

Hypertension as a silent epidemic among late adolescent girls, its associated demographic factors, and pregnancy outcome: A report from national family health survey (NFHS) IV data

Smitha Chandrashekarappa¹, Sumit Malhotra², Chandan Nagendraswamy¹, Arun Gopi¹, MR Narayana Murthy¹, Kritika Upadhyay³, Sonu Goel³

¹Department of Community Medicine, JSS Medical College, JSS Academy of Higher Education and Research, Mysuru, Karnataka, ²Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, ³Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India

Abstract

Background: Hypertension is predominantly considered to be a disease frequently occurring after the fifth decade of life, its occurrence among adolescents has not received much public health attention. Pathophysiological and epidemiological evidence suggests that essential hypertension initiate in childhood and often goes unnoticed unless explicitly observed for this age group. **Aim:** The current study estimates the prevalence of hypertension, its predictors, and pregnancy outcome among late adolescent girls (15–19 years). **Material and Methods:** The study used secondary data from the NFHS 4 from January 2015 to December 2016. The sample of 1,24,878 adolescent girls out of 6,99,686, national women's data was obtained through a stratified two-stage sample using a population proportionate to size sampling methodology. Descriptive statistical tests and inferential statistics were performed to find the relation of hypertension with the independent variables and Chi-square analysis to study association of hypertension during pregnancy and its adverse pregnancy outcomes. **Results:** The prevalence of hypertension among the late adolescents was 16.4% [CI: 16.2-16.6] (n=20,532). On multivariable logistic regression (after adjusting for all the variables) only age [AOR: 1.09 (1.08-1.11)]; education [AOR: 1.09 (1.006 – 1.2)], and wealth index [AOR: 1.17 (1.11 – 1.24)] retained the significance with hypertension. A significant association was seen between hypertension status of the adolescents and adverse pregnancy outcomes ($\chi^2 = 4.550$, P = 0.033). **Conclusion:** Nearly 1/6th of late adolescents found to be hypertensive, significantly contribution to disease burden. Also, a significant association is seen between hypertension status of adolescents and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Hence, it is imperative to make the National Programme inclusive for adolescents and have a focused approach to health promotion, prevention, and management of non-communicable diseases.

Keywords: Hypertension, India, late-adolescents, NFHS-4, pregnancy outcomes

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sonu Goel, Professor of Health Management, Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India. Adjunct Associate Professor in the School of Medicine, Faculty Education and Health sciences, University of Limerick, Ireland. E-mail: sonugoel1007@yahoo.co.in

Received: 21-01-2022 **Accepted:** 08-03-2022

Quick Resp

Revised: 05-02-2022 **Published:** 14-10-2022

Access this article online			
onse Code:			
- 1 1 1 1 1	Website		

www.jfmpc.com

DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_169_22

Introduction

Hypertension considered to be a disease of adults has its roots in childhood, which is a significant risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), and stroke.^[1] Worldwide hypertension is a silent

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Chandrashekarappa S, Malhotra S, Nagendraswamy C, Gopi A, Murthy MR, Upadhyay K, *et al.* Hypertension as a silent epidemic among late adolescent girls, its associated demographic factors, and pregnancy outcome: A report from national family health survey (NFHS) IV data. J Family Med Prim Care 2022;11:5849-56.

threat to the health of people^[2] with its prevalence increasing to more than 25% worldwide between 2000 and 2010.^[3] Global age-standardized prevalence of hypertension among adults was 32% among women and 34% among men in 2019.^[4] In India, the prevalence of hypertension among 15 to 49 years, according to various studies, was 11.3% to 15%.^[5-7] The most common risk factors for hypertension as revealed by these studies include male gender, living in an urban area, urbanization, sedentary lifestyle, obesity, stress, unhealthy habits such as smoking, alcohol consumption, changes in dietary habits, etc.^[5-8]

Hypertension and CVDs are mainly considered to be the diseases most commonly occurring after the fifth decade of life, while in adolescents, it has received limited public health attention. The epidemiological and physiological evidence suggests that essential hypertension and the risk factors of cardiovascular diseases have their origin in childhood which goes undetected unless specifically looked for during this age group.^[9] Adolescents (adolescence: a period of transition between childhood to adulthood) make their lifestyle choices which will continue throughout their adulthood and old age. These lifestyle choices are primarily influenced by acculturation and globalization.^[10] Unfortunately, many of the unhealthy lifestyle choices adopted by adolescents are a threat to their health, like tobacco use, consumption of junk food, and low levels of physical activity predisposing them to obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia.^[10]

The prevalence of hypertension among adolescent girls in India varies from 2% to 20.5%.[3,11-16] The risk factors for hypertension among adolescent girls and adults were found to be similar. Overweight and obesity, less physical activity, family history of hypertension, habits (alcohol, tobacco, and betel nut consumption), stress, high salt intake, and junk food consumption were the common risk factors. Also, childhood blood pressure (BP) a strong indicator of adult BP, was reported in various studies.^[17-19] So, early detection of hypertension and its related factors is vital so that future burden and complications of hypertension can be prevented.^[9] Added to this is the burden of early marriage, teenage pregnancy in many developing countries, including India, which further makes the issue complicated, with the impact of morbidity of the disease not only affecting the individual but also the pregnancy outcome and hence the offspring. In this background, this secondary analysis of nationally representative National Family Health Survey IV (NFHS 1V) data, was planned to estimate the prevalence of hypertension along with estimating its predictors and pregnancy outcome among late adolescent girls (15-19 years).

Methodology

Data source: The fourth round of the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) conducted in the year 2015–2916 provides data of Indian states and union territory on its population health and nutrition. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Mumbai, is the designated nodal agency under the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) and has conducted all four rounds of the survey so far. $^{\rm [20]}$

Sample size and techniques

The NFHS-4 utilizes a stratified two-stage sampling procedure with a population proportionate to size (PPS) sampling technique. In the first stage, the selection of primary sampling units (PSUs) was made through the 2011 census, which served as the sampling frame. Selected PSUs were further divided into segments of approximately 100–150 houses from out of which two were chosen randomly for the survey using systematic sampling with probability proportional to segment size.

Thereafter a complete house-to-house mapping and line listing operation were conducted in selected rural and urban PSU. In the second stage, through systematic sampling, 22 households were randomly selected within the cluster. Data collection was conducted from 20 January 2015 to 4 December 2016.^[20]

Study variables: The data of about 6,99,686 women were available for the analysis, out of which we conducted a secondary analysis from Woman's Questionnaire specific to late adolescents, that is, 15-19 years, who were 1,24,878 in number [Figure 1]. In them the data related to religion, marital status, geographical distribution, socioeconomic status, education, tobacco, and alcohol history, morbidity history (diabetes, asthma, thyroid disorders, etc.,), history of pregnancy complications, pregnancy outcomes (abortions, miscarriage, stillbirths, and other morbidities),^[20] anthropometry (Height, Weight, Body mass index (BMI)) and BP were retrieved and analyzed. In the survey, trained professionals used a digital BP monitor for recording three systolic and diastolic readings at 5 min intervals, and the mean of all the readings was taken as a final. BP was considered high when the mean of the three readings of BP was >130/80 mmHg for 15–18 years (as per American Paediatric Association guidelines)^[21] and >140/90 mmHg for 19 years (as per JNC 8 criteria).[22]

Data Analysis: The data were analyzed using SPSS V.25 (Licenced to JSS AHER). Descriptive statistics like mean, standard deviation, frequencies were used, and relevant data were represented in the form of tables and graphs. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were done to predict the relation of hypertension with sociodemographic variables and other relevant risk factors. Results of univariable and multivariable logistic regression were represented as a crude and adjusted odds ratio. The variables which were significantly associated with hypertension on univariable analysis were included in the multivariable logistic regression model. Chi-square analysis was performed to find the association between hypertension during pregnancy in this age group and adverse pregnancy outcomes (miscarriage, stillbirth, or abortion). The confidence level was considered at 95%, and the alfa level was 5%.



Figure 1: Methodology and conduct of analysis from NFHS data. Note: SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure

Ethical consideration

It is a secondary data analysis for which permission has been already granted by the Institute's Ethical Committee, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh (PGI/IEC/2019/002357). No relevant guidelines and regulations are needed in the study.

Results

Out of the total 6,99,686 women interviewed, data from 1,24,878 (constituting 17.8%) late-adolescent girls (15–19 years) were included for analysis. Among them, the mean age was 16.96 \pm 1.39 years. The majority of the data represented was from Uttar Pradesh (17.8%) followed by Madhya Pradesh (9.4%) and Bihar (8.1%), and minimum representation was from Chandigarh, Dadra and Nager Haveli, and Lakshadweep Islands, with each Union territory representing 0.1% [Figure 2]. Other characteristics concerning the adolescents are mentioned in Table 1. As per age-recommended cut-offs, 16.4% [CI: 16.2-16.6] of the adolescent girls were found to be hypertensives. [Figure 3]

On conducting univariable analysis age, place of residence, region of residence, religion, marital status, comorbidities, BMI, education, wealth index, alcohol consumption, consumption of tobacco (khaini), having used oral pills for contraception showed statistically significant relation with hypertension.

In multiple logistic regression, after adjusting for all the variables, age, religion, geographical distribution, education, and wealth index retained the statistical significance. [Table 2] Every unit increase in age showed 1.09 times odds for getting affected by hypertension which was found to be statistically

significant (p < 0.001). Adolescents belonging to other religions like Sikhism, Buddhism, Jainism, Jewish, Parsi/Zoroastrian, etc., showed lesser odds [AOR: 0.906 (0.904-0.996)] compared to Hindu's for getting affected by hypertension in univariable analysis, but in multivariable logistic regression analysis, it showed protective effect [AOR: 0.906 (0.904-0.996)] which was statistically significant (p = 0.041). Those living in the eastern region had 2.5 times the odds [AOR: 2.51 (2.31-2.71)] of getting affected by hypertension in comparison with the northern region, followed by other regions, which were found to be statistically significant in multivariable logistic regression (p < 0.001). Concerning education, compared to adolescents with no education, those who had completed primary [AOR: 1.09 (1.006-1.2)] or middle school education had higher odds of them being hypertensives and was found to be statistically significant in both univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis (p = 0.037 for primary school). Those belonging to a higher order of wealth index, that is, poorer [AOR: 1.17 (1.11-1.24)] and middle [AOR: 1.06 (1.01-1.120)] in comparison to the poorest, had higher odds of becoming hypertensives, and both were found to be statistically significant in multivariable logistic regression (p < 0.001, P = 0.013). The people living in the urban area showed a statistically significant protective effect against hypertension in the univariable analysis though it did not retain significance in the multivariable logistic regression analysis [Table 2].

A history of pregnancy was reported by 3.9% of the adolescents. Among them, various pregnancy-related complications as reported by the adolescents are mentioned in Table 3. 48% among them reported hypertension during pregnancy. However, there was a significant statistical association seen between hypertension and adverse pregnancy outcomes (stillbirth, miscarriage or



Figure 2: Distribution of the adolescent girls based on the State/Union Territory of residence

abortion) (($\chi^2 = 4.550$, P = 0.033), [Table 4] though many of them did not report hypertension detected during pregnancy and were detected to be hypertensives in our analysis (based on average of three BP readings as obtained by the NFHS IV data).

Discussion

In the current study, the hypertension prevalence among late adolescents was found to be 16.4%. Several studies including Goswami T et al.^[3] conducted among school-going children between 13 and 15 years showed the prevalence of 12%, studies by Mohan B et al.[11] conducted among children between 11 and 17 years showed the prevalence of 5.7% in rural and 8.4% in urban areas, the study by Kumar P et al.[12] conducted among early adolescents (13-15 yrs) showed a prevalence of 4.6%, the study by Sundar JS et al.^[13] conducted among children between 13 and 17 years of age showed the prevalence of 21.5%, the study by Patel U et al.[14] showed the prevalence of 8% among children between 11 and 17 years and the study by Patel et al.[15] has shown a prevalence of 7% among children between 5 and 15 years of age. A systematic review conducted by Daniel et al. ^[16] showed a prevalence between 2% to 20.5%. These differences in the prevalence could be due to the variation in the age groups of children and adolescents participating in these studies, the difference in the scale used for categorization of hypertension, and the variation in the geographical area where these studies were conducted. Ours being the secondary data analysis of NFHS IV data of late adolescence, the prevalence might be higher as hypertension might have become more apparent among late adolescents compared to earlier ages. NFHS IV data having a better representation of the country compared to the other studies would serve as a better indicator of hypertension in this age group.

In the analysis, it was found that age, religion, geographical distribution, education, and wealth index were significantly related with hypertension among adolescents. The difference in religion and geographical distribution could be due to cultural and social differences. Concerning education, it was seen that better education was found to be protective reason. However, with respect to age, with every unit increase in age, the odds of hypertension also increased. This could be due to the added stress and responsibilities with an increase in age. For the wealth index, though the odds of getting affected with hypertension increased

Cable 1: Characteristics of late adolescents	(15-19 years)
of NFHS IV dataset	

of NFHS IV dataset				
Variable	Percentage			
Religion				
Hindu	73.3			
Muslim	15.9			
Christian	6.8			
Others (Sikh, Buddhist, Jain, Jew, Parsi, Zoroastrian, etc.)	2.9			
Current Marital status				
Never Married	86.3			
Married	13.7			
Area of residence				
Urban	26.6			
Rural	73.4			
Education				
No education	7.1			
Primary	7.3			
Secondary	80.2			
Higher	5.5			
Engaged in any occupation				
Yes	17.4			
No	82.6			
Wealth Index				
Poorest	21.3			
Poorer	24.1			
Middle	21.8			
Richer	18.3			
Richest	14.4			
Body Mass Index (n=122225)				
Moderate to Severe thin	16.5			
Mild thin	21.5			
Normal	56.1			
Overweight	3.2			
Obese	0.6			
Alcohol Consumption				
Yes	99			
No	1			
Diabetic				
No	98.5			
Yes	0.3			
Don't know	1.2			
Asthma				
No	98.7			
Yes	0.8			
Don't know	0.4			
Thyroid				
No	98.9			
Yes	0.6			
Don't know	0.5			
Cardiovascular problems				
No	98.9			
Yes	0.6			
Don't know	0.5			
Cancer				
No	99.5			
Yes	0.1			
Don't know	0.4			

among those belonging to the poorer and middle category in comparison to the poorest, the odds reduced for those who belonged to richer and richest. The possible reason could be that with higher categories of wealth index, there are mostly better

Chandrashekarappa,	et al.: Hypertension	among late	adolescent g	irls

Hanke Clue OF (1/) (1/) I Applicate OF (1/) (2/) I Current age 1.05 (1/03)-1.117) <0.001 1.09 (1/08)-111 <0.001 Garent age 86igion <0.001 0.963 (0.891-1.04) 0.336 Christian 0.975 (0.900-1.057) 0.544 1.10 (0.998-1.17) 0.037 Others 1.122 (1.033-1.234) 0.008 0.906 (0.904-0.996) 0.001 Never married 1 Marial Saus <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Never married 1 Marial Saus <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Primary 1.136 (1.035-1.288) <0.001 1.09 (1.00-1.20) 0.037 Secondary 1.120 (1.031-1.216) 0.007 1.02 (0.93-1.12) 0.569 Figher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.172 Secondary 1.130 (1.635-0.599) 0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Nother region 1 <0.001 <0.001 Southern region	Variable		D	Adjusted OR (05% CI)	D
Age 1.05 ($105 + 117$) <0.001		1 105 (1 002 1 117)	r 	1 00 (1 00 1 11)	F
Lurrent age	Age	1.105 (1.093-1.117)	< 0.001	1.09 (1.08-1.11)	< 0.001
Italidu 1 <0.001	Current age		10.001		10.001
India 1 Madim 0816 (0.758-0.879) < 0.001 0.963 (0.891-1.04) 0.336 Christian 0.975 (0.900-1.057) 0.544 1.10 (0.998-1.17) 0.057 Others 1.129 (1.033-1.234) 0.008 0.906 (0.904-0.906) 0.041 Maried 1 Maried 0.857 (0.822-0.894) < 0.001 Maried 0.857 (0.822-0.894) < 0.001 Never married 1 Primary 1.186 (1.093-1.288) < 0.001 1.09 (1.00-1.20) 0.0037 <	Religion		< 0.001		< 0.001
Musim 0.016 $(0.738-0.87)$ <0.001 0.025 $(0.91-1.03)$ 0.0391 0.0397 0.03	Hindu				0.000
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Muslim	0.816 (0.758-0.879)	<0.001	0.963 (0.891-1.04)	0.336
Others 1.129 (10.33-1.224) 0.008 0.906 (0.904-0.996) 0.041 Marial Status <0.001	Christian	0.975 (0.900-1.057)	0.544	1.10 (0.998-1.17)	0.057
Marial Satus < 0.001	Others	1.129 (1.033-1.234)	0.008	0.906 (0.904-0.996)	0.041
Nerren married 1 Married 0.857 (0.822-0.894) <0.001 <0.001 Education - <0.001 <0.001 Ne dectation 1 Primary 1.186 (1.095-1.28) <0.001 0.09 (1.00-1.20) 0.037 Secondary 1.120 (1.031-1.216) 0.007 1.02 (0.933-1.12) 0.569 Higher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.172 Occupation * * * * * No 1 * * * * Rural 0.864 (0.835.0.895) <0.001 * * Oscriptical distribution * *	Marital Status		< 0.001		
Married 0.857 (0.822.0.894) < 0.001 Schucation - < 0.001	Never married	1			
Education - <0.001	Married	0.857 (0.822-0.894)	< 0.001		
Ne decaration 1 Primary 1.186 (1.093-1.288) <0.001 1.09 (1.00-1.20) 0.037 Secondary 1.120 (1.031-1.216) 0.007 1.02 (0.933-1.12) 0.559 Higher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.172 Occupation 0 1 0 0.077 0.677 No 1 0 <td>Education</td> <td>-</td> <td>< 0.001</td> <td></td> <td>< 0.001</td>	Education	-	< 0.001		< 0.001
Primary 1.186 (1.03>1.288) <0.001 1.09 (1.04-1.20) 0.037 Secondary 1.120 (1.031-1.216) 0.007 1.02 (0.933-1.12) 0.569 Higher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.579 Occupation No 1	No education	1			
Secondary 1.120 (1.031-1.216) 0.007 1.02 (0.093-1.12) 0.559 Higher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.172 Occupation No 1 <	Primary	1.186 (1.093-1.288)	< 0.001	1.09 (1.00-1.20)	0.037
Higher 0.925 (0.866-0.987) 0.019 0.952 (0.88-1.02) 0.172 Occapation 1 2	Secondary	1.120 (1.031-1.216)	0.007	1.02 (0.993-1.12)	0.569
Occupation 1 No 1 Yes 0.980 (0.893-1.07) 0.677 Place of Residence <0.001	Higher	0.925 (0.866-0.987)	0.019	0.952 (0.88-1.02)	0.172
No 1 Yes 0.980 (0.893-1.077) 0.677 Place of Residence <0.001	Occupation				
Yes 0.980 (0.893-1.077) 0.677 Place of Residence $<$ 0.001 Rural 0.864 (0.835-0.895) $<$ 0.001 Geographical distribution $<$ 0.001 $<$ 0.001 North-east region 1.878 (1.756-2.009) $<$ 0.001 1.85 (1.73-1.99) $<$ 0.001 North-east region 2.615 (2.442-2.800) $<$ 0.001 2.51 (2.31-2.71) $<$ 0.001 Central region 1.377 (1.287-1.473) $<$ 0.001 1.25 (1.16-1.34) $<$ 0.001 Central region 1.251 (1.151-1.361) $<$ 0.001 1.24 (1.14,1.35) $<$ 0.001 Southern region 1.251 (1.651-1.865) $<$ 0.001 1.65 (1.55-1.76) $<$ 0.001 Wealth Index $<$ 0.001 1.17 (1.11-1.24) $<$ 0.001 Poorest 1 $<$ $<$ 0.001 1.06 (1.01-1.12) $<$ 0.001 Middl 1.101 (1.048-1.158) $<$ 0.001 1.06 (1.01-1.12) $<$ 0.013 Richest 0.988 (0.938-1.041) 0.653 0.989 (0.93-1.04) $<$ 0.689 Midd Thin 0.246 (0.212-0.286) $<$ 0.001 $<$ $<$ 0.001 Moderate to severc thin 1 $<$ 0.001 <td>No</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	No	1			
Place of Residence <0.001	Yes	0.980 (0.893-1.077)	0.677		
Urban 1 Rural 0.864 (0.835-0.895) <0.001	Place of Residence		< 0.001		
Rural 0.864 (0.835-0.895) <0.001 <0.001 Geographical distribution <0.001	Urban	1			
Geographical distribution <	Rural	0.864 (0.835-0.895)	< 0.001		
Northern region 1 North-east region 1.878 (1.756-2.009) <0.001	Geographical distribution		< 0.001		< 0.001
North-east region $1.878 (1.756-2.009)$ <0.001 $1.85 (1.73-1.99)$ <0.001 Eastern region $2.615 (2.442-2.800)$ <0.001 $2.51 (2.31-2.71)$ <0.001 Western region $1.377 (1.287-1.473)$ <0.001 $1.25 (1.16-1.34)$ <0.001 Central region $1.251 (1.151-1.361)$ <0.001 $1.24 (1.14,1.35)$ <0.001 Southern region $1.251 (1.45-1.865)$ <0.001 $1.26 (1.55-1.76)$ <0.001 Wealth Index <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Poorest1 <0.001 $1.17 (1.11-1.24)$ <0.001 Middle $1.101 (1.048-1.158)$ <0.001 $1.06 (1.01-1.12)$ 0.013 Richer $0.988 (0.938-1.041)$ 0.653 $0.989 (0.93-1.04)$ 0.689 BMI <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Moderate to severe thin1 <0.001 <0.001 Normal $0.259 (0.244-0.300)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.683 (0.597-0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.584-0.802)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.584-0.802)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.683 (0.597-0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.584-1.79)$ 0.207 <0.001 Hookah $1.653 (0.654.41.79)$ 0.230 <0.027 Hookah $1.653 (0.854.41.79)$ 0.230 <0.032	Northern region	1			
Eastern region $2.615 (2.442-2.800)$ <0.001 $2.51 (2.31-2.71)$ <0.001 Western region $1.377 (1.287-1.473)$ <0.001 $1.25 (1.16-1.34)$ <0.001 Central region $1.251 (1.151-1.361)$ <0.001 $1.24 (1.14,1.35)$ <0.001 Southern region $1.752 (1.645-1.865)$ <0.001 $1.24 (1.14,1.35)$ <0.001 Wealth Index <0.001 $1.65 (1.55-1.76)$ <0.001 Poorest1 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Middle $1.101 (1.048-1.158)$ <0.001 $1.17 (1.11-1.24)$ <0.001 Middle $1.101 (1.048-1.158)$ <0.001 $1.06 (1.01-1.12)$ 0.013 Richer $0.988 (0.938-1.041)$ 0.653 $0.989 (0.93-1.04)$ 0.689 Richest $0.985 (0.933-1.039)$ 0.574 $1.00 (1.00-1.06)$ 0.904 BMI <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Moderate to severe thin1 <0.001 <0.001 Normal $0.259 (0.244-0.300)$ <0.001 <0.001 Overweight $0.359 (0.311-0.415)$ <0.001 <0.001 Overweight $0.359 (0.514-0.802)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.584-0.802)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.584-0.802)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.587-0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.685 (0.567-0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.860 (0.672-1.100)$ 0.230 <0.032 Hookah $1.653 $	North-east region	1.878 (1.756-2.009)	< 0.001	1.85 (1.73-1.99)	< 0.001
Western region 1.377 ($1.287-1.473$) <0.001 1.25 ($1.16-1.34$) <0.001 Central region 1.251 ($1.151-1.361$) <0.001 1.24 ($1.14,1.35$) <0.001 Southern region 1.752 ($1.645-1.865$) <0.001 1.65 ($1.55-1.76$) <0.001 Wealth Index <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Poorest 1 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Poorest 1 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Middle 1.101 ($1.048-1.158$) <0.001 1.06 ($1.01-1.12$) 0.013 Richer 0.988 ($0.938-1.041$) 0.653 0.989 ($0.93-1.04$) 0.689 Richest 0.985 ($0.933-1.039$) 0.574 1.00 ($1.00-1.06$) 0.904 BMI <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Moderate to severe thin 1 <0.001 <0.001 Normal 0.259 ($0.244-0.300$) <0.001 <0.001 Overweight 0.359 ($0.584-0.802$) <0.001 Obese 0.683 ($0.597-0.782$) <0.001 <0.001 Obese 0.683 ($0.597-0.782$) <0.001 <0.001 Tobacco usage 1.811 ($0.719-4.557$) 0.207 <0.207 Hookah 1.653 ($0.654-4.179$) 0.288 <0.800 ($0.672-1.100$) 0.230 Converbidicijer 0.993 (0.805 0.9900) 0.032 <0.032	Eastern region	2.615 (2.442-2.800)	< 0.001	2.51 (2.31-2.71)	< 0.001
Central region 1.251 (1.151-1.361)<0.001 1.24 (1.14,1.35)<0.001Southern region 1.752 (1.645-1.865)<0.001	Western region	1.377 (1.287-1.473)	< 0.001	1.25 (1.16-1.34)	< 0.001
Souther region $1.752 (1.645 \cdot 1.865)$ <0.001 $1.65 (1.55 \cdot 1.76)$ <0.001 Wealth Index <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Poorest11 <0.001 $1.17 (1.11 \cdot 1.24)$ <0.001 Middle $1.101 (1.048 \cdot 1.158)$ <0.001 $1.06 (1.01 \cdot 1.12)$ 0.013 Richer $0.988 (0.938 \cdot 1.041)$ 0.653 $0.989 (0.93 \cdot 1.04)$ 0.689 Richest $0.985 (0.933 \cdot 1.039)$ 0.574 $1.00 (1.00 \cdot 1.06)$ 0.904 BMI <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Moderate to severe thin1 <0.001 <0.001 Normal $0.259 (0.244 \cdot 0.300)$ <0.001 <0.001 Overweight $0.359 (0.311 \cdot 0.415)$ <0.001 <0.001 Normal $0.259 (0.244 \cdot 0.300)$ <0.001 <0.001 Obese $0.683 (0.597 \cdot 0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Tobacco usage $0.683 (0.597 \cdot 0.782)$ <0.001 <0.001 Hookah $1.653 (0.654 \cdot 4.179)$ 0.228 <0.001 Khaini $0.800 (0.672 \cdot 1.100)$ 0.230 <0.032	Central region	1.251 (1.151-1.361)	< 0.001	1.24 (1.14,1.35)	< 0.001
Wealth Index <0.001	Southern region	1.752 (1.645-1.865)	< 0.001	1.65 (1.55-1.76)	< 0.001
Porest 1 Poorer 1.138 (1.082-1.198) <0.001	Wealth Index	× , ,	< 0.001		< 0.001
Poorer 1.138 (1.082-1.198) <0.001 1.17 (1.11-1.24) <0.001 Middle 1.101 (1.048-1.158) <0.001	Poorest	1			
Middle 1.101 (1.048-1.158) <0.001	Poorer	1.138 (1.082-1.198)	< 0.001	1.17 (1.11-1.24)	< 0.001
Richer 0.988 (0.938-1.041) 0.653 0.989 (0.93-1.04) 0.689 Richest 0.985 (0.933-1.039) 0.574 1.00 (1.00-1.06) 0.904 BMI <0.001	Middle	1.101 (1.048-1.158)	< 0.001	1.06 (1.01-1.12)	0.013
Richest 0.985 (0.933-1.039) 0.574 1.00 (1.00-1.06) 0.904 BMI <0.001	Richer	0.988 (0.938-1.041)	0.653	0.989 (0.93-1.04)	0.689
BMI <0.001	Richest	0.985 (0.933-1.039)	0.574	1.00 (1.00-1.06)	0.904
Moderate to severe thin 1 <0.001 Mild Thin 0.246 (0.212-0.286) <0.001	ВМІ		< 0.001		
Mild Thin 0.246 (0.212-0.286) <0.001	Moderate to severe thin	1	< 0.001		
Normal 0.259 (0.244-0.300) <0.001 Overweight 0.359 (0.311-0.415) <0.001	Mild Thin	0 246 (0 212-0 286)	<0.001		
Overweight 0.359 (0.311-0.415) <0.001	Normal	0.259 (0.244-0.300)	<0.001		
Obese 0.685 (0.584-0.802) <0.001	Overweight	0.359 (0.311-0.415)	<0.001		
Alcohol Use 0.683 (0.597-0.782) <0.001	Obese	0.685 (0.584-0.802)	<0.001		
Theorem Theorem Tobacco usage 1.811 (0.719-4.557) Bidis 1.811 (0.719-4.557) Hookah 1.653 (0.654-4.179) Khaini 0.860 (0.672-1.100) Comorbidities 0.893 (0.895 0.990)	Alcohol Use	0.683 (0.597-0.782)	<0.001		
Bidis 1.811 (0.719-4.557) 0.207 Hookah 1.653 (0.654-4.179) 0.288 Khaini 0.860 (0.672-1.100) 0.230 Comorbidities 0.893 (0.805 0.990) 0.032	Tobacco usage	0.005 (0.557 0.702)	-0.001		
Hookah 1.653 (0.654-4.179) 0.288 Khaini 0.860 (0.672-1.100) 0.230 Comorbidities 0.893 (0.805 0.990) 0.032	Bidis	1 811 (0 719-4 557)	0.207		
Khaini 0.860 (0.672-1.100) 0.230 Comorbidities 0.893 (0.805 0.990) 0.032	Hookah	1 653 (0 654-4 179)	0.288		
Comorbidities $0.803 (0.805 0.000) = 0.032$	Khaini	0.860 (0.672-1.100)	0.230		
	Comorbidities	0.803 (0.805 0.900)	0.230		

opportunities for a healthy lifestyle, and access to better health facilities.^[19] This finding is contrary to the findings of Mohan *et al.*^[11] study, which showed that upper socioeconomic status was established to be a risk factor for hypertension.

there might be completely different pathophysiology underlying hypertension in this age group unlike the adults, which requires further research.

Contrary to the findings in many studies that overweight and obesity, tobacco and alcohol consumption, comorbidities are the important predictors of hypertension^[3,11-14] our analysis did not find any such relationship. This finding seems to point out that

Out of the 3.9% adolescents, who were pregnant, 48% reported hypertension. Several studies conducted in various parts of the world have shown a prevalence between 5.5% and 20%.^[20,23] In India, while studies specific to the prevalence of hypertension among late pregnant adolescents could not be

Table 3: Various pregnancy-related complications as			
Variable	Percentage (n=4864, 3.9% of adolescents wh experienced pregnancy		
Vaginal bleeding			
Yes	43.5		
No	56.7		
Convulsions			
Yes	41		
No	59		
Prolonged labor			
Yes	48.7		
No	51.3		
Abdominal pain			
Yes	51.2		
No	48.8		
Hypertension			
Yes	48		
No	52		
Adverse pregnancy outcomes			
(stillbirths, miscarriage, abortions)			
Yes	15.4		
No	84.6		

Table 4: Association between adverse pregnancy outcomes and pregnancy hypertension					
Variables	Hypertension		χ^2 test	Р	
	Absent	Present			
Pregnancy outcomes: Abortion,					
Miscarriage, Stillbirth					
No	103345 (99%)	20302 (98.8%)	$\chi^2 = 4.550$	0.033	
Yes	1001 (1%)	230 (1.2%)			
Total (n=1,24,878)	104346	20532			

found, the prevalence of hypertension, in general, is reported to be between 7% and 10%.^[21,2] This huge difference between the prevalence that is seen in NFHS data in comparison to other studies could be for the reason that this data is based on the history and not the real-time measurement of BP recordings.

In the current study, it was also found that there was significant association between hypertension and adverse pregnancy outcomes. This finding is similar to the study by Parra-Pingel *et al.*^[24] and the study by La-Orpipat *et al.*,^[25] where it was seen that the hypertensive adolescents had significantly higher rates of maternal death, maternal heart disease, Pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH), puerperal infection, chorioamnionitis, urinary tract infection, fetal anomaly, preterm delivery, low birth weight, low Apgar scores, and stillbirth. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that both older (16–19 years old) and younger (\leq 15 years old) adolescents were significantly at an increased risk of PIH. The increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes and hypertension is likely to be due to impaired placental perfusion and function.^[26,27]



Figure 3: Proportion of late adolescent girls with hypertension

The main strength of the study is that it is a secondary data analysis of a nationally representative data with very few studies focusing on hypertension among younger age groups. Hence, these data would serve as baseline data for future research. However, since it is a secondary data analysis, we were not able to find the temporal association between some important variables that could be of interest concerning hypertension like family history, stress scores, etc., Also, to mention is that most of the data related to risk factors in the survey were collected through a questionnaire, which could have led to recall bias influencing the results. The proportion of adolescents who smoked tobacco and consumed alcohol was meagre (0.1%) compared to the other group, and this might have led to biased results. Hence, we recommend that further studies involving a representative population of both groups should be conducted.

Conclusion and Recommendations

With 16.3% of late adolescents found to be hypertensive in this secondary data analysis, a considerable proportion of burden of hypertension seems to be contributed by them. Also, there has been a significant association seen between hypertension and adverse pregnancy outcomes in this age group, who are going to be future mothers. With the National program for Non-Communicable Diseases (National Programme for Prevention and Control of Cancer, Diabetes, Cardiovascular Diseases and Stroke) already functioning in India, there is a need to make the program inclusive for younger age groups and focus on the promotion of early intervention like screening and promoting a positive lifestyle.^[28-30]

List of abbreviations

NFHS IV = National Family Health Survey IV

IIPS = International Institute of Population Sciences

MoHFW = Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

PSU = Primary Sampling Unit

OCP's = Oral Contraceptive Pills

CI = Confidence Interval

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank Resource Center for Cardiovascular Health (RCCVH) established under Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh for providing technical support toward writing the manuscript. We are also grateful to Global Health Advocacy Incubator (GHAI) for supporting the study (Grant number-INDIA-RIIR-20) and Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program for providing the data set (survey ref no. 155509 downloaded on June 3, 2021) which helped in the development of the manuscript.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Obarzanek E, Wu CO, Cutler JA, Kavey RE, Pearson GD, Daniels SR. Prevalence and incidence of hypertension in adolescent girls. J Pediatr 2010;157:461-7.e5.
- 2. Kumar R, Sharma M, Srivastava A. A cross-sectional study of hypertension in adolescent girls of district Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2016;3:1388-92.
- 3. Goswami Mahanta T, Mahanta B, Deuri A, Baruah S, Rasailey R, Mahanta B. Determinants of hypertension amongst school-going adolescents aged 13 – 15 yrs in Assam. Clin Epidemiol Glob Heal 2018;6:137-42.
- 4. Worldwide trends in hypertension prevalence and progress in treatment and control from 1990 to 2019: A pooled analysis of 1201 population-representative studies with 104 million participants-PubMed. 2021. Availablefrom: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34450083/. [Last accessed on 2021 Sep 07].
- 5. Gupta R, Gaur K, Ram CVS. Emerging trends in hypertension epidemiology in India. J Hum Hypertens 2019;33:575-87.
- 6. Rauniyar SK, Rahman M, Rahman S, Abe SK, Nomura S, Shibuya K. Inequalities and risk factors analysis in prevalence and management of hypertension in India and Nepal : A national and subnational study. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1341.
- Ghosh S, Kumar M. Prevalence and associated risk factors of hypertension among persons aged 15 - 49 sectional study in India : A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2019;9:e029714.
- 8. Talukdar D, Tripathi M, Tripathi V. Prevalence and associated factors of undiagnosed hypertension among women aged 15 49 years in India : An analysis of National Family Health Survey-4 data. J Hum Hypertens 2021;35:726-40.
- 9. Daniel RA, Id PH, Prasad M, Kant S, Krishnan A, Gupta SK, *et al.* Prevalence of hypertension among adolescents (10-19 years) in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. PLoS One 2020;15:e0239929.
- 10. Singh N, Patel S, Pal DK, Priya A. Prevalence of hypertension and associated risk factors among urban school adolescents in lady bhore catchment area of Bhopal City. Natl J Community Med 2017;8:315-9.
- 11. Mohan B, Verma A, Singh K, Singh K, Sharma S, Bansal R, *et al.* Prevalence of sustained hypertension and obesity among urban and rural adolescents : A school-based, cross-sectional study in North India. BMJ Open 2019;9:e027134.

- 12. Kumar P, Kumar D, Ranjan A, Singh CM, Pandey S, Agarwal N. Prevalence of hypertension and its risk factors among school going adolescents of Patna, India. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11:SC1-4.
- 13. Sundar JS, S JMA, Parameswari S, Valarmarthi S, Kalpana S, Shantharam D. Prevalence and determinants of hypertension among urban school children in the age group of 13- 17 years in, Chennai, Tamilnadu. Epidemiol 2013;3:1000130.
- 14. Patel U, Patel NP, Jain S, Ratre BK, Shrivastava S. High blood pressure in school-going adolescents : Prevalence and risk factors. Pediatr Rev: Int J Pediatr Res 2014;1:3-9.
- 15. Patel A, Bharani A, Sharma M, Bhagwat A, Ganguli N, Chouhan DS. Prevalence of hypertension and prehypertension in schoolchildren from Central India. Ann Pediatr Card 2019;12:90-6.
- 16. Daniel R, Haldar P, Prasad M, Kant S, Krishnan A, Gupta S *et al.* Prevalence of hypertension among adolescents (10-19 years) in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. PLOS ONE 2020;15(10):e0239929.
- 17. Kurnianto A, Kurniadi Sunjaya D, Ruluwedrata Rinawan F, Hilmanto D. Prevalence of hypertension and its associated factors among indonesian adolescents. Int J Hypertens 2020;2020:4262034.
- Amponsem-Boateng C, Zhang W, Oppong Bonney T, Opolot G, Kumi Duodu Kyere E. A cross-sectional study of risk factors and hypertension among adolescent Senior High School students. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2019;2:1173-80.
- 19. Amma GM, Vasudevan B, Akshayakumar S. Prevalence and determinants of prehypertension and hypertension among adolescents: A school based study in a rural area of Kerala, India. Int J Res Med Sci 2015;3:58-64.
- 20. Berhe A, Kassa G, Fekadu G, Muche A. Prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Ethiopia: A systemic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018;18:34.
- 21. Preeclampsia | National Health Portal of India. Nhp.gov.in. 2021. Available from: https://www.nhp.gov.in/disease/ gynaecology-and-obstetrics/preeclampsia. [Last accessedon 2021 Aug 09].
- 22. Mehta B, Kumar V, Chawla S, Sachdeva S, Mahopatra D. Hypertension in pregnancy: A community-based study. Indian J Community Med 2015;40:273-8.
- 23. Sharma N. Prediction of Maternal and Fetal Syndrome of Preeclampsia. London: IntechOpen; 2019.
- 24. Parra-Pingel P, Quisiguiña-Avellán L, Hidalgo L, Chedraui P, Pérez-López F. Pregnancy outcomes in younger and older adolescent mothers with severe preeclampsia. Adolesc Health Med Ther 2017;8:81-6.
- 25. La-Orpipat T, Suwanrath C. Pregnancy outcomes of adolescent primigravida and risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension: A hospital-based study in Southern Thailand. J Obstet Gynaecol 2019;39:934-40.
- 26. Panaitescu A, Syngelaki A, Prodan N, Akolekar R, Nicolaides K. Chronic hypertension and adverse pregnancy outcome: A cohort study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2017;50:228-35.
- 27. Berhe A, Ilesanmi A, Aimakhu C, Mulugeta A. Effect of pregnancy induced hypertension on adverse perinatal outcomes in Tigray regional state, Ethiopia: A prospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2019;20:7.

- Indian Institute of Population Sciences. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) 2015–2016. Mumbai: International Institute for Population Sciences and Macro International; 2017. p. 1-637.
- 29. Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, Blowey D, Carroll AE, Daniels SR, *et al.* Clinical practice guideline for screening and management of high blood pressure in children and

adolescents. Pediatrics 2017;140:e20171904.

30. James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, Cushman WC, Dennison-Himmelfarb C, Handler J, *et al.* 2014 evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: Report from the panel members appointed to the eighth joint national committee (JNC 8). JAMA 2014;311:507-20.