The Chromosomal Proteins JIL-1 and Z4/Putzig Regulate the Telomeric Chromatin in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Rute Silva-Sousa, Elisenda López-Panadès, David Piñeyro[¤], Elena Casacuberta*

Institute of Evolutionary Biology, Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (CSIC-UPF), Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Drosophila telomere maintenance depends on the transposition of the specialized retrotransposons *HeT-A*, *TART*, and *TAHRE*. Controlling the activation and silencing of these elements is crucial for a precise telomere function without compromising genomic integrity. Here we describe two chromosomal proteins, JIL-1 and Z4 (also known as Putzig), which are necessary for establishing a fine-tuned regulation of the transcription of the major component of *Drosophila* telomeres, the *HeT-A* retrotransposon, thus guaranteeing genome stability. We found that mutant alleles of *JIL-1* have decreased *HeT-A* transcription, putting forward this kinase as the first positive regulator of telomere transcription in *Drosophila* described to date. We describe how the decrease in *HeT-A* transcription in *JIL-1* alleles correlates with an increase in silencing chromatin marks such as H3K9me3 and HP1a at the *HeT-A* promoter. Moreover, we have detected that *Z4* mutant alleles show moderate telomere instability, suggesting an important role of the JIL-1-Z4 complex in establishing and maintaining an appropriate chromatin environment at *Drosophila* telomeres. Interestingly, we have detected a biochemical interaction between Z4 and the HeT-A Gag protein, which could explain how the Z4-JIL-1 complex is targeted to the telomeres. Accordingly, we demonstrate that a phenotype of telomere instability similar to that observed for *Z4* mutant alleles is found when the gene that encodes the HeT-A Gag protein is knocked down. We propose a model to explain the observed transcriptional and stability changes in relation to other heterochromatin components characteristic of *Drosophila* telomeres, such as HP1a.

Citation: Silva-Sousa R, López-Panadès E, Piñeyro D, Casacuberta E (2012) The Chromosomal Proteins JIL-1 and Z4/Putzig Regulate the Telomeric Chromatin in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet 8(12): e1003153. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003153

Editor: Harmit S. Malik, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States of America

Received March 22, 2012; Accepted October 24, 2012; Published December 13, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Silva-Sousa et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation BFU2009-08318/BMC to EC and by a PhD Fellowship from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, SFRH/BD/36291/2007 to RS-S. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: elena.casacuberta@ibe.upf-csic.es

¤ Current address: Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Barcelona, Spain

Introduction

Telomere elongation is needed in all eukaryotes with linear chromosomes due to the incapacity of cellular polymerases to proceed in 3' to 5' direction. Telomere length homeostasis is important for protecting the chromosomes from terminal erosion and the loss of important genetic information. Moreover, a defined telomere length is required for the proper assembly of the telomere-capping complex (shelterin in telomerase telomeres or terminin in Drosophila) [1-3]. When telomeres recess excessively, the disassembly of the protective cap leaves the telomere ends unprotected. Consequently, the telomeres are recognized by the DNA damage machinery, and upon repair are fused together resulting in genomic instability [4]. Eukaryote telomeres are dynamic structures that make up their telomere length from a balanced mechanism of gains and losses. The net result of this process is a telomere of the appropriate length to exert the different telomeric functions, as well as for protecting the genetic content [5,6].

Several proteins have been described with both positive and negative effects on telomere length regulation [1]. Some of these cellular components act regulating the different telomerase subunits either by directly activating their expression, or their biochemical function [7]. On the other hand, changes on the telomeric chromatin have also been related to changes in telomere length in several organisms pointing to an epigenetic component in telomere regulation in eukaryotes [8]. Thus, telomere length homeostasis is a complex cellular process that integrates signals from different regulatory mechanisms.

Drosophila is the telomerase exception better studied so far, having acquired a retrotransposition based mechanism whose prevalence along all the genus (120 MY) demonstrates its robustness [6,9,10]. The success of this mechanism is based in the targeted transposition of three different specialized non-LTR retrotransposons, *HeT-A*, *TART* and *TAHRE* [11–14]. Retrotransposons belong to Class I transposable elements (TE), and their mechanism of transposition involves an RNA intermediate implying that each new successful transposition will increase the copy number of the element. This, in the case of the telomeric transposons will translate in increased telomere length directly benefiting the host and indirectly incrementing the absolute copy number of the telomeric transposons, ensuring their survival.

Recent molecular studies demonstrate that telomeres in most eukaryotes are composed of two domains; the protective cap that lies at the very end and the distal (telomeric) domain. Flanking the telomere domain lays the proximal (subtelomeric) domain, which shows different chromatin characteristics [8,15,16]. The telomeric domain is composed of the telomerase repeats in telomerase

Author Summary

Drosophila telomeres constitute a remarkable exception to the general telomerase mechanism of telomere maintenance in eukaryotes. The essential role of the telomeric transposons HeT-A, TART, and TAHRE (HTT) in this organism contrasts with the strong conservation of their retrotransposon personalities. The particularities of this system add an extra layer of complexity to the control of telomere length in Drosophila; on one hand, telomere expression should be fine-tuned in order to achieve telomere function whenever needed; on the other, terminal transposition should be tightly controlled to guarantee genomic stability. Here, we report the dual role of the JIL-1-Z4 complex in regulating the HeT-A retrotransposon transcription (by the action of the JIL-1 kinase) and in guaranteeing the stability of telomeres (by the zinc finger protein Z4). We show how the loss of JIL-1 and Z4 causes major changes at the chromatin of the HeT-A promoter that can explain the phenotypes that we observe in JIL-1 and Z4 mutant alleles. Moreover, we give evidence of the involvement of the HeT-A Gag protein in the recruitment of Z4 to the HTT array, and we demonstrate how the disruption of this interaction has fatal consequences for telomere stability.

organisms and of the retrotransposon array *HeT-A*, *TART* and *TAHRE* (HTT) in the case of *Drosophila*. Andreyeva and collaborators took advantage of the *Drosophila melanogaster Telomere elongation* (*Tel*) mutant strain, which has telomeres ten times longer than the wild type in order to obtain a better resolution of the chromatin in the different telomeric domains [17]. Their study demonstrates that the HTT array shows mixed characteristics of euchromatin and heterochromatin, and that two chromosomal proteins, JIL-1 and Z4, specifically localize in this domain [15].

Z4, also known as Putzig, is a seven-zinc finger protein known to localize at polytene chromosome interbands and necessary to maintain the band-interband structure in these special chromosomes [18]. Moreover, Z4 has been shown to be an important cofactor in at least three different pathways related with chromatin remodeling: the NURF and the TRF2/DREF remodeling complexes, where it acts as an activator [19,20]; and in the JAK/STAT pathway, where Z4 acts as a co-repressor [21]. With the exception of its role as a co-repressor in the JAK/STAT pathway, where Z4 binds to the Ken protein, Z4 exerts its effects mediating chromatin changes.

In *Drosophila*, JIL-1 is the chromosomal kinase in charge of the phosphorylation of Serine 10 of histone H3 during interphase [22]. Like Z4, JIL-1 also localizes at interbands in polytene chromosomes, and has a role in maintaining the band-interband structure of polytene chromosomes [23]. JIL-1 seems to have two interdependent functions; on one hand, JIL-1 is required for the structure of the chromosomes, and on the other, is involved in reinforcing active transcription of certain genes during interphase, as well as participating in the dosage compensation of genes in the male X chromosome [24–26]. Several JIL-1 interacting partners have been identified; JIL-1 interacts with Lamin Dm₀, histone H3, and the chromosomal protein Chriz, also known as Chromator, among others [27–30]. A possible interaction between JIL-1 and Z4 has also been suggested [28].

Telomere function in *Drosophila* has been suggested to be epigenetically determined since the *terminin* complex that protects the telomeres assembles there in a sequence independent manner [2]. Maintenance of chromatin domains is a dynamic process in which chromatin marks and proteins interchange in a complex network of interactions. Each network, defines a set of characteristics that favor expression or repression of the genes embedded in such domains. Because telomere function in Drosophila strongly depends on transcription from the HTT array, where the genes involved in telomere elongation reside, the regulation of the chromatin structure of this domain is especially relevant to understand the regulation of telomere length in this organism. With the objective of better understanding this regulation, we have studied the role of JIL-1 and Z4, two chromosomal proteins shown to localize at the HTT array [15], on the regulation of the telomeric chromatin and their influence upon the expression of the main telomeric component in D. melanogaster, the HeT-A retroelement [31]. We have found that JIL-1 regulates HeT-A transcription, being thus the first described positive regulator of telomere expression in Drosophila. Moreover, we demonstrate that different Z4 mutant alleles show telomeric fusions in metaphase chromosomes from larval neuroblasts. ChIP experiments of Z4 and 71L-1 mutant alleles highlight changes of other components of the telomeric chromatin like the HP1a protein that can explain the transcriptional and stability effects observed in $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant alleles. Importantly, we have also obtained proofs of a possible telomere targeting mechanism to recruit the JIL-1-Z4 complex to the telomeres.

Results

In order to select candidate proteins that could have a role regulating the expression of the telomere retrotransposons, we took advantage of a study that characterizes the protein distribution along the different domains of *D. melanogaster* telomeres. Andreyeva and collaborators used the *Tel* stock, with telomeres ten times longer than in wild type flies, which offer a better resolution of the different telomeric domains [17], to perform immunocytochemistry experiments. These experiments demonstrated that the proteins JIL-1 and Z4 localize specifically at the HTT domain but neither at the capping nor at the TAS subtelomeric domain [15]. We have centered all analyses presented here on the *HeT-A* retrotransposons, which is the most abundant of the three telomeric retrotransposons in the HTT array of *D. melanogaster* [31].

HeT-A transcription is regulated by JIL-1

We analyzed the levels of mRNA of the HeT-A element in different JIL-1 and $\chi 4$ mutant alleles and, in order to contextualize our results, we compared them with the levels obtained from the mutant allele of one of the genes already known to influence HeT-A expression, the Su(var)2-5 gene which encodes for HP1a (Figure 1A and 1B) [32,33]. Different mutations in the Su(var)2-5 gene, result in a pronounced increase in HeT-A transcription and severe problems of telomere stability [32,33]. Because the number of HeT-A copies may vary among stocks, we determined the number of copies of the HeT-A element for each of the analyzed stocks (Figure S1A and S1B). We used this data to normalize the level of HeT-A transcription per number of copies and understand if $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutants show a differential HeT-A transcription activity (Figure S2A and S2B, Figure 1A and 1B). Because in any given stock full length and truncated HeT-A elements coexists at the telomeres [31,34], we performed the quantitative Real-Time experiments with two different sets of primers separated more than 3 kb along the full length HeT-A transcript (see materials and methods for primer details).

To determine the level of *HeT-A* transcription we used whole third instar larvae to extract total mRNA. Some of the larval



Figure 1. *HeT-A* **expression normalized to copy number in different mutant alleles.** *HeT-A Gag* (A) and *HeT-A* 3'UTR (B) transcripts decrease in *JIL-1* mutants (*JIL-1²⁶*/+, *JIL-1²²*/+ and *JIL-1²⁶*/*JIL-1²²*) and in *Z*4 mutants when combined with *JIL-1²²* null allele (*JIL-1²²/z4^{7.1}*, *JIL-1²²/Z4^{2.1}* and *JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²⁶*/*JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²⁶*/*JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²⁶*/*JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²⁶*/*JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²²*/*z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²²/z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²²/z4^{6.1} and <i>JIL-1²²/z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²²/z4^{6.1}* and *JIL-1²²/z4^{6.1}* and *J*

tissues in this stage, like the brain and imaginal discs, are in demand for active cell division and have been reported to show active *HeT-A* expression [35]. We analyzed a $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 hypomorph allele, $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z60} that contains a molecular lesion, which results in low levels of functional protein [22], and also a null allele $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z2} [25]. In addition, we analyzed the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z40} allele, which has been suggested to be a gain of function allele [36]. Homozygous $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z60} animals have only a 17% of eclosion rate [22], and $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z2}/ $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z2} are homozygous lethal [25].

We obtained a significant reduction of HeT-A transcription with both sets of primers (3'UTR and gag gene) compared to the control strain (w^{111B}) for all the alleles with the exception of the $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{Su(var)^3-I}$ allele (Figure 1A and 1B, left section of the graphics). In this last case, we did not observe a decrease in HeT-A transcription probably due to the ectopic phosphorylation activity of JIL-1 in this allele [30]. The $\mathcal{J}IL-I$ alleles show telomere lengths comparable to wild type (Figure S1A and S1B). The three $\mathcal{J}IL-I$ alleles tested are in different genetic backgrounds, although for the hypomorph and the null mutations we have obtained very similar results, we crossed all the alleles with the w^{111B} strain in order to minimize the contribution of the genetic background in these measures.

Similarly, we analyzed three different Z4 mutant alleles, $Z4^{7.1}$, $Z4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} . The $Z4^{7.1}$ allele is a hypomorph allele that lacks the promoter region of the Z4 gene and is lethal at the pupal stage [18]. The $Z4^{2.1}$ and the pzg^{66} alleles are null alleles that result in embryonic and early larval lethality [18,21]. We obtained a substantial increase in HeT-A transcription in the case of the hypomorph allele $Z4^{7.1}$ (Figure 1A and 1B). The results are similar for both sets of primers used indicating that the increase affects most HeT-A copies. The increased HeT-A transcription in the $Z4^{7.1}$ allele is consistent with a major level of HeT-A transcripts in all the allelic combinations where this allele is present (Figure 1A and 1B). In addition, the number of copies of the HeT-A element in this allele was substantially increased indicating longer telomeres in this stock (Figure S1A and S1B). Note that the level of HeT-A transcription in the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ homozygous is close to the HeT-A transcription in the $Su(var)2-5^{05}$ mutation of HP1a. The $Z4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} alleles did not show a different level of HeT-A transcription compared to our control strain (w^{1118}) for neither the 3' UTR



Figure 2. Chromatin changes at the *HeT-A* **promoter.** Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analyses were performed at the *HeT-A* promoter of *JIL-1, Z4* and *Su(var)2-5* mutants. Specific antibodies were used against H3K4me3 and H3K9me3 (A), JIL-1 (B), HP1 (C) and Z4 (D). In (A) significant changes are observed at H3K9me3 in both *JIL-1* and *Z4* mutants, while H3K4me3 only varies in *Z4* mutants. In (B), *Z4* mutants show an increase in *JIL-1* protein at *HeT-A* promoter. In (C) HP1 occupancy increases in *JIL-1* and decreases in *Z4* mutants. (D) Both *JIL-1* and *Su(var)2-5* mutants show a decrease in Z4 levels at *HeT-A* promoter. Graphs represent ChIP quantitation using real-time PCR. Each sample was normalized to 10% input DNA, to RpL32 locus and to the respective control. Error bars represent standard deviations of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (one asterisk, *P*<0.05 to 0.01; two asterisks, *P*<0.01 to 0.001; three asterisks, *P*<0.001) between mutant and *w*¹¹¹⁸ strains.

region nor the gag gene. As expected the number of HeT-A copies in these null Z4 alleles is not significantly different from the control strain (w^{1118}) . Only when the $Z4^{7.1}$ allele is combined with the $Z4^{2.1}$ or the pzg^{66} alleles the levels of HeT-A transcription increase above the ones in the w^{1118} strain. The levels of HeT-A expression concerning the HeT-A gag gene in the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{2.1}$ and the $Z4^{7.1}/$ pzg^{66} genotypes are significantly different from the ones obtained by the $Z4^{2.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ homozygous combination. For the 3'UTR region, the difference in expression in only significant from the one obtained for the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ genotype for the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{2.1}$ allelic combination.

We also investigated if we could observe a genetic interaction between $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ by measuring the levels of HeT-A transcription of a total of seven allelic combinations between $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ (Figure 1A and 1B, Figure S2A and S2B). Although for the $\mathcal{Z}4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} alleles we had not detected levels of HeT-A transcription significantly above the ones of w^{1118} , we found that the combinations $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{$z60}/<math>\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$ and $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{$z60}/<math>\mathcal{Z}4^{2.1}$ for the gag gene, and the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{$z60}/<math>pzg^{66}$ for both the 3'UTR region and the gag gene recover HeT-A transcription to w^{1118} levels (Figure 1A and 1B). Accordingly with the results obtained for the single mutation, in the combination $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{$Su(war)3-1}/<math>\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$ we obtained levels of HeT-A gag transcription above the ones in w^{1118} .</sup></sup></sup></sup>

JIL-1 regulates transcription by chromatin changes in the *HeT-A* promoter

Because both JIL-1 and Z4 are proteins related with chromosome structure, we studied if the changes observed in the expression of the *HeT-A* retrotransposon were related to changes in the chromatin environment at the promoter of this retroelement. Therefore, we investigated by Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments, changes in different chromatin marks and changes in the levels of the proteins of study, JIL-1 and Z4 (Figure 2), as well as of HP1a, a protein already known to localize at the HTT array and to affect *HeT-A* transcription (Figure 1A and 1C), [15,16,33].

To analyze the relative chromatin changes in the homozygous mutant alleles $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{z60}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z60} and in the hypomorph $\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}/\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$ allele which had been the only one with increased HeT-A transcription, we measured trimethylation of both lysine 9 and 4 of Histone H3 (H3K9me3 and H3K4me3), the most characteristic histone modifications indicative of repressed and active chromatin. Figure 2A shows the relative changes, compared to wild type, in homozygous mutant alleles of $\mathcal{J}IL$ -I ($\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{z60}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z60}), and $\mathcal{Z}4$ ($\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}/\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$). The increase observed for H3K9me3 in $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{z60}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{z60}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z60} mutants is in accordance with the decrease of HeT-A expression in the same allelic combination. In contrast, the



Figure 3. Z4 interacts with JIL-1 and *HeT-A* **GAG.** (A) Z4 and JIL-1 immunoprecipitation was performed in S2 cells using anti-JIL-1 and anti-Z4 antibodies. Negative control experiments were performed by immunoprecipitating with unspecific IgGs. (B) Z4 and *HeT-A* GAG immunoprecipitation was done by transfecting S2 cells with HeT-A Gag-GFP and immunoprecipitating with α nti-GFP and α nti-Z4. Control experiments were performed by transfecting an empty GFP vector (pPL17). Presence of the recombinant protein is indicated on the top of the panel (+ and - symbols). Antibodies used for immunoprecipitation are indicated on the top. All extracts were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, western blotted, and developed with specific antibodies (indicated on the right of each figure). Molecular markers (kDa) are indicated on the left.

increase in *HeT-A* transcription of the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ allele has two different causes, a substantial decrease in H3K9me3 and a simultaneous increase in H3K4me3, indicative of active transcription.

Next, we quantified the presence of JIL-1 at the HeT-A promoter in mutant and wild type flies. Figure 2B shows the changes in JIL-1 occupancy at the HeT-A promoter. $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ mutant flies show an increase in JIL-1, in accordance with a higher expression of HeT-A in this mutant allele. In contrast, $Su(var)2-5^{05}/$ CyO flies, heterozygous mutant for HP1a, show a moderate decrease of JIL-1 occupancy suggesting a subtle dependence between these two proteins. Interestingly, $7IL - 1^{z60}/7IL - 1^{z60}$ shows a substantial increase in the presence of HP1a at the HeT-A promoter, which could be in part responsible for the silencing of *HeT-A* expression in this same allele (Figure 2C). The $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ allele on the other hand, shows HP1a levels comparable to Su(var)2-505/CyO flies suggesting an interdependent relationship between these two chromosomal proteins. Finally, we observed that the presence of the Z4 protein decreases in *JIL-1* and *Su(var)2-*5 mutants further interconnecting these three chromosomal proteins in their role of chromatin modulators at the HeT-A promoter (Figure 2D).

We did not perform ChIP analyses in the case of the $Z4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} alleles for the *HeT-A* promoter because of two reasons; these alleles did not show a significant difference in *HeT-A* transcription, very few third instar larvae of the $pzg^{66}/Z4^{7.1}$ and $Z4^{2.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ genotypes are obtained from each cross, and for the combination $pzg^{66}/Z4^{2.1}$ no animals eclose, making very difficult to perform this experiment with the adequate amount of material.

JIL-1 interacts with Z4

Because JIL-1 and Z4 localize similarly in polytene chromosomes and in the HTT array [15,18,22,23], and both of them had been found to directly interact with Chromator [27,28], we wondered if the two proteins could be directly or indirectly interacting. We thus performed a co-immunoprecipitation experiment with the endogenous proteins. In Figure 3A we show how both proteins JIL-1 and Z4 are able to co-immunoprecipitate in Schneider S2 cells. Although the input lane of JIL-1 shows a very faint signal caused by the fact that a considerable amount of protein is not extracted and remains in the cell pellet, the protein is clearly detectable in the IP with the Z4 antibody, thus suggesting that a significative amount of soluble or extractable JIL-1 is part of a complex containing Z4. In the case of Z4, no substantial amount of the protein was detected in the pellet (*not shown*). The results of the co-immunoprecipitation experiments suggest that Z4 and JIL-1 belong to the same protein complex when they are at different genomic locations, such as at the HTT array.

Z4 interacts with HeT-A Gag

JIL-1 and Z4 specifically localize at the HTT array but not at the TAS or the cap domain, therefore a specific telomere targeting mechanism should be in place. One of the proteins that specifically localizes at Drosophila telomeres, is the Gag protein of the HeT-A element [37,38]. We therefore tested if HeT-A Gag could be involved in the targeting mechanism of Z4 and JIL-1 to the HTT array. We set a co-immunoprecipitation experiment with a recombinant form of HeT-A Gag fused to GFP together with the endogenous Z4 protein. Figure 3B shows that the HeT-A Gag-GFP protein co-immunoprecipitated with Z4, and that conversely Z4 co-immunoprecipitated with HeT-A Gag-GFP. Although we have not been able to detect co-immunoprecipitation of the endogenous HeT-A Gag with Z4 (we assume that due to low levels of expression of HeT-A Gag in most Drosophila tissues and cells), the overall data suggest that the Z4-HeT-A Gag interaction likely occurs in vivo. We did not detect a JIL-1-HeT-A Gag interaction (data not shown).

Z4 and HeT-A gag mutants show telomere instability in mitotic cells revealed by the appearance of telomeric fusions

Because changes in telomere length and telomere chromatin can result in telomere instability, we checked whether $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant alleles showed any sign of genomic instability detectable by telomere fusions (TFs). We checked metaphase chromosome preparations from third instar larvae neuroblasts of $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 and $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutants and compared them to a negative control $(w^{111\beta})$ and positive controls (mutant alleles of genes known to participate in telomere protection in *Drosophila*) like *woc* and *caravaggio*, the gene encoding the HOAP protein [39,40].

We could observe TFs involving the same chromosome (intrachromosomal) and different chromosomes (inter-chromosomal) in all the Z4 mutant alleles present in this study $Z4^{7.1}$, $Z4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} , (Figure 4A, 2^{ond}, 3rd and 4th column). Similarly, TFs were observed in neuroblasts from the positive control, woc^{964}/woc^{B111} mutant allele (Figure 4A 5th column). No TFs were observed in neuroblast preparations of the negative control stock (w^{1118}) (Figure 4A 1st column).



Figure 4. Telomere fusions in *Z4* and *HeT-A* mutants. (A) Mitotic chromosome preparations of third instar larvae. $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$, $Z4^{2.1}/+$ and $pzg^{66}/+$ alleles show telomere fusions. woc^{964}/woc^{B111} mutants were used as a positive control and w^{1118} as negative control. Red arrowheads indicate telomere fusions. (B) Anaphase preparations from third instar larvae neuroblasts of the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$, woc^{964}/woc^{B111} alleles and w^{1118} . Defective anaphases due to telomere fusions visible in $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$, woc^{964}/woc^{B111} (positive control). Normal anaphases in w^{1118} (negative control). (C) Mitotic S2 cells stained with DAPI after *Z4*, *HeT-A gag* gene, *cav* (HOAP), and unspecific (*Sart1*) RNAi treatment. Telomere fusions are observed in *Z4* and *HeT-A Gag* RNAi mutants; RNAi for the *cav* and the *Sart1* non-LTR retrotransposon from *Bombyx mori* were used as positive and negative control respectively. Red arrowheads indicate telomere fusions. (D) Percentage of telomere fusions found in mitotic S2 cells after RNAi treatment. (E) Mitotic chromosome preparations of HOAP-GFP/HOAP-GFP and $Z4^{7.1}/HOAP-GFP$ third instar larvae neuroblasts. Chromosomes stained with DAPI (blue) and HOAP-GFP fusion protein (green). HOAP is present in *Z4* telomere fusions (red arrowheads). (F) Percentage of telomere fusions found in $Z4^{7.1}/IigIV$ and Z4/JIL-1 double mutants. *IigIV* and *JIL-1* mutants do not affect the number of telomere fusions in *Z4* mutants. For each mutant a minimum of 100 metaphases/anaphases of three different preparations were analyzed. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003153.q004

We further investigated whether the observed TFs in the Z4 mutant alleles could be resolved during the next anaphase with no other consequences for the cell, or in contrast could cause asymmetric heredity of the genomic content and initiate genomic instability. We analyzed anaphase neuroblasts of $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ third instar larvae and compared them again with a positive (woc^{964} /

 woc^{B111}) and a negative control $(w^{111\theta})$. Figure 4B (2nd column) shows different anaphases of the $Z4^{7.1}/Z4^{7.1}$ neuroblasts where chromatin bridges (1st and 3rd panel), and aberrant DNA content (2nd and 3rd panels) can be observed. Similarly, different chromatin bridges were observed for neuroblast preparations of woc^{964}/woc^{B111} larval brains (3rd column Figure 4B). No abnormal

anaphases were observed for the w^{1118} neuroblast preparations (1st column Figure 4B).

In order to rule out a possible unrelated effect of the genetic background in the Z4 mutant alleles, we knocked down Z4 by RNA interference in S2 cells. Intra and inter-chromosomal TFs were also detected after the preparation of metaphase chromosomes of the interfered cells for the Z4 gene (Figure 4C, 2nd column and Figure 4D). Again TFs were detected in the positive control (S2 cells interfered for the *caravaggio* gene, encoding the HOAP protein) (Figure 4C 4th column and Figure 4D) and no TFs were observed when the S2 cells were interfered for an unrelated RNA (Figure 4C 1st column, and Figure 4D, *see materials and methods for details*).

As we had observed an interaction between Z4 and HeT-A Gag, we decided to test if the lack of the latter could also result in telomere instability. Due to the impossibility to obtain mutant alleles for *HeT-A* in *D. melanogaster* (many copies of the *HeT-A* element exist in any given stock, [31]), we decided to interfere for the *HeT-A* retrotransposon mRNA in S2 cells by RNAi. Figure 4C, 3^{rd} column and Figure 4D show how a decrease on *HeT-A* mRNA and, as a consequence, on the levels of HeT-A Gag protein results in different TFs in metaphase chromosomes, involving chromatids from the same chromosome and from different chromosomes. Obtaining similar TF phenotypes when interfering for HeT-A Gag and Z4 reinforces the relationship of these two proteins at the HTT array.

In order to investigate other possible causes for the telomeric instability observed in the Z4 mutant alleles, apart from the changes in the telomeric chromatin in these mutants, we tested two alternative hypothesis; 1) disturbance of the loading of the telomere-capping complex and 2) the possible involvement of the non-homologous end joining DNA repair complex in fusing the telomeres after being recognized as a double strand break by the non-homologous end-joining pathway [41]. Thus, we investigated if the loading of one of the major capping components, the HOAP protein [40], was perturbed in Z4 mutants by crossing them with flies with an endogenous HOAP-GFP protein. In Figure 4D (2nd column), HOAP signals can be distinguished in TFs from metaphase chromosomes of the $Z4^{7.1}$ /HOAP-GFP allele suggesting that at least part of the capping complex is still able to recognize and be loaded at the telomere (see arrowheads for HOAP signals over different TFs, Figure 4D). No TFs are seen in the HOAP-GFP metaphase chromosomes (Figure 4D 1st column). In order to rule out a possible contribution of the non-homologous end joining repair complex, we analyzed the contribution of the Ligase IV enzyme in the observed TFs in the Z4 mutant alleles [41], by combining the Z4 mutation ($Z4^{7.1}$) with a mutation for the gene encoding the Ligase IV enzyme $(ligIV^{-/-})$. Therefore, in case that the TFs observed in the Z4 mutants were caused by this mechanism, we should have seen a decrease in the number of TFs when the two mutations are combined. The *ligase IV* allele that we assayed, $(ligIV^{-/-})$ does not show a TF phenotype compared with our control strain, (w^{1118}) (Figure 4F). As shown in Figure 4E, the TF number detected in $Z4^{7.1}$ /ligIV double mutant was not statistically different from the $Z4^{7.1}/TM3Sb$ allele. The results from these experiments strongly suggest that Z4 controls telomere stability independently of the DNA repair machinery and that Z4 is not directly involved in the loading of the telomere-capping complex.

We also investigated if the mutant alleles for $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 might also have a problem of telomere stability. We inspected metaphase chromosomes of 3rd instar larva neuroblasts for the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z60}, $\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z2} and $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{Su(var)3-1} mutant alleles and did not find any significant telomere fusion (TFs) phenotype compared to w^{1118} (data not shown). We also inspected the possibility of TFs in the trans-heterozygous combination $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{z60}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z2} and found no result significantly different from the w^{III8} strain (Figure 4F).

Next, we decided to test if a $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 mutant in a $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant background could rescue the TF phenotype. Because in a $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 mutant background some heterochromatin marks increase their presence in the HeT-A promoter, (H3K9me3 and HP1a, Figure 2A and 2C and Figure 5) it is possible that they are enough to compensate the lower amount of Z4 in the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 mutation (Figure 2D). With this purpose we tested the double mutant combinations ($\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - 1^{z2} , $pzg^{66}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - 1^{z60} , $z4^{2.1}/\mathcal{J}IL$ - 1^{z2}) and we found no significant difference between the single $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant alleles (Figure 4F), indicating that the partial increase in heterochromatin marks is not sufficient to compensate the lower levels of Z4 in a $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $1/\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant background. Therefore, the role of Z4 in the structure of the telomere chromatin is key to guarantee telomere stability in *Drosophila*.

Discussion

JIL-1 is the first positive regulator of telomeric expression described in *Drosophila*

Much effort has been put forward to study the negative regulation of the telomeric retrotransposons [42] (for a review, see [6]) as these elements have been able to maintain their *personalities* or individual characteristics as transposable elements while fulfilling a cellular role [9,43–45].

HeT-A is a retrotransposon with the essential function of telomere elongation, and therefore a fine-tuned regulation capable of achieving both, telomere replication and avoiding putative harmful transpositions and consequently genomic instability



Figure 5. Model of the chromatin environment at the HeT-A promoter. (A) Wild type: Z4 defines a boundary at HeT-A promoter that protects from the action of HP1a and other heterochromatin markers. JIL-1 guarantees a certain level of euchromatin inside the HeT-A promoter in order to allow gene expression. (B) JIL-1 mutants: destabilization of the Z4 boundary and the heterochromatin spreads into the HeT-A promoter (enrichment in HP1 and H3K9me3). (C) Z4 mutants: Disappearance of the Z4 boundary, increase in euchromatin marks (H3K4me3) and decrease in heterochromatin marks (HP1a and H3K9me3). Subtle increase in JIL-1 and in euchromatinization of the HeT-A promoter. (D) In Su(var)2-5 mutants: The lack of HP1a allows relaxation of the Z4 boundary causing a JIL-1 and Z4 spread along the HTT array and a relative decrease of these proteins inside the HeT-A promoter. Although the levels of JIL-1 inside the HeT-A promoter are lower than in wild type, the release of silencing caused by loss of HP1a results in increased HeT-A expression. doi:10.1371/iournal.pgen.1003153.g005

should be in place to ensure a normal telomere structure. During development, all the tissues that undergo active cell division such as the brain or the imaginal discs need certain levels of telomere replication. Naturally, these are the tissues where the telomeric retrotransposons are more expressed [35]. Here, we demonstrate that the JIL-1 kinase is important to achieve wild type levels of HeT-A transcription in larval tissues, being the first positive regulator of telomere transcription described in *Drosophila*.

Although in Drosophila the role of JIL-1 in activating transcription has remained controversial [46,47], at least in the HTT array it could act as a positive regulator of transcription for three different reasons: 1) When telomere elongation is needed, a fast activation of HeT-A transcription should be expected. Accordingly, the mammalian 71L-1 orthologous MSK1/2 have been shown to rapidly induce gene expression on the face of stress or steroid response [48]. 2) HeT-A is embedded into the HTT array, a domain that needs to be protected from the influence of the repressive heterochromatin of the neighboring TAS domain [16]. JIL-1 has been suggested to protect the open chromatin state from the spreading of neighboring repressive chromatin at certain genomic positions [49,50]. 3) The decrease in expression that we have observed in the 7IL-1 mutants is moderate (Figure 1C). Recent data at genomic level revealed that JIL-1 function agrees with a reinforcement of the transcriptional capability of a particular genomic domain rather than net activation [51]. In summary, the telomeric role of JIL-1 at the HTT array is in agreement with all of the above.

Phalke and co-workers [52] suggest that JIL-1 has a role in retrotransposon silencing in general and has no effect on telomere transcription. A possible explanation for this discordance with our results and hypothesis is that the mutant allele of JIL-1 assayed by Phalke and co-workers, the $\mathcal{J}IL-1^{Su(var)3-1}$ allele, corresponds to a C-terminal deletion of the JIL-1 protein that causes the protein to miss-localize and phosphorylate ectopic sites [30,36]. The ectopic phosphorylation caused by the $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{Su(var)3-1}$ allele would activate the expression above wild type levels in those genes that normally are not targeted by JIL-1, as it happens to be the case for the Invader4 retrotransposon. In our study, we have assayed the JIL-1^{Su(var)3-1} allele obtaining similar result than for the wild type stock, likely for similar reasons (Figure 1A and 1B). Supporting this, in addition of the $\mathcal{J}IL$ - $I^{Su(var)^3-1}$, we present here data from two more $\mathcal{J}IL$ -I alleles (Figure 1), $\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z60} and $\mathcal{J}IL$ - I^{z2} , that correspond to loss of function alleles and, in both cases, result in a substantial decrease in HeT-A transcription (Figure 1A and 1B). Moreover, the changes in telomere transcription that we report here have been assayed directly on the major component of the HTT array, and not through a reporter [52]. Our data demonstrates that JIL-1 is necessary to maintain active transcription of the telomeric retrotransposon HeT-A or, what is the same, transcription from the telomeres in Drosophila.

Although we have demonstrated that JIL-1 is necessary to maintain transcription from the HTT array, we have not detected a decrease in telomere length in the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 mutant alleles. A reasonable explanation for this observation is that the $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 mutant alleles here analyzed ($\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z60} and $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1^{z2}) have been maintained as heterozygous. It is therefore possible that one copy of $\mathcal{J}IL$ -1 is enough to promote enough HeT-A transcription to elongate significantly the telomeres when needed.

Z4 is necessary to guarantee telomere stability in Drosophila

Although in the case of the hypomorph mutation $Z4^{7.1}$ we have observed an increase in *HeT-A* transcription and *HeT-A* copy number significantly above the control strain (w^{1118}) , the null alleles $Z4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} do not show an up-regulation of HeT-A transcription or an increase in its copy number (Figure 1A and 1B, Figures S1 and S2). Although we have crossed all the stocks to the w^{II18} strain to minimize the effects of the genetic background, it could still have a certain influence when comparing the pzg^{66} allele with the $Z4^{7.1}$. Nevertheless the $Z4^{7.1}$ and $Z4^{2.1}$ alleles come from the same genetic background [18]. A possible explanation could rely on the fact that the $\chi 4^{7.1}$ mutation is a hypomorph mutation where a small amount of Z4 protein is still present. By ChIP analyses we have detected an increase of JIL-1 protein at the HeT-A promoter above control levels, which could explain in part the major transcription of HeT-A in this mutant background (Figure 2B). Because Z4 and JIL-1 interact (Figure 3A), it is possible that although low, the amount of Z4 present in the $Z4^{7.1}$ allele is enough to recruit JIL-1 to the HeT-A promoter. In the pzg^{66} and the $Z4^{2.1}$ null alleles, JIL-1 cannot be recruited towards the HeT-A promoter and there is no increase in transcription. Nevertheless, with our current data we cannot conclude that Z4 directly controls the level of HeT-A transcription.

We have detected a phenotype of telomere instability in all three $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutant alleles $\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$, $\mathcal{Z}4^{2.1}$ and pzg^{66} (Figure 4), suggesting a role of this chromosomal protein in guaranteeing telomere stability in Drosophila. Although a number of genes involved in the capping function in Drosophila still remain unidentified [3], we do not have evidences that Z4 directly participates in the protection of the telomeres. Mutant alleles of genes directly involved in the capping function, such as woc or caravaggio (HOAP), show multiple and more numerous TFs in larval neuroblasts (Figure 4A, 4C and [39,40]) than the ones that we have observed in the Z4 mutant alleles. Moreover, we have been able to detect staining for one of the major capping components, the HOAP protein, in the TFs of Z4 mutant neuroblast cells (Figure 4D), indicating that the telomere-capping complex is still loaded to a certain degree. Instead of directly participating in the capping, our hypothesis is that the major chromatin changes caused by the lack of Z4 at the HTT array result in a secondary loss of necessary chromatin and capping components like HP1a (Figure 2C).

Results from the ChIP experiments (Figure 2) suggest a relationship between JIL-1, Z4 and HP1a in fine-tuning the chromatin structure at the HTT array. HP1a has a dual role at the telomeres explained by its participation in both the capping function and the repression of gene expression that also exerts in other genomic domains [33,53]. In the HP1a Su(var)2-5⁰⁵ allele, which it is known to have a major transcription of HeT-A and problems of telomere stability, we have observed a pronounced decrease in Z4 and JIL-1 (Figure 2B and 2D). In the $\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}$ allele the decrease in Z4 protein is accompanied by a similar decrease in H3K9me3 and HP1a at the HeT-A promoter (Figure 2A, 2C and 2D). Finally in the $\mathcal{J}IL-1^{z60}$ allele the increase in silencing epigenetic marks like H3K9me3 and HP1a is also accompanied by a decrease in Z4 (Figure 2). In particular, the pronounced dependence of the presence of HP1a and Z4, points toward the loss of HP1a and H3K9me3 to a possible cause for telomere instability in the Z4 mutant alleles here studied. Interestingly, in the $Su(var)2-5^{04}/Su(var)2-5^{05}$ heteroallelic combination (considered a null mutation) [33]), 15% of telomeres involved in telomere associations are still able to recruit the HOAP protein [40]. Therefore our data on HOAP localization in the Z4 mutant alleles is still consistent with the TFs being caused by the decreased availability of HP1a in these cells (Figure 2C). The above results demonstrate that Z4 in a coordinated manner together with JIL-1 and HP1a is an important component of the telomere chromatin in Drosophila, which upon its reduction causes significant changes in the chromatin of the HTT array, which are the cause of the

8

observed telomere instability in all the Z4 mutant alleles here studied (*discussed below*, Figure 5).

HeT-A Gag targets the Z4-JIL-1 complex to the HTT array

We have been able to detect a biochemical interaction between JIL-1 and Z4, and our data suggests that these two proteins can be components of the same protein complex (Figure 3A). This interaction had been previously suggested because both proteins have been found co-localizing in different genomic locations, but no direct proof existed to date [15,18,22,23,27,28]. In each genomic location where the Z4-JIL-1 complex is needed, a special mechanism of recruitment should exist. Importantly, we have shown how Z4 specifically interacts with HeT-A Gag (Figure 3B). HeT-A Gag is the only protein encoded by the HeT-A element and has been shown to specifically localize at the telomeres [37,38]. HeT-A Gag has been shown to be in charge of the targeting of the transposition intermediates for the HeT-A element and also for its telomeric partner the TART retrotransposon [37]. Interestingly, when we studied the consequences for telomere stability after knocking down the HeT-A gag gene by RNAi, we also observed similar TFs than when knocking down the Z4 gene, further relating the action of both genes in telomere stability. Z4 is known to participate in different protein complexes with roles in different genomic locations [19,21,27]. Because it has been demonstrated that Z4 is able to associate with a variety of proteins in these complexes, we think that the description of a mechanism for its specific targeting to telomeres through one of the telomeric retrotransposon proteins is especially relevant.

A model for the role of JIL-1 and Z4 in *Drosophila* telomeric chromatin

Integrating information from previous literature and the results exposed by this study, we propose a possible model to describe the state of the chromatin at the HTT array in each of these three mutant scenarios; JIL-1, Z4 and Su(var)2-5, as well as in *wild type* (Figure 5).

We should take into account that 1) HP1a has been shown to spread along the HeT-A sequence [16]. 2) The structure and the phenotypes of the different Z4 mutant alleles suggest a possible role of this protein in setting and maintaining the boundaries between heterochromatin and euchromatin in polytene chromosomes [18,28]. 3) JIL-1 has been extensively shown to be important to counteract heterochromatinization and, when missing, causes a spreading of heterochromatin markers such as H3K9me2, HP1a and Su(var)3-7 [49,50,54,55]. 4) JIL-1 has been found to co-localize with Z4 at the band-inter-band transition in polytene chromosomes and also to co-purify with Z4 in different protein complexes [18,27,28]. In addition to this, we have been able to detect a biochemical interaction between JIL-1 and Z4 (Figure 3A), as well as, a certain dependence on the presence of JIL-1 for the proper localization of Z4 (Figure 2D), suggesting a possible role of JIL-1 upstream of Z4. Finally, 5) The ChIP analyses in this study suggest a certain dependence of Z4 on HP1a or onto similar chromatin requirements for the loading of both proteins at the HTT array, more specifically at the HeT-A promoter (Figure 2A, 2C and 2D). Summarizing all of the above, we propose that the chromatin at the *HeT-A* promoter could have the following structure:

In a *wild type* situation (Figure 5A), the *HeT-A* promoter contains intermediate levels of HP1a, JIL-1 and Z4. HP1a would be spread along the HTT array, JIL-1 would be concentrated at the promoter region of *HeT-A* guaranteeing certain level of expression and Z4 would be important to set the boundary between these two opposite modulators.

In a *JIL-1* mutant, (Figure 5B), the lack of JIL-1 would disturb the Z4 boundary causing a slight decrease in the Z4 presence. This result is in agreement with a Z4-JIL-1 partial interaction (Figure 3A and [28]). The decrease in JIL-1 presence and the disturbance of the boundary causes a spreading of HP1a into the *HeT-A* promoter, increasing its presence and repressing transcription from the HTT array (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

In a Z^4 mutant (Figure 5C), the disappearance of the boundary together with the significant decrease in H3K9me3 causes a decrease in HP1a binding and a substantial modification of the chromatin at the HTT array (Figure 2). The lack of sufficient HP1a at the HTT array causes a destabilization of the chromatin at the *cap* domain triggering telomere instability as a result (Figure 4). This scenario applies to the three Z^4 mutant alleles present in this study, the hypomorph $Z^{4^{7.1}}$, and the nulls pzg^{66} and $Z^{4^{2.1}}$. On one hand the loss of some Z4 in $Z^{4^{7.1}}/Z^{4^{7.1}}$ genotype produces overexpression of HeT-A because in addition to a relaxation of the chromatin, part of JIL-1 is still recruited to the HeT-A promoter (Figure 2A and 2B) and activates transcription in a more effective way than in a wild type situation.

Finally, in a $Su(var)^{2-5}$ mutant background (Figure 5D), the lack of HP1a along the *HeT-A* sequence allows a relaxation of the boundary causing a spread of JIL-1 and Z4 from the *HeT-A* promoter towards the rest of the array and creating as a consequence, permissive chromatin environment releasing *HeT-A* silencing (Figure 1, Figure 2, and [33]).

Our model does not completely explain the complex relationships that regulate telomere chromatin, likely because other important components are yet to be described or associated with the ones presented here. For example, other chromatin regulatory components that have been associated with Drosophila telomeres are such as: the deacetylase Rpd3, with a regulatory role on chromatin structure [56], and the histone methyltransferase SetDB1 and the DNA methylase Dnmt2 [52,57] which by acting in the same epigenetic pathway repress transcription of HeT-A as well as of retroelements in general [52]. Future in depth studies on additional chromatin components will allow us to complete and detail even more the description of the chromatin at the HTT array, and allow a better understanding of the mechanism of retrotransposon telomere maintenance and the epigenetic regulation of eukaryote telomeres in general. In the meantime, here we describe a plausible scenario in the view of our transcription and ChIP data.

The results shown here demonstrate the role of JIL-1 as the first described positive regulator of telomere (i.e. HeT-A) expression in Drosophila. Because HeT-A is in charge of telomere maintenance in Drosophila, these results are key to understand how telomere elongation is achieved in retrotransposon telomeres. We also demonstrate that Z4 is necessary to guarantee telomere stability. The data presented here strongly suggest that JIL-1 and Z4 exert these functions by maintaining an appropriate telomere chromatin structure by a coordinated action together with other known telomere components such as HP1a. Moreover, we show that JIL-1 and Z4 interact biochemically. Last, and importantly for understanding how the specific role of the Z4-JIL-1 complex at the telomeres is defined and differentiated from its role in other genomic regions, we show that Z4 might interact with the HeT-A Gag protein, providing evidence for a targeting mechanism that specifically retrieves this complex to the telomeres.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks and crosses

Fly stocks were maintained and crosses performed at 25°C on standard *Drosophila* corn meal medium. w^{1118} strain was used as

control. $\mathcal{J}IL^{-1^{z60}}/TM6$, $\mathcal{J}IL^{-1^{z2}}/TM6$ and $\mathcal{J}IL^{-1^{Su(var)^{3-1}}}/TM3SbTb$ stocks were provided by Kristin M. Johansen. $\mathcal{Z}4^{7.1}/TM3Sb$ and $\mathcal{Z}4^{2.1}/TM3Sb$ came from Harald Eggert and Harald Saumweber. $pzg^{66}/TM6$ from [21] was a kind gift of Anja Nagel. The stocks $ligIV^{-/-}$ and HOAP-GFP were obtained from Yikang Rong. The $woc^{964}/TM6$ and $woc^{B111}/TM6$ alleles were provided by Maurizio Gatti. $Su(var)^{2-5^{05}}/CyO$ was obtained from Bloomington Stock Center.

Genomic DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from adult flies to quantify the number of HeT-A copies in each strain. Ten third instar larvae without salivary glands were homogenized in 200 µl solution A (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 0.1 M EDTA and 1% SDS) and incubated at 70°C for 30 min. 28 µl 8 M KAc were added and the samples incubated for 30 min on ice. Cell debris were harvested at maximum speed for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and the DNA precipitated by adding 0.5 volumes isopropanol and centrifuging at 15.000 rpm for 5 min. Pelleted DNA was washed with 1 volume 70% ethanol and centrifuged. Finally, the DNA pellet was air-dried, and resuspended in 50 μ l 1 × TE by rotating o/n at 4°C. After genomic DNA extraction, the number of copies was determined by quantitative Real-Time PCR using 2 ng of DNA per reaction. Primers used for real time HeT-A_F (CCCCGCCAGAAG-GACGGA) and HeT-A_R (TGTTGCAAGTGGCGCGCA) for the 3'UTR region, HeT-A Real Time Gag F (ACAGATGC-CAAGGCTTCAGG) and HeT-A Real Gag Time R (GCCAG-CGCATTTCATGC) for the Gag gene, Actin_F (GCGCCC-TTACTCTTTCACCA) and Actin_R (ATGTCACGGACGATT-TCACG).

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from ten whole third instar larvae and extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol. RNase Free DNase Set (Qiagen) was used to remove genomic DNA contaminations as follows: one on column during the extraction accordingly to manufacturer's protocol, and two in solution for 2 hours at 37°C. RNA was cleaned by precipitation and its quality was assessed using NanoDrop spectrophotometry.

One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) with oligo(dT) primers, and the expression of the different transcripts analyzed by quantitative Real-Time PCR. For each fly strain, two independent RNA extractions were prepared and analyzed three independent times. Primers used for real time PCR: HeT-A_F (CCCCGCCAGAAGGACGGA) and HeT-A_R (TGTTGCAA-GTGGCGCGCA) for the 3'UTR, HeT-A Real Time Gag F (ACAGATGCCAAGGCTTCAGG) and HeT-A Real Gag Time R (GCCAGCGCATTTCATGC) for the *Gag* gene. Actin_F (GCGCCCTTACTCTTTCACCA) and Actin_R (ATGTCACG-GACGATTTCACG).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments (ChIPs)

Brains and imaginal discs from third instar larvae were dissected in $1 \times PBS$ with protease inhibitors. After dissection, the brains were resuspended in 5 ml buffer A (60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 15 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM DTT, complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche) with 1.5% formaldehyde, homogenized in a Wheaton Dounce and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Crosslinking was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M and incubating 5 min at 4°C on a rotating wheel. Brains were washed 3 times with Buffer A and resuspended in lysis buffer (140 mM NaCl, 15 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche). Cross-linked nuclei were fragmented using bioruptor sonicator (high amplitude, 15 sec ON and 45 sec OFF). For the following steps a standard protocol (Upstate) was used. Thirty brain/discs complexes were used per IP. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated with the following antibodies: anti-H3K9me3 (ab8898, Abcam), anti-H3K4me3 (ab8580, Abcam), anti-HP1 (The anti-HP1 (C1A9) antibody developed by Lori L. Wallrath was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank developed under the auspices of the NICHD and maintained by The University of Iowa, department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242), anti-JIL-1 (gift from Kristen M. Johansen), and anti-Z4 (gift from Harald Saumweber). Three independent ChIP samples were analyzed and the amount of immunoprecipitated DNA was calculated by quantitative real-time PCR using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad). Primers used for real time PCR: HeT-A5'UTR_F (TCGCGCTTCCTCCGGCAT) and HeT-A 5'U TR_R (GCGGTTATTACATTACGGCGG), RpL32-F (CAA-GAAGTTCCTGGTGCACAA) and RpL32-R (AAACGCGG-TTCTGCATGAG).

Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed to determine *HeT-A* copy number and *HeT-A* expression, and in ChIP experiments. The iQ5 Multicolor Real-Time PCR Detection System was used and the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) was used to prepare the reactions. Relative levels of *HeT-A* expression were determined using the threshold cycle and normalized to actin levels (or RpL32 for ChIPs). Three independent experiments of two samples each strain were performed.

Chromosome cytology and immunostaining

Third instar larvae brains were dissected in 0.7% NaCl solution, incubated in 10 μ M colchicine (Roche) for 2 hours and submitted to a hypotonic shock (0.5% sodium citrate) for 10 min. Brains were fixed in 60% acetic acid and squashed. For anaphase preparation, brains were dissected as before, the hypotonic shock was omitted, and the brains were successively immersed in 45% and 60% acetic acid. DNA was stained with DAPI in mowiol medium. Mitotic chromosome preparations were analyzed on a Zeiss Imager Z2 fluorescence microscope using the AxioVision software.

Direct visualization of GFP-fusion proteins on mitotic chromosomes

Third instar larvae brains were dissected in $1 \times PBS$ with protease inhibitors and incubated in 0.5 mg/ml colcemid (Roche) for 2 hours. A hypotonic shock was applied by incubating brains in 0.5% sodium citrate for 10 min. Proteins were fixated by incubating with Brower's Fixation Buffer (0.15 M PIPES, 3 mM MgSO4, 1.5 mM EGTA, 1.5% NP-40, and 2% formaldehyde, pH 6.9) for 3 min. Brains were washed in $1 \times PBS$ -Triton (0.1%) for 3 min and allowed to soak in 50% glycerol for 5 min. Brains were squashed in a drop of glycerol, immersed in liquid nitrogen, and mounted in DAPI-mowiol medium. Mitotic chromosome preparations were analyzed on a Zeiss Axio Imager.Z2 fluorescence microscope using the AxioVision software.

RNAi knockdown in S2 cells

Fragments of the *Z*4, *HeT-A* gag, *hoap*, and *Sart1* (non-LTR retrotransposon from *Bombyx mori*) coding sequences were amplified

by PCR and cloned in pSTBlue-1 vector to produce dsRNA. Single stranded RNAs were synthesized by using SP6 and T7 RNA polymerases (Promega), both strains were incubated for 5 min at 90°C and annealed by slowly cooling to room temperature to obtain the dsRNA. dsRNA was then precipitated and treated with DNase (Qiagen) and RNase A (Roche) for 15 min at 37°C. A phenol:chloroform extraction was performed followed by precipitation and quantification of dsRNA with NanoDrop spectrophotometer ND1000. 50 µg of dsRNA were diluted in 1 ml of supplemented Schneider medium, added drop-wise to a total of 1.5×10^7 cells, and incubated at 25°C. The same protocol was repeated at 24 h and 48 h after seeding the cells. An aliquot of cells was collected at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after seeding. For description of cytology experiments, see next section S2 cells metaphase chromosome preparation. Gene fragments of about 550 bp were amplified using the primers: HeTGag-RNAi-F (CTAGCGGCAAACAACATCG) and HeTGag-RNAi-R (GGGATTGCAGATTCTTGGC) to amplify HeT-A sequence with accession number: X68130 from nucleotide (nt) 2701 to nt 3255, Z4-RNAi-F (TAATTATCCAG-CAGGGACAG) and Z4-RNAi-R (CAATCAGATCTGGTCTT-TGTCTCCGTAAAC) to amplify the Z4 gene acc num: CG7752 from nt 3046 to nt 3383, HOAP-RNAi-F (GCCGAGACTAA-GAAGCAGAAC) and HOAP-RNAi-R (CCTGATCGTCA-GGCTCTTG) amplify the caravaggio gene acc.num: CG-6219 from nt 1689 to nt 2166, and SART1-RNAi-F (CAACGG-CAGCAGAATCAATG) and SART1-RNAi-R (CGTAATTT-CTCCGCCAGCAA) amplify the SART1 retrotransposon acc.number: D85594 from nt 1943 to nt 2432. All amplified regions were checked for off-site targets.

S2 cells metaphase chromosome preparation

500 µl collected cells were treated with 500 µl colcemid (10 µg/ml; Roche) during 2–3 h in the dark. Cells were centrifuged 3 min at 1500 rpm and the pellet was washed with PBS. Cells were centrifuged again and the pellet resuspended with 500 µl 0,5% sodium citrate. After 10 min r.t. incubation, cells were centrifuged, resuspended in 1 mL fixation solution (methanol:acetic, 3:1) and incubated for 10 min. Cells were centrifuged again, re-suspended in 50 µl Fixation Solution, and cells were dropped onto a microscope slide. Slides were air dried and mounted in DAPI-containing Mowiol medium. Images were obtained using the Zeiss Axio Imager.Z2 fluorescence microscope.

Cell transfection

Drosophila S2 cells were seeded at 3×10^6 cells/ml and transfected with one microgram of plasmid DNA using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen), accordingly to manufacturers protocol. Cells were incubated for 48 hours at 25°C and collected by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 5 min, washed twice in 1 × PBS and frozen at -80° C. HeT-A Gag-GFP plasmid was used in cell transfection [37].

References

- 1. (2006) Telomeres. De Lange, Titia, Blackburn, Elisabeth H, Lundblad V, editors. Cold Spring Harbor.
- Raffa GD, Raimondo D, Sorino C, Cugusi S, Cenci G, et al (2010) Verrocchio, a Drosophila OB fold-containing protein, is a component of the terminin telomere-capping complex. Genes Dev 24: 1596–1601.
- Raffa GD, Ciapponi L, Cenci G, Gatti M (2011) Terminin: a protein complex that mediates epigenetic maintenance of Drosophila telomeres. Nucleus 2: 383– 391.
- Rong YS (2008) Telomere capping in Drosophila: dealing with chromosome ends that most resemble DNA breaks. Chromosoma 117: 235–242.

Protein co-immunoprecipitation assays

Protein extracts from S2 cells were prepared in 1 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, and Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche), incubated on ice for 20 min, and centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Fresh lysates were incubated with 50 µl PureProteome Protein A and Protein G Magnetic Beads (Millipore) coated with specific antibodies, for 4 hours at 4°C with rotation. The magnetic beads were previously incubated with the respective antibodies in 500 µl lysis buffer for one hour at 4°C with rotation and washed 3 times with 500 µl lysis buffer. Immunocomplexes were washed 3 times with lysis buffer and eluted from the beads with 50 μ l 1× sample buffer. Samples were boiled for 10 min, loaded on a SDS-PAGE gel and analyzed by Western Blot. Anti-GFP (Invitrogen, A11120), anti-Z4 [58], anti-JIL-1 (mouse, gift from Kristen Johansen), and control mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-2025) were used for protein immunoprecipitation, and anti-HeT-A Gag, anti-Z4 and anti-JIL-1 [59] were used in Western Blot experiments.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 *HeT-A* copy number of *JIL-1* and *Z*⁴ mutants. The genomic content of the *HeT-A* retrotransposon of each stock was measured in *HeT-A Gag* (A) and *HeT-A* 3'UTR (B) regions. $Z4^{7.1}$ and *Su(var)*2-5⁰⁵ mutant alleles have more *HeT-A* copies than control flies. Error bars represent standard deviations of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences using the t-test (one asterisk, *P*<0.05 to 0.01; two asterisks, *P*<0.01 to 0.001; three asterisks, *P*<0.001) in *HeT-A* copy number of each mutant compared to w^{1118} . (TIF)

Figure S2 *HeT-A* expression in $\mathcal{J}IL-1$ and $\mathcal{Z}4$ mutants. Absolute expression of *HeT-A gag* (A) and *HeT-A* 3'UTR (B) in the analyzed stocks. *HeT-A* transcription was normalized to actin transcription. Error bars represent standard deviations of three independent experiments. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Anja Nagel, Kristen M. Johansen, Harald Saumweber, Maurizio Gatti, Harald Eggert, and Yikang Rong for antibodies and fly strains. We also thank the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and the Bloomington Stock Center. We thank Mary-Lou Pardue, Joan Roig Amorós, Maria Lluïsa Espinàs, Xavier Franch, and members of the Casacuberta laboratory for critical reading of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RS-S EC. Performed the experiments: RS-S EL-P DP. Analyzed the data: RS-S EL-P EC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RS-S EL-P DP EC. Wrote the paper: RS-S EC.

- Pardue ML, DeBaryshe PG (2003) Retrotransposons provide an evolutionarily robust non-telomerase mechanism to maintain telomeres. Annu Rev Genet 37: 485–511.
- Rute Silva Sousa, ELisenda López-Panadès and Elena Casacuberta (2012) Drosophila telomeres: An example of co-evolution with transposable elements. In: Repetitive DNA. Karger AG.
- Gladych M, Wojtyla A, Rubis B (2011) Human telomerase expression regulation. Biochem Cell Biol 89: 359–376.
- Schoeftner S, Blasco MA (2009) A 'higher order' of telomere regulation: telomere heterochromatin and telomeric RNAs. EMBO J 28: 2323–2336.

- 9. Casacuberta E, Pardue ML (2005) HeT-A and TART, two Drosophila retrotransposons with a bona fide role in chromosome structure for more than 60 million years. Cytogenet Genome Res 110: 152-159.
- 10. Villasante Á, Abad JP, Planelló R, Méndez-Lago M, Celniker SE, de Pablos B (2007) Drosophila telomeric retrotransposons derived from an ancestral element that was recruited to replace telomerase. Genome Res 17: 1909-1918.
- 11. Casacuberta E, Pardue ML (2002) Coevolution of the telomeric retrotransposons across Drosophila species. Genetics 161: 1113-1124.
- 12. Casacuberta E, Pardue ML (2003) Transposon telomeres are widely distributed in the Drosophila genus: TART elements in the virilis group. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 3363-3368.
- 13. Casacuberta E, Pardue ML (2003) HeT-A elements in Drosophila virilis: retrotransposon telomeres are conserved across the Drosophila genus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 14091-14096.
- 14. Abad JP, De Pablos B, Osoegawa K, De Jong PJ, Martín-Gallardo A, et al (2004) TAHRE, a novel telomeric retrotransposon from Drosophila melanogaster, reveals the origin of Drosophila telomeres. Mol Biol Evol 21: 1620-1624.
- 15. Andreyeva EN, Belyaeva ES, Semeshin VF, Pokholkova GV, Zhimulev IF (2005) Three distinct chromatin domains in telomere ends of polytene chromosomes in Drosophila melanogaster Tel mutants. J Cell Sci 118: 5465-5477.
- 16. Frydrychova RC, Mason JM, Archer TK (2008) HP1 is distributed within distinct chromatin domains at Drosophila telomeres. Genetics 180: 121-131.
- 17. Siriaco GM, Cenci G, Haoudi A, Champion LE, Zhou C, et al (2002) Telomere elongation (Tel), a new mutation in Drosophila melanogaster that produces long telomeres. Genetics 160: 235-245.
- 18. Eggert H, Gortchakov A, Saumweber H (2004) Identification of the Drosophila interband-specific protein Z4 as a DNA-binding zinc-finger protein determining chromosomal structure. J Cell Sci 117: 4253-4264.
- 19. Kugler SJ, Nagel AC (2007) putzig is required for cell proliferation and regulates
- notch activity in Drosophila. Mol Biol Cell 18: 3733–3740. 20. Kugler SJ, Nagel AC (2010) A novel Pzg-NURF complex regulates Notch target gene activity. Mol Biol Cell 21: 3443-3448.
- Kugler SJ, Gehring EM, Wallkamm V, Krüger V, Nagel AC (2011) The Putzig-21. NURF nucleosome remodeling complex is required for ecdysone receptor signaling and innate immunity in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 188: 127-139.
- 22. Wang Y, Zhang W, Jin Y, Johansen J, Johansen KM (2001) The JIL-1 tandem kinase mediates histone H3 phosphorylation and is required for maintenance of chromatin structure in Drosophila. Cell 105: 433-443
- 23. Deng H, Zhang W, Bao X, Martin JN, Girton J, et al (2005) The JIL-1 kinase regulates the structure of Drosophila polytene chromosomes. Chromosoma 114: 173-182.
- 24. Deng H, Bao X, Cai W, Blacketer MJ, Belmont AS, et al (2008) Ectopic histone H3S10 phosphorylation causes chromatin structure remodeling in Drosophila. Development 135: 699-705.
- 25. Zhang W, Jin Y, Ji Y, Girton J, Johansen J, et al (2003) Genetic and phenotypic analysis of alleles of the Drosophila chromosomal JIL-1 kinase reveal functional requirement at multiple developmental stages. Genetics 165: 1341-1354
- 26. Jin Y, Wang Y, Johansen J, Johansen KM (2000) JIL-1, a chromosomal kinase implicated in regulation of chromatin structure, associates with the male specific lethal (MSL) dosage compensation complex. J Cell Biol 149: 1005-1010.
- 27. Rath U, Ding Y, Deng H, Qi H, Bao X, et al (2006) The chromodomain protein, Chromator, interacts with JIL-1 kinase and regulates the structure of Drosophila polytene chromosomes. J Cell Sci 119: 2332-2341.
- 28. Gan M, Moebus S, Eggert H, Saumweber H (2011) The Chriz-Z4 complex recruits JIL-1 to polytene chromosomes, a requirement for interband-specific phosphorylation of H3S10. J Biosci 36: 425-438.
- 29. Bao X, Zhang W, Krencik R, Deng H, Wang Y, et al (2005) The JIL-1 kinase interacts with lamin Dm0 and regulates nuclear lamina morphology of Drosophila nurse cells. J Cell Sci 118: 5079-5087.
- 30. Bao X, Cai W, Deng H, Zhang W, Krencik R, et al (2008) The COOH-terminal domain of the JIL-1 histone H3S10 kinase interacts with histone H3 and is
- required for correct targeting to chromatin. J Biol Chem 283: 32741–32750.
 George JA, DeBaryshe PG, Traverse KL, Celniker SE, Pardue ML (2006) Genomic organization of the Drosophila telomere retrotransposable elements. Genome Res 16: 1231-1240
- 32. Savitsky M, Kravchuk O, Melnikova L, Georgiev P (2002) Heterochromatin protein 1 is involved in control of telomere elongation in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell Biol 22: 3204-3218.
- 33. Perrini B, Piacentini L, Fanti L, Altieri F, Chichiarelli S, et al (2004) HP1 controls telomere capping, telomere elongation, and telomere silencing by two different mechanisms in Drosophila. Mol Cell 15: 467-476.
- 34. Piñeyro D, López-Panadès E, Lucena-Pérez M, Casacuberta E (2011) Transcriptional analysis of the HeT-A retrotransposon in mutant and wild type

stocks reveals high sequence variability at Drosophila telomeres and other unusual features. BMC Genomics 12: 573.

- 35. George JA, Pardue ML (2003) The promoter of the heterochromatic Drosophila telomeric retrotransposon, HeT-A, is active when moved into euchromatic locations. Genetics 163: 625-635.
- 36. Ebert A, Schotta G, Lein S, Kubicek S, Krauss V, et al (2004) Su(var) genes regulate the balance between euchromatin and heterochromatin in Drosophila. Genes Dev 18: 2973-2983.
- Rashkova S, Karam SE, Kellum R, Pardue ML (2002) Gag proteins of the two Drosophila telomeric retrotransposons are targeted to chromosome ends. J Cell Biol 159: 397-402
- 38. Casacuberta E, Marín FA, Pardue ML (2007) Intracellular targeting of telomeric retrotransposon Gag proteins of distantly related Drosophila species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 8391-8396.
- 39. Raffa GD, Cenci G, Siriaco G, Goldberg ML, Gatti M (2005) The putative Drosophila transcription factor woc is required to prevent telomeric fusions. Mol Cell 20: 821-831.
- Cenci G, Siriaco G, Raffa GD, Kellum R, Gatti M (2003) The Drosophila 40. HOAP protein is required for telomere capping. Nat Cell Biol 5: 82-84.
- 41. Gorski MM, Eeken JC, de Jong AW, Klink I, Loos M, et al (2003) The Drosophila melanogaster DNA Ligase IV gene plays a crucial role in the repair of radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks and acts synergistically with Rad54, Genetics 165: 1929-1941.
- 42. Capkova Frydrychova R, Biessmann H, Mason JM (2008) Regulation of telomere length in Drosophila. Cytogenet Genome Res 122: 356–364. Shpiz S, Olovnikov I, Sergeeva A, Lavrov S, Abramov Y, et al (2011)
- 43. Mechanism of the piRNA-mediated silencing of Drosophila telomeric retrotransposons. Nucleic Acids Res 39: 8703-8711.
- 44. Shpiz S, Kwon D, Rozovsky Y, Kalmykova A (2009) rasiRNA pathway controls antisense expression of Drosophila telomeric retrotransposons in the nucleus. Nucleic Acids Res 37: 268-278.
- 45. Savitsky M, Kwon D, Georgiev P, Kalmykova A, Gvozdev V (2006) Telomere elongation is under the control of the RNAi-based mechanism in the Drosophila ermline. Genes Dev 20: 345-354.
- 46. Ivaldi MS, Karam CS, Corces VG (2007) Phosphorylation of histone H3 at Ser10 facilitates RNA polymerase II release from promoter-proximal pausing in Drosophila. Genes Dev 21: 2818-2831.
- 47. Cai Ŵ, Bao X, Deng H, Jin Y, Girton J, et al (2008) RNA polymerase IImediated transcription at active loci does not require histone H3S10 hosphorylation in Drosophila. Development 135: 2917.
- Vermeulen L, Vanden Berghe W, Beck IM, De Bosscher K, Haegeman G 48. (2009) The versatile role of MSKs in transcriptional regulation. Trends Biochem Sci 34: 311-318.
- Wang C, Cai W, Li Y, Deng H, Bao X, et al (2011) The epigenetic H3S10 phosphorylation mark is required for counteracting heterochromatic spreading and gene silencing in Drosophila melanogaster. J Cell Sci 124: 4309-4317.
- Wang C, Girton J, Johansen J, Johansen KM (2011) A Balance Between Euchromatic (JIL-1) and Heterochromatic [SU (VAR) 2-5 and SU (VAR) 3-9] Factors Regulates Position-Effect Variegation in Drosophila. Genetics 188: 745.
- Regnard C, Straub T, Mitterweger A, Dahlsveen IK, Fabian V, Becker PB (2011) Global analysis of the relationship between JIL-1 kinase and transcription. PLoS Genet 7: e1001327. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001327
- 52. Phalke S, Nickel O, Walluscheck D, Hortig F, Onorati MC, et al (2009) Retrotransposon silencing and telomere integrity in somatic cells of Drosophila depends on the cytosine-5 methyltransferase DNMT2. Nat Genet 41: 696-702.
- 53. Danzer JR, Wallrath LL (2004) Mechanisms of HP1-mediated gene silencing in Drosophila. Development 131: 3571-3580.
- Zhang W, Deng H, Bao X, Lerach S, Girton J, et al (2006) The JIL-1 histone 54. H3S10 kinase regulates dimethyl H3K9 modifications and heterochromatic spreading in Drosophila. Development 133: 229-235.
- 55. Deng H, Cai W, Wang C, Lerach S, Delattre M, et al (2010) JIL-1 and Su(var)3-7 interact genetically and counteract each other's effect on position-effect variegation in Drosophila. Genetics 185: 1183-1192.
- 56. Burgio G, Cipressa F, Ingrassia AM, Cenci G, Corona DF (2011) The histone deacetylase Rpd3 regulates the heterochromatin structure of Drosophila telomeres. J Cell Sci 124: 2041-2048.
- 57. Gou D, Rubalcava M, Sauer S, Mora-Bermúdez F, Erdjument-Bromage H, et al (2010) SETDB1 is involved in postembryonic DNA methylation and gene silencing in Drosophila. PLoS ONE 5: e10581. doi:10.1371/journal.oone.0010581
- 58. Frasch M, Saumweber H (1989) Two proteins from Drosophila nuclei are bound to chromatin and are detected in a series of puffs on polytene chromosomes. Chromosoma 97: 272-281
- 59. Jin Y, Wang Y, Walker DL, Dong H, Conley C, et al (1999) JIL-1: a novel chromosomal tandem kinase implicated in transcriptional regulation in Drosophila. Mol Cell 4: 129-135.