DOI: 10.1002/1348-9585.12322

#### BRIEF REPORT

# Working hours, on-call shifts, and risk of occupational injuries among hospital physicians: A case-crossover study

Annina Ropponen<sup>1,2</sup> | Aki Koskinen<sup>1</sup> | Sampsa Puttonen<sup>1</sup> | Jenni Ervasti<sup>1</sup> | Mika Kivimäki<sup>1,3,4</sup> | Tuula Oksanen<sup>5</sup> | Mikko Härmä<sup>1</sup> | Kati Karhula<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Division of Insurance Medicine, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Clinicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup>School of Medicine, Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

#### Correspondence

Annina Ropponen, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, PO Box 40, 00032 Työterveyslaitos, Finland. Email: annina.ropponen@ttl.fi

#### Funding information

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Number: 329200 and 329202; Työsuojelurahasto, Grant/Award Number: 180022

#### Abstract

Revised: 28 February 2022

**Objective:** To investigate the association of hospital physicians' working hours and on-call shifts with the risk of occupational injuries.

**Methods:** In this nested cohort study of 556 Finnish hospital physicians, we linked electronic records from working-hour and on-call duty payroll data to occupational injury data obtained from the Finnish Workers' Compensation Center for the period 2005–2019. We used a case-crossover design with matched intervals for a 7-day 'case window' immediately prior to occupational injury and a 'control window' 7 days prior to the beginning of the case window, and analyzed their associations using conditional logistic regression models.

**Results:** We noted 556 occupational injuries, 281 at the workplace and 275 while commuting. Having three to four long (>12 h) work shifts on the preceding 7 days was associated with a higher probability of an occupational injury (odds ratio [OR] 2.14, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.11, 4.09), and the OR for three to four on-call shifts was 3.54 (95%CI 2.11, 5.92) in comparison to having none of these work shift types. A higher number of several consecutive working days was associated with a higher probability of injury in a dose-response manner. Moreover, increasing weekly working hours was associated with an increased likelihood of injury (OR 1.03, 95%CI 1.01, 1.04), whereas the number of normal ( $\leq$ 12 h) work shifts reduced this likelihood (OR 0.79, 95%CI 0.64, 0.98).

**Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that accumulated working-hour load, as opposed to single, very long (>24 h) work shifts, may increase the risk of occupational injury among hospital physicians.

#### K E Y W O R D S

injury, on-call work, physician, shift work, working hours

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Occupational Health published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of The Japan Society for Occupational Health

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

To supply 24/7 patient care at hospitals, physicians' work is arranged as irregular working hours with varying start and finish times, shift lengths, and recovery periods between shifts.<sup>1</sup> Of the hospital physicians in the study, at least 50% worked on-call hours,<sup>2,3</sup> and many also worked extra hours in addition to their normal daily working hours.<sup>4</sup> On-call duties, which often include extended working hours, consist of a high work pace due to the demands of care.<sup>1,4</sup> Earlier studies have indicated that on-call work is associated with several negative health outcomes, such as work stress, burnout, and occupational injuries.<sup>5,6</sup> The mechanisms linking physicians' extended working hours and insufficient recovery to safety, health, and performance are well characterized.<sup>7</sup> However, it remains unclear whether extended daily and weekly hours reduce the safety of the physicians themselves.<sup>8</sup> Hence, there is an emergent need to identify the working-hour characteristics that are associated with health and safety risks and to provide justifications for working-hour restrictions for hospital physicians.

Previous studies of physicians' working hours and oncall work have utilized self-reported data, which are prone to recall or reporting bias.9 Further limitations include the use of cross-sectional designs<sup>10</sup> and study populations that cover only a few medical specialties. Recently, objective and detailed daily working-hour data from employers' registries have become available for studies of health care personnel,<sup>11</sup> although few studies to date have investigated the association between extended working hours and safety among physicians.<sup>12</sup> Further drawbacks related to research on occupational injuries are the use of self-reported data on working hours<sup>13</sup> and a limited focus on, for example, needle-stick injuries only.<sup>14</sup> Further, existing register studies of physicians' injuries have not used working-hour data.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, a study utilizing registry-based working-hour characteristics linked with national register data of occupational injuries is valuable for examining the associations between working hours, on-call work, and occupational injuries among hospital physicians.

# 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Sample

Electronic payroll-based working-hour and on-call work data were available from the records of seven Finnish hospital districts. The full daily working-hour and on-call work data were linked with occupational injury data obtained from the Finnish Workers' Compensation Center, which had over 15 million observations from 14 704 physicians. The data from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2019 included information on the hospital district and the age and sex of the physicians. First, the data were restricted to physicians with registered occupational injuries, totaling 988 individuals. Second, the data were restricted to physicians who had working-hour data (i.e., at least one work shift) for the 14 preceding days (19 821 observations). The final sample consisted of 556 physicians (64% women). We received permission to access the working-hour and on-call data and employment information (i.e., work unit), and the data on occupational injuries from the hospital districts participating in the study. Since these data comprised register-based employer-owned employment information and no health information, ethical approval was not required for the study.

## 2.2 | Occupational injuries

Occupational injuries were defined in accordance with the Finnish legislation (the Workers' Compensation Act) used by the Workers' Compensation Center (see https:// www.tvk.fi/en/compensation/occupational-accident/) that provided our data. Based on Finnish law, occupational injuries at the workplace also cover those that occur during activities other than work tasks and while commuting between the home and the workplace. The possible costs of all occupational injuries are reimbursed to the employer and the employees via a statutory insurance system (see in more detail<sup>11</sup>). The injury data include the date and place of the injury, (at work/while commuting/ during leisure time) and the reported external cause, location, and type of injury (e.g., falling, crashes, violence, physical load).

# 2.3 | Working-hour characteristics and on-call duties

Daily working-hour and on-call shift data (later workinghour data) were retrieved from the Titania<sup>®</sup> (CGI Finland Ltd) records on working-hour characteristics without oncall shifts, and the Titania<sup>®</sup> for Physicians (CGI Finland Ltd) records on on-call duties.<sup>16</sup> The working-hour data included actual payroll-based start/end times of work and absences, including days off, sickness, and other leaves. Based on the generally used proxies for long working hours and time for recovery, we created the following measures for this study: weekly working hours (mean), shift length (mean), and number of work shifts of various lengths ( $\leq 12$  h, >12 h, and >24 h). We also defined shift intensity using the number of consecutive work shifts and the number of short (< 11 h) shift intervals (i.e., the recovery time between the work shifts). Furthermore, we calculated the number of on-call shifts. To categorize the thresholds, we utilized the cut-off points based on the recommendations of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (FIOH) concerning consecutive working days and short (<11 h) shift intervals.

# 2.4 | Matched interval casecrossover design

This study applied a case-crossover design with a matchedpaired interval approach, in which each physician with an occupational injury serves as their own control. Hence, there is a 'case window', i.e., the period for which the person is a case, and a 'control window', i.e., the period during which the physician is a non-case (their own control). This design compares the exposure (working hours) during the case window to the exposure during the control window and each physician represents a matched set of data for both the case and control periods. The seven-day case-control time windows were set a priori. This design also controls for all the stable confounding factors, including time invariant covariates, such as sex, age, socioeconomic status, job titles, and organizational factors (e.g., workplace culture, management), which are all potential sources of confounding in other types of epidemiological designs, such as case-control or cohort studies.

# 2.5 | Statistical analyses

For the continuous variables, the descriptive characteristics of working hours and the differences between the 7-day case and control windows were estimated using the t-test. For the categorical variables, we used the Pearson's Chi-squared test. We calculated the conditional logistic regression models with the case and control windows to estimate the probability of occupational injury, comparing the case window to the control window with odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). To control for the clustering effect, we used hospital district as a covariate in the analyses of all the working-hour characteristics to adjust the standard errors. Stata MP version 17.0 (StataCorp., College Station, Texas, USA) was used for all the analyses.

# 3 | RESULTS

In the final sample (n = 556), the mean age of the physicians was 41.3 years (SD 10.1, range 21–68 years). A total

of 276 occupational injuries occurred at the workplace, of which 51% were injuries to finger(s), and 7% to hand(s), most commonly cuts or superficial injuries (69%), or luxations, twists, or strains (14%). As many as 275 injuries took place while commuting, of which 22% were traumas on multiple body locations and 12% injuries to legs. The commuting injuries were most caused by luxations, twists, or strains (36%), or were cuts or superficial injuries (29%). Five injuries took place while the person was driving during work. Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics and the tests of the differences in the 7-day case and control windows of the final sample.

The ORs of the 7-day case and control windows indicated that weekly working hours (1.03, 95% CI 1.01, 1.04), working three to four long (>12 h) work shifts (2.14, 95% CI 1.11, 4.09), or three to four on-call shifts (3.54, 95% CI 2.11, 5.92) were associated with an increased likelihood of occupational injury. The number of normal ( $\leq$ 12 h) work shifts was associated with a decreased likelihood of injuries. The number of consecutive working days was associated with an increased likelihood in a dose-response manner (Table 2). The number of short shift intervals lacked a statistically significant association with injuries.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

This case-crossover analysis nested in a prospective cohort study used objective working-hour records linked to national register data on occupational injuries to examine the risk of injuries associated with on-call work and working-hour characteristics among 556 physicians. Weekly working hours, three to four long (>12 h) work shifts, or three to four on-call shifts were associated with an increased likelihood of occupational injuries. A higher number of consecutive working days was associated with an increased likelihood of injury in a dose-response manner. In turn, the number of normal ( $\leq$ 12 h) work shifts was associated with a decreased likelihood.

This study adds to earlier research that has mainly used self-reported data,<sup>9</sup> cross-sectional design,<sup>10</sup> few medical specialties, prevalence of injuries,<sup>15</sup> or only needle-stick injuries. Our analysis, using 7-day windows to estimate the likelihood of occupational injuries, suggested that shift intensity, as indicated by the number of consecutive working days and number of on-call shifts, increased the likelihood of occupational injury. However, the number of very long (>24 h) work shifts or short (<11 h) shift intervals was not associated with an increased likelihood of injury.

Due to the relatively small sample size, these results should be interpreted with caution. It may be hypothesized that both long working periods (i.e., >12-h work **TABLE 1** Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation [SD]) and frequencies (with proportion, %) of working-hour characteristics and on-call shifts in 7-day case and control windows

Case windowControl window $p_{value for difference}$ Working-hour characteristicsMeanSDMeanSDLength of working hours23.813.521.814.1.023Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long ( $> 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of very long ( $> 24$ h) work shifts6.61.20.00ns.Number of onscutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of noral shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of onscutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of nor-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of long (>11 h) shift intervals1.71.01.71.1ns.Iterestifter1.33.3166301.41.4 $= 2^{-2}$ 1221211.41.4 $= 2^{-2}$ 1221211.41.4 $= 1^{-2}$ 121111.41.4 $= 2^{-2}$ 12212211 $= 1^{-2}$ 12112111 $= 2^{-2}$
Working-hour characteristicsMeanSDMeanSDMeanMeanLength of working hours23.813.521.814.1.023Weekly working hours (h)23.813.521.814.1.023Shift length (h)9.12.39.12.4ns.Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts1.71.01.71.1ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts1.71.01.71.1ns.034562373661.11.11.11-218333166301.11.1251221221.11.11.105469855098981.11.1
Length of working hours23.813.521.814.1.023Weekly working hours (h)9.12.39.12.4ns.Shift length (h)9.12.39.12.4ns.Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long (>24 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts0.600ns.Shift itensity5.32.84.92.9.032Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of work shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of non-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals1.71.01.71.1ns.O34562373661.11.11.11-218333166301.11.11.1251221221.11.11.1261221221.11.11.111.631.121.11.11.1251221221.11.11.1261221221.11.11.1271313131.63.121.11.12612121221.1
Weekly working hours (h)23.813.521.814.1.023Shift length (h)9.12.39.12.4ns.Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Shift intensity000ns.Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of on call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Shift length (h)9.12.39.12.4ns.Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Shift intensity $0$ 00ns.Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of noreall shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of norcall shifts0.91.50.71.1ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of normal ( $\leq 12$ h) work shifts6.31.26.41.2ns.Number of long (>12 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of vory long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Shift intensity5.32.84.92.9.032Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of work shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of long (>12 h) work shifts0.61.20.61.1ns.Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Shift intensity5.32.84.92.9.032Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of onsecutive working days0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts000ns.Shift intensity5.32.84.92.9.032Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of work shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of n-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Shift intensity       S.3       2.8       4.9       2.9       .032         Number of consecutive working days       5.3       2.8       4.9       2.9       .032         Number of work shifts in a day       0.7       1.0       0.6       1.0       ns.         Number of on-call shifts       0.9       1.5       0.7       1.3       ns.         Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of consecutive working days5.32.84.92.9.032Number of work shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of work shifts in a day0.71.00.61.0ns.Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of on-call shifts0.91.50.71.3ns.Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals
Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals1.71.01.71.1ns.Thresholds for working-hour characteristicsn%n%Number of long (>12 h) work shiftsn54562373661-2183331663010103-41631121010≥51221221010Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts5469855098
Thresholds for working-hour characteristics       n       %       n         Number of long (>12 h) work shifts
Number of long (>12 h) work shifts       ns.         0       345       62       373       66         1-2       183       33       166       30         3-4       16       3       11       2 $\geq 5$ 12       2       12       2         Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts       ns.       ns.         0       546       98       550       98
034562373661-218333166303-4163112≥5122122Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts05469855098
1-2     183     33     166     30       3-4     16     3     11     2       ≥5     12     2     12     2       Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts     546     98     550     98
3-4         16         3         11         2           ≥5         12         2         12         2           Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts         546         98         550         98
≥5     12     2     2       Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts     ns.       0     546     98     550     98
Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts         ns.           0         546         98         550         98
0 546 98 550 98
$1-2^{a}$ 10 2 10 2
Shift intensity
Number of consecutive working days ns.
1-2 42 8 78 14
3-4 89 17 78 14
5-6 309 56 311 56
7 95 18 89 16
Number of work shifts in a day ns.
1 374 68 389 69
2 174 31 164 29
$3^{a}$ 8 1 9 2
Number of on-call shifts .055
0 309 56 336 60
1-2 201 36 196 35
3-4 27 5 11 2
>5 19 3 19 3
Number of short shift intervals
0-1 200 36 211 38
$2-4^{a}$ 356 64 349 62

<sup>a</sup>Due to no or very few ( $\leq$ 10) observations, further categories were collapsed to the previous category and not shown.

<sup>b</sup>Differences in continuous variables were tested by the t-test and in categorical variables by the Pearson's Chi-squared test.

**TABLE 2** Conditional logistic regression (odds ratio, OR with 95% confidence intervals, CI) for associations between working-hour characteristics and on-call shifts in 7-day case and control windows and occupational injuries of 556 physicians

	OR	95%CI
Length of working hours		
Weekly working hours (h)	1.03	1.01, 1.04
Shift length (h)	1.05	0.93, 1.19
Number of normal (≤12 h) work shifts	0.79	0.64, 0.98
Number of long (>12 h) work shifts (ref = 0)		
1–2	1.28	0.93, 1.78
3–4	2.14	1.11, 4.09
≥5	na	-
Number of very long (>24 h) work shifts (ref = 0)		
1–2	0.90	0.65, 1.24
Shift intensity		
Number of consecutive working days (ref = $1-2$ )		
3–4	3.24	2.29, 4.60
5-6	3.23	2.18, 4.80
7	4.10	2.20, 7.63
Number of work shifts in a day (ref = 1)		
2	1.18	0.97, 1.43
3	0.67	0.41, 1.08
Number of on-call shifts (ref = $0$ )		
1–2	1.24	0.79, 1.94
3-4	3.54	2.11, 5.92
≥5	na	-
Number of short (<11 h) shift intervals (ref = $0-1$ )		
2-4	1.07	0.84, 1.37
≥5	1.07	0.08, 14.38

*Note:* na = too few observations for analysis. Statistically significant values are indicated in bold.

shifts) and the number of on-call shifts need to be limited, as they might cause irregularity in the schedules of physicians. The finding that a higher number of consecutive working days were associated with an increased probability of injuries might indicate that shorter working periods with opportunities to recuperate in between might protect from injuries. Short shift intervals were prevalent among the physicians, due to on-call work and irregular working hours. Further studies with even \_Journal of Occupational Health $_{
m WIIF}$ 

5 of 6

larger sample sizes are needed to investigate the role of short recovery time in the safety of physicians and their patients.

A strength of this study was its use of objective working-hour data from employer's registers and national register data on occupational injuries. Register data are free from memory bias and have no (or minimal) loss to follow-up. Another strength is the use of matched interval case-crossover design which does not require a separate comparison group, i.e., selection bias is minimized.

The main limitation of the study was its relatively low number of physicians with occupational injuries. Due to the total of 556 injuries, we had insufficient statistical power to run separate analyses of men and women, or to investigate those with on-call duties in greater detail. Further research is needed to examine whether, due to only a few actual events during follow-up, our findings regarding hospital physicians are generalizable to hospital physicians in all European Union and other countries with similar total working hours.

# 5 | CONCLUSIONS

Our findings, based on 7-day analysis windows, suggest that accumulated working-hour load, as opposed to single very long (>24 h) work shifts, may increase the risk of occupational injury among hospital physicians.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by the Finnish Work Environment Fund (nr 180022) and the Academy of Finland, DIGIHUM-program (grants 329200, 329202).

#### DISCLOSURE

*Ethical approval*: N/A. The data comprised register-based employer-owned employment information with no health information, so no ethical approval was required for the study. *Informed consent*: N/A. *Registry and the Registration No. of the study/Trial*: N/A. *Animal Studies*: N/A. *Conflict of interest*: The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this article.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.R., M.H., K.K., S.P., M.K., and T.O. conceived the ideas; K.K. and A.K. collected the data; A.R. analyzed the data; A.R. led the writing, and all the authors critically commented on the manuscript and approved the final version.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Research data are not shared.

## ORCID

Annina Ropponen D https://orcid. org/0000-0003-3031-5823

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Rodriguez-Jareno MC, Demou E, Vargas-Prada S, et al. European Working Time Directive and doctors' health: a systematic review of the available epidemiological evidence. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(7):e004916.
- 2. Heponiemi T, Puttonen S, Elovainio M. On-call work and physicians' well-being: testing the potential mediators. *Occup Med* (*Lond*). 2014;64(5):352-357.
- Rosta J, Tellnes G, Aasland OG. Differences in sickness absence between self-employed and employed doctors: a cross-sectional study on national sample of Norwegian doctors in 2010. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:199.
- Rothenberger DA. Physician Burnout and Well-Being: A Systematic Review and Framework for Action. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2017;60(6):567-576.
- Cottey L, Roberts T, Graham B, et al. Need for recovery amongst emergency physicians in the UK and Ireland: a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(11):e041485.
- Mak NT, Li J, Wiseman SM. Resident physicians are at increased risk for dangerous driving after extended-duration work shifts: a systematic review. *Cureus*. 2019;11(6):e4843.
- Gurubhagavatula I, Barger LK, Barnes CM, et al. Guiding principles for determining work shift duration and addressing the effects of work shift duration on performance, safety, and health: guidance from the American Academy of Sleep Medicine and the Sleep Research Society. *Sleep*. 2021;44(11). 10.1093/sleep/zsab161.
- Basner M, Asch DA, Shea JA, et al. Sleep and alertness in a duty-hour flexibility trial in internal medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380(10):915-923.

- 9. Rebnord IK, Morken T, Maartmann-Moe K, Hunskaar S. Outof-hours workload among Norwegian general practitioners - an observational study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2020;20(1):944.
- 10. Lindfors PM, Nurmi KE, Meretoja OA, et al. On-call stress among Finnish anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia*. 2006;61(9):856-866.
- 11. Harma M, Koskinen A, Sallinen M, Kubo T, Ropponen A, Lombardi DA. Characteristics of working hours and the risk of occupational injuries among hospital employees: a case-crossover study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2020;46(6):570-578.
- 12. Su IM, Ding DC. The workload of obstetric doctors working in different modes at a medical center. *Tzu Chi Med J*. 2021;33(1):87-90.
- Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: a literature review. *Occup Med*. 2015;65(7):519-528.
- Garus-Pakowska A, Górajski M. Epidemiology of needlestick and sharp injuries among health care workers based on records from 252 hospitals for the period 2010–2014, Poland. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):634.
- 15. Jabbour R, Turner S, Hussey L, Page F, Agius R. Workplace injury data reported by occupational physicians and general practitioners. *Occup Med.* 2015;65(4):296-302.
- Ropponen A, Koskinen A, Puttonen S, et al. Association of working hour characteristics and on-call work with risk of short sickness absence among hospital physicians: a longitudinal cohort study. *Chronobiol Int.* 2022;39(2):233-240.

**How to cite this article:** Ropponen A, Koskinen A, Puttonen S, et al. Working hours, on-call shifts, and risk of occupational injuries among hospital physicians: A case-crossover study. *J Occup Health.* 2022;64:e12322. doi:10.1002/1348-9585.12322