

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Potential role of gene-environment interactions in ion transport mechanisms in the etiology of renal cell cancer

Received: 05 January 2016
Accepted: 07 September 2016
Published: 30 September 2016

Ivette A. G. Deckers¹, Piet A. van den Brandt¹, Manon van Engeland²,
Frederik J. van Schooten³, Roger W. L. Godschalk³, András P. Keszei⁴,
Janneke G. F. Hogervorst^{1,5} & Leo J. Schouten¹

We investigated the ion transport mechanism (ITM) in renal cell cancer (RCC) etiology using gene-environment interactions between candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and associated environmental factors, including dietary intakes of sodium, potassium and fluid, hypertension and diuretic medication. A literature-based selection of 13 SNPs in ten ITM genes were successfully genotyped in toenail DNA of 3,048 subcohort members and 419 RCC cases from the Netherlands Cohort Study. Diet and lifestyle were measured with baseline questionnaires. Cox regression analyses were conducted for main effects and gene-environment interactions. *ADD1_rs4961* was significantly associated with RCC risk, showing a Hazard Ratio (HR) of 1.24 (95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.01–1.53) for the GT + TT (*versus* GG) genotype. Four of 65 tested gene-environment interactions were statistically significant. Three of these interactions clustered in *SLC9A3_rs4957061*, including the ones with fluid and potassium intake, and diuretic medication. For fluid intake, the RCC risk was significantly lower for high *versus* low intake in participants with the CC genotype (HR(95% CI): 0.47(0.26–0.86)), but not for the CT + TT genotype (*P*-interaction = 0.002). None of the main genetic effects and gene-environment interactions remained significant after adjustment for multiple testing. Data do not support the general hypothesis that the ITM is a disease mechanism in RCC etiology.

Several modifiable risk factors have been confirmed to increase the risk of renal cell cancer (RCC), including obesity, cigarette smoking and hypertension, while increasing evidence suggests that moderate alcohol consumption may be inversely associated with RCC risk¹. In addition to environmental factors, genetic susceptibility to RCC has been evaluated in numerous candidate-gene association studies, in which several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from relevant biologic pathways have been associated with RCC risk¹ including several genetic variants in genes that are involved in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and hypertension pathways^{2–4}. In genome-wide association studies (GWAS), susceptibility loci have been identified near the genes *EPAS1* (*endothelial PAS domain protein 1*; involved in the von Hippel Lindau (VHL)-hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) oxygen-sensing pathway), *ITPR2* (*inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor type 2*; associated with waist-hip ratio) and *ZEB2* (*zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 2*; involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition)^{5–9}. In a small GWAS among African Americans, an association with RCC risk was reported for a SNP that was located in the *KCNQ2* (*potassium voltage-gated channel, KQT-like subfamily, member 2*) gene, but the association was not replicated in the confirmation study¹⁰. However, in complex diseases, such as RCC, a substantial part of the phenotypic variation may be explained by the modifying effects of genetic variations on lifestyle risk factors

¹Departments of Epidemiology School for Oncology and Developmental Biology (GROW), Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC), Maastricht, The Netherlands. ²Departments of Pathology, School for Oncology and Developmental Biology (GROW), Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC), Maastricht, The Netherlands. ³Department of Toxicology, School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism (NUTRIM), MUMC, Maastricht, The Netherlands. ⁴Department of Medical Informatics, Universitätsklinikum Aachen, Germany. ⁵Center for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.J.S. (email: lj.schouten@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

SNPs in salt transporter genes	Chr. location	Ref. alleles	Minor allele	MAF	Genotypes						HWE ^b
					11 ^a		12 ^a		22 ^a		
					n	%	n	%	n	%	
<i>ADD1</i> _rs4961	4p16.3	G/T	T	0.212	2,232	62.33	1,182	33.01	167	4.66	0.52
<i>ATP1A1</i> _rs850602	1p21	C/G	G	0.236	2,089	58.35	1,290	36.03	201	5.61	0.93
<i>ATP1B1</i> _rs2901029	1q24	A/G	G	0.432	1,176	32.83	1,716	47.91	690	19.26	0.15
<i>GNB3</i> _rs1129649	12p13	C/T	C	0.351	1,536	42.87	1,582	44.15	465	12.98	0.07
<i>GNB3</i> _rs4963516	12p13	A/C	C	0.148	2,607	72.76	894	24.95	82	2.29	0.60
<i>RGS5</i> _rs2815287	1q23.1	A/C	C	0.377	1,371	38.27	1,4718	47.96	493	13.76	0.23
<i>RGS5</i> _rs2999967	1q23.1	C/T	C	0.461	1,057	29.51	1,750	48.86	775	21.64	0.31
<i>SCNN1B</i> _rs11645151	16p12.2-p12.1	C/T	C	0.251	1,993	55.70	1,371	38.32	214	5.98	0.31
<i>SCNN1B</i> _rs239345	16p12.2-p12.1	A/T	A	0.252	1,994	55.67	1,368	38.19	220	6.14	0.51
<i>SCNN1G</i> _rs4299163	16p12	C/G	C	0.207	2,193	64.01	1,050	30.65	183	5.34	<0.001
<i>SLC9A3</i> _rs4957061	5p15.3	C/T	T	0.470	942	28.13	1,664	49.69	743	22.19	0.89
<i>STK39</i> _rs6749447	2q24.3	G/T	G	0.268	1,928	53.88	1,379	38.54	271	7.57	0.27
<i>WNK1</i> _rs10849563	12p13.3	A/G	G	0.306	1,748	49.45	1,413	39.97	374	10.58	<0.001

Table 1. Characteristics of SNPs in ion transport genes in the subcohort members of the Netherlands cohort study, 1986–2006. ^aGenotypes 11, 12 and 22 refer to the homozygote common, heterozygote and homozygote rare genotype, respectively. ^bP-value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

(gene-environment interactions)¹¹. In addition, gene-environment interactions help to unravel mechanisms underlying carcinogenesis¹².

Recently, we reported that high sodium intake was associated with a higher risk of RCC¹³ and that this association may differ by genetic variation in genes involved in RAAS³, which is a hormonal mechanism that regulates blood pressure and renal sodium homeostasis¹⁴. Renal sodium homeostasis and the homeostatic balance of other solutes is maintained through renal reabsorption and secretion. This process of ion transport is facilitated by protein carriers or ion-specific channels and is essential, as free diffusion of ions through the renal tubules is limited¹⁴. Interestingly, these mechanisms have recently been put forward as potential novel mechanism underlying carcinogenesis¹⁵. Perhaps, the mechanism of ion transport may be another mechanism for which genetic variation may be associated with RCC risk or modify effects of sodium intake in relation to RCC risk.

Ion transport not only involves regulation of sodium homeostasis. Throughout the kidney, renal sodium reabsorption is linked to the reabsorption or secretion of other solutes, such as potassium¹⁴. Moreover, mechanisms in ion transport are a major focus in the pathogenesis of hypertension, as several forms of antihypertensive therapy intervene on various aspects of ion transport¹⁶. For example, diuretic medication increases the excretion of fluid and sodium from the kidneys. Therefore, potassium and fluid intake, hypertension and the use of diuretic medication are, in addition to sodium intake, relevant environmental factors in the context of gene-environment interactions in ion transport mechanisms.

In the present study, we used data from the prospective Netherlands Cohort Study (NLCS) on diet and cancer to investigate the association between candidate SNPs in ion transport mechanisms, their interplay with associated environmental factors and RCC risk.

Results

Genotype and allele frequencies of SNPs in ion transport genes in subcohort members of the NLCS are presented in Table 1. Two SNPs, *SCNN1G*_rs4299163 and *WNK1*_rs10849563, showed a deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE), as tested with Pearson χ^2 test ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively). Despite our priority criteria for multiplex design, i.e. SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) $\geq 20\%$ in Caucasians and SNPs that were not in high linkage disequilibrium (LD) ($r^2 < 0.8$), some of the SNPs had a low MAF (*GNB3*_rs4963516; MAF = 0.148) or a high LD (*SCNN1B*_rs239345 and *SCNN1B*_rs11645151; $r^2 = 0.93$, $D' = 0.96$); in this study population (Haploview, version 4.2¹⁷).

At baseline, cases and subcohort members did not substantially differ in dietary intakes (Table 2). However, the proportion of men, cigarette smokers, participants with a diagnosis of hypertension and users of diuretic medication, was higher among cases than among subcohort members. Perceived saltiness, an inverse indicator of salt preference, was higher in cases than in subcohort members. Among subcohort members, there was no association between the three candidate SNPs in two genes that have previously been associated with taste responses in mice, including *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G*¹⁸, and perceived saltiness, as tested with the χ^2 test (range P-values = 0.516–0.846).

Out of the 13 investigated candidate SNPs, only *ADD1*_rs4961 was significantly associated with RCC risk (Table 3). Results were similar for the crude and age and sex adjusted model. In the latter model, the HR for the GT + TT (*versus* GG) genotype was 1.24 (95% CI: 1.01–1.53), whereas the HR for each T allele was 1.19 (95% CI: 1.00–1.41). The result was not statistically significant after adjustment for multiple testing.

We tested 65 gene-environment interactions between the candidate SNPs and the exposures under study, including the dietary intakes of sodium, potassium and fluid, hypertension status and the use of diuretic medication, in relation to RCC risk (Table 4). Four gene-environment interactions were significant, but not after adjustment for multiple testing. The correlation between the intakes of sodium, potassium, and fluid, the use of diuretic

Baseline characteristics (mean (sd))	Subcohort members	RCC Cases
Total (N)	3379	480
Dietary intakes (in g/d)		
Sodium ^a	2.3 (0.6)	2.5 (0.7)
Discretionary salt ^{b,c}	2.8 (2.7)	2.8 (3.0)
Perceived saltiness (%)		
Not salty enough	1.9	2.0
Good	41.9	36.7
A little too salty	41.6	41.2
Much too salty	14.7	20.2
Potassium ^a	3.5 (0.6)	3.6 (0.6)
Fluid (L/d)	2.1 (0.5)	2.1 (0.5)
Potential confounders		
Age (y)	61.3 (4.2)	61.0 (4.0)
Male sex (%)	49.8	65.2
Total energy intake (kcal/d)	1925 (506.2)	1994.0 (515.9)
Alcohol consumption (g ethanol/d) ^d	13.7 (15.0)	15.7 (16.1)
BMI (kg/m ²) ^e	25.0 (3.1)	25.5 (3.0)
Cigarette smoking status: current (%)	27.4	31.9
Duration in current smokers (y)	38.7 (8.7)	39.6 (8.5)
Intensity in current smokers (cigarettes/d)	14.7 (8.7)	15.9 (8.7)
Hypertension (%)	26.2	31.9
Use of diuretic medication (%)	10.8	13.1

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of subcohort members and renal cell cancer cases in the Netherlands Cohort Study, 1986–2006. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; RCC, renal cell cancer; sd, standard deviation. ^aIntakes are energy-adjusted. ^bSalt intake refers to sodium-chloride intake (sodium-chloride = sodium * 2.54). ^cN does not correspond with the overall N, due to missing values. ^dIn consumers only.

medication and a history of hypertension was low to moderate among subcohort members (correlations -0.003 to 0.54). Additional adjustment for discretionary salt intake in sensitivity analyses did not change the results.

However, three out of the four observed borderline significant gene-environment interactions included the same SNP, i.e. *SLC9A3_rs4957061*. RCC risk estimates by genotype of this SNP are presented in Table 5. A lower RCC risk was observed for the highest (*versus* the lowest) category of fluid intake in participants with the CC genotype (HR(95% CI): $0.47(0.26-0.86)$), whereas no such lower RCC risk was observed for participants with the highest fluid intake and the CT + TT genotype (HR(95% CI): $1.03(0.64-1.65)$, P -interaction = 0.002). This is in line with results from continuous analysis (P -interaction = 0.031). For potassium intake, in continuous analyses, the RCC risk for each additional gram of potassium intake per day showed a subtle difference between the CC and CT + TT genotypes (HR(95% CI): $0.77(0.54-1.12)$ and $1.18(0.94-1.49)$, respectively; P -interaction = 0.028). However, the interaction could not be confirmed in the analyses using tertiles (P -interaction = 0.978). Moreover, the interaction between *SLC9A3_rs4957061* and the use of diuretic medication was statistically significant (P -interaction = 0.013). The RCC risk was highest for participants with the CC genotype who used diuretic medication (HR(95% CI): $2.15(1.23-3.75)$). High sodium intake (tertiles and increment of 1 g/d) and hypertension were associated with a higher risk of RCC, regardless of the *SLC9A3_rs4957061* genotype (P -interaction = 0.808 , 0.827 and 0.399 , respectively).

Discussion

In the present population-based cohort study, we investigated 13 candidate SNPs in ten genes involved in ion transport in relation to RCC risk. Data does not support the general hypothesis that genetic variation in ion transport genes may influence RCC susceptibility, because only one of these SNPs, *ADD1_rs4961*, was significantly associated with RCC risk, but not after adjustment for multiple testing. We additionally investigated potential gene-environment interactions between these genes and exposures that may also be implicated in ion transport in the kidney, including dietary intakes of sodium, potassium and fluid, hypertension and the use of diuretic medication. Although significant gene-environment interactions clustered in one SNP, *SLC9A3_rs4957061*, results were not statistically significant after adjustment for multiple testing, and this does not support the general hypothesis that gene-environment interactions related to ion transport mechanisms are of interest in RCC etiology.

Of the 13 investigated candidate SNPs, only *ADD1_rs4961* was significantly associated with RCC risk. The *ADD1* gene encodes for α -adducin, a ubiquitously expressed cytoskeleton protein implicated in the formation of actin-spectrin complex, actin polymerization and cell signal transduction^{19,20} and has, to our knowledge, not previously been investigated in relation to RCC risk. Lin *et al.* concluded that the G allele of *ADD1_rs4961* might modulate the decline of renal function in healthy elderly Chinese²¹. In contrast, we observed the highest RCC risk for the GT + TT (*versus* GG) genotype. The *ADD1* gene was selected as candidate gene, because genetic variations in this gene have been associated with hypertension²²⁻²⁴. We observed no significant gene-environment

SNPs in ion transport genes	Person years ^a	RCC Cases	Crude model			Age and sex adjusted model			
			HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	FDR-adjusted p-value
<i>ADD1_rs4961</i>									
GG	32,542	240	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
GT + TT	19,590	178	1.23	(1.00–1.52)	0.049	1.24	(1.01–1.53)	0.045	0.533
T ^b	52,133	418	1.17	(0.99–1.38)	0.072	1.19	(1.00–1.41)	0.050	0.484
<i>ATPIA1_rs850602</i>									
CC	30,051	239	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
CG + GG	22,064	179	1.02	(0.83–1.25)	0.853	1.03	(0.84–1.27)	0.789	0.946
G ^b	52,115	418	1.06	(0.89–1.26)	0.503	1.07	(0.90–1.27)	0.444	0.831
<i>ATPIB1_rs2901029</i>									
AA	17,296	135	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
AG + GG	34,847	283	1.04	(0.84–1.30)	0.713	1.07	(0.85–1.33)	0.577	0.938
G ^b	52,143	418	0.97	(0.84–1.12)	0.696	0.99	(0.86–1.14)	0.904	0.904
<i>GNB3_rs1129649</i>									
TT	22,427	192	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
CT + CC	29,729	227	0.89	(0.73–1.10)	0.278	0.90	(0.73–1.10)	0.302	0.654
C ^b	52,156	419	0.94	(0.81–1.10)	0.454	0.96	(0.82–1.12)	0.576	0.831
<i>GNB3_rs4963516</i>									
AA	38,191	293	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
AC + CC	13,965	126	1.18	(0.94–1.47)	0.158	1.15	(0.92–1.45)	0.216	0.654
C ^b	52,156	419	1.18	(0.96–1.43)	0.110	1.16	(0.95–1.42)	0.149	0.484
<i>RGS51_rs2815287</i>									
AA	20,255	151	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
AC + CC	31,887	267	1.12	(0.91–1.39)	0.283	1.13	(0.91–1.40)	0.257	0.654
C ^b	52,142	418	1.12	(0.96–1.30)	0.153	1.12	(0.96–1.30)	0.142	0.484
<i>RGS5_rs2999967</i>									
TT	15,541	111	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
CT + CC	36,594	308	1.18	(0.94–1.49)	0.163	1.23	(0.97–1.56)	0.082	0.533
C ^b	52,135	419	1.10	(0.95–1.27)	0.188	1.13	(0.98–1.31)	0.089	0.484
<i>SCNN1B_rs11645151</i>									
TT	28,984	235	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
CT + TT	23,084	184	0.98	(0.80–1.21)	0.876	0.99	(0.80–1.22)	0.914	0.946
C ^b	52,069	419	1.04	(0.87–1.23)	0.677	1.04	(0.87–1.24)	0.676	0.831
<i>SCNN1B_rs239345</i>									
TT	29,081	233	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
AT + AA	23,075	186	1.01	(0.82–1.24)	0.949	1.01	(0.82–1.24)	0.946	0.946
A ^b	52,156	419	1.04	(0.88–1.23)	0.644	1.04	(0.87–1.23)	0.684	0.831
<i>SCNN1G_rs4299163^c</i>									
GG	31,805	248	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
GC + CC	17,990	146	1.04	(0.84–1.29)	0.721	1.05	(0.84–1.30)	0.691	0.946
C ^b	49,795	394	0.99	(0.83–1.18)	0.911	0.99	(0.83–1.17)	0.896	0.904
<i>SLC9A3_rs4957061</i>									
CC	13,797	109	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
CT + TT	35,129	297	1.07	(0.85–1.35)	0.576	1.09	(0.86–1.38)	0.501	0.930
T ^b	48,926	406	0.96	(0.84–1.11)	0.613	0.97	(0.84–1.12)	0.703	0.831
<i>STK39_rs6749447</i>									
TT	28,255	240	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
GT + GG	23,819	179	0.89	(0.72–1.09)	0.248	0.88	(0.71–1.08)	0.224	0.654
G ^b	52,075	419	0.90	(0.76–1.06)	0.212	0.90	(0.76–1.06)	0.200	0.520
<i>WNK1_rs10849563^c</i>									
AA	25,065	200	1.00	(ref)		1.00	(ref)		
AG + GG	26,333	213	1.01	(0.82–1.25)	0.903	1.01	(0.82–1.24)	0.937	0.946
G ^b	51,398	413	0.97	(0.83–1.12)	0.662	0.96	(0.83–1.12)	0.639	0.831

Table 3. Associations between SNPs in ion transport genes and renal cell cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study, 1986–2006. Abbreviations: RCC, renal cell cancer; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms. ^aIn the subcohort. ^bTrend for genotype based on the allelic model. ^cHWE assumption has not been met for this SNP (See Table 1).

SNPs in ion transport genes ^c	P for interaction ^{a,b}				
	Sodium intake (g/d) ^d	Potassium intake (g/d) ^d	Fluid intake (L/d)	Use of diuretic medication	Doctors' diagnosis of hypertension
ADD1_rs4961	0.101	0.279	0.346	0.875	0.990
ATPIA1_rs850602	0.336	0.684	0.847	0.535	0.091
ATPIB1_rs2901029	0.854	0.363	0.710	0.605	0.187
GNB3_rs1129649	0.355	0.942	0.706	0.276	0.026^e
GNB3_rs4963516	0.518	0.529	0.895	0.633	0.552
RGS5_rs2815287	0.353	0.061	0.701	0.863	0.692
RGS5_rs2999967	0.742	0.723	0.356	0.285	0.356
SCNN1B_rs11645151	0.481	0.275	0.797	0.326	0.498
SCNN1B_rs239345	0.507	0.268	0.647	0.699	0.376
SCNN1G_rs4299163	0.233	0.069	0.860	0.059	0.109
SLC9A3_rs4957061	0.827	0.028^e	0.031^e	0.013^e	0.399
STK39_rs6749447	0.279	0.751	0.498	0.147	0.338
WNK1_rs10849563	0.496	0.727	0.881	0.290	0.068

Table 4. Summary of P values for interaction between dietary intakes, hypertension and use of diuretic medication and SNPs in ion transport genes for renal cell cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study, 1986–2006. ^aUsing Wald χ^2 test for interaction. ^bInteraction adjusted for age at baseline (years), sex (male/female), total energy intake (kcal per day), smoking status (non-current/current), smoking intensity (cigarettes per day), smoking duration (years), BMI (Kg per m²), alcohol intake (grams of ethanol per day), hypertension (yes/no) and use of diuretic medication (yes/no). ^cSNPs are analyzed in a dominant model. ^dIntakes are energy-adjusted by using the residual mean method. ^eFDR-adjusted p-value: 0.504.

	Person years	SLC9A3_rs4957061							P-interaction ^b	FDR-adjusted p-value
		CC			CT + TT					
		Cases	HR ^a	95% CI	Cases	HR ^a	95% CI			
Sodium intake ^{c,d}										
T1	16,402	30	1.00	(ref)	85	1.19	(0.77–1.87)			
T2	16,621	34	1.13	(0.66–1.91)	92	1.25	(0.80–1.95)			
T3	15,903	45	1.69	(1.02–2.79)	120	1.67	(1.09–2.56)	0.808		
Increment of 1 g/d	48,926	109	1.33	(0.91–1.93)	297	1.20	(0.99–1.45)	0.827	0.923	
Potassium intake ^{c,d}										
T1	15,969	36	1.00		87	1.07	(0.70–1.63)			
T2	16,642	33	1.03		104	1.11	(0.73–1.68)			
T3	16,316	40	1.06	(0.65–1.73)	106	1.20	(0.79–1.81)	0.978		
Increment of 1 g/d	48,926	109	0.77	(0.54–1.12)	297	1.18	(0.94–1.49)	0.028	0.504	
Fluid intake										
Low	11,281	28	1.00	(ref)	59	0.82	(0.50–1.34)			
Moderate	21,686	58	1.01	(0.61–1.67)	120	0.81	(0.51–1.28)			
High	15,959	23	0.47	(0.26–0.86)	118	1.03	(0.64–1.65)	0.002		
Increment of 1 L/d	48,926	109	0.60	(0.36–1.02)	297	1.10	(0.83–1.46)	0.031	0.504	
Hypertension										
No	36,292	68	1.00	(ref)	204	1.18	(0.88–1.59)			
Yes	12,635	41	1.57	(1.01–2.42)	93	1.49	(1.03–2.15)	0.399	0.810	
Use of diuretic medication										
No	43,944	85	1.00	(ref)	267	1.25	(0.96–1.62)			
yes	4,982	24	2.15	(1.23–3.75)	30	1.16	(0.71–1.87)	0.013	0.504	

Table 5. Interactions between dietary intakes, hypertension and use of diuretic medication and SLC9A3_rs4957061 for renal cell cancer risk in the Netherlands Cohort Study, 1986–2006. ^aHRs are adjusted for age at baseline (years), sex (male/female), total energy intake (kcal per day), smoking status (non-current/current), smoking intensity (cigarettes per day), smoking duration (years), BMI (Kg per m²), alcohol intake (grams of ethanol per day), hypertension (yes/no) and use of diuretic medication (yes/no). ^bUsing Wald χ^2 test for interaction. ^cTertile distribution is sex-specific and based on subcohort members. ^dIntakes are energy-adjusted by using the residual mean method.

interaction between the candidate SNP, *ADD1*_rs4961, and hypertension in relation to RCC risk. In addition, the variant genotype of *ADD1*_rs4961 is believed to induce proximal tubule sodium reabsorption²⁵, but we observed no significant interactions between this SNP and sodium intake.

The observed gene-environment interactions were all clustered in one SNP, i.e. *SLC9A3*_rs4957061. The *SLC9A3* gene encodes the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger 3 (NHE3), which is the major sodium transporter on the apical membrane of the renal proximal tubule cells²⁶. This is of particular importance for RCC, as the majority of RCC tumors are thought to arise from the proximal renal tubule²⁷. Animal studies, as well as studies in hypertensive patients suggest that this gene is important in the control of blood pressure through its effect on sodium transport^{28–30}. It is however remarkable that the observed gene-environment interactions for *SLC9A3*_rs4957061 did not include hypertension or sodium intake, but potassium intake, fluid intake and use of diuretic medication. In Cox regression analyses, RCC risk estimates for fluid intake were similar in both continuous and categorical analyses; the highest intake was associated with a lower RCC risk for participants with the *SLC9A3*_rs4957061 reference genotype and not for participants with the variant genotype. Previously, we reported that there was no overall association between fluid intake and RCC risk present in this study population, after 17.3 years of follow-up¹³.

Some of the candidate SNPs investigated in the present study are SNPs in *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G*. These genes may be involved in the salty taste transduction pathway in the KEGG pathway database³¹ and have previously been associated with salt taste responses in mice¹⁸. Therefore, these genes may be of particular importance in the association between sodium intake and RCC risk. We hypothesised that SNP-related interindividual variation in the *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G* genes may determine one's perceived saltiness and ultimately the actual sodium intake. We could not confirm our hypothesis, because none of the SNPs in *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G* were associated with perceived saltiness in the subcohort.

Several methodological considerations should be noted as to interpret the results of the present study. Given the prospective study design and the high ascertainment of RCC cases, selection bias and information bias are unlikely in the NLCS. The long follow-up provided sufficient number of cases for the current analyses, but may also have introduced some random misclassification regarding dietary intakes, which were calculated from a single measurement at baseline. In addition, it is possible that the proportions of participants with hypertension and diuretic medication use may slightly be underestimated using this single measurement. Both might have resulted in attenuation of the investigated gene-environment interactions. Moreover, different action mechanisms of various diuretics (e.g. potassium sparing) may have influenced the results. Information on the exact type of diuretic medication was not available. Furthermore, RCC is a heterogeneous disease, with respect to histology and (epi)genetic aberrations. It is conceivable that the observed associations were attenuated, because we did not take these subtypes into account. Finally, the results of two SNPs in particular, i.e. *SCNN1G*_rs4299163 and *WNK1*_rs10849563, should be interpreted with care, as they were not in HWE in our subcohort. However, there is no reason to assume that this error is different for cases and subcohort members or for different categories of the investigated exposures. Therefore, this potential genotyping error would rather have led to missing a true interaction (if any) than detecting an interaction³².

For the present study, we used a candidate SNP approach and selected SNPs based on prior knowledge from current literature. Our initial SNP selection rendered too many potential SNPs of interest for the limited space available on the multiplex design. The 13 SNPs in ten ion transport genes that were successfully genotyped cover only a fraction of the large and complex network of genetic and environmental factors in which the investigated SNPs are included. As a result, true effects might still be diluted or masked by effects of those not included in the present study. In addition, the null results in the present study do not exclude the possibility that other SNPs in these genes or SNPs in other genes related to ion transport may influence RCC susceptibility. New studies in other populations are required to investigate this hypothesis.

In conclusion, results from the present study show some first indications of involvement of *ADD1*_rs4961 and *SLC9A3*_rs4957061 in RCC susceptibility. However, results do not support the general hypothesis that the mechanism of ion transport is a major underlying disease mechanism in RCC etiology, since the selected SNPs in ion transport genes and gene-environment interactions between these SNPs and related exposures were mostly not associated with RCC risk.

Methods

Study design, study population and follow-up. The Netherlands Cohort Study on diet and cancer (NLCS) is a prospective cohort study initiated in 1986 when 58,279 men and 62,573 women between the ages of 55–69 years were included^{33–35}. The case-cohort design was used for efficiency in questionnaire processing and follow-up. Cases were derived from the entire cohort, whereas a subcohort of 5,000 subjects was randomly sampled at baseline to estimate person years at risk³⁶. Subcohort members were regularly followed up for vital status information. All cohort members were followed up for cancer occurrence through computerized record linkage with the Netherlands cancer registry and the Dutch pathology registry (PALGA)³⁷. The coverage of cohort members by the Netherlands cancer registry and PALGA to establish cancer follow-up is estimated to be over 96%³⁸. Cases and subcohort members with prevalent cancer (excluding skin cancer) at baseline were excluded. After 20.3 years of follow-up, 608 microscopically confirmed RCC cases were identified (International Classification of Diseases for Oncology 3 (ICD-O-3): C64).

The NLCS has been approved by the institutional review boards of the TNO Quality of Life Research Institute (Zeist, the Netherlands) and Maastricht University (Maastricht, the Netherlands). All methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines. All cohort members consented to participate in the study and the use of the biological samples by completing and returning the self-administered questionnaire and a bag with toenail clippings.

Toenail DNA for genotyping. Approximately 90,000 participants provided toenail clippings at baseline. DNA was isolated from these toenails as described previously³⁹. To increase our case sample, for 67 RCC cases without toenail clippings, DNA was isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) normal kidney tissues, as described by van Houwelingen *et al.*⁴⁰ and added to the sample collection of toenail DNA. Toenail DNA has shown to be a valid source of DNA for the genotyping of a limited set of SNPs when using the SEQUENOM® MassARRAY® platform using the iPLEX™ assay (Sequenom, Hamburg, Germany)⁴¹.

SNP selection and multiplex design. Genes were of interest if they were involved in ion transport mechanisms in the kidney and have been associated with the regulation of blood pressure or hypertension. The following candidate genes were included: *adducin1* (*ADD1*), *ATPase Na⁺/K⁺ transporting alpha and beta 1 polypeptide* (*ATP1A1* and *ATP1B1*), *guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), beta polypeptide 3* (*GNB3*), *regulator of G-protein signaling 5* (*RGS5*), *sodium channel non-voltage-gated 1 beta and gamma subunit* (*SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G*), *solute carrier family 9, subfamily A (NHE3, cation proton antiporter 3) member 3* (*SLC9A3*), *serine threonine kinase 39* (*STK39*) and *WNK lysine deficient protein kinase 1* (*WNK1*). For these genes, SNPs were selected through extensive literature search considering the number of previously reported associations with i) the risk of RCC, ii) the risk of hyper- or hypotension iii) systolic or diastolic blood pressure, iv) enzymes or proteins in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and v) salt handling, ion transport or salt sensitivity. This search strategy rendered over 100 potential SNPs of interest. A maximum of 40 SNPs could be included in the multiplex design. We gave priority to SNPs with more than one previously reported association, a MAF $\geq 20\%$ in Caucasians (because of power considerations) and to SNPs that were not in high LD with each other ($r^2 < 0.8$). SNPs with the highest priority were first allocated to the assay. Nevertheless, due to potential sequence incompatibilities among primers, not all high priority SNPs could be included in the design. For example, we included *WNK1_rs10849563* (A > G) as a proxy for our high priority SNP *WNK1_rs765250* (T > C, $r^2 = 1.0$ and $D' = 1.0$), which has been associated with essential hypertension and blood pressure, but could not be combined with other SNPs on the multiplex. Subsequently, SNPs with less priority were used to fill the multiplex. Our final multiplex design included 31 SNPs. This manuscript focuses on gene-environment interactions with respect to the ion transport mechanism, including 13 SNPs from 10 genes. Gene-environment interactions with SNPs from the RAAS pathway have been reported in a separate manuscript³.

SNP genotyping. For one SNP, *ADD1_rs17833172*, the genotyping completely failed for unknown reasons. The remaining 30 SNPs were successfully genotyped: 96% of the samples had a call rate of at least 90% and the genotype concordance between duplicates and between toenail samples and FFPE healthy tissues samples was respectively 99.6% and 99.1% on average ($n = 23$). For 4,066 samples corresponding to 3,582 subcohort members and 502 RCC cases, complete genotyping data were available for further analyses (see Fig. 1). All SNP call rates were at least 99.9%, except for *SCNN1G_rs4299163*, *SLC9A3_rs4957061* and *WNK1_rs10849563*, which had a SNP call rate of 95.4%, 94.0% and 98.7%, respectively (Table 1).

Baseline questionnaire. All participants returned a mailed, self-administered, baseline questionnaire on diet and other risk factors for cancer. This baseline questionnaire included a 150-item, semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), which was used for the assessment of dietary habits. Participants with an incomplete or inconsistent FFQ were excluded, leaving 3,379 subcohort members and 479 cases eligible for further analyses³³. The FFQ ranked individuals adequately according to dietary intakes when compared to a 9-day dietary records³³, and reflected nutrient intakes for at least 5 years³⁴. Nutrient intakes were calculated using the Dutch food composition table 1986–87⁴². The daily sodium, potassium and fluid intakes were defined as intakes through both foods and beverages per day, including amounts naturally present in foods and beverages plus amounts added during food processing by food manufacturers. Sodium and potassium intake were adjusted for total energy intake by using the residual-mean method⁴³ and modelled as sex-specific tertile distributions. Fluid intake was modelled as categorical variable using the categories low (≤ 1.75 L/d), moderate (1.75–2.25 L/d) and high (> 2.25 L/d) intake.

In addition to the FFQ, the baseline questionnaire included specific questions on salt added during home-preparation and before consumption (i.e. discretionary salt intake), which could not be measured using the 150 food items^{13,44}. Furthermore, the baseline questionnaire included two questions on the saltiness of restaurant food and soups from package or can (ranging from “not salty enough” to “much too salty”), which were used to assess salt preference, as this may influence total salt (sodium) intake. Finally, participants were asked to report whether they had ever been diagnosed with ‘high blood pressure’ by a physician, whether they used any medication for more than six months and, if so, for which medical condition they used what kind of medication. Diuretic medication was defined according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification of the WHO Collaborative Centre for Drug Statistical Methodology^{45,46}.

Statistical analyses. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). HRs and 95% CI for the independent main effects of each SNP on RCC risk were evaluated in a crude model and an age and sex adjusted model using Cox proportional hazards analyses adjusted for the case-cohort design⁴⁷. Genotypes were analysed in a dominant model to maintain optimal power and in an allelic model. In subcohort members, we evaluated whether SNPs in genes that have previously been associated with taste responses in mice, including *SCNN1B* and *SCNN1G*¹⁸, were associated with perceived saltiness at baseline using the χ^2 test.

Gene-environment interactions including the candidate SNPs and the intakes of sodium, potassium and fluid, hypertension and the use of diuretic medication were tested using the Wald χ^2 test by including the interaction term into the model. Risk estimates were only presented for the significant gene-environment interactions. Interaction analyses were performed in a multivariable-adjusted model, including *a priori* selected potential confounders: age at baseline (years), sex (male/female), total energy intake (kcal/day), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²),




Figure 1. Flow chart of members of the Netherlands cohort study on diet and cancer (NLCS) for analyses after 20.3 years of follow-up, 1986–2006. Used abbreviations: FFQ = Food-Frequency Questionnaire. RCC = Renal cell cancer.

cigarette smoking (status (non-current/current), intensity (cigarettes/day) and duration (years)), alcohol consumption (g ethanol/day), hypertension (no/yes) and use of diuretic medication (no/yes). Analyses including sodium intake were additionally adjusted for discretionary salt intake (g/d) in sensitivity analyses. Participants with missing information on confounders were excluded, leaving 3,084 subcohort members and 419 cases available for multivariable analysis.

For all the models including covariates, the proportional hazards assumption was tested using the scaled Schoenfeld residuals. A violation was apparent for age, which was therefore modelled as time dependent covariate. All tests were two-sided and a P -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To adjust for multiple testing, we applied the false discovery rate (FDR) approach of Benjamini-Hochberg⁴⁸. The FDR was set at 20%, which is common in candidate gene studies⁴⁹. FDR-adjusted P -values were separately calculated for the main genetic effects and for the interactions.

References

1. Chow, W. H., Dong, L. M. & Devesa, S. S. Epidemiology and risk factors for kidney cancer. *Nat Rev Urol.* **7**, 245–257 (2010).
2. Andreotti, G. *et al.* Variants in blood pressure genes and the risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis.* **31**, 614–620 (2010).
3. Deckers, I. A. *et al.* Polymorphisms in genes of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and renal cell cancer risk: interplay with hypertension and intakes of sodium, potassium and fluid. *Int J Cancer.* **136**, 1104–1116 (2015).
4. de Martino, M. *et al.* Insertion/deletion polymorphism of angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene is linked with chromophobe renal cell carcinoma. *Urology.* **77**, 1005 e9–1005 e13 (2011).

5. Wu, X. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies a novel susceptibility locus for renal cell carcinoma on 12p11.23. *Hum Mol Genet.* **21**, 456–462 (2012).
6. Purdue, M. P. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of renal cell carcinoma identifies two susceptibility loci on 2p21 and 11q13.3. *Nat Genet.* **43**, 60–65 (2011).
7. Henrion, M. *et al.* Common variation at 2q22.3 (ZEB2) influences the risk of renal cancer. *Hum Mol Genet.* **22**, 825–831 (2013).
8. Gudmundsson, J. *et al.* A common variant at 8q24.21 is associated with renal cell cancer. *Nature communications.* **4**, 2776 (2013).
9. Henrion, M. Y. *et al.* Common variation at 1q24.1 (ALDH9A1) is a potential risk factor for renal cancer. *PLoS one.* **10**, e0122589 (2015).
10. Purdue, M. P. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of renal cell carcinoma among African Americans. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* **23**, 209–214 (2014).
11. Shields, P. G. & Harris, C. C. Cancer risk and low-penetrance susceptibility genes in gene-environment interactions. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **18**, 2309–2315 (2000).
12. Hunter, D. J. Gene-environment interactions in human diseases. *Nat Rev Genet.* **6**, 287–298 (2005).
13. Deckers, I. A. *et al.* Long-term dietary sodium, potassium and fluid intake; exploring potential novel risk factors for renal cell cancer in the Netherlands Cohort Study on diet and cancer. *Br J Cancer.* **110**, 797–801 (2014).
14. Rose, B. D. & Post, T. W. *Clinical physiology of acid-base and electrolyte disorders* (eds. Wonsciewicz, M., McCullough, K. & Davis, K.) (McGraw-Hill Medical Publishing Division, Wellesley, Massachusetts, USA, 2001).
15. Djamgoz, M. B., Coombes, R. C. & Schwab, A. Ion transport and cancer: from initiation to metastasis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **369**, 20130092 (2014).
16. Dupont, A. G. The place of diuretics in the treatment of hypertension: a historical review of classical experience over 30 years. *Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther.* **7** Suppl 1, 55–62 (1993).
17. Barrett, J. C., Fry, B., Maller, J. & Daly, M. J. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics.* **21**, 263–265 (2005).
18. Shigemura, N. *et al.* Amiloride-sensitive NaCl taste responses are associated with genetic variation of ENaC alpha-subunit in mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* **294**, R66–R75 (2008).
19. Hughes, C. A. & Bennett, V. Adducin: a physical model with implications for function in assembly of spectrin-actin complexes. *J Biol Chem.* **270**, 18990–18996 (1995).
20. Matsuoka, Y., Li, X. & Bennett, V. Adducin: structure, function and regulation. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **57**, 884–895 (2000).
21. Lin, T. H. *et al.* The Gly460Trp polymorphism of alpha-adducin gene as a predictor of renal function decline over 4 years of follow-up in an apparently healthy Chinese population. *Transl Res.* **160**, 162–163 (2012).
22. Casari, G. *et al.* Association of the alpha-adducin locus with essential hypertension. *Hypertension.* **25**, 320–326 (1995).
23. Cusi, D. *et al.* Polymorphisms of alpha-adducin and salt sensitivity in patients with essential hypertension. *Lancet.* **349**, 1353–1357 (1997).
24. Wang, J. G. *et al.* Blood pressure in relation to three candidate genes in a Chinese population. *J Hypertens.* **22**, 937–944 (2004).
25. Manunta, P. *et al.* Alpha-adducin polymorphisms and renal sodium handling in essential hypertensive patients. *Kidney Int.* **53**, 1471–1478 (1998).
26. Schultheis, P. J. *et al.* Renal and intestinal absorptive defects in mice lacking the NHE3 Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger. *Nat Genet.* **19**, 282–285 (1998).
27. Pavlovich, C. P. & Schmidt, L. S. Searching for the hereditary causes of renal-cell carcinoma. *Nat Rev Cancer.* **4**, 381–393 (2004).
28. Burnier, M., Biollaz, J., Magnin, J. L., Bidlingmeyer, M. & Brunner, H. R. Renal sodium handling in patients with untreated hypertension and white coat hypertension. *Hypertension.* **23**, 496–502 (1994).
29. Hayashi, M. *et al.* Na⁺/H⁺ -exchanger 3 activity and its gene in the spontaneously hypertensive rat kidney. *J Hypertens.* **15**, 43–48 (1997).
30. Kelly, M. P., Quinn, P. A., Davies, J. E. & Ng, L. L. Activity and expression of Na⁽⁺⁾-H⁺ exchanger isoforms 1 and 3 in kidney proximal tubules of hypertensive rats. *Circ Res.* **80**, 853–860 (1997).
31. Kanehisa Laboratories. KEGG PATHWAY database. 1995–2014. <http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html>. Assessed at February 17, 2014
32. Fardo, D. W., Becker, K. D., Bertram, L., Tanzi, R. E. & Lange, C. Recovering unused information in genome-wide association studies: the benefit of analyzing SNPs out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. *Eur J Hum Genet.* **17**, 1676–1682 (2009).
33. Goldbohm, R. A. *et al.* Validation of a dietary questionnaire used in a large-scale prospective cohort study on diet and cancer. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* **48**, 253–265 (1994).
34. Goldbohm, R. A. *et al.* Reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire and stability of dietary habits determined from five annually repeated measurements. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* **49**, 420–429 (1995).
35. van den Brandt, P. A. *et al.* A large-scale prospective cohort study on diet and cancer in The Netherlands. *J Clin Epidemiol.* **43**, 285–295 (1990).
36. Prentice, R. L. A case-cohort design for epidemiologic cohort studies and disease prevention trials. *Biometrika.* **73**, 1–11 (1986).
37. Van den Brandt, P. A., Schouten, L. J., Goldbohm, R. A., Dorant, E. & Hunen, P. M. Development of a record linkage protocol for use in the Dutch Cancer Registry for Epidemiological Research. *Int J Epidemiol.* **19**, 553–558 (1990).
38. Goldbohm, R. A., Van den Brandt, P. A. & Dorant, E. Estimation of the coverage of Dutch municipalities by cancer registries and PALGA based on hospital discharge data. *Tijdschr Soc Gezondheidsz.* **72**, 80–84 (1994).
39. van Breda, S. G. *et al.* Toenails: an easily accessible and long-term stable source of DNA for genetic analyses in large-scale epidemiological studies. *Clin Chem.* **53**, 1168–1170 (2007).
40. van Houwelingen, K. P. *et al.* Prevalence of von Hippel-Lindau gene mutations in sporadic renal cell carcinoma: results from The Netherlands cohort study. *BMC Cancer.* **5**, 57 (2005).
41. Hogervorst, J. G. *et al.* DNA from nails for genetic analyses in large-scale epidemiologic studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* **23**, 2703–2712 (2014).
42. Nevo tabel. *Dutch food composition table 1986–1987; Nederlands voedingsstoffenbestand 1986–1987* (Voorlichtingsbureau voor de Voeding, The Hague, The Netherlands, 1986).
43. Willett, W. & Stampfer, M. J. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses. *Am J Epidemiol.* **124**, 17–27 (1986).
44. van den Brandt, P. A., Botterweck, A. A. & Goldbohm, R. A. Salt intake, cured meat consumption, refrigerator use and stomach cancer incidence: a prospective cohort study (Netherlands). *Cancer Causes Control.* **14**, 427–438 (2003).
45. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drugs Statistics Methodology. Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification. <http://www.whocc.no/>. Assessed at 22-12-2015.
46. Van den Brandt, P. A., Petri, H., Dorant, E., Goldbohm, R. A. & Van de Crommert, S. Comparison of questionnaire information and pharmacy data on drug use. *Pharm Weekbl [Sci].* **13**, 91–96 (1991).
47. Barlow, W. E. Robust variance estimation for the case-cohort design. *Biometrics.* **50**, 1064–1072 (1994).
48. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289–300 (1995).
49. Geybels, M. S. *et al.* Selenoprotein gene variants, toenail selenium levels, and risk for advanced prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* **106**, dju003 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (UM2009-4536). The authors are indebted to all the participants of the Netherlands Cohort study, and further wish to thank the Netherlands Cancer Registry, the Dutch Pathology Registry (PALGA) and the BioBank Maastricht UMC+. We are grateful to the laboratory technicians J. Goessens, L. Jonkers, K. Lemmens and S. Lumeij for DNA isolation and sample preparation. Finally we wish to thank S. van Breda, for the pilot work on this study; A. Perloy for statistical assistance, S. van de Crommert, J. Nelissen, H. Brants, C. de Zwart, M. Moll and A. Pisters, for their assistance with data entry or data management; and H. van Montfort, T. van Moergastel, E. Dutman, R. Meijer and R. Schmeitz, for programming assistance. Supported by the Netherlands Cancer Society (KWF), grant UM2009/4536.

Author Contributions

The authors' responsibilities were as follows. I.A.G.D. carried out the statistical analysis, interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. F.J.v.S. and R.W.L.G. assisted in the genotyping. L.J.S., M.v.E., A.P.K., J.G.F.H. and P.A.v.d.B. assisted with the data interpretation and critically revised the manuscript. L.J.S., M.v.E. and P.A.v.d.B. were responsible for obtaining funding of the study. P.A.v.d.B. is principle investigator of the cohort study. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Deckers, I. A. G. *et al.* Potential role of gene-environment interactions in ion transport mechanisms in the etiology of renal cell cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34262; doi: 10.1038/srep34262 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016