Fitness improvements of young soccer players after high volume or small sided games interventions

AUTHORS: Karel Hůlka¹, Matej Strniště¹

¹ Department of Sport, Faculty of Physical Culture, Palacký University Olomouc, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT: The main goal was to determine anaerobic and aerobic improvement of young soccer players after six-week high volume (HVT) or small sided games (SSG) training intervention. One hundred and one highly trained youth soccer players (16.2 \pm 1.3 years) were divided into SSG (n = 51) and HVT groups (n = 50) and according to age into an under sixteen subgroup (U16), under seventeen subgroup (U17), and under nineteen subgroup (U19). The performance was assessed by Yo-Yo intermittent test, Repeated sprint ability test (RSA), and K-test before and after both training interventions. For U16 the SSG group recorded significant improvements in the K-test (0.64 \pm 0.56 s; p = .04) and RSA (0.15 \pm 0.43 s; p = .01). For U19 the SSG group recorded the same improvements, in the K-test (0.43 \pm 0.57 s; p = .007), RSA (0.21 \pm 0.22 s; p = .048), and Yo-Yo test (127.25 \pm 17.87; p = .049). HVT improved aerobic performance when the Yo-Yo test was significantly better after intervention at U17 (199.00 \pm 111.83 m; p = .030), U19 (88.40 \pm 66.38 m; p = .049). In total, the HVT group spent 621 min (56.45 \pm 5.01 min) of aerobic training and the Small sided game group spent 291 min (26.45 \pm 8.61 min) of small sided games focused on aerobic performance. This study showed that both SSG and HVT training interventions were effective for aerobic improvement for the U19 category, but not for younger players. SSG was identified to be more appropriate to fitness development of soccer players.

CITATION: Karel Hůlka, Matej Strniště. Fitness improvements of young soccer players after high volume or small sided games interventions. Biol Sport. 2021;38(4):573–578.

Received: 2020-06-15; Reviewed: 2020-08-26; Re-submitted: 2020-09-11; Accepted: 2020-10-19; Published: 2020-12-31.

Corresponding author: **Karel Hulka** Palacky University, Faculty of Physical Culture, Hynaisova 9, 77900, Olomouc, Czech Republic E-mail: karel.hulka@upol.cz

Key words: Repeated sprint ability Agility Intermittent exercise Skill-based training

INTRODUCTION

Soccer is a team contact sport where youth players (aged from 16 to 19 years) cover 8–9 km during a game [1] and approximately 20–22% of the distance is performed as high- and very high-intensity running (speed from 13.0 to 18.0 km·h⁻¹) and sprinting [1]. A soccer match imposes great physiological demands on both aerobic and anaerobic energy systems. Players performing at the elite or sub-elite level are required to maintain high-intensity activity during the whole game and to do that certain levels of physical capacities are critical for such performance [2].

The importance of individual physical capacities may differ according to players' role (forwards, defenders, midfielders) on the field [3] but repeated sprint ability, speed, explosive power and aerobic capacity are widely reported as significant discriminants that affect the players' performance on the field [1, 4]. Thus, specific conditioning concepts gained more attention in the last decade and a new approach in the form of small sided games (SSG) has become popular for sport coaches and specialists especially due to its ability to develop aerobic capacity at the same time with technical and tactical elements [5, 6].

SSG are considered to be an appropriate tool for developing specific conditioning in team sport players because of their ability to replicate the game requirements [7, 8], which is supported by Bompa and Buzzichelli [9], who stated that the best training results are achieved through the exercise that corresponds with the competitive demands. However, SSG has several factors (number of players, pitch size, coach encouragement, rules, etc.) that are reported to affect the intensity of the game, and coaches should be aware of these effects and plan the training session according to the training objectives [10].

SSG are reported to reach intensities of 90–95% HR_{max} [11] which correspond to the intensity that is considered functional in improving aerobic fitness in soccer players and to intensities during a game (80–90% HR_{max}) [12]. Such sport-specific conditioning may provide similar or even greater increase in key qualities for soccer players than traditional conditioning drills [6, 10, 13].

The research interest about SSG training is connected with application to the training of youth. Studies are mainly focused on physical and physiological responses of various types of rules changes [14, 15, 16] or ball type [17]. Only two studies were concentrated on the effect of SSG training intervention physical performance. Lupo et al. [18] compared the influence of the SSG and running technique intervention on the agility of youth players. The influence of SSG intervention on aerobic performance was measured by Praca, Sousa and Greco [19].

The main goal of the study was to determine the difference of anaerobic capacity, aerobic capacity and agility improvements of young soccer players after six-week high volume and small sided games training intervention. We hypothesized that SSG training intervention leads to similar fitness improvements as HVT training intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of 101 soccer players took part in this study. Thirty-five players played in the category of U16 (mean \pm standard deviation [SD]: age = 15.1 \pm 0.3 years, weight = 69.8 \pm 2.9 kg, height = 175.8 \pm 4.8 cm), thirty-four players played in the category of U17 (age = 15.9 \pm 0.3 years, weight = 73.6 \pm 2.2 kg, height = 180.1 \pm 3.6 cm), and thirty-two players played in the category of U19 (age = 17.5 \pm 0.5 years, weight = 71.4 \pm 6.4 kg, height = 180.2 \pm 2.7 cm) in the highest Czech national leagues. All participants had several years of experience in soccer and had more than 5 team sessions per week and one match. Participation was voluntary and players could withdraw from the study at any time. Prior to data collection, the aims and objectives of the present study were clarified to all participants and all of them and their parents provided written informed consent. The research design was approved by the institutional ethical committee (14/2020). All players were instructed to maintain normal daily food and water intake during the research. The experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki and the participants signed an informed consent form.

Study design

A randomized parallel matched-group design was used. The training intervention lasted 6 weeks and consisted of one-week pre-testing, 4 weeks of training intervention and one week of post-testing. All sessions were performed during the pre-season (June-July 2018). Detailed periodization of this 6-week interventions is shown in Table 1.

Players were randomly assigned to one of the groups – the High volume training group (HVT; n = 50) or the Small sided games group (SSG; n = 51). The random allocation to one of the groups was performed by tossing a coin. The anthropometric characteristics of divided groups are shown in Table 2. Both training programs and individual training sessions are presented in Table 3. The practices focused on aerobic training consisted of 25 min warm-up, 25 min of football skills and tactical training, and the rest of the practice sessions consisted of aerobic intervention followed by 10 min cool-down. To reduce the effect of the two training protocols, the other training variables (technical, tactical, etc.) were identical for both groups.

TABLE	1.	Six	week	training	program.
-------	----	-----	------	----------	----------

Week		Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	
	Mor	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free			
1 a'	after	aerobic run 20 min	Day off	Testing K-test, RSA	Day off	Testing yo-yo	Day off	Day off	
	mor	free	free	free	free	free	free		
2 after	after	SkillsT & cond (STA, CO, S)	TactT, AERO	TactT& cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	TactT, AERO	TactT& cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	Fmatch	Day off	
	mor	free	free	free	free	free	free		
3 at	after	AERO & cond (STA, CO, S)	SkillsT & TactT	SkillsT & AERO	TactT& cond (Sta, A, S, CO)	TactT, AERO	Fmatch	Day off	
mor 4 after	mor	Free	cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	Free	Free	cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	Fmatch	Day off	
	after	SkillsT & cond (STA, CO, S)	SkillsT & AERO	TactT	TactT, AERO	TacT & SkillsT	Free		
Б	mor	Free	cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	Free	Free	cond (Sta, A, S,CO)	Fmatch	Day off	
5 af	after	SkillsT & AERO	Skills & cond (STA, CO, S)	TactT & AERO	TactT, SkillsT	TacT & AERO	Free	Day off	
mor 6 after	Free	Free		Free	Free	Free			
	after	SkillsT & cond (STA, CO)	Testing K-test, RSA	Day off	Testing yo-yo	SkillsT & TactT	Fmatch	Day off	

Notes: mor = morning; after = afternoon; Cond = Conditioning; Aero = Aerobic training; TactT = Tactical training; Sta = dynamic stability; CO = Core training; A = Agility Training; S = Strength training; SkillsT = Skills training.

	Ν	age	height (cm)	weight (kg)
U16 HVT	17	15.2 ± 0.3	176.6 ± 5.1	69.6 ± 3.0
U16 SSG	17	15.1 ± 0.3	175.4 ± 5.0	69.8 ± 2.7
U17 HVT	17	16.0 ± 0.4	181.1 ± 3.2	74.1 ± 2.7
U17 SSG	17	15.9 ± 0.3	179.8 ± 4.0	72.1 ± 2.6
U19 HVT	16	17.5 ± 0.6	181.2 ± 3.6	70.8 ± 5.1
U19 SSG	17	17.4 ± 0.5	180.1 ± 2.4	71.7 ± 6.9

TABLE 2. Characteristics of players included to the research

Notes: N-number of participants; HVT-High volume training intervention; SSG - Small sided games training intervention

TABLE 3. Detailed plan of practices focused on aerobic performance.

	SSG	Time (min)	HVT	Time (min)
1	6x6 min run + 3 min break	51	6x6 min run + 3 min break	51
2	3v3, 3x 3min; 4v4, 2x 4min, 2min rest	17	4x12min Fartlek+2min break	54
3	4v4 5x4 min, 2min rest	20	2x30 min Fartlek+5 min break	65
4	3v3 4x3min; 4v4 2x5min, 2min rest	22	4x12min Fartlek +2min break	54
5	4v4 6x4 min, 2min rest	24	3x15 min Fartlek +3 min break	51
6	3v3 4x3min; 4v4 2x5min, 1min rest	22	2x25 min Fartlek +5 min break	55
7	4v4 6x4 min, 1min rest	24	Continuous run of 8.9 km	60
8	3v3 5x3min; 4v4 3x4min, 2min rest	27	5x10 min Fartlek + 1 min break	55
9	4v4 5x6 min, 1min rest	30	3x15min Fartlek+3 min break	51
10	3v3 8x3min, 1min rest	24	Continuous run of 8.9 km	60
11	4v4 5x6 min, 1min rest	30	2x30 min Fartlek + 5 min break	65
$Mean \pm SD$	26.4	5 ± 8.61		56.45 ± 5.01

Notes: SSG- programme of SSG group; HVT – programme of HVT group;

Testing protocols

Three tests were separated 24 h from each other and performed before and after training intervention. Tests were aimed at assessing players' aerobic capacity (Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2), anaerobic capacity (RSA) and agility improvement (K-test). All testing sessions were performed at the same time of day to avoid a circadian effect. Every testing session started with standardized warmup and dynamic stretching. Also, participants were familiarized with all testing protocols prior to training sessions.

K-test

The K-test was performed to assess speed and agility (Figure 1). The time was measured by electronic timing gates (0.01 s precision; PR1aW, Alge-Timing GmbH, Austria). Every player completed three attempts with 10 min recovery. The best time was taken. The distance between cones 1-2 and 1-5 was 4.5 m. The distance between cones 2-3 and 5-4 was 3 m. The player started from the run-up start



FIG. 1.

position on his own. The test was performed outdoors on a grass pitch and running lanes were marked by cones. The K-test was validated and applied with 0.10 s standard error of measurement [20].

Repeated sprint ability test (RSA)

The RSA test was applied to determine the level of repeated sprint ability of players [21]. The RSA test consisted of 6 repetitions of maximal 2x15-m shuttle sprints with 14 s passive standing recovery. Three seconds before starting each sprint, subjects were asked to assume the ready position and await the start signal [21]. The player started from the run-up start position. The time was measured by electronic timing gates (PR1aW, Alge-Timing GmbH, Austria) with one hundredth of a second accuracy. The test was performed outdoors on a grass pitch and running lanes were marked by cones. The test was shown to be reliable and valid [22]. For our purpose Sprint decrement (%Sdec) was calculated as follows [20]:

> %Sdec = total time·ideal time⁻¹·100, where the ideal time is 6 times the best sprint time.

Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2

Aerobic performance of participants was assessed by the Yo-Yo intermittent level 2 (YYIRT2) test [23]. The YYIRT2 consists of two 20-m runs performed at increasing speeds controlled by audio bleeps. There is 10 s of active recovery (consisting of 2x5 m of jogging) after each running bout. The test was stopped when the participants were not able to make it to start in time for the second time and the distance covered was recorded as a final result [23]. The test was performed outdoors on a grass pitch and running lanes were marked by cones.

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the data analysis software system Statistica (13.0 version, StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, USA). All results are expressed as mean \pm SD. The prerequisites of normality and homogeneity of variance were verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Lilliefors test respectively. One-way ANOVA of repeated measures was used to determine the significance of differences between measurement sessions (p \leq 0.05). Effect size (Cohen's d) was calculated to determine the practical difference between the SSG training programme and the High-volume training programme. Effect size values of 0 to 0.19, 0.20 to 0.49, 0.50 to 0.79, and 0.8 and above were considered to represent trivial, small, medium, and large differences, respectively [24].

RESULTS

The results of all tests before and after both program interventions are shown in Table 4. For the U16 category the SSG intervention group recorded significant improvements in the K-test (0.64 \pm 0.56 s; p = .04) and RSA test performance (0.15 \pm 0.43 s; p = .01). For the U19 category the SSG intervention recorded similar improvements as in U16, thus in the K-test (0.43 \pm 0.57 s; p = .007) and RSA test performance (0.21 \pm 0.22 s; p = .048); moreover they improved in the Yo-Yo test (127.25 \pm 17.87; p = .049). High volume training

TABLE 4. The results of training interventions

SSG					HVT			
	pre	post	р	d	pre	post	р	d
U16								
K-test (s)	11.51 ± 0.54	$10.87 \pm 0.76^*$.04	1.41	11.74 ± 0.64	11.30 ± 0.65	.10	0.53
RSA (s)	6.21 ± 0.06	$6.11 \pm 0.06*$.01	1.14	6.17 ± 0.17	5.99 ± 0.14	.08	1.09
YO-YO (m)	517.74 ± 151.70	637.09 ± 144.21	.18	1.80	530.52 ± 237.00	680.15 ± 140.00	.08	0.46
U17								
K-test (s)	11.42 ± 0.98	11.19 ± 1.07	.51	0.21	11.25 ± 0.52	11.09 ± 0.78	.66	0.14
RSA (s)	5.92 ± 0.28	5.82 ± 0.47	.39	0.29	5.87 ± 0.11	5.82 ± 0.16	.91	0.10
YO-YO (m)	555.78 ± 156.57	602.11 ± 169.61	.40	0.28	538.00 ± 177.42	740.12 ± 226.47*	.03	0.77
U19								
K-test (s)	11.42 ± 0.72	$10.84 \pm 0.58*$.007	0.93	11.32 ± 0.79	10.97 ± 0.67	.12	0.53
RSA (s)	5.88 ± 0.41	$5.64 \pm 0.35^{*}$.048	0.67	5.89 ± 0.60	5.71 ± 0.32	.67	0.14
YO-YO (m)	645.88 ± 95.98	772.35 ± 91.88*	.049	0.64	678 ± 99.61	766.40 ± 125.89*	.049	1.37

Notes: pre- Values before intervention; post- values after intervention; *-significant differences at p = .05; RSA-Repeated sprint ability test; Yo-Yo – Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2; d- effect size

intervention improved the aerobic indicator when Yo-Yo test performance was significantly better after intervention in the U17 (199.00 \pm 111.83 m; p = .030) and U19 category (88.40 \pm 66.38 m; p = .049).

In total, the high HVT group spent 621 min (56.45 \pm 5.01 min) of aerobic training and the SSG group spent 291 min (26.45 \pm 8.61 min) of small sided games focused on aerobic performance.

DISCUSSION

The main goal of the study was to determine the difference of anaerobic and aerobic improvement of young players after six-week high volume or small sided games training intervention. According to our results SSG intervention has the potential to develop repeated sprint ability of players, aerobic performance, and agility at the same time, in contrast with HVT intervention, where aerobic performance was developed only. But the extent of development seems to be dependent on the age of players. These results are consistent with the findings of previous studies that have investigated changes in athletic performance after SSG training interventions.

Aerobic performance is traditionally an important component of physical training in soccer [25]. Aerobic training can improve some aspects of soccer performance, including distance covered, time spent at high intensity, number of sprints and touches of the ball during a match [26]. Furthermore, high aerobic fitness appears to improve recovery during high-intensity intermittent exercise, typical of soccer performance and training [27, 28]. Nowadays the view to improve aerobic and anaerobic capacity is changed because of time efficiency of training. This change was brought about by increasing the number of matches during the season. That is why the fusion of aerobic training and technical-tactical training or skill-based training is necessary. Researchers started to measure differences in fitness performance between these types of training and traditional training. In our study we found a significant improvement of aerobic performance in the U19 category after SSG intervention. Significant differences were found by [29] after a nine-week training programme of rugby players. According to Owen, Wong del, Paul and Dellal [30] four-week SSG training can improve fitness characteristics of players. Similar findings were made by Hill-Haas et al. [31,32] after a 7-week preseason training period of SSG led to significantly improved Yo-Yo intermittent recovery and by Seitz et al. [8] after an eight-week SSG training intervention. The reason for nonsignificant differences in our study in the U16 and U17 categories may be related to the lower intensity of SSG training because of the lower technical and tactical level of players, as was suggested by Hill-Haas et al. [32]. According to McMillan, Helgerud, Macdonald and Hoff [33] optimal aerobic adaptations are possible if cardiac output remains elevated for sustained periods during soccer training, and for exercise intensities of > 90% of peak heart rate. Thus SSG should be modified to be appropriate to their level.

We found significant improvements in repeated sprint ability of players after SSG training intervention. Similar findings were reported by Owen et al. [30], Buchheit et al. [34] and Seitz and Rivière [35]. It is likely that the type of SSG undertaken by the players produced an efficient training stimulus that induced improvement in RSA [35]. After SSG training intervention, a very important result for soccer players was significant improvement in agility and thus change of direction speed improvement. We consider insufficient improvement of agility and RSA and lower training time for technical and tactical preparation to be a crucial deficiency of HVT intervention for suitable fitness preparation of soccer players in contrast with SSG intervention.

SSG intervention seems to be effective to develop the agility, but probably an age (or technical) limit exists. While technically able players can improve agility during SSG, small players (not technically equipped) should prefer running intervention [18]. This approach is similar to aerobic performance development by SSG intervention. Moreover, SSG intervention enables coaches to enhance time spent by replicating movement and tactical demands similar to competitive match play [32]. Last but not least, an advantage of SSG training intervention is that it is thought to increase player compliance and motivation, since it is perceived to be sport specific [36]. Hence the players can develop decision making, skills under pressure and fatigue, and specific conditioning at the same time. This fact can lead to gaining more time for injury prevention training or regeneration of players.

As a limit of the study we consider that the results indicate the trends in junior categories only and cannot be generalized to other age categories. The biological age of participants was not taken into account at the same time. The future research should determine the age limit from which it is appropriate to apply the SSG training intervention.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study showed that both elected SSG and HVT training interventions were equally effective for aerobic improvement for the U19 category, but not for younger players. Younger players need to use simpler SSG. However, SSG training intervention was identified to be more appropriate to fitness development due to several reasons. The first is concurrent development of aerobic, anaerobic fitness and agility performance, which leads to time efficiency of the fitness programme. The second one is concurrent development of specific fitness, decision making, and skills under pressure and fatigue. The last reason is higher motivation of the players to do the fitness programme.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Palacký University grant IGA_ FTK_2020_002.

REFERENCES

- Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Simpson BM et al. Match running performance and fitness in youth soccer. Int J Sports Med. 2010; 31(11):818–825.
- Hoff J. Training and testing physical capacities for elite soccer players. J Sports Sci. 2007;23(6):573–582.
- Rebelo A, Brito J, Seabra A et al. Physical match performance of youth soccer players in relation to physical capacity. Eur J Sport Sci. 2014;14(1):148–156.
- Chamari K, Moussa-Chamari I, Boussaidi B et al. Appropriate interpretation of aerobic capacity: allometric scaling in adult and young soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39:97–101.
- Klusemann MJ, Pyne DB, Foster C et al. Optimising technical skills and physical loading in small-sided basketball games. J Sports Sci. 2012;30(14):1463–1471.
- Stone NM, Kilding AE. Aerobic Conditioning for Team Sport Athletes. Sports Med. 2009;39:615–642.
- Gamble P. A skill-based conditioning games approach to metabolic conditioning for elite rugby and soccer players. J Strength Cond Res. 2004; 18(3):491–497.
- Seitz LB, Rivière M, De Villarreal ES et al. The athletic performance of elite rugby league players is improved after an 8-week small-sided game training intervention. J Strength Cond Res. 2014; 28(4): 971–975.
- 9. Bompa T, Buzzichelli C. Periodization Training for Sports. Champaign: Human Kinetics, 2004.
- Hill-Haas SV, Dawson B, Impellizzeri FM at al. Physiology of small-sided games training in soccer: a systematic review. Sports Med. 2011;41(3):199–220.
- Brandes M, Heitmann A, Müller L. Physical responses of different small-sided game formats in elite youth soccer players. J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(5):1353–1360.
- 12. Stølen T, Chamari K, Castagna C et al. Physiology of Soccer. Sports Med. 2005; 35(6): 501–536.
- Gabbett T, Jenkins D, Abernethy B. Game-Based Training for Improving Skill and Physical Fitness in Team Sport Athletes. Int J Sports Sci Coach. 2009; 4(2):273–283.
- 14. Lopez-Fernandez J, Sanchez-Sanchez J, Garcia-Unanue J et al. Physical and

Physiological Responses of U-14, U-16, and U-18 Soccer Players on Different Small-Sided Games. Sports. 2020; 8(5): 66–82.

- 15. Castillo D, Lago-Rodriguez A, Dominguez-Diez M et al. Relationships between Players' Physical Performance and Small-Sided Game External Responses in a Youth Soccer Training Context. Sustainability. 2020; 12(11): 1–13.
- 16. Lemes JC, Luchesi M, Faleiro D, Laura B et al. Influence of pitch size and age category on the physical and physiological responses of young football players during small-sided games using GPS devices. Res Sports Med. 2020; 28(2):206–216.
- 17. Santos S, Coutinho D, Goncalves B et al. Effects of manipulating ball type on youth footballers' performance during small-sided games. Int J Sports Sci Coach. 2020;15(2):170–183.
- Lupo C, Ungureanu AN, Varalda M et al. Running technique is more effective than soccer-specific training for improving the sprint and agility performances with ball possession of prepubescent soccer players. Biol Sport. 2019; 36(3):249–255.
- 19. Praca GM, Sousa RBE, Greco PJ. Influence of Aerobic Power on Youth Players' Tactical Behavior and Network Properties during Football Small-Sided Games. Sports. 2019; 7(3):73–81.
- Hulka K, Weisser R, Belka J. Verification of speed and agility K-test in junior football players. J Phys Ed Sport. 2018; 18(2):1187–1191.
- 21. Buchheit M, Millet GP, Parisy A et al. Supramaximal training and postexercise parasympathetic reactivation in adolescents. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40(2):362–371.
- Spencer M, Fitzsimons M, Dawson B et al. Reliability of a repeated-sprint test for field-hockey. J Sci Med Sport. 2006; 9:181–184.
- Krustrup P, Mohr M, Nybo L et al. The Yo-Yo IR2 test: Physiological response, reliability, and application to elite soccer. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006; 38(9):1666–1673.
- 24. Hopkins WG. Measures of Reliability in Sports Medicine and Science. Sports Med. 2000;30(1):1–15.

- Impellizeri FM, Rampinini E, Marcora SM. Physiological assessment of aerobic training in soccer. J Sports Sci. 2005;23(6):583–592.
- 26. Hill-Haas SV, Coutts AJ, Rowsell GJ et al. Generic Versus Small-sided Game Training in Soccer. Int J Sports Med. 2009;30(9):636–642.
- Balsom PD, Ekblom B, Sjondin B. Enhanced oxygen availability during high intensity intermittent exercise decreases anaerobic metabolite concentrations in blood. Acta Physiol Scand. 1994; 150:455–458.
- 28. Tomlin DL, Wenger HA. The relationship between aerobic fitness and recovery from high intensity intermittent exercise. Sports Med. 2001;31(1):1–11.
- 29. Gabbett TJ. Skill-based conditioning games as an alternative to traditional conditioning for rugby league players. J Strength Cond Res. 2006; 20(2):309–315.
- 30. Owen AL Wong del P, Paul D et al. Effects of a periodized small-sided game training intervention on physical performance in elite professional soccer. J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(10):2748–2754.
- 31. Hill-Haas SV, Coutts AJ, Dawson BT et al. Time-motion characteristics and physiological responses of small-sided games in elite youth players: The influence of player number and rule changes. J Strength Cond Res. 2010; 24(8):2149–2156.
- 32. Hill-Haas SV, Dawson B, Impellizzeri FM et al. Physiology of small-sided games training in soccer: A systematic review. Sports Med. 2011;41(3):199–220.
- McMillan K, Helgerud J, Macdonald R et al. Physiological adaptations to soccer specific endurance training in professional youth soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39(5):273–277.
- Buchheit M, Laursen PB, Kuhnle J et al. Game-based Training in Young Elite Handball Players. Int J Sports Med. 2009;30(4):251–258.
- Seitz L, Rivière M. (2014). the Athletic Performance of Elite Rugby League Players Is Improved After an 8-Week Small-Sided Game Training Intervention. J Strenght Cond Res. 2014; 28(4):971–975.
- Little T. Optimizing the Use of Soccer Drills for Physiological Development. Strength Cond J. 2009;31(3):67–74.