Low-dose lipopolysaccharide as an immune regulator for homeostasis maintenance in the central nervous system through transformation to neuroprotective microglia

https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.308067

Date of submission: August 7, 2020 Date of decision: October 26, 2020 Date of acceptance: December 16, 2020 Date of web publication: February 19, 2021 Haruka Mizobuchi^{1,*}, Gen-Ichiro Soma^{1, 2, 3}

Abstract

Microglia, which are tissue-resident macrophages in the brain, play a central role in the brain innate immunity and contribute to the maintenance of brain homeostasis. Lipopolysaccharide is a component of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, and activates immune cells including microglia via Toll-like receptor 4 signaling. Lipopolysaccharide is generally known as an endotoxin, as administration of highdose lipopolysaccharide induces potent systemic inflammation. Also, it has long been recognized that lipopolysaccharide exacerbates neuroinflammation. In contrast, our study revealed that oral administration of lipopolysaccharide ameliorates Alzheimer's disease pathology and suggested that neuroprotective microglia are involved in this phenomenon. Additionally, other recent studies have accumulated evidence demonstrating that controlled immune training with low-dose lipopolysaccharide prevents neuronal damage by transforming the microglia into a neuroprotective phenotype. Therefore, lipopolysaccharide may not a mere inflammatory inducer, but an immunomodulator that can lead to neuroprotective effects in the brain. In this review, we summarized current studies regarding neuroprotective microglia transformed by immune training with lipopolysaccharide. We state that microglia transformed by lipopolysaccharide preconditioning cannot simply be characterized by their general suppression of proinflammatory mediators and general promotion of anti-inflammatory mediators, but instead must be described by their complex profile comprising various molecules related to inflammatory regulation, phagocytosis, neuroprotection, anti-apoptosis, and antioxidation. In addition, microglial transformation seems to depend on the dose of lipopolysaccharide used during immune training. Immune training of neuroprotective microglia using lowdose lipopolysaccharide, especially through oral lipopolysaccharide administration, may represent an innovative prevention or treatment for neurological diseases; however more vigorous studies are still required to properly modulate these treatments. Key Words: cognitive impairment; endotoxin; lipopolysaccharide; low-dose; microglia; neuroprotection; oral administration; preconditioning; tolerance; trained innate immunity

Introduction

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is a glycolipid present in the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, and induces innate inflammatory responses by binding to Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). LPS has long been recognized as an endotoxin, as the first administration of a high dose of LPS induces potent systemic inflammation. Moreover, administration of high-dose LPS is generally used to generate animal models of Parkinson's disease and encephalitis (Deng et al., 2020).

The first administration of high-dose LPS to Alzheimer's disease model mice has been shown to exacerbate the

accumulation of amyloid β (A β) and cognitive decline (Zhan et al., 2018). Among the most important immune cells involved in the background mechanism of LPS-induced neuropathology are microglia, which are brain tissue-resident macrophages that play a central role in brain innate immunity. Microglia are transformed by the first stimulation of high-dose LPS, changing into an inflammatory phenotype that promotes expression of proinflammatory mediators; these inflammatory microglia are thought to be involved in LPS-induced neuropathology (Ye et al., 2020). Thus, only pathological inflammation has been intensively studied in response to LPS.

However, it is important to also ask whether LPS can induce

¹Control of Innate Immunity, Technology Research Association, Kagawa, Japan; ²Macrophi Inc., Kagawa, Japan; ³Research Institute for Healthy Living, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, Niigata, Japan

*Correspondence to: Haruka Mizobuchi, PhD, mizobuchi@shizenmeneki.org.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6667-4820 (Haruka Mizobuchi)

Funding: This work was funded by Control of Innate Immunity Technology Research Association; a grant of Cross-ministerial Strategic Innovation Promotion Program, SIP-No. 14533073 (to GIS) from the Council for Science from Technology and Innovation (CSTI) in Cabinet Office of Japanese Government and the National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO).

How to cite this article: Mizobuchi H, Soma GI (2021) Low-dose lipopolysaccharide as an immune regulator for homeostasis maintenance in the central nervous system through transformation to neuroprotective microglia. Neural Regen Res 16(10):1928-1934.

other responses than only pathological inflammation. Should LPS be completely excluded from our lives to promote health? Braun-Fahrländer et al. (2002) showed interesting epidemiological data stating that environmental LPS exposure was inversely associated with the incidence of childhood asthma incidence. These results suggested that continuous mild LPS exposure is required to control the immune response. Although few studies have been conducted in the brain, it has been reported that preconditioning with low-dose LPS leads to a neuroprotective effect. As such, we focused on oral administration of LPS, as continuous oral LPS administration has been shown to suppress cognitive decline and AB accumulation in an Alzheimer's disease model (Kobayashi et al., 2018). In fact, in 1883, Mechnikov had already proposed the theory of "physiological inflammation," which is a series of physiological immune reactions including phagocytosis and tissue repair leading to the maintenance of homeostasis and recovery from "pathological inflammation" (Tauber, 2003). Based on this concept, it is thought that preconditioning with low-dose LPS induces physiological immune responses rather than pathological inflammation and thus contributes to neuroprotection.

Several recent studies have begun to unveil the neuroprotective mechanism of LPS, in which preconditioning with low-dose LPS induces transformation of anti-inflammatory microglia instead of the inflammatory microglia induced by the first administration of high-dose LPS (Wendeln et al., 2018; Neher and Cunningham, 2019). We have also reported that repetitive treatment with low-dose LPS in vitro, which imitates oral administration of LPS in vivo, transforms microglia into a neuroprotective phenotype (Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). This transformation of neuroprotective microglia via preconditioning with low-dose LPS is an example of the concept of "trained innate immunity," or "innate immune memory". Trained innate immunity is the process by which changes in the subsequent reactivity of innate immune cells occur following repeated exposure to stimuli, either be an enhancement or a decrease (Netea et al., 2011; Boraschi and Italiani, 2018). In the past, an enhanced subsequent response was attributed to immune training, whereas a decreased subsequent response was attributed to immune tolerance after priming with the first exposure. However, it has been revealed that changes in immune response upon subsequent stimuli cannot be simply characterized by either training or tolerance, but must be instead characterized by complex reprogramming after which expression of some molecules is promoted while others are suppressed or unchanged. Therefore, we refer to immune training as changes in the immune response to subsequent stimuli, which can either be an enhancement or a decrease.

Immune training by preconditioning with low-dose LPS (LPS training) likely contributes to maintenance of brain homeostasis by transforming microglia into the neuroprotective phenotype; however, there are still few studies on microglial transformation by LPS preconditioning. In this review, we summarize previous studies on microglial transformation by LPS preconditioning to identify the gap between the current understanding and the as-yet unsolved problems. The data sources for this review were searched using the PubMed (last searched October 27, 2020). Data published within the last five years were prioritized, focusing on the novel and comprehensive reports. Consequently, this review takes the view that LPS training through oral administration may eventually be used as a prevention or an innovative therapy for neurological disorders.

Neuroprotective Effects of Immune Training with Lipopolysaccharide *in vivo*

Studies on neuroprotective effects induced by in vivo LPS

training are summarized in Additional Table 1. For example, LPS preconditioning prevented nerve damage after cerebral ischemia by modulating the inflammatory response (Tasaki et al., 1997; Rosenzweig et al., 2004, 2007; Furuya et al., 2005; Hickey et al., 2007, 2011; Lin et al., 2009; Marsh et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011; Vartanian et al., 2011; Halder et al., 2013; Wendeln et al., 2018; He et al., 2019; Hosseini et al., 2019). Similarly, LPS preconditioning provided neuroprotection against cerebral spine injury (Longhi et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012, 2014; Li et al., 2013, 2014, 2016; Hayakawa et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2017). In an Alzheimer's disease model, LPS preconditioning also protected or improves cognitive decline by suppressing Aβ deposition and tau phosphorylation (DiCarlo et al., 2001; Quinn et al., 2003; Herber et al., 2004, 2007; Go et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2016a; Kobayashi et al., 2018; Pourbadie et al., 2018; Thygesen et al., 2018; Wendeln et al., 2018; Jendresen et al., 2019). Neuroprotective effects by LPS preconditioning have additionally been reported in models of neuroinflammation (Ding and Li, 2008), epilepsy (Eslami et al., 2015; Amini et al., 2018; Ohgomori and Jinno, 2020), and surgery-induced cognitive impairment (Zhang et al., 2018).

Compared to the LD50 of LPS, which is 27 mg/kg (Li et al., 2018), or the LPS dose used in the *in vivo* to induce neuropathology, which is 5 mg/kg (Deng et al., 2020), the LPS dose used in immune training to successfully induce neuroprotective effects is very low, ranging from 0.2–0.9 mg/kg (Additional Table 1). Banks et al. (2015) showed that the degree of LPS-induced blood-brain barrier destruction depends on the dose of LPS. Erickson et al. (2018) also showed that different doses of LPS have different effects on the cytokine profile in both blood and brain. Therefore, it can be said that a low-dose is one of the requirements for inducing neuroprotection using LPS.

It has been suggested that LPS training modulates inflammatory responses by inducing suppression of proinflammatory mediators and by promoting antiinflammatory mediators in the brain. Although these mediators, such as cytokines, are not always classified as either pro- and anti-inflammatory, as their functions can change dynamically based on context, duration, and abundance, in this review, we applied the general classifications of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory mediators to simplify comparison. In encephalitis, cerebral ischemia, cerebral spine injury, Alzheimer's disease, epilepsy, and surgery-induced cognitive impairment models, low-dose LPS preconditioning suppressed expression of proinflammatory mediators induced by neuropathology, such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β), IL-6, nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2), and nuclear factorkappa B in the brain (Ding and Li, 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Longhi et al., 2011; Vartanian et al., 2011; Eslami et al., 2015; Amini et al., 2018; Pourbadie et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Jendresen et al., 2019). In addition, LPS preconditioning prevented an excessive inflammatory response by suppressing microglial proliferation in response to neuronal damage in encephalitis, cerebral ischemia, cerebral spine injury, Alzheimer's disease, and surgery-induced cognitive impairment models (Ding and Li, 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Halder et al., 2013; Hayakawa et al., 2014; Go et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). On the other hand, low-dose LPS preconditioning promoted the expression of anti-inflammatory mediators such as arginase 1 (Arg1), IL-10, transforming growth factor beta 1, formyl peptide receptor 2, interferon beta, and nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 in the brain in cerebral ischemia, cerebral spine injury, Alzheimer's disease, and epilepsy models (Longhi et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011; Vartanian et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Amini et al., 2018; Pourbadie et al., 2018).

In addition to promoting the expression of anti-inflammatory

Review

mediators, it was also reported that low-dose LPS preconditioning promoted the expression of B-cell lymphoma 2 via the transcription factor forkhead box protein O1 in the brain, thus suppressing neuronal apoptosis in cerebral ischemia and cerebral spine injury models (Li et al., 2014; He et al., 2019). Furthermore, in cerebral spine injury model, LPS preconditioning promotes expression of antioxidant proteins such as heme oxygenase 1, NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1, and glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit in the brain (Li et al., 2016). Additionally, suppression of nuclear factor-kappa B and activation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2, interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), and IRF7 signaling pathways in the brain have been reported to induce expression of these mediators following by LPS preconditioning in cerebral ischemia and cerebral spine injury models (Marsh et al., 2009; Stevens et al., 2011; Vartanian et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013, 2014, 2016; Hayakawa et al., 2014).

Interestingly, in a cerebral ischemia model, low-dose LPS preconditioning suppressed expression of the proinflammatory mediator TNF- α , but complete deletion of TNF- α prevented the neuroprotection induced by low-dose LPS preconditioning (Tasaki et al., 1997; Rosenzweig et al., 2007). This indicates that low concentrations of TNF- α can be neuroprotective and important for maintaining homeostasis, whereas high concentrations of TNF- α can be harmful, as they induce potent inflammatory signaling cascade. In other words, the correlation between TNF- α dose and neuroprotective effect is considered to shows a bell-shaped curve. Therefore, it is suggested that low concentrations of TNF- α induced by lowdose LPS preconditioning are required for neuroprotection. The neuroprotective mechanism behind LPS training is therefore more complex than just simply via suppressing proinflammatory mediators.

In summary, LPS training contributes to neuroprotection by inducing anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, and antioxidant effects. Low-dose and pre-stimulation (immune training) are important conditions to induce LPS-mediated neuroprotective effects by LPS. LPS training also has the advantage of being more sensitive than other TLR agonists, and may be expected to have a more comprehensive effect than treatment with a single cytokine. The expression of some typical cytokines induced by low-dose LPS preconditioning show similar tendencies, even if the administration routes are different, such as oral administration, peritoneal administration, and intravenous administration. However, the detailed cytokine profile is likely to vary depending on the route of administration, and further characterization and comparative studies will be needed in the future.

Oral administration of LPS can be advantageous over other routes of administration, as it can have fewer side effects than intraperitoneal administration or intravenous administration. However, most studies that have reported LPS preconditioning used intraperitoneal injection of LPS. Even at a low-dose, LPS preconditioning by intraperitoneal injection induces side effects such as transient systemic inflammation with mild symptoms of illness and temporary weight loss (Wendeln et al., 2018). As humans are generally more sensitive to LPS than mice (Fink, 2014; Sandiego et al., 2015), LPS injection that induces serious side effects is not practical for therapeutic application.

We therefore proposed a method of immune training by oral administration of LPS, and demonstrated that continuous oral administration of LPS reduced A β accumulation and improved cognitive decline without causing side effects in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model (Kobayashi et al., 2018). Importantly, the safety of oral LPS administration was ensured by previous studies, in which animals given LPS orally did not exhibit systemic inflammation, mild illness, or temporary weight loss (Taniguchi et al., 2009; Inagawa

et al., 2011; Phipps et al., 2020). Since LPS is abundantly present in foods and herbal medicines commonly consumed by humans (Taniguchi et al., 2009; Tamura et al., 2015; Inagawa et al., 2016), it is certain that humans commonly ingest LPS in their daily lives. Additionally, we have also demonstrated in humans studies that oral administration of LPS improves hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia (Nakata et al., 2011), reduced bone density (Nakata et al., 2014), and blood flow (Nakata et al., 2017). We also confirmed that oral administration of LPS does not have side effects of systemic inflammation by measuring biomarkers such as white blood cell count, red blood cell count, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, creatinine, C-reactive protein, and immunoglobulin A in human peripheral blood samples (Nakata et al., 2017). Therefore, simple immune training through LPS oral administration may be expected as a revolutionary solution to neurological disorders.

Phenotype of Neuroprotective Microglia Transformed by Lipopolysaccharide Training

Microglia have drawn attention as key players in the neuroprotective mechanism of LPS training (Neher and Cunningham, 2019). Studies on microglial transformation by LPS training are summarized in Additional Table 2. It has been reported that microglia transformed by LPS training (LPStrained microglia) exhibit an anti-inflammatory phenotype in vivo. For example, Chen et al. (2012) reported that in a cerebral ischemia model, low-dose LPS preconditioning promoted the expression of anti-inflammatory mediators such as chitinase-like 3, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, IL-4 receptor, alpha (IL-4RA), CD163, IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA), and mannose receptor c-type 1 (Mrc1, CD206) in the microglia. Similarly, Hayakawa et al. (2014) reported in a cerebral ischemia model that low-dose LPS preconditioning promoted the expression of anti-inflammatory mediators such as Arg1, Mrc1, and IRF3 in the microglia.

Wendeln et al. (2018) showed that microglial transformation induced by LPS training is important in improving neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease and cerebral ischemia. They revealed that low-dose LPS preconditioning induced epigenetic reprogramming in microglia, and activated the Rap1 signal and phagocytosis-related signals while suppressing hypoxia inducible factor 1 signal and glycolysis (Wendeln et al., 2018). Importantly, they also verified that microglial immune memory persists for at least 6 months. A recent study by Ohgomori and Jinno (2020) reported that phagocytosis-related gene expression and IL-1β suppression in the microglia are involved in improvement of epilepsy symptoms through LPS training. Consistent with their reports, our previous study also suggested that oral administration of LPS enhances AB phagocytosis mediated via microglia (Kobayashi et al., 2018). These studies indicate that microglia transformed by LPS training contribute to neuroprotection by promoting phagocytosis of AB and other undesirable brain aggregates. Although it has been reported that excessive activation of inflammatory microglia led to phagocytosis of normal nerve cells (Yanuck, 2019), quality and/or quantity of microglia phagocytosis may depending on LPS dose and route of administration. These results indicate that LPS training in vivo prevents neuronal dysfunction by inducing microglial transformation to a neuroprotective phenotype with both anti-inflammatory and phagocytic activity.

Consistent with the *in vivo* studies, low-dose LPS preconditioning *in vitro* suppresses proinflammatory mediators and promotes anti-inflammatory mediators in the microglia. Commonly, it has been observed that low-dose LPS preconditioning suppressed the expression of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 while promoting the expression of Arg1 and IL-10 in the microglia (Ajmone-Cat et al., 2003, 2013, 2016; Cacci et

al., 2008; Schaafsma et al., 2015; Chu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2016b; Sun et al., 2018; Lajqi et al., 2019; Twayana et al., 2019; Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). Furthermore, Qin et al. (2016b) analyzed additional molecules after *in vitro* LPS preconditioning (10 ng/mL), and characterized LPS-trained microglia in terms of their suppressed proinflammatory mediators TNF- α , IL- β , IL- β , prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase, C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand (CXCL) 9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CD54, as well as enhanced anti-inflammatory mediators Arg1, Fizz 1, IL-4, IL-10, C-C motif chemokine (CCL) 2, CCL17, CCL22, CD206, and heme oxygenase 1. Yousefi et al. (2019) also reported that LPS preconditioning (1 µg/mL) prior to A β stimulation *in vitro* promoted the expression of anti-inflammatory mediators such as TIR-domain-containing adapter-inducing interferon- β , IRF3, and interferon beta.

On the other hand, LPS preconditioning also promoted the expression of prostaglandin E2, despite its classical proinflammatory roles (Ajmone-Cat et al., 2003; Cacci et al., 2008; Antonietta Ajmone-Cat et al., 2013). The regulation of NOS2, another proinflammatory mediator, by LPS preconditioning is controversial, as there are reports of both promotion and suppression (Ajmone-Cat et al., 2003, 2016; Cacci et al., 2008; Antonietta Ajmone-Cat et al., 2013; Schaafsma et al., 2015; Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). These reports suggest that not all proinflammatory mediators are suppressed in LPS-trained microglia.

In addition to inflammatory modulation, it was reported that LPS preconditioning promoted expression of cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein in microglia (Twayana et al., 2019). Microglia phagocytic ability seems to be generally promoted by LPS preconditioning, although there is one report suggests suppressed phagocytosis as a result of LPS preconditioning (Antonietta Ajmone-Cat et al., 2013; Schaafsma et al., 2015; Twayana et al., 2019; Mizobuchi et al., 2020a).

Importantly, it has been demonstrated using an in vitro neuron-microglia co-culture system that LPS-trained microglia restore neuronal function that is disrupted by the primary stimulation with LPS (Cacci et al., 2008; Chu et al., 2016). Therefore, the above-mentioned characteristics of LPS-trained microglia are thought to be deeply involved in neuroprotective effects. Since low-dose LPS does not readily cross the blood brain barrier (Banks and Robinson, 2010), it is suggested that the microglial transformation is induced through activation of cerebrovascular endothelial cells, ependymal and choroid plexus cells at the brain ventricles, and circulating monocytes/macrophages (Tang et al., 2017; Haruwaka et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). For example, IL-1 is a typical cytokine induced by LPS, and Liu et al. (2019) reported that LPS-induced IL-1 stimulated IL-1 receptors of endothelial cells at cerebral ventricles to induce microglial activation. In addition, Tarr et al. (2012) reported that c-fos, which is induced downstream of IL-1 (Schiller et al., 2006), is activated in the brain in a LPS dose-dependent manner. As it has been suggested that physiological IL-1 stimulation is necessary for the physiological regulation of memory processing (Labrousse et al., 2009), LPS-induced IL-1 in endothelial cells at cerebral ventricle may play an important role in microglia-mediated neuroprotection.

However, the characterization of LPS-trained neuroprotective microglia has been limited to analysis of several representative molecules, and their true characteristics may not yet have been fully explored. Moreover, although LPS doses used for immune training *in vitro* vary considerably, it has recently been shown that different LPS doses induce distinct transformation of microglia (Lajqi et al., 2019; Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). Hence, further characterization of LPS-trained neuroprotective microglia is needed in the future.

Dynamic Transformation of Lipopolysaccharide-Trained Microglia Modulated by Varying Lipopolysaccharide Doses

We have established an *in vitro* LPS training model imitating continuous oral administration of LPS (Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). This model is a repetitive stimulation system using 1 ng/mL LPS, which is even lower than previously reported doses. Training with 100 ng/mL LPS showed microglia toxicity as in previous reports (Harada et al., 2011; Kim and Li, 2013), whereas training with 1 ng/mL LPS did not exhibit cytotoxicity (Mizobuchi et al., 2020b).

Using this model, we demonstrated that microglia transformed by LPS preconditioning at 1 ng/mL were characterized by high phagocytic activity and high expression of proinflammatory (NOS2, CCL1, IL-12B, and CD86), antiinflammatory (IL-10, Arg1, IL-13 receptor subunit alpha 2 (IL-13RA2), and Mrc1), and neuroprotective molecules (neurotrophin 4/5 (NT4/5), CCL7, and gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor (GIPR)) (Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). These results indicate that LPS trained microglia have the potential to regulate inflammation, neuroprotection, and phagocytic clearance. As CCL7 is involved in neuronal differentiation (Edman et al., 2008), and NT4/5 and GIPR prevent neuronal damage through their antioxidant and antiapoptotic effects (Seino et al., 2010; Faivre et al., 2011; Meirelles et al., 2017; Spielman et al., 2017), it is suggested that such a mechanism may also be involved in the neuroprotective effects of LPStrained microglia. In addition, our results indicated that LPStrained microglia could not be characterized in terms of their general suppression of proinflammatory mediators or general promotion of anti-inflammatory mediators; instead, LPS-trained microglia exhibit complex molecular expression profiles of both pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators. Hence, it is assumed that microglia transformed by oral administration of LPS in vivo also exhibit similarly complex molecular expression profiles.

Furthermore, microglia transformed by low-dose LPS (1 ng/mL) showed characteristics distinct from microglia trained with high dose LPS (100 ng/mL) (Mizobuchi et al., 2020a). On the other hand, Lajqi et al. (2019) reported a Schwartzman-like reaction by examining the effect of ultralow-dose LPS preconditioning. They observed that highdose LPS preconditioning at 100 ng/mL suppressed TNF- α and IL-6 secretion by microglia, while ultra-low-dose LPS preconditioning at 1 fg/mL enhanced TNF- α and IL-6 secretion from the microglia via phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)mediated signaling. In other words, the difference in response to secondary LPS stimulation (proinflammatory or antiinflammatory response) depended on the LPS dose. These results indicated that the molecular expression profiles of microglia do not simply change proportionally to the LPS doses, and that microglia exhibit diverse transformation depending on the administered LPS dose. It is important to understand the diversity of microglial transformations in order to determine the appropriate LPS dosing conditions to induce neuroprotective microglia transformation.

Although it has been reported that the reactivity of peripheral monocytes and the adaptive immune system differed depending on LPS dose (Morris and Li, 2012; Zakeri and Russo, 2018), little attention has been paid to the difference in microglial transformation by LPS dose. As microglia are derived from the yolk sac and monocytes are derived from the bone marrow, results from LPS-trained monocytes cannot be simply applied to LPS-trained microglia. Therefore, in order to characterize LPS-trained neuroprotective microglia transformed by oral administration of LPS, conditions regarding LPS dosing are issues that need more thorough examination.

Review

Signal Transduction Mechanisms That Induce Lipopolysaccharide-Trained Neuroprotective Microglia

Several studies on signal transduction mechanisms that induce transformation to a LPS-trained neuroprotective microglial phenotype have been performed, but consensus has not yet been reached as the number of reports is small.

For example, Schaafsma et al. (2015) showed that RelB was required for the suppression of TNF- α and IL-1 β by epigenetic reprogramming in microglia trained with LPS at 100 ng/mL. Liu et al. (2016) revealed that in microglia trained with LPS at 100 ng/mL, Smad4 was required for IL-6 suppression and IL-10 promotion via the inactivation of nuclear factor-kappa B signaling. Qin et al. (2016b) reported that LPS preconditioning at 10 ng/mL promoted phosphorylation of Smad2 (p-Smad2), Smad3, as well as expression of Kruppel-like factor 4, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y in microglia. Ajmone-Cat et al. (2003) reported that expression of $I\kappa B\alpha$ and phosphorylation of cAMP response element binding protein were promoted in microglia trained with LPS at 10 ng/mL. Moreover, they also showed using a hippocampal slice culture system that inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta may be involved in the transformation to neuroprotective microglia (Ajmone-Cat et al., 2016). Sun et al. (2018) reported that suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 was involved in TNF- α suppression in microglia trained with LPS at 10 ng/mL. Interestingly. Chu et al. (2016) revealed that the colony stimulating factor 1 from neurons and astroglia also played an important role in the transformation to neuroprotective microglia by LPS preconditioning at 15 ng/mL.

Thus, diverse background signals may be involved in the transformation of neuroprotective microglia upon LPS training. In other words, the signal transduction that induces transformation to LPS-trained neuroprotective microglia cannot be explained by a single factor, and multiple factors are likely involved in this process and may act in a complex manner. Furthermore LPS-trained microglia may transform into more diverse phenotype *in vivo* depending on changes in microenvironmental factors, including LPS dose and factors released by surrounding cells.

Unsolved Issues for Elucidating Neuroprotective Mechanisms by Lipopolysaccharide-Trained Microglia

Our understanding of neuroprotective microglia transformed by LPS training is still in its infancy. Further studies are required to characterize these LPS-trained neuroprotective



microglia, and to elucidate the mechanisms by which they repair neurons or protect against neurological disorders. Studies comparing the effects of low- and high-dose LPS under microglial depletion may provide clues to elucidate the mechanisms by which LPS training impart beneficial effects. Additionally, it is necessary to consider the conditions for treatment with LPS that can induce neuroprotective microglia without side effects. Our studies indicate that immune training by oral administration of LPS is particularly desirable, but dose, timing, and duration should be further investigated. In addition, it has been reported that there are strain and sex differences in the response to LPS in rodents (Erickson et al., 2018; Kadkhodaee et al., 2020); This suggests that there may be sex differences in the effects of LPS training even in humans, although further research is needed to confirm this.

Moreover, the mechanism by which LPS preconditioning induces neuroprotective microglia is unclear. Wendeln et al. (2018) suggested that peripheral IL-10 may be involved in neuroprotection induced by LPS training. In this context, it was reported that either orally administered LPS or enterobacterial LPS regulated physiological hepatic gluconeogenesis by activating adipose tissue macrophages to restore decreased peripheral IL-10 induced by a high-fat diet (Kobayashi et al., 2018; Toda et al., 2020). Therefore, IL-10 may be involved in the mechanism of transformation to neuroprotective microglia following the oral administration of LPS.

On the other hand, the possibility that LPS directly activates microglia cannot be excluded. Toda et al. (2020) reported that LPS concentration in the blood increased transiently after feeding, even in a healthy state. It remains unclear whether LPS directly activates microglia or indirectly activates microglia via other cells and humoral factors. Solving these issues may lead to the potential treatment of neuronal dysfunction by LPS training, especially via oral administration of LPS.

Conclusion

As shown in **Figure 1**, LPS training through oral administration, prevents neuronal dysfunction by inducing transformation of microglia to a neuroprotective phenotype. LPS-trained microglia cannot be simply characterized by their general suppression of proinflammatory mediators or general promotion of anti-inflammatory mediators; instead, they must be characterized according to complex profiles comprising various molecules related to inflammatory regulation, phagocytosis, neuroprotection, anti-apoptosis, and antioxidation. In addition, LPS-trained microglia exhibit diverse transformation depending on LPS doses.

Molecular-targeted drugs, which are the current primary

Figure 1 | Model of neuroprotective microglia transformed by training with low-dose LPS. Initial stimulation with high-dose LPS induces transformation to inflammatory microglia, thus exacerbating neuronal damage. In contrast, training with low-dose LPS prevents or repairs neuronal damage. LPS-trained microglia cannot be simply characterized by their general suppression of proinflammatory mediators or general promotion of anti-inflammatory mediators; instead, LPS-trained microglia exhibit complex molecular expression profiles related to inflammatory regulation, phagocytosis, neuroprotection, anti-apoptosis, and antioxidation. Moreover, LPS-trained microglia are diversely transformed into diverse phenotypes dependent on the administered LPS dose. LPS: Lipopolysaccharide.

treatment for neurological diseases, have limited therapeutic effects. Controlling transformation of neuroprotective microglia by LPS training with a focus on the oral administration of LPS may serve as an innovative treatment or prevention method for various neurological disorders that are currently considered as intractable diseases.

Author contributions: HM and GIS participated in study concept, definition of intellectual content, and manuscript editing and review. HM participated in study design, literature search, data acquisition, and manuscript preparation. GIS was a guarantor. Both authors approved the final version of the paper.

Conflicts of interest: HM and GS are employed by the Control of Innate Immunity, Technology Research Association. GS are employed also by Macrophi Inc. This does not affect our adherence to journal policies. **Financial support:** This work was funded by Control of Innate Immunity Technology Research Association; a grant of Cross-ministerial Strategic Innovation Promotion Program, SIP-No. 14533073 (to GIS) from the Council for Science from Technology and Innovation (CSTI) in Cabinet Office of Japanese Government and the National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO). CSTI and NARO had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright license agreement: The Copyright License Agreement has been signed by both authors before publication.

Plagiarism check: Checked twice by iThenticate.

Peer review: Externally peer reviewed.

Open access statement: This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Open peer reviewers: Aric F. Logsdon, University of Washington, USA; Claudia Espinosa-Garcia, Emory University, USA; Wensheng Lin, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, USA.

Additional files:

Additional Table 1: Neuroprotective effects induced by in vivo immune training with LPS.

Additional Table 2: Phenotypes of neuroprotective microglia transformed by LPS training.

Additional file 1: Open peer review reports 1 and 2.

References

- Ajmone-Cat MA, D'Urso MC, di Blasio G, Brignone MS, De Simone R, Minghetti L (2016) Glycogen synthase kinase 3 is part of the molecular machinery regulating the adaptive response to LPS stimulation in microglial cells. Brain Behav Immun 55:225-235.
- Ajmone-Cat MA, Mancini M, De Simone R, Cilli P, Minghetti L (2013) Microglial polarization and plasticity: evidence from organotypic hippocampal slice cultures. Glia 61:1698-1711.
- Ajmone-Cat MA, Nicolini A, Minghetti L (2003) Prolonged exposure of microglia to lipopolysaccharide modifies the intracellular signaling pathways and selectively promotes prostaglandin E2 synthesis. J Neurochem 87:1193-1203.
- Amini E, Golpich M, Farjam AS, Kamalidehghan B, Mohamed Z, Ibrahim NM, Ahmadiani A, Raymond AA (2018) Brain lipopolysaccharide preconditioning-induced gene reprogramming mediates a tolerance state in electroconvulsive shock model of epilepsy. Front Pharmacol 9:416.
- Banks WA, Gray AM, Erickson MA, Salameh TS, Damodarasamy M, Sheibani N, Meabon JS, Wing EE, Morofuji Y, Cook DG, Reed MJ (2015) Lipopolysaccharide-induced bloodbrain barrier disruption: roles of cyclooxygenase, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and elements of the neurovascular unit. J Neuroinflammation 12:223.
- Banks WA, Robinson SM (2010) Minimal penetration of lipopolysaccharide across the murine blood-brain barrier. Brain Behav Immun 24:102-109.
- Boraschi D, Italiani P (2018) Innate immune memory: time for adopting a correct terminology. Front Immunol 9:799.
- Braun-Fahrländer C, Riedler J, Herz U, Eder W, Waser M, Grize L, Maisch S, Carr D, Gerlach F, Bufe A, Lauener RP, Schierl R, Renz H, Nowak D, von Mutius E (2002) Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. English J 347:869-877.
- Cacci E, Ajmone-Cat MA, Anelli T, Biagioni S, Minghetti L (2008) In vitro neuronal and glial differentiation from embryonic or adult neural precursor cells are differently affected by chronic or acute activation of microglia. Glia 56:412-425.
- Chen Z, Jalabi W, Hu W, Park HJ, Gale JT, Kidd GJ, Bernatowicz R, Gossman ZC, Chen JT, Dutta R, Trapp BD (2014) Microglial displacement of inhibitory synapses provides neuroprotection in the adult brain. Nat Commun 5:4486.
- Chen Z, Jalabi W, Shpargel KB, Farabaugh KT, Dutta R, Yin X, Kiddds GJ, Bergmann CC, Stohlman SA, Trapp BD (2012) Lipopolysaccharide-induced microglial activation and neuroprotection against experimental brain injury is independent of hematogenous TLR4. J Neurosci 32:11706-11715.

- Chu CH, Wang S, Li CL, Chen SH, Hu CF, Chung YL, Chen SL, Wang Q, Lu RB, Gao HM, Hong JS (2016) Neurons and astroglia govern microglial endotoxin tolerance through macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor-mediated ERK1/2 signals. Brain Behav Immun 55:260-272.
- Deng I, Corrigan F, Zhai G, Zhou X-F, Bobrovskaya L (2020) Lipopolysaccharide animal models of Parkinson's disease: recent progress and relevance to clinical disease. Brain Behav Immun 4:100060.
- DiCarlo G, Wilcock D, Henderson D, Gordon M, Morgan D (2001) Intrahippocampal LPS injections reduce Aβ load in APP+PS1 transgenic mice. Neurobiol Aging 22:1007-1012.
- Ding Y, Li L (2008) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning induces protection against lipopolysaccharide-induced neurotoxicity in organotypic midbrain slice culture. Neurosci Bull 24:209-218.
- Edman LC, Mira H, Arenas E (2008) The β -chemokines CCL2 and CCL7 are two novel differentiation factors for midbrain dopaminergic precursors and neurons. Exp Cell Res 314:2123.
- Erickson MA, Sandy Liang W, Fernandez EG, Bullock KM, Thysell JA, Banks WA (2018) Genetics and sex influence peripheral and central innate immune responses and bloodbrain barrier integrity. PLoS One 13:e0205769.
- Eslami M, Sayyah M, Soleimani M, Alizadeh L, Hadjighassem M (2015) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning prevents acceleration of kindling epileptogenesis induced by traumatic brain injury. J Neuroimmunol 289:143-151.
- Faivre E, Gault VA, Thorens B, Hölscher C (2011) Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor knockout mice are impaired in learning , synaptic plasticity , and neurogenesis. J Neurophysiol 105:1574-1580.

Fink MP (2014) Animal models of sepsis. Virulence 5:143-153.

Furuya K, Zhu L, Kawahara N, Abe O, Kirino T (2005) Differences in infarct evolution between lipopolysaccharide-induced tolerant and nontolerant conditions to focal cerebral ischemia. J Neurosurg 103:715-723.

- Go M, Koua J, Lima J-E, Yanga J, Fukuchi K (2016) Microglial response to LPS increases in wild-type mice during aging but diminishes in an Alzheimer's mouse model: Implication of TLR4 signaling in disease progression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 479:331-337.
- Halder SK, Matsunaga H, Ishii KJ, Akira S, Miyake K, Ueda H (2013) Retinal cell typespecific prevention of ischemia-induced damages by LPS-TLR4 signaling through microglia. J Neurochem 126:243-260.
- Harada K, Hide I, Seki T, Tanaka S, Nakata Y, Sakai N (2011) Extracellular ATP differentially modulates Toll-like receptor 4-mediated cell survival and death of microglia. J Neurochem 116:1138-1147.
- Haruwaka K, Ikegami A, Tachibana Y, Ohno N, Konishi H, Hashimoto A, Matsumoto M, Kato D, Ono R, Kiyama H, Moorhouse AJ, Nabekura J, Wake H (2019) Dual microglia effects on blood brain barrier permeability induced by systemic inflammation. Nat Commun 10:5816.
- Hayakawa K, Okazaki R, Morioka K, Nakamura K, Tanaka S, Ogata T (2014) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning facilitates M2 activation of resident microglia after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci Res 92:1647-1658.
- He F, Zhang N, Lv Y, Sun W, Chen H (2019) Low-dose lipopolysaccharide inhibits neuronal apoptosis induced by cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury via the PI3K/Akt/FoxO1 signaling pathway in rats. Mol Med Rep 19:1443-1452.
- Herber DL, Mercer M, Roth LM, Symmonds K, Maloney J, Wilson N, Freeman MJ, Morgan D, Gordon MN (2007) Microglial activation is required for Abeta clearance after intracranial injection of lipopolysaccharide in APP transgenic mice. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 2:222-231.
- Herber DL, Roth LM, Wilson D, Wilson N, Mason JE, Morgan D, Gordon MN (2004) Timedependent reduction in Abeta levels after intracranial LPS administration in APP transgenic mice. Exp Neurol 190:245-253.
- Hickey E, Shi H, Van Arsdell G, Askalan R (2011) Lipopolysaccharide-induced preconditioning against ischemic injury is associated with changes in Toll-like receptor 4 expression in the rat developing brain. Pediatr Res 70:10-14.
- Hickey EJ, You X, Kaimaktchiev V, Stenzel-Poore M, Ungerleider RM (2007) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning induces robust protection against brain injury resulting from deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 133:1588-1596.
- Hosseini SM, Golaghaei, A, Nassireslami E, Naderi N, Gholami PH, Rahimzadegan M, Mohammadi S (2019) Neuroprotective effects of lipopolysaccharide and naltrexone co-preconditioning in the photothrombotic model of unilateral selective hippocampal ischemia in rat. Acta Neurobiol Exp 79:73-85.
- Inagawa H, Kohchi C, Soma GI (2011) Oral administration of lipopolysaccharides for the prevention of various diseases: Benefit and usefulness. Anticancer Res 31:2431-2436.
- Inagawa H, Saika T, Nisizawa T, Kohchi C, Uenobe M, Soma GI (2016) Dewaxed brown rice contains a significant amount of lipopolysaccharide pointing to macrophage activation via TLRs. Anticancer Res 36:3599-3605.
- Jendresen C, Digre A, Cui H, Zhang X, Vlodavsky I, Li JP, Nilsson LNG (2019) Systemic LPSinduced Aβ-solubilization and clearance in AβPP-transgenic mice is diminished by heparanase overexpression. Sci Rep 9:4600.
- Kadkhodaee M, Seifi B, Ranjbaran M, Shams S, Delavari F, Najafi A, Sedaghat Z, Khastar H (2020) The impact of sex differences on renal protective effects of lipopolysaccharide preconditioning in septic shock. Iran J Med Sci 45:383-390.
- Kim SJ, Li J (2013) Caspase blockade induces RIP3-mediated programmed necrosis in Tolllike receptor-activated microglia. Cell Death Dis 4:e716.
- Kobayashi Y, Inagawa H, Kohchi C, Kazumura K, Tsuchiya H, Miwa T, Okazaki K, Soma GI (2018) Oral administration of Pantoea agglomerans-derived lipopolysaccharide prevents metabolic dysfunction and Alzheimer's disease-related memory loss in senescence-accelerated prone 8 (SAMP8) mice fed a high-fat diet. PLoS One 13:e0198493.
- Labrousse VF, Costes L, Aubert A, Darnaudéry M, Ferreira G, Amédée T, Layé S (2009) Impaired interleukin-1β and c-Fos expression in the hippocampus is associated with a spatial memory deficit in P2X7 receptor-deficient mice. PLoS One 4:e6006.

Review

- Lajqi T, Lang G-P, Haas F, Williams DL, Hudalla H, Bauer M, Groth M, Wetzker R, Bauer R (2019) Memory-like inflammatory responses of microglia to rising doses of LPS: key role of P13Ky. Front Immunol 10:2492.
- Li Q, Li L, Fei X, Zhang Y, Qi C, Hua S, Gong F, Fang M (2018) Inhibition of autophagy with 3-methyladenine is protective in a lethal model of murine endotoxemia and polymicrobial sepsis. Innate Immun 24:231-239.
- Li W, Jiang D, Li Q, Yao S, Sun X, Yang Y, Meng Z, Liu W (2016) Lipopolysaccharide-induced preconditioning protects against traumatic spinal cord injury by upregulating Nrf2 expression in rats. Life Sci 162:14-20.
- Li WC, Jiang DM, Hu N, Qi XT, Qiao B, Luo XJ (2013) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning attenuates neuroapoptosis and improves functional recovery through activation of Nrf2 in traumatic spinal cord injury rats. Int J Neurosci 123:240-247.
- Li WC, Jiang R, Jiang DM, Zhu FC, Su B, Qiao B, Qi XT (2014) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning attenuates apoptotic processes and improves neuropathologic changes after spinal cord injury in rats. Int J Neurosci 124:585-592.
- Liang J, Wang J, Saad Y, Warble L, Becerra E, Kolattukudy PE (2011) Participation of MCP-induced protein 1 in lipopolysaccharide preconditioning-induced ischemic stroke tolerance by regulating the expression of proinflammatory cytokines. J Neuroinflammation 8:182.
- Lin HY, Huang CC, Chang KF (2009) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning reduces neuroinflammation against hypoxic ischemia and provides long-term outcome of neuroprotection in neonatal rat. Pediatr Res 66:254-259.
- Liu X, Nemeth DP, McKim DB, Zhu L, DiSabato DJ, Berdysz O, Gorantla G, Oliver B, Witcher KG, Wang Y, Negray CE, Vegesna RS, Sheridan JF, Godbout JP, Robson MJ, Blakely RD, Popovich PG, Bilbo SD, Quan N (2019) Cell-type-specific interleukin 1 receptor 1 signaling in the brain regulates distinct neuroimmune activities. Immunity 50:317-333.
- Liu X, Qin Y, Dai A, Zhang Y, Xue H, Ni H, Han L, Zhu L, Yuan D, Tao T, Cao M (2016) SMAD4 is involved in the development of endotoxin tolerance in microglia. Cell Mol Neurobiol 36:777-788.
- Longhi L, Gesuete R, Perego C, Ortolano F, Sacchi N, Villa P, Stocchetti N, De Simoni MG (2011) Long-lasting protection in brain trauma by endotoxin preconditioning. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 31:1919-1929.
- Marsh B, Stevens SL, Packard AEB, Gopalan B, Hunter B, Leung PY, Harrington CA, Stenzel-Poore MP (2009) Systemic lipopolysaccharide protects the brain from ischemic injury by reprogramming the response of the brain to stroke: a critical role for IRF3. J Neurosci 29:9839-9849.
- Meirelles S, Simon D, Regner A (2017) Neurotrauma: the crosstalk between neurotrophins and inflammation in the acutely injured brain. Int J Mol Sci 18:1082.
- Mizobuchi H, Ya K, Tsutsui S, Ya M (2020a) A unique hybrid characteristic having both pro- and anti- inflammatory phenotype transformed by repetitive low- dose lipopolysaccharide in C8-B4 microglia. Sci Rep 10:8945.
- Mizobuchi H, Yamamoto K, Yamashita M, Inagawa H, Kohchi C, Soma GI (2020b) A novel anti-inflammatory phenotype transformed by repetitive low-dose lipopolysaccharide in primary peritoneal tissue-resident macrophages. Anticancer Res 40:4457-4464. Morris M, Li L (2012) Molecular mechanisms and pathological consequences of
- endotoxin tolerance and priming. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz) 60:13-18. Nakata K, Nakata Y, Inagawa H, Nakamoto T, Yoshimura H, Soma GI (2014) Pantoea

agglomerans lipopolysaccharide maintains bone density in premenopausal women: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Food Sci Nutr 2:638-646.

- Nakata K, Taniguchi Y, Yoshioka N, Yoshida A, Inagawa H, Nakamoto T, Yoshimura H, Miyake SI, Kohchi C, Kuroki M, Soma GI (2011) A mixture of salacia oblonga extract and IP-PA1 reduces fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels. Nutr Res Pract 5:435-442.
- Nakata Y, Kohchi C, Ogawa K, Nakamoto T, Yoshimura H, Soma GI (2017) Effects of 3 months continuous intake of supplement containing Pantoea agglomerans LPS to maintain normal bloodstream in adults: Parallel double-blind randomized controlled study. Food Sci Nutr 6:197-206.
- Neher J, Cunningham C (2019) Priming microglia for innate immune memory in the brain. Trends Immunol 40:358-374.
- Netea MG, Quintin J, Meer JWM Van Der (2011) Perspective trained immunity : a memory for innate host defense. Cell Host Microbe 9:355-361.
- Ohgomori T, Jinno S (2020) Modulation of neuropathology and cognitive deficits by lipopolysaccharide preconditioning in a mouse pilocarpine model of status epilepticus. Neuropharmacology 176:108227.
- Phipps KR, Sulaiman C, Simon R, Holalagoudar S, Kohchi C, Nakata Y (2020) Subchronic (90-day) toxicity assessment of Somacy-FP100, a lipopolysaccharide-containing fermented wheat flour extract from Pantoea agglomerans. J Appl Toxicol:1342-1352.
- Pourbadie HG, Sayyah M, Khoshkholgh-Sima B, Choopani S, Nategh M, Motamedi F, Shokrgozar MA (2018) Early minor stimulation of microglial TLR2 and TLR4 receptors attenuates Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive deficit in rats: behavioral, molecular, and electrophysiological evidence. Neurobiol Aging 70:203-216.
- Qin Y, Liu Y, Hao W, Decker Y, Tomic I, Menger MD, Liu C, Fassbender K (2016a) Stimulation of TLR4 attenuates Alzheimer's disease-related symptoms and pathology in Tau-transgenic mice. J Immunol 197:3281-3292.
- Qin Y, Sun X, Shao X, Hu MX, Feng J, Chen Z, Sun J, Zhou Z, Duan Y, Cheng C (2016b) Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning induces an anti-inflammatory phenotype in BV2 microglia. Cell Mol Neurobiol 36:1269-1277.

Quinn J, Montine T, Morrow J, Woodward WR, Kulhanek D, Eckenstein F (2003) Inflammation and cerebral amyloidosis are disconnected in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroimmunol 137:32-41.

Rosenzweig HL, Lessov NS, Henshall DC, Minami M, Simon RP, Stenzel-Poore MP (2004) Endotoxin preconditioning prevents cellular inflammatory response during ischemic neuroprotection in mice. Stroke 35:2576-2581.

- Rosenzweig HL, Minami M, Lessov NS, Coste SC, Stevens SL, Henshall DC, Meller R, Simon RP, Stenzel-Poore MP (2007) Endotoxin preconditioning protects against the cytotoxic effects of TNFα after stroke: A novel role for TNFα in LPS-ischemic tolerance. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27:1663-1674.
- Sandiego CM, Gallezot JD, Pittman B, Nabulsi N, Lim K, Lin SF, Matuskey D, Lee JY, O'Connor KC, Huang Y, Carson RE, Hannestad J, Cosgrove KP, Fowler JS (2015) Imaging robust microglial activation after lipopolysaccharide administration in humans with PET. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:12468-12473.
- Schaafsma W, Zhang X, van Zomeren KC, Jacobs S, Georgieva PB, Wolf SA, Kettenmann H, Janova H, Saiepour N, Hanisch UK, Meerlo P, van den Elsen PJ, Brouwer N, Boddeke HWGM, Eggen BJL (2015) Long-lasting pro-inflammatory suppression of microglia by LPS-preconditioning is mediated by RelB-dependent epigenetic silencing. Brain Behav Immun 48:205-221.
- Schiller M, Böhm M, Dennler S, Ehrchen JM, Mauviel A (2006) Mitogen- and stressactivated protein kinase 1 is critical for interleukin-1-induced, CREB-mediated, c-fos gene expression in keratinocytes. Oncogene 25:4449-4457.
- Seino Y, Fukushima M, Yabe D (2010) GIP and GLP-1, the two incretin hormones: Similarities and differences. J Diabetes Investig 1:8-23.
- Spielman LJ, Gibson DL, Klegeris A (2017) Incretin hormones regulate microglia oxidative stress , survival and expression of trophic factors. Eur J Cell Biol 96:240-253.
- Stevens SL, Leung PY, Vartanian KB, Gopalan B, Yang T, Simon RP, Stenzel-Poore MP (2011) Multiple preconditioning paradigms converge on interferon regulatory factordependent signaling to promote tolerance to ischemic brain injury. J Neurosci 31:8456-8463.
- Sun X, Sun J, Shao X, Feng J, Yan J, Qin Y (2018) Inhibition of microRNA-155 modulates endotoxin tolerance by upregulating suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 in microglia. Exp Ther Med 15:4709-4716.
- Tamura Y, Inagawa H, Nakata Y, Kohchi C, Soma GI (2015) Effects of the subaleurone layer of rice on macrophage activation and protection of pollen allergy in a murine model. Anticancer Res 35:4467-4472.
- Tang AT, Choi JP, Kotzin JJ, Yang Y, Hong CC, Hobson N, Girard R, Zeineddine HA, Lightle R, Moore T, Cao Y, Shenkar R, Chen M, Mericko P, Yang J, Li L, Tanes C, Kobuley D, Võsa U, Whitehead KJ, et al. (2017) Endothelial TLR4 and the microbiome drive cerebral cavernous malformations. Nature 545:305-310.
- Taniguchi Y, Yoshioka N, Nishizawa T, Inagawa H, Kohchi C, Soma GI (2009) Utility and safety of LPS- based fermented flour extract as a macrophage activator. Anticancer Res 29:859-864.
- Tarr AJ, Chen Q, Wang Y, Sheridan JF, Quan N (2012) Neural and behavioral responses to low-grade inflammation. Behav Brain Res 235:334-341.

Tasaki K, Ruetzler CA, Ohtsuki T, Martin D, Nawashiro H, Hallenbeck JM (1997) Lipopolysaccharide pre-treatment induces resistance against subsequent focal cerebral ischemic damage in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Brain Res 748:267-270. Tauber AI (2003) Metchnikoff and the phagocytosis theory. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 4:897-901.

- Thygesen C, Ilkjær L, Kempf SJ, Hemdrup AL, von Linstow CU, Babcock AA, Darvesh S, Larsen MR, Finsen B (2018) Diverse protein profiles in CNS myeloid cells and CNS tissue from lipopolysaccharide- and vehicle-injected APPSWE/PS1ΔE9 transgenic mice implicate cathepsin Z in Alzheimer's disease. Front Cell Neurosci 12:397.
- Toda G, Soeda K, Okazaki Y, Kobayashi N, Masuda Y, Arakawa N, Suwanai H, Masamoto Y, Izumida Y, Kamei N, Sasako T, Suzuki R, Kubota T, Kubota N, Kurokawa M, Tobe K, Noda T, Honda K, Accili D, Yamauchi T, et al. (2020) Insulin- and lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling in adipose tissue macrophages regulates postprandial glycemia through AktmTOR activation. Mol Cell 79:43-53e4.

Turner RC, Naser ZJ, Lucke-Wold BP, Logsdon AF, Vangilder RL, Matsumoto RR, Huber JD, Rosen CL (2017) Single low-dose lipopolysaccharide preconditioning: neuroprotective against axonal injury and modulates glial cells. Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 4:6-15.

Twayana KS, Chaudhari N, Ravanan P (2019) Prolonged lipopolysaccharide exposure induces transient immunosuppression in BV2 microglia. J Cell Physiol 234:1889-1903. Vartanian KB. Stevens SL. Marsh BJ. Williams-Karnesky R. Lessov NS. Stenzel-Poore MP

- Vartanian KB, Stevens SL, Marsh BJ, Williams-Karnesky R, Lessov NS, Stenzel-Poore MP (2011) LPS preconditioning redirects TLR signaling following stroke: TRIF-IRF3 plays a seminal role in mediating tolerance to ischemic injury. J Neuroinflammation 8:140. Wendeln AC et al. (2018) Innate immune memory in the brain shapes neurological
- disease hallmarks. Nature 556:332-338. Yanuck SF (2019) Microglial phagocytosis of neurons: diminishing neuronal loss in
- traumatic, infectious, inflammatory, and autoimmune CNS disorders. Front Psychiatry 10:712.
- Ye X, Zhu M, Che X, Wang H, Liang XJ, Wu C, Xue X, Yang J (2020) Lipopolysaccharide induces neuroinflammation in microglia by activating the MTOR pathway and downregulating Vps34 to inhibit autophagosome formation. J Neuroinflammation 17:18.

Yousefi N, Sotoodehnejadnematalahi F, Heshmati-Fakhr N, Sayyah M, Hoseini M, Ghassemi S, Aliakbari S, Pourbadie HG (2019) Prestimulation of microglia through TLR4 pathway promotes interferon beta expression in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. J Mol Neurosci 67:495-503.

- Zakeri A, Russo M (2018) Dual role of toll-like receptors in human and experimental asthma models. Front Immunol 9:1027.
- Zhan X, Stamova B, Sharp FR (2018) Lipopolysaccharide associates with amyloid plaques, neurons and oligodendrocytes in Alzheimer's disease brain: a review. Front Aging Neurosci 10:42.
- Zhang Z, Ji M, Liao Y, Yang J, Gao J (2018) Endotoxin tolerance induced by lipopolysaccharide preconditioning protects against surgery-induced cognitive impairment in aging mice. Mol Med Rep 17:3845-3852.

P-Reviewers: Logsdon AF, Espinosa-Garcia C, Lin W; C-Editors: Zhao M, Qiu Y; T-Editor: Jia Y



Additional Tables

Additional Table 1 Neuroprotective effects induced by *in vivo* immune training with lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

| LPS training | Therapeutic effects | References |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| $4 \mu g/mouse \times 1$ (i.c.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | DiCarlo et al. (2001) |
| 25 mg/kg/month × 2 (i.c.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | Quinn et al. (2003) |
| 4 or 10 μg/mouse × 1 (i.c.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | Herber et al. (2004) |
| 10 μg/mouse × 1 (i.c.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | Herber et al. (2007) |
| 0.15 mg/kg/week × 12 (i.p.) | $A\beta \downarrow$, p-tau \downarrow , Cognitive function in water maze \uparrow | Qin et al. (2016) |
| 2 or 4 μg/mouse × 1 (i.c.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | Go et al. (2016) |
| 0.5 mg/kg/day × 4 (i.p.) | $A\beta\downarrow$, Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Wendeln et al. (2018) |
| 1 μg/mouse/3 days ×8 (i.c.v.) | Aβ ↓, Cognitive function in water maze \uparrow | Pourbadie et al. (2018) |
| 0.5 mg/kg/week × 13 (i.p.) | Aβ↓ | Thygesen et al. (2018) |
| 0.3 or 1 mg/kg/day for 18 weeks | $A\beta \downarrow$, Cognitive function in water maze \uparrow | Kobayashi et al. (2018) |
| (p.o.) 50 μ g/mouse × 1 (i.p.) | $A\beta\downarrow$ | Jendresen et al. (2019) |
| $0.9 \text{ mg/kg} \times 1$ | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Tasaki et al. (1997) |
| (i.v.) $0.2 \text{ mg/kg} \times 1$ (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Rosenzweig et al. (2004) |
| $0.9 \text{ mg/kg} \times 1$ (i.v.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Furuya et al. (2005) |
| 0.05–0.2 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Rosenzweig et al. (2007) |
| 20 μg/kg × 1 (i.v.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Hickey et al. (2007) |
| 0.05 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Cognitive function in water maze \uparrow , Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Lin et al. (2009) |
| $0.2-1 \text{ mg/kg} \times 1$ | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Marsh et al. (2009) |
| (i.p.) $0.2 \text{ mg/kg} \times 1$ (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Liang et al. (2011) |
| 0.2 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Stevens et al. (2011) |
| 0.8 mg/kg × 1 (s.c.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Vartanian et al. (2011) |
| 0.1 mg/kg ×1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Hickey et al. (2011) |

NEURAL REGENERATION RESEARCH

www.nrronline.org



| | | relation kess |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| 1 μg/mouse × 1 (i.vt.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model ↓ | Halder et al. (2013) |
| 0.2 mg/kg/day × 3 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | He et al. (2019) |
| 5 μg/mouse × 1 (i.c.v.) | Neuronal damage in ischemic model \downarrow | Hosseini et al. (2019) |
| 0.1 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in brain injury model \downarrow | Longhi et al. (2011) |
| $0.5 \text{ mg/kg/day} \times 3$ (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in brain injury model \downarrow | Chen et al. (2012) |
| 0.2 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in spinal cord injury model \downarrow | Li et al. (2013, 2014, 2016) |
| 1 mg/kg/day × 3 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in brain injury model \downarrow | Chen et al. (2014) |
| 0.05 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in spinal cord injury model \downarrow | Hayakawa et al. (2014) |
| 0.2 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in brain injury model \downarrow | Turner et al. (2017) |
| 0.1 or 0.5 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in epilepsy model \downarrow | Eslami et al. (2015) |
| 0.5 μg/head × 1 or 0.01 μg/head/4days × 4 (i.c.v.) | Neuronal damage in epilepsy model ↓ | Amini et al. (2018) |
| 1 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in epilepsy model ↓ | Ohgomori et al. (2020) |
| 5 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) | Neuronal damage in surgery- induced cognitive impairment model \downarrow | Zhang et al. (2018) |

[↑]: progression; ↓: suppression; Aβ: amyloid beta; i.c.: intracerebral injection; i.c.v.: intracerebroventricular injection; i.p.: intraperitoneal injection; i.v.: intravenous injection; i.v.: intravitreal injection; p.o.: per os; p-tau: phosphorylated tau; s.c.: subcutaneous injection.

NEURAL REGENERATION RESEARCH



Additional Table 2 Phenotypes of neuroprotective microglia transformed by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) training

| LPS training | Microglia phenotype | | References | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Promoted | Suppressed | _ | |
| <u>In vivo</u> | | | | |
| 0.5 mg/kg/day × 3 (i.p.) before ischemia 0.05 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) before ischemia | Chil3, SOCS3, IL-4RA, CD163, IL-1RA, Mrc1 Arg1/NOS2, Mrc1, p-IRF3 | | Chen et al. (2012) Hayakawa et al. (2014) | |
| 0.5 mg/kg/day × 4 (i.p.) before ischemia or in APP23 mouse | Rap1 signal, Phagocytosis-related signal | HIF-1 signal, Glycolysis | Wendeln et al. (2018) | |
| 1 mg/kg × 1 (i.p.) before epilepsy | Phagocytosis-related genes | IL-1β | Ohgomori et al. (2020) | |
| <u>In vitro</u> | | | | |
| 5 μ g/mL, × 4 hippocampal slice cultures | Arg1, PGE2, Phagocytosis | NOS2 | Ajmone et al. (2013) | |
| 0.5 μg/ mL followed by 1 μg/mL monocultures of microglia | IL-10, cIAP1, cIAP2, Autophagy | IL-6, IL-1β, CCL2, Phagocytosis | Twayana et al. (2019) | |
| 100 ng/mL, × 2 monocultures of microglia | NOS2, NO, RelB, Phagocytosis | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 | Schaafsma et al. (2015) | |
| 100 ng/mL followed by 1 μg/mL monocultures of microglia | IL-10, Smad4 | IL-6 | Liu et al. (2016) | |
| 1-15 ng/mL, × 2 monocultures of microglia and co-cultures with neurons | IL-10, Arg1, Neuroprotective effect | | Chu et al. (2016) | |
| 10 ng/mL, × 3 monocultures of microglia | PGE2, IκBα, p-CREB | NOS2, NO, TNF-α | Ajmone et al. (2003) | |
| 10 ng/mL, × 3 monocultures of microglia and co-cultures with neurons | IL-10, PGE2, Neuroprotective effect | IL-1, IL-6, NO | Cacci et al. (2008) | |
| 10 ng/mL followed by 100 ng/mL monocultures of microglia | Arg1, Fizz1, IL-4, IL-10, CCL2, CCL17, CCL22, Mrc1, HO-1, p-Smad2, p-Smad3, KLF4, PPARγ | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, PTGS2, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CD54, p-P65 | Qin et al. (2016) | |
| 10 ng/mL followed by 100 ng/mL monocultures of microglia | SOCS1 | miR-155, TNF-α | Sun et al. (2018) | |
| 10 ng/mL, × 2 monocultures of microglia and hippocampal slice cultures | Nitrate | | Ajmone et al. (2016) | |
| 1 or 100 ng/mL, × 3 monocultures of microglia | <u>1 ng/mL:</u> NOS2, CCL1, Arg1, IL-13RA2, NTF5, CCL7, GIPR, CD86, IL-12B, IL-10, Mrc1, Phagocytosis | <u>l ng/mL:</u> IL-6, TNF-α, FPR2 | Mizobuchi et al. (2020) | |
| | <u>100 ng/mL:</u> | <u>100 ng/mL:</u> | | |

NEURAL REGENERATION RESEARCH

www.nrronline.org



| | NOS2,IL-1RA, Arg1, IL-13RA2, NTF5, CCL7, GIPR, FPR2 | IL-6, TNF-α, CCL1, IL-10 | |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1 fg-100 ng/mL followed by 100 ng/mL monocultures of microglia | <u>1 fg/mL:</u> TNF-α, IL-6, NOS2, ROS, TLR4, MyD88, HIF-1α, p-P65, PI3Kγ | <u>1 fg/mL:</u> | Lajqi et al. (2019) |
| | <u>100 ng/mL:</u> IL-10 | <u>100 ng/mL:</u> TNF-α, IL-6, NOS2, ROS, TLR4, MyD88, HIF-1α | |

Arg1: arginase 1; CCL: C-C motif chemokine; Chil3: chitinase-like protein 3; cIAP: cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein; CREB: cAMP response element binding protein; CXCL: C-X-C motif chemokine ligand; Fizz1: found in inflammatory zone protein 1; FPR: formyl peptide receptor; GIPR: gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor; HIF-1: hypoxia inducible factor 1; HO-1: heme oxygenase-1; IL: interleukin; IL-13RA: IL-13 receptor; IL-1RA: IL-1 receptor antagonist; IL-4RA: IL-4 receptor alpha; KLF: Kruppel-like factor; miR155: microRNA-155; Mrc1: mannose receptor c-type 1; MyD88: myeloid differentiation primary response 88; NO: nitric oxide; NOS2: nitric oxide synthase 2; NTF: neurotrophin; PGE2: prostaglandin E2; PI3K: phosphoinositide 3-kinase; p-IRF: phosphorylated interferon regulatory factor; PPAR: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PTGS: prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1; ROS: reactive oxygen species; SOCS: suppressor of cytokine signaling; TLR4: Toll-like receptor 4; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor alpha.