





Circulating inflammatory biomarkers in adolescents: evidence of interactions between chronic pain and obesity

Keri R. Hainsworth^{a,b,*}, Pippa M. Simpson^{c,d}, Hershel Raff^{e,f}, Mitchell H. Grayson^{c,g}, Liyun Zhang^{c,d}, Steven J. Weisman^{a,b,c}

Abstract

Introduction: The negative effects of chronic pain and obesity are compounded in those with both conditions. Despite this, little research has focused on the pathophysiology in pediatric samples.

Objective: To examine the effects of comorbid chronic pain and obesity on the concentration of circulating inflammatory biomarkers.

Methods: We used a multiple-cohort observational design, with 4 groups defined by the presence or absence of obesity and chronic pain: healthy controls, chronic pain alone, obesity alone, as well as chronic pain and obesity. Biomarkers measured were leptin, adiponectin, leptin/adiponectin ratio (primary outcome), tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin 6, and C-reactive protein (CRP).

Results: Data on 125 adolescents (13–17 years) were analyzed. In females, there was an interaction between chronic pain and obesity such that leptin and CRP were higher in the chronic pain and obesity group than in chronic pain or obesity alone. Within the chronic pain and obesity group, biomarkers were correlated with worsened pain attributes, and females reported worse pain than males. The highest levels of interleukin 6 and CRP were found in youth with elevated weight and functional disability. We conclude that in adolescents, chronic pain and obesity interact to cause dysregulation of the inflammatory system, and this effect is more pronounced in females.

Conclusion: The augmented levels of inflammatory biomarkers are associated with pain and functional disability, and may be an early marker of future pain and disability.

Keywords: Pediatric, Chronic pain, Obesity, Leptin, C-reactive protein

1. Introduction

Chronic pain and obesity have negative effects on health when experienced alone.^{14,15,66} Unsurprisingly, negative effects increase when these chronic conditions co-occur.⁴⁷ In adults, a cycle of disability occurs, increasing the risk for depression and behaviors that undermine treatment success.^{5,11,31,58,65} Although it is appreciated that obesity increases the risk of chronic pain^{4,10,23,53,69} and pain increases the risk of obesity,^{32,45,48} few studies have focused on children–a large portion of the pain

population. The number of youth seen in pediatric pain clinics who are either at-risk of, or have obesity, ranges from 34% to 68%.^{26,27,79} In children, obesity is a risk factor for chronic pain conditions^{13,17,55,78} and is associated with pain treatment failure.⁷⁰ It is critical to understand the pathophysiology of co-occurring chronic pain and obesity in pediatrics so that appropriate treatments can be offered.

Inflammation has been proposed as a key link in the association between chronic pain and obesity.^{9,47} Adipocytes

PR9 6 (2021) e916

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PR9.0000000000000916

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

^a Department of Anesthesiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^b Jane B. Pettit Pain and Headache Center, Children's Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^c Department of Pediatrics, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^d Quantitative Health Sciences, Children's Wisconsin and Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^e Departments of Medicine, Surgery, and Physiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^f Endocrine Research Laboratory, Aurora St. Luke's Medical Center, Advocate Aurora Research Institute, Milwaukee, WI, USA, ^g Division of Allergy and Immunology, Medical College of Wesconsin, Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, OH, USA

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: Medical College of Wisconsin, Department of Anesthesiology, 9000 W. Wisconsin Ave., Milwaukee, WI, 53226. Tel.: 414-266-6306; fax: 414-266-1761. E-mail address: khainswo@mcw.edu (K.R. Hainsworth).

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

secrete hormones that affect inflammatory cytokines ("adipocytokines").⁷ These factors are important in metabolic homeostasis, reproduction, immunity, and inflammatory processes.^{7,28} Furthermore, augmented production is responsible for the low-grade systemic inflammation characterizing obesity and is a critical pathophysiological component linking obesity and related sequelae.²⁸ Chronic pain and obesity may interact by obesityinduced changes in adipocytokines^{60,74}; these detrimental effects may be potentiated by the proinflammatory environment.⁶⁰ These adipocytokines include leptin, adiponectin, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and Creactive protein (CRP)^{24,50} and are associated with increased pain intensity,^{21,22,42} and the etiology and progression of painrelated disease states, such as migraines,⁵² osteoarthritis (OA),^{41,76} and low back pain.^{6,33,55}

We examined the effects of comorbid chronic pain and obesity (CPO) on the circulating inflammatory biomarkers (leptin, adiponectin, TNF-alpha, IL-6, and CRP), relative to both conditions alone (chronic pain [CP] and obesity [O]) and to healthy controls (HCs). We hypothesized that proinflammatory biomarkers (leptin, TNF-alpha, IL-6, and CRP) would be increased and adiponectin (anti-inflammatory) decreased in the CPO group. The leptin/adiponectin (L/A) ratio was the primary outcome, as this index of proinflammatory to anti-inflammatory activity may be more sensitive to obesity-related comorbidities than either marker alone.¹⁹ The prevalence of chronic pain and obesity is higher in females,⁶⁷ with some evidence pointing to a greater risk of pain in females with obesity.⁴⁷ Ergo, we hypothesized that these effects would be more pronounced in females. Secondary aims were to examine relationships between biomarkers and pain attributes (groups with chronic pain), and to explore interrelationships between groups, demographics, and clinical factors important to pediatric chronic pain (functional disability, anxiety, depression, and physical activity) as predictors of systemic biomarkers.^{25,29,34,35,40,56}

2. Methods

2.1. Design and participants

We used a multiple-cohort observational design. Four groups were recruited defined by the presence/absence of obesity and chronic pain. A healthy control group (HC) and an obesity-only (O) group were recruited from well-child checkups at a pediatric clinic (from the same health system as the pain clinic) and through advertisements on the hospital Facebook page and the affiliated medical college intranet. Chronic pain healthy weight (CPHW) and chronic pain and obesity (CPO) groups were recruited from intake appointments in our multidisciplinary pediatric pain clinic. The CPO group was also recruited from well-child checkups at the pediatric clinic. Data were collected from February 2015 through November 2016. This study was approved by the Children's Wisconsin Institutional Review Board. Participants and parents gave written assent or consent, respectively. Participants received a gift card as compensation.

2.2. Screening criteria

2.2.1. Eligibility

2.2.1.1. Weight criteria

The HC and CPHW groups had a healthy weight (a body mass index [BMI] between the 5th and 85th percentile, based on age and sex³⁹). The O and CPO groups had a BMI in the obese range (\geq 95th percentile, based on age and sex³⁹).

Patients' medical records were screened for exclusion criteria to ensure that patients in the O and the HC groups did not have chronic pain or any pain-related conditions. Furthermore, the participant and their parent were required to answer 2 screening questions at the time of consent: (1) "do you have any chronic illness?" Those responding "yes" were excluded; (2) "over the past 3 months, have you had pain?" Response options included "not at all," "rarely," "sometimes," "frequently," and "all the time." Patients were excluded if they responded "sometimes," "frequently," or "all the time." Patients screened for the O group were included in the CPO group if they presented with pain \geq 3 months and if they indicated that pain occurred sometimes, frequently, or all the time in the past 3 months. In addition, each of these cases was reviewed by an MD with >30 years' experience as the medical director of the pediatric pain clinic (S.W.) before inclusion in the CPO group.

Exclusion criteria for all groups included current use of the following: metformin, long-acting analgesics, corticosteroids, and antidepressant or anxiolytic medication(s). In addition, patients were excluded if they used any nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medication within the past 8 hours, allergy medications or an inhaler on a regular basis (defined as daily use within the past 2 weeks or as \geq 12 times in the past month), or if either of the latter were used within 48 hours before the blood draw. Diagnostic exclusions included hypertension, postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome, diabetes mellitus, small fiber neuropathies, autonomic dysfunction, or diagnoses associated with an inflammatory state (other than pain or obesity), including celiac disease, irritable bowel disease, and any form of arthritis.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Demographics

Age, sex, and pain location (CPHW and CPO groups) were extracted from the electronic medical record. Pain location was categorized as head, back, musculoskeletal, or others. Height, weight, and waist circumference (as the minimum circumference between the 10th rib and the top of the iliac crest) were measured at the medical appointment. Central adiposity was defined as having a waist to height ratio >0.5.⁴⁴ An online calculator was used to determine patients' BMI percentile based on Centers for Disease Control growth charts (https://zscore.research.chop. edu). Patients self-reported race and ethnicity.

2.4. Patient-reported outcomes

2.4.1. Pain frequency-severity-Duration scale

Assesses pain attributes in children and adolescents. Participants reported the number of days with pain over the past 2 weeks (0–14 days). The pain intensity (0 = no pain to 10 = worst pain) and average duration (1–2, 3–5, 6–8, 9–12, 12–18, or 18–24 hours) of both usual and worst pain over the past 2 weeks were also reported. Initial validation showed good construct validity in a pediatric chronic pain population.⁶²

2.4.2. Child Activity Limitations Questionnaire

Self-report of functional disability consisting of a list of 21 activities. Respondents indicated the degree to which pain affected the activities in the past month (0 = not at all difficult–5 = extremely difficult). Scores range from 0–105 (higher scores indicating greater functional disability). The Child Activity

Limitations Questionnaire has good construct validity and reliability.²⁵ Internal reliability for the current sample was 0.97.

2.4.3. Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System self-report child Anxiety and child depression (short forms, version 1.0)

For both, participants rated 8 items on a 5-point Likert scale indicating how frequently they experienced symptoms (0 = never-4 = almost always), such as feeling scared or worried (anxiety) or sadness (depression). Raw scores were converted to T-scores. These measures have been validated in a large population of children and adolescents.³⁰ Internal reliability for the current sample was 0.91 (anxiety) and 0.94 (depression).

2.4.4. Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System self-report physical activity (short form, version 1.0)

Participants rated 8 items on a 5-point Likert scale indicating how frequently they performed physical activities ranging from minimal activity to greater effort (0 = no days; 4 = 6-7 days), eg, "how many days did you exercise or play so hard that your body got tired?" Raw scores were converted to T-scores. This measure has been validated in a large population of children and adolescents.⁷³ Internal reliability for the current sample was 0.93.

2.4.5. Pubertal Development Scale

A brief self-report measure used to assess pubertal development.⁵⁴ Participants reported growth in height and body hair, and skin changes. Males were asked about facial hair growth and voice changes. Females were asked about breast growth and menstruation age and onset. Scores were categorized as 1 of 5 stages of pubertal development (from prepubertal to postpubertal).⁸

Table 1

2.5. Procedures

Questionnaires were completed at the time of the blood draw (in the pediatric translational research unit or a laboratory colocated with the pediatric clinic). Blood (8 mL) was drawn into an SST tube, allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 to 60 minutes, and centrifuged (4° C) for 15 minutes at 1000×g. Serum was stored at -80° C.

2.6. Measurement of serum biomarkers

Serum markers were measured by enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISA) from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The leptin ELISA has a sensitivity of 7.8 pg/mL, an intra-assay CV of 3.0% to 3.2% and interassay CV of 3.5% to 5.4%. The total adiponectin ELISA has a sensitivity of 0.3 ng/mL, an intraassay CV of 2.5% to 4.7%, and interassay CV of 5.8% to 6.9%. The high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP) ELISA has a sensitivity of 0.01 ng/mL, an intra-assay CV of 4% to 8%, and an interassay CV of 6% to 7%. The high-sensitivity IL-6 assay has a sensitivity of 0.04 pg/mL, an intra-assay CV of 7% to 8%, and an interassay CV of 7% to 10%. The high-sensitivity TNF- α ELISA has a sensitivity of 0.1 pg/mL, intra-assay CV of 3% to 9%, and an interassay CV of 7% to 10%.

2.7. A priori sample size analysis

This was based on the expected difference between the CPO and O groups on the primary outcome (L/A ratio). Using data from Diamond et al.⁴⁶ and after taking square roots to normalize the data, the mean difference between normal and obese youth on the L/A ratio was 1.4 (SD 1.2). Thus, power was 80% to detect a difference of ~0.78 with 30 participants in each group (Pass 11, Power Analysis and Sample Size Software).

Patient demographics by groups.						
	HC (n = 31)	CPHW (n = 30)	0 (n = 35)	CPO (n = 29)	Р	
Age, y Median (IQR)	¹ ge, y Median (IQR) 15.0 (14.0–16.0)		15.0 (14.0–17.0)	15.0 (13.5–16.5)	0.87	
Sex Female, 17 (%)	23 (74.2)	24 (80.0)	10 (28.6)	15 (51.7)	<0.001*	
Race, n (%) Anglo-American AA Others	26 (83.9) 2 (6.5) 3 (9.7)	25 (83.3) 4 (13.3) 1 (3.3)	33 (94.3) 1 (2.9) 1 (2.9)	21 (72.4) 8 (27.6) 0 (0)	0.031†	
Ethnicity Not Hispanic, 17 (%)	31 (100.0)	25 (83.3)	33 (94.3)	26 (89.7)	0.29	
BMI %ile Median (IQR)	66.0 (43.0–77.0)	59.5 (42.5–73.0)	98.0 (97.0–99.0)	98.0 (97.0–99.0)	<0.001‡	
Central adiposity§, (n, %)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.4)	27 (81.8)	26 (92.9)	<0.001	
Pubertal stage¶, (<i>n</i> , %) Prepubertal Early pubertal Midpubertal Late pubertal Postpubertal	1 (3.2) 0 (0.0) 5 (16,1) 5 (16.1) 20 (64.5)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 2 (6.9) 7 (24.1) 20 (69.0)	0 (0.0) 4 (11.4) 6 (17.1) 13 (37.1) 12 (34.3)	2 (7.1) 4 (14.3) 3 (10.7) 6 (21.4) 13 (46.4)	0.092	

* Higher frequency of males in the 0 group (*std resid* = 2.6); lower frequency of females in the 0 group (*std resid* = -2.3).

+ Higher frequency of African Americans in the CPO group (*std resid* = 2.4).

BMI percentile distributed as expected: HC *ns* CPHW < 0 *ns* CPO.

Higher frequency of central adiposity in the CPO (*std resid* = 3.7) and 0 (*std resid* = 3.1) groups; lower frequency of central adiposity in the CPHW (*std resid* = -3.4) and HC groups (*std resid* = -3.4). Missing data for 1 CPHW and 1 CPO participant.

§ Missing data for 1 HC, 1 CPHW, 2 O, and 1 CPO participant.

CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls; IQR, interquartile range.



Figure 1. Flow diagram. CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls.

2.8. Statistical methods

Continuous variables are reported as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables as n (%). To compare differences between groups, a Kruskal–Wallis test or a Mann–Whitney test was used for continuous, and a χ^2 test or a Fisher's exact test for categorical

variables. Minimal missing data are noted in **Table 1**. Spearman correlation tests examined relationships between biomarkers and pain attributes for the 2 groups with chronic pain. A 2-sided *P*-value of <0.05 (not adjusted for multiple comparisons) was considered statistically significant. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) (nonparametric models) were used to explore which combinations of

Table 2

Pain characteristics of the CPHW and CPO groups.					
	$CPHW \ n = 30$	CP0 n = 29	Р		
Primary pain location, n(%)			0.67		
Head	17 (56.7)	18 (62.1)			
Back	3 (10.0)	2 (6.9)			
Musculoskeletal	0	1 (3.4)			
Others	10 (33.3)	8 (27.6)			
Primary diagnosis for head pain, n (%)			0.69		
Chronic daily headache	6 (20.0)	6 (20.7)			
Migraine w and w/o aura	6 (20.0)	7 (24.1)			
Tension headache	5 (16.7)	4 (13.8)			
Unspecified chronicity pattern	0	1 (3.4)			
Duration of chronic pain, mo			0.21		
Median (IQR)	28.0 (12.0-84.0)	21.0 (12.0–36.0)			
Pain in the last 14 d			0.061		
Median (IQR)	12.0 (5.0–14.0)	8.0 (3.0–12.5)			
Usual pain intensity			0.84		
Median (IQR)	5.5 (4.0–7.0)	6.0 (3.0-8.0)			
Worst pain intensity			0.31		
Median (IQR)	8.0 (6.0–9.0)	8.0 (6.0–9.0)			

CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls; IQR, interquartile range.



Figure 2. Systemic biomarker concentrations by group and sex. Biomarkers include (A) leptin, (B) adiponectin, (C) L/A ratio, (D) TNF-alpha, (E) IL-6, and (F) CRP. For right-skewed biomarkers in (A), (C), and (E), a log scale was used for the Y-axis. CRP, C-reactive protein.; CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls; IL-6, interleukin 6.

factors had the strongest associations with each biomarker. CART analysis has the advantage that it is transparent and objective, enables investigation of interrelationships between many variables, and provides thresholds for further investigation. For the 6 multivariable CART analyses, the outcomes were the individual biomarkers (leptin, adiponectin, L/A ratio, TNF- α , IL-6, and CRP). Considered factors included groups (HC, CP, O, and CPO), demographics (age, sex, and Pubertal Development Scale), and clinical factors important to pediatric chronic pain (functional disability, anxiety, depression, and physical activity). Trees were optimized by the least absolute deviation with 10-folded cross validation and split criteria of 10 for the parent node and 5 minima for the terminal node. Data were analyzed using SAS 9.4, SPSS 24.0 (IBM), and Salford Systems CART (Classification and Regression Trees) Software.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Figure 1 shows the participant flow. The primary reason for ineligibility was the use of excluded medications. After enrollment, the primary exclusions were self-reports of acute pain (pain intensity ≥3/10) or chronic pain (all were responses of "sometimes" on the screener) in the HC or O groups. Ultimately, the data on 125 adolescents (13–17 years) were analyzed. Demographics are in **Table 1**. Groups did not differ in age, ethnicity, or pubertal stage (*P* > 0.05). However, the O group had more male participants (*P* < 0.001); CPO group had more African Americans (*P* = 0.031). Between-group differences in BMI percentile and central adiposity were consistent and expected based on the inclusion criteria.

Table 3

Between-group differences in systemic biomarker concentrations (shown in Fig. 3) by sex.

Male	Female
Leptin CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.006 CPO vs 0, <i>P</i> -value = 0.313 CPO > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.001 0 > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.003 0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPHW vs HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.477	CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPO > 0, <i>P</i> -value = 0.028 CPO > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 0 > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPHW vs HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.832
Adiponectin No differences	CP0 < CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.002
	CPO vs 0, P-value = 0.698
	CPO < HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.003
	0 < CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.018
	0 < HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.014
	CPHW vs HC, P-value = 0.383
Leptin/adiponectin ratio CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.004 CPO vs 0, <i>P</i> -value = 0.872 CPO > HC, <i>P</i> -value <0.001 0 > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.002 0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPHW vs HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.651	CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPO vs 0, <i>P</i> -value = 0.056 CPO > HC, <i>P</i> -value <0.0001 0 > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPHW vs HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.587
TNF-alpha No differences	CPO vs CPHW, Pvalue = 0.111
	CPO vs 0, P-value = 0.317
	CP0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value =0.005
	0 Vs CPHW, P-value = 0.747
	0 Vs HC, P-value = 0.216
	CPHW vs HC, P-value = 0.121
IL-6 No differences	CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.002
	CPO > 0 , <i>P</i> -value $= 0.254$
	CP0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value =0.001
	0 Vs CPHW, P-value = 0.063
	0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.037
	CPHW vs HC, P -value = 0.949
C-reactive protein CPO > CPHW, P-value = 0.007 CPO vs 0, P -value = 0.977 CPO > HC, P-value = 0.002 0 > CPHW, P-value = 0.021 0 > HC, P-value = 0.004 CPHW vs HC, P -value = 0.847	CPO > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value<0.0001 CPO > 0, <i>P</i> -value = 0.031 CPO > HC, <i>P</i> -value < 0.0001 0 > CPHW, <i>P</i> -value = 0.034 0 > HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.024 CPHW vs HC, <i>P</i> -value = 0.932

CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls. Bold print indicates significant differences.

Pain characteristics for the CPHW and CPO groups are in **Table 2**. There were no between-group differences on pain-related variables, but there were several sex differences (not shown in **Table 2**) in the CPO group alone. Within the CPO group: females (8.0, 8.0–9.0) reported a significantly higher level of worst pain than males (6.5, 4.0–8.0) (P = 0.032), and a longer duration for usual pain (3.0, 1.0–4.0 hours) than males (0.0, 0.0–2.0 hours) (P = 0.043).

3.2. Serum biomarkers

Serum biomarkers and their within-group sex differences are shown in **Figure 2**. Between-group sex differences are shown in **Table 3**. Leptin (panel A) was dramatically increased in the groups with obesity (O and CPO) in males and females. In every group, leptin was significantly higher in females (P < 0.010 to <0.0001). In all except the O group, females had 2-fold higher circulating leptin levels. Of note, the highest levels of leptin were found in females with CPO (P 0.028 to <0.0001). There was a 4-fold difference between the HC group and the CPO group. Adiponectin (panel B) was lower in the 2 groups with obesity (O and CPO). The only sex difference was found in the O group, with adiponectin levels higher in females (P = 0.011). The L/A ratio (panel C) mirrored between-group and withingroup differences found for leptin. Although a sex difference was found in the O group for both leptin and adiponectin, that effect was lost in the L/A ratio results. The only between-group difference in **TNF-alpha** (panel D) was in females. Females with CPO had higher levels of TNF-alpha than females in the HC group. The only between-group differences in IL-6 (panel E) was in females. Females with CPO had higher IL-6 compared with HC (more than double) and CPHW (almost double). In addition, females in the O group had a higher IL-6 than those in the HC group. Several important findings are apparent in **CRP** (panel F). CRP was dramatically higher in the O and CPO groups, such that overall, median CRP concentration was almost 2-fold increased over the HC and CPHW groups. Compared with leptin, CRP was significantly higher in females in the CPO group alone. Most apparent is that in females, the CPO group had higher levels than all other groups, including the O group, and the difference was >10fold between the HC and CPHW groups and the CPO group.

3.3. Relationships between inflammatory biomarkers and pain attributes and functional disability

Table 4 shows data for clinical factors. Functional disability was higher in both chronic pain groups for both females and males. Among males, depression was highest in those with CPHW. Among females, depression was highest in the groups with chronic pain. Within the CPO group, females reported greater anxiety and lower physical activity. No other within-group sex differences were found.

We then examined relationships between biomarkers and pain attributes (usual and worst pain intensity, days with pain in the last 14, and duration of chronic pain). As leptin increased, so did usual $(r_{\rm s}$ = 0.39, P = 0.047) and worst $(r_{\rm s}$ = 0.50, P = 0.008) pain intensity, as well as number of days with pain in the last 14 days (r_s = 0.40, P = 0.034) in the CPO group; however, leptin was not correlated with any pain parameters in the CPHW group. As CRP increased, usual ($r_s = 0.62$, P = 0.001) and worst ($r_s = 0.51$, P =0.006) pain intensity and the number of days with pain in last 14 days ($r_s = 0.40, P = 0.036$) increased in the CPO group, but CRP was not correlated with any pain parameters in the CPHW group. Finally, as IL-6 increased, worst pain intensity increased ($r_s =$ 0.40, P = 0.041) in the CPO group. By contrast, as IL-6 increased in the CPHW group, usual ($r_s = -0.42$, P = 0.021) and worst ($r_s =$ -0.40, P = 0.027) pain intensity decreased, whereas the duration of chronic pain increased ($r_s = 0.49, P = 0.006$).

3.4. Regression trees

Figures 3–8 depict regression trees and significant factors for branch points within each tree. Central adiposity and sex were important predictors of leptin, such that females with central adiposity had 2.5× more leptin than the sample median (**Fig. 3**). BMI percentile was the most important predictor of adiponectin (**Fig. 4**). The highest levels were found in White participants with a BMI <90th percentile. Females with central adiposity had a

Tabl Betwee

able 4 etween-group differences on clinical factors by sex.						Eamala				
	HC	CPHW	0	CPO	Р	HC	CPHW	0	CPO	Р
Functional disability Median	0.00	*† 34.50	3.00	*† 19.50	<0.001	1.00	‡§ 41.69	1.50	‡§ 32.00	<0.001
(IQR)	(0.00–6.00)	(26.00–39.00)	(0.00-4.00)	(6.00–47.25)		(0.00–2.00)	(27.71–56.85)	(0.00-8.00)	(14.00–57.00)	
Anxiety Median (IQR)	38.00 (33.50–43.95)	∥¶ 49.80 (48.30–49.80)	40.60 (33.50–44.90)	44.85# (40.60–46.70)	0.014	44.90 (38.00–48.30)	47.50 (44.90–59.30)	43.00 (40.60–51.20)	48.30# (46.70–58.70)	0.066
Depression Median (IQR)	35.20§§ (35.20–37.80)	42.95 (35.20–52.00)	40.40 (35.20–43.20)	40.40 (35.20–43.20)	0.21	40.40§§ (35.20–45.50)	**†† 51.30 (37.80–61.10)	35.20 (35.20–40.40)	‡‡ 48.25 (40.40–60.00)	0.009
Physical					0.66					0.97
Activity Median (IQR)	53.10 (45.20–60.15)	46.45 (39.40–56.30)	51.40 (46.10–57.30)	52.35 (49.20–55.30)		47.80 (41.40–54.30)	45.20 (42.40–53.30)	50.05 (38.90–54.80)	48.70 (42.40–50.50)	

Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System anxiety, depression, and physical activity are reported as T-scores. Bold print indicates significant differences.

* P = 0.003 vs HC.

+ P < 0.001 vs 0.

‡ P < 0.0001 vs HC.

§ P < 0.001 vs HC. P = 0.006 vs HC.

¶ P = 0.012 vs 0.

Within the CPO group, females > males (P = 0.035)

** P = 0.021 vs HC.

++ P = 0.010 vs 0.

 $\ddagger P = 0.016 \text{ vs } 0.$

§§ Within the HC group, females > males (P = 0.038). Within the CPO group, males > females (P = 0.036).

CPHW, chronic pain and healthy weight; CPO, chronic pain and obesity; O, obesity; HC, healthy controls; IQR, interquartile range.

high L/A ratio $(2.8 \times \text{ higher than sample median})$ (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the highest L/A ratio was found in the youngest males with central adiposity. The highest TNF-alpha was found in youth with obesity (Fig. 6). Among those without obesity, lower levels of TNF-alpha were found in those with higher levels (T scores >50.5) of anxiety. IL-6 is 1 of 2 biomarkers that was significantly predicted by a clinical outcome, namely, functional disability (Fig. 7). IL-6 was best predicted by youth with the

highest levels of functional disability (>30) and a BMI percentile >80. For these youth, the median level of IL-6 was almost $2\times$ more than the sample median. Those with central adiposity had $3 \times$ more CRP than the sample median (Fig. 8). Of note, the highest CRP was found in those with both central adiposity and the greatest functional disability (>30; all in this subgroup had CPO), such that CRP concentration almost 5-fold higher than the sample median.



Figure 3. Regression tree: leptin. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of systemic levels of leptin (ng/mL). Central adiposity is the most important independent variable, higher leptin is associated with central adiposity. Sex is also an important independent variable, it shows that females have a higher leptin level than males, particularly so for youth with (P < 0.0001) central adiposity.



Figure 4. Regression tree: adiponectin. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of systemic levels of adiponectin (μ g/mL). Body mass index percentile is the most important predictor. Other important predictors include race (P < 0.049) and the depression T-score (P < 0.028). IQR, interquartile range.

4. Discussion

We assessed the effects and interactions of chronic pain and obesity on inflammatory biomarkers in adolescents. The major findings were as follows: (1) in females, there was an interaction between chronic pain and obesity such that leptin and CRP were higher in the CPO group than in chronic pain or obesity alone; (2) within the CPO group, biomarkers were correlated with worsened pain attributes, and females reported worse pain than males; (3) the highest levels of IL-6 and CRP were found in youth with elevated weight and functional



Figure 5. Regression tree: leptin/adiponectin ratio. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of systemic levels of the leptin/adiponectin ratio. Central adiposity is the most important predictor. Other important predictors include sex (P < 0.012) and age (P < 0.002).



Figure 6. Regression tree: TNF-alpha. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of circulating levels of TNF-alpha (pg/mL). BMI percentile is the most important predictor (P < 0.001). Anxiety is another important predictor (P < 0.007).

disability. These preliminary findings suggest that chronic pain and obesity interact to cause dysregulation of the inflammatory system, which may increase functional disability.

In males, biomarkers in the CPO and O groups did not differ, suggesting that differences between the CPO and CPHW groups can be explained by obesity. By contrast, females with CPO had higher leptin and CRP than all other groups, suggesting that chronic pain and obesity interact to augment inflammation beyond that associated with obesity. This interaction is consistent with our recent findings on suPAR, a newer index of chronic inflammation.⁵⁷ The current findings of elevated leptin and CRP are concerning, as

both are associated with chronic pain and obesity-related comorbidities.^{3,7,12,42,51,52,60,77} Although leptin is typically elevated in obesity and higher in girls than boys by puberty,⁵² we found a 4-fold increase in females with CPO. With the 10-fold increase in CRP, this suggests dysregulation of the inflammatory system associated with the comorbid state. Although we cannot explain the mechanisms of this, the interaction between chronic pain and obesity may have a biochemical basis, with a number of potential mediating factors, including diet.⁷²

We hypothesized that the effects of CPO on biomarkers would be more pronounced in females.^{18,29,75} Chronic pain is more prevalent in females, and female sex is a risk factor for chronic pain.^{38,67} Worldwide, the prevalence of obesity is greater in adult females.¹ In the United States, although the prevalence of obesity does not differ by sex, the prevalence of severe obesity (9.2%) in adults is higher in women. Our data are consistent with the concept that pediatric pain is greater in females for most pain types, ³⁷ and 70 to 85% of patients seen in pediatric pain clinics are females.⁴⁹ Even in the biomarkers with more subtle between-group differences, the effects of comorbid chronic pain and obesity were more pronounced in females. Females with CPO had higher TNF-alpha than HCs, and higher IL-6 than both the HCs and CPHWs. Mechanisms for this greater prevalence of pain in females (including in children) are not well understood.³⁷ Despite the paucity of research on chronic pain and comorbid obesity, it seems that females are also more affected by the co-occurring conditions. 52,53,55

Although between-group differences were not found in pain attributes for the 2 pain groups, there were several interesting within-group differences. In the CPO group, leptin and CRP were correlated with several clinical parameters. These correlations were not found in the CPHW group. Interestingly, IL-6 was negatively correlated with usual and worst pain intensity in the CPHW group but positively correlated with worst pain intensity for the CPO group. Although this contrast is difficult to explain, it may be due to the pleiotropic nature of IL-6.²⁰



Figure 7. Regression tree: IL-6. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of systemic levels of IL-6 (pg/mL). BMI percentile is the most important predictor. Functional disability is another important predictor (P < 0.008).



Figure 8. Regression tree: C-reactive protein. Regression tree analysis representing the significant predictors of systemic levels of C-reactive protein (ng/mL). Central adiposity is the most important predictor. Functional disability is another important predictor (P < 0.008).

The tree analyses showed that biomarker concentrations were associated with obesity, as expected. However, IL-6 and CRP were also associated with functional disability, a key target in pediatric pain management, and a critical outcome for youth with CPO.^{25,70} Future studies should examine whether the thresholds found in these models are clinically useful.

Overall, we propose that inflammatory processes may increase pain and functional disability in youth with CPO. That biomarkers were related to pain and functional disability for the CPO group, but almost no relationships were found for the CPHW group, suggests a possible threshold effect. Furthermore, although we did not find differences in functional disability between the pain groups, over time, inflammation may take a toll in terms of pain. In one meta-analysis, CRP was associated with pain and decreased function in OA but was not associated with radiographic OA.³³ This suggests that elevated CRP may be an early sign of future damage, long before degeneration is visible.

We propose that elevated inflammation at an early age may increase the risk of exacerbating current pain conditions and the development of new pain conditions across the lifespan. This would be consistent with evidence that a proinflammatory milieu potentiates the negative effects of inflammatory biomarkers, and evidence that elevated biomarkers increase risk of pain and have long-lasting effects.^{60,64,68,77} For example, chronic inflammation triggers irreversible structural and biochemical changes leading to intervertebral disc degeneration (IVDD) and low back pain.⁶⁰ Furthermore, an elevated BMI in early, rather than late adulthood is associated with a higher risk of IVDD.⁷⁷ As another example, baseline CRP, IL-6, and TNF-alpha predict knee pain in weight-bearing and non–weight-bearing positions over 5 years.⁶⁸

4.1. Limitations

We did not include youth with overweight (BMI 85th-94th percentile), which limited the exploration of relationships between biomarkers and BMI as a continuous variable. We also did not measure body composition. Therefore, we may have missed patients with "normal weight obesity," who may have elevated proinflammatory biomarkers, despite having a normal BMI percentile.⁵⁹ Results were not adjusted for multiple comparisons. Finally, the obese group data may have been influenced by the greater number of males. This also applies to race, as more African Americans were in the CPO group. Although the consistency of our findings suggests that the results were probably not affected by these factors, future studies should examine a larger and more balanced sample. As we have recommended for serum suPAR, evaluation of biomarker levels in a very large cohort of subjects from different racial and ethnic groups may provide insight into potential mechanisms for the interaction of obesity and pain.57

Future research should examine inflammation in children with widespread pain and pain associated with inflammation, to evaluate a possible greater synergistic effect than found here.⁶⁰ Of importance for youth with CPO, novel treatment options such as nutritional interventions should be evaluated.⁴⁶ An 18-month intervention of diet and exercise reduced proinflammatory markers and improved pain and function with knee OA.⁶¹ The change in biomarkers accounted for 15% of the pain reduction independent of BMI and 29% of the improvement in function.⁶¹ It was proposed that interventions to decrease systemic inflammation have the potential to improve pain and function. Future studies should evaluate this potential for youth with CPO. Other possible treatment options include exercise,⁶³ neurostimulation (eg, vagus nerve stimulation),³⁶ nutrition,¹⁶ probiotics,^{43,71} or pharmaceuticals that affect inflammatory systems.²

We conclude that in adolescents with chronic pain and obesity, the inflammatory system is dysregulated, and this effect is more pronounced in females. The increased inflammatory biomarkers were associated with pain and functional disability. With additional studies in more subjects, this augmentation may become be a useful, early marker of future pain and disability.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. David Lautz and the staff at the Children's Medical Group Forest View Pediatrics Clinic for their support of this study. This study could not have been conducted without the support of the Children's Wisconsin Pediatric Translational Research Unit.

This study was supported by the Children's Wisconsin Research Institute, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA.

Article history:

Received 3 December 2020 Received in revised form 9 February 2021 Accepted 14 February 2021 Available online 1 April 2021

References

- Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB, Sur P, Estep K, Lee A, Marczak L, Mokdad AH, Moradi-Lakeh M, Naghavi M. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. New Engl J Med 2017;377:13–27.
- [2] Agarwal V, Malaviya A. Cytokine network and its manipulation in rheumatoid arthritis. J Indian Rheumatol Assoc 2005;13:86–91.
- [3] Alghadir AH, Gabr SA, Rizk AA. Plasmatic adipocyte biomarkers and foot pain associated with flatfoot in schoolchildren with obesity. Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira 2019;65:1061–6.
- [4] Allen SA, Dal Grande E, Abernethy AP, Currow DC. Two colliding epidemics-obesity is independently associated with chronic pain interfering with activities of daily living in adults 18 years and over; a crosssectional, population-based study. BMC Public Health 2016;16:1034.
- [5] Amy Janke E, Kozak AT. The more pain "I have, the more I want to eat": obesity in the context of chronic pain. Obesity 2012;20:2027–34.
- [6] Briggs MS, Givens DL, Schmitt LC, Taylor CA. Relations of C-reactive protein and obesity to the prevalence and the odds of reporting low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013;94:745–52.
- [7] Cao H. Adipocytokines in obesity and metabolic disease. J Endocrinol 2014;220:T47–59.
- [8] Carskadon MA, Acebo C. A self-administered rating scale for pubertal development. J Adolesc Health 1993;14:190–5.
- Chin S-H, Huang W-L, Akter S, Binks M. Obesity and pain: a systematic review. Int J Obes 2019;44:969–979.
- [10] Coaccioli S, Masia F, Celi G, Grandone I, Crapa ME, Fatati G. Chronic pain in the obese: a quali-quantitative observational study. Recenti Progressi Medicina 2014;105:151–4.
- [11] Conaghan PG, Peloso PM, Everett SV, Rajagopalan S, Black CM, Mavros P, Arden NK, Phillips CJ, Rannou F, van de Laar MA, Moore RA, Taylor SD. Inadequate pain relief and large functional loss among patients with knee osteoarthritis: evidence from a prospective multinational longitudinal study of osteoarthritis real-world therapies. Rheumatology 2015;54: 270–7.
- [12] de Goeij M, van Eijk LT, Vanelderen P, Wilder-Smith OH, Vissers KC, van der Hoeven JG, Kox M, Scheffer GJ, Pickkers P. Systemic inflammation decreases pain threshold in humans in vivo. PLoS One 2013;8:e84159.
- [13] Deere KC, Clinch J, Holliday K, McBeth J, Crawley EM, Sayers A, Palmer S, Doerner R, Clark EM, Tobias JH. Obesity is a risk factor for musculoskeletal pain in adolescents: findings from a population-based cohort. PAIN 2012;153:1932–8.
- [14] Di Angelantonio E, Bhupathiraju SN, Wormser D, Gao P, Kaptoge S, de Gonzalez AB, Cairns BJ, Huxley R, Jackson CL, Joshy G. Body-mass

index and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239 prospective studies in four continents. Lancet 2016;388:776–86.

- [15] Dzau VJ, Pizzo PA. Relieving pain in America: insights from an Institute of Medicine committee. JAMA 2014;312:1507–8.
- [16] Elma Ö, Yilmaz ST, Deliens T, Clarys P, Nijs J, Coppieters I, Polli A, Malfliet A. Chronic musculoskeletal pain and nutrition: where are we and where are we heading? Pm r 2020;12:1268–78.
- [17] Farello G, Ferrara P, Antenucci A, Basti C, Verrotti A. The link between obesity and migraine in childhood: a systematic review. Ital J Pediatr 2017;43:27.
- [18] Fayaz A, Croft P, Langford RM, Donaldson LJ, Jones GT. Prevalence of chronic pain in the UK: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population studies. BMJ Open 2016;6:e010364.
- [19] Frithioff-Bøjsøe C, Lund MA, Lausten-Thomsen U, Hedley PL, Pedersen O, Christiansen M, Baker JL, Hansen T, Holm JC. Leptin, adiponectin, and their ratio as markers of insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk in childhood obesity. Pediatr Diabetes 2020;21:194–202.
- [20] Fuster JJ, Walsh K. The good, the bad, and the ugly of interleukin-6 signaling. EMBO J 2014;33:1425–7.
- [21] Gandhi R, Perruccio AV, Rizek R, Dessouki O, Evans HM, Mahomed NN. Obesity-related adipokines predict patient-reported shoulder pain. Obes Facts 2013;6:536–41.
- [22] Gandhi R, Takahashi M, Smith H, Rizek R, Mahomed NN. The synovial fluid adiponectin-leptin ratio predicts pain with knee osteoarthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2010;29:1223–8.
- [23] Gelaye B, Sacco S, Brown WJ, Nitchie HL, Ornello R, Peterlin BL. Body composition status and the risk of migraine: a meta-analysis. Neurology 2017;88:1795–804.
- [24] Generaal E, Vogelzangs N, Macfarlane GJ, Geenen R, Smit JH, Dekker J, Penninx BW. Basal inflammation and innate immune response in chronic multisite musculoskeletal pain. PAIN 2014;155:1605–12.
- [25] Hainsworth KR, Davies WH, Khan KA, Weisman SJ. Development and preliminary validation of the child activity limitations questionnaire: flexible and efficient assessment of pain-related functional disability. J Pain 2007;8: 746–52.
- [26] Hainsworth KR, Davies WH, Khan KA, Weisman SJ. Co-occurring chronic pain and obesity in children and adolescents: the impact on health-related quality of life. Clin J Pain 2009;25:715–21.
- [27] Hainsworth KR, Jastrowski Mano KE, Stoner AM, Anderson Khan K, Ladwig RJ, Davies W, Defenderfer EK, Weisman SJ. "What does weight have to do with it?" Parent perceptions of weight and pain in a pediatric chronic pain population. Children (Basel, Switzerland) 2016;3:4.
- [28] Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation, metaflammation and immunometabolic disorders. Nature 2017;542:177–85.
- [29] Huguet A, Miro J. The severity of chronic pediatric pain: an epidemiological study. J Pain 2008;9:226–36.
- [30] Irwin DE, Stucky B, Langer MM, Thissen D, Dewitt EM, Lai JS, Varni JW, Yeatts K, DeWalt DA. An item response analysis of the pediatric PROMIS anxiety and depressive symptoms scales. Qual Life Res 2010;19: 595–607.
- [31] Janke EA, Collins A, Kozak AT. Overview of the relationship between pain and obesity: what do we know? Where do we go next? J Rehabil Res Dev 2007;44:245–62.
- [32] Janke EA, Jones E, Hopkins CM, Ruggieri M, Hruska A. Catastrophizing and anxiety sensitivity mediate the relationship between persistent pain and emotional eating. Appetite 2016;103:64–71.
- [33] Jin X, Beguerie JR, Zhang W, Blizzard L, Otahal P, Jones G, Ding C. Circulating C reactive protein in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:703–10.
- [34] Kashikar-Zuck S, Goldschneider KR, Powers SW, Vaught MH, Hershey AD. Depression and functional disability in chronic pediatric pain. Clin J Pain 2001;17:341–9.
- [35] Khan KA, Tran ST, Jastrowski Mano KE, Simpson PM, Cao Y, Hainsworth KR. Predicting multiple facets of school functioning in pediatric chronic pain: examining the direct impact of anxiety. Clin J Pain 2015;31:867–75.
- [36] Kinfe TM, Buchfelder M, Chaudhry SR, Chakravarthy KV, Deer TR, Russo M, Georgius P, Hurlemann R, Rasheed M, Muhammad S. Leptin and associated mediators of Immunometabolic signaling: novel molecular outcome measures for Neurostimulation to treat chronic pain. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:4737.
- [37] King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, MacNevin RC, McGrath PJ, Parker L, MacDonald AJ. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited: a systematic review. PAIN 2011;152:2729–38.
- [38] Köckerling F, Hoffmann H, Adolf D, Weyhe D, Reinpold W, Koch A, Kirchhoff P. Female sex as independent risk factor for chronic pain following elective incisional hernia repair: registry-based, propensity score-matched comparison. Hernia 2020;24:567–76.

- [39] Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Guo SS, Wei R, Mei Z, Curtin LR, Roche AF, Johnson CL. CDC growth charts: United States. Adv Data 2000;314:1–27.
- [40] Long AC, Palermo TM, Manees AM. Brief report: using actigraphy to compare physical activity levels in adolescents with chronic pain and healthy adolescents. J Pediatr Psychol 2008;33:660–5.
- [41] Manjavachi MN, Motta EM, Marotta DM, Leite DF, Calixto JB. Mechanisms involved in IL-6-induced muscular mechanical hyperalgesia in mice. PAIN 2010;151:345–55.
- [42] Massengale M, Lu B, Pan JJ, Katz JN, Solomon DH. Adipokine hormones and hand osteoarthritis: radiographic severity and pain. PLoS One 2012; 7:e47860.
- [43] Mazidi M, Rezaie P, Ferns GA, Vatanparast H. Impact of probiotic administration on serum C-reactive protein concentrations: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trials. Nutrients 2017;9:20.
- [44] McCarthy HD, Ashwell M. A study of central fatness using waist-to-height ratios in UK children and adolescents over two decades supports the simple message—"keep your waist circumference to less than half your height." Int J Obes 2006;30:988–92.
- [45] McVinnie DS. Obesity and pain. Br J Pain 2013;7:163-70.
- [46] Minihane AM, Vinoy S, Russell WR, Baka A, Roche HM, Tuohy KM, Teeling JL, Blaak EE, Fenech M, Vauzour D. Low-grade inflammation, diet composition and health: current research evidence and its translation. Br J Nutr 2015;114:999–1012.
- [47] Narouze S, Souzdalnitski D. Obesity and chronic pain: systematic review of prevalence and implications for pain practice. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2015;40:91–111.
- [48] O'Loughlin I, Newton-John TR. "Dis-comfort eating": an investigation into the use of food as a coping strategy for the management of chronic pain. Appetite 2019;140:288–97.
- [49] Palermo TM, Law EF, Zhou C, Holley AL, Logan D, Tai G. Trajectories of change during a randomized controlled trial of internet-delivered psychological treatment for adolescent chronic pain: how does change in pain and function relate? PAIN 2015;156:626–34.
- [50] Paley CA, Johnson MI. Physical activity to reduce systemic inflammation associated with chronic pain and obesity: a narrative review. Clin J Pain 2016;32:365–70.
- [51] Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, Anderson JL, Cannon RO, III, Criqui M, Fadl YY, Fortmann SP, Hong Y, Myers GL. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. Circulation 2003;107:499–511.
- [52] Peterlin BL. The role of the adipocytokines adiponectin and leptin in migraine. J Am Osteopathic Assoc 2009;109:314–17.
- [53] Peterlin BL, Rosso AL, Williams MA, Rosenberg JR, Haythornthwaite JA, Merikangas KR, Gottesman RF, Bond DS, He J-P, Zonderman AB. Episodic migraine and obesity and the influence of age, race, and sex. Neurology 2013;81:1314–21.
- [54] Petersen AC, Crockett L, Richards M, Boxer A. A self-report measure of pubertal status: reliability, validity, and initial norms. J Youth Adolescence 1988;17:117–33.
- [55] Pinhas-Hamiel O, Frumin K, Gabis L, Mazor-Aronovich K, Modan-Moses D, Reichman B, Lerner-Geva L. Headaches in overweight children and adolescents referred to a tertiary-care center in Israel. Obesity 2008;16: 659–63.
- [56] Rabbitts JA, Holley AL, Karlson CW, Palermo TM. Bidirectional associations between pain and physical activity in adolescents. Clin J Pain 2014;30:251–58.
- [57] Raff H, Phillips JM, Simpson PM, Weisman SJ, Hainsworth KR. Serum soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor in adolescents: interaction of chronic pain and obesity. Pain Rep 2020;5:e836.
- [58] Rihn JA, Kurd M, Hilibrand AS, Lurie J, Zhao W, Albert T, Weinstein J. The influence of obesity on the outcome of treatment of lumbar disc herniation: analysis of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol 2013;95:1.
- [59] Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, Korenfeld Y, Boarin S, Korinek J, Jensen MD, Parati G, Lopez-Jimenez F. Normal weight obesity: a risk factor for cardiometabolic dysregulation and cardiovascular mortality. Eur Heart J 2010;31:737–46.

- [60] Ruiz-Fernandez C, Francisco V, Pino J, Mera A, Gonzalez-Gay MA, Gomez R, Lago F, Gualillo O. Molecular relationships among obesity, inflammation and intervertebral disc degeneration: are adipokines the common link? Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:8.
- [61] Runhaar J, Koes BW, Clockaerts S, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. A systematic review on changed biomechanics of lower extremities in obese individuals: a possible role in development of osteoarthritis. Obes Rev 2011;12:1071–82.
- [62] Salamon KS, Davies WH, Fuentes MR, Weisman SJ, Hainsworth KR. The pain frequency-severity-duration scale as a measure of pain: preliminary validation in a pediatric chronic pain sample. Pain Res Treat 2014;2014: 653592.
- [63] Sanada K, Díez MA, Valero MS, Pérez-Yus MC, Demarzo MM, García-Toro M, García-Campayo J. Effects of non-pharmacological interventions on inflammatory biomarker expression in patients with fibromyalgia: a systematic review. Arthritis Res Ther 2015;17:272.
- [64] Segar AH, Fairbank JC, Urban J. Leptin and the intervertebral disc: a biochemical link exists between obesity, intervertebral disc degeneration and low back pain—an in vitro study in a bovine model. Eur Spine J 2019; 28:214–23.
- [65] Sellinger JJ, Clark EA, Shulman M, Rosenberger PH, Heapy AA, Kerns RD. The moderating effect of obesity on cognitive–behavioral pain treatment outcomes. Pain Med 2010;11:1381–90.
- [66] Simon LS. Relieving pain in America: a blueprint for transforming prevention, care, education, and research. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2012;26:197–8.
- [67] Sorge RE, Totsch SK. Sex differences in pain. J Neurosci Res 2017;95: 1271–81.
- [68] Stannus OP, Cao Y, Antony B, Blizzard L, Cicuttini F, Jones G, Ding C. Cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between circulating leptin and knee cartilage thickness in older adults. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74: 82–8.
- [69] Stone AA, Broderick JE. Obesity and pain are associated in the United States. Obesity 2012;20:1491–5.
- [70] Stoner AM, Jastrowski Mano K, Weisman SJ, Hainsworth KR. Obesity impedes functional improvement in youth with chronic pain: an initial investigation. Eur J Pain 2017;21:1495–504.
- [71] Toshimitsu T, Gotou A, Sashihara T, Hachimura S, Shioya N, Suzuki S, Asami Y. Effects of 12-week ingestion of yogurt containing lactobacillus plantarum OLL2712 on glucose metabolism and chronic inflammation in prediabetic adults: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Nutrients 2020; 12:374.
- [72] Totsch SK, Quinn TL, Strath LJ, McMeekin LJ, Cowell RM, Gower BA, Sorge RE. The impact of the Standard American Diet in rats: effects on behavior, physiology and recovery from inflammatory injury. Scand J Pain 2017;17:316–24.
- [73] Tucker CA, Bevans KB, Teneralli RE, Smith AW, Bowles HR, Forrest CB. Self-reported pediatric measures of physical activity, sedentary behavior and strength impact for PROMIS®: conceptual framework. Pediatr Phys Ther 2014;26:376.
- [74] Uceyler N, Valenza R, Stock M, Schedel R, Sprotte G, Sommer C. Reduced levels of antiinflammatory cytokines in patients with chronic widespread pain. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2656–64.
- [75] Van Hecke O, Torrance N, Smith B. Chronic pain epidemiology and its clinical relevance. Br J Anaesth 2013;111:13–18.
- [76] Verma P, Dalal K. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) in knee osteoarthritis: a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. J Orthopaedic Res 2013;31:999–1006.
- [77] Vrselja Z, Curic G. Vertebral marrow adipose tissue adipokines as a possible cause of intervertebral disc inflammation. Joint Bone Spine 2017;85:143–6.
- [78] Widhalm HK, Marlovits S, Welsch GH, Dirisamer A, Neuhold A, van Griensven M, Seemann R, Vecsei V, Widhalm K. Obesity-related juvenile form of cartilage lesions: a new affliction in the knees of morbidly obese children and adolescents. Eur Radiol 2012;22:672–81.
- [79] Wilson AC, Samuelson B, Palermo TM. Obesity in children and adolescents with chronic pain: associations with pain and activity limitations. Clin J Pain 2010;26:705–11.