# Peer

# Biological control potential of entomopathogenic fungal strains against peach Fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae)

Ghulam Murtaza<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Naeem<sup>2</sup>, Saba Manzoor<sup>3</sup>, Hammad Ahmad Khan<sup>4</sup>, Emad M. Eed<sup>5</sup>, Waqar Majeed<sup>4</sup>, Hussain Ahmed Makki<sup>6</sup>, Uzma Ramzan<sup>7</sup> and Umm E. Ummara<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China
- <sup>2</sup> College of Life Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, China
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Pakistan
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Forestry, Range and Wildlife Management, The Islamia University Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan
- <sup>7</sup> Institute of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

## ABSTRACT

The peachfruit fly, Bactrocera zonata (Saunders) is a polyphagous pest in nature, belonging to order, *Diptera* and their respective family is *Tephritidae*. It mostly feeds on different crops, vegetables and fruits. Different traditional chemical insecticides have been used to control this notorious pest. Excessive consumption of pesticides has become a major threat to the fresh fruits trade since many importing countries refused to accept the shipments due to public health and environmental concerns. There is a growing trend to control these pests using the most effective biological control methods and other preventive measures have been adopted for reducing their attacks. Fungal agents have been used as biological agents to manage the attack of different insects pest through biological means. The present study was conducted to assess the virulence of three entomopathogenic fungi, Metarhizium anisopliae, Beauveria bassiana and Verticillium lecanii, against Bactrocera zonata stages under different laboratory conditions. The results showed that B. bassiana and M. anisopliae were more effective in pathogenicity and potentially kill at all stages of B. zonata as compared to V. lecanii. The highest mortality rate for the third larval instar and the pupal stage were recorded after exposure to the  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidia/ml concentrations, *B. bassiana*, with 68.67% and 89.67%, respectively. Adult B. zonata flies were the most susceptible to all entomopathogenic fungi. However, M. anisopliae was more virulent against *B. zonata* adult flies than *B. bassiana* and *V. lecanii* at  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidial concentration. Therefore, the entomopathogenic fungi B. bassiana and M. anisopliae can be used as an cost effective bio-insecticide in the integrated pest management programs to control B. zonata. This study will be helpful to overcome this pest through biological control means.

Submitted 16 March 2022 Accepted 1 April 2022 Published 22 April 2022

Corresponding authors Waqar Majeed, waqar.majeed@uaf.edu.pk Uzma Ramzan, uzma.phd.zool@pu.edu.pk

Academic editor Naureen Rana

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 11

DOI 10.7717/peerj.13316

Copyright 2022 Murtaza et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Agricultural Science, Entomology, Zoology Keywords *B. zonata*, Entomopathogenic fungi, Bioinsecticides, Mortality, Integrated pest management

### INTRODUCTION

The peach fruit fly *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae) usually attacks vegetables and fruits and is a significant polyphagous pest (*El-Minshawy et al., 2018*). Different plants have been affected through the attack of pests flies. They primarily attack fleshy fruits like mangos, apricots, guava, citrus and peaches (*Hossain et al., 2017*). *Bactrocera zonata* and *Tephritidae dacinae* are found in ASEAN countries and belong to a large genus of tephritid flies native to Asia, and their species are also found in different countries such as Srilanka, Thailand, Bangladesh, India, Egypt and Mauritius (*El-Minshawy et al., 2018*). Their high abundance occurs in the temperate seasons that increase the chances of an attack on different varieties of crops and vegetables (*Mujica & Kroschel, 2011*).

*B. zonata* has been considered a global economic pest. It is responsible for losses in Pakistan up to 89.50% and 10 to 20% in the Himalayan region (*Hossain et al., 2017*; *Murtaza et al., 2021a*). In different countries, *B. zonata* has been documented to cause 10–80% fruit losses in different crops, fruits and vegetables such as *Mangifera indica* L, peach, citrus and cereal crops under different ecological seasons (*Ahmad & Begum, 2017*). In India, its pest status is similar to that of *B. cucurbitae and B. dorsalis* which also affected *Mangifera indica* L., *Psidium guajava* L. and *Averrhoa carambola* L. (*Hossain et al., 2017*). It is the most dominating, destructive, and prolific fruit fly species and can cause a huge losses in crop production (*Murtaza et al., 2021a*). According to the previously published research, in Pakistan, *B. zonata* is the most destructive, dominant, and abundant species of fruit fly infesting a huge spectrum of vegetables and fruits (*Ahmad & Begum, 2017*; *Murtaza et al., 2021a*). The control of this pest species will help to manage the damage of crops, fruits and vegetables ultimately benefiting in economics (*Enkerlin, 2005*).

*B. zonata* lay whitish, oblique, elongated eggs that are slightly rounded at the end and are 1.0 to 1.2 mm long. Eggs hatch in approximately 2–3 days and typically produce maggots. *B. zonata* has three larval instars called maggots: spirally head, three thoracic segments, and eight abdominal segments. Pupae have 11 segments and are barrel in shape and yellowish to brown-yellowish in color (*Kwami, 2012*). The female fruit flies pierce the skin of fruits by their ovipositor and lay eggs under the skin on soft and tender tissues. Eggs hatched inside the fruits and maggots start feeding and move toward the soft part of the fruits and watery fluid oozing out from the puncture side of the fruit (*Murtaza et al., 2021b*). Mostly, the use of pesticides is prevented in the control of this pest because pesticides residues are a big barrier to the fresh fruit trade since many ASEAN and well-developed countries like UK, Canada and other importing countries refuse to accept the shipments due to public health and environmental concerns. The bioaccumulation of the biopesticides in the living tissues can cause serious cellualr toxicties. Chemical pesticides have a harmful impact on the natural environment and negatively impact human health. The huge spread of agricultural pests poses a hazard to the food sector and human health.

is a growing trend to control these pests using biological agents (*Melo & Swarowsky*, 2022). Different chemical-based fungicides and insecticides are used in the form of sprays in the soil applications for the controlling the attack of insects. These are chlorothalonil for controlling the broad spectrum infectious fungus and carbendazim for reducing the seasonal attcks of variety of insects (*Bhat et al.*, 2019; *Saritha & Prasad Tollamadugu*, 2019).

For insect pests, the fungal agents are the most favorable application for biological control. In the soil, they are naturally present and easily come into contact with full-grown *B. zonata* larvae and pupae. *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) and *Metarhizium anisopliae* are the most imporatant entomopathogens of dipteran insects (*Castillo et al., 2000; Destéfano et al., 2005; Ortu, Coceo & Dau, 2009; Boudjelida & Soltani, 2011*). Entomopathogenic fungi infest the host insects by ingestion, respiration, and *via* the epidermis. Fungi produce mycelium to pierce the epicuticle and proceed into epithelial tissue to accomplish infection in the epidermis, which are among the most prevalent infestation mechanisms (*Shah & Pell, 2003; Scholte et al., 2004*). Anamorphic fungi such as *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* propagate primarily through blastospores rather than hyphal growth (*Chandler, 2017*). These blastospores infiltrate vital organs by dispersing across the insect body *via* hemolymph within the body cavity, which clogs the circulatory system causing the insect's death. After the host's death, the fungus enters a facultative feasting stage, when it begins hyphal growth outside the epicuticle and produces many spores (*Altinok, Altinok & Koca, 2019*).

A soil-borne entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) prefers 20 to 30 °C temperature in agriculture soil for multiplication, morphogenesis, and infections. However, temperature endurance in different strains can vary according to the geographical region. Numerous elements, like copper content and fungicides, might have a detrimental impact on controlling the EPF prevalence in the soil (*Uzman et al., 2019; Litwin, Nowak & Rózalska, 2020*). Copper is the essential element accumutated in vineyard soils, controlling the growth of the soil borne entomopathogenic fungi which also plays a significant role in controlling microbial infections. Bordeaux and cadmium succinate are major fungicides and their mixture comprised of copper treats soil pathogens and mostly used for controling the growth of fungus in orchard trees (*Uzman et al., 2019*). In agriculture soil, the EFP genus is dominated by *Metarhizium* spp., rather than *B. bassiana* (Balsamo) (*Tkaczuk et al., 2014*).

Furthermore, the incidence that leads to occurrence of the specific disease due to microbial or other species over a specific period of time and, EFP did not affect the presence of organic matter for *M. anisopliae* and clay content for *B. bassiana* (*Quesada-Moraga, Ruiz-García & Santiago-Álvarez, 2006*). Soil-based integrated pest management for fruit flies includes EPF. EPF also helps control flies in agricultural systems. As a result, EPF is an important component of a fruit fly soil-based integrated pest management strategy. Therefore, EFP has become an important strategy for controlling insects or other pests in terms of the soil-based integrated pest management (*Sookar, 2013*). Compared to other soil microorganisms such as bacteria and protozoa that causes the degradation of organic matter through enzymatic process, these entomopathogenic fungi affect their host by interaction and enter into the body by the epicuticle (*Lacey & Shapiro-Ilan, 2008*; *Tahira, Saeed & Khan, 2014*).

Entomopathogenic fungal strains are the common cause of increasing infections in crops, fruits and vegetables thus affecting the large variety of crops worldwide (*Sicuia et al., 2014*). There is need to control the growth of pathogenic fungi. In this view, the objective of this study is to find the biological control potential of some entomopathogenic fungal strains against the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata*. As a first and crucial step in developing more effective mycoinsecticides, we screened indigenous Pakistani strains of *M. anisopliae*, *B. bassiana*, and *V. lecanii* for improved activity against *B. zonata* in the laboratory. This comprehensive fungal screening may make more effective control of *B. zonata* in Pakistan.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Rearing of culture**

*B. zonata* was obtained from the Rearing Laboratory of Institute of Plant Protection, Muhammad Nawaz Shareef University of Agriculture, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. The adults were fed with a mixture of sugar, protein hydrolysate (3:1) and water (*El-Sayed*, *1979*). The eggs produced on a daily basis were collected and raised on their natural hosts, like guava, banana, *etc.* Larvae were dipped in the water after completing the third larval instar and were then collected in fine sand for pupation. The pupa stage was sieved and kept in a screen cage (30 cm  $\times$  30 cm  $\times$  30 cm) a day before the adult emerged, allowing them to forage, mate, and lay eggs.

### Preparation procedure for conidiospores

Entomopathogenic fungi inoculants were obtained from the Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. Potato dextrose broth was used to cultivate the entomopathogenic fungi. A total of 25 grams of PDB was put into the distilled water and mixed with a magnetic stirrer until all constituents of media were properly dissolved in water. Then PDB media was allowed to pass through the autoclave for 15 min at 121 °C at 15 PSI. In sterilized petri dishes, the autoclaved material was placed then cooled in cleaned laminar flow. At 26 °C temperature (with 75% humidity) PBD carrying Petri plates were incubated for 12 h.

To collect fungal conidium, we lightly stuck the superficial layer of two weeks old colonies by using a sterilized needle. A magnetic shaker was used to immerse it in distilled water and shake it for 10 min. The mixture was then filtered to remove the unwanted elements. The number of fungal spores was counted using a hemocytometer under the microscope. The stock solution was made in sterilized water with the desired concentration of fungal spore  $(1 \times 10^8 \text{ conidia/ml})$ . *Gabarty et al.* (2014) outlined a series of dilutions that resulted in concentrations of  $1 \times 10^6$ ,  $1 \times 10^7$ ,  $1 \times 10^8$ ,  $1 \times 10^9$ , and  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidia/ml suspensions. These were kept at 4 °C on ice until used in bioassays to inhibit conidial germination.

### Larval bioassay

Third instar peach fruit fly larvae (*B. zonata*) were evaluated in 7 cm diameter sterilized plastic jars with 75 ml fine sand sieved through a 2 mm sieve. For 60 min at 200 °C the sand and glass jars were sterilized. Conidial concentrations of *M. anisopliae*  $(1 \times 10^6, 1 \times 10^7,$ 

 $1 \times 10^8$ ,  $1 \times 10^9$  and  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidia/ml) were employed and dispersed into the sand using a tiny sprayer and mixing the sand. One-third of the populations of instar were exposed to bio-fungicides. In each jar, they are placed at the surface of treated sand, allowing them to dig into it naturally. Rubber bands and muslin strips were used to close the jars. For each concentration, five replications were performed, and the control was also replicated five times. *B. bassiana* and *V. lecanii* were treated in the same way. The preparations were kept in a laboratory setting at 75% relative humidity and  $25 \pm 2$  °C temperature. After every ten days, the number of emerging adults were counted.

Larvae, pupae, and adult flies were collected and incubated at 25 °C for two days to confirm the fungal infestation. The fungus infestation was confirmed by harvesting killed individuals (larvae, pupa and adult) and was placed into a sterilized Petri-dish with wet cotton and cultured at 25  $\pm$  2 °C temperature until fungal sporulation on the cadaver occurred.

### Pupal bioassay

Then three-day-old *B. zonata* pupae were laid on the treated sand with different conidial concentrations  $(1 \times 10^6, 1 \times 10^7, 1 \times 10^8, 1 \times 10^9 \text{ and } 1 \times 10^{10} \text{ conidia/ml})$  after one minute of exposure in conidial suspension. Afterward, the pupae were buried in the rest of the sand (*Ekesi, Maniania & Lux, 2002*). Each concentration was repeated five times, with a control treatment of five duplicates without conidia. All entomopathogenic fungi were treated in the same way. In each jar, the numbers of newly-emerged flies were recorded. The infection was confirmed by collecting the deceased individuals (pupae) due to a fungus attack. Then the collected individuals were placed into a sterile petri plate with wet cotton and cultured at  $25 \pm 2$  °C until the cadavers produced sporulation.

### Bioassay test for newly emerged fruit flies

In sterilized jars measuring 23 cm height and 9 cm diameter, the *B. zonata* freshly emerging flies (one day old) were exposed to the entomopathogenic fungus V. lecanii, B. bassiana and M. anisopliae. Fifty newly emerging *B. zonata* adults (one day old) were put into each treated plastic jar with different conidial concentrations  $(1 \times 10^6, 1 \times 10^7, 1 \times 10^8, 1 \times 10^9$  and  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidia/ml) by aspirator, which allowed them to move freely on the surface of jars. The fruit flies were fed an artificial diet while in the jars. We supplied the water, sugar and enzymatic yeast hydrolysate in a (3:1) ratio and covered the jars with muslin for ventilation. Each concentration was repeated five times and a control treatment did not include conidia. V. lecanii and B. bassiana were also treated in the same way. The treatments were kept at a constant temperature of  $25 \pm 2$  °C with a relative humidity of 75%. The infection was confirmed by collecting the killed flies, and then the collected individuals were placed into a sterile petri plate with wet cotton. They incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C temperature until on cadavers population appeared.

### Statistical analysis

Data was analysed by applying the ANOVA with HSD and the Abbott formula for accessing the insecticidal efficical in the form of percentage. The Abbott formula was used to adjust the mortality rate. In entomological field data, the Abbott formula is applied to estimate

Concentrations (Conidia /ml)	Percent mortality $\pm$ S.E.			
	M. anisopliae	B. bassiana	V. lecanii	
$1 \times 10^{6}$	$38.43^{\text{e}}\pm0.02$	$48.41^{\text{d}}\pm0.08$	$41.43^{\text{e}}\pm0.02$	
$1 \times 10^{7}$	$43.50^{\text{d}}\pm0.02$	$54.57^{\text{c}}\pm0.03$	$47.75^{\text{d}}\pm0.03$	
$1 \times 10^{8}$	$49.71^{\text{c}}\pm0.04$	$59.51^{\rm b}\pm0.02$	$59.56^{\text{c}}\pm0.81$	
$1 \times 10^{9}$	$57.67^b\pm0.03$	$61.21^{\rm b}\pm0.03$	$58.76^{\rm b}\pm0.02$	
$1 \times 10^{10}$	$65.33^{\text{a}}\pm0.02$	$68.67^{a}\pm0.02$	$61.31^{a}\pm0.02$	
Control	$0.50^{\rm f}\pm0.31$	$0.40^{\rm e}\pm0.25$	$0.80^{\rm f}\pm0.31$	
F	218.00**	136.00**	119.00**	
Р	0.000001			
df		5, 20		

 Table 1
 Mean percentage of the mortality of B. zonata (Saunders) larvae treated with different concentrations of entomopathogenic fungi (Metarhizium anisopliae (Met.), Beauveria bassiana (Bals.) and Verticillium lecanii).

the mortality rate in different insecticide trials and differentiate the effects of pesticide treatment from those produced by natural variables. The Abbott formula was used to calculate the insecticide efficacy (E) as the following formula:

Insecticide efficacy E(%) =  $\frac{T-t}{T} \times 100.$  (1)

In this formula, E is the insecticide efficacy, T is the mean number of alive larvae on control treatment. On the other hand, t is the mean number of alive larvae on each insecticide treatment. To estimate mortality of insects, Probit analysis was performed (*Abbott, 1925*). Probit analysis is used to examine data from bioassay tests, such as various concentrations of insecticide used to kill proportions of insects. Probit analysis results were often reported as a concentration or time required to kill a specific percentage of test insects (for example,  $LC_{50}$ ) (*Finney, 1971*). The difference in death rates among treatments was determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Tukey's method was applied to assess mean significant differences among treatments (P < 0.05) using statistical software. SPSS software was used to assess the data.

## **RESULTS**

# Stability of *B. zonata* larvae to different concentrations of entomopathogenic fungi

The findings revealed that mortality increased considerably with increased conidial spores/ml. At the  $1 \times 10^6$  and  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidia/ml concentrations, the *B. bassiana* (entomopathogenic fungi) resulted in the greatest percentages of larval mortality, ranging from 48.43 to 68.67%, respectively. Similarly, at the same concentrations as *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* came in second place (38.41–65.33%). On the other hand, *V. lecanii* produced the lowest percentage of larval mortality, ranging from 41.43% to 61.31%, respectively (Table 1).

Table 2Mean percentage B. zonata (Saunders) three-day-old pupae treated with different concentra-tions of entomopathogenic fungi (Metarhizium anisopliae (Met.), Beauveria bassiana (Bals.) and Verti-cillium lecanii).

Concentrations (Conidia /ml)	Percent mortality $\pm$ S.E.			
	M. anisopliae	B. bassiana	V. lecanii	
$1 \times 10^{6}$	$51.43^{\text{d}}\pm0.01$	$54.51^{\text{e}}\pm0.01$	$45.34^{\text{d}}\pm0.01$	
$1 \times 10^7$	$53.67^{\text{d}}\pm0.01$	$63.33^{\text{d}}\pm0.01$	$49.88^{\text{d}}\pm0.03$	
$1 \times 10^8$	$59.33^{c}\pm0.08$	$70.56^{\text{c}}\pm0.02$	$55.67^{\text{c}}\pm0.02$	
$1 \times 10^{9}$	$75.53^{\text{b}}\pm0.01$	$74.67^b\pm0.03$	$59.34^{\text{b}}\pm0.51$	
$1 \times 10^{10}$	$85.76^{a}\pm0.02$	$89.67^a\pm0.02$	$68.67^a\pm0.01$	
Control	$1.03^{e} \pm 0.14$	$1.60^{\rm f}\pm 0.76$	$0.98^{\text{e}} \pm 0.13$	
F	68.34**	237.31**	118.53**	
Р		0.000001		
Df		5, 20		

# Susceptibility of three-day-old pupae of B. zonata to different concentrations of entomopathogenic fungi

After exposure to *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii*, pupae displayed a range of mortality percent values 89.67%, 85.76%, and 68.67%, respectively. Percentages of mortality were induced by *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* at the  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidial concentration were significant relative to *V. lecanii* (Table 2).

### Susceptibility of B. zonata adult flies

All entomopathogenic fungi were most susceptible to adult *B. zonata* flies. *M. anisopliae* was more virulent than *B. bassiana* and *V. lecanii* at  $1 \times 10^{10}$  conidial concentration (Table 3). The results showed that the lower LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values for *B. zonata* larvae were recorded by exposed *B. bassiana* which less than values for larvae treated with *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii*, with  $4.31 \times 10^5$  and  $1.57 \times 10^{10}$ ,  $1.41 \times 10^7$  and  $1.95 \times 10^{11}$ ,  $1.23 \times 10^7$  and  $1.81 \times 10^{11}$  conidia/ml, respectively. Interestingly, *B. bassiana* LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> were higher toxicity in the treated pupal stage as compared to *V. lecanii* and *M. anisopliae* with values of  $1.56 \times 10^5$  and  $1.32 \times 10^9$ ,  $3.45 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.66 \times 10^{15}$ ,  $2.01 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.63 \times 10^{11}$  conidia/ml, respectively. The results indicated that *B. zonata* flies respond favorably to both *V. lecanii* and *B. bassiana* as compared to *M. anisopliae* with LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of  $1.34 \times 10^6$  and  $5.21 \times 10^9$  and  $1.023 \times 10^6$  and  $3.13 \times 10^9$  conidia/ml and *M. anisopliae*  $2.11 \times 10^6$  and  $5.21 \times 10^9$ , respectively (Table 4). Our result showed that *B. bassiana* have higher toxicity against pupal stage depending on LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub>.

The statistical analysis was used to compare the efficacy of the tested fungi against larval instar at the medium  $1 \times 10^8$  and maximum  $1 \times 10^{10}$  concentrations. The obtained results demonstrated that *B. bassiana* had a higher ability to kill *B. zonata* larvae than *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii* (Fig. 1). The differences in mortality between EPF at the same dose were statistically examined to identify which of the tested fungus could kill pupal stage specimens the most effectively. The obtained findings showed that *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* were more virulent to kill three-day old pupae at medium  $1 \times 10^8$  and maximum concentration  $1 \times 10^{10}$  than *V. lecanii* (Fig. 2). The variations in mortality between EPF at

 

 Table 3
 Mean percentage mortality of B. zonata (Saunders) adult treated with different concentrations of entomopathogenic fungi (Metarhizium anisopliae (Met.), Beauveria bassiana (Bals.) and Verticillium lecanii).

Percent mortality $\pm$ S.E.			
M. anisopliae	B. bassiana	V. lecanii	
$42.32^{d}\pm0.04$	$45.76^e\pm0.01$	$\mathbf{39.67^d} \pm 0.02$	
$48.56^{\text{d}}\pm0.02$	$54.56^{\text{d}}\pm0.03$	$47.54^{\text{d}}\pm0.08$	
$61.57^{c}\pm0.91$	$59.34^{c}\pm0.08$	$55.67^{c}\pm0.02$	
$69.43^{b} \pm 0.03$	$72.75^b\pm0.01$	$62.43^b\pm0.41$	
$86.89^{a}\pm0.08$	$84.34^a\pm0.12$	$74.89^{a}\pm0.01$	
$0.00^{\text{e}} \pm 0.00$	$0.00^{\rm f}\pm0.00$	$0.76^{\text{e}}\pm0.03$	
128.51**	248.34**	78.20**	
	0.000001		
	5, 20		
	Perc           M. anisopliae $42.32^d \pm 0.04$ $48.56^d \pm 0.02$ $61.57^c \pm 0.91$ $69.43^b \pm 0.03$ $86.89^a \pm 0.08$ $0.00^c \pm 0.00$ $128.51^{**}$	Percent mortality $\pm$ S.E.           M. anisopliae         B. bassiana $42.32^d \pm 0.04$ $45.76^e \pm 0.01$ $48.56^d \pm 0.02$ $54.56^d \pm 0.03$ $61.57^c \pm 0.91$ $59.34^c \pm 0.08$ $69.43^b \pm 0.03$ $72.75^b \pm 0.01$ $86.89^a \pm 0.08$ $84.34^a \pm 0.12$ $0.00^e \pm 0.00$ $0.00^f \pm 0.00$ $128.51^{**}$ $248.34^{**}$ $0.000001$ $5, 20$	

Table 4Toxicity of Metarhizium anisopliae (Met.), Verticillium lecanii and Beauveria bassiana (Bals.) on various life stages of B. zonata(Bals.).

	Stage	LC <sub>50</sub> conidia/ml	LC <sub>90</sub> Conidia/ml	Slope ± SE	χ <sup>2</sup>	Р
M. anisopliae	Third Instar larvae	$1.41 \times 10^{7}$	$1.95 \times 10^{11}$	$0.206\pm0.565$	0.032	0.7893
	Three Day Old Pupae	$2.01 \times 10^{5}$	$1.63 \times 10^{11}$	$0.029\pm0.057$	0.176	0.5967
	Adult flies	$2.11 \times 10^{6}$	$5.21 \times 10^{9}$	$0.521\pm0.056$	3.562	0.369
B. bassiana	Third Instar larvae	$4.31 \times 10^{5}$	$1.57 \times 10^{10}$	$0.273\pm0.063$	0.923	0.8531
	Three Day Old Pupae	$1.56 \times 10^{5}$	$1.32 \times 10^{9}$	$0.354\pm0.071$	1.235	0.9472
	Adult flies	$1.023 \times 10^{6}$	$3.13 \times 10^{9}$	$0.576\pm0.032$	0.564	0.764
V. lecanii	Third Instar larvae	$1.23 \times 10^{7}$	$1.81 \times 10^{11}$	$0.315\pm0.853$	0.041	0.8974
	Three Day Old Pupae	$3.45 \times 10^{5}$	$1.66 \times 10^{15}$	$0.107\pm0.074$	0.546	0.9829
	Adult flies	$1.34 \times 10^{6}$	$5.21 \times 10^{9}$	$0.749\pm0.067$	3.473	0.153
	Adult flies	$1.34 \times 10^{6}$	$5.21 \times 10^{9}$	$0.749\pm0.067$	3.473	0.153

the medium  $1 \times 10^8$  and maximum  $1 \times 10^{10}$  concentrations were statistically evaluated to determine which of the tested fungus have more potential to kill the adult stage. The obtained results demonstrated that *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* were more virulent than *V. lecanii* kill adult flies (Fig. 3).

## DISCUSSION

Pesticides like malathion, whether used aerially or on the ground, can severely influence the ecosystem, including the killing of non-target species. It is highly toxic for insects and commonly used for controlling the growth of insects. It binds to the nerve endings of the insects and caused the neurological damage by blocking the acetyl cholinesterase (*Peck & McQuate, 2000; Leach & Mumford, 2008*). Use of more environmentally friendly bait sprays, such as spinosad, may be an alternative for preventing or reducing these negative consequences (*Burns et al., 2001; Chueca et al., 2007; Jesus et al., 2009*). Protected areas, urban and suburban regions, lake basins and ecological reserves are excluded from area-wide pest control programs that employ these ecologically friendly substances (*Vargas*)



M.anisopoliae B. bassiana V. lecanii







*et al.*, 2008). Microbial control (MC) using entomopathogenic fungus is an ecologically favorable, human innocuous, and long-term pest control strategy (*Zimmermann*, 2007). Entomopathogenic fungi are a viable pest management strategy in organic and conventional farming systems (*Lomer et al.*, 2001; *Meyling & Eilenberg*, 2007; *Flores et al.*, 2013).

According to the application technique, the pathogen's viability and transmission capabilities define the pathogen's range of spread in the host (*Vega et al., 2000; Toledo et al., 2007; Quesada-Moraga et al., 2008*). Research on viability and pathogenicity of entomopathogenic fungi such as *M. anisopliae, B. bassiana* and *V. lecanii* and how to apply them in the field has supported the development of MC technology for fruit flies.





Our results agreed with the previous studies that *B. bassiana* had a higher ability to kill *B. zonata* larvae than *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii* (*Hussein et al., 2018*; *Soliman et al., 2020*). The cuticle is the main channel of fungal penetration in insects, and pupal susceptibility decreases as the pupal age increases (*Ekesi, Maniania & Lux, 2002*; *Hussein et al., 2018*). Different physical and enzymatic methods are required to control the EPF for adopting the agricultural strategy in such conditions (*Tahira, Saeed & Khan, 2014*). The findings showed that *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* were more virulent to kill three-day-old pupae at medium  $1 \times 10^8$  and maximum concentration  $1 \times 10^{10}$  (*Hussein et al., 2018*; *Soliman et al., 2020*) as compared to *V. lecanii*.

Many scientists agree with these findings and report that adult fruit flies were more sensitive to various EPF. Temperature and humidity are very important environmental factors not only affecting the efficacy but also the survival of an entomopathogen (*Zimmermann, 2007*). Our results demonstrate that *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* were more virulent than *V. lecanii* kill adult flies (*Daniel & Wyss, 2008; Daniel, 2009; Hussein et al., 2018; Soliman et al., 2020*). In comparison to the control treatment, significant mortality was observed in all entomopathogenic fungi. The findings of this study agree with various researchers (*Oliveira et al., 2010; Ghulam Ali et al., 2018; Hussein et al., 2018*), who found that increasing *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* conidia concentrations increased the mortality of larvae and pupae of the fruit fly.

Entomopathogenic fungi generate a variety of poisons and enzymatic activities such as chitinases and proteases when interacting with the initial hosts. Toxicity values of the used entomopathogenic fungus based on  $LC^{50}$  and  $LC^{90}$  indicated the virulence of *B. bassiana* to kill all *B. zonat* more than *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii.* (*Castillo et al., 2000*) reported that *Verticillium lecanii* showed low mortalities (>10%) against *C. capitata, M. anisopliae, B. bassiana* also showed high virulence 92 and 80% mortality rates against *B. oleae*, respectively. Laboratories tests demonstrated that fungal species (bio-pesticides) have

a higher capacity to prevent pest loss (*Daniel & Wyss, 2008; Ladurner et al., 2008; Daniel, 2009*). Furthermore, alternative strains might be formulated for mass manufacturing to be employed as wide-spectrum mycopesticides.

# **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, these findings marked a significant step in the development of ecologically friendly natural biocontrol entomopathogenic fungi to control the invasive fruit fly pest. In addition, current findings demonstrated that *B. bassiana* was the most lethal and virulent strain to the targeted larvae and adult flies compared to *M. anisopliae* and *V. lecanii*. While *V. lecanii* were more toxic at the adult stage than *M. anisopliae*, both fungi could potentially contribute to mitigating the burden of this pest and minimizing any likelihood of host resistance to a high-virulence strain. Based on evidence, we may infer that entomopathogenic fungi are harmless and pose few hazards. Concerning the commercialization and registration of future isolates, the question is what further rules and testing are required to provide the user and customer with a safe biocontrol product. This study will be helpful to overcome this invasive pest through the biological method, and in the future, we need to study and explore comprehensive methods to control quarantine pests.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

### Funding

This work is supported by the Taif University Researchers Supporting Project (TURSP-2020/157), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. The funders had a role in the study design, analysis, and decision to publish.

### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Taif University Researchers: TURSP-2020/157.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

### **Author Contributions**

- Ghulam Murtaza conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Muhammad Naeem, Saba Manzoor and Umm E. Ummara performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Hammad Ahmad Khan conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Emad M. Eed conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

- Waqar Majeed and Uzma Ramzan performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Hussain Ahmed Makki analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.

### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data is available in the Supplemental Files.

### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.13316#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

- Abbott WS. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18:265–267 DOI 10.1093/jee/18.2.265a.
- Ahmad U, Begum U. 2017. A weekly study on *Bactrocera zonata S.* and *Bactrocera dorsalis* H. (Diptera: Tephritidae) against methyl eugenol, raspberry essence and GF-120 in persimmon orchards from Kohat, Pakistan. *Indian Journal Of Agricultural Research* 51:176–179 DOI 10.18805/ijare.v0iOF.7638.
- Altinok HH, Altinok MA, Koca AS. 2019. Modes of action of entomopathogenic Fungi. *Current Trends in Natural Sciences* 8:117–124.
- Bhat RA, Beigh BA, Mir SA, Dar SA, Dervash MA, Rashid A, Lone R. 2019. Biopesticide techniques to remediate pesticides in polluted ecosystems. In: Wani K, Mamta, eds. *Handbook of research on the adverse effects of pesticide pollution in aquatic ecosystems*. IGI Global, 387–407 DOI 10.4018/978-1-5225-6111-8.ch021.
- Boudjelida H, Soltani N. 2011. Pathogenicity of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch) on *Ceratitis capitata L.* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of Biological Research* 2:104–110.
- **Burns RE, Harris DL, Moreno DS, Eger JE. 2001.** Efficacy of spinosad bait sprays to control Mediterranean and Caribbean fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in commercial citrus in Florida. *The Florida Entomologist* **84**:672–678 DOI 10.2307/3496400.
- **Castillo M-A, Moya P, Hernández E, Primo-Yufera E. 2000.** Susceptibility of *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae) to entomopathogenic fungi and their extracts. *Biological Control* **19**:274–282 DOI 10.1006/bcon.2000.0867.
- Chandler D. 2017. Basic and applied research on entomopathogenic fungi. In: *Microbial control of insect and mite pests*. Elsevier, 69–89 DOI 10.1016/B978-0-12-803527-6.00005-6.
- **Chueca P, Montón H, Luís Ripollés J, Castañera P, Moltó E, Urbaneja A. 2007.** Spinosad bait treatments as alternative to malathion to control the Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the Mediterranean Basin. *Journal of Pesticide Science* **32**:407–411 DOI 10.1584/jpestics.G07-26.

- **Daniel C. 2009.** Entomopathogenic fungi as a new strategy to control the European cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi Loew* (Diptera: Tephritidae). 27–44.
- **Daniel C, Wyss E. 2008.** Field applications of entomopathogenic fungi against *Rhagoletis cerasi.* In: *Ecofruit-13th international conference on cultivation technique and phy- topathological problems in organic fruit-growing: proceedings to the conference from 18th February to 20th 2008 at Weinsberg/Germany.* 87–92.
- Destéfano RHR, Bechara IJ, Messias CL, Piedrabuena AE. 2005. Effectiveness of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against immature stages of *Anastrepha fraterculus* fruitfly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 36:94–99 DOI 10.1590/S1517-83822005000100018.
- **Ekesi S, Maniania NK, Lux SA. 2002.** Mortality in three African tephritid fruit fly puparia and adults caused by the entomopathogenic fungi, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **12**:7–17 DOI 10.1080/09583150120093077.
- **El-Minshawy AM, Abdelgaleil SAM, Gadelhak GG, AL-Eryan MA, Rabab RA. 2018.** Effects of monoterpenes on mortality, growth, fecundity, and ovarian development of *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **25**:15671–15679 DOI 10.1007/s11356-018-1780-1.
- El-Sayed AIH. 1979. Biotic potential of ceratitis capitata wied as influenced by the type of diet. Theses from faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Egypt. Zagazig University Digital Repository 87. *Available at http://www.publications.zu.edu.eg/ Pages/PubShow.aspx?ID=7730&pubID=19*.
- **Enkerlin WR. 2005.** Impact of fruit fly control programmes using the sterile insect technique. In: Dyck VA, Hendrichs J, Robinson A, eds. *Sterile insect technique*. Dordrecht: Springer, 651–676 DOI 10.1007/1-4020-4051-2\_25.
- **Finney DJ. 1971.** Statistical logic in the monitoring of reactions to therapeutic drugs. *Methods of Information in Medicine* **10(04)**:237–245.
- Flores S, Campos S, Villaseñor A, Valle Á, Enkerlin W, Toledo J, Liedo P, Montoya
  P. 2013. Sterile males of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) as disseminators of *Beauveria bassiana conidia* for IPM strategies. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 23:1186–1198 DOI 10.1080/09583157.2013.822473.
- Gabarty A, Salem HM, Fouda MA, Abas AA, Ibrahim AA. 2014. Pathogencity induced by the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* in Agrotisipsilon (Hufn.). *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences* 7:95–100 DOI 10.1016/j.jrras.2013.12.004.
- Ghulam Ali B, Wang B, Cao N, Lin Hua F. 2018. Pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* strain 202 against sap-sucking insect pests. *Plant Protection Science* 54:111–117 DOI 10.17221/45/2017-PPS.
- Hossain MA, Momen M, Uddin MS, Khan SA, Howlader AJ. 2017. Abundance of peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) in mango orchard. *Bangladesh Journal of Entomology* 27:25–34.
- Hussein M, Khaled A, Ibrahim A, Soliman N, Attia S. 2018. Evaluation of Entomopathogenic Fungi, *Beauveriabassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* on Peach

Fruit Fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera:Tephritidae). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, F. *Toxicology & Pest Control* **10**:59–68 DOI 10.21608/eajbsf.2018.17020.

- Jesus MR, Susan M, Terry M, Santos M. 2009. Animal and plant health inspection service United States And Mexico Lower Rio Grande Valley Mexican fruit fly eradication program review. 109.
- **Kwami BC. 2012.** Field evaluation of the fruit fly food bait, Success appat (GF-120), and waste brewers yeast (WBY) against fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in mango orchards.
- Lacey LA, Shapiro-Ilan DI. 2008. Microbial control of insect pests in temperate orchard systems: potential for incorporation into IPM. *Annual Review of Entomology* 53:121–144 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093419.
- Ladurner E, Benuzzi M, Fiorentini F, Franceschini S. 2008. Beauveria bassiana strain ATCC 74040 (Naturalis®), a valuable tool for the control of the cherry fruit fly (*Rhagoletis cerasi*). In: 13th international conference on cultivation technique and phytopathological problems in organic fruit-growing: proceedings to the conference from 18th February to 20th 2008 at Weinsberg/Germany. 74040: 93–97.
- Leach AW, Mumford JD. 2008. Pesticide Environmental Accounting: A method for assessing the external costs of individual pesticide applications. *Environmental Pollution* 151:139–147 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2007.02.019.
- Litwin A, Nowak M, Rózalska S. 2020. Entomopathogenic fungi: unconventional applications. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology* 19:23–42 DOI 10.1007/s11157-020-09525-1.
- Lomer CJ, Bateman RP, Johnson DL, Langewald J, Thomas M. 2001. Biological control of locusts and grasshoppers. *Annual Review of Entomology* **46**:667–702 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.667.
- Melo AA, Swarowsky A. 2022. Application technology of biopesticides. In: Rakshit A, Singh Meena V, Abhilash PC, Sarma BK, Singh HB, Fraceto L, Parihar M, Kumar Singh A, eds. Advances in bio-inoculant science, biopesticides. Woodhead Publishing, 31–36 DOI 10.1016/b978-0-12-823355-9.00012-2.
- Meyling NV, Eilenberg J. 2007. Ecology of the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae in temperate agroecosystems: potential for conservation biological control. *Biological Control* **43**:145–155 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.07.007.
- Mujica N, Kroschel J. 2011. Leafminer fly (Diptera: Agromyzidae) occurrence, distribution, and parasitoid associations in field and vegetable crops along the peruvian coast. *Environmental Entomology* **40**:217–230 DOI 10.1603/EN10170.
- Murtaza G, Ramzan M, Bilal H, Ejaz A, Khan MAA, Riaz T, Waqas M. 2021a. Monitoring of fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) population by installing traps in mango orchard Bahawalnagar, Pakistan. *Journal of Applied Research in Plant Sciences* 2:148–151 DOI 10.38211/joarps.2021.2.2.19.
- Murtaza G, Ramzan M, Ullah A, Ali A, Zafar A, Beanish R, Ali A, Mustafa G, Aslam M. 2021b. Oviposition preference of bactrocera zonata (saunders) on different fruits

under laboratory conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research* **34**:689–692 DOI 10.17582/journal.pjar/2021/34.4.689.692.

- Oliveira FQ, Batista JDL, Malaquias JB, Almeida DM, De Oliveira R. 2010. Determination of the median lethal concentration (LC50) of mycoinsecticides for the control of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Revista Colombiana de Entomología* 36:213–216.
- **Ortu S, Coceo A, Dau R. 2009.** Evaluation of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* strain ATCC 74040 for the management of *Ceratitis capitata*. *Bulletin of Insectology* **62**:245–252.
- **Peck SL, McQuate GT. 2000.** Field tests of environmentally friendly malathion replacements to suppress wild mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **93**:280–289 DOI 10.1603/0022-0493-93.2.280.
- **Quesada-Moraga E, Martin-Carballo I, Garrido-Jurado I, Santiago-Álvarez C. 2008.** Horizontal transmission of *Metarhizium anisopliae* among laboratory populations of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biological Control* **47**:115–124 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.07.002.
- Quesada-Moraga E, Ruiz-García A, Santiago-Álvarez C. 2006. Laboratory evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi *beauveria bassiana* and *metarhizium anisopliae* against puparia and adults of *ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: tephritidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **99**:1955–1966 DOI 10.1093/jee/99.6.1955.
- Saritha M, Prasad Tollamadugu NVKV. 2019. The status of research and application of biofertilizers and biopesticides: global scenario. In: Buddolla V, ed. *Recent developments in applied microbiology and biochemistry*. Academic Press, 195–207 DOI 10.1016/B978-0-12-816328-3.00015-5.
- Scholte EJ, Knols BGJ, Samson RA, Takken W. 2004. Entomopathogenic fungi for mosquito control: a review. *Journal of Insect Science* 4:19 DOI 10.1093/jis/4.1.19.
- Shah PA, Pell JK. 2003. Entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 61:413–423 DOI 10.1007/s00253-003-1240-8.
- Sicuia O-A, Dinu S, Dinu M, Fatu C, Valimareanu D, Minxea C, Constaninescu F.
  2014. Pests and diseases management using compatible biocontrol bacteria and entomopathogenic fungal strains. *Scientific Bulletin Series F. Biotechnologies* 18:66–72.
- Soliman NA, Al-amin SM, Mesbah AE, Ibrahim AMA, Mahmoud AMA. 2020. Pathogenicity of three entomopathogenic fungi against the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 30:49 DOI 10.1186/s41938-020-00235-y.
- **Sookar P. 2013.** Study of two potential entomopathogenic fungi, *metarhizium anisopliae* and *beauveria bassiana* for the biocontrol of fruit flies (diptera: tephritidae) of economic importance in Mauritius. University of Mauritius, Moka 288.
- Tahira HG, Saeed S, Khan FZA. 2014. Entomopathogenic fungi as effective insect pest management tactic: a review. *Applied Science and Business Economics* 1:10–18.

- Tkaczuk C, Król A, Majchrowska-Safaryan A, Nicewicz Ł. 2014. The occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi in soils from fields cultivated in a conventional and organic system. *Journal of Ecological Engineering* 15:137–144 DOI 10.12911/22998993.1125468.
- Toledo J, Campos SE, Flores S, Liedo P, Barrera JF, Villaseñor A, Montoya P. 2007. Horizontal transmission of *Beauveria bassiana* in *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) under laboratory and field cage conditions. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100:291–297 DOI 10.1603/0022-0493(2007)100[291:HTOBBI]2.0.CO;2.
- Uzman D, Pliester J, Leyer I, Entling MH, Reineke A. 2019. Drivers of entomopathogenic fungi presence in organic and conventional vineyard soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 133:89–97 DOI 10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.09.004.
- Vargas RI, Mau RFL, Jang EB, Faust RM, Wong L. 2008. The Hawaii fruit fly areawide pest management programme. In: Koul O, Cuperus G, Elliott N, eds. *Areawide pest management: theory and implementation*. CABI, 300–325 DOI 10.1079/9781845933722.0300.
- **Vega FE, Dowd PF, Lacey LA, Pell JK, Jackson DM, Klein MG. 2000.** Dissemination of beneficial microbial agents by insects. In: *Field manual of techniques in invertebrate pathology*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 153–177 DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-1547-8\_6.
- Zimmermann G. 2007. Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 17:879–920 DOI 10.1080/09583150701593963.