

Research

Open Access

Genotoxic responses to titanium dioxide nanoparticles and fullerene in *gpt* delta transgenic MEF cells

An Xu^{1,2}, Yunfei Chai³, Takehiko Nohmi⁴ and Tom K Hei*^{1,3}

Address: ¹Center for Radiological Research, College of Physicians & Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA, ²Key Laboratory of Ion Beam Bioengineering, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui, PR China, ³Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA and ⁴Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis, National Institute of Health Science, Tokyo, Japan

Email: An Xu - anxu@ipp.ac.cn; Yunfei Chai - Yc2181@columbia.edu; Takehiko Nohmi - nohmi@nihs.go.jp;

Tom K Hei* - tkh1@columbia.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 20 January 2009

Received: 5 November 2008

Particle and Fibre Toxicology 2009, **6**:3 doi:10.1186/1743-8977-6-3

Accepted: 20 January 2009

This article is available from: <http://www.particleandfibretoxicology.com/content/6/1/3>

© 2009 Xu et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles and fullerene (C₆₀) are two attractive manufactured nanoparticles with great promise in industrial and medical applications. However, little is known about the genotoxic response of TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀ in mammalian cells. In the present study, we determined the mutation fractions induced by either TiO₂ nanoparticles or C₆₀ in *gpt* delta transgenic mouse primary embryo fibroblasts (MEF) and identified peroxynitrite anions (ONOO⁻) as an essential mediator involved in such process.

Results: Both TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀ dramatically increased the mutation yield, which could be abrogated by concurrent treatment with the endocytosis inhibitor, Nystatin. Under confocal scanning microscopy together with the radical probe dihydrorhodamine 123 (DHR 123), we found that there was a dose-dependent formation of ONOO⁻ in live MEF cells exposed to either TiO₂ nanoparticles or C₆₀, and the protective effects of antioxidants were demonstrated by the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitor, N^G-methyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA). Furthermore, suppression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) activity by using the chemical inhibitor NS-398 significantly reduced mutation frequency of both TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀.

Conclusion: Our results provided novel information that both TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀ were taken up by cells and induced kilo-base pair deletion mutations in a transgenic mouse mutation system. The induction of ONOO⁻ may be a critical signaling event for nanoparticle genotoxicity.

Background

Nanoparticles are referred to a class of particles with properties distinctively different from their bulk and molecular counterparts [1,2]. Due to the unique electrical, thermal, mechanical, and imaging properties, manufactured nanoparticles are highly desirable to improve the quality and performance of materials in a diverse array of industrial

and medical applications, ranging from biomedicine, nanoelectronics and mechanical engineering [3,4]. However, with the increase in large scale production of manufactured nanoparticles, the potential occupational and public exposure to manufactured nanoparticles has aroused concern because of their large surface areas and the ability to deposit in the body [5]. Thus, a comprehen-

sive study is clearly needed to fully explore the genotoxicity of manufactured nanoparticles, which may help to better understand their deleterious health effects and create environmentally friendly and biologically relevant nanoparticles.

Among the manufactured nanoparticles, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles have been already in mass production for decades. In the early years, TiO₂ with the usual size of > 100 nm is considered a poorly soluble particulate and has been widely used as an additive in the production of a white pigment, food colorant, sunscreens, and cosmetic creams by virtue of its biologically inert mess in both humans and animals [6-8]. Recent evidence, however, has suggested that nano-sized TiO₂ can cause inflammatory response in airways of rats and mice, fibrosis or lung tumors in rats, and DNA damage in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, Syrian hamster embryo fibroblasts and human lymphoblastoid cells [9-12]. A significant decrease in the level of glutathione was observed in rat lung alveolar macrophage following exposure to TiO₂ nanoparticles, indicating the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [13]. Furthermore, exposure of human bronchial epithelial cells to TiO₂ nanoparticles was shown to induce oxidative DNA damage, micronuclei formation, and increases in the levels of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and nitric oxide (NO) [14]. Although various *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have shown that TiO₂ nanoparticles are more toxic than its larger, micron-size counterparts, the molecular mechanisms responsible for the genotoxicity in nano-sized TiO₂ are not yet understood.

Compared to TiO₂ nanoparticles that have been used for over half a century, fullerene (C₆₀) is a novel carbon allotrope, which was discovered in 1985 and consist of a polygonal structure made up solely with 60 carbon atoms. In the past few years, methods was established to considerably improve its mass production capacity [15]. Currently, C₆₀ with spherical symmetry has aroused intense interest for its multi-functional uses in materials science and optics and is considered for a variety of biological applications, such as imaging probes and drug carriers. Although investigation of the biological properties of pure, underivatized C₆₀ has been hampered by its low aqueous solubility, C₆₀ is lipophilic and can be localized in the lipid-rich regions including cell membrane *in vitro* [16]. It has been reported that equivalent doses of an aggregated form of underivatized C₆₀ are 3-4 orders of magnitude more toxic to human dermal fibroblasts, lung epithelial cells, and normal human astrocytes than the derivatized, highly water-soluble derivative, C₆₀(OH)₂₄. The increased toxicity is thought to be mediated through ROS induced lipid peroxidation of cell membrane [17]. In accordance with these data, a study performed using the largemouth bass reveals significant lipid peroxidations in

brains of this aquatic species after exposure to underivatized C₆₀ [18]. In a recent study, Isakovic et al. confirmed the greater toxicity of C₆₀ in a variety of cell lines [19]. Nevertheless, there is considerable evidence that C₆₀ induces slightly toxic in bacteria, rats, as well as in murine and human macrophages [20,21]. Thus, to define and constrain the potential biomedical applications of C₆₀, it is of great interest to identify the genotoxicity of C₆₀ in mammalian cells.

In the present study, we assessed the genotoxicity of TiO₂ particles of different size distributions and C₆₀ using *gpt* delta transgenic mouse primary embryo fibroblasts (MEF) [22-24]. We investigated the mutation frequencies at both the *redBA* and *gam* loci and the contribution of endocytosis to the mutagenic process. Since oxidative stress has been widely implicated as a probable mechanism of genotoxicity for a variety of environmental mutagens that induce reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) under either endogenous or exogenous insults [25,26], the contributions of peroxynitrite anions (ONOO⁻) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) were determined in the genotoxic response to TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀. Our results provided direct evidence that both TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀ induced kilobase pair deletion mutations in mammalian cells that were mediated by ONOO⁻. Furthermore, COX-2 signaling pathway, which is essential in mediating cellular inflammation, carcinogenesis, and genomic instability, might be a critical signaling event for nanoparticle genotoxicity.

Methods

MEF cell culture

gpt delta transgenic mice were mated, and pregnant females were sacrificed on day 14 of the gestation period. The use of the transgenic animals and the experimental protocol were previously approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The animals were treated humanely and with regard towards the alleviation of pain and suffering. The embryos were surgically removed and embryonic tissue prepared in culture according to standard procedures [27]. These cultures were grown and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco-BRL) containing 15% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (50 µg/ml) in a 5% CO₂ environment at 37°C.

Preparation of aqueous dispersion of TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀

Anatase TiO₂ particles with different sizes were used in the present study. TiO₂ nanoparticles with an average primary particle diameter of either 5 nm (99.7% purity, referred to as TiO₂ 5 nm) or 40 nm (99.9% purity, referred to as TiO₂ 40 nm) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Inframat Advanced Materials LLC (Farm-

ington, CT, USA), respectively. We purchased the commercially available TiO₂ at -325 mesh in diameter ($\geq 99\%$ purity, referred to as TiO₂-325 mesh) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Pure (99.5%) C₆₀ (referred to as C₆₀) was obtained from SES Research (Houston, TX, USA). The BET Surface Area for 5 nm, 40 nm, and 325 mesh TiO₂ was 114.1261 m²/g, 38.2268 m²/g, and 8.9146 m²/g, respectively, which was determined by ASAP 2020 Accelerated Surface Area and Porosimetry (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA 30093, USA). The above materials were used as received, and no further modifications were applied. TiO₂ particles were suspended in distilled water to a desired concentration and sterilized by heating to 120°C for 30 min. C₆₀ suspension was prepared by long-term (60 days) stirring in water and sterilized by autoclaving. Before being diluted with 5 ml tissue culture medium for cell treatment in T-25 flasks, all particles were sonicated on ice for 30 min to ensure a uniform suspension. For all experiments and analysis, distilled water was filtered with a 0.45 mm nominal pore size polycarbonate syringe filter (Millipore, MA, USA).

Treatment with inhibitors

Nystatin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), an endocytosis inhibitor, was diluted directly from stock solution with medium to a final concentration of 10 U/ml. N^G-methyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA; Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR, USA), nitric oxide synthase inhibitor, was dissolved in distilled water (10 mM stock) and filter sterilized. Stock L-NMMA was diluted with medium to a final concentration of 500 μ M and added to the cultures 24 h before particle treatment and remained in the medium or buffer throughout the treatment period. NS-398 (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), a selective inhibitor of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), was dissolved in dimethyl formamide to a desired stock concentration. Stock NS-398 solution was diluted with medium to a working concentration of 50 μ M.

Cytotoxicity assay

Cell viability was evaluated by MTT assay based on the ability of viable cells to convert a water-soluble tetrazolium salt into a water-insoluble formazan product [28]. The enzymatic reduction of the tetrazolium salt happens only in living, metabolically active cells but not in dead cells. Cultures were incubated in two-well chamber slides at a density of 5.0×10^5 cells per well at 37°C for 24 h. Graded doses of particles were added to the culture medium and incubated for another 24 h. At the end of the treatment period, the medium was removed and 200 μ l of 5 mg/ml MTT was added into each well and the cultures were incubated for another 4 h. The supernatant was removed and 1 ml acidic isopropanol was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance at 570 nm was determined by a spectrophotometer.

Genomic DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was isolated from MEF cells using the RecoverEase™ DNA isolation kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) according to the protocol developed by the supplier. Briefly, about 5.0×10^6 cells were transferred to a chilled Wheaton dounce tissue grinder and the homogenate obtained was filtered and centrifuged at $1100 \times g$ for 12 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in digestion buffer containing RNases (RANse-It™, Stratagene) containing proteinase K solution (2 mg/ml pre-warmed to 50°C). Using wide-bore pipette tips, the samples were transferred to dialysis cups floating on the surface of TE buffer (500 ml) and dialyzed for 24 h. The purity and concentration of DNA was checked spectrophotometrically and samples were diluted with TE buffer to a final DNA concentration of about 0.5 mg/ml, and stored at 4°C for up to 3 months prior to mutation analysis.

In vitro packaging of DNA

The λ -DNA was recovered from approximately 5 μ g of genomic DNA and packaged with terminase and phage proteins contained in the Transpack™ kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) to produce infectious λ -phages. Viable phages were infected into *E. coli* XL-1 Blue MRA (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA), mixed with lambda-trypticase agarose and poured onto 100 mm plates containing 30 ml bottom agar. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. The average of rescued phages per packaging reaction was 1.8×10^6 in the present studies. There was no significant difference in the titers between control and exposed groups.

Spi^r mutation analysis

The mutant frequencies at *red/gam* loci were determined by Spi^r selection as described previously [24,29,30]. Briefly, packaged phages were infected into *E. coli* XL-1 Blue MRA (P2) (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Infected cells were mixed with molten soft agar, poured onto lambda-trypticase agar plates and incubated at 37°C. The plaques detected on the plates (Spi^r candidates) were suspended in 50 μ l of SM buffer. The suspension was spotted on the two types of plates where *E. coli* XL-1 Blue MRA (P2) or WL95 (P2) strain was spread. The plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The numbers of mutants that made clear spots on both strains were counted as confirmed Spi^r mutants. Mutation frequencies were calculated by comparing the titration and number of confirmed mutant plaques.

Quantification of cell-particle interaction

Based on the principle of flow cytometry technology, the sizes and the shapes of all the cells can be determined by the measurement of forward scattered (FSC) and side scattered (SSC) lights [31]. Generally, FSC is related to the cell size and the optical refraction index of the outer mem-

brane of the cells, whereas SSC indicates surface or cellular granularity. Exponentially growing MEF cells were exposed to graded doses of particles for 24 h. After treatment, cells were rinsed with balanced salt solution and fixed. The uptake of particles were determined by flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) equipped with an air-cooled laser providing 15 mW at 488 nm.

Measurement of peroxynitrite anions (ONOO⁻) in particles treated cells

DHR123 is a nonfluorescent, noncharged dye that easily penetrates cell membrane. Once inside the cell, DHR123 selectively reacts with peroxynitrite to yield rhodamine 123, a highly fluorescent compound, which subsequently accumulates in the mitochondria [32]. Exponentially growing MEF cells (2×10^5 cells) grown on 35 mm glass bottom microwell dishes (DTC3 dishes, BiopTechs) were pretreated for 30 min with a 5 μ M dose of dihydrorhodamine 123 in ACAS buffer (127 mM NaCl, 0.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 4.4 mM C₆H₁₂O₆, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) at 37°C. Graded doses of particles, with or without L-NMMA, were then added to the cultures. The fluorescence of rhodamine 123 in cultures was measured using a confocal microscope and a semi-quantitative estimation of the fluorescent signal was obtained using the composite images generated by Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA) as described above.

Statistical analysis

All numerical data were calculated as mean and standard deviation (S.D.) and evaluated by Student's *t*-test. Difference between groups was considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results

TiO₂ particles and C₆₀ induced cytotoxicity in transgenic MEF cells

The viability of MEF cells exposed to graded doses of either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ was analyzed by using the MTT assay. As shown in Figure 1A–C, exposure of MEF cells to different particle sizes of TiO₂ at doses ranging from 0.1 to 30 μ g/ml for 24 h produced various dose response curves in cell viability. Addition of either TiO₂ 5 nm or TiO₂-325 mesh to the culture medium had essentially no effect on the viability of MEF cells. In contrast, treatment of MEF cells with TiO₂ 40 nm resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability. The viability of MEF cells was reduced by 24%, 34%, 44%, 52%, and 60%, when the concentrations of TiO₂ particles were 0.1, 1, 10, 30 and 60 μ g/ml, respectively. The LD₅₀ dose of TiO₂ 40 nm, which resulted in 50% cell killing, was about 30 μ g/ml. Likewise, there was a dose-dependent decrease of cell viability of MEF cells treated with C₆₀ at doses ranging from 0.1 μ g/ml to 10 μ g/ml (Figure 1D). However, there was no further

decrease in cell viability with C₆₀ concentration > 10 μ g/ml.

Mutation frequencies at red/gam gene loci were determined in response to either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ exposure

To investigate the mutagenicity of TiO₂ and C₆₀ in the *gpt* delta assay, a Spi^r mutation assay was used to determine the mutation frequencies induced by either TiO₂ or C₆₀ exposure for 3 days in transgenic MEF cells. The average number of spontaneous *red/gam* gene mutants per 10⁶ recovered plaques in MEF cells used for these experiments was 5.69 ± 1.87 . In cells treated with a dose of 0.1 μ g/ml TiO₂ 5 nm, there was a 2.2-fold increase in mutation yield above the background (Figure 2A). However, with further increase in the concentration of TiO₂ 5 nm, there was no further increase in mutant yield. In contrast, treatment of MEF cells with TiO₂ 40 nm resulted in a dose-dependent induction of mutation yield at the *red/gam* gene locus (Figure 2B). A significant increase in mutation yield over the background level was observed at TiO₂ 40 nm at concentrations ≥ 0.1 μ g/ml ($p < 0.05$). The mutant fraction in cells treated with a dose of 10 μ g/ml of TiO₂ 40 nm was 2.7-fold higher than background. In contrast, the mutation yield at the *red/gam* gene locus was not much altered by TiO₂-325 mesh at doses ranging from 0.1 μ g/ml to 30 μ g/ml (Figure 2C). A clear dose-dependent induction of mutation at the *red/gam* gene locus was observed when MEF cells were subject to C₆₀ treatment at doses ranging from 0.1 μ g/ml to 30 μ g/ml (Figure 2D). There was a 2.6-fold increase in the mutation yield in cells treated with C₆₀ at a concentration of 10 μ g/ml ($p < 0.05$). These results indicated that TiO₂ nanoparticles and C₆₀ were able to produce deletion mutations in *gpt* delta transgenic mutation assay system.

Quantification of TiO₂ particles and C₆₀ uptake

The elastically scattered light from cells/tissues provides a convenient and non-invasive approach to monitor morphological parameters and structural modifications of cells/tissues. The relative intensity of forward scattered (FSC) and the side scattered (SSC) light from single cell is often used in flow cytometry for qualitative measurement of size and granularity of cells. There were significant increases in cellular granularity induced by different particle size of TiO₂ in an exponentially, dose-dependent manner (Figure 3 A–C). However, it was difficult to quantify C₆₀ uptake. These results were consistent with the findings that TiO₂ particles were taken into phagosomes while C₆₀ was difficult to visualize under the electronic microscopy [33].




Figure 1
Cell viability of transgenic MEF cells treated with graded doses of TiO₂ particles and C₆₀ for 24 h. Data were the average of three independent experiments. Bar: ± SD.

Effect of endocytosis inhibitor on TiO₂ particles and C₆₀ induced genotoxicity in MEF Cells

To determine the particle uptake effect on the genotoxicity of either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀, Nystatin, an endocytosis inhibitor which disrupts internalization via caveolae, was used in the present experiments [34]. As shown in Figure 4, the Spi^r mutant yields in MEF cells induced by either TiO₂ 5 nm, TiO₂ 40 nm or C₆₀ at a concentration of 10 µg/ml were suppressed in the presence of 10 U/ml Nystatin

by 1.6-fold, 1.8-fold and 2.2-fold, respectively. However, the presence of Nystatin had no effect on the mutation yield induced by TiO₂ -325 mesh treatment. The dose of Nystatin used in these experiments was non-cytotoxic nor mutagenic.




Figure 2
Mutagenic potential of different particle sizes of TiO₂ and C₆₀ at redBA and gam loci in transgenic MEF cells. 5 × 10⁵ MEF cells were treated with graded doses of either different particle sizes of TiO₂ or C₆₀ as described in the text. Results were expressed as the total number of confirmed λ mutants divided by the total number of rescued phages. The average number of preexisting mutants per 10⁶ plaques used for these experiments was 5.69 ± 1.87. Data were pooled from 3 independent experiments. Bars, ± SD. * indicated p < 0.05.

TiO₂ particles and C₆₀ stimulated peroxynitrite anion (ONOO⁻) production in MEF cells

ONOO⁻ is a strong oxidant and nitrating species resulting from the near diffusion-controlled reaction of superoxide with NO. Treatment of MEF cells with either TiO₂ 5 nm, TiO₂ 40 nm, or C₆₀ resulted in a dose-dependent induction of ONOO⁻ (Figure 5A, B, and 5D). The fluorescent

intensity in cells treated with a 10 µg/ml dose of TiO₂ 5 nm was 1.9-fold higher than the background (p < 0.05) (Figure 5A). A significant increase in fluorescent intensity over the background level was observed with either TiO₂ 40 nm or C₆₀ at concentrations > 1 µg/ml (p < 0.05) (Figure 5B, D). For example, the average fluorescent intensity in cells treated with either TiO₂ 40 nm or C₆₀ at a dose of




Figure 3
Effect of TiO₂ and C₆₀ on the cellular granularity. MEF cells were exposed to graded doses of either different particle sizes of TiO₂ or C₆₀ for 24 h. After treatment, cells were detected and quantified by flow cytometry. Data were pooled from 3 independent experiments. Error bars indicated S.D.

10 µg/ml was 2.2-fold and 2.4-fold above nontreated cells, respectively. It should be noted that the fluorescent intensity obtained in cells treated with TiO₂ -325 mesh was slightly higher than the background level; however, the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 5C). In the presence of N^G-methyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA),

which has been shown to competitively block the activity of NOS in various cell lines, the fluorescent signals in either TiO₂ nanoparticle-treated or C₆₀-treated cells were suppressed significantly (*p* < 0.05).




Figure 4
Mutation fractions at *redBA/gam* loci in MEF cells exposed to either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ at a dose of 10 µg/ml either in the presence or absence of Nystatin (10 U/ml), an endocytosis inhibitor. Results were expressed as the total number of confirmed λ mutants divided by the total number of rescued phages. The average number of preexisting mutants per 10^6 plaques used for these experiments was 5.69 ± 1.87 . Data were pooled from 3 independent experiments. Bars, \pm SD.

Effects of NOS inhibitor on TiO₂ particles and C₆₀-induced genotoxicity in MEF cells

The nitric oxide synthases (NOS) are hemoproteins with a cytochrome P450-like active site that catalyze the oxidation of arginine to nitric oxide and citrulline [35]. To evaluate the contribution of ONOO⁻ in TiO₂ and C₆₀ mutagenesis, MEF cells were exposed to either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ either in the presence or absence of L-NMMA (Figure 6). Concurrent treatment of MEF cells with either TiO₂ 40 nm, TiO₂ 5 nm or C₆₀ at a dose of 10 µg/ml and L-NMMA at a concentration of 500 µM dramatically suppressed the mutation yield by 2.7-fold (column 5 versus 6), 1.9-fold (column 7 versus 8), and 3-fold (column 9 versus 10), respectively ($p < 0.05$). Consistent with our previous studies, treatment of MEF cells with TiO₂-325 mesh resulted in little or no Spⁱ mutations. Addition of L-NMMA (500 µM) had no effect on the overall mutation yield induced by TiO₂-325 mesh (column 3 versus 4). The dose of L-NMMA used here has been shown to be non-toxic and non-mutagenic in mammalian cells. These results strongly suggested that RNS, and especially ONOO⁻, were causally linked to the mutagenic response of both TiO₂ nanoparticle and C₆₀ exposure.

Effects of COX-2 inhibitor on TiO₂ particle and C₆₀-induced genotoxicity in MEF cells

Nitric oxide synthase, which is critical to the biosynthesis of ONOO⁻, has been shown to be involved in the regulation of COX-2 expression [36]. Figure 7 showed the effect of a noncytotoxic and nonmutagenic dose of NS398, a specific inhibitor of COX-2 activity, on either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ mutagenesis at *redBA/gam* loci in MEF cells. Treatment of cells with a 10 µg/ml dose of either TiO₂ 40 nm, TiO₂ 5 nm, or C₆₀ resulted in mutant fractions of 15.2 ± 7.9 (column 5), 11.3 ± 2.4 (column 7), and 14.8 ± 5.7 (column 9), respectively. While NS398 treatment by itself induced no *redBA/gam* loci mutations, its presence in the culture medium during either TiO₂ nanoparticle or C₆₀ treatment reduced the mutant fractions by 2.2-fold, 2.8-fold, and 2-fold to 7 ± 3.1 (column 6), 4.1 ± 0.6 (column 8), and 7.5 ± 4.5 (column 10), respectively, for the 10 µg/ml dose treatment. In contrast, NS398 treatment had minimal effect on the mutagenic potential of TiO₂-325 mesh such that there was no decrease in mutant yield in cells treated with both NS398 and TiO₂-325 mesh as compared to those treated with TiO₂-325 mesh alone.

Discussion

During the last few years, research on toxicologically relevant properties of manufactured nanoparticles has increased at an exponential rate. Currently, most of the toxicological work on nanoparticles have been generated with a small set of nanoparticles, such as carbon black, C₆₀, TiO₂, iron oxides and amorphous silica, which have been manufactured by the chemical industry for some decades and are produced in bulk quantities each year [5,37]. There is evidence that a number of factors are likely to contribute to the toxicity of nanoparticles, including particle number and size, surface area and charges, and chemical composition [38]. Nevertheless, experimental conditions, type or dose of nanoparticles used, or the nature of the assays can also modulate the assessment outcome. It is, therefore, necessary to establish an efficient system to determine the genotoxic events induced by nanoparticles both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Genetic alterations, such as point mutations, chromosomal rearrangements, recombination, and insertions or deletions of genes, are thought to be one of the earliest cellular responses caused by physical and chemical carcinogens and may play an important role in the initiation and progression of carcinogenesis [39]. Previous studies from this laboratory have shown that the *gpt* delta transgenic mouse system provides a unique opportunity to assess the mutagenic potential of asbestos fibers [30]. The *gpt* mice carry tandem repeats of λ G10 DNA in the chromosome, which are retrievable as phage particles by an *in vitro* packaging reaction. The rescued phages are then used to quantify the mutation yield upon exposure to genotoxic agents.




Figure 5
Generation of ONOO⁻ in MEF cells treated with graded doses of either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ in the presence or absence of L-NMMA at a dose of 500 µM. The fluorescence signals generated from composite images were obtained by confocal microscopy in MEF cells preloaded with dihydrorhodamine 123 with or without subsequent either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ treatment. The relative fluorescence intensity of ONOO⁻ in MEF cells as a function of either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ concentration with or without L-NMMA. The relative intensities are expressed in arbitrary units. Data were pooled from three independent experiments. Bars, ± S.D.

The Spi- selection based on deletions extending into or through both the *redBA* and *gam* genes is an efficient mutation assay system for detecting small to kilo-base-sized deletions in different cells, organs, and tissues [24]. Since gene mutation, mitotic recombination, chromosome loss, and interstitial deletion largely contribute to the development of malignancy, the establishment of the *gpt* delta transgenic mouse mutation model may provide new insight on understanding nanoparticle-induced mutagenesis. Our present findings demonstrated that TiO₂ at nano-scale increased the mutant yield at the *gam* and *redBA* loci in MEF cells, while TiO₂ at micro-scale had little effect on the mutation induction. These data were

consistent with several *in vivo* and *in vitro* findings that, upon transition from the micro-scale to nano-scale size range, diameter of inhaled or instilled particles are important factors influencing the toxicity response [19,40,41]. The BET surface area for TiO₂ 5 nm was increased by 3-fold from 38.2268 m²/g to 114.1261 m²/g as compared to TiO₂ 40 nm, however, there was no statistically significant difference among groups exposed to either TiO₂ 5 nm or TiO₂ 40 nm at the same dose (Figure 2), which are in conflict with the notion that toxic response is generally considered to be higher in particles with large surface area than those with smaller area [42]. Although a surface area dependence and correlation have been observed in instil-




Figure 6
Mutant fractions at redBA/gam loci in MEF cells exposed to either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ at a dose of 10 µg/ml in the presence or absence of L-NMMA (500 µM). Data were pooled from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± SD.




Figure 7
Mutant fractions at redBA/gam loci in MEF cells exposed to either TiO₂ particles or C₆₀ at a dose of 10 µg/ml in the presence or absence of NS398 (50 µM). Data were pooled from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± SD.

lation studies [5], recent evidence from rats and mice showed that the surface area for TiO₂ nanoparticles was not a significant factor in inflammatory response [12,43]. In addition, we showed here that C₆₀ was cytotoxic and mutagenic in transgenic MEF cells, although the exact mechanisms are largely unknown.

Endocytosis is a conserved process in eukaryotes by which extracellular components are taken up into cells by invagination of the plasma membrane to form vesicles that enclose these materials [44]. There are several possible uptake pathways for internalizing nanoparticles, such as phagocytosis, macropinocytosis, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolae-mediated endocytosis, and clathrin-caveolae-independent endocytosis (5, 45). Several recent evidence has shown that certain nanoparticles, such as iron oxide and silica, as well as carbon nanotubes, are internalized in cells via the endocytic pathway [46,47]. After 24 h incubation, we observed that the cellular granularity of MEF cells exposed to TiO₂ particles was increased in a dose-dependant manner. In contrast, C₆₀ had no effect on the cellular granularity, which might be due to their low contrast and small diameters. Our results with the lipid raft-disrupting agent Nystatin, which binds to cholesterol in cell membranes and disrupts the formation and trafficking of caveolae, provided further support of the idea that the endocytotic process modulated the mutagenic response of nanoparticle treatment [34]. Given C₆₀ is lipophilic, it is possible that C₆₀ may interact with plasma membrane lipids and exert toxicity directly in the absence of cellular uptake [18]. It is also likely that C₆₀ interact with cell membrane receptors to trigger or alter intracellular signal transduction pathways. Due to high energetic adhesive forces close to the surface, nanoparticles are easily agglomerated to form larger particles. Thus, whether single particles or agglomerates are important in the genotoxicity of nanoparticles has not been identified yet.

The mechanism of oxidative stress induced by nanoparticles is not well understood. There is evidence that free radicals can be induced at the surface of nanoparticles such as single-wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT), semiconductor quantum dots, TiO₂, environmental particles (e.g. PM-10), asbestos, and a range of man-made fibers [14,48,49]. Among the most biologically active oxyradicals such as superoxide anions (O₂⁻), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and hydroxyl radical (OH[·]), NO is relatively long lived and catalyzed by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) [50]. The few cell culture experiments on nanoparticles, such as metal oxides and quantum dots, have identified particles within or around the mitochondria [17,33]. Since mitochondria constitute a major locus for the intracellular formation and reactions with NO, it is likely that multiple radical species are involved in the genotoxic response of TiO₂

nanoparticle and C₆₀ exposure. NO reacts with O₂⁻ and can be rapidly converted into more reactive nitrogen compounds such as ONOO⁻ that can cause nitration of proteins, hydroxylation or nitration of DNA, and mutations [51]. Nano-sized TiO₂ exposure has been reported to increase the production of NO and oxidative DNA damage in human bronchial epithelial cells [14]. In the present study, TiO₂ nanoparticle exposure dramatically increased the generation of ONOO⁻ in MEF cells. It should be noted that nano-TiO₂ particles in the anatase crystal phase were reported to be superior catalysts and more cytotoxic as compared to the rutile particle type, which might be due to differences inherent in the crystal structures of the two phases, rather than differences in surface area [11]. There is evidence that the unique structure of C₆₀ facilitates absorption of light and transfer of this energy to triplet oxygen, thereby forming the highly reactive singlet oxygen state, which may cause oxidative damage in exposed organisms [52]. Recent reports have showed that C₆₀ induces cytotoxic effects via the induction of reactive oxygen species in mouse cells, human cells, and fish. However, it should be noted that some data indirectly suggest that oxyradical-mediated cytotoxicity of C₆₀ might not be an inherent property of pure C₆₀, but rather a result of the residual presence of tetrahydrofuran (THF), the organic solvent used for C₆₀ preparation, which remains intercalated into its lattice [53]. Here, C₆₀ suspension prepared by long-term stirring in water. The oxidation of DHR 123 by ONOO⁻, as detected using confocal microscopy, provided direct evidence that C₆₀ induced a dose-dependent increase of ONOO⁻ in single cells, which could be inhibited by the NOS inhibitor L-NMMA. Moreover, the mutation yields induced by either nano-sized TiO₂ or C₆₀ in MEF cells decreased by concurrent treatment with L-NMMA, indicating a key role of ONOO⁻ in the mechanisms of nano-sized TiO₂ and C₆₀-induced genotoxicity. It's worth notice that the redox events might be caused by the signaling events associated with the transporting of nanoparticles into the cellular structure, rather than the chemical composition/surface area combination of the nanoparticles.

COX-2 is a member of the COX family, which plays important roles in modulating cellular inflammation, carcinogenesis and genomic instability [39]. Nitric oxide synthase, which is critical to the biosynthesis of ONOO⁻, has been shown to be involved in the regulation of COX-2 expression [36,54]. Since COX-2 is the initial and rate-limiting enzymatic step in the metabolism of arachidonic acid into a complex group of signaling lipid mediators, the particle-induced oxidative stress may lead to transmit external signals into the cell and activate COX-2 signal pathway. In the presence of NS-398, a specific inhibitor of COX-2 [55], the genotoxic effects of both nano-sized TiO₂ and C₆₀ was reduced dramatically in MEF cells, thereby

establishing the functional link for the role of ONOO⁻ and COX-2 in mediating the genotoxic events of both nano-sized TiO₂ and C₆₀.

The toxicological data specific to nanoparticles remains insufficient currently [5,56]. However, the potential toxicity of nanoparticles has attracted attention because of their apparent similarities to asbestos and other carcinogenic fibres/particles. Our present studies provided direct evidence on the genotoxicity of two specific types of manufactured nanoparticles, TiO₂ and C₆₀, and highlight several key health risk assessment issues associated with manufactured nanomaterial, such as the paucity of information on nanoparticle toxicology and exposure assessments as well as the extent to which nanoparticle toxicity can be extrapolated from existing particle and fiber toxicology databases.

Abbreviations

TiO₂: Titanium dioxide; C₆₀: Fullerene; *gpt*: Xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (NP_414773: GenBank); MEF: Mouse primary embryo fibroblast; DHR 123: Dihydro-rhodamine 123; ONOO⁻: Peroxynitrite anions; O₂⁻: Superoxide anions; COX-2: Cyclooxygenase-2; L-NMMA: N^G-methyl-L-arginine; Spi: Sensitive to P2 interference.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

XA carried out the preparation and performance of all experiments and wrote the paper. CYF assisted the Spimutation determination. NT established the *gpt* delta mouse mutation assay system. HTK conceived and supervised the work.

Acknowledgements

Work supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health ES 05786, Superfund grant ES 10349, NIEHS Center Grant ES 09089, and National Nature Science Foundation of China 20322202, 20777072.

References

1. Feynman R: **There's plenty of room at the bottom.** *Science* 1991, **254**:1300-1301.
2. National Nanotechnology Initiative: **What is Nanotechnology?** 2005 [<http://www.nano.gov/html/facts/whatsNano.html>]. [accessed 10 November 2005].
3. Mazzola L: **Commercializing nanotechnology.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2003, **21**(10):1137-1143.
4. Paull R, Wolfe J, Hebert P, Sinkula M: **Investing in nanotechnology.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2003, **21**(10):1144-1147.
5. Oberdorster G, Oberdorster E, Oberdorster J: **Nanotoxicology: an emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2005, **113**:823-839.
6. Hart GA, Hesterberg TV: **In vitro toxicity of respirable-size particles of diatomaceous earth and crystalline silica compared with asbestos and titanium dioxide.** *J Occup Environ Med* 1998, **40**(1):29-42.
7. Hext PM, Tomenson JA, Thompson P: **Titanium dioxide: inhalation toxicology and epidemiology.** *Ann Occup Hyg* 2005, **49**:461-472.

8. Linden Schmidt RC, Driscoll KE, Perkins MA, Higgins JM, Maurer JK, Belfiore KA: **The comparison of a fibrogenic and two nonfibrogenic dusts by bronchoalveolar lavage.** *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1990, **102(2)**:268-281.
9. Bermudez E, Mangum JB, Wong BA, Asgharian B, Hext PM, Warheit DB, Everitt JI: **Pulmonary responses of mice, rats, and hamsters to subchronic inhalation of ultrafine titanium dioxide particles.** *Toxicol Sci* 2004, **77**:347-357.
10. Rahman Q, Lohani M, Dopp E, Pemsel H, Jonas L, Weiss DG, Schiffmann D: **Evidence that ultrafine titanium dioxide induces micronuclei and apoptosis in Syrian hamster embryo fibroblasts.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2002, **110**:797-800.
11. Sayes CM, Wahi R, Kurian P, Liu Y, West JL, Ausman KD, Warheit DB, Colvin VL: **Correlating nanoscale titania structure with toxicity: a cytotoxicity and inflammatory response study with human dermal fibroblasts and human lung epithelial cells.** *Toxicol Sci* 2006, **92(1)**:174-185.
12. Warheit DB, Webb TR, Sayes CM, Colvin VL, Reed KL: **Pulmonary instillation studies with nanoscale TiO₂ rods and dots in rats: toxicity is not dependent upon particle size and surface area.** *Toxicol Sci* 2006, **91**:227-236.
13. Afaq F, Abidi P, Matin R, Rahman Q: **Cytotoxicity, pro-oxidant effects and antioxidant depletion in rat lung alveolar macrophages exposed to ultrafine titanium dioxide.** *J Appl Toxicol* 1998, **18**:307-312.
14. Gurr JR, Wang AS, Chen CH, Jan KY: **Ultrafine titanium dioxide particles in the absence of photoactivation can induce oxidative damage to human bronchial epithelial cells.** *Toxicology* 2005, **213**:66-73.
15. Kroto HW, Heath JR, O'Brien SC, Curl RF, Smalley RE: **C₆₀: Buckminsterfullerene.** *Nature* 1985, **318**:162-163.
16. Hurt RH, Monthieux M, Kane A: **Toxicology of carbon nanomaterials: Status, trends, and perspectives on the special issue.** *Carbon* 2006, **44**:1028-1033.
17. Sayes CM, Fortner JD, Guo W, Lyon D, Boyd AM, Ausman KD, Tao YJ, Sitharaman B, Wilson LJ, Hughes JB, West JL, Colvin VL: **The differential cytotoxicity of water soluble fullerenes.** *Nano Lett* 2004, **4**:1881-1887.
18. Oberdorster E: **Manufactured nanomaterials (fullerenes, C₆₀) induce oxidative stress in the brain of juvenile largemouth bass.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2004, **112**:1058-1062.
19. Isakovic A, Markovic Z, Todorovic-Markovic B, Nikolic N, Vranjes-Djuric S, Mirkovic M, Dramicanin M, Harhaji L, Raicevic N, Nikolic Z, Trajkovic V: **Distinct cytotoxic mechanisms of pristine versus hydroxylated fullerene.** *Toxicol Sci* 2006, **91**:173-183.
20. Gharbi N, Pressac M, Hadchouel M, Szwarc H, Wilson SR, Moussa F: **[60]Fullerene is a powerful antioxidant in vivo with no acute or subacute toxicity.** *Nano Lett* 2005, **5**:2578-2585.
21. Mori T, Takada H, Ito S, Matsubayashi K, Miwa N, Sawaguchi T: **Preliminary studies on safety of fullerene upon acute oral administration and evaluation for no mutagenesis.** *Toxicology* 2006, **225**:48-54.
22. Nohmi T, Katoh M, Suzuki H, Matsui M, Yamada M, Watanabe M, Suzuki M, Horiya N, Ueda O, Shibuya T, Ikeda H, Sofuni T: **A new transgenic mouse mutagenesis test system using Spi⁻ and 6-thioguanine selections.** *Environ Mol Mutagen* 1996, **28(4)**:465-470.
23. Masumura K, Totsuka Y, Wakabayashi K, Nohmi T: **Potent genotoxicity of aminophenylnorharman, formed from nonmutagenic norharman and aniline, in the liver of gpt delta transgenic mouse.** *Carcinogenesis* 2003, **24(12)**:1985-1993.
24. Nohmi T, Masumura KI: **Gpt delta transgenic mouse: a novel approach for molecular dissection of deletion mutations in vivo.** *Adv Biophys* 2004, **38**:97-121.
25. Klaunig JE, Kamendulis LM: **The role of oxidative stress in carcinogenesis.** *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2004, **44**:239-267.
26. O'Brien ML, Spear BT, Glauert HP: **Role of oxidative stress in peroxisome proliferator-mediated carcinogenesis.** *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2005, **35(1)**:61-88.
27. Hogan B, Beddington R, Constantini F, Lacy E: **Manipulating the Mouse Embryo.** 2nd edition. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.; 1994.
28. Scudiero DA, Shoemaker RH, Paull KD, Monks A, Tierney S, Nofziger TH, Currens MJ, Seniff D, Boyd MR: **Evaluation of soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines.** *Cancer Res* 1988, **48**:4827-4833.
29. Shibata A, Kamada N, Masumura K, Nohmi T, Kobayashi S, Teraoka H, Nakagama H, Sugimura T, Suzuki H, Masutani M: **Parp-1 deficiency causes an increase of deletion mutations and insertions/rearrangements in vivo after treatment with an alkylating agent.** *Oncogene* 2005, **24(8)**:1328-1337.
30. Xu A, Smilenov LB, He P, Masumura K, Nohmi T, Yu Z, Hei TK: **New Insight into Intrachromosomal Deletions Induced by Chrysothale in the gpt delta Transgenic Mutation Assay.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2007, **115(1)**:87-92.
31. Stringer B, Imrich A, Kobzik L: **Flow cytometric assay of lung macrophage uptake of environmental particulates.** *Cytometry* 1995, **20(1)**:23-32.
32. Kooy NW, Royall JA, Ischiropoulos H, Beckman JS: **Peroxy-nitrite-mediated oxidation of dihydro-rhodamine 123.** *Free Radic Biol Med* 1994, **16**:149-156.
33. Xia T, Kovochich M, Brant J, Hotze Matt, Sempf J, Oberley T, Sioutas C, Yeh JJ, Wiesner MR, Nel AE: **Comparison of the Abilities of Ambient and Manufactured Nanoparticles To Induce Cellular Toxicity According to an Oxidative Stress Paradigm.** *Nano Letters* 2006, **6(8)**:1794-1807.
34. Stuart AD, Brown TDK: **Entry of feline calicivirus is dependent on clathrin-mediated endocytosis and acidification in endosomes.** *J Virol* 2006, **80**:7500-7509.
35. Park SH, Aust AE: **Participation of iron and nitric oxide in the mutagenicity of asbestos in hgp⁺, gpt⁺ Chinese Hamster V79 cells.** *Cancer Res* 1998, **58**:1144-1148.
36. Vane JR, Mitchell JA, Appleton I, Tomlinson A, Bishop-Bailey D, Croxtall J, Willoughby DA: **Inducible isoforms of cyclooxygenase and nitric-oxide synthase in inflammation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994, **91**:2046-2050.
37. Colvin VL: **The potential environmental impact of engineered nanomaterials.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2003, **21**:1166-1170.
38. Nel A, Xia T, Madler L, Li N: **Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel.** *Science* 2006, **311**:622-627.
39. Dixon K, Kopras E: **Genetic alterations and DNA repair in human carcinogenesis.** *Semin Cancer Biol* 2004, **14(6)**:441-448.
40. Donaldson K, Stone V, Clouter A, Renwick L, MacNee W: **Ultrafine particles.** *Occup Environ Med* 2001, **8**:211-216.
41. Nemmar A, Hoylaerts MF, Hoet PHM, Vermeylen J, Nemery B: **Size effect of intratracheally instilled particles on pulmonary inflammation and vascular thrombosis.** *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2003, **186**:38-45.
42. Pan Y, Neuss S, Leifert A, Fischler M, Wen F, Simon U, Schmid G, Brandau W, Jahnen-Dechent W: **Size-Dependent Cytotoxicity of Gold Nanoparticles.** *Small* 2007, **3(11)**:1941-1949.
43. Grassian VH, O'Shaughnessy PT, Adamcakova-Dodd A, Pettibone JM, Thorne PS: **Inhalation exposure study of titanium dioxide nanoparticles with a primary size of 2 to 5 nm.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2007, **115(3)**:397-402.
44. Conner SD, Schmid SL: **Regulated portals of entry into the cell.** *Nature* 2003, **422(6)**:37-44.
45. Geiser M, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Kapp N, Schürch S, Kreyling W, Schulz H, Semmler M, Im Hof V, Heyder J, Gehr P: **Ultrafine particles cross cellular membranes by nonphagocytic mechanisms in lungs and in cultured cells.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2005, **113(11)**:1555-1560.
46. Xing X, He X, Peng J, Wang K, Tan W: **Uptake of silica-coated nanoparticles by HeLa cells.** *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* 2005, **5**:1688-1693.
47. Kam NW, Liu Z, Dai H: **Carbon nanotubes as intracellular transporters for proteins and DNA: an investigation of the uptake mechanism and pathway.** *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2006, **45**:577-581.
48. Shvedova AA, Castranova V, Kisin ER, Schwegler-Berry D, Murray AR, Gandelsman VZ, Maynard A, Baron P: **Exposure to carbon nanotube material: assessment of nanotube cytotoxicity using human keratinocyte cells.** *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 2003, **66**:1909-1926.
49. Martin LD, Krunkosky TM, Dye JA, Fischer BM, Jiang NF, Rochelle LG, Akley NJ, Dreher KL, Adler KB: **The role of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in the response of airway epithelium to particulates.** *Environ Health Perspect* 1997, **105**:1301-1307.
50. Nathan C, Xie QW: **Nitric oxide synthases: Roles, tolls, and controls.** *Cell* 1994, **78(6)**:915-918.

51. Juedes MJ, Wogan GN: **Peroxynitrite-induced mutation spectra of pSP189 following replication in bacteria and in human cells.** *Mutat Res* 1996, **349(1)**:51-61.
52. Arbogast JW, Darmany AO, Foote CS, Rubin Y, Diederich FN, Alvarez MM, Anz SJ, Whetten RL: **Photophysical properties of C60.** *J Phys Chem* 1991, **95**:11-12.
53. Henry TB, Menn F, Fleming JT, Wilgus John, Compton RN, Saylor GS: **Attributing Effects of Aqueous C60 Nano-Aggregates to Tetrahydrofuran Decomposition Products in Larval Zebrafish by Assessment of Gene Expression.** *Environ Health Perspect* 2007, **115(7)**:1059-1065.
54. Chen BC, Chen YH, Lin WW: **Involvement of p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase in lipopolysaccharide-induced iNOS and COX-2 expression in J774 macrophages.** *Immunology* 1999, **97**:124-129.
55. Barnett J, Chow J, Ives D: **Purification, characterization and selective inhibition of human prostaglandin G/H synthase 1 and 2 expressed in the baculovirus system.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1994, **1209**:130-139.
56. Borm PJA, Robbins D, Haubold S, Kuhlbusch T, Fissan H, Donaldson K, Schins R, Stone V, Kreyling W, Lademann J, Krutmann J, Warheit D, Oberdorster E: **The potential risks of nanomaterials: a review carried out for ECETOC.** *Particle Fibre Toxicol* 2006, **3**:11-46.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

