofmann, S. G., Sawyer, A. T., Witt, A. A. & Oh, D. The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on anxiety and depression: A metaanalytic review. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 78, 169–183 (2010).
- 90. Luo, Y. et al. Self-compassion may reduce anxiety and depression in nursing students: A pathway through perceived stress. *Public Health* **174**, 1–10 (2019).
- 91. Neff, K. Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. Self Identity 2, 85–101 (2003).
- Moessner, M. & Bauer, S. E-Mental-Health und internetbasierte Psychotherapie: Auf dem Weg in die Versorgung. *Psychotherapeut* 62, 251–266 (2017).
- Lau, Y., Cheng, J.-Y., Wong, S.-H., Yen, K.-Y. & Cheng, L.-J. Effectiveness of digital psychotherapeutic intervention among perinatal women: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *WJP* 11, 133–152 (2021).
- Allen, M. et al. Cognitive-affective neural plasticity following active-controlled mindfulness intervention. J. Neurosci. 32, 15601– 15610 (2012).
- Liu, C., Wang, M., Liang, X., Xue, J. & Zhang, G. Efficacy and safety of high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for poststroke depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 100, 1964–1975 (2019).
- 96. Garland, E. L., Hanley, A. W., Goldin, P. R. & Gross, J. J. Testing the mindfulness-to-meaning theory: Evidence for mindful positive emotion regulation from a reanalysis of longitudinal data. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0187727 (2017).
 - 97. Binda, D. D., Greco, C. M. & Morone, N. E. What are adverse events in mindfulness meditation?. *Glob. Adv. Health Med.* 11, 2164957X2210966 (2022).

- 98. Kabat-Zinn, J. Foreword: Seeds of a necessary global renaissance in the making: the refining of psychology's understanding of the nature of mind, self, and embodiment through the lens of mindfulness and its origins at a key inflection point for the species. *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* **28**, xi–xvii (2019).
- López-Navarro, E. & Al-Halabí, S. Mindfulness on daily life coping in people experiencing psychosis: A randomized controlled trial. Int. J. Clin. Health Psychol. 22, 100298 (2022).
- Britton, W. B., Lindahl, J. R., Cooper, D. J., Canby, N. K. & Palitsky, R. Defining and measuring meditation-related adverse effects in mindfulness-based programs. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* 9, 1185–1204 (2021).
- 101. Chadwick, P. Mindfulness for psychosis: A humanising therapeutic process. Curr. Opin. Psychol. 28, 317-320 (2019).
- Amick, H. R. et al. Comparative benefits and harms of second generation antidepressants and cognitive behavioral therapies in initial treatment of major depressive disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h6019 (2015).
- Dobkin, P. L., Irving, J. A. & Amar, S. For whom may participation in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program be contraindicated?. *Mindfulness* 3, 44–50 (2012).

Author contributions

Conceptualization, and Supervision: DGHT, SPCN, WKWL and BWML; Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, and Formal analysis: YF, YS, YL, DISV, JJZ; Writing—original draft: YF, YS, YL, DISV; Writing—review & editing: JJZ, WKWL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-71213-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.K.-W.L., D.G.H.T. or B.W.-M.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024