J Ginseng Res 44 (2020) 405-412

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Ginseng Research

journal homepage: http://www.ginsengres.org

Research Article

New hydroperoxylated and 20,24-epoxylated dammarane triterpenes from the rot roots of *Panax notoginseng*



Jia-Huan Shang ^{1,2}, Wen-Jie Sun ¹, Hong-Tao Zhu ^{1,3}, Dong Wang ^{1,3}, Chong-Ren Yang ¹, Ying-Jun Zhang ^{1,3,*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³ Yunnan Key Laboratory of Natural Medicinal Chemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 October 2018 Received in Revised form 11 January 2019 Accepted 31 January 2019 Available online 6 February 2019

Keywords: 20,24-epoxylated Dammarane-type triterpenes Hydroperoxylated Panax notoginseng Inhibition of NO production

ABSTRACT

Background: Root rot is a serious destructive disease of Panax notoginseng, a famous cultivated araliaceous herb called Sanqi or Tianqi in Southwest China.

Methods: The chemical substances of Sanqi rot roots were explored by chromatographic techniques. MS, 1D/2D-NMR, and single crystal X-ray diffraction were applied to determine the structures. Murine macrophage RAW264.7 and five human cancer cell lines were used separately for evaluating the antiinflammatory and cytotoxic activities.

Results and Conclusion: Thirty dammarane-type triterpenes and saponins were isolated from the rot roots of *P. notoginseng.* Among them, seven triterpenes, namely, 20(S)-dammar-25-ene-24(*S*)-hydroperoxyl- 3β , 6α , 12β ,20-tetrol (**1**), 20(S)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl- 6α , 12β ,20-triol (**2**), 20(S)-dammar-12-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl- 3β , 6α ,20-triol (**3**), 20(S)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl- 12β ,20-diol (**4**), 20(S),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid (**5**), 20(S),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid (**5**), 20(S),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid (**5**), 20(S),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid (**5**), 20(S),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-3,12,20-trione (**7**), are new compounds. In addition, 12 known ones (**12**–**16** and **19**–**25**) were reported in Sanqi for the first time. The new Compound **1** showed comparable antiinflammatory activity on inhibition of NO production to the positive control, whereas the known compounds **9**, **12**, **13**, and **16** displayed moderate cytotoxicities against five human cancer cell lines. The results will provide scientific basis for understanding the chemical constituents of Sanqi rot roots and new candidates for searching antiinflammatory and antitumor agents.

© 2019 The Korean Society of Ginseng. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Panax notoginseng (Burk.) F. H. Chen has been domesticated and cultivated in Southwestern China for more than 400 years. Sanqi or Tianqi is known as a famous araliaceous traditional Chinese medicinal (TCM) herb, and its roots have been used widely as a tonic and hemostatic agent and one of the major ingredients of Danshen dripping pill, a traditional Chinese medicinal preparation for treating common cardiovascular diseases in the world, e.g., China, Korea, and Russia [1]. Owing to its sensitivity to sunlight, heat, and humidity, the plantation of Sanqi is restricted to Southwest China, and the root is susceptible to various diseases [2], particularly, root

rot disease, caused mainly by infection by plant pathogens; this is not only the most serious destructive disease of Sanqi in its cultivated regions of China but also a big problem affecting the industrialization of this precious medicinal material because it may cause the loss of production accounting for an average of 20%, even up to 70% in severe situation [3,4].

To date, more than 200 saponins, flavonoids, polyacetylenes, cyclopeptides, and amino acids have been identified in Sanqi. Among which, dammarane triterpenoid saponins are the major and bioactive secondary metabolites in the roots [5], with ginsenosides Rb1, Re, Rd, and Rg1 and notoginsenoside R1 as the five defining saponins. Our previous work on steam-processed Sanqi roots

* Corresponding author. State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China.

E-mail address: zhangyj@mail.kib.ac.cn (Y.-J. Zhang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgr.2019.01.008

p1226-8453 e2093-4947/\$ – see front matter © 2019 The Korean Society of Ginseng. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

resulted in a series of new transformed saponins with promoting effects on the differentiation of PC12 cells [6,7]. Moreover, high performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization quadruple time-of-flight mass spectrometry (HPLC–QTOF/MS) comparison showed that the oxidation level of the chemical constituents in Sanqi roots significantly increased after being infected by the root rot disease [8]. To search for new bioactive components and to clarify the discrepancy and their transformation mechanisms in the rot roots of *P. notoginseng*, a detailed chemical investigation was carried out. This led to the isolation of 30 dammarane triterpenes (Fig. 1), including four saponins (10, 15, 18, and 20) and 10 hydroperoxylated (1–4 and 13–18) and seven 20,24-epoxylated (5–6 and 26–30) analogs. Of them, four hydroperoxylated (1–4) and two 20,24-epoxylated (5–6) derivatives are new compounds, together with 7, who is losing of the side chain from C-22 to C-27.

The 20(*S*)-protopanaxadiol (PPD), being reported with stronger cytotoxicity on leukemia THP-1 cells [9], has been developed as an anticancer drug candidate [10]. Moreover, compound K (CK) and ginsenosides Rh1 and Rg1 were reported to inhibit the NO production in RAW264.7 cells [11,12]. Herein, all isolates were assayed for the antiinflammatory and cytotoxic activities, which might provide new candidates for searching antiinflammatory and antitumor agents and basic theory for the scientific utilization of rot roots of *P. notoginseng*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General experimental procedures

Optical rotations, IR, and UV spectra were recorded on a IASCO P-1020 polarimeter (Tokyo, Japan), Hercules Bio-Rad FTS-135 series spectrometer (CA, USA), and Shimadzu UV-2401 PC ultravioletvisible spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan), respectively. Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) and high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were carried out on an Agilent G6230 TOF MS spectrometer. 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-500, 600 or 800 spectrometer (Karlsruhe, Germany). The X-ray diffraction instrument (Bruker APEX DUO, Karlsruhe, Germany) was used for characterizing the structures of the crystal. Macroporous resin D101 and silica gel (200-300 mesh) were used for column chromatography (CC), and TLC analysis with silica gel GF254 plates were visualized by heating after spraying with 10% H₂SO₄/EtOH. All CC and TLC materials were purchased from Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co. Ltd., China. Reversephase semipreparative HPLC (Capcell Pak MGII C₁₈ column, 5 µm, $250 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}$, Tokyo, Japan) was performed on a Hanbon series (Jiangsu Hanbon Science & Technology Co., China) at 25 °C with a flowing rate of 3.0 mL/min.

2.2. Plant material

Rot roots of *Panax notoginseng*, identified by Prof. C.R. Yang of Kunming Institute of Botany (KIB), Chinese Academy of Sciences, were collected in Wenshan District, Yunnan Province, China, on February 2017. A voucher specimen (KIB-Z-2017001) was deposited in the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resource in West China of KIB, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

2.3. Extraction and isolation

The fresh rot roots (70 kg) were cut from the healthy part, air-dried under room temperature, and extracted with MeOH under reflux (\times 3). The MeOH extract (4.0 kg) was loaded to a macroporous resin D101 column, eluting with H₂O to remove the saccharide portion and then with MeOH to give the total saponin fraction (Fr.) (2.7 kg). Further silica gel CC (250 \times 30

cm) with CHCl₃-MeOH (7:3) of the MeOH fraction gave Fr. A–Fr. C. TLC and HPLC analysis showed Fr. C (220.8 g) containing mainly notoginsenoside R1 and ginsenosides Rg1, Re, Rd, and Rb1.

Fr. B (62.1 g) was fractionated by CC of RP-18 (MeOH-H₂O, 1:9 to 9:1) to vield eight subfractions (Fr. B1–B8). Fr. B1 (1.5 g) was subjected to silica gel (CHCl₃-MeOH, 10:1) and RP-18 (MeOH-H₂O, 1:1 to 9:1), followed by semipreparative HPLC (MeCN-H₂O, 2:8) to yield 2 (2.2 mg), 3 (3.1 mg), and 20 (12 mg). Fr. B3 (10 g) was chromatographed over silica gel CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 200:1 to 95:5) and semipreparative HPLC (MeCN-H₂O, 35:65) to yield 1 (10 mg), 9 (100 mg), 11 (65 mg), 17 (22 mg), 18 (3 mg), and 24 (15 mg). Compounds 10 (120 mg) and 15 (13 mg) from Fr. B2 (2.0 g), and 7 (18 mg) and 19 (120 mg) from Fr. B4 (1.1 g), were obtained by silica gel CC, eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH (100:1 to 10:1, 200:1 to 95:5). Subfractions of Frs. B5.1-5.5, Frs. B6.1-6.5, and Frs. B7.1-7.5 were yielded separately by silica gel CC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 200:1 to 50:1) from Fr. B5 (1.5 g), Fr. B6 (1.0 g), and Fr. B7 (1.2 g). Furthermore, RP-18 CC (MeOH-H₂O, 9:1 to 20:1 and 50:50) gave **8** (80 mg) from Fr. B5.2 (230 mg) and 6 (2.3 mg) and 12 (30 mg) from Fr. B7.3 (80 mg). Semipreparative HPLC (MeCN-H₂O, 43:57) resulted in 4 (1.0 mg), 13 (19 mg), 14 (11 mg), 16 (36 mg), and 23 (8.2 mg) from Fr. B5.5, and 5 (2.0 mg), 26 (2.5 mg), 28 (4.0 mg), and 29 (2.3 mg) from Fr. B7.4 (250 mg). Crystal 30 (116 mg) was obtained from Fr. B6.3 (370 mg) by recrystallization in CHCl3-MeOH (1:1). Compounds 22 (9.0 mg) and 27 (100 mg) from Fr. B6.4 (210 mg), and 21 (32 mg) and 25 (18 mg) from Fr. B6.5 (70 mg) were obtained by semipreparative HPLC (MeCN-H₂O, 33:67 and 52:48).

2.4. 20(S)-dammar-25-ene-24(S)-hydroperoxyl-3 β , 6α , 12β ,20-tetrol (1)

White amorphous powder; [*a*]25 D +26.8 (*c* 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 203 (0.16) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3416, 2961, 2932, 2876, 1648, 1631, 1465, 1451, 1384 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 531.3659 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₂O₆Na, 531.3662).

2.5. 20(S)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl- 6α ,12 β ,20-triol (2)

White amorphous powder; [*a*]25 D +85.8 (*c* 0.19, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (0.14) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3423, 2966, 2940, 2875, 1693, 1631, 1383; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 529.3500 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₆Na, 529.3500).

2.6. 20(S)-dammar-12-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl-3 β , 6α ,20-triol (3)

White amorphous powder; [a]25 D +41.1 (*c* 0.34, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (0.17) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3431, 2971, 2932, 1698, 1630, 1425 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 529.3502 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₆Na, 529.3505).

2.7. 20(S)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl-12β,20-diol (4)

White amorphous powder; [*a*]25 D +19.2 (*c* 0.16, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 203 (0.27) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3416, 2960, 2934, 2873, 1705, 1630, 1384 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 513.3552 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₅Na, 513.3550).



Fig. 1. Compounds **1–30** from the rot roots of *P. notoginseng*. (Glc, β -D-glucopyranosyl).

2.8. 20(S),24(R)-epoxy-3,4-seco-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid (**5**)

Colorless columnar crystal; [*a*]25 D +46.5 (*c* 0.16, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (0.20) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3439, 2879, 1707,

1634, 1384 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 529.3504 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}O_6Na$, 529.3500).

Table 1	
¹ H NMR (600 MHz, 800 MHz, and 500 MHz in C_5D_5N) data for compounds 1–7 (δ in ppm, J in hertz)	

No.	1	2	3	4 ^a	5	6	7 ^b
1	1.07 m	1.61 m	0.96 m	1.33 m	1.95 m	1.82 m	1.48 m
	1.70 m	1.76 m	1.44 m	1.79 m	3.20 m	3.11 m	2.25 m
2	1.88 m, 1.95 m	2.31 m, 2.84 m	1.87 m	1.51 m, 2.46 m	2.56 m, 3.02 m	2.40 m, 2.88 m	2.27 m, 2.77 m
3	3.56 dt (11.8,5.1)		3.51 dt (11.5,4.6)				
5	1.26 d (10.4)	1.96 d (10.6)	1.25 d (10.5)	1.38 m	1.69 m	1.62 m	1.91 m
6	4.44 m	4.25 m	4.47 m	1.42 m, 1.50 m	1.68 m	1.62 m	4.25 m
7	1.91 m, 1.99 m	1.92 m	1.97 m	1.28 m, 2.51 m	1.30 m, 1.48 m	1.29 m, 1.46 m	1.86 m
9	1.62 m	1.71 m	1.91 m	1.55 m	2.20 m	2.13 m	1.90 m
11	1.60 m, 2.17 m	1.61 m, 2.12 m	2.37 m, 2.40 m	1.62 m, 2.07 m	2.42 m, 2.59 m	2.40 m, 2.47 m	1.89 m, 2.24 m
12	3.95 m	3.95 m		3.93 m			
13	2.08 t (10.6)	2.08 m	3.37 d (9.7)	2.08 m	3.24 d (9.0)	3.23 d (9.4)	3.27 d (12.0)
15	1.04 m, 1.57 m	1.08 m, 1.63 m	1.18 m, 1.91 m	1.08 m, 1.64 m	1.16 m, 1.79 m	1.16 m, 1.80 m	1.13 m, 1.72 m
16	1.37 m, 1.86 m	1.48 m, 1.88 m	1.90 m, 2.09 m	1.49 m, 1.91 m	1.82 m	1.82 m	1.71 m, 1.97 m
17	2.36 dt (18.0,7.2)	2.40 dt (18.0,7.2)	2.74 m	2.39 dt (18.0,7.2)	2.78 dd (16.2,7.2)	2.78 m	3.37 m
18	1.13 s	1.00 s	1.32 s	1.09 s	1.26 s	1.24 s	1.13 s
19	1.03 s	0.88 s	0.91 s	0.94 s	1.19 s	1.15 s	0.78 s
21	1.42 s	1.45 s	1.46 s	1.47 s	1.25 s	1.25 s	2.22 s
22	1.75 td (13.0,4.9)	2.47 dd (13.8,4.8)	2.48 dd (13.2,4.8)	2.49 m	1.59 m	1.58 m	
	2.28 td (13.1,4.0)	2.81 m	2.59 dd (13.5,5.9)	2.82 dd (13.6,5.5)	1.95 m	1.94 m	
23	2.48 m	6.28 m	6.16 m	6.29 m	1.98 m, 2.05 m	1.95 m, 2.04 m	
24	4.81 t (6.8)	6.09 d (16.0)	6.06 d (15.8)	6.09 d (15.9)	3.97 t (7.1)	3.98 t (7.3)	
26	5.12 s, 5.29 s	1.59 s	1.55 s	1.60 s	1.45 s	1.45 s	
27	1.94 s	1.59 s	1.55 s	1.60 s	1.40 s	1.40 s	
28	2.03 s	1.70 s	2.00 s	1.17 s	1.50 s	1.48 s	1.68 s
29	1.48 s	1.73 s	1.46 s	1.08 s	1.46 s	1.42 s	1.64 s
30	0.97 s	1.34 s	1.02 s	0.95 s	0.85 s	0.85 s	0.82 s
31						3.54 s	

d: doublet; m: multiplet; s: singlet; t: triplet

^a 800 MHz.

^b 500 MHz.

Table 2
13 C NMR (150 MHz, 200 MHz, and 125 MHz in C5D5N) data for compounds 1–7 (δ ir
(mag

rr ,							
No.	1	2	3	4 ^a	5	6	7 ^b
1	39.8	40.4	39.4	40.2	36.0	35.6	39.2
2	28.6	33.8	28.4	34.7	30.0	29.5	33.1
3	78.9	219.2	78.6	216.9	177.4	175.2	218.2
4	40.8	48.2	40.8	47.9	75.2	75.1	47.7
5	62.2	59.5	62.0	55.7	52.8	52.8	58.5
6	68.2	67.3	68.1	20.4	23.4	23.2	66.6
7	48.0	45.9	47.2	34.9	34.3	34.3	44.4
8	41.6	41.0	42.1	40.4	40.9	40.9	40.8
9	50.6	49.3	54.4	50.1	47.5	47.4	52.0
10	39.8	38.6	40.0	37.4	42.3	42.2	38.1
11	32.6	33.3	40.5	32.9	40.3	40.2	39.1
12	71.5	71.3	212.3	71.3	210.9	210.9	209.0
13	48.7	49.1	56.7	49.5	57.8	57.8	57.5
14	52.1	52.2	56.0	52.2	56.7	56.7	54.4
15	31.9	31.7	32.3	31.7	32.9	32.8	31.5
16	27.4	27.2	25.0	27.2	25.7	25.7	25.5
17	55.2	54.5	44.8	54.6	43.6	43.6	47.5
18	17.9	17.4	17.9	16.0	15.9	15.9	15.7
19	18.1	18.4	17.8	17.4	21.4	21.3	17.3
20	73.3	73.8	74.0	73.8	85.9	85.8	209.9
21	27.7	28.2	27.6	28.2	25.8	25.8	29.9
22	32.4	40.8	46.0	40.8	36.0	36.0	
23	26.8	127.7	127.2	127.7	27.4	27.4	
24	90.6	138.1	138.7	138.1	85.1	85.1	
25	146.7	81.8	81.7	81.8	71.6	71.6	
26	113.9	25.6	25.5	25.8	27.0	27.0	
27	17.8	25.8	25.7	25.6	27.6	27.5	
28	32.5	32.6	32.3	27.3	34.6	34.8	19.9
29	17.0	20.5	16.9	21.6	28.7	28.5	32.0
30	17.5	16.6	17.7	16.5	17.2	17.1	16.8
31						51.7	

^a 200 MHz.

^b 125 MHz.

2.9. 20(S),24(R)-epoxy-3,4-seco-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid methyl ester (**6**)

White amorphous powder; [*a*]25 D +38.8 (*c* 0.17, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 202 (0.20) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3440, 2969, 1733, 1708, 1630, 1383 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 543.3667 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O₆Na, 543.3662).

2.10. 6α -hydroxy-22,23,24,25,26,27-hexanordammar-3,12,20-trione (**7**)

Colorless needle crystal; [*a*]25 D +183.2 (*c* 0.11, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 203 (0.18), 224 (0.14) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3516, 3436, 2974, 2957, 2876, 1701, 1355 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are shown in Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 411.2503 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₄H₃₆O₄Na, 411.2506).

2.11. Inhibition of NO production in RAW264.7 macrophages

The procedure was carried out as reported previously [13].

2.12. Cytotoxic assay

The procedure was carried out as reported previously [14].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure elucidation of compounds 1-7

Compound **1** was presented as white amorphous powder with a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{52}O_6$ based on HR-ESI-MS at m/z 531.3659

 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₂O₆Na, 531.3662). The IR absorptions revealed the existence of hydroxyl (3416 cm⁻¹) and double (1631 cm⁻¹) bond. In ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1), seven singlet methyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.03, 1.94, 1.48, 1.42, 1.13, 1.03, and 0.97, each 3H, s), two olefinic ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.29 and 5.12, each 1H, s), four oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.81 [t, *J* = 6.8 Hz], and 4.44, 3.95, and 3.56 [each 1H, m]), and five oxygen-bearing ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 12.94, 7.35, 7.09 [each 1H, s], 5.83 [1H, d, *J* = 5.5 Hz], and 5.36 [1H, d, I = 6.7 Hz]) proton signals were observed. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed 30 carbon resonances assignable to seven methyls, nine methylenes with a vinyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 113.9), eight methines with four oxygenbearing ones (δ_{C} 90.6, 78.9, 71.5, and 68.2), and six quaternary carbons with an olefinic ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 146.7) and an oxygen-bearing ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 73.3) ones. The NMR data were similar to those of 25,26-en-24(S)hydroperoxyl-20(S)-PPD (14) [15]. However, the C-6 methylene ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 19.2) in **14** was replaced by an oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.3, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.44), along with the shielding of C-5 ($\Delta \delta_{\rm C}$ +5.4 ppm), C-7 ($\Delta \delta_{\rm C}$ +4.3 ppm), and C-8 ($\Delta\delta_{\rm C}$ +3.6 ppm) in **1**, indicating that **1** was a protopanaxatriol (PPT)-type peroxide analog. The ¹H-¹H homonuclear chemical shift correlation spectroscopy. (COSY) of 1 (Fig. 2) indicated the presence of four fragments: -CH-CH(O)-CH2-, -CH-CH2-CH(O)-CH-CH-, and two -CH₂-CH₂-CH(O)-. In the heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) spectrum (Fig. 2) of **1**, both H₃-28 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.03) and H₃-29 $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.48) were correlated with C-3 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.9)/C-4 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 40.8)/C-5 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 62.2), whereas another two methyls of H₃-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.13) and H₃-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03) were correlated withC-7 (δ_{C} 48.0)/C-8 (δ_{C} 41.6)/C-9 (δ_{C} 50.6)/C-14 (δ_{C} 52.1) and C-1 (δ_{C} 39.8)/C-5/C-9/C-10 (δ_{C} 39.8), respectively. Moreover, four hydroxyl protons of 3-OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.83), 6-OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.36), 12-OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.35), and 20-OH ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.09) were correlated with C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 28.6)/C-3/C-4, C-5/C-6 (δ_C 68.2)/C-7, C-11 (δ_C 32.6)/C-12 (δ_C 71.5)/C-13 (δ_{C} 48.7), and C-17 (δ_{C} 55.2)/C-20 (δ_{C} 73.3)/C-21 (δ_{C} 27.7)/C-22 (δ_{C} 32.4), respectively, furnishing the typical PPT core. The position of peroxy group was confirmed to be linked to C-24 from the HMBC correlations of $\delta_{\rm H}$ 12.94 with C-24 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 90.6), combined with the ¹H-¹H COSY correlation of 24-OOH with H-24 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.81).

The absolute configuration of Compound **1** was determined on the basis of ¹³C NMR, nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY), optional rotation, CD spectra, and biogenetic consideration. Dammarane-type triterpenes derived from the all-chair formed epoxy–squalene requires the *trans*fused rings A/B, B/C, and C/D and β -configurations of H-13, CH₃-18, CH₃-19, and the side chain at C-17 on its tetracyclic skeleton [16]. The 3 β -OH in **1** was firstly identified by the chemical shift of C-3 (δ_C 78.9), which was *ca*. 2.8 ppm upfield shifted, related to those of the 3 α -OH derivatives [17]. In the ROESY spectrum of **1** (Fig. 2), H-6 (δ_H 4.44) and H-12 (δ_H 3.95) were correlated with the two β -methyls of H₃-18 and H₃-19, and H-9 (δ_H 1.62), H-17 (δ_H 2.36), and α -methyl of H₃-30, respectively, indicating the 6α and 12β hydroxy groups of **1**. Moreover, compared with the 20*R* configuration (C-17 [δ_C 50.7] and C-21 [δ_C 22.8]), the obviously deshielded C-17 (δ_C 55.2) and C-21 (δ_C 27.7) revealed the 20S configuration in **1** [17,18]. The 24S configuration for **1** was determined by comparing the [α]_D value with that of **14** and the negative Cotton effect at 216 nm for both **1** and **14** (**52**). On the basis of the aforementioned evidence, Compound **1** was deduced to be 20(*S*)-dammar-25-ene-24(*S*)-hydroperoxyl- 3β , 6α , 12β ,20-tetrol.

Compound **2** possessed a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$, as deduced by the HR-ESI-MS at m/z 529.3500 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₆Na, 529.3500) and the distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) spectrum, corresponding to six degrees of unsaturation. The NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of 2 showed some similarity to those of **1**. However, a ketone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 219.2), a transdisubstituted double bond ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 138.1, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.09 [d 16.0] and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 127.7, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.28 [m]), and an oxy-quaternary carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.8) that appeared in **2** were replaced by an oxymethine (C-3, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.9), a terminal double bond between C-25 and C-26 (δ_{C} 146.7, 113.9), and a peroxylated methine of C-24 (δ_{C} 90.6) in **1**. These NMR features were in good agreement with those of 17 [19], except for an additional ketone in 2, indicating 2 was a C-3-oxidized PPT-type peroxide analog. This deduction was verified by the HMBC correlations from H-2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.84, 2.31), H-28 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70), and H-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.73) to C-3 (δ_{C} 219.2), along with the ROESY correlations of H-6 (δ_{H} 4.25) with H-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.88) and H-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.00) and H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.95) with H-9 $(\delta_{\rm H} \, 1.71)$, H-17 $(\delta_{\rm H} \, 2.40)$, and H-30 $(\delta_{\rm H} \, 1.34)$. Thus, Compound **2** was characterized as 20(S)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl- $6\alpha.12\beta.20$ -triol.

Compound **3** had a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O_{6}$, as determined by positive-mode HR-ESI-MS at m/z 529.3502 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}O_{6}Na$, 529.3505). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, indicating a ketone (δ_{C} 219.2) and a *trans*disubstituted double bond (δ_{C} 138.7, δ_{H} 6.06 [d 15.8], δ_{C} 127.2, and δ_{H} 6.16 [m]), were quite similar to those of **2**. The C-3 ketone (δ_{C} 219.2) and C-12 oxymethine (δ_{C} 71.3) in **2** were upfield and downfield shifted to δ_{C} 212.3 and δ_{C} 78.6 in **3**, respectively, indicating the location of the ketone group in **3** and **2** was different. The ¹H-¹H COSY correlations between H-3 (δ_{H} 3.51) and H-2 (δ_{H} 1.87)/3-OH (δ_{H} 5.85), and HMBC correlations from H-2/ H-28 (δ_{H} 2.00)/H-29 (δ_{H} 1.46) to C-3 (δ_{C} 78.6) and from H₂-11 (δ_{H} 2.40, 2.37)/H-13 (δ_{H} 3.37)/H-17 (δ_{H} 2.74) to C-12 ketone (δ_{C} 212.3) revealed that **3** was a C-12-oxidized PPT-type peroxide analog. Compound **3** was therefore identified as 20(*S*)-dammar-12-oxo-23ene-25-hydroperoxyl-3 $\beta_{5}6\alpha_{2}$ 20-triol.

Compound **4** showed a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O_5$, based on HR-ESI-MS at m/z 513.3552 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}O_5Na$,



Fig. 2. Key ¹H-¹H COSY, HMBC, and ROESY correlations of Compound 1.

513.3550). It also showed coherent NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) to **2**, excepting the appearance of a methylene ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 20.4) in **4** instead of the oxymethine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 67.3 [C-6], $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.78 [6-OH]) in **2**. When taking the molecular weight (16 Da less than **2**) into consideration, the disappearance of a hydroxyl group at C-6 was reasonably deduced for **4**, suggesting **4** to be a C-3-oxidized PPD-type peroxide derivative. The ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of H₂-6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.50, 1.42) with H-5 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.38)/H₂-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.51, 1.28) and H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.93) with H-13 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.08) together with the HMBC correlations from H₂-6 to C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 55.7)/C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 20.4)/C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 34.9) and Ha-2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.46)/H-28 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.17)/H-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.08) to C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 216.9) further confirmed the structure of **4**. Accordingly, Compound **4** was determined to be 20(*S*)-dammar-3-oxo-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl-12 β ,20-diol.

Compound 5, a colorless columnar crystal, had a molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$ determined by the *m/z* 529.3504 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₆Na, 529.3500). Its NMR spectra, combined with heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) spectra, showed 30 carbon resonances and were similar to those of an ocotillol-type triterpene, 20S,24*R*-epoxy-dammar- 3β , 6α ,25-triol-12-one (**29**) [20], featuring a 20,24-epoxylated hydroxyisopropyl-tetrahydrofuran side chain at the C-20, except for the signals of A-ring. The carbonyl signal ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 177.4) and an additional oxy-quaternary carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.2 suggested that the A-ring cleavage in 5 retained an open form with a carboxylic acid residue. This illation was confirmed by ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of H₂-1 with H-2 and H-6 with H-5/H-7, as well as the HMBC correlations of H-5/H-6/H-28/H-29 with C-4 and H-1/H-2 with C-3 (δ_C 177.4). So far, all ocotillol-type triterpenes isolated from Panax species possessed a 20S configuration [21], which could be recognized by the obvious differences for C-17, C-20, and C-21 between 20(S) and 20(R) configurations [22]. A clear triplet (I = 7.1 Hz) H-24 indicated the 24R configuration for 5, when comparing with the 24S configuration (dd, J = 10.0, 5.5 Hz) [23]. Finally, the structure of 5 was unequivocally confirmed by the oak ridge thermal ellipsoid of plot (ORTEP) drawing of X-ray crystallography analysis (Fig. 3) to be 20(S),24(R)-epoxy-3,4-seco-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid.

Compound **6** had a molecular formula of $C_{31}H_{52}O_6$, as deduced by the HR-ESI-MS at m/z 543.3667 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{31}H_{52}O_6$ Na, 543.3662). The ¹³C NMR spectrum showing the existence of 31 carbons was closely similar to that of **5**, except for an additional methoxy carbon (δ_C 51.7, δ_H 3.54) and an upper-field shifted carbonyl carbon ($\Delta\delta_C$ –2.2 ppm) in **6**. These NMR characteristics suggested that the carboxylic acid in **5** was esterified to methyl ester in **6**. Furthermore, HMBC correlation from -OCH₃ (δ_H 3.54) to C-3 (δ_C 175.2) and of the same negative Cotton effects at 220 and 285 nm with those of **5** (**S3**) confirmed the structure of **6**. Hence, Compound **6** was elucidated to be 20(*S*),24(*R*)-epoxy-3,4-*seco*-dammar-25-hydroxy-12-one-3-oic acid methyl ester.

Compound 7 was isolated as colorless needle crystal and had a molecular formula of C₂₄H₃₆O₄, as determined by the HR-ESI-MS at m/z 411.2503 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₄H₃₆O₄Na, 411.2506) in the HR-ESI-MS spectrum, referring to seven degrees of unsaturation. IR absorptions for hydroxy (3516 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl (1701 cm⁻¹) groups were observed effortlessly. The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of 7, showing the existence of six methyls, six methylenes, four methines with one oxymethine (δ_{C} 66.6), and seven guaternary carbons with three ketones (δ_{C} 218.2, 209.9, 209.0), were consistent with those of panaxadione (**30**) [24], except for the absence of the signals from the side chain (C-20 to C-27) and appearance of an additional ketone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 209.0). Considering the molecular weight, it can be inferred that the side chain of Compound 7 was lost and left with a methyl ketone structure. The above analysis was confirmed by the HMBC correlations of H-13/H-17/H-21 to C-20 (δ_{C} 209.9). The stereochemistry of C-17 was established as S configuration by the ROESY correlation of H-17 with H-30 first and X-ray crystallography analysis finally (Fig. 3). Consequently, Compound 7 was deduced to be 6*α*-hydroxy-22,23,24,25,26,27-hexanordammar-3,12,20-trione.

3.2. Structure identification of known compounds 8-30

Twenty-three known triterpenes and saponins were identified as 20(*S*)-PPD (**8**) [25], 20(*S*)-PPT (**9**), 20(*S*)-ginsenoside Rh1 (**10**) [26], 20(*S*)-dammar-3-oxo-24-ene- 6α ,12 β ,20-triol (**11**) [27], 6α ,20(*S*)-dihydroxydammar-3,12-dione-24-ene (**12**) [28], 25,26en-24(*R*)-hydroperoxyl-20(*S*)-PPD (**13**), 25,26-en-24(*S*)-hydroperoxyl-20(*S*)-PPD (**14**) [15], 20(*S*)-ginsenoside SL1 (**15**) [29], 23,24-

Table 3		
Antiinflammatory activities (uM) of compounds	1,	13,
and 14		

Compound	$\text{IC}_{50}\pm\text{SD}$
1	$\begin{array}{c} 42.47 \pm 0.49 \\ 47.40 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$
13 14	17.18 ± 0.35 25.87 ± 0.60
L-NMMA	39.26 ± 0.91

SD, standard deviation

Data are expressed as means \pm SD (n = 3)



Fig. 3. ORTEP of 5 and 7 with thermal ellipsoids shown at 30% probability.

Table 4	
Cytotoxicities (μM) of compounds 9 , 12 , 13 , and 16	

Compound	$IC_{50} \pm SD$					
	Myeloid leukemia HL-60	Lung cancer A-549	Hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC7721	Breast cancer MCF-7	Colon cancer SW480	
9	16.58 ± 0.34	34.38 ± 0.42	24.16 ± 0.67	17.06 ± 0.67	17.00 ± 0.37	
12	27.37 ± 1.72	> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100	
13	16.13 ± 0.42	$\textbf{30.86} \pm \textbf{0.91}$	> 100	> 100	$\textbf{26.79} \pm \textbf{0.31}$	
16	12.37 ± 0.48	> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100	
DDP	2.61 ± 0.07	17.80 ± 0.59	10.42 ± 0.44	19.44 ± 1.56	$\textbf{20.80} \pm \textbf{1.04}$	
Taxol	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	

SD, standard deviation; DDP, cisplatin

Data are expressed as means \pm SD (n = 3)

en-25-hydroperoxyl-20(S)-PPD (16) [15], 20(S)-dammar-23-ene-25-hydroperoxyl-3 β ,6 α ,12 β ,20-tetrol (17) [19], 20(S)-floralquinquenoside A (**18**) [30], 20(*S*)-dammar-23-ene-3β,12β,20,25tetrol (19) [31], 20(S),23(E)-ginsenoside Rh13 (20) [32], 20(S)dammar-23-ene-3β,6α,12β,20,25-pentanol (21) [33], 6α,20(S),25trihydroxy-dammar-3,12-dione-23-ene (22) [28], 25,26-en-24(R)hydroxyl-20(S)-PPD (23) [31], 20(S), 24(S)-dammar-25(26)-ene-3β,6α,12β,20,24-pentanol (24) [19], 20(S), 24(R)-dammar-25(26)ene-3β,6α,12β,20,24-pentanol (**25**) [34], 20(*S*),24(*R*)-dammar-20,24-epoxy-3β,6α,12β,25-tetrol (26) [21], 20(S),24(R)-dammar-3one-20,24-epoxy-6α,12β,25-triol (27) [35], 20(S), 24(S)-dammar-3-one-20.24-epoxy- 6α .12 β .25-triol (28), 20(S), 24(R)-epoxydammar-3 β ,6 α , 25-triol-12-one (**29**) [20], and panaxadione (**30**) [24] by comparing with the NMR and MS data with literature values. Among them, 10, 15, 18, and 20 were saponins, and 12-16 and 19–25 were reported in Sangi for the first time.

3.3. Antiinflammatory and cytotoxic activities

All isolates were evaluated for inhibition of NO production in murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (antiinflammatory) and cytotoxicity against five human cancer cell lines (breast cancer MCF-7, colon cancer SW480, hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC7721, lung cancer A-549, and myeloid leukemia HL-60).

As shown in Table 3, the new Compound 1 showed comparable antiinflammatory activity (half maximal inhibitory concentration $IC_{50}~=~42.47~\pm~0.49~\mu\text{M})$ to the positive control, L-NMMA (IC_{50} = 39.26 \pm 0.91 μM), whereas 13 and 14 showed stronger inhibitory effects on NO production (IC_{50} = 17.18 \pm 0.35 [13] and 25.87 \pm 0.60 [14] μM). It was noted that the PPD analog showed stronger antiinflammatory activity than the PPT analog and triterpenes are superior to their saponins. Moreover, C-24-OOH is essential for the inhibition of NO production and 24R configuration is stronger than 24S configurations.

At a concentration of 40 µM, most isolates showed no cytotoxicities. Only compound 9 showed moderate cytotoxicities against all five cancer cells (Table 4). Moreover, 13 showed toxicities on HL-60, A-549, and SW480 cell lines, whereas 12 and 16 showed cytotoxicities against only HL-60 cells.

4. Conclusion

In summary, 30 dammarane-type triterpenes and saponins including 10 hydroperoxylated (1-4 and 13-18), seven 20,24epoxylated (5–6 and 26–30), and one losing of the side chain (7) analogs were identified from the rot roots of P. notoginseng. Seven of them, 1–7, are new compounds. Most compounds are sapogenins, and their oxidation level was increased, which was consistent with the analytic results in the previous report [8]. The new Compound 1 showed comparable antiinflammatory activity to the positive control, but weaker than the known triterpenes 13 and 14. Moreover, Compounds 9, 12, 13, and 16 showed moderate cytotoxicities against five human cancer cells. The investigation will provide valuable information in understanding the chemical constituents of Sanqi rot roots and searching new candidates for antiinflammatory and antitumor agents. Studies of the plant itself and the isolates against pathogenic microorganisms of the titled plant are now on progress, which may provide the basic theory for chemical and biological control of root rot disease of the titled herb.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Major Science and Technique Programs in Yunnan Province, China (No. 2016ZF001-01, 2013FC008), and Yung-Chi Cheng academician workstation of Yunnan Provincial Academy of Science and Technology (No.2015IC017). The authors are grateful to the members of the analytical and bioactivity screening groups at the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, KIB, CAS, for measuring the spectroscopic data and cytotoxic assay.

Database: CCDC: 1862502, 1862503.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgr.2019.01.008.

References

- [1] Guo YX, Zhao LB, Wang L, Jiang JL, Yuan YJ. New progress in basic research of compound danshen dropping pills. Chin Tradit Herbal Drugs 2003;34:U4-5.
- Liu D. Cui XM. Preliminary study on the photosynthetic characteristics of Panax notoginseng. South Chin J Agric Sci 1992;5:41–3.
 [3] Mao ZS, Long YJ, Zhu SS, Chen ZJ, Wei FG, Zhu YX, He XH. Research progress of
- root rot of Panax notoginseng. Chin Med Mat 2013;36:2051-4.
- [4] Luo WF, Yu SF, He CF, Li ZY, Wang CL, Cui XM. On the combined infection root rot pathogens on *Panax notoginseng*. Acta Phytopathol Sin 1997;27:85–91. [5] Xia PG, Zhang SC, Liang ZS, Qi ZH. Study on chemical constituents of *Panax*
- notoginseng. Chin Tradit Herbal Drugs 2014;45:2564-70.
- [6] Gu CZ, Lv JJ, Zhang XX, Qiao YJ, Yan H, Li Y, Wang D, Zhu HT, Luo HR, Yang CR, et al. Triterpenoids with promoting effects on the differentiation of PC12 cells from the steamed roots of Panax notoginseng. J Nat Prod 2015;78:1829-40.
- [7] Gu CZ, Lv JJ, Zhang XX, Yan H, Zhu HT, Luo HR, Wang D, Yang CR, Xu M, Zhang YJ. Minor dehydrogenated and cleavaged dammarane-type saponins from the steamed roots of *Panax notoginseng*. Fitoterapia 2015;103:97–105.
- [8] Tai RQ, Tan WZ, Yang E, Feng Y, Li HZ. Variation of saponins in Panax notoginseng root rot diseases by LC-MS. J Kunming Univ Sci Technol (Nat. Sci) 2015;40:85-8.
- [9] Popovich DG, Kitts DD. Structure-function relationship exists for ginsenosides in reducing cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis in the human leukemia (THP-1) cell line. Arch Biochem Biophys 2002;406:1-8.
- [10] Saklani A, Kutty SK. Plant-derived compounds in clinical trials. Drug Discov Today 2008;13:161-71.

J Ginseng Res 2020;44:405-412

- [11] Ahn S, Siddiqi MH, Noh HY, Kim YJ, Kim YJ, Jin CG, Yang DC. Anti-inflammatory activity of ginsenosides in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells. Sci Bull 2015;60:773–84.
- [12] Xie J. Anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects and the mechanism of actions of ginsenoside Rg1. Chin J Hosp Pharm 2013;33:1592–7.
- [13] Sun WJ, Zhu HT, Zhang TY, Zhang MY, Wang D, Yang CR, Zhang YX, Zhang YJ. Two new alkaloids from *Fusarium tricinctum* SYPF 7082, an endophyte from the root of *Panax notoginseng*. Nat Prod Bioprosp 2018;8:391–6.
- [14] Gu CZ, Lv JJ, Zhang XX, Yang Hui, Wang D, Zhu HT, Luo HR, Yang CR, Xu M, Zhang YJ. Triterpenoid saponins with promoting effects on differentiation of PC12 cells from the steamed roots of *Panax notoginseng*. | Nat Prod 2015;78:1829–40.
- [15] Guang TC, Yang X, Lin Y, Qu XG, Inventors; Nantong University, assignee. Protopanaxadiol peroxidation derivatives and their preparation and application. Chinese patent CN 102766184 B. 2014 Aug 13. Chinese.
- [16] Lars P. Christensen. Ginsenosides: chemistry, biosynthesis, analysis, and potential health effects. In: Steve T, editor. Advances in food and nutrition research. Cambridge: Elsevier; 2008. p. 1–99.
 [17] Asakawa J, Kasai R, Yamasaki K, Tanaka O. ¹³C NMR study of ginseng sapo-
- [17] Asakawa J, Kasai R, Yamasaki K, Tanaka O. ¹³C NMR study of ginseng sapogenins and their related dammarane type triterpenes. Tetrahedron 1977;33: 1935–9.
- [18] Fujita S, Kasai R, Ohtani K, Yamasaki K, Chiu MH, Nie RL, Tanaka O. Dammarane glycosides from aerial parts of *Neoalsomitra integrifoliola*. Phytochemistry 1995;39:591–602.
- [19] Ma SG, Jiang YT, Song SJ, Wang ZH, Bai J, Xu SX, Liu K. A lkaline-degradation products of ginsenosides from leaves and stems of *Panax quinquefolium*. Acta Pharm Sin 2005;40:924–30.
- [20] Zhang J, Guo H, Tian Y, Liu P, Li N, Zhou J, Guo D. Biotransformation of 20(S)protopanaxatriol by *Mucor spinosus* and the cytotoxic structure activity relationships of the transformed products. Phytochemistry 2007;68:2523–30.
- [21] Shen RZ, Cao X, Laval S, Sun JS, Yu B. Synthesis of ocotillol-type ginsenosides. J Org Chem 2016;81:10279–94.
- [22] Ismail IS, Santhanaraju C, Morita H, Malek EA, Mahmod II. Terpenes of Walsura chrvsogyne (Meliaceae). Lett Org Chem 2013;10:584-9.
- Walsura chrysogyne (Meliaceae). Lett Org Chem 2013;10:584–9.
 [23] Roux D, Martin MT, Adeline MT, Sevenet T, Hadi AH, Pais M. Foveolins A and B, dammarane triterpenes from *Aglaia foveolata*. Phytochemistry 1998;49:1745–8.

- [24] Sugimoto S, Nakamura S, Matsuda H, Kitagawa N, Yoshikawa M. Chemical constituents from seeds of *Panax ginseng*: structure of new dammarane-type triterpene ketone, panaxadione, and hplc comparisons of seeds and flesh. Chem Pharm Bull 2009;57:283–7.
- [25] Atopkina LN, Denisenko VA. Synthesis of 3β,20S-dihydroxydammar-24-en-12-one 3,20-di-0-β-D-glucopyranoside (chikusetsusaponin-LT8), a glycoside from *Panax japonicus*. Chem Nat Compd 2006;42:55–60.
- [26] Ko SR, Choi KJ, Suzuki K, Suzuki Y. Enzymatic preparation of ginsenosides Rg2, Rh1, and F1. Chem Pharm Bull 2003;51:404–8.
- [27] Liu X, Qiao LR, Xie D, Zhang Y, Zou JH, Chen XG, Dai JG. Microbial transformation of ginsenoside-Rg1 by *Absidia coerulea* and the reversal activity of the metabolites towards multi-drug resistant tumor cells. Fitoterapia 2011;82:1313–7.
- [28] Yang JL, Ha TKQ, Dhodary B, Kim KH, Park JS, Lee CH, Kim YC, Oh WK. Dammarane triterpenes as potential SIRT1 activators from the leaves of *Panax* ginseng. J Nat Prod 2014;77:1615–23.
- [29] Tung NH, Song GY, Minh CV, Kiem PV, Jin LG, Boo HJ, Kang HK, Kim YH. Steamed ginseng-leaf components enhance cytotoxic effects on human leukemia HL-60 cells. Chem Pharm Bull 2010;58:1111–5.
- [30] Nakamura S, Sugimoto S, Matsuda H, Yoshikawa M. Medicinal flowers. XVII. new dammarane-type triterpene glycosides from flower buds of American ginseng, *Panax quinquefolium* L. Chem Pharm Bull 2007;55:1342–8.
- [31] Chen GT, Yang X, Li JL, Ge HJ, Song Y, Ren J. Biotransformation of 20(S)-protopanaxadiol by Aspergillus niger AS 3.1858. Fitoterapia 2013;91. 256-30.
- [32] Liu GY, Li XW, Wang NB, Zhou HY, Wei W, Gui MY, Yang B, Jin YR. Three new dammarane-type triterpene saponins from the leaves of *Panax ginseng C. A.* Meyer. J Asian Nat Pro Res 2010;12:865–73.
- [33] Yu P, He WN, Sun BH, Huang J, Gao HY, Wu LJ. A new dammarane-type triterpene from the root of *Panax notoginseng*. Asian J Tradit Med 2008;3:160–2.
- [34] Huang W, inventor; Panagin Pharmaceuticals Inc, assignee. [Novel dammarane sapogenins and their use as anti-cancer agents]. World patent WO 2005/ 040189 A1. 2005 May 6.
- [35] Liu JP, Tian X, Liu HY, Zhang QH, Lu D, Li PY, Zhao CF. Two novel dammaranetype compounds from the leaves and stems of *Panax quinquefolium* L. J Asian Nat Prod Res 2013;15:974–8.