

Dual therapy with an oral non-vitamin K antagonist and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs triple therapy with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of diabetes mellitus patients with co-existing atrial fibrillation following percutaneous coronary intervention A meta-analysis

Qiang Wang, MD, Keping Yang, PhD^{*}

Abstract

Background: In this analysis, we aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of dual therapy (DT) with a non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant (NOAC) and an adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist (P2Y12 inhibitor) vs triple therapy (TT) with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of diabetes mellitus (DM) patients with co-existing atrial fibrillation (AF) following percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Methods: Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov, Excerpta Medical data BASE (EMBASE), Web of Science, Cochrane Central and Google Scholar were the searched databases. Studies that were randomized trials or observational studies comparing DT vs TT for the treatment of DM patients with co-existing AF following PCI were included in this analysis. The adverse cardiovascular outcomes and bleeding events were the endpoints. This meta-analysis was carried out by the RevMan version 5.4 software. Risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to represent data and interpret the analysis.

Results: A total number of 4970 participants were included whereby 2456 participants were assigned to the DT group and 2514 participants were assigned to the TT group. The enrollment period varied from year 2006 to year 2018. Our current results showed that major adverse cardiac events (RR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.84-1.20; P=.98), mortality (RR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.78-1.48; P=.66), myocardial infarction (RR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.74-1.42; P=.90), stroke (RR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.53-1.67; P=.84) and stent thrombosis (RR: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.56-2.10; P=.80) were similar with DT versus TT in these patients. However, the risks for total major bleeding (RR: 0.66, 95% CI: 0.54-0.82; P=.0001), total minor bleeding (RR: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.64-0.85; P=.0001), Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) defined major bleeding (RR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.35-0.95; P=.03), TIMI defined minor bleeding (RR: 0.62, 95% CI: 0.42-0.92; P=.02), intra-cranial bleeding (RR: 0.54, 95% CI: 0.51-0.90; P=.008) were significantly higher with TT.

Conclusions: DT with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor was associated with significantly less bleeding events without increasing the adverse cardiovascular outcomes when compared to TT with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a Vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of DM patients with co-existing AF following PCI. Hence, DT is comparable in efficacy, but safer compared to TT. This interesting hypothesis will have to be confirmed in future studies.

Editor: Pravesh Kumar Bundhun.

All data and materials used in this research are freely available. References have been provided.

Received: 7 February 2021 / Received in final form: 25 March 2021 / Accepted: 26 March 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000025546

QW is the first author of this manuscript.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Jingzhou Central Hospital, Jingzhou Clinical Medical College, Yangtze University, Jingzhou, Hubei, PR China.

^{*} Correspondence: Keping Yang, Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Jingzhou Central Hospital, Jingzhou Clinical Medical College, Yangtze University, Jingzhou 434023, Hubei, PR China (e-mail: frank200350056@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang Q, Yang K. Dual therapy with an oral non-vitamin K antagonist and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs triple therapy with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of diabetes mellitus patients with co-existing atrial fibrillation following percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis . Medicine 2021;100:15(e25546).

Abbreviations: AF = atrial fibrillation, ASA = aspirin, DM = diabetes mellitus, DT = dual therapy, MACEs = major adverse cardiadevents, NOAC = non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, TT = triple therapy.

Keywords: aspirin, atrial fibrillation, bleeding events, diabetes mellitus, dual therapy, major adverse cardiac events, non-vitamin K antagonist, P2Y12 inhibitors, percutaneous coronary intervention, stent thrombosis, triple therapy

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) often co-exist.^[1] Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has commonly been used to treat patients with CVD.^[2] Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a P2Y12 inhibitor such as clopidogrel or ticagrelor has been used to prevent cardiovascular complications following PCI.^[3]

However, due to platelet dysfunctions in patients with DM, resulting in platelet hyperactivity,^[4] antiplatelets and antithrombotic agents have often been a subject of debate in such patients. Triple therapy with aspirin, an adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist (P2Y12 inhibitor) and a vitamin K antagonist has also been used to treat patients after PCI.^[5]

Vitamin K antagonists such as warfarin, which inhibit vitamin K dependent coagulation proteins and thrombin formation have shown to reduce cardiovascular outcomes after a myocardial infarction, but they were apparently associated with excessive bleeding.^[6] The combination of vitamin K antagonist with aspirin has also shown to be effective, but with doubtful safety outcomes.^[7]

Recently, novel antithrombotic agents were introduced. Direct factor Xa inhibitors or non-vitamin K antagonists combined with antiplatelet therapy showed mixed results following PCI.^[8] In a study consisting of patients with acute coronary syndrome, apixaban 5 mg twice daily in combination with an antiplatelet agent did not decrease thrombotic events, but however, it increased intracranial and fatal bleedings.^[9] In the ATLAS 2 trial, addition of a lower dose of a non-vitamin K antagonist to DAPT reduced major ischemic/thrombotic events but with a moderate risk of bleeding.^[8]

In this analysis, we aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of dual therapy (DT) with a non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant (NOAC) and a P2Y12 inhibitor versus triple therapy (TT) with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a Vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of DM patients with co-existing atrial fibrillation (AF) following PCI.

2. Methods

2.1. Data sources

Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov, Excerpta Medical data BASE (EMBASE), Web of Science, Cochrane Central and Google Scholar were the searched databases.

2.2. Search strategies

The following terms and phrases were searched from the abovementioned electronic databases:

- Dual therapy vs triple therapy and atrial fibrillation;
- NOAC and atrial fibrillation and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- Oral anticoagulant and atrial fibrillation and coronary stenting;
- Dual vs triple therapy and AF and percutaneous coronary intervention;

- Dual vs triple therapy and AF and diabetes mellitus and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- NOAC and atrial fibrillation and diabetes mellitus and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- Warfarin and percutaneous coronary intervention.

Respective names of the NOAC were also used during the search process:

- Dabigatran and atrial fibrillation and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- Rivaroxaban and atrial fibrillation and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- Apixaban and atrial fibrillation and percutaneous coronary intervention;
- Endoxaban and atrial fibrillation and percutaneous coronary intervention.

2.3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Criteria for inclusion were:

- Studies that were randomized trials or observational cohorts comparing DT with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs TT with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of AF patients following PCI;
- Studies that consisted of patients with DM;
- Studies that reported adverse cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes;
- Studies that were published in English.

2.4. Criteria for exclusion were:

Systematic reviews, literature reviews and meta-analyses;

- Case studies;
- Studies that did not compare DT with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs TT with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of AF patients following PCI;
- Studies that did not report cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes;
- Studies that were duplicates;
- Studies that consisted of data which could not be used for this analysis.

2.5. Definitions and outcomes

Participants in the DT group were treated with a NOAC plus a P2Y12 inhibitor.

Participants in the TT group were treated with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist.

Major adverse cardiac events (MACEs)^[10] were defined as a combination of all-cause death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and revascularization.

Stent thrombosis was defined according to the Academic Research Consortium (ARC).^[11]

International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH) major bleeding^[12] has been defined as clinically overt bleeding that is associated with a fall in hemoglobin of 2 g/dl or more, or a transfusion of 2 or more units of packed red blood cells or whole blood or a critical site such as intraocular, intraspinal, intracerebral, pericardial, intra-articular, retroperitoneal, or a fatal outcome.

Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) major bleeding^[12] has been defined as any symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage, or clinically overt signs of hemorrhage including imaging, associated with a decrease in hemoglobin of equal to or more than 5 g/dl or an absolute decrease in hematocrit level of \geq 15%.

TIMI minor bleeding^[12] has been defined as any clinically overt sign of hemorrhage that is associated with a decrease in hemoglobin level of 3 to 5 g/dl or a fall in hematocrit of 9% to 15%.

The adverse cardiovascular outcomes and bleeding events which were reported in the original studies have been listed in Table 1 and the outcomes which have been analyzed included:

- Myocardial infarction (MI);
- Stroke;
- Mortality;
- Stent thrombosis;
- Total major bleeding;
- Total minor bleeding;
- TIMI defined major bleeding;
- TIMI defined minor bleeding;
- Intracranial bleeding;
- ISTH major bleeding.

www.md-journal.com

2.6. Data extraction and quality assessment

Data were extracted by 2 independent reviewers. Important information such as the adverse cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes which were reported in the original studies, the duration of follow-up, the number of DM participants who were assigned to the DT and TT groups respectively, the type of oral anticoagulants, the comorbidities which were reported, the year of publication, the type of study (randomized trial or observational cohort), the number of events in each outcome category were carefully extracted. Any disagreement was carefully discussed and resolved by consensus.

The methodological quality of the randomized trials was assessed by the recommendations suggested by the Cochrane collaboration^[13] whereas the methodological quality of the observational cohort was assessed by the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS).^[14]

2.7. Statistical analysis

This meta-analysis was carried out by the RevMan version 5.4 software. Heterogeneity was assessed by the Q statistic test. A P value less or equal to .05 was considered statistically significant. Heterogeneity was further assessed by the I^2 statistic test. The smaller the I^2 value, the lower the heterogeneity.

A fixed statistical effect model was used if I^2 was below 50% whereas a random statistical effect model was used if the I^2 value was above 50%.

Risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to represent the data and interpret the analysis.

Sensitivity analysis was carried out using the exclusion method. One by one each study was eliminated and a new analysis was carried out each time to observe any significant change compared to the main results.

Table 1

The outcomes and follow-up which were reported in the original studies.

Studies	Outcomes	Types of participants	Follow-up time period (months)	Treatments
Cannon 2017 ^[16]	ISTH minor bleeding, total bleeding, intracranial hemorrhage, TIMI major bleeding, TIMI major or minor bleeding, MACEs, death, MI, stroke, definite stent thrombosis	DM + AF + PCI	14 mo	Dabigatran (110 and 150 mg) + P2Y12 inhibitor vs Warfarin + ASA + P2Y12 inhibitor
Gibson 2016 ^[12]	Major bleeding, minor bleeding, bleeding requiring medical attention, MACEs, death from cardiovascular causes, MI, stroke, stent thrombosis	General population including DM patients + AF + PCI	12 mo	Rivaroxaban (15 mg and 5 mg) + P2Y12 inhibitor vs Warfarin + ASA + P2Y12 inhibitor
Lopes 2019 ^[17]	ISTH major bleeding, death, clinically relevant non-major bleeding, intracranial hemorrhage, GUSTO severe or moderate bleeding, GUSTO severe bleeding, GUSTO moderate bleeding, TIMI major or minor bleeding, TIMI major bleeding, TIMI minor bleeding, death from cardiovascular causes, stroke, MI, ARC definite or probable stent thrombosis, urgent revascularization, MACEs	DM + AF + PCI	6 mo	Apixaban + P2Y12 inhibitor vs Warfarin + ASA + P2Y12 inhibitor
Vranckx 2019 ^[18]	ISTH major bleeding, major bleeding, MACEs, fatal bleeding, intracranial bleeding	DM + AF + PCI	12 mo	Endoxaban + P2Y12 inhibitor vs Warfarin + ASA + P2Y12 inhibitor
Wang 2019 ^[19]	MACCE, any bleeding, major bleeding	DM + AF + PCI	12 mo	New oral anticoagulant + P2Y12 inhibitor

AF = atrial fibrillation, ARC = Academic Research Consortium, ASA = aspirin, DM = diabetes mellitus, GUSTO = Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tpa for Occluded Arteries, ISTH = International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis, MACCEs = major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events, MACEs = major adverse cardiac events, Mg = miligrams, MI = myocardial infarction, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, TIMI = Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

⁻ MACEs;

Since this analysis consisted of a small volume of studies, publication bias was visually assessed through funnel plots.

2.8. Compliance with ethical guidelines

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

3. Results

3.1. Search outcomes

The Preferred Reporting Items in Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) reporting guideline was followed.^[15] A total number of 2975 publications were obtained. However, based on their titles, an initial elimination was carried out and irrelevant titles were directly eliminated. Among the remaining publications, a second elimination was carried out after a careful assessment of the abstracts and titles of the remaining publications. Finally, 208 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, further eliminations were carried out:

- Review articles (systematic reviews, literature reviews, pooled analyses and meta-analyses) (n=9);
- Case studies (n=21);
- Studies that did not compare DT (Non-vitamin K antagonist plus a P2Y12 inhibitor) vs TT (aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist) (n=23);
- Studies that were not based on PCI (n=44);
- Studies that involved data which could not be used in this analysis (n=6);
- Studies that did not report cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes (n=12);
- Studies that involved the same trial, or were duplicates and were repeatedly found in different search databases (n=88).

Finally, only a total number of 5 studies (4 randomized controlled trials and 1 observational cohort)^[12,16–19] were included in the final meta-analysis. The flow diagram showing the study selection was illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flow diagram showing the study selection. From the searched databases, a total number of 2975 publications were obtained. However, based on their titles, and after carefully reviewing the titles and abstracts, an elimination was carried out and irrelevant publications were eliminated. Two hundred eight full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, further eliminations were carried out as shown in Figure 1.

	01	(=1	~
and the second	the state	-	

Main	features	of the	studies	which	were	included	in	this	analysis.
------	----------	--------	---------	-------	------	----------	----	------	-----------

	DM participants	DM participants		Year of	Methodological
Studies	assigned to the DT group (n)	assigned to the TT group (n)	Type of study	participants enrollment	assessment: Bias risk score
Cannon2017	622	674	RCT	2014–2016	В
Gibson2016	709	706	RCT	2013-2015	В
Lopes2019	842	836	RCT	2015-2018	В
Vranckx2019	259	258	RCT	2017-2018	В
Wang2019	24	40	OC	2006-2016	В
Total no of patients (n)	2456	2514			

DM = diabetes mellitus, DT = dual therapy, OC = observational cohorts, RCT = randomized controlled trials, TT = triple therapy.

3.2. Main and baseline features of the studies and participants

A total number of 4970 participants were included in this analysis whereby 2456 participants were assigned to the DT group and 2514 participants were assigned to the TT group as shown in Table 2. The enrollment period varied from year 2006 to year 2018. Four studies were randomized trials, whereas 1 study was an observational cohort.

Following a methodological assessment, the studies were allotted a bias risk grade as shown in Table 2.

The baseline features have been listed in Table 3. The mean age of the participants varied from 69.0 to 73.1 years. The percentage of male participants varied from 70.0% to 78.3%. The percentage of participants who were revascularized by drug eluting stents varied from 57.6% to 84.4%. The percentages of participants with comorbidities have also been listed in Table 3.

4. Results of this analysis

Table 2

Our current results showed that MACEs (RR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.84–1.20; P=.98), mortality (RR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.78–1.48; P=.66), MI (RR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.74–1.42; P=.90), stroke (RR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.53–1.67; P=.84) and stent thrombosis (RR: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.56–2.10; P=.80) were similar with DT vs TT in these DM patients with AF post PCI as shown in Figure 2. However, the risks for total major bleeding (RR: 0.66, 95% CI: 0.54–0.82; P=.0001), total minor bleeding (RR: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.64–0.85; P=.0001), TIMI defined major bleeding (RR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.35–0.95; P=.03), TIMI defined minor bleeding (RR: 0.62, 95% CI: 0.42–0.92; P=.02), intra-cranial bleeding (RR: 0.34, 95% CI: 0.13–0.95; P=.04) and ISTH

major bleeding (RR: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.51–0.90; P=.008) were significantly higher with TT as shown in Figure 3.

4.1. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis led to consistent results. Except that when study Cannon2017 was excluded, and a new analysis was carried out, intracranial bleeding (RR: 0.37, 95% CI: 0.10–1.40; P=.14) and ISTH bleeding (RR: 0.77, 95% CI: 0.52–1.15; P=.20) were not significantly different with DT vs TT.

The results have been summarized in Table 4.

Figures 4 and 5 (funnel plots) showed a low evidence of publication bias across the studies which assessed the clinical outcomes between DT and TT in these DM patients with coexisting AF.

5. Discussion

Our current analysis showed that DT with an oral nonvitamin K antagonist plus a P2Y12 inhibitor was associated with significantly less major and minor bleedings including TIMI defined major and minor bleedings, ISTH major bleeding and intracranial bleeding, with similar cardiovascular outcomes compared to the TT (aspirin, P2Y12 inhibitor, and a vitamin K antagonist) in DM patients with co-existing AF following PCI. This current analysis showed that DT was safer and equally effective in these DM patients with AF.

Similarly, a recently published article showed DT not to increase the risk of MACEs but instead significantly reduced bleeding events compared to TT in AF patients who were revascularized by PCI.^[20] Our current analysis consisted of patients with DM. However, this newly published paper consisted of the general population of patients with CVD and involved over 10 000 participants.

Baseline features of the participants.										
Studies	Age (years) DT/TT	Males (%) DT/TT	DL (%) DT/TT	HBP (%) DT/TT	DES (%) DT/TT					
Cannon2017	70.1/70.3	75.9/77.1	_	-	81.8/84.4					
Gibson2016	70.4/69.9	74.5/73.4	_	_	65.4/66.5					
Lopes2019	70.4/70.9	70.9/71.1	_	88.6/88.0	_					
Vranckx2019	69.0/70.0	74.0/75.0	66.0/64.0	90.0/91.0	-					
Wang2019	72.7/73.1	78.3/70.0	46.4/55.0	66.7/76.3	57.6/60.3					

DES = drug eluting stents, DL = dyslipidemia, DT = dual therapy, HBP = high blood pressure, TT = triple therapy.

	Dual The	erapy	Triple Th	erapy		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio Risk of B	ias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI A B C D E	FG
1.1.1 Major adverse o	cardiac eve	ents						
Cannon2017	85	622	90	674	21.6%	1.02 [0.78, 1.35]	+	
Gibson2016	41	709	36	706	9.0%	1.13 [0.73, 1.75]		
Lopes2019	74	842	81	836	20.3%	0.91 [0.67, 1.22]	-	
Vranckx2019	18	259	15	258	3.8%	1.20 [0.62, 2.32]		
Wang2019	1	24	4	40	0.7%	0.42 [0.05, 3.51]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2456		2514	55.4%	1.00 [0.84, 1.20]	♦	
Total events	219		226					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect:	1.68, df = 4 Z = 0.02 (F	P = 0.7 P = 0.98)	79); I² = 0%	, 0				
1.1.2 Mortality								
Cannon2017	30	622	32	674	7.7%	1.02 [0.62, 1.65]		
Gibson2016	15	709	11	706	2.8%	1.36 [0.63, 2.94]		
Lopes2019	28	842	27	836	6.8%	1 03 [0 61 1 73]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2173		2216	17.2%	1.08 [0.78, 1.48]	•	
Total events	73		70			• • •	·	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (043 df = 2	P = 0.8	31)· l ² = 0%	6				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.45 (F	P = 0.66)	51),1 07	0				
1.1.3 Myocardial Infa	rction							
Cannon2017	25	622	20	674	4.8%	1.35 [0.76, 2.41]	+ - -	
Gibson2016	19	709	21	706	5.3%	0.90 [0.49, 1.66]		
Lopes2019	26	842	29	836	7.3%	0.89 [0.53, 1.50]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2173		2216	17.3%	1.02 [0.74, 1.42]	•	
Total events	70		70					
Heteroaeneity: Chi ² =	1.35. df = 2	P = 0.5	51): l² = 0%	, 0				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.13 (F	P = 0.90	- ,,					
		,						
1.1.4 Stroke								
Cannon2017	9	622	8	674	1 9%	1 22 [0 47 3 14]		
Gibson2016	8	709	7	706	1.8%	1 14 [0 41 3 12]		
Lopes2019	5	842	9	836	2.3%	0.55 [0.19, 1.64]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	•	2173	0	2216	5.9%	0.94 [0.53, 1.67]	★	
Total events	22		24			. / .		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	1 35 df = 2	P(P = 0.5)	51)· l ² = 0%	<u> </u>				
Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.21 (F	P = 0.84	51,,1 0,	0				
	2 0.21 (1	0.04)						
1.1.5 Stent thrombos	is							
Cannon2017	8	622	6	674	1 4%	1 44 [0 50 4 14]		
Gibson2016	5	700	1	706	1.4%	1 24 [0 34 4 62]		
Lopes2019	5	842	7	836	1.0%	0.71 [0.23, 2.23]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	5	2173	'	2216	4.2%	1.09 [0.56, 2.10]	•	
Total events	18		17				Ť	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² - (016 df - 2	(P - 0 6	35). 12 - 0%	4				
Test for overall effect:	7 – 0 25 (E	r = 0.0	55), 1 = 07	0				
	2 - 0.23 (1	- 0.00)						
Total (95% CI)		11148		11378	100.0%	1.02 [0.89, 1.16]	•	
Total events	402		407			. / .		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = !	5.89 df = 1	6(P = 0)	99)· l ² = 0	%				
Test for overall effect	7 = 0.27 (F	P = 0.79	,,				0.01 0.1 1 10 100	
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	$ni^2 = 0.26$	df = 4 (P)	= 0.99)	$l^2 = 0\%$		Favours [Dual Therapy] Favours [Triple Therapy]	
Risk of bias legend		n = 0.20	, ui – 4 (i	0.00),	1 - 070			
(A) Pandom sequence	apporatio	n (salact	ion hige)					
(R) Allocation conceal	, yeneratioi ment (seler	tion bior	on 0145) 2)					
(C) Blinding of particip	ante and a	areoppel) (porforma	nco bicc)			
(D) Blinding of participa	ants anu pe		(penonna	IICE DIdS)			
(D) Dimung of Outcom	e assessm	eni (aete	SCLION DIAS)				
(E) Encomplete outcom		hion) bla	5)					
(F) Selective reporting	(reporting	uas)						
(G) Other blas								
gure 2. Efficacy of dua	al therapy	with a no	on-vitamir	n K oral a	anticoagu	lant (NOAC) and a F	2Y12 inhibitor vs triple therapy with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor ar	nd a vitam

Figure 2. Efficacy of dual therapy with a non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant (NOAC) and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs triple therapy with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of atrial fibrillation patients with diabetes mellitus following percutaneous coronary intervention. Figure 2 shows that DT and TT were both equally effective in terms of adverse cardiovascular outcomes.

The PIONEER study also supports the results of this current analysis.^[21] In their study, the authors showed that among patients with AF who were treated by PCI, administration of a non-vitamin K antagonist, more precisely rivaroxaban 15 mg once daily plus a P2Y12 inhibitor or rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily plus DAPT was associated with reduced bleeding events

compared to the standard of care vitamin K antagonist plus DAPT.

Other anti-thrombotic regimens have also been tried. The Cardiovascular Outcomes for People using Anticoagulation Strategies (COMPASS) trial compared DT with low dose rivaroxaban (2.5 mg) plus aspirin 100 mg versus aspirin 100

	Dual The	rapy	Triple Th	erapy		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
1.2.1 Total Major blee	eding even	ts						
Cannon2017	43	622	85	674	10.6%	0.55 [0.39, 0.78]		
Gibson2016	14	709	20	706	2.6%	0.70 [0.35, 1.37]		
Lopes2019	54	842	81	836	10.5%	0.66 [0.48, 0.92]		
Vranckx2019	16	259	15	258	1.9%	1.06 [0.54, 2.10]		
Wang2019	3	24	2	40	0.2%	2.50 [0.45, 13.91]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2456		2514	25.9%	0.66 [0.54, 0.82]	•	
Total events	130	(D - 0 (203	0/				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = :	5.28, df = 4	(P = 0.2)	26); I ² = 24)1)	%				
Test for overall effect.	Z = 3.01 (F	- 0.000	/1)					
1.2.2 Total Minor blee	eding even	ts						
Cannon2017	142	622	200	674	24.9%	0.77 [0.64, 0.93]	+	
Gibson2016	7	709	13	706	1.7%	0.54 [0.22, 1.34]		
Lopes2019	66	842	91	836	11.8%	0.72 [0.53, 0.97]		
Vranckx2019	28	259	37	258	4.8%	0.75 [0.48, 1.19]		
Wang2019	2	24	10	40	1.0%	0.33 [0.08, 1.39]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2456		2514	44.2%	0.74 [0.64, 0.85]	•	
Total events	245		351					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.89, df = 4	(P = 0.7	76); l ² = 0%	D				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.08 (P	< 0.000)1)					
1 2 3 TIMI defined ma	ior bleedir	na						
Cappon 2017	10 Dieedir 40	ควา	25	674	3 10/	0 43 [0 24 0 00]		
	10	842	20 18	836	3.1% 2.3%	0.43 [0.21, 0.90]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	14	1464	10	1510	5.5%	0.58 [0.35, 0.95]	•	
Total events	24		43				•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.28. df = 1	(P = 0.2)	26): l ² = 22	%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.16 (P	= 0.03)	,,					
	· ·	,						
1.2.4 TIMI defined mi	nor bleedir	ıg						
Cannon2017	10	622	20	674	2.5%	0.54 [0.26, 1.15]		
Lopes2019	29	842	44	836	5.7%	0.65 [0.41, 1.04]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		1464		1510	8.2%	0.62 [0.42, 0.92]	•	
Total events	39		64					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	0.18, df = 1	(P = 0.6)	$57); 1^2 = 0\%$	D				
lest for overall effect:	Z = 2.39 (P	= 0.02)						
1.2.5 Intracranial blee	edina							
Cannon2017	2	622	7	674	0.9%	0.31 [0.06 1.48]		
Lopes2019	2	842	5	836	0.7%	0.40 [0.08, 2.04]		
Vranckx2019	1	259	3	258	0.4%	0.33 [0.03, 3.17]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		1723		1768	1.9%	0.34 [0.13, 0.95]		
Total events	5		15					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	0.05, df = 2	(P = 0.9	98); I² = 0%	, D				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.07 (P	= 0.04)						
4.0.6.10111	بم ما الم							
1.2.0 IS I H Major blee	uing	000	~~~	~ ~ ·	7 50/	0.00 10 10 0.003		
Cannon2017	33	622	60	674	7.5%	0.60 [0.40, 0.90]	-	
Lopes2019 Vransky2010	25 16	84Z	38	250	4.9%		-	
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	1723	15	1768	14.4%	0.68 [0.51, 0.90]		
Total events	74		113				•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.06. df = 2	(P = 0.3)	36): l ² = 3%	, D				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.66 (P	= 0.008	3)					
	,							
Total (95% CI)		11286		11584	100.0%	0.68 [0.62, 0.76]	♦	
Total events	517		789					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	14.35, df =	19 (P =	0.76); l² =	0%				——
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.15 (P	< 0.000	001)				Favours [Dual Therapy] Favours [Triple Thera	apy]
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Ch	i² = 3.47	r, df = 5 (P	= 0.63),	I ² = 0%			
Risk of bias legend		, .						
(A) Random sequence	generation	(select	ion bias)					
(B) Allocation conceal	ment (selec	tion bias	6) (norf		\ \			
 (•) Blinding of participa (•) Blinding of automatic 	ants and pe	nsonnel	(performal	IICE DIAS)			
(E) Incomplete outcom	e assessitte le data (attr	ition bio	solion blas; s)	,				
(E) Selective reporting	(reporting h	bias)	5)					
(G) Other bias	,	/						

Figure 3. Safety of dual therapy with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor vs triple therapy with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of atrial fibrillation patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) following percutaneous coronary intervention. Figure 3 shows that DT was safer in comparison to TT in these patients.



Figure 4. Funnel plot representing publication bias (A). The funnel plot A did not show any evidence of publication bias across the several studies that assessed the cardiovascular outcomes between DT and TT in these patients with atrial fibrillation and diabetes mellitus.

mg monotherapy following PCI.^[22] The authors demonstrated that DT significantly reduced MACEs and mortality, however, major bleeding was increased in comparison to aspirin monotherapy in these patients. Another study compared DT (rivaroxaban 2.5 mg plus aspirin 100 mg) versus rivaroxaban 5 mg monotherapy and aspirin 100 mg monotherapy respectively.^[23] It was found that the former decreased vascular events and mortality, but increased major bleeding without any increase in intracranial or fatal bleeding in comparison to rivaroxaban or aspirin monotherapy respectively. Nevertheless, our current analysis was different in regimen, comparing DT (non-vitamin K antagonist plus P2Y12 inhibitor) with TT (aspirin, P2Y12 inhibitor and vitamin K antagonist) for the treatment of DM patients with co-existing AF after PCI.

At last, we would like to point out that due to the development of aspirin and clopidogrel hypo-responsiveness especially in patients with DM, which might be due to platelet hyperactivity in

Table 4

Results comparing dual vs triple therapy in DM patients with coexisting AF following PCI.

Outcomes	RR with 95% CI	P value	l ² value (%)
Major adverse cardiac events	1.00 [0.84–1.20]	.98	0
Mortality	1.08 [0.78-1.48]	.66	0
Myocardial infarction	1.02 [0.74-1.42]	.90	0
Stroke	0.94 [0.53-1.67]	.84	0
Stent thrombosis	1.09 [0.56-2.10]	.80	0
Total major bleeding events	0.66 [0.54-0.82]	.0001	24
Total minor bleeding events	0.74 [0.64-0.85]	.0001	0
TIMI defined major bleeding	0.58 [0.35-0.95]	.03	22
TIMI defined minor bleeding	0.62 [0.42-0.92]	.02	0
Intracranial bleeding	0.34 [0.13-0.95]	.04	0
ISTH major bleeding	0.68 [0.51-0.90]	.008	3

CI = confidence intervals, ISTH = International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis, RR = risk ratios, TIMI = Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

this same category of patients, new combinations of DT should emerge in the coming years. Studies have shown that platelets have an increased response to procoagulants in patients with DM. In patients with DM, platelet hyperactivity, in the presence of oxidative stress, have been found to increase the progression of thrombotic and cardiovascular events.^[24] Therefore, a combination of DT with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor for the treatment of DM patients with AF postPCI might be included in future guidelines.

6. Limitations

This study has limitations. First of all, due to the limited number of participants with DM, the analysis might not provide robust results. Secondly, 2 studies had different follow up time periods (6 and 14 months respectively) compared to the other studies which had a follow up time period of 12 months. Moreover, in 1 study (Gibson2016), the number of patients with DM was not known and therefore, the general participants were used. Also, it should be noted that due to the fact that almost no study specifically involving participants with DM has been published on this aspect till date, we had to extract DM participants from original studies which included patients from the general population with ACS who were treated with DT versus TT. Another limitation was the fact that the NOAC was different in each study. One study involved dabigatran, another study involved rivaroxaban, 1 study included patients who were treated with apixaban, and another study used endoxaban. Also, the dosage of the new oral anticoagulants was not taken into consideration and this could have had an impact on the outcomes.

7. Conclusions

DT with a NOAC and a P2Y12 inhibitor was associated with significantly less bleeding events without increasing the adverse



Figure 5. Funnel plot representing publication bias (B). The funnel plot B did not show any evidence of publication bias across the several studies that assessed bleeding/safety outcomes between DT and TT in these patients with atrial fibrillation and diabetes mellitus.

cardiovascular outcomes when compared to TT with aspirin, a P2Y12 inhibitor and a Vitamin K antagonist for the treatment of DM patients with co-existing AF following PCI. Hence, DT is comparable in efficacy, but safer compared to TT. However, due to the limitations of this study, including the small number of participants, this interesting hypothesis will have to be confirmed in future studies.

Acknowledgments

All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this article, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given their approval for this version to be published.

Author contributions

The authors QW and KY were responsible for the conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the initial manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. Authors QW wrote this manuscript. All the authors agreed to and approved the manuscript as it is.

Conceptualization: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Data curation: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Formal analysis: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Funding acquisition: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Investigation: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Methodology: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Project administration: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Resources: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Software: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Supervision: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Validation: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Visualization: Qiang Wang, Keping Yang. Writing – original draft: Qiang Wang. Writing – review & editing: Qiang Wang.

References

- Jason C. Kovacic, Jose M. Castellano, Michael E. Farkouh, et al. The relationships between cardiovascular disease and diabetes: focus on pathogenesis. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2014;43:41–57.
- [2] Jing Li, Kumar Dharmarajan, Xi Li, et al. China PEACE Collaborative GroupProtocol for the China PEACE (Patient-centered Evaluative Assessment of Cardiac Events) retrospective study of coronary catheterisation and percutaneous coronary intervention. BMJ Open 2014;4: e004595.
- [3] Juan J. Russo, Shaun G. Goodman, Akshay Bagai, et al. Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy and associated outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: contemporary practice insights from the Canadian Observational Antiplatelet Study. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes 2017;3:303–11.
- [4] Francesca Santilli , Paola Simeone , Rossella Liani , et al. Platelets and diabetes mellitus. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 2015;120:28–39.
- [5] Mark B, Effron C, Michael Gibson . Dual (Anticoagulant Plus Single Antiplatelet) vs Triple (Anticoagulant Plus Dual Antiplatelet) Antithrombotic Therapy - "Real World" Experience. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2018;60:531–6.
- [6] Mathieu K, Usama T, Tarek N, et al. Triple antithrombotic therapy for patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2018;60:524–30.
- [7] Sonia S. Anand , Salim Yusuf . Oral anticoagulants in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;414:62S–9S.
- [8] Jessica L. Mega, Eugene Braunwald, Stephen D. Wiviott, et al. Rivaroxaban in patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2012;366:9–19.
- [9] John H. Alexander , Renato D. Lopes , Stefan James , et al. Apixaban with antiplatelet therapy after acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2011;365:699–708.
- [10] Kevin E. Kip, Kim Hollabaugh, Oscar C. Marroquin, et al. The problem with composite end points in cardiovascular studies: the story of major adverse cardiac events and percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:701–7.

- [11] Robert J. Applegate , Matthew T. Sacrinty , William C. Little , et al. Incidence of coronary stent thrombosis based on academic research consortium definitions. Am J Cardiol 2008;102:683–8.
- [12] Michael Gibson C, Roxana M, Christoph B, et al. Prevention of bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing PCI. N Engl J Med 2016;375:2423–34.
- [13] Higgins JP. Assessing risk of bias in included studies, in Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Wiley 2008;187–241.
- [14] Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010;25:603–5.
- [15] Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009;339:b2700.
- [16] Christopher P. Cannon , Deepak L. Bhatt , Jonas Oldgren , et al. Dual antithrombotic therapy with dabigatran after PCI in atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2017;377:1513–24.
- [17] Renato D. Lopes , Gretchen Heizer , Ronald Aronson , et al. Antithrombotic therapy after acute coronary syndrome or PCI in atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019;380:1509–24.
- [18] Pascal V, Marco V, Lars E, et al. Edoxaban-based versus vitamin K antagonist-based antithrombotic regimen after successful coronary stenting in patients with atrial fibrillation (ENTRUST-AF PCI): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. Lancet 2019;394:1335–43.

- [19] Yueh-Hsin Wang, Hsien-Li Kao, Chi-Chuan Wang, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome or percutaneous coronary intervention. Acta Cardiol Sin 2019;35:508–21.
- [20] Michael Gibson C, Duane S. Pinto , Gerald Chi , et al. Recurrent hospitalization among patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing intracoronary stenting treated with 2 treatment strategies of rivaroxaban or a dose-adjusted oral vitamin K antagonist treatment strategy. Circulation 2017;135:323–33.
- [21] Gerald Chi, Megan K. Yee, Arzu Kalayci, et al. Total bleeding with rivaroxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation receiving antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2018;46:346–50.
- [22] Kevin R. Bainey , Robert C. Welsh , Stuart J. Connolly , et al. Rivaroxaban plus aspirin versus aspirin alone in patients with prior percutaneous coronary intervention (COMPASS-PCI). Circulation 2020;141:1141–51.
- [23] Stuart J. Connolly , John W. Eikelboom , Jackie Bosch , et al. Lancet Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable coronary artery disease: an international, randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial 2018;391:205–18.
- [24] Almottesembellah G, Sapha M, Natalie C, et al. Thrombotic and cardiovascular risks in type two diabetes; Role of platelet hyperactivity. Biomed Pharmacother 2017;94:679–86.