OPEN ACCESS

Cardiovascular Diseases in Natural Disasters; a Systematic Review

Javad Babaie[1,2,3], Yousef Pashaei asl[1,4], Bahman Naghipour[5], Gholamreza Faridaalaee[6,7,8]*

1. Department of Health Policy & Management, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

2. Tabriz Health Services Management Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

3. Iranian Center of Excellence in Health Management, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

4. Department of Health Services Management, School of Health Management and information Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

5. Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

6. Emergency Medicine Research Team, Faculty of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

7. Department of Emergency Medicine, Maragheh University of Medical Sciences, Maragheh, Iran.

8. Disaster Research Team, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Abstract: **Introduction:** As a result of destruction and lack of access to vital infrastructures and mental stress, disasters intensify cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and hence management of CVDs becomes more challenging. The aim of this study is investigating incidence and prevalence of CVDs, morbidity and mortality of CVDs, treatment and management of CVDs at the time of natural disasters. **Methods:** In the present systematic review, the articles published in English language until 28. 11. 2020, which studied CVDs in natural disasters were included. The inclusion criteria were CVDs such as myocardial infarction (MI), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), hypertension (HTN), pulmonary edema, and heart failure (HF) in natural disasters such as earthquake, flood, storm, hurricane, cyclone, typhoon, and tornado. **Results:** The search led to accessing 4426 non-duplicate records. Finally, the data of 104 articles were included in quality appraisal. We managed to find 4, 21 and 79 full text articles, which considered cardiovascular diseases at the time of flood, storm, and earthquake, respectively. **Conclusion:** Prevalence of CVD increases after disasters. Lack of access to medication or lack of medication adjustment, losing home blood pressure monitor as a result of destruction and physical and mental stress after disasters are of the most significant challenges of controlling and managing CVDs. By means of quick establishment of health clinics, quick access to appropriate diagnosis and treatment, providing and access to medication, self-management, and self-care incentives along with appropriate medication and non-medication measures to control stress, we can better manage and control cardiovascular diseases, particularly hypertension.

Keywords: Natural disasters; Earthquakes; Floods; Cardiovascular Diseases; Hypertension; Acute Coronary Syndrome

1. Introduction

Over recent years, the number of disasters and their costs has been increasing and is 6 times higher compared with the first half of the last century (1-3). For instance, about 324 disasters with 141 million casualties occurred only in 2014 (4). In addition to destroying homes, roads, drinking water system, electricity and gas system, and causing other economic damages, disasters lead to an increase in the incidence of communicable diseases, non-communicable diseases (NCDs), and trauma (5). NCDs were the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in the world over the last century and their incidence and prevalence have an increasing trend. It is expected that incidence and prevalence of NCDs increase at the time

***Corresponding Author:** Gholamreza Faridaalaee; Department of Emergency Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Daneshgah Street, Tabriz, Iran. Tel: +98-4133829540, Email: faridaalaee@tbzmed.ac.ir, grf.aalae@yahoo.com. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9990-4936.

of disasters and the people present in the disaster area be more vulnerable to NCDs (6, 7).

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) is the main category of NCDs whose incidence and prevalence have an increasing trend due to changing life style and aging population (8-10). NCDs leads to 40 million deaths in the world each year and like other NCDs, incidence and prevalence of CVDs increases after disasters (9). As a result of destruction and lack of access to vital infrastructures such as homes, health centers, medication and also causing physical and mental stress, disasters intensify CVDs and hence management of cardiovascular diseases faces a fundamental challenge (10-13). The aim of this systematic review study is investigation of incidence and prevalence of CVDs, morbidity and mortality of CVDs, and treatment and management of CVDs, at the time of natural disasters.

2. Methods

This is a systematic review based on PRISMA protocol. In this study, PICO is defined as: P, which stands for problem or population, is individuals with cardiovascular diseases, (I) is natural disasters, (C) comparing normal situation, and the (O) outcome is prevalence, treatment, and management of CVDs.

2.1. Eligibility Criteria

In the present study, the articles published in English language until 28. 11. 2020, which studied CVDs in natural disasters were included. The inclusion criterion was study of CVDs such as myocardial infarction (MI), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), hypertension (HTN), arrhythmia such as atrial fibrillation (AF), ventricular tachycardia (VT), ventricular fibrillation (VF), and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (PSVT), pulmonary edema, and heart failure (HF) in natural disasters such as earthquake, flood, storm, hurricane, cyclone, typhoon, and tornado. The articles published in the form of abstract as a poster, conference proceeding, commentary, editorial, and case report were excluded. In this study, volcano and climate changes were not included. Similarly, man-made disasters were excluded and not reviewed.

2.2. Search Strategy

In order to achieve the purpose of the present study, search items and their related key terms were selected by means of using MeSH and EMtree databases, consulting with expert specialists, searching the titles and abstracts of the related articles under supervision of a specialist and researcher in emergency medicine and a health management in disasters Ph.D. An extensive search in electronic databases including Medline, Web of Science, Embase, and Scopus until 28. 11. 2020 was done. Search strategy in Medline database is presented in table 1.

2.3. Study Selection and Data Collection Process and Outcome Appraisal

In this study, all articles published in English language, which studied CVDs in natural disasters, were included. Screening of the articles was done based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. First, abstracts of the articles were read by two independent researchers. Then, after selecting the eligible articles, full texts of the articles were evaluated. Afterwards, the full text was considered in accordance with inclusion and exclusion criteria and eligible articles were selected. Summarizing the articles and recording the data in the checklist along with final quality control was performed by two independent individuals. Any discrepancy in views was resolved through discussion between two parties or by means of consulting a third researcher. The articles were summarized using a checklist, which has been designed based on PRISMA statement (14). In this systematic review, outcome appraisal was prevalence, treatment, and management of CVDs in natural disasters. Data related to first author and year of publication, being peer reviewed, obtaining ethical or publication committee approval, definition of the outcome, expression of exclusion criteria, presence of a control group, and expression of statistical method were extracted.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Data analyses were done in a descriptive way. All the articles were summarized and categorized based on the considered variables.

2.5. Ethics

Since systematic review studies consider the previously published studies and the research is not directly done on human or animal, there is no need for ethical approval.

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection and Study Characteristics

The search led to 4426 non-duplicate records. 4,199 abstracts were excluded as they were not related to the purpose of our study. Also, 115 studies were case report, letter to editor or Correspondence, review articles, abstracts presented at the conferences and non-English, all of which were excluded from the study. 112 article abstracts were eligible and hence necessary measures to provide their full text were taken. Also, six full text articles were studied but since they did not meet our criteria, they were excluded. We were not able to the find full text of two articles. They were not even accessible in the journal archive. Finally, the data of 104 articles were included in quality control appraisal. We managed to find 4, 21 and 79 full text articles, which considered cardiovascular diseases at

the time of flood, storm, and earthquake, respectively.
The selection process and PRISMA diagram are shown in Figure 1. Due to the variety of our included articles, based on natural disasters, we grouped the included articles into 3 categories including storm (hurricane, typhoon, Cyclone and Tornadoes), flood, and Earthquake.

3.2. Quality control of study and risk of Bias

The included articles were qualitatively considered. The qualitative review results of flood, storm (hurricane, cyclone, typhoon, and tornadoes), and earthquake are presented in Table 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

3.3. CVDs in Flooded Areas

Prevalence of CVDs increases after flood. Diseases like AF, PSVT, ACS, severe CHF, cardiopulmonary arrest, and AMI undergo a remarkable increase in the first week and then decrease. The second wave of increase in the number of CVDs is also observed in the 7th week (15). Existence of negative experiences such as loss of property, physical work, financial problems, alcohol use, and perceived distress in the long run can lead to hypertension (16). Nevertheless, some studies indicated that despite the increasing prevalence of CVDs after flood, such an increase is not statistically significant (17) and when confounding factors are excluded from the study, increase in the prevalence of CVD is not observed (18).

3.4. CVDs in Storm area (hurricane, Typhoon, Cyclone and Tornado)

Prevalence of CVDs including HTN, AMI, and fatality caused by CVDs increase after hurricane (19-24). In the areas extremely affected by the hurricane, the rate of CVDs, particularly HTN, is high (23, 25). Unemployment, drug abuse, smoking, temporary housing life, and lack of health insurance are among the risk factors of increase in the prevalence of CVDs, particularly AMI (20-22, 26). After hurricane, CVDs obviously increase in women over 45 years of age; however, in the 6-month follow-up, no increase is observed (27). In terms of circadian and septadian rhythms, studies indicated that within 3 years, and in some studies within 6-10 years, after hurricane, the rate of CVDs increased only on the evenings and weekends. However, on the following morning and the first day of the week, a considerable decrease is observed in the prevalence of AMI (2, 21, 22). Since tornado has a small volume and does not take more than some minutes, it does not cause increase in cardiovascular diseases (28).

At the time of evacuation, some patients forget to take their medication out of their home and some of them run out of medication or cannot obtain them and lack of medication makes them unable to control their HTN and hence uncontrolled HTN increases (29, 30). The issue is so prevalent and 48.4% of those who are taken to shelters lack medication,

most of whom are male and have no health insurance (31). Also, about 10% of the patients, who are taken to shelter, have chest pain and require emergent treatment (31). After hurricane, adherence to medication regimen decreases, particularly in individuals over 65 years of age and non-whites, (26), which causes more uncontrolled HTN in these individuals in comparison with those who have higher adherence to antihypertensive drugs (29). However, after one year and in the second year after hurricane, adherence to medication returns to its previous state (32). The other factor leading to higher and uncontrolled HTN is stress (33); particularly in the elderly, it causes an increase in CVDs and lack of controlled HTN (34, 35). Reasons for such stress factors include lower capability of coping with disaster, more damage to living place, stress of living after hurricane, increase in separation from friends and family, fewer visits to friends and family, loss of property and relatives (33). Medication request rate is higher in patients with CVDs in comparison with other diseases. Although only 11% of the complaints belong to the patients with CVDs, 52% of the requests for medication are for CVDs (36). Also, 55.6% of the individuals, who live in shelter, suffer from chronic diseases like HTN, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, pulmonary diseases, and mental disorders (31). The amounts of medication required for chronic diseases and CVDs make up a high percentage of the medication required during hurricane, which are 68% and 39%, respectively (37). Temporary reduction in access to health care centers leads to a decrease in the number of patients referring for primary care after reopening of the centers and as a result more uncontrolled HTN can be observed (28).

To improve the quality of health care services, the following points are recommended (30): 1. Having electronic health records, which enables the treatment staff to have access to the history of patients, prescribe the previous drugs of the patient appropriately and quickly, and to better control chronic diseases upon emergencies. 2. Electronic health records backup. 3. Appropriate storage of medications 4. All members of healthcare provider team should be aware of the plan and their own roles, and 5. For times when telephone and internet disconnect, there should be a backup communication system. 6. Appropriate relationship between donors and relief teams. Since during such disasters, drugs and medical equipment are donated, there is not much assurance as to their being intact and appropriately preserved. Even, some of them are unsuitable and inapplicable. Hence, those who intend to donate drugs and medical equipment should have a direct relationship with healthcare provider team. 7. Self-management of the patients for chronic diseases should be encouraged and reinforced. 8. There should be an effective communication plan between the individuals and healthcare providers. 9. All the stresses should be controlled (30).

3.5. Earthquake

So far, numerous earthquakes have occurred. Out of these earthquakes, the Great East Japan earthquake in 2011 with the magnitude of 9 on Richter scale was one of the most severe ones, which caused triple disasters (38). In addition to its own causalities, it caused tsunami whose casualties were like those of intense flooding. On the other hand, Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant was damaged, which caused leakage of radioactive materials (38). Hence, the studies related to this earthquake will be run in two separate parts: The earthquake, and the surrounding area of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant, which was damaged after the Great East Japan earthquake, is discussed separately. Based on the studies performed after the earthquake, prevalence of CVDs such as HTN, ACS, AMI, IHD, HF, VF, sustained or non-sustained VT, and cardiomyopathy and other types of mortality increase after the earthquake (39-79). The rate of CVD outbreak in the regions more impacted and more damaged by the earthquake is higher than other areas. Fatal MI had a significant increase in high impact areas; however, in low impact areas its rate was not different from that of before the earthquake (80). Also, in high impact areas, higher rate of Decompensated HF and AMI is observed, particularly among women and the elderly and those who had to abandon their home (81, 82).

Impact of the earthquake on CVDs is not permanent and after a period, the incidence rate of CVDs returns to its normal state. The earthquake not only has not had any remarkable impact on long-term prognosis in 30 years, but also has not had any midterm impact on CVDs in 4 years after earthquake in the affected area (83, 84). Some studies indicate that this impact was even less than this and after a few weeks, there was no increase in observed incidence and prevalence of ACS and HTN (43, 47, 51, 59, 76, 85-89). Some studies even express that incidence of CVDs in the first week of the earthquake had a remarkable increase and after that this increase is less observed (43, 90). The less severe earthquakes are, the sooner the return to previous state takes place (40, 85). Conversely, the more severe earthquakes are, the more damage there will be; hence, the increase in incidence of CVDs will last longer and the return to baseline state will occur later; like in Sichuan earthquake with the magnitude of 8 on the Richter scale, where intense destruction occurred and 5 million people were displaced (43, 44, 62, 91, 92). In New Zealand, two earthquakes occurred with an interval of 6 months. The first one was 7.1 on the Richter scale and an increase in CVDs was observed for 3 weeks. However, in the second one with the magnitude of 6.3 on the Richter scale an increase in CVDs was observed for 2 weeks (43). In the less intense earthquakes the rate of CVDs was significantly high only for 3 days; like the two earthquakes that occurred in

Thessaloniki, Greece, on 19th and 20th of 1978 with the magnitude of 5.2 and 6.4 on the Richter scale, respectively (93). The other factor impacting the incidence of CVDs is distance from the center of the earthquake. The observed incidence of CVDs such as HTN was lower among those who lived more than 50 km away from the center of the earthquake (51).

Blood pressure (BP) increases in the people with chronic diseases such as renal failure (94, 95). Other risk factors of increase in BP and uncontrolled BP in the people who live in shelters include being over 55 years old, history of having HTN, and having insomnia. Hence, in addition to taking their previous medication regularly, they probably need to increase their previous medication (83).

The time, at which the earthquake takes place, is another factor affecting the incidence rate of CVDs. For instance, Loma Prieta earthquake, in 1989, took place at 5:04 pm in San Francisco. The magnitude of the earthquake was 7 on the Richter scale. In comparison with the days before or after the earthquake or in comparison with the same day in 1990, on the day of Loma Prieta earthquake, there was not any statistically remarkable increase observed in AMI admission in San Francisco area. Northridge, Los Angeles, earthquake in 1994 occurred at 4:31 am and there was a 110% increase in the rate of AMI admission in Los Angeles on the day of the earthquake in comparison with the mean admission rate over 7 years before the earthquake. Sudden death rate also increased. Therefore, severe emotional stress resulting from sudden wake-up stress affects the increase in AMI. And if there is less stress, AMI risk is lower as well (96, 97).

In fact, stress plays a pivotal role in increase in incidence of CVDs, which mostly happens because of mental stresses such as losing property and relatives (39, 47, 49, 51, 56, 85, 98). Also, in some studies, white coat is thought to be one of the factors affecting stress and increasing BP after the earthquake (87). In some other studies, signs of depression at the time of admission remarkably predict the risk of rehospitalization for IHD (44). Mental stress resulting from heavy work leads to increase in the incidence of HTN after the earthquake. Disaster staff, who work in the quaked area, face the risk of increasing HTN if they have a heavy workload (99). Even, ordinary government employees showed a higher rate of increase in HTN in the quaked area. In this study, the average time of monthly extra work of ordinary employees in March, 2011, was 10 times more than public people in the previous March. Therefore, after the earthquake Blood Pressure of government employees should be controlled and if required treatment should be prescribed (75, 100). Also, circadian rhythm changes play a role in increasing fatality resulting from CVDs, which occurred more in the elderly at night and in the morning, but no increase in fatality was observed between 11 am and 11 pm (101). Age, family history of BP, obesity, sleep disorder, waist to hip ratio, high blood sugar,

and high-salt food are other factors that affect the increase in incidence of HTN and uncontrolled HTN after the earthquake (47, 62, 101, 102).

One of the other reasons for uncontrolled Blood Pressure is discontinuity of antihypertensive drugs, which happens because of various reasons. In the people with psychological problems, the risk of stopping using antihypertensive drugs is higher (103).

One of the cases with different results is a study carried out in New Zealand. In this study, after two earthquakes, there was no increase observed in ventricular arrhythmia (104). Another study expressed that through stimulating sympathetic nerve, earthquake leads to increase in HR and cardiac mortality. However, in the individuals over 60 years of age, stimulation of sympathetic nerve system was blunt (52). In another study, it was said that individuals who lose their residence and live in temporary residence areas, can control their BP as good as the people who live at their own home. However, individuals, particularly the elderly, who live at their own home, indicate increase in BP on winter mornings. Similarly, as to the individuals who do not have any changes in their BP medicine, increase in BP was observed, the researcher did not explain the reasons, though (105).

3.6. Fukushima Area after the Great East Japan Earthquake

Areas within 20 km of Fukushima nuclear power plant were determined as high-risk and restricted areas due to nuclear radiations more than 20 mSv per year. Almost all of the residents had to evacuate their homes (106, 107). From 20-30 km of the nuclear plant was determined as area prepared for evacuation at the time of emergency. The areas within 30 km of the plant were determined as deliberate evacuation areas (106).

Incidence of HTN, tachycardia, MI, AF, and the deaths related to CVDs was higher in the individuals who had to abandon their homes (107-113). CVDs risk was higher than normal range within 2 years after the incident (111). However, some studies indicated that incidence of AMI was higher than the surrounding areas only until one month after the incident (107). Other studies held that there was no remarkable difference in the prevalence of AMI before and after the earthquake in Fukushima area (114).

Stress is a leading factor in increasing the risk of CVDs and hence, a higher rate of CVD is observed in individuals with depression and PTSD (115) and there is a higher increase in the prevalence of CVDs because of psychological stresses like losing property, relatives or job (115, 116). Other risk factors include: previous CVD, being female, being 40-90 years old, obesity, being alcoholic and having dinner late at night (111, 115, 117). After the earthquake, a higher incidence of AF is observed in men compared to other groups (109).

In comparison between evacuees and non-evacuees, there was no difference or little difference in term of increase in BP (106).

3.7. Special Groups

In a study on pregnant women, it was indicated that those who were in their 3rd trimester of pregnancy at the time of incident and stress more commonly had pregnancy HTN (118). In children under 15years of age, within 1 year of the incident, increase in incidence of HTN is observed (119). It has also been reported that within 4 years of the incident, increase in incidence of HTN in children is observed. In a study on the impacts of great east JAPAN earthquake on the BP of the injured children, it was indicated that the children who went through more stressful incidents like tsunami waves, corpse of their relatives or friends, fire waves or separation from their parents, higher BP was observed. Of these children, those who witnessed fire waves indicated higher diastolic BP (120).

3.8. Management of CVDs

One of the important measures to take in order to decrease the risk of CVDs is to strengthen buildings before the earthquake happens. It can be claimed that the less destruction in building, the lower the risk of CVDs (42).

So as to prevent and treat CVDs, controlling stress is another paramount issue that should be taken into account. Over this period, decrease in stress and coronary risk factors may decrease mortality resulting from Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) after a main EQ (48). Prescription of tranquilizers and anti-depression medication can help control HTN and their prescription may even be essential (121). After crises, it is more likely that patients stop taking drugs, encouraging hypertensive patients to start taking drugs again may help reduce CVD risk (122).

After the earthquake, changing the patients' antihypertensive drugs is another important measure that leads to better control of HTN. Data show that after earthquake, paying special attention to BP level and treatment modifications can be important not only immediately, but also for some months after the earthquake (70). Studies showed that patients who were under treatment of α-blocker or β-blocker or renin-angiotensin inhibitor either did not show any change in their BP level or there was little increase (79).

Supplying a morning home blood pressure measuring device to control morning home blood pressure is essential for preventing CVDs' side effects (123). Due to many reasons such as losing morning home blood pressure equipment, damage to other equipment, or anxiety caused by vast destruction, most of the patients were not able to measure morning home blood pressure (123). In patients who lived in a shelter, precise control of BP until 4 years was possible us-

Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram.

ing an automatic home sphygmomanometer and web-based information and communications technology (ICT- technology) (124). Controlling BP, nutrition, and personal hygiene can decrease HF as well (102).

Timely and appropriate intervention is another factor that can help reduce due to CVDs. Complications like HF can occur less under the condition that patients are immediately admitted for AMI and the treatment begins quickly (125). Also immediate admission and intervention improves primary function of PCI (125).

4. Discussion

In this systematic review study, incidence and prevalence of CVDs, morbidity and mortality of CVDs, and treatment and management of CVDs, were investigated. Prevalence of CVDs increases after disasters. This increase directly depends on the intensity of the damage to the disaster area. The most import reason for such an increase are stresses like losing home and relatives and friends, disconnection with friends and relatives, losing job and joblessness, and lack of consistency following the incident. Also, high-risk individuals like the elderly are more susceptible. However, using appropriate medication and non-medication measures in terms of stress, it is possible to decrease prevalence of CVDs. Quick establishment of health clinic and access to appropriate and quick treatment within a few months after disaster is of other measures that can help control CVDs. Such measures like providing and providing access to medication, consulting to change dose or type of medication, and encouraging self-management and self-care can help decrease these compli-

cations to minimum. Similarly, it is essential to pay attention to special populations like pregnant women, particularly within their third trimester of pregnancy and children under 15.

In a review study, Kazuomi Kario et al., 2012, studied the effects of 2011 Great East Japan earthquake and Hanshin-Awaji earthquake on CVDs. This study probed into in-clinic and off-clinic HTN, potential mechanism of HTN in disasters, and management of these diseases. The results indicated that BP increases after earthquake and complications related to lifestyle, like stressful factors such as bad quality of sleep, and complications related to activity, such as lack of physical movement after earthquake, can lead to biological rhythm disorders. Aldosterone and cortisone increase in biological rhythm disorders and consequently sympathetic nerve is stimulated, which leads to increase in the use of salt and hence HTN. In this study, controlling use of salt along with establishing a quiet sleeping condition, being away from stress, appropriate physical activity, and having self-management to prevent obesity are mentioned as important factors to control HTN (126).

Similarly, in our study, controlling stress, encouraging self-care and self-management, and providing BP measuring device to facilitate self-care and self-management are taken to be important factors to control HTN. But, in the study done by Kazumi, providing BP measuring device to facilitate self-care is not mentioned (126). In 2015 and 2016 a guideline titled disaster medicine for CVD was published by Japanese Circulation Society (12). This guideline includes a number of issues like water and food hygiene, salt and sugar regimen, instructions for healthy sleep and providing sound sleep and, if needed, controlling sleeplessness through medication, treating depression, appropriate psychological support, resorting to appropriate diagnostic methods to control CVDs and treat them, and making sure the medication is taken at home to manage and control CVDs (12). Results of this study as well as the clinical guide is consistent with our study, which accentuates controlling stress and providing sound sleep, following a special diet, providing healthy food to the individuals affected by disaster, prescribing medication for sleeplessness, changing HTN medication, controlling risk factors of CVDs, and quickly treating newly-admitted patients or the intensified cases already admitted (12). Results of the study by Errol D et al. are also consistent with our study. That study has also mentioned stress in the disaster area, financial stress resulting from losing job and not having insurance, lack of access to healthy food, salty and high-carbohydrate food, and disconnection with the health system and health service providers as some factors that lead to difficulty in controlling HTN during the hurricane (127). The study has recommended some solutions, like having a list (can be electronic) of medications, presenting data re-

lated to complications of not using medication (before disaster), having enough supply of medication, providing appropriate access to medication during disaster, and appropriate control of stress (127). In a systematic review study in 2019, Farzad Gohardehi et al. probed into HTN and diabetes after disasters. Like our study, they indicated that prevalence of HTN remarkably increases after disasters (3).

5. Limitations

In this study, non-English articles were excluded, which resulted in losing some data.

6. Conclusion

Prevalence of CVDs increases after disasters. Lack of access to medication or lack of medication adjustment, losing home BP monitor device as a result of destruction, and physical and mental stress after disasters are of the most significant challenges of controlling and managing CVDs. By means of quick establishment of health clinic, providing quick access to appropriate diagnosis and treatment, providing access to medication, and self-management and self-care incentives, along with appropriate medication and non-medication measures to control stress, we can better manage and control cardiovascular diseases, particularly hypertension.

7. Declarations

7.1. Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest

7.2. Acknowledgements

All authors thank Tabriz University of Medical Sciences for supporting this study.

7.3. Funding and supports

There is no funding.

7.4. Authors' contributions

Yousef Pashaei asl, Bahman Naghipour and Gholamreza Faridaalaee: abstract reading and Data extraction.
Gholamreza Faridaalaee: Writing- Original draft preparation.
Javad Babaei: Reviewing and Editing.
All authors: Conceptualization, Methodology, and acceptance of final manuscript.

References

1. Eshghi K, Larson RC. Disasters: lessons from the past 105 years. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal. 2008. 2. Peters MN, Moscona JC, Katz MJ, Deandrade KB, Quevedo HC, Tiwari S, et al., editors.

Natural disasters and myocardial infarction: the six years after Hurricane Katrina. Mayo Clinic Proceedings; 2014: Elsevier.
3. Gohardehi F, Seyedin H, Moslehi S. Prevalence Rate of Diabetes and Hypertension in Disaster-Exposed Populations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences. 2020;30(3).
4. Ripoll Gallardo A, Pacelli B, Alesina M, Serrone D, Iacutone G, Faggiano F, et al. Medium-and long-term health effects of earthquakes in high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International journal of epidemiology. 2018;47(4):1317-32.
5. Shultz JM, Russell J, Espinel Z. Epidemiology of tropical cyclones: the dynamics of disaster, disease, and development. Epidemiologic reviews. 2005;27(1):21-35.
6. Ryan B, Franklin RC, Burkle Jr FM, Aitken P, Smith E, Watt K, et al. Identifying and describing the impact of cyclone, storm and flood related disasters on treatment management, care and exacerbations of non-communicable diseases and the implications for public health. PLoS currents. 2015;7.
7. Hayman KG, Sharma D, Wardlow RD, Singh S. Burden of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality following humanitarian emergencies: a systematic literature review. Prehospital and disaster medicine. 2015;30(1):80-8.
8. Demaio A, Jamieson J, Horn R, de Courten M, Tellier S. Non-communicable diseases in emergencies: a call to action. PLoS currents. 2013;5.
9. Hunter DJ, Reddy KS. Noncommunicable diseases. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;369(14):1336-43.
10. Miller AC, Arquilla B. Chronic diseases and natural hazards: impact of disasters on diabetic, renal, and cardiac patients. Prehospital and disaster medicine. 2008;23(2):185.
11. Aoki T, Takahashi J, Fukumoto Y, Yasuda S, Ito K, Miyata S, et al. Effect of the Great East Japan Earthquake on Cardiovascular Diseases–Report From the 10 Hospitals in the Disaster Area–. Circulation Journal. 2013:CJ-12-1594.
12. JCS J, Group JJW. Guidelines for Disaster Medicine for Patients With Cardiovascular Diseases (JCS 2014/JSH 2014/JCC 2014)–Digest Version–. Circulation Journal. 2015;80(1):261-84.
13. Melin K, Rodríguez-Díaz CE. Community pharmacy response in the aftermath of natural disasters: time-sensitive opportunity for research and evaluation. Journal of primary care & community health. 2018;9:2150132718813494.
14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg. 2010;8(5):336-41.
15. Nagayoshi Y, Yumoto S, Sakaguchi K, Shudo C, Takino S, Hashiyama M, et al. Heart attacks triggered by huge

mud slides in mountain regions and severe flooding in inhabited areas. Journal of cardiology. 2015;65(2):117-20.

16. Logue JN, Hansen P, Hansen H. A case-control study of hypertensive women in a post-disaster community: Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania. Journal of Human Stress. 1980;6(2):28-34.

17. Obrová J, Sovová E, Ivanová K, Táborský M, Loyka S. Effects of the July 1997 floods in the Czech Republic on cardiac mortality. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2014;8(6):492-6.

18. Vanasse A, Cohen A, Courteau J, Bergeron P, Dault R, Gosselin P, et al. Association between floods and acute cardiovascular diseases: a population-based cohort study using a geographic information system approach. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2016;13(2):168.

19. McKinney N, Houser C, Meyer-Arendt K. Direct and indirect mortality in Florida during the 2004 hurricane season. International journal of biometeorology. 2011;55(4):533-46.

20. Jiao Z, Kakoulides SV, Moscona J, Whittier J, Srivastav S, Delafontaine P, et al. Effect of Hurricane Katrina on incidence of acute myocardial infarction in New Orleans three years after the storm. The American journal of cardiology. 2012;109(4):502-5.

21. Peters MN, Katz MJ, Moscona JC, Alkadri ME, Syed RHK, Turnage TA, et al. Effect of Hurricane Katrina on chronobiology at onset of acute myocardial infarction during the subsequent three years. The American journal of cardiology. 2013;111(6):800-3.

22. Moscona JC, Peters MN, Maini R, Katigbak P, Deere B, Gonzales H, et al. The incidence, risk factors, and chronobiology of acute myocardial infarction ten years after Hurricane Katrina. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2019;13(2):217-22.

23. Swerdel JN, Janevic TM, Cosgrove NM, Kostis JB, Group MIDASS. The effect of Hurricane Sandy on cardiovascular events in New Jersey. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2014;3(6):e001354.

24. Mobula LM, Fisher ML, Lau N, Estelle A, Wood T, Plyler W. Prevalence of hypertension among patients attending mobile medical clinics in the Philippines after Typhoon Haiyan. PLoS currents. 2016;8.

25. Shih H-I, Chao T-Y, Huang Y-T, Tu Y-F, Sung T-C, Wang J-D, et al. Increased Medical Visits and Mortality among Adults with Cardiovascular Diseases in Severely Affected Areas after Typhoon Morakot. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020;17(18):6531.

26. Gautam S, Menachem J, Srivastav SK, Delafontaine P, Irimpen A. Effect of Hurricane Katrina on the incidence of acute coronary syndrome at a primary angioplasty center in New Orleans. Disaster medicine and public health

preparedness. 2009;3(3):144-50.

27. Hendrickson LA, Vogt RL, Goebert D, Pon E. Morbidity on Kauai before and after Hurricane Iniki. Preventive medicine. 1997;26(5):711-6.

28. Baum A, Barnett ML, Wisnivesky J, Schwartz MD. Association between a temporary reduction in access to health care and long-term changes in hypertension control among veterans after a natural disaster. JAMA network open. 2019;2(11):e1915111-e.

29. Krousel-Wood MA, Islam T, Muntner P, Stanley E, Phillips A, Webber LS, et al. Medication adherence in older clinic patients with hypertension after Hurricane Katrina: implications for clinical practice and disaster management. The American journal of the medical sciences. 2008;336(2):99-104.

30. Arrieta MI, Foreman MRD, Crook ED, Icenogle ML. Providing continuity of care for chronic diseases in the aftermath of Katrina: from field experience to policy recommendations. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2009;3(3):174.

31. Greenough PG, Lappi MD, Hsu EB, Fink S, Hsieh Y-H, Vu A, et al. Burden of disease and health status among Hurricane Katrina–displaced persons in shelters: a population-based cluster sample. Annals of emergency medicine. 2008;51(4):426-32.

32. Islam T, Muntner P, Webber LS, Morisky DE, Krousel-Wood MA. Cohort study of medication adherence in older adults (CoSMO): extended effects of Hurricane Katrina on medication adherence among older adults. The American journal of the medical sciences. 2008;336(2):105-10.

33. Stanley E, Muntner P, Re RN, Frohlich ED, Holt E, Krousel-Wood MA. Quality of life in hypertensive clinic patients following hurricane Katrina. Ochsner Journal. 2011;11(3):226-31.

34. Lenane Z, Peacock E, Joyce C, Frohlich ED, Re RN, Muntner P, et al. Association of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms following Hurricane Katrina with incident cardiovascular disease events among older adults with hypertension. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2019;27(3):310-21.

35. Becquart NA, Naumova EN, Singh G, Chui KK. Cardiovascular disease hospitalizations in Louisiana parishes' elderly before, during and after hurricane Katrina. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2019;16(1):74.

36. Howe E, Victor D, Price EG. Chief complaints, diagnoses, and medications prescribed seven weeks post-Katrina in New Orleans. Prehospital and Disaster Medicine. 2008;23(1):41.

37. Jhung MA, Shehab N, Rohr-Allegrini C, Pollock DA, Sanchez R, Guerra F, et al. Chronic disease and disasters: medication demands of Hurricane Katrina evacuees.

American journal of preventive medicine. 2007;33(3):207-10.

38. Fukuma S, Ahmed S, Goto R, Inui TS, Atun R, Fukuhara S. Fukushima after the Great East Japan Earthquake: lessons for developing responsive and resilient health systems. Journal of global health. 2017;7(1).

39. Armenian HK, Melkonian AK, Hovanesian AP. Long term mortality and morbidity related to degree of damage following the 1988 earthquake in Armenia. American journal of epidemiology. 1998;148(11):1077-84.

40. Dobson AJ, Alexander HM, Malcolm JA, Steele PL, Miles TA. Heart attacks and the Newcastle earthquake. Medical journal of Australia. 1991;155(11-12):757-61.

41. Tembe M, Dhakal S, Shrestha A, Mugele J, House DR. Impact of Nepal earthquake on patients presenting for emergency care at Patan Hospital. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2019;13(2):211-6.

42. Teng AM, Blakely T, Ivory V, Kingham S, Cameron V. Living in areas with different levels of earthquake damage and association with risk of cardiovascular disease: a cohort-linkage study. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2017;1(6):e242-e53.

43. Chan C, Elliott J, Troughton R, Frampton C, Smyth D, Crozier I, et al. Acute myocardial infarction and stress cardiomyopathy following the Christchurch earthquakes. PloS one. 2013;8(7):e68504.

44. Huang K, Huang D, He D, van Loenhout J, Liu W, Huang B, et al. Changes in hospitalization for ischemic heart disease after the 2008 Sichuan Earthquake: 10 years of data in a population of 300,000. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2016;10(2):203-10.

45. Leor J, Poole WK, Kloner RA. Sudden cardiac death triggered by an earthquake. New England Journal of Medicine. 1996;334(7):413-9.

46. Leor J, Kloner RA. The Northridge earthquake as a trigger for acute myocardial infarction. The American journal of cardiology. 1996;77(14):1230-2.

47. Kario K, Matsuo T, Kobayashi H, Yamamoto K, Shimada K. Earthquake-induced potentiation of acute risk factors in hypertensive elderly patients: possible triggering of cardiovascular events after a major earthquake. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 1997;29(5):926-33.

48. Kario K, Ohashi T. Increased coronary heart disease mortality after the Hanshin-Awaji earthquake among the older community on Awaji Island. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1997;45(5):610-3.

49. Kloner RA, Leor J, Poole WK, Perritt R. Population-based analysis of the effect of the Northridge Earthquake on cardiac death in Los Angeles County, California. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 1997;30(5):1174-80.

50. Ogawa K, Tsuji I, Shiono K, Hisamichi S. Increased acute myocardial infarction mortality following the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji earthquake in Japan. International journal of epidemiology. 2000;29(3):449-55.

51. Minami J, Kawano Y, Ishimitsu T, Yoshimi H, Takishita S. Effect of the Hanhin-Awaji earthquake on home blood pressure in patients with essential hypertension. American journal of hypertension. 1997;10(2):222-5.

52. LIN LY, WU CC, LIU YB, HO YL, LIAU CS, LEE YT. Derangement of heart rate variability during a catastrophic earthquake: a possible mechanism for increased heart attacks. Pacing and clinical electrophysiology. 2001;24(11):1596-601.

53. Nakagawa I, Nakamura K, Oyama M, Yamazaki O, Ishigami K, Tsuchiya Y, et al. Long-term effects of the Niigata-Chuetsu earthquake in Japan on acute myocardial infarction mortality: an analysis of death certificate data. Heart. 2009;95(24).

54. Chen Y, Li J, Xian H, Li J, Liu S, Liu G, et al. Acute cardiovascular effects of the Wenchuan earthquake: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring of hypertensive patients. Hypertension Research. 2009;32(9):797-800.

55. Petrazzi L, Striuli R, Polidoro L, Petrarca M, Scipioni R, Struglia M, et al. Causes of hospitalisation before and after the 2009 L'Aquila earthquake. Internal medicine journal. 2013;43(9):1031-4.

56. Huang K, Deng X, He D, Huang D, Wu Q, Wen S, et al. Prognostic implication of earthquake-related loss and depressive symptoms in patients with heart failure following the 2008 earthquake in Sichuan. Clinical cardiology. 2011;34(12):755-60.

57. Sofia S, Melone A, Manzoli L, De Ciantis P, Varrato E, Di Filippo R, et al. Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events pre- and post-earthquake of 6 April 2009: the Abruzzo's experience. Am J Hypertens. 2012;25(5):556-60.

58. Li C, Luo X, Zhang W, Zhou L, Wang H, Zeng C. YaAn earthquake increases blood pressure among hospitalized patients. Clinical and experimental hypertension (New York, NY : 1993). 2016;38(6):495-9.

59. Trevisan M, Jossa F, Farinaro E, Krogh V, Panico S, Giumetti D, et al. Earthquake and coronary heart disease risk factors: a longitudinal study. Am J Epidemiol. 1992;135(6):632-7.

60. Takegami M, Miyamoto Y, Yasuda S, Nakai M, Nishimura K, Ogawa H, et al. Comparison of cardiovascular mortality in the Great East Japan and the Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquakes - a large-scale data analysis of death certificates. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2015;79(5):1000-8.

61. Zhang XQ, Chen M, Yang Q, Yan SD, Huang de J. Effect of the Wenchuan earthquake in China on hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachyarrhythmia in hospitalized

patients. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103(7):994-7.

62. Sun XC, Zhou XF, Chen S, Liu YX, Wang YJ, Zhang W, et al. Clinical characteristics of hypertension among victims in temporary shield district after Wenchuan earthquake in China. European review for medical and pharmacological sciences. 2013;17(7):912-6.

63. Aoki T, Takahashi J, Fukumoto Y, Yasuda S, Ito K, Miyata S, et al. Effect of the Great East Japan Earthquake on cardiovascular diseases–report from the 10 hospitals in the disaster area. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2013;77(2):490-3.

64. Aoki T, Fukumoto Y, Yasuda S, Sakata Y, Ito K, Takahashi J, et al. The Great East Japan Earthquake Disaster and cardiovascular diseases. European heart journal. 2012;33(22):2796-803.

65. Nakano M, Kondo M, Wakayama Y, Kawana A, Hasebe Y, Shafee MA, et al. Increased incidence of tachyarrhythmias and heart failure hospitalization in patients with implanted cardiac devices after the great East Japan earthquake disaster. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2012;76(5):1283-5.

66. Murakami H, Akashi H, Noda S, Mizoue T, Okazaki O, Ouchi Y, et al. A cross-sectional survey of blood pressure of a coastal city's resident victims of the 2011 Tohoku tsunami. Am J Hypertens. 2013;26(6):799-807.

67. Nakamura A, Satake H, Abe A, Kagaya Y, Kohzu K, Sato K, et al. Characteristics of heart failure associated with the Great East Japan Earthquake. J Cardiol. 2013;62(1):25-30.

68. Nakamura A, Nozaki E, Fukui S, Endo H, Takahashi T, Tamaki K. Increased risk of acute myocardial infarction after the Great East Japan Earthquake. Heart and vessels. 2014;29(2):206-12.

69. Nozaki E, Nakamura A, Abe A, Kagaya Y, Kohzu K, Sato K, et al. Occurrence of cardiovascular events after the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and tsunami disaster. Int Heart J. 2013;54(5):247-53.

70. Giorgini P, Striuli R, Petrarca M, Petrazzi L, Pasqualetti P, Properzi G, et al. Long-term blood pressure changes induced by the 2009 L'Aquila earthquake: assessment by 24 h ambulatory monitoring. Hypertension research : official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension. 2013;36(9):795-8.

71. Nishizawa M, Hoshide S, Okawara Y, Shimpo M, Matsuo T, Kario K. Aftershock Triggers Augmented Pressor Effects in Survivors: Follow-Up of the Great East Japan Earthquake. Am J Hypertens. 2015;28(12):1405-8.

72. Sato M, Fujita S, Saito A, Ikeda Y, Kitazawa H, Takahashi M, et al. Increased incidence of transient left ventricular apical ballooning (so-called 'Takotsubo' cardiomyopathy) after the mid-Niigata Prefecture earthquake. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2006;70(8):947-53.

73. Chan C, Troughton R, Elliott J, Zarifeh J, Bridgman P. One-year follow-up of the 2011 Christchurch Earthquake stress cardiomyopathy cases. The New Zealand medical journal. 2014;127(1396):15-22.

74. Itoh T, Nakajima S, Tanaka F, Nishiyama O, Matsumoto T, Endo H, et al. Impact of the Japan earthquake disaster with massive Tsunami on emergency coronary intervention and in-hospital mortality in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. European heart journal Acute cardiovascular care. 2014;3(3):195-203.

75. Konno S, Munakata M. Blood Pressure Elevation Lasting Longer Than 1 Year Among Public Employees After the Great East Japan Earthquake: The Watari Study. Am J Hypertens. 2017;30(2):120-3.

76. Trevisan M, Celentano E, Meucci C, Farinaro E, Jossa F, Krogh V, et al. Short-term effect of natural disasters on coronary heart disease risk factors. Arteriosclerosis: An Official Journal of the American Heart Association, Inc. 1986;6(5):491-4.

77. Tsuchida M, Kawashiri M-a, Teramoto R, Takata M, Sakata K, Omi W, et al. Impact of severe earthquake on the occurrence of acute coronary syndrome and stroke in a rural area of Japan experience from the Noto Peninsula earthquake. Circulation Journal. 2009;73(7):1243-7.

78. Itoh T, Nakajima S, Tanaka F, Nishiyama O, Matsumoto T, Endo H, et al. Impact of the Japan earthquake disaster with massive Tsunami on emergency coronary intervention and in-hospital mortality in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care. 2014;3(3):195-203.

79. Zhang XQ, Chen M, Yang Q, Di Yan S. Effect of the Wenchuan earthquake in China on hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachyarrhythmia in hospitalized patients. The American journal of cardiology. 2009;103(7):994-7.

80. Nakamura M, Tanaka K, Tanaka F, Matsuura Y, Komi R, Niiyama M, et al. Long-Term Effects of the 2011 Japan Earthquake and Tsunami on Incidence of Fatal and Nonfatal Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2017;120(3):352-8.

81. Tanaka F, Makita S, Ito T, Onoda T, Sakata K, Nakamura M. Relationship between the seismic scale of the 2011 northeast Japan earthquake and the incidence of acute myocardial infarction: A population-based study. American heart journal. 2015;169(6):861-9.

82. Nakamura M, Tanaka F, Nakajima S, Honma M, Sakai T, Kawakami M, et al. Comparison of the incidence of acute decompensated heart failure before and after the major tsunami in Northeast Japan. Am J Cardiol. 2012;110(12):1856-60.

83. Tanaka R, Okawa M, Ujike Y. Predictors of Hypertension in Survivors of the Great East Japan Earthquake, 2011: A Cross-sectional Study. Prehosp Disaster Med.

2016;31(1):17-26.

84. Li N, Wang Y, Yu L, Song M, Wang L, Ji C, et al. Long-term effects of earthquake experience of young persons on cardiovascular disease risk factors. Archives of medical science : AMS. 2017;13(1):75-81.

85. Bland SH, Farinaro E, Krogh V, Jossa F, Scottoni A, Trevisan M. Long term relations between earthquake experiences and coronary heart disease risk factors. Am J Epidemiol. 2000;151(11):1086-90.

86. Dobson AJ, Alexander HM, Malcolm JA, Steele PL, Miles TA. Heart attacks and the Newcastle earthquake. The Medical journal of Australia. 1991;155(11-12):757-61.

87. Kario K, Matsuo T, Shimada K, Pickering TG. Factors associated with the occurrence and magnitude of earthquake-induced increases in blood pressure. The American journal of medicine. 2001;111(5):379-84.

88. Kamoi K, Tanaka M, Ikarashi T, Miyakoshi M. Effect of the 2004 Mid-Niigata Prefecture earthquake on home blood pressure measurement in the morning in type 2 diabetic patients. Clinical and experimental hypertension (New York, NY : 1993). 2006;28(8):719-29.

89. Chen Y, Li J, Xian H, Li J, Liu S, Liu G, et al. Acute cardiovascular effects of the Wenchuan earthquake: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring of hypertensive patients. Hypertension research : official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension. 2009;32(9):797-800.

90. Kloner RA, Leor J, Poole WK, Perritt R. Population-based analysis of the effect of the Northridge Earthquake on cardiac death in Los Angeles County, California. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;30(5):1174-80.

91. Teng AM, Blakely T, Ivory V, Kingham S, Cameron V. Living in areas with different levels of earthquake damage and association with risk of cardiovascular disease: a cohort-linkage study. The Lancet Planetary health. 2017;1(6):e242-e53.

92. Ogawa K, Tsuji I, Shiono K, Hisamichi S. Increased acute myocardial infarction mortality following the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji earthquake in Japan. Int J Epidemiol. 2000;29(3):449-55.

93. Katsouyanni K, Kogevinas M, Trichopoulos D. Earthquake-related stress and cardiac mortality. Int J Epidemiol. 1986;15(3):326-30.

94. Tani Y, Nakayama M, Tanaka K, Hayashi Y, Asahi K, Kamata T, et al. Blood pressure elevation in hemodialysis patients after the Great East Japan Earthquake. Hypertension research : official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension. 2014;37(2):139-44.

95. Watanabe K, Tani Y, Tanaka K, Hayashi Y, Asahi K, Nakayama M, et al. Acute changes in home blood pressure after the Great East Japan Earthquake among patients with chronic kidney disease in Fukushima City. Clinical and experimental nephrology. 2013;17(5):718-24.

96. Leor J, Poole WK, Kloner RA. Sudden cardiac death triggered by an earthquake. The New England journal of medicine. 1996;334(7):413-9.

97. Brown DL. Disparate effects of the 1989 Loma Prieta and 1994 Northridge earthquakes on hospital admissions for acute myocardial infarction: importance of superimposition of triggers. American heart journal. 1999;137(5):830-6.

98. Suzuki S, Sakamoto S, Koide M, Fujita H, Sakuramoto H, Kuroda T, et al. Hanshin-Awaji earthquake as a trigger for acute myocardial infarction. American heart journal. 1997;134(5 Pt 1):974-7.

99. Azuma T, Seki N, Tanabe N, Saito R, Honda A, Ogawa Y, et al. Prolonged effects of participation in disaster relief operations after the Mid-Niigata earthquake on increased cardiovascular risk among local governmental staff. Journal of hypertension. 2010;28(4):695-702.

100. Konno S, Hozawa A, Munakata M. Blood pressure among public employees after the Great East Japan Earthquake: the Watari study. Am J Hypertens. 2013;26(9):1059-63.

101. Kario K, Ohashi T. Increased coronary heart disease mortality after the Hanshin-Awaji earthquake among the older community on Awaji Island. Tsuna Medical Association. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1997;45(5):610-3.

102. Hoshide S, Nishizawa M, Okawara Y, Harada N, Kunii O, Shimpo M, et al. Salt intake and risk of disaster hypertension among evacuees in a shelter after the Great East Japan Earthquake. Hypertension. 2019;74(3):564-71.

103. Nakaya N, Nakamura T, Tsuchiya N, Narita A, Tsuji I, Hozawa A, et al. Psychological Distress and the Risk of Withdrawing From Hypertension Treatment After an Earthquake Disaster. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2017;11(2):179-82.

104. Chan C, Daly M, Melton I, Crozier I. Two major earthquakes in Christchurch were not associated with increased ventricular arrhythmias: Analysis of implanted defibrillator diagnostics. PloS one. 2019;14(5):e0216521.

105. Nishizawa M, Fujiwara T, Hoshide S, Sato K, Okawara Y, Tomitani N, et al. Winter morning surge in blood pressure after the Great East Japan Earthquake. The Journal of Clinical Hypertension. 2019;21(2):208-16.

106. Nagai M, Ohira T, Takahashi H, Nakano H, Sakai A, Hashimoto S, et al. Impact of evacuation on trends in the prevalence, treatment, and control of hypertension before and after a disaster. Journal of hypertension. 2018;36(4):924-32.

107. Takiguchi M, Ohira T, Nakano H, Yumiya Y, Yamaki T, Yoshihisa A, et al. Trends in the Incidence of Sudden Deaths and Heart Diseases in Fukushima After the Great East Japan Earthquake. Int Heart J. 2019;60(6):1253-8.

108. Zhang W, Ohira T, Yasumura S, Maeda M, Otsuru

A, Harigane M, et al. Effects of socioeconomic factors on cardiovascular-related symptoms among residents in Fukushima after the Great East Japan Earthquake: a cross-sectional study using data from the Fukushima Health Management Survey. BMJ open. 2017;7(6):e014077.

109. Suzuki H, Ohira T, Takeishi Y, Hosoya M, Yasumura S, Satoh H, et al. Increased prevalence of atrial fibrillation after the Great East Japan Earthquake: Results from the Fukushima Health Management Survey. International journal of cardiology. 2015;198:102-5.

110. Sanoh T, Eguchi E, Ohira T. Association between Psychological Factors and Evacuation Status and the Incidence of Cardiovascular Diseases after the Great East Japan Earthquake: A Prospective Study of the Fukushima Health Management Survey. 2020;17(21).

111. Ohira T, Nakano H, Nagai M, Yumiya Y, Zhang W, Uemura M, et al. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors after the Great East Japan Earthquake: a review of the comprehensive health check in the Fukushima Health Management Survey. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2017;29(2_suppl):47S-55S.

112. Kawasaki Y, Hosoya M, Yasumura S, Ohira T, Satoh H, Suzuki H, et al. The basic data for residents aged 16 years or older who received a comprehensive health check examinations in 2011-2012 as a part of the Fukushima Health Management Survey after the Great East Japan Earthquake. Fukushima journal of medical science. 2014;60(2):159-69.

113. Tanaka K, Nakayama M, Tani Y, Watanabe K, Asai J, Hayashi Y, et al. The great East Japan earthquake: blood pressure control in patients with chronic kidney disease. American journal of hypertension. 2012;25(9):951-4.

114. Yamaki T, Nakazato K, Kijima M, Maruyama Y, Takeishi Y. Impact of the Great East Japan Earthquake on acute myocardial infarction in Fukushima prefecture. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2014;8(3):212-9.

115. Zhang W, Ohira T, Yasumura S, Maeda M, Otsuru A, Harigane M, et al. Effects of socioeconomic factors on cardiovascular-related symptoms among residents in Fukushima after the Great East Japan Earthquake: a cross-sectional study using data from the Fukushima Health Management Survey. BMJ open. 2017;7(6).

116. Sanoh T, Eguchi E, Ohira T, Hayashi F, Maeda M, Yasumura S, et al. Association between psychological factors and evacuation status and the incidence of cardiovascular diseases after the Great East Japan Earthquake: a prospective study of the Fukushima health management survey. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020;17(21):7832.

117. Ohira T, Hosoya M, Yasumura S, Satoh H, Suzuki H, Sakai A, et al. Evacuation and risk of hypertension after the Great East Japan earthquake: the Fukushima health management survey. Hypertension. 2016;68(3):558-64.

118. Kyozuka H, Murata T, Yasuda S, Fujimori K, Goto A, Yasumura S, et al. The effect of the Great East Japan Earthquake on hypertensive disorders during pregnancy: a study from the Fukushima Health Management Survey. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2020;33(24):4043-8.

119. Kawasaki Y, Hosoya M, Yasumura S, Ohira T, Satoh H, Suzuki H, et al. The basic data for residents aged 15 years or younger who received a comprehensive health check in 2011-2012 as a part of the fukushima health management survey after the great east Japan earthquake. Fukushima journal of medical science. 2015;61(2):101-10.

120. Watanabe M, Hikichi H, Fujiwara T, Honda Y, Yagi J, Homma H, et al. Disaster-related trauma and blood pressure among young children: a follow-up study after Great East Japan earthquake. Hypertension Research. 2019;42(8):1215-22.

121. Kai-sen H, Qi W, Ping L, Ding-xiu H, Shu-yin W, You-gen Z, et al. Increased depression and readmission risk in patients with new-onset angina after the sichuan earthquake. Prehospital and disaster medicine. 2011;26(4):262.

122. Murakami H, Akashi H, Noda S, Mizoue T, Okazaki O, Ouchi Y, et al. A cross-sectional survey of blood pressure of a coastal city's resident victims of the 2011 Tohoku tsunami. American journal of hypertension. 2013;26(6):799-807.

123. Kamoi K, Tanaka M, Ikarashi T, Miyakoshi M. Effect of the 2004 Mid-Niigata Prefecture earthquake on home blood pressure measurement in the morning in type 2 diabetic patients. Clinical and experimental hypertension. 2006;28(8):719-29.

124. Nishizawa M, Hoshide S, Okawara Y, Matsuo T, Kario K. Strict blood pressure control achieved using an ICT-based home blood pressure monitoring system in a catastrophically damaged area after a disaster. The journal of clinical hypertension. 2017;19(1):26-9.

125. Hao K, Takahashi J, Ito K, Miyata S, Sakata Y, Nihei T, et al. Emergency Care of Acute Myocardial Infarction and the Great East Japan Earthquake Disaster–Report From the Miyagi AMI Registry Study–. Circulation Journal. 2014:CJ-13-1286.

126. Kario K. Disaster hypertension–its characteristics, mechanism, and management–. Circulation Journal. 2012;76(3):553-62.

127. Crook ED, Arrieta MI, Foreman RD. Management of hypertension following Hurricane Katrina: A review of issues in management of chronic health conditions following a disaster. Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports. 2010;4(3):195-201.

Table 1: Medline search strategy

Database	Search terms
MEDLINE (PubMed)	1) "Cardiovascular Diseases"[Mesh] OR "Pulmonary Edema"[Mesh] OR "Hypertension"[Mesh] OR "Acute Coronary Syndrome"[Mesh] OR "Myocardial Ischemia"[Mesh] OR "Coronary Disease"[Mesh] OR "Congestive heart failure"[Mesh] OR "Coronary Diseases"[tiab] OR "Myocardial Ischemias"[tiab] OR "Ischemic Heart Diseases"[tiab] OR "Ischemic Heart Disease"[tiab] OR "Chronic heart Diseases"[tiab] OR "Chronic heart Diseases"[tiab] OR "Hypertension"[tiab] OR "High Blood Pressure"[tiab] OR "High Blood Pressures"[tiab] OR "Acute Coronary Syndrome"[tiab] OR "Acute Coronary Syndromes"[tiab] OR "Coronary Artery Disease"[tiab] OR "cardiac disease"[tiab] OR "Congestive heart failure"[tiab] OR "Pulmonary Edema"[tiab] OR "Pulmonary Edemas"[tiab] "Myocardial Ischemia"[tiab] OR "Cardiovascular disease"[tiab] OR "Cardiac Disease"[tiab] OR "Cardiac Diseases" OR "Cardiac Disorder"[tiab] 2) "Earthquake"[Mesh] OR "Floods"[Mesh] OR "Cyclonic Storms"[Mesh] OR "Tornadoes"[Mesh] OR "Natural Disasters"[Mesh] OR "Disasters"[Mesh] OR "Earthquake" [tiab] OR "Catastrophic Flooding" [tiab] OR "Catastrophic Flooding" [tiab] OR "Floods"[tiab] OR "Cyclonic Storms"[tiab] OR "Cyclonic Storm"[tiab] OR "Cyclone"[tiab] OR "Cyclones"[tiab] OR "Hurricanes"[tiab] OR "Hurricane"[tiab] OR "Tropical Storm"[tiab] OR "Tropical Storms"[tiab] OR "Typhoons"[tiab] OR "Typhoon"[tiab] OR "Tornadoes"[tiab] OR "Tornado"[tiab] OR "Tornados"[tiab] OR "Catastrophic"[tiab] OR "Natural Disasters"[tiab] OR "Natural Disaster"[tiab] 3) 1 &2

Table 2: Quality assessment and risk of bias in flood

High risk of bias: × low risk of bias: √	James N. Logue 1980	Jana Obrová 2014	Yasuhiro Nagayoshi 2015	Alain Vanasse 2016
Publication in peer-review journal	√	√	√	√
Description of patient group	√	√	√	√
Description of control group	√	√	√	√
Ethics approval	×	×	×	√
Informed consent	×	×	×	×
Specified main outcome	√	√	√	√
Specified secondary outcome	√	√	√	√
Description of statistical analysis	√	√	√	√
Conflict of interest status	×	×	×	×

Table 3: Quality assessment and risk of bias in storm

First author and publication year	Storm name and event year	Type of study	Publication in peer-review journal	Description of patient group	Exclusion criteria	Ethics approval	Mentioned type of study	Specified main outcome	Description of statistical analysis	Conflict of interest status
Lisa A. Hendrickson 1997	Hurricane Iniki 1992	C.S	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	×
Michael A. Jhung 2007	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	×	×	×	√	×	×
Erica Howe 2008	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	×	√	√	√	√	×
P. Gregg Greenough 2008	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	×	√	√	√	√	√
MA Krousel-Wood 2008	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	×
T Islam 2008	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Sandeep Gautam 2009	Hurricane Katrina 2005	O	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Martha I. Arrieta 2009	Hurricane Katrina 2005	Q	√	√	×	×	√	√	√	√
Erin Stanley 2011	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	×
Nathan McKinney 2011	4 Florida Hurricane 2004	C.S	√	√	×	×	×	√	√	×
Zhen Jiao 2012	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	×
Matthew N. Peters 2013	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Matthew N. Peters 2014	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Joel N. Swerde 2014	Hurricane Sandy 2012	C.S	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
Federico Silva Palacios 2015	tornado in Joplin 2011	C.S	√	√	×	×	×	√	√	×
Linda Meta Mobula 2016	Typhoon Haiyan 2013	O	√	√	×	√	√	√	√	×
Aaron Baum 2019	Hurricane Sandy 2012	CO	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
John C. Moscona 2019	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Zachary Lenane 2019	Hurricane Katrina 2005	CO	√	√	×	√	√	√	√	√
Ninon A. Becquart 2019	Hurricane Katrina 2005	C.S	√	√	×	×	×	√	√	√
Hsin-I Shih 2020	Typhoon Morakot 2009	C.C	√	√	×	√	√	√	-	√

C.C= case-control, CO= cohort study, C.S= Cross Sectional study, O= observational study, Q=qualitative study

High risk of bias: ×, Low risk of bias: √

15 ■ Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine. 2021; 9(1): e36

Table 4: Quality assessment and risk of bias in earthquake

First author and year of publication	name of Earthquake and year of event	Type of study	Publication in peer-review journal	Description of patient group	Description of control group	Exclusion criteria	Ethics approval	Specified main outcome	Description of statistical analysis	Conflict of interest status
Kleakatsouyanni 1986	Greece 1978	C.S	√	√	?	√	×	√	√	×
MaurizioTrevisan 1986	Italy 1980	Lo	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
MaurizioTrevisan 1992	Italy 1980	Lo	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Annette J Dobson 1991	Newcastle 1987	C.S	√	√	?	√	×	√	√	×
Jonathan Leor 1996	Northridge 1994	C.S	√	√	?	√	×	√	√	×
Komei Saito 1997	Hanshin-Awaji 1995	Ob	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
Robert A. Kloner 1997	Northridge 1994	C.S	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	×
Shunji Suzuki 1997	Hanshin- Awaji 1995	Ob	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Junichi Minnmi 1997	Hanshin- Awaji 1995	C.S	√	√	×	×	×	√	√	×
Kazuomi Kario 1997	Hanshin- Awaji 1995	C.S	√	√	×	×	√	√	√	×
Kazuomi Kario 1997	Hanshin-Awaji 1995	C.S	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
Haroutune K. Armenian 1998	Armenia 1988	CO	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	×
David L. Brown 1999	Loma Prieta 1989 Northridge 1994	C.S	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
SusanH.Bland 2000	Italy 1983-4	Co	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Keiko Ogawa 2000	Hanshin- Awaji 1995	C.S	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Kazuomi Kario 2001	Hanshin-Awaji 1995	Ob	√	√	?	√	×	√	√	×
Lian-Yu Lin 2001	Taiwan 1999	Ob	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	×
Kyuzi Kamoi 2006	Niigata, Japan 2004	Ob	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Masahito Sato 2006	Mid-Niigata 2004	C.S	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Yucheng Chen 2009	Sichuan 2008	C.D	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	√
Xiao Qiang Zhang 2009	Sichuan 2008	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Masayuki Tsuchida 2009	Noto Peninsula 2007	Ob	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
I Nakagawa 2009	Niigata Chuetsu 2004	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Tomoko Azuma 2010	Mid-Niigata 2004	Ob	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	√
Kaisen Huang 2011	Sichuan 2008	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Huang Kai-sen 2011	Sichuan 200	Co	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	×
Simona Sofia 2012	L'Aquila 2009	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Makoto Nakano 2012	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Motoyuki Nakamura 2012	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Tatsuo Aoki 2012	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Kenichi Tanaka 2012	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√
Tatsuo Aoki 2013	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Satoshi Konno 2013	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Paolo Giorgini 2013	L'Aquila 2009	Ob	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	√
L. Petrazzi 2013	L'Aquila 2009	C.S	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	√
Christina Chan 2013	Christchurch 2010, 2011	C.S	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Hitoshi Murakami 2013	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Akihiro Nakamura 2013	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Eiji Nozaki 2013	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Hiroyuki Yamauchi 2013	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	-	-	?	√	√	√	√	√
Kimio Watanabe 2013	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	?	√	×	√	√	√
X.-C. SUN 2013	Sichuan 2008	C.S	√	√	?	×	×	√	√	×
Christina Chan 2014	Christchurch 2010	Co	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	√
Takayoshi Yamaki 2014	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Tomonori Itoh 2014	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√

This open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 3.0 License (CC BY-NC 3.0).
Downloaded from: http://journals.sbmu.ac.ir/aaem

Table 4: Quality assessment and risk of bias in earthquake

First author and year of publication	name of Earthquake and year of event	Type of study	Publication in peer-review journal	Description of patient group	Description of control group	Exclusion criteria	Ethics approval	Specified main outcome	Description of statistical analysis	Conflict of interest status
Yoshihiro Tani 2014	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Kenichi Tanaka 2014	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	×
Akihiro Nakamura 2014	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Kiyotaka Hao 2014 *	Great East Japan 2011	RCT	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Fumitaka Tanaka 2015	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Yukihiko kawasaki 2014	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	√
Masanobu Niiyama 2014	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Masafumi Nishizawa 2015	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	√
Misa Takegami 2015	Hanshin-Awaji 1994 AND Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√
Hitoshi Suzuki 2015	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Yukihiko kawasaki 2015	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Reiichiro Tanaka 2016	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√
Kaisen Huang 2016	Sichuan 2008	C.S	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	×
Chuanwei Li 2016	Sichuan 2008	Ob	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√
Tetsuya Ohira 2016	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Motoyuki Nakamura 2016	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	×	×	√	√	√	√
Na Li 2017	Tangshan 1976	Ob	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Naoki Nakaya 2017	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	√
Masafumi Nishizawa 2017	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	×	×	√	√	×	√
Motoyuki Nakamura 2017	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Satoshi Miyata 2017	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Tetsuya Ohira 2017	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	√	×	×	√	√	√
Andrea M Teng 2017	Christchurch 2010, 2011, 7.1 , 6.3	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	×
Satoshi Konno 2017	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	×	×	√	√	√	√
Wen Zhang 2017	Great East Japan 2011	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	√
Masato Nagai 2018	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Christina Chan 2019	Christchurch 2010, 2011, 7.1 , 6.3	Ob	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Mai Takiguchi 2019	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Satoshi Hoshide 2019	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Masahiro Watanabe 2019	Great East Japan 2011	Co	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Masafumi Nishizawa 2019	Great East Japan 2011,	Ob	√	√	√	×	√	√	√	√
Mimang Tembe 2019	Nepal 2015,	C.S	√	√	?	×	√	√	√	×
Hyo Kyozuka 2020	Great East Japan 2011,	Ob	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√
Toshiki Sanoh 2020	Great East Japan 2011	Ob	√	√	?	√	√	√	√	√

C.C= case-control, CO= cohort study, C.S= Cross Sectional study, Lo= Longitudinal

O= observational study, Q=qualitative study, Randomized Clinical Trial= RCT.

* Only 1 RCT exist, High risk of bias: ×, Low risk of bias: √