1	SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in Dogs and Cats in the United Kingdom
2	
3	*Shirley L. Smith <sup>1</sup> , *Enyia. R. Anderson <sup>2</sup> , Cintia Cansado-Utrilla <sup>2</sup> , Tessa Prince <sup>1</sup> , Sean Farrell <sup>1</sup> ,
4	Bethaney Brant <sup>1</sup> , Stephen Smyth <sup>1</sup> , Peter-John M. Noble <sup>1</sup> , Gina L. Pinchbeck <sup>1</sup> , Nikki
5	Marshall <sup>3</sup> , Larry Roberts <sup>3</sup> , Grant L. Hughes <sup>2</sup> , *Alan D. Radford <sup>1</sup> and *Edward I. Patterson <sup>2,4</sup> .
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Leahurst
8	Campus, Neston, Wirral, CH64 7TE, UK
9	<sup>2</sup> Departments of Vector Biology and Tropical Disease Biology, Centre for Neglected Tropical
10	Disease, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK
11	<sup>3</sup> Idexx Laboratories Ltd, Grange House, Sandbeck Way, Wetherby LS22 7DN
12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Brock University, St. Catharines, ON L2S 3A1, Canada
13	
14	*These authors contributed equally to this work.
15	
16	Corresponding author: Edward I. Patterson, Department of Biological Sciences, Brock
17	University, St. Catharines, ON L2S 3A1, Canada
18	Email address: ipatterson@brocku.ca
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## 25 Abstract

26	Companion animals are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection and sporadic cases of pet
27	infections have occurred in the United Kingdom. Here we present the first large-scale
28	serological survey of SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in dogs and cats in the UK. Results
29	are reported for 688 sera (454 canine, 234 feline) collected by a large veterinary diagnostic
30	laboratory for routine haematology during three time periods; pre-COVID-19 (January
31	2020), during the first wave of UK human infections (April-May 2020) and during the second
32	wave of UK human infections (September 2020-February 2021). Both pre-COVID-19 sera
33	and those from the first wave tested negative. However, in sera collected during the second
34	wave, 1.4% (n=4) of dogs and 2.2% (n=2) cats tested positive for neutralising antibodies. The
35	low numbers of animals testing positive suggests pet animals are unlikely to be a major
36	reservoir for human infection in the UK. However, continued surveillance of in-contact
37	susceptible animals should be performed as part of ongoing population health surveillance
38	initiatives.
39	
40	Key words
41	SARS-CoV-2, serology, dogs, cats, animal disease surveillance
42	
43	Introduction
44	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in Wuhan, China at
45	the end of 2019 [1] and rapidly spread around the world. The main route of transmission
46	remains human-to-human. However, there is evidence that the virus can infect animals [2]
47	and it is important that we remain vigilant of such infections; particularly in companion
48	animals with whom humans often have close contact.

49

50	Although initially there were only sporadic cases of infection in cats and dogs [3-5], there
51	are now numerous reports of infection detected by RT-PCR or virus isolation [6-10],
52	including in the UK [11]. Evidence of infection of cats and dogs has also been provided by
53	the detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in several studies; from Italy, France, Germany,
54	Croatia and China [12-17]. Experimental infections have shown that cats and, to a lesser
55	extent, dogs are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 and that cats can transmit the virus to other cats
56	[18-20]. Infections in companion animals appear to have occurred as a result of human-to-
57	animal transmission; however, the reported transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from farmed mink
58	to in-contact humans, cats and dogs [21, 22] and the detection of the virus in stray dogs and
59	cats [23, 24], suggest it is important to continue surveillance in companion animals. Here we
60	conducted a survey of SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in cats and dogs attending UK
61	veterinary practices.
61 62	veterinary practices.
	veterinary practices. Methods
62	
62 63	Methods
62 63 64	Methods Samples
62 63 64 65	Methods Samples Canine and feline sera used in this study were obtained from the UK Virtual Biobank, which
62 63 64 65 66	Methods Samples Canine and feline sera used in this study were obtained from the UK Virtual Biobank, which uses health data from commercial diagnostic laboratories participating in the Small Animal
62 63 64 65 66 67	Methods Samples Canine and feline sera used in this study were obtained from the UK Virtual Biobank, which uses health data from commercial diagnostic laboratories participating in the Small Animal Veterinary Surveillance Network (SAVSNET) to target left over diagnostic samples in the
62 63 64 65 66 67 68	Methods Samples Canine and feline sera used in this study were obtained from the UK Virtual Biobank, which uses health data from commercial diagnostic laboratories participating in the Small Animal Veterinary Surveillance Network (SAVSNET) to target left over diagnostic samples in the same laboratories for enhanced phenotypic and genomic analyses [25]. All samples were
62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	Methods Samples Canine and feline sera used in this study were obtained from the UK Virtual Biobank, which uses health data from commercial diagnostic laboratories participating in the Small Animal Veterinary Surveillance Network (SAVSNET) to target left over diagnostic samples in the same laboratories for enhanced phenotypic and genomic analyses [25]. All samples were residual sera remaining after routine diagnostic testing and were sent by the contributing

72 pandemic) for both cats and dogs, then September 2020 to February 2021 for dogs, and

73	January 2021 for cats (late pandemic). Serum samples collected from the same laboratory in
74	early January 2020 were also tested as pre-COVID-19 controls. All samples were linked to
75	electronic health data for that sample (species, breed, sex, postcode of the submitting
76	veterinary practice, date received by the diagnostic laboratory) held in the SAVSNET
77	database, using a unique anonymised identifier. Data on SARS-CoV-2 exposure or symptoms
78	was not available. Ethical approval to collect electronic health data (SAVSNET) and physical
79	samples from participating laboratories (National Virtual Biobank) was granted by the
80	Research Ethics Committee at the University of Liverpool (RETH000964).
81	
82	Neutralising antibody detection in serum samples
83	Serum samples were screened for SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies using the plaque
84	reduction neutralisation test (PRNT) as previously described [15], with the SARS-CoV-
85	2/human/Liverpool/REMRQ0001/2020 isolate cultured in Vero E6 cells [26]. Briefly, sera
86	were heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 mins and stored at -20°C until use. DMEM containing
87	2% FBS was used to dilute sera ten-fold followed by serial two-fold dilution. SARS-CoV-2 at
88	800 plaque forming units (PFU)/ml was added to diluted sera and incubated at 37°C for 1 h.
89	The virus/serum mixture was then inoculated onto Vero E6 cells, incubated at 37°C for 1 h,
90	and overlaid as in standard plaque assays [27]. Cells were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 5%
91	CO <sub>2</sub> , fixed with 10% formalin and stained with 0.05% crystal violet solution. PRNT $_{80}$ was
92	determined by the highest dilution with 80% reduction in plaques compared to the control.
93	Samples with detectable neutralising antibody titre were repeated as technical replicates
94	for confirmation. Where titres differed between technical replicates, the lowest dilution was
95	reported.

97 Results

98	A total of 732 samples were received from the diagnostic laboratory and tested for SARS-
99	CoV-2 neutralising antibodies. Linking of data to the samples found that 22 samples were
100	duplicates (duplicate samples gave the same result in each replicate and are therefore
101	reported as one sample). Seven samples were from animals with non-UK postcodes, two
102	samples did not have species data, two samples were received as dogs but were actually
103	from cats and were collected outside the two time periods of cat sample collection and
104	eleven samples were missing postcodes; these samples were excluded. Results are
105	therefore reported for 688 sera (454 canine, 234 feline) of which 558 (372 dogs, 186 cats)
106	were collected during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and 130 (82 dogs, 48 cats) were collected
107	from animals before the first confirmed human case in the UK ( $21^{st}$ January 2020 [28]) - pre-
108	COVID-19 samples; these samples were distributed across the UK (Figure 1). Of the dog sera
109	collected during the pandemic, 0/85 (0%) collected in March/April 2020 and 4/287 (1.4%)
110	collected September 2020-February 2021 tested positive for neutralising antibodies with
111	titres ranging from 1:20 to 1:80. In cats, 0/96 (0%) sera collected in March/April 2020 tested
112	positive for neutralising antibodies and 2/90 (2.2%) collected in January 2021 tested positive
113	with titres of 1:40 and 1:80. Pre-COVID-19 sera from both dogs (n=82) and cats (n=48)
114	tested negative for neutralising antibodies. Positive samples in dogs were collected in
115	November 2020 (n=1), January 2021 (n=2) and February 2021 (n=1) and were collected in
116	Kent, Buckinghamshire, Worcestershire and Yorkshire, respectively (Figure 1). The two
117	positive cats were collected in January 2021; one in Birmingham and the other in London
118	(Figure 1).

119

120 Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 emerged in humans in China late in 2019, rapidly spreading across the world.
Studies of companion animals from several countries have shown that they too can be
infected with the virus. In the UK, there are sporadic reports of infection in cats and dogs
[11, 29], however, there has been no large scale test of infection. Here we show that a small
proportion of UK dogs and cats sampled at a time of active human transmission tested
positive for SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies.

127

128 Sera from two time points during the pandemic were analysed. Sera collected early in the 129 pandemic, during March and April 2020, from both cats and dogs were negative for 130 neutralising antibodies. Previous studies using European samples have shown a low level of 131 infection, highest in Italy, where 3.3% (15/451) of dog sera and 5.8% (11/191) cat sera 132 collected between March and May 2020 had measurable neutralising antibody titres [15]. 133 These samples were purposefully collected from regions of Italy with a high prevalence of 134 infection in humans, in some cases from households known to contain recently diagnosed 135 human cases. Our results in contrast, are more consistent with a survey from a similar population of cats in Germany, that found 0/221 samples collected in April and May of 2020 136 137 to be positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies using ELISA [13], and with a survey in the 138 Netherlands in April-May 2020, that found 0.4% of cats and 0.2% dogs to be seropositive 139 [30]. Lack of positive samples from this time period in the UK (April-May2020) likely reflects 140 the selection criteria of the animals assayed (undergoing routine haematological testing and 141 not selected based on location), and the relatively low rate of human disease at the time 142 compared to Italy.

In sera collected later in the pandemic, 4/287 (1.4%) dogs and 2/90 (2.2%) cats tested
positive. Positive dog samples were collected in November 2020 and January and February
of 2021. Positive cats were collected in January 2021. This is again broadly in line with a
recent German survey conducted from September 2020 to February 2021, showing a
seroprevalence of 1.36%, that the authors concluded corresponded with the rise of
reported cases in the human population, and was suggestive of ongoing transmission from
owners to their cats [14].

151

152 Cats and dogs can be infected with other coronaviruses, leading to the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies in cats and dogs may result from previous infection with a 153 154 different virus. We and others have previously demonstrated a lack of cross-reactivity 155 between SARS-CoV-2 and samples containing antibodies to feline coronavirus (FCoV), canine 156 enteric coronavirus (CeCoV) and canine respiratory coronavirus (CRCoV) [13, 15, 16]; all of 157 which are endemic in UK cats and dogs [31-33]. Here we also tested samples from UK cats 158 and dogs collected before the human index case in the UK (21<sup>st</sup> January 2020 [28]). All pre-159 COVID-19 samples were negative for SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies. Similar results 160 have been reported for both cats and dogs by others [30], suggesting that antibodies 161 produced following infection by cat and dog coronaviruses do not cross react with SARS-162 CoV-2.

163

164 Here we made use of samples collected from a commercial diagnostic laboratory

165 contributing data to a voluntary national surveillance scheme (SAVSNET) to efficiently test

166 for evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK cats and dogs. The major limitations of such

a system are the relatively sparse data available for each sample such that individual

168 animals, that are not identifiable, may have been sampled twice or have come from the 169 same household. In addition, such samples lack detailed information on the health of the 170 animals and whether they were from a COVID-19-positive household. However, acquiring such samples from the UK Virtual Biobank, offers a responsive resource for studying 171 172 national patterns of disease in UK pets [25]. 173 174 We report here the detection of SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies during the second wave 175 of human infections in the UK. Other groups have previously reported that cats and dogs can become infected, likely through their interactions with humans. Although animal-to-176 animal transmission has been reported, for example on mink farms and in experimental 177 178 infections [18-20, 22, 34], the small numbers of companion animals testing positive in the 179 field suggest that pets are not currently acting as a significant reservoir for infection, and 180 that the pandemic will be controlled by measures largely focussed on minimising human-to-181 human transmission. However, studies like that presented here strongly argue for continued 182 surveillance of in-contact, susceptible animal species, which will help determine whether in

the future, more targeted control measures are needed for pet animals, particularly in

184 regions that are gaining control of infection in their human populations.

185

186 Funding

SLS, ADR, PJN and GLP were supported by funding from Dogs Trust. GLH was supported
by the BBSRC (BB/T001240/1 and BB/V011278/1), a Royal Society Wolfson Fellowship
(RSWF\R1\180013), the NIH (R21AI138074), the UKRI (20197 and 85336), and the NIHR
(NIHR2000907). GLH and TP are affiliated to the National Institute for Health Research
Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections at

- 192 University of Liverpool in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), in collaboration with
- 193 Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and the University of Oxford. The views expressed are
- those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of
- 195 Health or Public Health England. EIP and GLH were supported by the EPSRC (V043811/1)
- and UKRI-BBSRC COVID rolling fund (BB/V017772/1). CCU was supported by the Medical
- 197 Research Council (N013514/1). Funding sources had no involvement in the design or
- 198 conduct of the study or in the preparation of the manuscript.
- 199
- 200 Conflict of interest
- 201 NM and LR are employed by IDEXX Laboratories. All other authors declare no competing
- 202 interests.
- 203
- 204 References
- 1. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in
- 206 China. Nature **2020**; 579:265-9.
- 207 2. Prince T, Smith, S.L., Radford, A.D., Solomon, T. Hughes, G.L. and Patterson, E. I. SARS-
- 208 CoV-2 Infections in Animals: Reservoirs for Reverse Zoonosis and Models for Study. Viruses
- 209 **2021**; 13.
- 210 3. Garigliany M, Van Laere AS, Clercx C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Natural Transmission from Human
- 211 to Cat, Belgium, March 2020. Emerg Infect Dis **2020**; 26:3069-71.
- 4. Newman A, Smith D, Ghai RR, et al. First Reported Cases of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in
- 213 Companion Animals New York, March-April 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;
- 214 69:710-3.
- 5. Sit THC, Brackman CJ, Ip SM, et al. Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2. Nature **2020**.

- 216 6. Barrs VR, Peiris M, Tam KWS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 in Quarantined Domestic Cats from
- 217 COVID-19 Households or Close Contacts, Hong Kong, China. Emerg Infect Dis **2020**; 26:3071-

218 4.

- 219 7. Decaro N, Vaccari G, Lorusso A, et al. Possible Human-to-Dog Transmission of SARS-CoV-
- 220 2, Italy, 2020. Emerg Infect Dis **2021**; 27.
- 8. Hamer SA, Pauvolid-Correa A, Zecca IB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Viral Isolations
- among Serially Tested Cats and Dogs in Households with Infected Owners in Texas, USA.
- 223 Viruses **2021**; 13.
- 9. Ruiz-Arrondo I, Portillo A, Palomar AM, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in pets living with
- 225 COVID-19 owners diagnosed during the COVID-19 lockdown in Spain: A case of an
- asymptomatic cat with SARS-CoV-2 in Europe. Transbound Emerg Dis **2020**.
- 227 10. Sailleau C, Dumarest M, Vanhomwegen J, et al. First detection and genome sequencing
- of SARS-CoV-2 in an infected cat in France. Transbound Emerg Dis **2020**; 67:2324-8.
- 229 11. Hosie MJ, Epifano I, Herder V, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory samples from
- cats in the UK associated with human-to-cat transmission. Vet Rec **2021**; 188:e247.
- 231 12. Fritz M, Rosolen B, Krafft E, et al. High prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pets from
- 232 COVID-19+ households. One Health **2021**; 11:100192.
- 233 13. Michelitsch A, Hoffmann D, Wernike K, Beer M. Occurrence of Antibodies against SARS-
- 234 CoV-2 in the Domestic Cat Population of Germany. Vaccines (Basel) **2020**; 8.
- 235 14. Michelitsch A, Schon J, Hoffmann D, Beer M, Wernike K. The Second Wave of SARS-CoV-
- 236 2 Circulation-Antibody Detection in the Domestic Cat Population in Germany. Viruses **2021**;

237 13.

- 238 15. Patterson EI, Elia G, Grassi A, et al. Evidence of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in cats and dogs
- from households in Italy. Nat Commun **2020**; 11:6231.

- 240 16. Stevanovic V, Vilibic-Cavlek, T., Tabain, I., Benvin, I., Kovac, S., Hruskar, Z., Mauric, M.,
- 241 Milasincic, L., Antolasic, L., Skrinjaric, A., Staresina, V. and Barbic, L. Seroprevalence of SARS-
- 242 CoV-2 infection among pet animals in Croatia and potential public health impact.
- 243 Transbound Emerg Dis **2020**.
- 17. Zhang Q, Zhang H, Gao J, et al. A serological survey of SARS-CoV-2 in cat in Wuhan.
- 245 Emerg Microbes Infect **2020**; 9:2013-9.
- 246 18. Bosco-Lauth AM, Hartwig AE, Porter SM, et al. Experimental infection of domestic dogs
- and cats with SARS-CoV-2: Pathogenesis, transmission, and response to reexposure in cats.
- 248 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **2020**; 117:26382-8.
- 249 19. Halfmann PJ, Hatta M, Chiba S, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Domestic Cats. N
- 250 Engl J Med **2020**.
- 251 20. Shi J, Wen Z, Zhong G, et al. Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated
- animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. Science **2020**; 368:1016-20.
- 253 21. Oude Munnink BB, Sikkema RS, Nieuwenhuijse DF, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on
- 254 mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans. Science **2021**; 371:172-7.
- 255 22. van Aart AE VF, Fischer EAJ, Broens EM, Egberink H, Zhao S, Engelsma M, Hakze-van der
- 256 Honing RW, Harders F, de Rooij MMT, Radstake C, Meijer PA, Oude Munnink BB, de Rond J,
- 257 Sikkema RS, van der Spek AN, Spierenburg M, Wolters WJ, Molenaar RJ, Koopmans MPG,
- van der Poel WHM, Stegeman A, Smit LAM. SARS-CoV-2 infection in cats and dogs in
- infected mink farms. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases **2021**.
- 260 23. Dias HG, Resck MEB, Caldas GC, et al. Neutralizing antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 in stray
- animals from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. PLoS One **2021**; 16:e0248578.
- 262 24. Villanueva-Saz S, Giner J, Tobajas AP, et al. Serological evidence of SARS-CoV-2 and co-
- 263 infections in stray cats in Spain. Transbound Emerg Dis **2021**.

- 264 25. Smith SL, Afonso MM, Roberts L, Noble PM, Pinchbeck GL, Radford AD. A virtual biobank
- for companion animals: A parvovirus pilot study. Vet Rec **2021**:e556.
- 266 26. Patterson EI, Prince, T., Anderson, E. I., Casas-Sanchez, A., Smith, S. L., Cansado-Utrilla,
- 267 C., Turtle, L. and Hughes, G. L. Methods of inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 for downstream
- biological assays. **2020**.
- 269 27. Rossi SL, Russell-Lodrigue KE, Killeen SZ, et al. IRES-Containing VEEV Vaccine Protects
- 270 Cynomolgus Macaques from IE Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis Virus Aerosol Challenge.
- 271 PLoS Negl Trop Dis **2015**; 9:e0003797.
- 272 28. Lillie PJ, Samson A, Li A, et al. Novel coronavirus disease (Covid-19): The first two
- 273 patients in the UK with person to person transmission. J Infect **2020**; 80:578-606.
- 274 29. Ferasin L, Fritz, M., Ferasin, H., Becquart, P., Legros, V. and Leroy, E. M. Myocarditis in
- 275 naturally infected pets with the British variant of COVID-19. BioRxiv Preprint **2021**.
- 276 30. Zhao S, Schuurman N, Li W, et al. Serologic Screening of Severe Acute Respiratory
- 277 Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection in Cats and Dogs during First Coronavirus Disease Wave,
- the Netherlands. Emerg Infect Dis 2021; 27:1362-70.
- 279 31. Addie DD, Jarrett JO. Feline coronavirus antibodies in cats. Vet Rec **1992**; 131:202-3.
- 280 32. Priestnall SL, Brownlie J, Dubovi EJ, Erles K. Serological prevalence of canine respiratory
- 281 coronavirus. Vet Microbiol **2006**; 115:43-53.
- 282 33. Stavisky J, Pinchbeck GL, German AJ, et al. Prevalence of canine enteric coronavirus in a
- 283 cross-sectional survey of dogs presenting at veterinary practices. Vet Microbiol 2010;
- 284 140:18-24.
- 285 34. Oreshkova N, Molenaar RJ, Vreman S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the

286 Netherlands, April and May 2020. Euro Surveill 2020; 25.



Figure 1: Schematic map showing the location of samples for which testing of SARS-CoV-2
 neutralising antibodies is reported. Red dots indicate samples that were positive for SARS CoV-2 neutralising antibodies using PRNT<sub>80</sub>. Blue dots indicate samples that were negative.