



# Article Hypoxia and ERα Transcriptional Crosstalk Is Associated with Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer

Charly Jehanno<sup>1</sup>, Pascale Le Goff<sup>1</sup>, Denis Habauzit<sup>1,2</sup>, Yann Le Page<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain Lecomte<sup>1</sup>, Estelle Lecluze<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric Percevault<sup>1</sup>, Stéphane Avner<sup>3</sup>, Raphaël Métivier<sup>3</sup>, Denis Michel<sup>1,\*</sup> and Gilles Flouriot<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et Travail)-UMR S1085, Rennes University, 35000 Rennes, France
- <sup>2</sup> French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), Toxicology of Contaminants Unit, 35300 Fougères, France
- <sup>3</sup> Institut de Génétique et Développement de Rennes, UMR 6290 CNRS, Université de Rennes, 35000 Rennes, France
- \* Correspondence: denis.michel@univ-rennes1.fr (D.M.); gilles.flouriot@univ-rennes1.fr (G.F.); Tel.: +33-2-2323-6131 (D.M.); +33-2-2323-6804 (G.F.)

**Simple Summary:** Hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients are treated with anti-hormone molecules as a standard of care. However, resistance frequently occurs, leading to hormone resistant metastatic relapses in foreign organs. Understanding the molecular mechanisms through which breast cancer cells evade therapeutic pressure is of paramount interest. Hypoxia, which refers to oxygen deprivation and is characterized by the activation of hypoxia inducible factors, is a common feature of the solid tumor microenvironment, yet its influence on estrogen receptor alpha activity remains elusive. Here, we investigate the consequence of hypoxia and the signaling of hypoxia inducible factors on hormone responsiveness in breast cancer cells and its clinical implications.

**Abstract:** Estrogen receptor-alpha (ER $\alpha$ ) is the driving transcription factor in 70% of breast cancers and its activity is associated with hormone dependent tumor cell proliferation and survival. Given the recurrence of hormone resistant relapses, understanding the etiological factors fueling resistance is of major clinical interest. Hypoxia, a frequent feature of the solid tumor microenvironment, has been described to promote endocrine resistance by triggering ER $\alpha$  down-regulation in both in vitro and in vivo models. Yet, the consequences of hypoxia on ER $\alpha$  genomic activity remain largely elusive. In the present study, transcriptomic analysis shows that hypoxia regulates a fraction of ER $\alpha$ target genes, underlying an important regulatory overlap between hypoxic and estrogenic signaling. This gene expression reprogramming is associated with a massive reorganization of ER $\alpha$  cistrome, highlighted by a massive loss of ER $\alpha$  binding sites. Profiling of enhancer acetylation revealed a hormone independent enhancer activation at the vicinity of genes harboring hypoxia inducible factor (HIF $\alpha$ ) binding sites, the major transcription factors governing hypoxic adaptation. This activation counterbalances the loss of ER $\alpha$  and sustains hormone-independent gene expression. We describe hypoxia in luminal ER $\alpha$  (+) breast cancer as a key factor interfering with endocrine therapies, associated with poor clinical prognosis in breast cancer patients.

Keywords: breast cancer; estrogen receptor alpha; endocrine resistance; hypoxia

## 1. Introduction

ER $\alpha$  has a central role in the development of hormone-dependent breast cancer, mainly by triggering the activation of transcriptional programs promoting cell proliferation, resistance to cell death or angiogenesis upon E2 stimulation [1]. ER $\alpha$ -expressing luminal A and B breast tumor subtypes account for 70% of total breast cancers [2], and are treated by hormone therapy aiming either at selectively blocking ER $\alpha$  activity (Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulator—SERM), dampening ER $\alpha$  expression (Selective Estrogen Receptor



**Citation:** Jehanno, C.; Le Goff, P.; Habauzit, D.; Le Page, Y.; Lecomte, S.; Lecluze, E.; Percevault, F.; Avner, S.; Métivier, R.; Michel, D.; et al. Hypoxia and ER $\alpha$  Transcriptional Crosstalk Is Associated with Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 4934. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14194934

Academic Editors: Ann M. Bode, Rebecca Morris and Tianshun Zhang

Received: 6 September 2022 Accepted: 5 October 2022 Published: 8 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Degrader—SERD) or suppressing production of endogenous E2 (Aromatase Inhibitor—AI, LH-RH agonists) [3]. It is estimated that approximately 30 to 40% of these tumors initially fail to respond to endocrine therapy because of intrinsic mechanisms of resistance and/or relapse after several months/years of treatment by de novo acquisition of resistance [3]. Activating mutations in the ESR1 gene (Y537S, D538G) [4], oncogenic activation of growth signaling pathways [5,6], activation of EMT (epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition) programs by CAFs derived cytokines or growth factors [7,8] or via MRTFA translocation [9] are examples of mechanisms contributing to hormone resistance and therapy failure. Of note, very little is known about the contribution of the tumor microenvironment (TME) to anti-hormone therapy resistance. Among the different features of the TME is oxygen deprivation, also known as hypoxia, observed in more than 50% of the solid breast tumors [10]. In normal breast tissue, oxygen tension is estimated to be around 60 to 70 mmHg of pO2 (8/9%) whereas it can drop down to < 8 mmHg of pO2 (<1%) in the case of severe hypoxia [11,12]. Hypoxia has been described to promote a wide range of malignant traits such as the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), angiogenesis or metabolic reprogramming, classified as "Hallmarks of cancer" [13,14]. These programs are governed by the evolutionarily conserved hypoxia-inducible factors HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  (hypoxia inducible factor) [15]. In normoxic conditions, HIF $\alpha$  factors are continuously addressed for proteasomal degradation by oxygen-dependent prolyl-hydroxylase enzymes (PHD) and E3 ubiquitin-ligase von-Hippel Lindau (pVHL) [16]. When oxygen becomes scarce, HIF $\alpha$  factors are stabilized and accumulate in the nucleus where they activate transcription programs to adapt to hypoxia [17].

Hypoxia has been shown to inhibit ER $\alpha$  expression both in vitro and in vivo [18–20], yet conflicting studies co-exist in the literature in regard to its consequences on estrogenic signaling. Our work confirms hypoxia-induced down-regulation of ER $\alpha$  using both gas hypoxia and Cobalt Chloride (CoCl2) hypoxia mimetic agents on the ER $\alpha$ -positive breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and T47D, predominantly at the protein level. It has been reported that hypoxia, via the HIF $\alpha$  factors, and ER $\alpha$  share common transcriptional target genes, yet consequences of hypoxia on ERa transcriptional activity and binding to chromatin remain unknown [21,22]. Our data demonstrate that hypoxia differentially regulates a fraction of  $ER\alpha$  responsive genes, either by inhibiting or by enhancing their expression. Genome-wide mapping of ER $\alpha$  binding using ChIP-Seq shows important reprogramming of ER $\alpha$  cistrome in hypoxia, with a massive loss of ER $\alpha$  binding sites (ERBSs) and unexpected acquisition of hypoxia-specific ERBS. Targeted H3K27Ac ChIP experiments revealed hypoxia-dependent enhancer activation of ER $\alpha$  target genes, whose expression can predict worse overall survival, and revealed that HIF $\alpha$  factors play a crucial role in the reprogramming of ER $\alpha$ cistrome. In conclusion, our work demonstrates that hypoxia can modulate efficacy of endocrine therapies by interfering with estrogenic signaling

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Cell Culture and Treatments

MCF7 and T47D cells were routinely maintained in DMEM (GIBCO, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Biowest, Nuaillé, France) and antibiotics (GIBCO) at 37 °C in 5% CO2. When treatments with steroids were required, the cells were in phenol red free DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with 2% dextran/charcoal-stripped FCS (dsFCS; Biowest) prior to the experiments. E2 and 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Proteasomal inhibitor MG-132 was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences France, Villeurbanne, France (BML-PI102-0005). Hypoxic treatments were performed using chemical mimicking agent, cobalt chloride (CoCl2), from Sigma–Aldrich, Saint-Louis, MO, USA. When specified on the figure gas, hypoxia was performed in an H35 hypoxystation from Don Whitely Scientific, Victoria Works, United Kingdom. MCF7 cells were exposed for one month in the presence of 200  $\mu$ M of CoCl2 to induce hypoxia. Rescued cells were obtained after one month of recovery in CoCl2-free media. siRNA Transfections were carried out using lipofectamine RNAiMAX

(Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) reagents according to the manufacturer's instruction. Human HIF $\alpha$  siRNAs (Mission esiRNA, HIF1 $\alpha$ ; EHU151981, HIF2 $\alpha$ ; EHU008751) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich.

### 2.2. Proliferation Assay and TUNEL Assay

For the proliferation assay, 10,000 MCF7 cells were plated in 24-well plates and then culture in steroid free medium with 2% FBS for 24 h. Cells were then treated with either E2 (10 nM) or 4-OHT (1  $\mu$ M) for 6 days. After treatment, cells were trypsinized, numeration was performed using a TC10 Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated d-UTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay was used to measure the level of apoptotic cells as defined by detecting DNA fragmentation (In Situ Cell Death detection kit, Roche, Basle, Switzerland).

#### 2.3. Cell Cycle Analysis by Flow Cytometry (FACS)

After 48 h of hormone stimulation, cells were trypsinized and resuspended in IFA buffer (HEPES 10 mM, NaCl 150 mM, FBS 4%). Cells were fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol for 30 min, rinsed with PBS and permeabilized with IFA buffer/0.5% Tween. RNAs were eliminated by RNase A (100 ug/mL) treatment for 1 h at room temperature, and then cells were incubated with propidium iodide 1 mg/mL, for 30 min. The suspension was filtered through a nylon mesh prior to analysis using a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (BD Bioscience). For each condition, 20,000 cells were counted.

### 2.4. Antibodies and Immunofluorescence

The primary antibodies used for Western blotting and immunofluorescence analyses were the following: ER $\alpha$  (HC-20, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, sc-543), ERK (Santa Cruz, sc94), HIF1 $\alpha$  (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom, ab2185) and HIF2 $\alpha$ (Abcam, ab199), pAKT\_Ser473 (Cell Signaling, 4060). For western blotting, the peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibodies directed against rabbit or mouse constant fragments were purchased from GE HealthCare, Chicago, IL, USA. For immunofluorescence, secondary antibodies coupled with 594 or 488 Alexa Fluor dyes were obtained from Abcam. The slides were mounted in mounting medium with DAPI (Sigma–Aldrich, Saint-Louis, MI, USA). Images were obtained with an ApoTome Axio Z1 Imager microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) and processed with Axio Vision Software (4;8;2 SP1, Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). Fluorescence was quantified with ImageJ software from images obtained with identical exposure time.

#### 2.5. Western Blotting

Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS) containing a cocktail of protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche), or directly lysed into 2X Laemmli buffer. Whole protein extractions were denaturized at 95 °C for 5 min, subjected to sonication (Bioruptor, Diagenode, Liège, Belgium) and transferred on nitrocellulose membrane (GE HealthCare) after being separated on SDS polyacrylamide gel. Primary antibodies were incubated in TBS 5% milk supplemented by 0.1% of Tween (Sigma–Aldrich).

## 2.6. RNA Extraction and RT qPCR

RNA extractions were performed using RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed on 1 µg of RNA with a random primer using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix purchased from BioRad. Quantitative RT-qPCR were performed using the iQ<sup>™</sup> SYBR\_ Green Supermix from BioRad using CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection machine (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). TBP was used as internal control, and the primer sequences used for qPCR reaction are indicated in Supplementary Table S1.

#### 2.7. Microarray Preparation

MCF7 cells were cultured in phenol red free DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with 2% dextran/charcoal-stripped FCS (dsFBS; Biowest) 72 h prior to the experiments. Then, cells were treated for 8 h with EtOH or 10 nM of E2. After treatment, the control, CoCl2treated and rescued cells were immediately harvested. Total RNA was purified using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and quantified using the Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technology, Cambridge, UK), as previously described [23]. The RNA quality was controlled with Bioanalyzer and RNA integrity Number (RIN) were between 8.6 and 9.7. A one-color whole gene expression modification analysis was performed using the Agilent Whole Human Genome  $8 \times 60$  K Microarray kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Four replicates per condition were analyzed with the GeneSpring GX software (Agilent Technologies). Briefly, the expression profile was log2-transformed and normalized (Quantile), and 23 531 gene entities were eventually detected on the microarrays. Expression level-based filters (intensity greater than 125) were applied, and finally, the considered list contained 17 291 gene entities. The complete data set was deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, accessed on 9 October 2022, GEO series accession number: GSE111914).

#### 2.8. Microarray Analysis

A gene entity list was filtered by fold change >1.5 between all conditions. Gene expression modifications were then compared using an ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Tukey test. *p*-values were adjusted by controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) with the Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) correction for multiple testing. A total of 15 direct side-by-side comparisons were performed. A gene was considered significantly differentially expressed if the adjusted *p*-value was below 0.05, and the absolute fold-change (FC) was above 1.8 at least in one comparison.

#### 2.9. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The sub-confluent control, CoCl2-treated and rescued MCF7 cells were grown for 72 h in phenol red-free DMEM (Invitrogen) containing 2% dextran/charcoal-stripped FCS (Biowest), and then treated with 10 nM E2 or ethanol (vehicle control) for 50 min. Cells were then washed twice with ice-cold PBS and cross-linked for 10 min with 1.5% formaldehyde (Sigma) and the reaction was stopped with 0.125 M glycine for 1 min. Following two washes in cold-PBS, cells were scraped into 500  $\mu$ L PBS with protease inhibitors (Complete Inhibitors, Roche), spun 2 min at 3000 g and snap frozen to -80 °C. After cell lysis in 300  $\mu$ L of lysis buffer (10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1% SDS, 0.5% Empigen BB), ChIP was performed as previously described [24]. Antibodies against ER $\alpha$  (HC-20, sc-543, Santa Cruz), H3K27ac (ab4729, Abcam) and H3K4me2 (07-030, Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) were used in these assays. DNA was purified on NucleoSpin columns (Macherey-nagel, Hoerdt, France) using NTB buffer. ChIP experiments were performed from at least five biological independent replicates. Primers for real-time PCR are provided in Supplementary Materials (Table S1).

### 2.10. ChIP-Sequencing (ChIP-Seq) and Data Analysis

We pooled DNA originated from at least 20 different ChIP experiments conducted as described above. The ChIP DNA was prepared into multiplexed libraries and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq apparatus at the GenomEast platform (Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et cellulaire; Strasbourg, France). Pooled control input DNA were processed in parallel. Reads were aligned onto the indexed chromosomes of the human hg19 (GRCh37) genome using bowtie 0.12.7 [25], allowing at most two mismatches (parameters -n 2; -l 28; -m 1 with -best and -strata options). Sequencing statistics are given in Table S2. Extracted reads were then converted to .wig signal files, using samtools [26] and MACS [27] with default parameters. To restrict the inter-sample biases due to slightly different sequencing depths, the raw signals were normalized to the maximum depth obtained.

The normalizing coefficient is indicated within Table S2. Peak calling was then operated as previously [24] using the algorithm described in [28] at different *p*-value thresholds and the input control file as reference. The .bed files containing the identified genomic coordinates of ERBSs were subsequently filtered against the lists of repetitive sequences obtained from the UCSC (blacklist; http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin, accessed on 25 April 2016). Regions exhibiting high background signal generated by a poor normalization to input due to excessive sequence overrepresentation (consecutive of the highly rearranged genome of MCF7 cells) were also removed. Motifs analyses were performed using the SeqPos algorithm (http://cistrome.org/ap/, accessed on 28 September 2018) [29], and functional annotations were carried out under the GREAT web-platform (http://great.stanford.edu/, accessed on 15 October 2018) [30]. All other integrative analyses of the ChIP-seq data were performed using home-made scripts and algorithms from the cistrome web-platform (http://cistrome.org/ap/, accessed on 28 September 2018) (Table S3).

#### 2.11. Clinical Analysis

Clinical data concerning the METABRIC and TCGA cohorts were extracted from cBioportal (https://www.cbioportal.org/, accessed on 10 June 2021). Kaplan–Meier Plots for HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  were generated from the Breast Cancer Kaplan–Meier Plotter (https://kmplot.com/analysis/, accessed on 20 July 2021).

#### 2.12. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism software (Prism 9.4.1, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical significance was determined using the Mann–Whitney *t*-test and was indicated as follows: (\*) p < 0.05; (\*\*) p < 0.01; (\*\*\*) p < 0.001.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Hypoxia Dampens ER $\alpha$ Expression Level and Modulates E2 Sensitivity at the Cellular Level

Previous works showed that hypoxia induces ER $\alpha$  loss of expression [18–20]. We confirm this decrease in the luminal breast cancer cell line MCF7 exposed 24 h either to Cobalt Chloride (CoCl2) or 1% gas hypoxia using H35 hypoxystation from Don Whitely Scientific (Figures S1A, B, S9 and S10). CoCl2 was then used as a hypoxia mimicking agent for the study. ER $\alpha$  down-regulation by CoCl2 was also confirmed in the T47D breast cancer cell line (Figures S1D and S11). Long-term exposure of MCF7 to CoCl2 (1 month) led to a similar drop of ER $\alpha$  expression, compared to a short 24 h exposure (Figure 1A and Figure S1A) suggesting that ER $\alpha$  degradation reaches a plateau under constant hypoxic pressure. Nuclear translocation of hypoxia-inducible factors HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  was confirmed by immunofluorescence for 24 h and 1-month CoCl2 exposure (Figure S2). Given that tumors in vivo are exposed to chronic hypoxia, we privileged long-term hypoxia exposure (1 month) in the following experiments. qPCR analysis of ER $\alpha$  mRNA level revealed a modest decrease upon hypoxic pressure, suggesting that expression loss occurs mainly at the protein level (Figure S1C). Treatment of MCF7 cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 rescued hypoxia-induced ER $\alpha$  drop, confirming this observation (Figure 1A and Figure S8). We also demonstrate that  $ER\alpha$  loss is reversible as cessation of hypoxic pressure restored its expression (Figures S1E and S12). Cell cycle analysis using FACS revealed a loss of S-phase entry upon E2 stimulation under hypoxic pressure, as compared to a 2 to 3-fold increase in normoxia (Figure 1B). This result indicates that CoCl2-induced hypoxia abrogates E2-mediated proliferation. Intriguingly, a 2-fold increase in the percentage of cells in S-phase in CoCl2 treated MCF7 cells in the absence of E2 ligand was observed as compared to the control, indicating that hypoxia can induce proliferation independently of E2 (Figure 1B). Of note, while 4-OHT treatment abrogates S-phase entry in normoxic conditions, it failed to prevent hypoxia-dependent S-phase entry, thus indicating the acquisition of anti-hormone resistance. To confirm whether S-phase entry was translated into increased cell proliferation, we performed cells counting experiments. We first confirmed the loss the of E2-induced proliferation under hypoxia, as compared to normoxia (Figure 1C

and Figure S1F). However, we could not observe increased cell proliferation in absence of E2 in CoCl2 treated cells, highlighting a loss of hormonal control (Figure 1C). To explain this result, we finally performed terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated d-UTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assessing apoptosis. Strikingly, we observed a 3-fold increase in cell death in hypoxia as compared to the control and a complete loss of E2-mediated protective effects in CoCl2-treated cells (Figure 1D). Corroborating this observation, the abundance of *p*-AKT (Ser473) was reduced in MCF7 cells exposed to CoCl2 (Figure 1A). We also demonstrate that E2-dependent proliferation is restored 1 month after CoCl2 removal (Figure S1G). Altogether, these results show that hypoxia can induce S-phase entry in MCF7 cells, independently of the presence of E2, but that it does not translate into increased proliferation, as it is counter balanced by increased hypoxia-induced apoptosis.



**Figure 1.** Effect of hypoxia on ER $\alpha$  expression, proliferation and survival of MCF7 cells. (**A**) Western blot showing ER $\alpha$  protein abundance after 1-month exposure to hypoxia inducer CoCl2, in the presence or absence of 10 nM of E2 for 24 h. For proteasome inhibition, cells were treated for 8 h with 2.5  $\mu$ M of MG-132. ERK1/2 was used as the normalizing control. pAKT Serine 473 and total AKT abundance were also measured. (**B**) Proliferation count of MCF7 cells treated for 6 days with EtOH, 10 nM E2 or 1  $\mu$ M 4OHT, in presence of 2% of FBS steroid-free. Values are expressed in fold change compared to the number of seeded cells at the beginning of the treatment. (**C**) Cell cycle analysis using FACS using propidium iodide. MCF7 cells were treated for 48 h with EtOH, 10 nM E2 or 1  $\mu$ M 4-OHT. (**D**) TUNEL assay showing percentage of cell death between control and CoCl2-treated cells, in presence or absence of 10 nM of E2 for 72 h. \* *p*-value < 0.05 and \*\*\* *p*-value < 0.001 with a Mann–Whitney test for comparisons against the control. ### *p*-value < 0.05 with a Mann–Whitney test for comparisons against CoCl2 treatment.

#### 3.2. Hypoxia-Induced E2-Dependant Transcription Reprogramming

To further elucidate the effects of hypoxia on estrogenic signaling, we assessed the transcriptional response to E2 in MCF7 cells in normoxic and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells using a microarray. Control and CoCl2-exposed MCF7 were treated for 8 h with 10 nM of E2 or EtOH. Differential gene expression (DE) analysis (FDR 0.05—FC 1.8) and supervised clustering revealed a first category of genes whose expression depends on hypoxia exclusively.

Functional annotation identified classical hypoxic pathways such as metabolic reprogramming and glycolysis (Figure S3A,B). Transcriptional network reconstruction using AMEN [31] further confirmed that a substantial number of those genes are direct or indirect HIF1 $\alpha$  and/or HIF2 $\alpha$  target genes (Figure S3C,D). The analysis of the transcriptome revealed a second category comprising 584 genes differentially expressed genes upon E2 treatment, 518 in normoxic cells and 311 in CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells. Strikingly, more than half of these genes (273) display a loss of E2-dependent regulation in CoCl2-treated cells (Figure 2A). Hypoxia therefore exerts an overall inhibitory effect on the hormonedependent regulation of ER $\alpha$  target genes, consistent with the loss of ER $\alpha$  expression and cellular response to E2. Intriguingly, we detected 66 (47 up-regulated, 19 down-regulated genes) hypoxia-specific E2-regulated genes, indicating a partial reprogramming of E2 transcriptional response in hypoxia. Interestingly, scatter plot analysis revealed a similar Log2 fold change for the remaining E2-dependant genes both in normoxia and hypoxia, indicating that ER $\alpha$  transactivation (capacity to regulate a target gene) is not inhibited by hypoxia (Figure 2B). We also demonstrate that E2-mediated transcription is restored 1 month after CoCl2 removal (Figure S4A), indicating that hypoxia does not imprint a long lasting memory effect at the transcriptional level.

Among the 584 hormone responsive genes, clustering analysis allowed the identification of six gene clusters, either down-regulated (C1 to C3) or up-regulated (C4 to C6) upon E2 treatment, with subgroups referring to as genes whose basal expression level is down-regulated by hypoxia (C1 and C4), unchanged (C2 and C5) or up-regulated by hypoxia (C3 and C6), respectively (Figure 2C). For each cluster, the expression pattern has been validated using RT-qPCR on a panel of representative genes (Figure 2D). Changes in the expression profile were also confirmed on some selected genes in the T47D cell line (Figure S5A). Among the genes of clusters C1 and C4 whose expression is inhibited by hypoxia, we found the progesterone receptor (PGR) or the chemokine CXCL12, which are typical markers of hormone responsiveness. Interestingly, the clusters C3 and C6 corresponding to genes whose expression is up-regulated by hypoxia, indicating that hypoxia can sustain their expression level despite loss of ER $\alpha$  expression. This cluster especially includes the epidermal growth factor AREG and the epigenetic regulator KDM4B. Altogether, these results reveal an important overlap between estrogenic and hypoxic signaling.



**Figure 2.** Transcriptomic analysis of the E2 response under hypoxic stress. (**A**) Venn diagram representing E2-regulated genes both in control and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells (FC > 1.8–FDR < 0.05). (**B**) Scatter plot showing the Log2FC upon E2 treatment for each gene both in control and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells. (**C**) Heatmap showing supervised clustering (k-means method) of total E2-regulated genes both in control and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells (FC > 1.8–FDR < 0.05). Control and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells were treated with EtOH or 10 nM of E2. Transcriptomes from four independent biological samples were analyzed by microarray. Graphs on the left represent the average expression value (Log2) of all genes for each cluster. The number of genes in each cluster are indicated. (**D**) RT-qPCR experiments of representative genes validating the expression profiles found in the six different clusters, \* *p*-value < 0.05 and \*\* *p*-value < 0.01 with a Mann–Whitney test for comparisons against the control. Diagram representing E2-regulated genes both in control and CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells (FC 1.8–FDR 0.05).

#### 3.3. Hypoxia-Induced Chromatin-ERa Interaction Reprogramming

To further elucidate the mechanisms accounting for hypoxia-mediated changes in  $ER\alpha$ -dependent gene expression and to assess whether the receptor binding to chromatin was modulated by hypoxia, we performed ChIP-Seq targeting ER $\alpha$  in MCF7 control and CoCl2-exposed cells, treated with E2 or EtOH for 50 min [32]. Bioinformatics treatment of the data, including filtration and identification of the optimal *p*-value per conditions (Figure S6A–C), was performed as described in Material and Methods. Comparison of the identified estrogen receptor binding sites (ERBS) in untreated and E2-treated control and hypoxic cells is illustrated by the Venn diagrams in Figure 3A,B. Mean ChIP-seq signal measured on each specific subset of ERBS is shown on the bottom of each Venn diagram. In control MCF7 cells, 3896 and 22,452 ERBS were detected in untreated and E2-treated cells, respectively. In CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells, an important drop in the number of ERBS was observed, 3609 ERBSs were still detected in absence of hormone, while E2 stimulation mobilizes 5716 ERBSs (4-fold drop). ChIP-Seq analysis further shows a partial reprogramming of ER $\alpha$  cistrome upon CoCl2-induced hypoxic stress, as demonstrated by the identification of 1042 new ERBSs in hypoxia (Figure 3B). DNA motif analysis of these new ERBSs show depletion in ERE, while ERE remains the main motif in conserved ERBSs, indicating altered ER $\alpha$  binding (Figure S6D). Furthermore, a comparison of the new ERBSs with published binding sites of other transcription factors in MCF7 cells reveals weak clustering, with a notable depletion for pioneer factors GATA3 or FOXA1 binding, indicating that the new ERBSs are particular and non-conventional (Figure S6D).

As previously explained, transcriptomic analysis revealed six clusters of differentially expressed genes upon E2 treatment in hypoxia, with clusters C1 to C3 being down-regulated and clusters C4 to C6 being up-regulated. Baseline expression level (in absence of E2) is reduced (C1 and C4), unchanged (C2 and C5) or enhanced (C3 and C6) in hypoxia. To determine whether changes in the baseline gene expression level were correlated with changes in ER $\alpha$  binding, we assessed ER $\alpha$  binding at the vicinity of their transcription start site (TSS) (Figure 3C). Results first show that all the clusters display a loss of ERBSs, especially in C1 to C3. On the contrary, the highest percentage of preserved (common) ERBSs was observed in clusters with genes positively regulated by E2, notably in cluster 6, suggesting that hypoxia differentially modulates  $ER\alpha$  binding across the different clusters. Finally, hypoxia-specific ERBSs were specifically enriched at the vicinity of both ER $\alpha$  and hypoxia-dependent genes (C1, C3, C4 and C6) (Figure 3C), corroborating that chromatin accessibility is modified by hypoxia, specifically. This observation shows that hypoxia induces chromatin remodeling, rendering new sites accessible to ER $\alpha$  binding, potentially contributing to the control expression of specific ER $\alpha$  target genes. Quantification of the ChIP-seq signal in clusters C4 to C6 confirms that hypoxia inhibits the dynamic of ER $\alpha$ binding following E2 stimulation, regardless of the considered cluster (Figure 3D). Target  $ER\alpha$  CHIP experiments targeting ERBS at the vicinity of genes belonging to clusters C4 (HCK, PGR, CXCL12), C5 (CDC25a, NTN1, SGK1) and C6 (TFF1, AREG, FOXC1, KDM4B, *GREB1*) showed decreased ER $\alpha$  recruitment to chromatin in the absence and presence of E2 in CoCl2 treated MCF7 cells as compared to normoxia (Figure 3E). Additionally, we also validated new hypoxia-specific ER $\alpha$  binding sites, validating a reprogramming in ER $\alpha$ cistrome (Figure 3F). We also demonstrate that ER $\alpha$  cistrome (both in absence and presence of E2) is restored 1 month after CoCl2 removal (Figure S4C,D), as the majority of the ERBSs lost in CoCl2-treated cells is remobilized in the rescued cells, underlying that hypoxia does not imprint a long lasting memory effect at the chromatin level. Altogether, these results indicate that hypoxia inhibits genome-wide ER $\alpha$  binding overall, which could account for the partial loss of E2-responsivness at the transcriptomic level, in a reversible manner.



**Figure 3.** Genome-wide reprogramming of ER $\alpha$  cistrome under hypoxic stress. (**A**,**B**) Venn diagrams showing the overlaps of genomic regions bound by Er $\alpha$  detected by ChIP-seq, both in control or CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells, following a 50 min treatment with E2 or EtOH. The Er $\alpha$  ChIP-seq signal was aligned and averaged within a -2/+2 kbp window centered on ERBS belonging to the 3 categories in the upper Venn diagrams. (**C**) The stacked histogram illustrates the percentage of conserved, lost and gained ERBSs according to each cluster. (**D**) Graphs representing the mean of ER $\alpha$  ChIP-seq signal obtained at the center of the conserved ERBSs located at the vicinity of the TSSs of the genes clustered in C4, C5 and C6 obtained from transcriptomic analysis. (**E**) Heatmap of ER $\alpha$  targeted CHIP-qPCR experiments on conserved ERBSs at the vicinity of genes belonging to the clusters C4, C5 and C6. Data shown are the mean of relative enrichment of five independent experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments on CoCl2-specific ERBSs. Data shown are the mean of relative enrichment of five independent experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. (**F**) ER $\alpha$  and H3K27Ac CHIP experiments normalized to an internal control. \* *p*-value < 0.01 with a Mann–Whitney test for comparisons against the control. # *p*-value < 0.05 with a Mann–Whitney test for comparisons against the control. # *p*-value < 0.05 with

## 3.4. HIF& Factors Compensate ER& Loss and Sustain Expression of Specific ER& Target Genes

We then performed ChIP targeting H3K27Ac, a histone mark delineating active enhancers, and assessed its enrichment at the vicinity of genes belonging to the different transcriptomic clusters C4, C5 and C6 (Figure 4A). Interestingly, H3K27Ac was found to be specifically enriched at ERBS located nearby genes of cluster C6 (FOXC1, AREG, KDM4B), whose expression is up-regulated both by hypoxia and E2. H3K27Ac CHIP targeting genes of clusters C4 and C5 did not show any hypoxia-dependent regulation of those ERBS (Figure 4A). Together, these results indicate that some ER $\alpha$  bound enhancers can be primed by hypoxia, triggering the differential expression of genes located at the vicinity, independently of hormone stimulation.



**Figure 4.** HIF1 $\alpha$  regulates a subset of ER $\alpha$  target genes and activates ER $\alpha$ -bound enhancers. (A) Heatmap of H3K27Ac targeted CHIP-qPCR experiments on enhancers located at the vicinity of

genes belonging the clusters C4, C5 and C6. Data shown are the mean of relative enrichment of five independent experiments normalized to an internal control. (**B**) Analysis of transcription factor binding enrichment using ChEA algorithm on genes belonging to the clusters 3 and 6. (**C**,**D**) Venn diagram showing overlap between the 584 hormone-induced differentially expressed genes and the respective HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  bound genes in MCF7 as determined from (Mole et al., [33], using GREAT online tool and their respective distribution across the six different gene clusters. (**E**) Bar graphs showing mRNA expression of ER $\alpha$  representative target genes belonging to clusters 4 and 6, both in control or CoCl2-treated MCF7 cells, treated with control or HIF1 $\alpha$ / HIF2 $\alpha$  targeting siRNAs. qPCRs for HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  mRNA silencing are shown as the control. Values represent the mean +/– SEM of three experiments and are expressed as fold induction compared to untreated cells (Cont, EtOH) transfected with control Si RNA (\* p < 0.05, student's *t*-test).

We next used the ChEA algorithm that interrogates publicly available ChIP-Seq datasets to identify transcription factor promoter binding. Strikingly, we found HIF1 $\alpha$ , a transcriptional master regulator of hypoxia to bind chromatin nearby genes of clusters C3 and C6, specifically (Figure 4B and Figure S5B,C). Next, we used HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$ ChIP-Seq from Mole et al. [33], and used Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) to identify HIF1 $\alpha$ - and HIF2 $\alpha$ - bound genes in MCF7, and overlapped these two gene sets with our E2 differential gene lists. This analysis revealed over 80 ER $\alpha$ -dependent genes sharing binding with HIF $\alpha$  factors, with 30 genes sharing HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  binding. The distribution of these genes across the six different clusters revealed enrichment in the clusters C3 and C6, confirming that HIF $\alpha$  factors are responsible for E2 independent preparation of these enhancers (Figure 4C,D). Finally, we show that knock-down of HIF $\alpha$ factors using siRNA partly inhibits CoCl2-induced down-regulation of the E2-regulated genes from cluster C4 (CXCL12 and PGR) and prevents CoCl2-induced regulation of the basal expression of genes belonging to cluster C6 (AREG and GREB1) (Figure 4E). This result indicates that HIF $\alpha$  signaling directly interferes with estrogenic signaling at the chromatin level.

#### 3.5. HIF $\alpha$ -Hypoxia-ER $\alpha$ Crosstalk Correlates with Poor Survival in ER+ Luminal Breast Cancers

Next, we addressed whether the crosstalk between HIF $\alpha$  signaling and ER $\alpha$  signaling reverberates on anti-hormone response by treating the control and CoCl2 exposed MCF7 cells with 4-HydroxyTamoxifen (4-OHT) and then by checking the expression of some candidate genes through RT-qPCR. As shown in Figure 5A, while 4-OHT treatment clearly inhibits E2-induced changes in the expression level of the studied genes from clusters C4 and C6, it has no impact on hypoxia-induced changes in the basal expression of these genes (Figure 5A). Notably, the epidermal growth factor AREG gene from cluster C6 still has sustained expression despite the presence of 4-OHT. Similar gene expression patterns were obtained in the other luminal breast cancer cell line, T47D (Figure S5A). These data suggest that anti-hormone molecules become inefficient to exhaustively dampen the expression of some ER $\alpha$ -target genes upon hypoxia.

Number at risk low 537 432 high 217 128 235

78 15

2 0

Number at risk low 258 192 high 496 368

81 36 5 192 48 10 0 0 2 0



**Figure 5.** Hypoxia prevents a tamoxifen inhibitory effect on a subset of ER $\alpha$  target genes associated with poor survival prognostic of patients with luminal breast cancers. (A) RT-qPCR shows CXCL12, PGR, GREB1 and AREG expression level after 24 h exposure to CoCl2, in presence or absence of 10 nM of E2 and/or 1  $\mu$ M 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) for 24 h. Values represent the mean +/– SD of

37 6 3 0

88

1

Number at risk low 194 137 high 326 214

49 21 5 64 19 1 1

isk 254 97

Num low 372 high 148 triplicate and are expressed as a fold induction as compared to untreated cells. (\*\* p < 0.05, student's *t*-test). (**B**) Histopathological features and ER $\alpha$  status measured by immunohistochemistry of the METABRIC cohort (n = 1980 patients) extracted from cBioportal. (**C**) Prognostic values of the different gene signatures (Cluster C1, C3, C4, C6) corresponding to E2 and hypoxia regulated genes identified in Figure 2, in the METABRIC cohort (mRNA expression z-scores relative to diploid samples z-score = 2, n = 1980). (**D**) HIF1 $\alpha$  mRNA expression prediction value regarding overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in the METABRIC cohort (mRNA expression z-scores relative to diploid samples, z-score = 1.5, n = 1980). (**E**) HIF2 $\alpha$  mRNA expression prediction value regarding overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in the METABRIC cohort (mRNA expression z-scores relative to diploid samples, z-score = 1.5, n = 1980). (**E**) HIF2 $\alpha$  mRNA expression prediction value regarding overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in the METABRIC cohort (mRNA expression z-scores relative to diploid samples, z-score = 1.5, n = 1980). (**F**) HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  mRNA expression prediction value regarding overall survival (OS) in ER $\alpha$  (+) patients from the Breast Cancer Kaplan–Meier Plotter resource (Auto-select cutoff, n = 754). (**G**) HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  mRNA expression prediction value regarding overall survival (OS) in ER $\alpha$  (–) patients from the Breast Cancer Kaplan–Meier Plotter resource (Auto-select cutoff, n = 520).

Next, we investigated the clinical relevance of the different gene signatures identified from microarray analysis, by using the clinically available Metabric cohort comprising 1980 tumor samples, composed in the majority of ER $\alpha$ -positive invasive ductal carcinoma (Figure 5B) [34]. Interestingly, we found that alterations in the expression (z-score = 2) of genes belonging to clusters C3 and C6 can predict worse overall survival in the Metabric cohort, as compared to the clusters C1 and C4, significantly for the cluster C3 and with a log rank test *p*-value of 0.069 for the cluster C6 (Figure 5C). This observation supports the idea that hypoxia, by up-regulating those E2 dependent gene sets whose expression can no longer be hampered by hormone therapy, worsens patient prognosis. We additionally found that HIF1a high expression and not EPAS1 (HIF2a) predicts overall (OS) and relapse-free (RFS) survival in the Metabric cohort (Figure 5D,E). This observation was confirmed using the Breast Cancer Kaplan–Meier Plotter resource [35] that allows for the discrimination of tumors according to their ER $\alpha$  status (Figure 5F,G). Of note, altered HIF1a expression but not EPAS1 (HIF2a) was also associated with poor prognosis in the TCGA cohort [34] (Figure S7A,B). Finally, we found that *HIF1a* expression was anti-correlated with *ESR1* expression in both TCGA and Metabric cohorts (Figure S7C,D). Together, these clinical data show that HIF1 $\alpha$  mediated hypoxic crosstalk with the estrogenic signaling correlates with poor overall survival of patients with in  $ER\alpha$ -positive breast cancers.

#### 4. Discussion

Therapy resistance remains a clinical challenge and there is a critical need to identify etiological factors accounting for such phenomenon in each of the different breast cancer subtypes [36–38]. While different cancer cell-intrinsic mechanisms have been proposed to favor endocrine resistance, ranging from gene amplification [39], parallel growth factor signaling [40,41], phenotypic plasticity [42] to epigenetic [43,44] and metabolic reprogramming [45], very little is known about the contribution of the tumor microenvironment (TME).

Previous work on breast cancer cell lines highlighted a role for hypoxia in inhibiting ER $\alpha$  expression and E2-mediated proliferation [46]. We confirm here that CoCl2-induced hypoxia inhibits ER $\alpha$  expression, mainly at the protein level. Interestingly, loss of ER $\alpha$  expression occurs in approximately 10% of endocrine-resistant breast cancers, largely through unknown mechanisms [47]. Previous studies have suggested that loss of ER $\alpha$  protein expression is not directly associated with HIF1 $\alpha$  since stable transfection of HIF1 $\alpha$  in MCF7 cells does not inhibit ER $\alpha$  expression in normoxia [22], or it can depend on the HIF1 $\alpha$  E3 ubiquitin ligase pVHL [48]. Identifying such degradation pathway might be key to prevent loss of ER $\alpha$  expression and thus prevent endocrine resistance.

Conflicting studies co-exist regarding the role of hypoxia in inducing breast cancer cell proliferation. Our results show that CoCl2 treated cells have increased S-phase entry compared to control cells, independently of E2 treatment. Analysis of the transcriptome

under hypoxia revealed up-regulation of the growth factor amphiregulin (AREG) as well as its cognate receptors EGFR and ErbB4, which may account for this observation. Interestingly, it was reported that HIF2 $\alpha$  enhances autocrine growth signaling through AREG and EGFR/ErbB4 expression in breast cancer cell lines [40,49], strengthening this hypothesis. In contrast, other authors reported a hormone-independent proliferation of MCF7 stably expressing HIF1 $\alpha$  [22,50], in absence of hypoxia. In our study, we did not observe hypoxiainduced cell proliferation despite increased S-phase entry. Several mechanisms might explain these apparent discrepancies. First, we show that CoCl2-induced hypoxia promotes cell death and abrogates of E2-mediated protection against apoptosis. Additionally, transcriptome analysis revealed that CoCl2-treatment enhances the expression of BNIP3, encoding an extracellular ligand positively regulated by HIF1 $\alpha$  which can act as a potent apoptosis inducer [51,52]. Moreover, we observed that hypoxia inhibits PI3K pathway signaling, which is consistent with reduced survival [52,53]. Finally, transcriptome analysis revealed up-regulation of cell-cycle arrest genes such as p21 and GADD45a [54,55], and cell growth machinery arrest genes such as DDIT4 [56] in hypoxia. Collectively, these results indicate that S-phase entry in hypoxia can be counterbalanced by multiple mechanisms. These observations are consistent with previous studies suggesting that epithelial cells are more likely to reach a quiescent state when placed in hypoxia, whereas transformed cells tend to proliferate more intensively [57].

Our data highlighted an important overlap between estrogenic and hypoxic signaling at the transcriptomic level. First, by inhibiting ER $\alpha$  protein abundance, hypoxia exerts an overall inhibitory effect on E2 sensitivity, as more than half of the E2-differentially regulated genes are lost in CoCl2-treated cells. Second, hypoxia, through HIF1/2 $\alpha$  factors, can upor down-regulate an important fraction of ER $\alpha$  target genes, which has major clinical implications, as the use of SERMs such as 4-OHT become inefficient to block their expression (clusters 3 and 6). Interestingly in those clusters, we found some genes described to promote malignant tumor progression, such as FOXC1, which is considered as a main EMT inducer [58], KDM4B, an also well-known oncogene promoting dedifferentiation [59,60] or AREG, a growth factor capable of promoting hormone-independent proliferation [40]. We also found that PGF, a potent angiogenesis-promoting factor [61] is regulated both by ER $\alpha$  and hypoxia. Likewise, VEGFa was previously reported to be regulated both by ER $\alpha$  and HIF1 $\alpha$  [62]. Moreover, Yang et al., et al. previously identified a subset of genes insensitive to 4-OHT treatment under hypoxic conditions, thus corroborating our results [22]. Interestingly, we observed that alterations in the expression of genes belonging to clusters 3 and 6, whose baseline expression level is up-regulated by hypoxia (clusters 3 and 6) predict worst overall survival in the Metabric cohort. The question whether genes belonging to one of these clusters could be used a predictive marker for anti-hormone response therefore remains open. Finally, we observed that high expression of HIF1 $\alpha$ , but not HIF2 $\alpha$ , predicts worse overall survival in ER $\alpha$  positive patients, (Metabric, TCGA and Kaplan–Meier Plotter datasets). These data confirm that when solid breast tumor become hypoxic, patient overall survival decreases. Clinical trials assessing the clinical benefit of HIF $\alpha$  inhibition are currently ongoing in cancer types other than breast, such as glioblastoma or kidney cancer [63]. Further preclinical studies aiming at assessing the potential of HIF $\alpha$  inhibitors in vivo in advanced ER $\alpha$  (+) metastatic endocrine resistant model are necessary to establish the clinical benefit of such intervention.

Finally, our data suggest that the overlap between estrogenic and hypoxic signaling originates at the chromatin level. Interestingly, by performing H3K27Ac ChIP experiments, we found that hypoxia can prime enhancers of ER $\alpha$  target genes (cluster C3 and C6), independently of E2, thus explaining the inability of 4-OHT to block their expression. Further investigations are required to assess whether H3K27 acetyltransferase inhibitors would synergize with hormone therapy to prevent relapses; however, this is beyond the scope of this study. Interestingly, an overlap between HIF1 $\alpha$ /HIF2 $\alpha$  binding sites and ER $\alpha$  binding sites was already described at the vicinity of more than 200 genes in MCF7 cells [22]. Our data also revealed a partial reprogramming of ER $\alpha$  cistrome, a phenomenon

16 of 19

that has been already been observed in endocrine resistant tumors and in distant ER $\alpha$  metastases [64,65]. Such epigenetic plasticity demonstrates that genome-wide ER $\alpha$  binding is associated to the cellular context, and can be programmed by external cues.

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study shows that hypoxia has a profound impact on the E2 genomic response in breast cancer cells. Hypoxia induces ER $\alpha$  down-regulation which inhibits its genomic activity and binds to chromatin, and can modulate the efficacy of endocrine therapies by selectively sustaining the expression of a subset of ER $\alpha$  responsive genes whose expression is associated with a poor overall survival of patients with ER $\alpha$  (+) breast cancers.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cancers14194934/s1, Figure S1: ER $\alpha$  protein/mRNA expression and MCF7 cells proliferation under hypoxia; Figure S2: HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  expression and localization in MCF7 cells after CoCl2 treatment. Figure S3: Effect CoCl2-induced hypoxic stress on MCF7 cells; Figure S4: Cessation of hypoxic pressure restores E2-mediated transcription and ER $\alpha$  binding to chromatin genome wide; Figure S5: Effect of CoCl2-induced hypoxia in T47D cell and cline and cluster functional annotation; Figure S6: Determination of specific ER $\alpha$  cistrome and transcription factor motif analysis; Figure S7: HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$  clinical data and correlation with ESR1; Figure S8: The original western blot figure of Figure 1A; Figure S9: The original western blot figure of Figure S1A; Figure S10: The original western blot figure of Figure S1B; Figure S11: The original western blot figure of Figure S12: The original western blot figure of Figure S12; Table S1: List of primers used in qPCR to quantify mRNA expression and CHIP; Table S2: ChIP-sequencing statistics; Table S3: Public dataset used.

**Author Contributions:** C.J. performed most of the wet lab experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. P.L.G. performed the wet lab experiments and helped with the conceptual design. D.H. analyzed the micro array data. Y.L.P. helped with the image analysis and macro development on FIJI. E.L. performed the AMEN analysis. S.L. and F.P. performed the cell culture and tunnel experiments. S.A. and R.M. analyzed the ChIP-Seq data. D.M. and G.F. designed the study and wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the University of Rennes 1, INSERM, CNRS, and the Ligue Contre le Cancer. C.J was funded by an MENRT grant from the French government.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The microarray and ChIP-Seq data are available on the GEO platform under the following respective numbers: GSE111914 and GSE208663.

Acknowledgments: We thank the GET "Genome et Transcriptome" platform in Toulouse for performing microarray hybridization and the IGBMC Microarray and Sequencing platform at Illkrich for ER $\alpha$  CHIP sequencing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing interest.

#### References

- Arnal, J.-F.; Lenfant, F.; Metivier, R.; Flouriot, G.; Henrion, D.; Adlanmerini, M.; Fontaine, C.; Gourdy, P.; Chambon, P.; Katzenellenbogen, B.; et al. Membrane and Nuclear Estrogen Receptor Alpha Actions: From Tissue Specificity to Medical Implications. *Physiol. Rev.* 2017, 97, 1045–1087. [CrossRef]
- Perou, C.M.; Sørile, T.; Eisen, M.B.; van de Rijn, M.; Jeffrey, S.S.; Ress, C.A.; Pollack, J.R.; Ross, D.T.; Johnsen, H.; Akslen, L.A.; et al. Molecular Portraits of Human Breast Tumours. *Nature* 2000, 406, 747–752. [CrossRef]
- Hanker, A.B.; Sudhan, D.R.; Arteaga, C.L. Overcoming Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2020, 37, 496–513. [CrossRef]
- Jeselsohn, R.; Yelensky, R.; Buchwalter, G.; Frampton, G.; Meric-Bernstam, F.; Gonzalez-Angulo, A.M.; Ferrer-Lozano, J.; Perez-Fidalgo, J.A.; Cristofanilli, M.; Gómez, H.; et al. Emergence of Constitutively Active Estrogen Receptor-α Mutations in Pretreated Advanced Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 1757–1767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Giltnane, J.M.; Hutchinson, K.E.; Stricker, T.P.; Formisano, L.; Young, C.D.; Estrada, M.V.; Nixon, M.J.; Du, L.; Sanchez, V.; Ericsson, P.G.; et al. Genomic Profiling of ER+ Breast Cancers after Short-Term Estrogen Suppression Reveals Alterations Associated with Endocrine Resistance. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2017, *9*, eaai7993. [CrossRef]
- Nayar, U.; Cohen, O.; Kapstad, C.; Cuoco, M.S.; Waks, A.G.; Wander, S.A.; Painter, C.; Freeman, S.; Persky, N.S.; Marini, L.; et al. Acquired HER2 Mutations in ER + Metastatic Breast Cancer Confer Resistance to Estrogen Receptor–Directed Therapies. *Nat. Genet.* 2019, *51*, 207–216. [CrossRef]
- Soon, P.S.H.; Kim, E.; Pon, C.K.; Gill, A.J.; Moore, K.; Spillane, A.J.; Benn, D.E.; Baxter, R.C. Breast Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Induce Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in Breast Cancer Cells. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2013, 20, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brechbuhl, H.M.; Finlay-Schultz, J.; Yamamoto, T.M.; Gillen, A.E.; Cittelly, D.M.; Tan, A.C.; Sams, S.B.; Pillai, M.M.; Elias, A.D.; Robinson, W.A.; et al. Fibroblast Subtypes Regulate Responsiveness of Luminal Breast Cancer to Estrogen. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, 23, 1710–1721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jehanno, C.; Fernandez-Calero, T.; Habauzit, D.; Avner, S.; Percevault, F.; Jullion, E.; Le Goff, P.; Coissieux, M.M.; Muenst, S.; Marin, M.; et al. Nuclear Accumulation of MKL1 in Luminal Breast Cancer Cells Impairs Genomic Activity of ERα and Is Associated with Endocrine Resistance. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Gene Regul. Mech.* 2020, *1863*, 194507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Koh, M.Y.; Powis, G. Passing the Baton: The HIF Switch. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2012, *37*, 364–372. [CrossRef]
- Vaupel, P.; Hockel, M.; Mayer, A. Detection and Characterization of Tumor Hypoxia Using PO2 Histography. *Antioxid Redox* Signal 2007, 9, 1221–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Muz, B.; de la Puente, P.; Azab, F.; Azab, A.K. The Role of Hypoxia in Cancer Progression, Angiogenesis, Metastasis, and Resistance to Therapy. *Hypoxia* 2015, *3*, 83–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. The Hallmarks of Cancer. Cell 2000, 100, 57–70. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. Review Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. Cell 2011, 144, 646–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Semenza, G.L. Hypoxia-Inducible Factors in Physiology and Medicine. Cell 2012, 148, 399–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maxwell, P.H.; Wiesener, M.S.; Chang, G.W.; Clifford, S.C.; Vaux, E.C.; Cockman, M.E.; Wykoff, C.C.; Pugh, C.W.; Maher, E.R.; Ratcliffe, P.J. The Tumour Suppressor Protein VHL Targets Hypoxia-Inducible Factors for Oxygen-Dependent Proteolysis. *Nature* 1999, 399, 271–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schito, L.; Semenza, G.L. Hypoxia-Inducible Factors: Master Regulators of Cancer Progression. *Trends Cancer* 2016, 2, 758–770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kurebayashi, J.; Otsuki, T.; Moriya, T.; Sonoo, H. Hypoxia Reduces Hormone Responsiveness of Human Breast Cancer Cells. JPN J. Cancer Res. 2001, 92, 1093–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Ryu, K.; Park, C.; Lee, Y. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Alpha Represses the Transcription of the Estrogen Receptor Alpha Gene in Human Breast Cancer Cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2011**, 407, 831–836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cho, J.; Kim, D.; Lee, S.; Lee, Y. Cobalt Chloride-Induced Estrogen Receptor Alpha down-Regulation Involves Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1alpha in MCF-7 Human Breast Cancer Cells. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2005, 19, 1191–1199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Seifeddine, R.; Dreiem, A.; Tomkiewicz, C.; Fulchignoni-Lataud, M.C.; Brito, I.; Danan, J.L.; Favaudon, V.; Barouki, R.; Massaad-Massade, L. Hypoxia and Estrogen Co-Operate to Regulate Gene Expression in T-47D Human Breast Cancer Cells. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2007, 104, 169–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, J.; AlTahan, A.; Jones, D.T.; Buffa, F.M.; Bridges, E.; Interiano, R.B.; Qu, C.; Vogt, N.; Li, J.-L.; Baban, D.; et al. Estrogen Receptor-α Directly Regulates the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Pathway Associated with Antiestrogen Response in Breast Cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, 112, 15172–15177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Habauzit, D.; Le Quément, C.; Zhadobov, M.; Martin, C.; Aubry, M.; Sauleau, R.; Le Dréan, Y. Transcriptome Analysis Reveals the Contribution of Thermal and the Specific Effects in Cellular Response to Millimeter Wave Exposure. *PLoS ONE* 2014, *9*, e109435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Quintin, J.; Le Peron, C.; Palierne, G.; Bizot, M.; Cunha, S.; Serandour, A.A.; Avner, S.; Henry, C.; Percevault, F.; Belaud-Rotureau, M.-A.; et al. Dynamic Estrogen Receptor Interactomes Control Estrogen-Responsive Trefoil Factor (TFF) Locus Cell-Specific Activities. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2014, 34, 2418–2436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Langmead, B.; Trapnell, C.; Pop, M. Ultrafast and Memory-Efficient Alignment of Short DNA Sequences to the Human Genome. *Genome Biol.* **2009**, *10*, R25. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Handsaker, B.; Wysoker, A.; Fennell, T.; Ruan, J.; Homer, N.; Marth, G.; Abecasis, G.; Durbin, R. The Sequence Alignment/Map Format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 2078–2079. [CrossRef]
- Feng, J.; Liu, T.; Qin, B.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, X.S. Identifying ChIP-Seq Enrichment Using MACS. Nat. Protoc. 2012, 7, 1728–1740. [CrossRef]
- Sérandour, A.A.; Avner, S.; Oger, F.; Bizot, M.; Percevault, F.; Lucchetti-Miganeh, C.; Palierne, G.; Gheeraert, C.; Barloy-Hubler, F.; Péron, C.L.; et al. Dynamic Hydroxymethylation of Deoxyribonucleic Acid Marks Differentiation-Associated Enhancers. *Nucleic* Acids Res. 2012, 40, 8255–8265. [CrossRef]
- 29. Liu, T.; Ortiz, J.A.; Taing, L.; Meyer, C.A.; Lee, B.; Zhang, Y.; Shin, H.; Wong, S.S.; Ma, J.; Lei, Y.; et al. Cistrome: An Integrative Platform for Transcriptional Regulation Studies. *Genome Biol.* **2011**, *12*, R83. [CrossRef]
- McLean, C.Y.; Bristor, D.; Hiller, M.; Clarke, S.L.; Schaar, B.T.; Lowe, C.B.; Wenger, A.M.; Bejerano, G. GREAT Improves Functional Interpretation of Cis-Regulatory Regions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2010, 28, 495–501. [CrossRef]

- 31. Chalmel, F.; Primig, M. The Annotation, Mapping, Expression and Network (AMEN) Suite of Tools for Molecular Systems Biology. *BMC Bioinform.* 2008, *9*, 86. [CrossRef]
- 32. Métivier, R.; Penot, G.; Hübner, M.R.; Reid, G.; Brand, H.; Koš, M.; Gannon, F. Estrogen Receptor-α Directs Ordered, Cyclical, and Combinatorial Recruitment of Cofactors on a Natural Target Promoter. *Cell* **2003**, *115*, 751–763. [CrossRef]
- Mole, D.R.; Blancher, C.; Copley, R.R.; Pollard, P.J.; Gleadle, J.M.; Ragousis, J.; Ratcliffe, P.J. Genome-Wide Association of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-1a and HIF-2a DNA Binding with Expression Profiling of Hypoxia-Inducible Transcripts. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2009, 284, 16767–16775. [CrossRef]
- Curtis, C.; Shah, S.P.; Chin, S.F.; Turashvili, G.; Rueda, O.M.; Dunning, M.J.; Speed, D.; Lynch, A.G.; Samarajiwa, S.; Yuan, Y.; et al. The Genomic and Transcriptomic Architecture of 2000 Breast Tumours Reveals Novel Subgroups. *Nature* 2012, 486, 346–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Györffy, B.; Lanczky, A.; Eklund, A.C.; Denkert, C.; Budczies, J.; Li, Q.; Szallasi, Z. An Online Survival Analysis Tool to Rapidly Assess the Effect of 22,277 Genes on Breast Cancer Prognosis Using Microarray Data of 1809 Patients. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2010, 123, 725–731. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zou, Y.; Zheng, S.; Xie, X.; Ye, F.; Hu, X.; Tian, Z.; Yan, S.M.; Yang, L.; Kong, Y.; Tang, Y.; et al. N6-Methyladenosine Regulated FGFR4 Attenuates Ferroptotic Cell Death in Recalcitrant HER2-Positive Breast Cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 2672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bardia, A.; Hurvitz, S.A.; Tolaney, S.M.; Loirat, D.; Punie, K.; Oliveira, M.; Brufsky, A.; Sardesai, S.D.; Kalinsky, K.; Zelnak, A.B.; et al. Sacituzumab Govitecan in Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2021, 384, 1529–1541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Clarke, R.; Tyson, J.J.; Dixon, J.M. Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer—An Overview and Update. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2015, 418, 220–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Palafox, M.; Monserrat, L.; Bellet, M.; Villacampa, G.; Gonzalez-Perez, A.; Oliveira, M.; Brasó-Maristany, F.; Ibrahimi, N.; Kannan, S.; Mina, L.; et al. High P16 Expression and Heterozygous RB1 Loss Are Biomarkers for CDK4/6 Inhibitor Resistance in ER+ Breast Cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13*, 5258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stiehl, D.P.; Bordoli, M.R.; Abreu-Rodríguez, I.; Wollenick, K.; Schraml, P.; Gradin, K.; Poellinger, L.; Kristiansen, G.; Wenger, R.H. Non-Canonical HIF-2α Function Drives Autonomous Breast Cancer Cell Growth via an AREG-EGFR/ErbB4 Autocrine Loop. Oncogene 2011, 31, 2283–2297. [CrossRef]
- 41. Yang, L.; Li, N.; Xue, Z.; Liu, L.R.; Li, J.; Huang, X.; Xie, X.; Zou, Y.; Tang, H.; Xie, X. Synergistic Therapeutic Effect of Combined PDGFR and SGK1 Inhibition in Metastasis-Initiating Cells of Breast Cancer. *Cell Death Differ.* **2020**, *27*, 2066–2080. [CrossRef]
- Hong, S.P.; Chan, T.E.; Lombardo, Y.; Corleone, G.; Rotmensz, N.; Bravaccini, S.; Rocca, A.; Pruneri, G.; McEwen, K.R.; Coombes, R.C.; et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics Reveals Multi-Step Adaptations to Endocrine Therapy. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 3840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nagarajan, S.; Rao, S.V.; Sutton, J.; Cheeseman, D.; Dunn, S.; Papachristou, E.K.; Prada, J.E.G.; Couturier, D.L.; Kumar, S.; Kishore, K.; et al. ARID1A Influences HDAC1/BRD4 Activity, Intrinsic Proliferative Capacity and Breast Cancer Treatment Response. *Nat. Genet.* 2020, *52*, 87–197. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Z.-Z.; Xia, L.; Hu, G.-S.; Liu, J.-Y.; Hu, Y.-H.; Chen, Y.-J.; Peng, J.-Y.; Zhang, W.-J.; Liu, W. Super-Enhancer-Controlled Positive Feedback Loop BRD4/ERα-RET-ERα Promotes ERα-Positive Breast Cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2022, gkac778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Menendez, J.A.; Papadimitropoulou, A.; Vander Steen, T.; Cuyàs, E.; Oza-Gajera, B.P.; Verdura, S.; Espinoza, I.; Vellon, L.; Mehmi, I.; Lupu, R. Fatty Acid Synthase Confers Tamoxifen Resistance to Er+/Her2+ Breast Cancer. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 1132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Padró, M.; Louie, R.J.; Lananna, B.V.; Krieg, A.J.; Timmerman, L.A.; Chan, D.A. Genome-Independent Hypoxic Repression of Estrogen Receptor Alpha in Breast Cancer Cells. *BMC Cancer* 2017, *17*, 203. [CrossRef]
- Shiino, S.; Kinoshita, T.; Yoshida, M.; Jimbo, K.; Asaga, S.; Takayama, S.; Tsuda, H. Prognostic Impact of Discordance in Hormone Receptor Status Between Primary and Recurrent Sites in Patients With Recurrent Breast Cancer. *Clin. Breast Cancer* 2016, 16, e133–e140. [CrossRef]
- 48. Jung, Y.S.; Lee, S.J.; Yoon, M.H.; Ha, N.C.; Park, B.J. Estrogen Receptor ?? Is a Novel Target of the Von Hippel-Lindau Protein and Is Responsible for the Proliferation of VHL-Deficient Cells under Hypoxic Conditions. *Cell Cycle* **2012**, *11*, 4462–4473. [CrossRef]
- Alam, M.W.; Persson, C.U.; Reinbothe, S.; Kazi, J.U.; Wigerup, C.; Ditzel, H.J.; Lykkesfeldt, A.E.; Jögi, A. HIF2α Contributes to Antiestrogen Resistance via Positive Bilateral Crosstalk with EGFR in Breast Cancer Cells. *Oncotarget* 2016, *7*, 11238–11250. [CrossRef]
- Jia, X.; Hong, Q.; Lei, L.; Li, D.; Li, J.; Mo, M.; Wang, Y.; Shao, Z.; Shen, Z.; Cheng, J.; et al. Basal and Therapy-Driven Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Confers Resistance to Endocrine Therapy in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast. Oncotarget 2015, 6, 8648–8662. [CrossRef]
- Guo, K.; Searfoss, G.; Krolikowski, D.; Pagnoni, M.; Franks, C.; Clark, K.; Yu, K.T.; Jaye, M.; Ivashchenko, Y. Hypoxia Induces the Expression of the Pro-Apoptotic Gene BNIP3. *Cell Death Differ.* 2001, *8*, 367–376. [CrossRef]

- 52. Feng, C.C.; Lin, C.C.; Lai, Y.P.; Chen, T.S.; Marthandam Asokan, S.; Lin, J.Y.; Lin, K.H.; Viswanadha, V.P.; Kuo, W.W.; Huang, C.Y. Hypoxia Suppresses Myocardial Survival Pathway through HIF-1α-IGFBP-3-Dependent Signaling and Enhances Cardiomyocyte Autophagic and Apoptotic Effects Mainly via FoxO3a-Induced BNIP3 Expression. *Growth Factors* 2016, 34, 73–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Zhang, X.; Tang, N.; Hadden, T.J.; Rishi, A.K. Akt, FoxO and Regulation of Apoptosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Mol. Cell Res.* 2011, 1813, 1978–1986. [CrossRef]
- 54. Wade Harper, J.; Adami, G.R.; Wei, N.; Keyomarsi, K.; Elledge, S.J. The P21 Cdk-Interacting Protein Cip1 Is a Potent Inhibitor of G1 Cyclin-Dependent Kinases. *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 805–816. [CrossRef]
- Jin, S.; Tong, T.; Fan, W.; Fan, F.; Antinore, M.J.; Zhu, X.; Mazzacurati, L.; Li, X.; Petrik, K.L.; Rajasekaran, B.; et al. GADD45-Induced Cell Cycle G2-M Arrest Associates with Altered Subcellular Distribution of Cyclin B1 and Is Independent of P38 Kinase Activity. Oncogene 2002, 21, 8696–8704. [CrossRef]
- 56. Di Conza, G.; Trusso Cafarello, S.; Loroch, S.; Mennerich, D.; Deschoemaeker, S.; Di Matteo, M.; Ehling, M.; Gevaert, K.; Prenen, H.; Zahedi, R.P.; et al. The MTOR and PP2A Pathways Regulate PHD2 Phosphorylation to Fine-Tune HIF1?? Levels and Colorectal Cancer Cell Survival under Hypoxia. *Cell Rep.* 2017, *18*, 1699–1712. [CrossRef]
- Connolly, E.; Braunstein, S.; Formenti, S.; Schneider, R.J. Hypoxia Inhibits Protein Synthesis through a 4E-BP1 and Elongation Factor 2 Kinase Pathway Controlled by MTOR and Uncoupled in Breast Cancer Cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2006, 26, 3955–3965. [CrossRef]
- Han, B.; Bhowmick, N.; Qu, Y.; Chung, S.; Giuliano, A.E.; Cui, X. FOXC1: An Emerging Marker and Therapeutic Target for Cancer. Oncogene 2017, 36, 3957–3963. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Wilson, C.; Qiu, L.; Hong, Y.; Karnik, T.; Tadros, G.; Mau, B.; Ma, T.; Mu, Y.; New, J.; Louie, R.J.; et al. The Histone Demethylase KDM4B Regulates Peritoneal Seeding of Ovarian Cancer. *Oncogene* **2017**, *36*, 2565–2576. [CrossRef]
- Li, S.; Wu, L.; Wang, Q.; Li, Y.; Wang, X. KDM4B Promotes Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition through up-Regulation of ZEB1 in Pancreatic Cancer. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* 2015, 47, 997–1004. [CrossRef]
- Carmeliet, P.; Moons, L.; Luttun, A.; Vincenti, V.; Compernolle, V.; de Mol, M.; Wu, Y.; Bono, F.; Devy, L.; Beck, H.; et al. Synergism between Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor and Placental Growth Factor Contributes to Angiogenesis and Plasma Extravasation in Pathological Conditions. *Nat. Med.* 2001, 7, 575–583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Coradini, D.; Pellizzaro, C.; Speranza, A.; Daidone, M.G. Hypoxia and Estrogen Receptor Profile Influence the Responsiveness of Human Breast Cancer Cells to Estradiol and Antiestrogens. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2004**, *61*, 76–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Fallah, J.; Rini, B.I. HIF Inhibitors: Status of Current Clinical Development. Curr. Oncol. Rep. 2019, 21, 6. [CrossRef]
- Lupien, M.; Meyer, C.A.; Bailey, S.T.; Eeckhoute, J.; Cook, J.; Westerling, T.; Zhang, X.; Carroll, J.S.; Rhodes, D.R.; Liu, X.S.; et al. Growth Factor Stimulation Induces a Distinct ER(Alpha) Cistrome Underlying Breast Cancer Endocrine Resistance. *Genes Dev.* 2010, 24, 2219–2227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ross-Innes, C.S.; Stark, R.; Teschendorff, A.E.; Holmes, K.A.; Ali, H.R.; Dunning, M.J.; Brown, G.D.; Gojis, O.; Ellis, I.O.; Green, A.R.; et al. Differential Oestrogen Receptor Binding Is Associated with Clinical Outcome in Breast Cancer. *Nature* 2012, 481, 389. [CrossRef]