WŨ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2022 July 28; 28(28): 3706-3719

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i28.3706

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

Family-based Helicobacter pylori infection status and transmission pattern in central China, and its clinical implications for related disease prevention

Xue-Chun Yu, Qiao-Qiao Shao, Jing Ma, Miao Yu, Chen Zhang, Lei Lei, Yang Zhou, Wen-Chao Chen, Wei Zhang, Xin-Hui Fang, Yuan-Zeng Zhu, Gang Wu, Xue-Mei Wang, Shuang-Yin Han, Pei-Chun Sun, Song-Ze Ding

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Kotelevets SM, Russia; Tilahun M, Ethiopia

Received: April 18, 2022 Peer-review started: April 18, 2022 First decision: May 29, 2022 Revised: June 9, 2022 Accepted: June 24, 2022 Article in press: June 24, 2022 Published online: July 28, 2022



Xue-Chun Yu, Qiao-Qiao Shao, Jing Ma, Miao Yu, Lei Lei, Xin-Hui Fang, Shuang-Yin Han, Song-Ze Ding, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China

Chen Zhang, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Henan University People's Hospital, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China

Yang Zhou, Wen-Chao Chen, Wei Zhang, Yuan-Zeng Zhu, Gang Wu, Pei-Chun Sun, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China

Xue-Mei Wang, Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China

Corresponding author: Song-Ze Ding, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, No. 7 Weiwu Road, Jin Shui District, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China. dingsongze@hotmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) has characteristics of family cluster infection; however, its family-based infection status, related factors, and transmission pattern in central China, a high-risk area for H. pylori infection and gastric cancer, have not been evaluated. We investigated family-based H. pylori infection in healthy households to understand its infection status, related factors, and patterns of transmission for related disease prevention.

AIM

To investigate family-based H. pylori infection status, related factors, and patterns of transmission in healthy households for related disease prevention.

METHODS

Blood samples and survey questionnaires were collected from 282 families



including 772 individuals. The recruited families were from 10 selected communities in the greater Zhengzhou area with different living standards, and the family members' general data, H. pylori infection status, related factors, and transmission pattern were analyzed. H. pylori infection was confirmed primarily by serum *H. pylori* antibody arrays; if patients previously underwent *H. pylori* eradication therapy, an additional ¹³C-urea breath test was performed to obtain their current infection status. Serum gastrin and pepsinogens (PGs) were also analyzed.

RESULTS

Among the 772 individuals examined, H. pylori infection rate was 54.27%. These infected individuals were from 246 families, accounting for 87.23% of all 282 families examined, and 34.55% of these families were infected by the same strains. In 27.24% of infected families, all members were infected, and 68.66% of them were infected with type I strains. Among the 244 families that included both husband and wife, spouse co-infection rate was 34.84%, and in only 17.21% of these spouses, none were infected. The infection rate increased with duration of marriage, but annual household income, history of smoking, history of alcohol consumption, dining location, presence of gastrointestinal symptoms, and family history of gastric disease or GC did not affect infection rates; however, individuals who had a higher education level showed lower infection rates. The levels of gastrin-17, PGI, and PGII were significantly higher, and PGI/II ratio was significantly lower in *H. pylori*-infected groups than in *H. pylori*-negative groups.

CONCLUSION

In our study sample from the general public of central China, H. pylori infection rate was 54.27%, but in 87.23% of healthy households, there was at least 1 H. pylori-infected person; in 27.24% of these infected families, all members were infected. Type I H. pylori was the dominant strain in this area. Individuals with a higher education level showed significantly lower infection rates; no other variables affected infection rates.

Key Words: Helicobacter pylori; Atrophic gastritis; Family clustering infection; Gastric cancer; Gastrin; Pepsinogen

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) has characteristics of family cluster infection. However, few studies have investigated family-based infection status and pattern of intrafamilial transmission in the general public of central China. In our study, H. pylori infection rate was 54.27%, but in 87.23% of healthy households, there was at least 1 H. pylori-infected person; in 27.24% of these infected families, all members were infected. Type I H. pylori was the dominant strain in this area. Intrafamilial infection status and patterns of transmission represent one important source of H. pylori spread, indicating the urgent need for family-based infection control and related disease prevention.

Citation: Yu XC, Shao QQ, Ma J, Yu M, Zhang C, Lei L, Zhou Y, Chen WC, Zhang W, Fang XH, Zhu YZ, Wu G, Wang XM, Han SY, Sun PC, Ding SZ. Family-based Helicobacter pylori infection status and transmission pattern in central China, and its clinical implications for related disease prevention. World J Gastroenterol 2022; 28(28): 3706-3719

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i28/3706.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i28.3706

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection is the major cause of chronic gastritis, peptic ulcers, and gastric cancer (GC), and is also closely associated with a number of extra-gastrointestinal (GI) diseases [1-3]. *H. pylori* infection rate in China is about 50%, but the infection rate varies widely in different regions due to economic development, age, lifestyle habit, and sanitary conditions[4-6]. H. pylori has characteristics of family cluster infection[7]. Most H. pylori infections are acquired during childhood and adolescence, and infection will persist for decades unless proper treatment is offered.

Mounting evidence has demonstrated that transmission of *H. pylori* is mainly by oral-oral and fecaloral routes, and water sources [8,9], and intra-familial spread is the major source of H. pylori transmission[10-12]. The infected parent, especially the mother, is thought to play an important role in its transmission[9,11]. When parents are infected with *H. pylori*, the infection rates of their children



markedly increase; spread has also been demonstrated between spouses and among siblings[13-17]. Therefore, diagnosis and treatment of the whole family have important clinical implications for preventing related diseases[18]. Recently, the notion of "family-based H. pylori infection control and management" has been introduced to China as a practical strategy to curb *H. pylori* intra-familial transmission^[3]. However, relatively few studies have been performed to investigate the family-based infection status, related factors, and pattern of intrafamilial transmission in the general population.

Type I [cytotoxin-associated protein-positive (CagA+), vacuolating cytotoxin-positive (VacA+)] H. pylori infection causes severe gastric inflammation and is prone to induce carcinogenesis[19,20]. Our previous study of 3572 patients admitted to the hospital showed that the *H. pylori* infection rate was 75.9% in this area of central China. The infection rate was further confirmed by investigation of 523 endoscopy-confirmed patients (76.9%), of whom 72.4% (291/402) had type I H. pylori infection and 27.5% had type II H. pylori infection. Importantly, 88.4% of GC patients were H. pylori-positive, of whom 84.2% had type I infection; only 11.6% of GC patients were H. pylori-negative[21]. At present, the genotype of family based-H. pylori infection in the healthy household is unclear, as well as its relationship with GC epidemiological markers such as gastrin-17 (G-17), pepsinogen (PG) level, and PG I/II ratio (PGR).

Henan province in central China is one of the high-risk areas for *H. pylori* and GC, with an *H. pylori* infection rate of 49.6% [22] and GC incidence of 42.52/100000 [23]. The capital city, Zhengzhou, has a population of 12 million, but there has been no large-scale family-based H. pylori intrafamilial transmission survey, and the factors that affect *H. pylori* spread and cause disease are also unclear.

Therefore, we investigated family-based H. pylori infection status, factors related to bacteria spread, bacteria genotype, and patterns of transmission for the residents in this area, and analyzed their impact on GC epidemiological markers including G-17, PGI, PGII, and PGR. The results of this study will provide information on *H. pylori* infection status in the household and help to refine eradication strategies for the prevention of related diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and data collection

From September 2020 to April 2021, blood samples and questionnaires were collected from family members of 10 selected communities in greater Zhengzhou area; each community enrolled 20-30 families, with all members participating. The 10 communities were selected based on high, middle, and low living standards to prevent biased selection of the population; these included two high-income communities, six middle-class communities, and two communities originating from rural areas. Specifically, the study included two communities located in Guancheng District, namely Lufu Pavilion and Houjiadong Street communities; three communities located in Jinshui District, namely Chengbei Road, Jiagang, and Huilong Digital City communities; four communities in New East Zhengzhou District, namely Hanhai Qingyu, Zhengzhou Academy of Aviation Administration, Henan University of Finance, Economics and Law, and Nanxi Fudi communities; and one community in Central Zhengzhou District, namely Kowloon City community.

A total of 282 families (family size \geq 2 persons) including 772 individuals participated in the survey. Inclusion criteria were: Family members being long-term residents living in Zhengzhou area, with no age limit; all family members being willing to participate by providing blood samples and filling out the questionnaire; at least 2 people composing the family unit, but with no limitation on how many people are living in the same household; and all family members being willing to provide written informed consent. An infected family was defined as a household with various family members infected with H. pylori, ranging from only 1 person to all family members being infected, and a family could be composed of only a couple, with or without children. Exclusion criteria were: Pregnant and breastfeeding females; people with mental illness; or people who refused to fill out the questionnaire or sign the consent form.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University (No. 53, 2021). All subjects provided written informed consent; for minor subjects, written informed consent was given by their legal guardian. This study was registered in the China Clinical Trial Registry (www.chictr.org.cn; No. ChiCTR2100052950), and the protocol is freely available from the website after registration.

Subject enrollment and questionnaire

Before and during enrollment, an introduction brochure or information booklet for the study was distributed to the community center staff, who were responsible for distributing and helping recruit community family members for onsite registration. A registration website was also open to community members for whole family-based registration; registration for only a single individual was declined. A questionnaire was filled out either online or onsite by each of the participating family members. Blood samples were collected from each participating member for *H. pylori*, gastrin, and PG analyses; if necessary, ¹³C-urea breath test (UBT) was subsequently performed by appointment.



Based on the purpose of this study, questionnaire included the following 17 items: Age; sex; family ethic; number of family members; professions; marriage status; socioeconomic data; dining history; living habits; lifestyle; disease history; medication history; presence of GI symptoms; *H. pylori* eradication history; history of gastroscopy; infection history of other family members; and treatment history (Table 1).

H. pylori infection status, gastrin, and PG analyses

Three milliliters of fasting venous blood were collected from all subjects in the morning. Blood samples were centrifuged at 1000 × *g* for 10 min, (80-2 centrifuge; Jiangsu Zhongda Instrument Technology Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China), and samples were either analyzed on ice on the same day or stored at -80 °C for subsequent analyses. Serum anti-*H. pylori* antibodies [detecting CagA, VacA, UreA, UreB *via H. pylori* enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Blot Biotech Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China)] and G-17, PGI, PGII levels, as well as PGR (*via* PGI, PGII, G-17 ELISA kits; Biohit Biotechnology, Helsinki, Finland) were measured by an ELISA kit following manufacturer's instructions as previously reported[22]. If patients had previously undergone *H. pylori* eradication therapy, an additional ¹³C-UBT was performed to obtain their current infection status (¹³C-UBT Diagnostic Kit; Beijing Boran Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 25 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, United States). Continuous variables are expressed as mean \pm SD, whereas categorical variables are described as percentages or frequencies. The measurement data were compared by *t*-test, and the enumeration data were compared by χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic information of the enrolled families

As shown in Table 1, a total of 282 families including 772 members participated in this study. Among them, 419 had *H. pylori* infection, giving an overall infection rate of 54.27% (419/772); among the infected individuals, 328 (42.49%, 328/772) were infected with type I strains and 91 (11.79%, 91/772) were infected with type II strains. Type I strains accounted for 78.28% (328/419) of cases, and type II strains accounted for 21.7% (91/419) of infected individuals (Figure 1A).

In total, 330 (42.75%) of the study participants were male, with an average age of 44.56 ± 20.19 years, and 442 (57.25%) were female, with an average age of 45.95 ± 18.74 years (P > 0.05). The age range of the enrolled individuals was 3 years to 90 years, with the youngest and oldest infected individuals aged 5 years and 87 years, respectively.

As shown in Figure 2, stratified age and *H. pylori* genotype infection were further analyzed, type I strains was the dominant strains for all age groups. The infection rates of individuals under the age of 18 were 23.26% (20/86), and the age groups of 51-60 and 61-70 years had the highest infection rates of 63.01% (92/146) and 65.95% (93/141), respectively. Compared with age groups under 18-years-old, the infection rate was significantly higher in groups above 18-years-old (P < 0.05), but there was no difference in infection rates among groups above 18-years-old (P > 0.05).

Among questionnaire variables (Table 1), annual household income, history of smoking, history of alcohol consumption, dining location, presence of GI symptoms, and family history of gastric disease and GC did not affect infection rates (P > 0.05), but individuals with a higher education level showed significantly lower infection rates (P < 0.05).

H. pylori infection status of the enrolled families

The average family size of the study cohort was 2.74 persons *per* households, and family size ranged from as few as 2 persons *per* family to as many as 6 *per* family (Figure 1B). In this survey, 2- and 3- person households accounted for 80.85% (228/282) of the families enrolled.

As shown in Figure 1C-F, *H. pylori*-infected individuals were distributed in 246 of the 282 families with varying numbers of members infected, ranging from only 1 person to all family members infected. The family infection rate was 87.23% with at least 1 person infected in a family unit (246/282), in 12.77% of the 282 households, no family members were infected (36/282) (Figure 1C). In 67 of the 246 infected families, all members were infected (27.24%, 67/246), among these 67 all member-infected households, 46 households were infected with the same type I strains (68.66%, 46/67), 1 household was infected with type II strains (1.49%, 1/67), and 20 households had mixed type I and II strain infection (29.85%, 20/67) (Figure 1D). The data of the stratified family member infection rate of 282 households were shown in Figure 1E. In 53.66% (132/246) of families with at least 2 members infected, 59.09% (78/132) of these families were infected with type I strains, 5.30% (7/132) were infected with type II strains, and 35.61% (47/132) were infected with mixed type I and II strains (Figure 1F).

WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Demography information and Helicobacter pylori infection status of 772 subjects

<table-container>Variablesitems, and interfact seriesVertical seriesPartical ser</table-container>		H. pylori positive					
AddressSecond Second Seco	Variables items, <i>n</i>	Sub total, n	Type I, <i>n</i>	Type II, <i>n</i>	 H. pylori negative, n 	Infection rate (%)	P value
NameNa	Total (772)	419	328	91	353	54.27	
Panetary94%	Gender						
ApproprimationAppr	Male (330)	173	130	43	157	52.42	
Indegration98,94.0098,	Female (442)	246	198	48	196	55.66	0.373
Alequency <td>Age (yr) (mean \pm SD)¹</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age (yr) (mean \pm SD) ¹						
Number of the set	Total (45.36 ± 19.38)	49.38 ± 16.92	49.59 ± 17.04	48.65 ± 16.47	40.58 ± 20.97		0.000 ^a
Haniyamali nor divolve fuel of the sector	Male (44.56 ± 20.19)	49.62 ± 18.06	49.21 ± 18.53	50.86 ± 16.50	38.98 ± 20.93		
Normal StressParticipationPartic	Female (45.95 ± 18.74)	49.22 ± 16.06	49.83 ± 15.97	46.67 ± 16.20	41.86 ± 20.92		0.240 ^b
1020(20)19184349494959520.03(1)34107556620.03(1)3472757720.03(1)34781077710.00001(3)3477777710.010111077777720.010111010101077720.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.010111010101010101020.01011101010101010101020.010111010101010101010101020.01011101010101010101010101020.0101110101010101010101010101010101010101010<	Family annual income ² (10000 RMB)						
No. Control1616175.950.552> 30(9)14755.640.74110 known (47)20119.71Cigrette anaking?117777Na (50)121211771Na (50)121211111Na (50)121211111Na (50)121211111Na (50)121211111Na (10)121111111Na (10)12111 <t< td=""><td>< 10 (287)</td><td>171</td><td>142</td><td>29</td><td>116</td><td>59.58</td><td></td></t<>	< 10 (287)	171	142	29	116	59.58	
Normal constraints14781616.0010.00Unknown (x)2820899.59.5Garette smeking?1677.5910.0010.00Na (50)3022426021850.0010.00Na (50)65127.0010.00Achot drinking?12363.7210.00Na (10)642106.510.00Na (10)10101010.006.510.00Na (10)101314141414.00Na (10)13141414.0010.0010.00Na (10)13141414.0010.0010.00Na (10)1314141414.0010.00Na (10)1314141414.0010.00Na (10)14131414.0010.0010.00Na (10)14141414.0010.0010.00Na (10)14141414.0010.0010.00Na (10)14141414.0014.0010.00Na (10)14141414.0014.0014.00Na (10)141414.0014.0014.0014.00Na (10)141414.0014.0014.0014.00Na (10)141414.00<	10-20 (209)	119	86	33	90	56.94	0.555°
Linkown (d7)PáPáPáPáPáPáCapetie smoking"9494979797Ya (153)92929292929292Na (200)9292929292929292Achol arinking"92939492 <t< td=""><td>20-30 (84)</td><td>47</td><td>36</td><td>11</td><td>37</td><td>55.95</td><td>0.552^c</td></t<>	20-30 (84)	47	36	11	37	55.95	0.552 ^c
Clarent with a strain of the strain of t	> 30 (59)	34	27	7	25	57.63	0.781 ^c
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Unknown (47)	28	20	8	19	59.57	
Ne%2092<	Cigarette smoking ²						
Name operation666127Achold rinking?8826778Ver (49)82688930Or (51)2972361482930Othown (26)972361482930Othown (26)161616161616Othown (26)18318121888416Othown (36)131616166362Othown (36)261616581616Othown (36)261316165812Othown (36)16131616636312Othown (28)16131616581212Othown (28)16131613131313Othown (28)16161613131313Othown (28)16161613131313Othown (28)16161613131313Othown (28)16161616141414Othown (28)16161616161416Othown (28)16161616161616Othown (28)16161616161616Othown (28)16<	Yes (158)	91	64	27	67	57.59	
Acode Second Secon	No (520)	302	242	60	218	58.08	0.914
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Unknown (8)	6	5	1	2	75.00	
No (51)2723642458.120.90Un now (20)16142106.545Gatometal symptoms ² 18128.844No (30)13145146.936.62No (30)1316165.936.62Un now (30)2185136.896.62Dianoardon2185146.936.62Hon (47)2616165.936.62Statuard (186)1616165.936.78Un now (28)161316166.78No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.936.32No (30)1616165.946.32No (30)161616166.14No (30)1616161616No (30)1616161616No (30)1616161616No (30)1616<	Alcohol drinking ²						
<table-row><table-row><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row>	Yes (149)	86	64	22	63	57.72	
Garton of Sature1831458812858.84No (39)1931484516056.936.622Unknown (36)23185136.896.22Home (472)2762156119658.474.24Restaurant (186)10683238056.996.228Unknown (28)101314116.016.228Na (400)12131415.096.236.23Na (430)2625511459.306.324Unknown (89)1316175.306.324Na (401)2620515.136.324Pist (141)2320515.136.324Na (57)3113145.136.24Na (57)352055.147.44Na (57)3520325.147.44Na (57)35207.55.147.44Na (57)35207.52.145.167.44Na (57)352.141313137.44Na (57)352.1413131314Na (57)353.143.143.141313Na (57)3.143.143.143.141314Na (57)3.143.143.143.141414Na (57)3.143.143.14<	No (511)	297	233	64	214	58.12	0.930
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Unknown (26)	16	14	2	10	61.54	
No (339)1931484514656.930.622Unknown (36)23185136.396.22Dining location?UHome (472)2762156119658.47Restaurant (186)1068323806.990.728Unknown (28)16134116.01728Fact (187)92632755.09No (300)256205517455.09Unknown (89)26420551745.03Fact (187)230231186.10Pacify Ling (196)31207551.61No (57)35207521.01No (57)13203221.01Unknown (69)41311028.0151.42Unknown (69)411028.0151.42Unknown (69)41101028.0151.42Unknown (69)41101028.0151.42Unknown (69)4110101010.22Unknown (69)4110101010.22Unknown (69)4110101010.22Unknown (69)4110101010.22Unknown (69)51515151<	Gastrointestinal symptoms ²						
Induces of the series of the	Yes (311)	183	145	38	128	58.84	
Dining location?Home (472)276156119658.47Restaurant (186)10683238056.990.728Unknown (28)171341160.1728Fes (167)9265277550.990.323No (430)2562055117459.530.323Unknown (89)5141103857.300.323Ferify History of gastric cancer?1123118456.1019.4No (576)3352607524158.160.796Unknown (69)4131102859.419.4	No (339)	193	148	45	146	56.93	0.622
Home (472)2762156119658.47Restaurant (186)1068323806.990.728Unknown (28)1713410.71728Family history of stomach disease ³ 57557Yes (167)926527745.09No (430)256205511745.03Unknown (89)51101636Yes (41)23203185.01No (576)3520752415.02Unknown (69)413110285.02Unknown (69)413110285.02Harden Carder ¹ 11111111Unknown (69)1111111111Unknown (69)1111111111Unknown (69)1111111111Unknown (69)1111111111Unknown (72)1111111111Unknown (71)1111111111Unknown (71)1111111111Unknown (71)1111111111Unknown (71)1111111111Unknown (71)1111111111Unknown (72) </td <td>Unknown (36)</td> <td>23</td> <td>18</td> <td>5</td> <td>13</td> <td>63.89</td> <td></td>	Unknown (36)	23	18	5	13	63.89	
Restaurant (186) 106 83 23 80 56.99 0.728 Unknown (28) 17 13 4 11 60.71 Family history of stomach disease ³ 5 50.9 50.9 50.9 Yes (167) 92 65 27 75 50.90 0.323 No (430) 256 205 51 174 59.53 0.323 Unknown (89) 51 10 38 57.30 0.323 Yes (41) 23 10 38 50.90 0.323 Yes (42) 23 20 31 16 50.10 Yes (41) 23 20 32 21 Yes (42) 23 20 3 21 Yes (42) 23 20 3 21 Yes (42) 23 20 5 21 Yes (42) 23 20 3 21	Dining location ²						
Harmon (28) 17 13 4 14 60.71 Family history of stomach diseas ³ 5 5 5 5 Yes (167) 26 26 7 5 5 No (430) 26 205 14 74 5 0.323 Unknown (89) 5 1 10 36 5 0.323 Family history of gastric cancer ³ 1 1 3 6 0.323 Yes (41) 21 21 3 8 6 0.323 Yes (41) 23 20 3 1 1 3 0.323 Yes (42) 23 20 3 1 3 3 0.323 Yes (43) 23 2 2 2 3 <td< td=""><td>Home (472)</td><td>276</td><td>215</td><td>61</td><td>196</td><td>58.47</td><td></td></td<>	Home (472)	276	215	61	196	58.47	
Family history of stomach disease*Yes (167)9265755.09No (430)25603145.930.323Unknown (89)51410385.30Family history of gastric cance*11Yes (41)2303185.10No (576)33526075215.16Unknown (69)413110285.42Eduation Level*5.425.425.42	Restaurant (186)	106	83	23	80	56.99	0.728
Yes (167)9265977555.09No (430)2562055117459.530.323Unknown (89)5141103857.30Family history of gastric cancer ³ Yes (41)232031856.10No (576)3352607524158.160.796Unknown (69)4131102859.42	Unknown (28)	17	13	4	11	60.71	
No (430)2562055117459.530.323Unknown (89)5141103857.305Family history of gastric cancer ³ Yes (41)232031856.10No (576)3352607524158.160.796Unknown (69)4131102859.42Etatation Level ²	Family history of stomach disease ³						
Unknown (89) 51 41 10 38 57.30 Family history of gastric cancer ³ Free State Free State <t< td=""><td>Yes (167)</td><td>92</td><td>65</td><td>27</td><td>75</td><td>55.09</td><td></td></t<>	Yes (167)	92	65	27	75	55.09	
Family history of gastric cancer ³ Yes (41) 23 20 3 18 56.10 No (576) 335 260 75 241 58.16 0.796 Unknown (69) 41 31 10 28 59.42 Education level ² Units	No (430)	256	205	51	174	59.53	0.323
Yes (41)232031856.10No (576)3352607524158.160.796Unknown (69)4131102859.42Education level ²	Unknown (89)	51	41	10	38	57.30	
No (576) 335 260 75 241 58.16 0.796 Unknown (69) 41 31 10 28 59.42 59.42 Education level ²	Family history of gastric cancer ³						
Unknown (69) 41 31 10 28 59.42 Education level ² <td< td=""><td>Yes (41)</td><td>23</td><td>20</td><td>3</td><td>18</td><td>56.10</td><td></td></td<>	Yes (41)	23	20	3	18	56.10	
Education level ²	No (576)	335	260	75	241	58.16	0.796
	Unknown (69)	41	31	10	28	59.42	
Senior and below (286) 180 142 38 106 62.94	Education level ²						
	Senior and below (286)	180	142	38	106	62.94	



University or above (376)	199	155	44	177	52.93	0.010 ^a
Unknown (24)	20	14	6	4	83.33	

^aP < 0.05, when *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*)-positive groups were compared with *H. pylori*-negative groups.

 $^{b}P > 0.05$, when male *H. pylori*-positive groups were compared with female *H. pylori*-positive groups.

^c*P* > 0.05, when *H. pylori* infection rate in the annual income < 100000 RMB group was compared with other income groups.

¹Data are presented as the mean \pm SD.

²Data only included adults, and children and adolescents age \leq 18 yr were not included.

³Data only included history of gastric disease or gastric cancer in families across three consecutive generations.

H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori.



Figure 1 Helicobacter pylori infection status of the 282 enrolled families. Values above each column are the case number and percentages of each group. A: Genotype pattern of the 419 infected subjects in the enrolled families; B: Distribution pattern of the 282 enrolled families ranged from 2-6 people; C: General Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection status of 282 families; D: Genotype pattern of the 67 all member-infected families from the enrolled 282 families; E: Distribution pattern of the H. pylori infection status of the 282 enrolled families; F: Genotype pattern of the 132 H. pylori-infected families with \ge 2 persons infected from the 282 enrolled families. Infected families in a family was infected; Non-infected family: All members in a family were not infected; Type I H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I and type II strains.

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

H. pylori infection status between couples

H. pylori infection status between couples is shown in Figure 3. In all, 244 of the 282 families had both spouses, and infection rate of both spouses was 34.84% (85/244); further, 17.21% (42/244) couples were not infected, and 47.95% (117/244) had only a single spouse infection (Figure 3A). Among 117 families with infection of only 1 spouse, 75.21% (88/117) were infected with type I strains and 24.79% (29/117) were infected with type II strains (Figure 3B). Of these spouses, the husband was infected in 49.57% (58/117) of cases and the wife was infected in 50.53% (59/117) of cases (P > 0.05), they were further stratified into type I and type II strains infections (Figure 3C). Furthermore, among the 85 families with both husband and wife co-infected with H. pylori, 68.24% (58/85) were infected with the same type of strain, of whom 63.53% (54/85) were infected with type I strains, 4.71% (4/85) were infected with type II strains, and 31.76% (27/85) had mixed type I and type II infection (Figure 3D). Significantly more couples were infected with the same type of strain than with mixed strains (P < 0.05). In addition, with the increase in marriage duration, infection rate of both husband and wife was significantly increased (r = 0.98, P < 0.05; Figure 3E).

Parental infection and infection status of children and adolescents

In 51 families with both parents and children younger than 18 years of age, as shown in Table 2, the infection rate of children was 23.08% (6/26) when both parents were H. pylori-infected; however, when both parents were not infected, the infection rate of children was 18.18% (2/11) (P > 0.05). When only mother was infected, the infection rate of children was 45.45% (5/11); no child was infected (0/9) when only father was infected (P > 0.05).

Table 3 shows infection status of the 51 families comprising both parents and children, for a total of 190 individuals. Among these family members, the infection rates were as follows: Father, 62.75% (32/51); mother, 62.75% (32/51); grandfather, 50.00% (2/4); grandmother, 66.67% (8/12); maternal grandfather, 25.00% (1/4); maternal grandmother, 37.50% (3/8); and other relatives, 66.67% (2/3).

Comparison of G-17, PGI, and PGII levels, and PGR with different types of H. pylori infection

To determine the impact of *H. pylori* infection on common GC epidemiological markers (e.g., G-17, PGI, PGII, and PGR) in healthy households, we assayed their levels during *H. pylori* infection. As shown in Table 4, compared to *H. pylori*-negative groups, PGI levels were significantly higher and PGR was significantly lower in *H. pylori* type I infections compared with *H. pylori*-negative groups (P < 0.05). However, there were no differences in G-17, PGII level, and PGR between type II H. pylori infection and *H. pylori*-negative groups (P > 0.05). The levels of G-17, PGI, and PGII were significantly higher, and PGR was significantly lower in *H. pylori*-infected groups than in *H. pylori*-negative groups (P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

H. pylori infection rates vary greatly among different countries and regions[24]. Although numerous studies have demonstrated that intrafamilial transmission is one of the most important sources of H. pylori spread[2,18,24], there are few studies on the characteristics and pattern of family-based H. pylori infection for disease prevention and control [9,25,26]. Therefore, focusing on family-based H. pylori infection control and management would be a novel approach to reduce the related diseases and GC burden in a society.

In this work, we analyzed *H. pylori* infection status in a total of 772 individuals from 282 families in the Zhengzhou area. The results showed that despite an overall infection rate of only 54.27%, in as high as 87.23% of the surveyed families (246/282), there was at least 1 person infected, and in 27.07% (67/246) of these infected families, all family members were infected; further, 34.55% (85/246) of these families were infected with the same type of strain. Therefore, this study provides new evidence showing the importance of family-based *H. pylori* infection control, which has substantive public health implications, and suggests that intrafamilial infection is a major source of *H. pylori* transmission. Thus, preventing intrafamilial spread is critical to eliminate the source of infection in order to prevent the related diseases.

Over the past several decades, the social and family structure in China has changed dramatically. The latest national statistics^[27] (2020) revealed that the nation has a population of 1.41 billion and 492 million families with an average family size of 2.62 persons/family, which is much smaller than it was in 1990, when China had 1.13 billion citizens and 278.6 million families, with an average family size of 4.05 persons/family[27]. Due to the previous nationwide "one-children-per-family policy" (between 1982 and 2016), most families in China only have 1 child and two generations. As these children do not have siblings, transmission among siblings within a family unit did not appear to be the major route of transmission in the current analysis. H. pylori spread from parent or grandparent to children is probably more important for bacteria transmission. Although the current results provide a snapshot of *H. pylori* infection status in the general public, a nationwide large-scale investigation is needed to explore the nationwide infection status and develop policies for related disease prevention.



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Infection rate (%)

48.95

Table 2 Parental infection and infection status of children and adolescents in 51 families						
Devented infection (lloweehold numbers)	Children infection	n (57)	Infection rate $(0/)$	<i>P</i> value		
Parental infection (Household numbers)	H. pylori+ (n)	H. pylori- (n)	— Infection rate (%)			
Neither parent infected (11)	2	9	18.18			
Both parent infected (21)	6	20	23.08	0.109 ^a		
Only father infected (9)	0	9	0			
Only mother infected (10)	5	6	45.45			
Total (51)	13	44	22.81			

 $^{a}P > 0.05$ when both parents infected group were compared with neither parent infected group.

n: Person per group; Infection rate (%): Infection rate of children in different groups; H. pylori+: H. pylori+positive; H. pylori-: H. pylori-negative.

Table 3 Helicobacter pylori infection status in 51 families with children and other relatives					
Family members (N)	H. pylori positive	H nuloví no notivo n			
Family members (N)	Sub total, n	Type I <i>H. pylori</i> , n	Type II <i>H. pylori</i> , n	 H. pylori negative, n 	
Total (190)	93	66	27	97	

Father (51)	32	23	9	19	62.75
Mother (51)	32	22	10	19	62.75
Grandfather (4)	2	1	1	2	50.00
Grandmother (12)	8	6	2	4	66.67
Maternal grandfather (4)	1	0	1	3	25.00
Maternal grandmother (8)	3	2	1	5	37.50
Other relatives (3)	2	2	0	1	66.67
Child (57)	13	10	3	45	22.81

N: Number of family members in 51 families; n: Person per group; Type I H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type II strains; H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori; Infection rate: Number of H. pylori-positive family members/number of family members.

Table 4 Serum gastrin-17, pepsinogen I, pepsinogen II and pepsinogen I/II ratio levels in Helicobacter pylori-infected population					
	H. pylori+	Type I <i>H. pylori</i>	Type II <i>H. pylori</i>	H. pylori-	
G-17 (pmol/L)	8.95 ± 14.53	9.87 ± 15.60	$5.62 \pm 9.10^{\circ}$	$4.90 \pm 9.21^{a,d}$	
PGI (µg/L)	117.90 ± 55.99	123.12 ± 57.11	99.10 ± 47.45	91.13 ± 38.29 ^{a,b,d}	
PGII (µg/L)	12.66 ± 10.41	13.83 ± 11.07	$8.43 \pm 5.91^{\circ}$	$7.39 \pm 6.82^{a,d}$	
PGR	12.16 ± 6.39	11.64 ± 6.40	$14.05 \pm 6.04^{\circ}$	15.58 ± 7.97 ^{a,d}	

^aP < 0.05 when type I Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori)-infected groups were compared with H. pylori-negative groups.

 $^{\rm b}P$ < 0.05 when type II H. pylori-infected groups were compared with H. pylori-negative groups.

^c*P* < 0.05 when type I *H. pylori*-infected groups were compared with type II *H. pylori*-infected groups.

 $^{d}P < 0.05$ when *H. pylori*-infected groups were compared with *H. pylori*-negative groups.

Data are presented as mean ± SD. G-17: Gastrin-17; PG: Pepsinogen; PGR: PG I/II ratio; Type I H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type II strains; H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori; H. pylori+: H. pylori-positive; H. pylori-: H. pylori-negative.

> In single factor analysis, we noted that the highly infected age groups were between 31 years and 70 years, and infection rates increased along with age and duration of marriage. Annual household income, history of smoking, history of alcohol consumption, dining location, and family history of gastric disease or GC were not different between the infected and non-infected groups, but individuals with a higher education level showed a lower infection rate. A 2020 all-ages population-based crosssectional study^[28] in Wuwei county in northwestern China showed that the prevalence of *H. pylori*



Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 Helicobacter pylori infection status of 772 subjects in different age groups. Values above each column are the case number and its percentages in each specific age group. ${}^{a}P < 0.05$ when Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection rate in the age group of \leq 18 yr was compared with other age groups. Type I H. pylori: H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains; Type II H. pylori: H. pylori infection with type I strains.

infection was closely associated with socioeconomic conditions, sanitary situations, dietary habits of the participants in the city. Boarding, eating at school, and drinking untreated water were main factors explaining the rising infection rate in junior-senior high school students. The results indicated that close contact is associated with increased infection risk. In addition, differences in geographic location, study population, lifestyle habit, and sanitary conditions are important factors that greatly contribute to *H. pylori* infection[22,29,30].

Similar results were obtained from other regions, such as one community-based study[31] in Vietnam in 2017 on familial clustering in a multiple-generation population. The study showed that high monthly income, not regularly being fed chewed food, and being breastfed were protective factors against *H. pylori* infection. Risk factors for *H. pylori* infection in children were not regularly handwashing after defecation, *H. pylori*-infected mother and grandfather, father's occupation, mother's education, and household size. Other factors such as number of siblings, infected fathers, regularly sharing a bed, group living, and antibiotic use were not found to be significant risk factors for infection.

H. pylori-infected family members are a possible source of continued transmission, which is an important health threat for uninfected family members[7,32,33]. A 2003 study[9] in the Stockholm area of Sweden using bacterial isolates for family-based DNA fingerprinting technique demonstrated a high proportion of shared strains among siblings, and between spouses, but also showed different strains in a portion of subjects (8%). Similar results were also reported by a 2009 study in Bangladesh[26]. In the current analysis, we found that among the 67 all member-infected households (Figure 1F), 68.66% (46/67) were infected with *H. pylori* type I strains, 1.49% (1/67) were infected with type II strains, and 29.85% (20/67) had mixed type I and II strain infection. These data support the notion that intrafamilial transmission is the primary transmission route, but exogenous infection outside the family can occur, indicating that there may be multiple sources of transmission. In 244 couples comprising both husband and wife, 34.84% (85/244) of them were co-infected, and 68.24% (58/85) of households were infected with the same strain. With an increase of marriage duration, the infection rate of both husband and wife was significantly increased, suggesting that there was also cross-infection between husband and wife.

One unexpected result was that when both parents were infected, the infection rate of children was 23.08% (6/21), whereas when both parents were not infected, the infection rate of children was 18.18% (2/11), and the difference did not reach statistical significance. This result was slightly different from our previous concept that parental *H. pylori* infection is an independent factor for infection in young children, and that mothers play an important role in *H. pylori* transmission to their descendent[13-15, 26]. However, the current results likely reflect the current infection status in this region, as the gradually improved living standard, sanitary condition, use of tap water, and avoiding chewing food to feed children over the past several decades in China's urban family have resulted in a reduced infection rate. This is in line with the fact that the overall *H. pylori* infection rate is declining in most of China's urban





Figure 3 *Helicobacter pylori* infection status between 244 couples. Values above each column are the case number and percentages of each group. A: *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection status of 244 couples; B: Type I and II *H. pylori* genotype status of the 117 couples with 1 spouse infected; C: *H. pylori* genotype and sex of 117 couples with 1 spouse infected; D: *H. pylori* genotype status in 85 couples with both spouses infected; E: Relationship between infection and marriage duration in 244 couples. The dashed line across the figure is the trendline of both spouses infected, *r* = 0.98. Non-infected: All members in a couple were not infected; one spouse infected: Only 1 in a couple was infected; Type I *H. pylori*: *H. pylori* infection with type I strains; Type II *H. pylori* infection with type I and type II strains.

areas[3,22]. Another possibility is that the current cohort had relatively small numbers of children and adolescents, which may not have generated enough power for statistical significance. In addition, we were unable to perform bacterial DNA fingerprinting to confirm if the strains were identical, so the genotype that precise bacterial strains transmit within a family unit has yet to be determined. Future large-scale investigations are needed to confirm the current conclusion.

Type I *H. pylori* strain accounted for 78.28% of the infected population in this survey, similar to the results of our previous study in patients admitted to the hospital, which showed that type I *H. pylori* infection accounted for 72.4% (291/402) of the infected patients, and type II was 27.6%[21]. When compared to *H. pylori*-negative groups, G-17, PGI, and PGII levels were higher in *H. pylori*-infected groups. G-17, PGI, and PGII levels were significantly higher and PGR was significantly lower in the

WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

type I H. pylori-infected groups than in H. pylori-negative groups. The levels of G-17 and PG II were significantly higher, and PGR was significantly lower in type I H. pylori-infected groups than in type IIinfected groups. These results are in line with our previous endoscopy results from inpatients, and indicate that both type I and type II H. pylori strains increase G-17 Level, whereas only type I H. pylori infection affects PGI and PGII levels and the PGR in this geographic area[21].

Type I infection and reduced PGR are risk factors for gastric mucosal precancerous lesions and GC [19,21]. Therefore, these results have important clinical implications, as the abnormal expression of gastric markers was noted in a portion of individuals in healthy households infected with H. pylori before they sought medical examinations. It was unclear if this group of individuals had gastric mucosal precancerous lesions; thus, further examinations by endoscopy may be required for confirmation. The results of this study also provide another line of support showing that family-based H. pylori infection control and management would be an important strategy for infection control and related disease prevention[3,18,34,35].

Although this pilot work provides novel information regarding family-based H. pylori infection status, it had some limitations. First, the investigation was performed with a relatively small number of families, and in some groups, especially children and adolescents, the number of samples was not large enough to reach statistical significance; thus, future large-scale, multiple region sampling would provide more convincing data. Second, this was a cross-sectional study without data from endoscopy to confirm H. pylori infection-related disease status and pathological changes in gastric mucosa; therefore, some in-depth information was missing, and the work was performed in a Chinese setting, which may not be suitable to other areas. Third, type I and II H. pylori genotype concordance through antibody array analysis only provided a very general evaluation. As we did not obtain bacteria strain culture and DNA fingerprinting data, H. pylori intrafamilial transmission was unable to be evaluated precisely to assess the heterogeneity of H. pylori strains within families. Thus, future studies are needed to evaluate the H. pylori DNA fingerprinting pattern for more precise evaluation. Even with these limitations, this study provides novel points and information on family-based H. pylori infection characteristics, which merit further large-scale exploration.

CONCLUSION

The current results provide snapshots of family-based *H. pylori* infection status in central China. The high infection rate and coincidence of people infected with *H. pylori* within a family unit indicate the status and pattern of intrafamilial transmission, which provide a novel option for family-based H. pylori infection control and related disease prevention. The concept is applicable not only to Chinese residents but also to other communities with high infection rates.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) has characteristics of family cluster infection; however, its family-based infection status, related factors, and transmission pattern in central China have not been evaluated.

Research motivation

We evaluated family-based *H. pylori* infection status, related factors, and interfamilial transmission pattern in healthy households in central China, a high-risk area for H. pylori and gastric cancer (GC).

Research objectives

To investigate family-based *H. pylori* infection and identify a better approach for *H. pylori* infection control and related disease prevention.

Research methods

H. pylori infection was confirmed primarily by serum antibody arrays in 282 enrolled families, including a total of 772 family members. If patients previously underwent H. pylori eradication therapy, an additional ¹³C-urea breath test was performed to obtain their current infection status. Serum levels of gastrin and pepsinogens (PGs) were also analyzed.

Research results

In our study sample from the general public of central China, H. pylori infection rate was 54.27%. In 87.23% of healthy households, there was at least 1 H. pylori-infected person, and in 27.24% of these infected families, all members were infected. Type I H. pylori was the dominant strain in this geographic area. Among many variables, only individuals with a higher education level showed lower infection



rates. *H. pylori* infection was also correlated with abnormal gastrin-17, PGI, and PGII levels and PGI/PGII ratio.

Research conclusions

H. pylori infection in healthy households is very common in central China, and poses an important health threat to uninfected family members. The intrafamilial infection status and patterns of transmission represent one important source of *H. pylori* spread, and indicate the urgent need for family-based infection control and related disease prevention.

Research perspectives

The results of this study provide new information on family-based *H. pylori* infection status in central China, and support the novel concept of family-based *H. pylori* infection control and management. This notion is also likely to benefit other *H. pylori* and GC prevalent areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the staff of the Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, for their valuable assistance in this work.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Ding SZ, Wu G, Wang XM, Han SY and Sun PC conceived and designed the study; Yu XC, Shao QQ, Zhang C and Yu M searched and screened related literature; Yu XC, Shao QQ, Ma J, Lei L and Zhou Y performed the data extraction and quality assessment; Yu XC, Zhou Y, Chen WC, Zhang W, Fang XH and Zhu YZ analyzed the data; Yu XC and Ding SZ wrote the manuscript; all authors critically revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. U1604174; Henan Provincial Government-Health and Family Planning Commission, No. 20170123 and No. SBGJ202002004; and Henan Provincial Government-Health and Family Planning Commission Research Innovative Talents Project, No. 51282.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, 2021, No. 53.

Informed consent statement: All study participants or their legal guardian provided informed written consent about personal and medical data collection prior to study enrolment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement – checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Xue-Chun Yu 0000-0003-4425-6019; Qiao-Qiao Shao 0000-0002-4900-9394; Jing Ma 0000-0003-1172-527X; Miao Yu 0000-0002-3587-2760; Chen Zhang 0000-0002-8810-6875; Lei Lei 0000-0002-6109-4229; Yang Zhou 0000-0002-5398-7764; Wen-Chao Chen 0000-0002-0642-0060; Wei Zhang 0000-0003-4620-6517; Xin-Hui Fang 0000-0001-5044-1320; Yuan-Zeng Zhu 0000-0001-9594-0916; Gang Wu 0000-0002-6627-0690; Xue-Mei Wang 0000-0003-0825-434X; Shuang-Yin Han 0000-0002-9907-7256; Pei-Chun Sun 0000-0001-7063-9537; Song-Ze Ding 0000-0002-4589-6942.

S-Editor: Fan JR L-Editor: A P-Editor: Li X

Zaishidene® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

REFERENCES

- 1 Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Gisbert JP, Kuipers EJ, Axon AT, Bazzoli F, Gasbarrini A, Atherton J, Graham DY, Hunt R, Moayyedi P, Rokkas T, Rugge M, Selgrad M, Suerbaum S, Sugano K, El-Omar EM; European Helicobacter and Microbiota Study Group and Consensus panel. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection-the Maastricht V/Florence Consensus Report. Gut 2017; 66: 6-30 [PMID: 27707777 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312288]
- Fallone CA, Chiba N, van Zanten SV, Fischbach L, Gisbert JP, Hunt RH, Jones NL, Render C, Leontiadis GI, Moayyedi P, Marshall JK. The Toronto Consensus for the Treatment of Helicobacter pylori Infection in Adults. Gastroenterology 2016; 151: 51-69.e14 [PMID: 27102658 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.04.006]
- 3 Ding SZ, Du YQ, Lu H, Wang WH, Cheng H, Chen SY, Chen MH, Chen WC, Chen Y, Fang JY, Gao HJ, Guo MZ, Han Y, Hou XH, Hu FL, Jiang B, Jiang HX, Lan CH, Li JN, Li Y, Li YQ, Liu J, Li YM, Lyu B, Lu YY, Miao YL, Nie YZ, Qian JM, Sheng JQ, Tang CW, Wang F, Wang HH, Wang JB, Wang JT, Wang JP, Wang XH, Wu KC, Xia XZ, Xie WF, Xie Y, Xu JM, Yang CQ, Yang GB, Yuan Y, Zeng ZR, Zhang BY, Zhang GY, Zhang GX, Zhang JZ, Zhang ZY, Zheng PY, Zhu Y, Zuo XL, Zhou LY, Lyu NH, Yang YS, Li ZS; National Clinical Research Center for Digestive Diseases (Shanghai), Gastrointestinal Early Cancer Prevention & Treatment Alliance of China (GECA), Helicobacter pylori Study Group of Chinese Society of Gastroenterology, and Chinese Alliance for Helicobacter pylori Study. Chinese Consensus Report on Family-Based Helicobacter pylori Infection Control and Management (2021 Edition). Gut 2022; 71: 238-253 [PMID: 34836916 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2021-325630]
- Liu WZ, Xie Y, Lu H, Cheng H, Zeng ZR, Zhou LY, Chen Y, Wang JB, Du YQ, Lu NH; Chinese Society of Gastroenterology, Chinese Study Group on Helicobacter pylori and Peptic Ulcer. Fifth Chinese National Consensus Report on the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. Helicobacter 2018; 23: e12475 [PMID: 29512258 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12475]
- Sugano K, Tack J, Kuipers EJ, Graham DY, El-Omar EM, Miura S, Haruma K, Asaka M, Uemura N, Malfertheiner P; faculty members of Kyoto Global Consensus Conference. Kyoto global consensus report on Helicobacter pylori gastritis. Gut 2015; 64: 1353-1367 [PMID: 26187502 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309252]
- 6 Brown LM, Thomas TL, Ma JL, Chang YS, You WC, Liu WD, Zhang L, Pee D, Gail MH. Helicobacter pylori infection in rural China: demographic, lifestyle and environmental factors. Int J Epidemiol 2002; 31: 638-645 [PMID: 12055167 DOI: 10.1093/ije/31.3.638]
- Drumm B, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ, Sherman PM. Intrafamilial clustering of Helicobacter pylori infection. N Engl J 7 Med 1990; 322: 359-363 [PMID: 2300088 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199002083220603]
- Kato M, Ota H, Okuda M, Kikuchi S, Satoh K, Shimoyama T, Suzuki H, Handa O, Furuta T, Mabe K, Murakami K, 8 Sugiyama T, Uemura N, Takahashi S. Guidelines for the management of Helicobacter pylori infection in Japan: 2016 Revised Edition. Helicobacter 2019; 24: e12597 [PMID: 31111585 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12597]
- Kivi M, Tindberg Y, Sörberg M, Casswall TH, Befrits R, Hellström PM, Bengtsson C, Engstrand L, Granström M. Concordance of Helicobacter pylori strains within families. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 5604-5608 [PMID: 14662948 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.41.12.5604-5608.2003
- Georgopoulos SD, Mentis AF, Spiliadis CA, Tzouvelekis LS, Tzelepi E, Moshopoulos A, Skandalis N. Helicobacter pylori infection in spouses of patients with duodenal ulcers and comparison of ribosomal RNA gene patterns. Gut 1996; 39: 634-638 [PMID: 9026475 DOI: 10.1136/gut.39.5.634]
- 11 Rothenbacher D, Winkler M, Gonser T, Adler G, Brenner H. Role of infected parents in transmission of helicobacter pylori to their children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2002; 21: 674-679 [PMID: 12237602 DOI: 10.1097/00006454-200207000-00014
- 12 Perry S, de la Luz Sanchez M, Yang S, Haggerty TD, Hurst P, Perez-Perez G, Parsonnet J. Gastroenteritis and transmission of Helicobacter pylori infection in households. Emerg Infect Dis 2006; 12: 1701-1708 [PMID: 17283620 DOI: 10.3201/eid1211.060086
- Rocha GA, Rocha AM, Silva LD, Santos A, Bocewicz AC, Queiroz Rd Rde M, Bethony J, Gazzinelli A, Corrêa-Oliveira 13 R, Queiroz DM. Transmission of Helicobacter pylori infection in families of preschool-aged children from Minas Gerais, Brazil. Trop Med Int Health 2003; 8: 987-991 [PMID: 14629764 DOI: 10.1046/j.1360-2276.2003.01121.x]
- Yang YJ, Sheu BS, Lee SC, Yang HB, Wu JJ. Children of Helicobacter pylori-infected dyspeptic mothers are predisposed 14 to H. pylori acquisition with subsequent iron deficiency and growth retardation. Helicobacter 2005; 10: 249-255 [PMID: 15904483 DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-5378.2005.00317.x]
- Konno M, Yokota S, Suga T, Takahashi M, Sato K, Fujii N. Predominance of mother-to-child transmission of Helicobacter 15 pylori infection detected by random amplified polymorphic DNA fingerprinting analysis in Japanese families. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2008; 27: 999-1003 [PMID: 18845980 DOI: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31817d756e]
- Nguyen VB, Nguyen GK, Phung DC, Okrainec K, Raymond J, Dupond C, Kremp O, Kalach N, Vidal-Trecan G. Intra-16 familial transmission of Helicobacter pylori infection in children of households with multiple generations in Vietnam. Eur J *Epidemiol* 2006; **21**: 459-463 [PMID: 16826451 DOI: 10.1007/s10654-006-9016-y]
- 17 Chen XX, Ou BY, Shang SQ, Wu XY, Zhang XP, Chen LQ, Qu YP. The correlation between family aggregation and eradication therapy in children with Helicobacter pylori infection. Zhongguo Shiyong Erke 2003; 18: 475-477 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-2224.2003.08.011]
- Sari YS, Can D, Tunali V, Sahin O, Koc O, Bender O. H pylori: Treatment for the patient only or the whole family? World 18 J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 1244-1247 [PMID: 18300351 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.14.1244]
- Matos JI, de Sousa HA, Marcos-Pinto R, Dinis-Ribeiro M. Helicobacter pylori CagA and VacA genotypes and gastric phenotype: a meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 25: 1431-1441 [PMID: 23929249 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e328364b53e
- 20 Ding SZ, Goldberg JB, Hatakeyama M. Helicobacter pylori infection, oncogenic pathways and epigenetic mechanisms in gastric carcinogenesis. Future Oncol 2010; 6: 851-862 [PMID: 20465395 DOI: 10.2217/fon.10.37]
- 21 Yuan L, Zhao JB, Zhou YL, Qi YB, Guo QY, Zhang HH, Khan MN, Lan L, Jia CH, Zhang YR, Ding SZ. Type I and type II Helicobacter pylori infection status and their impact on gastrin and pepsinogen level in a gastric cancer prevalent area.



World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 3673-3685 [PMID: 32742135 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i25.3673]

- 22 Li M, Sun Y, Yang J, de Martel C, Charvat H, Clifford GM, Vaccarella S, Wang L. Time trends and other sources of variation in Helicobacter pylori infection in mainland China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Helicobacter 2020; 25: e12729 [PMID: 32686261 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12729]
- Liu S, Chen Q, Quan P, Zhang M, Zhang S, Guo L, Sun X, Wang C. Cancer incidence and mortality in Henan province, 23 2012. Chin J Cancer Res 2016; 28: 275-285 [PMID: 27478313 DOI: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2016.03.02]
- 24 Zamani M, Ebrahimtabar F, Zamani V, Miller WH, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Shokri-Shirvani J, Derakhshan MH. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the worldwide prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018; 47: 868-876 [PMID: 29430669 DOI: 10.1111/apt.14561]
- 25 Nahar S, Kibria KM, Hossain ME, Sultana J, Sarker SA, Engstrand L, Bardhan PK, Rahman M, Endtz HP. Evidence of intra-familial transmission of Helicobacter pylori by PCR-based RAPD fingerprinting in Bangladesh. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009; 28: 767-773 [PMID: 19190943 DOI: 10.1007/s10096-008-0699-8]
- 26 Kivi M, Johansson AL, Reilly M, Tindberg Y. Helicobacter pylori status in family members as risk factors for infection in children. Epidemiol Infect 2005; 133: 645-652 [PMID: 16050509 DOI: 10.1017/s0950268805003900]
- 27 National Bureau of Statistics of China. China statistical Yearbook 2020. China Statistical Press, 2020
- 28 Wang X, Shu X, Li Q, Li Y, Chen Z, Wang Y, Pu K, Zheng Y, Ye Y, Liu M, Ma L, Zhang Z, Wu Z, Zhang F, Guo Q, Ji R, Zhou Y. Prevalence and risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection in Wuwei, a high-risk area for gastric cancer in northwest China: An all-ages population-based cross-sectional study. Helicobacter 2021; 26: e12810 [PMID: 33904635 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12810]
- 29 Ding Z, Zhao S, Gong S, Li Z, Mao M, Xu X, Zhou L. Prevalence and risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection in asymptomatic Chinese children: a prospective, cross-sectional, population-based study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015; 42: 1019-1026 [PMID: 26271484 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13364]
- Ito LS, Oba-Shinjo SM, Shinjo SK, Uno M, Marie SK, Hamajima N. Community-based familial study of Helicobacter 30 pylori infection among healthy Japanese Brazilians. Gastric Cancer 2006; 9: 208-216 [PMID: 16952040 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-006-0384-5]
- 31 Nguyen TV, Phan TT, Nguyen VB, Hoang TT, Le TL, Nguyen TT, Vu SN. Prevalence and risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection in Muong children in Vietnam. Ann Clin Lab Res 2017; 5: 1-9 [DOI: 10.21767/2386-5180.1000159]
- 32 Garg PK, Perry S, Sanchez L, Parsonnet J. Concordance of Helicobacter pylori infection among children in extendedfamily homes. Epidemiol Infect 2006; 134: 450-459 [PMID: 16283949 DOI: 10.1017/S0950268805005352]
- 33 Osaki T, Konno M, Yonezawa H, Hojo F, Zaman C, Takahashi M, Fujiwara S, Kamiya S. Analysis of intra-familial transmission of Helicobacter pylori in Japanese families. J Med Microbiol 2015; 64: 67-73 [PMID: 25351712 DOI: 10.1099/imm.0.080507-01
- Zhao JB, Yuan L, Yu XC, Shao QQ, Ma J, Yu M, Wu Y, Qi YB, Hu RB, Wei PR, Jia BL, Zhang LZ, Zhang YR, Ding SZ. 34 Whole family-based Helicobacter pylori eradication is a superior strategy to single-infected patient treatment approach: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Helicobacter 2021; 26: e12793 [PMID: 33675089 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12793]
- 35 Zhou G. Helicobacter pylori Recurrence after Eradication Therapy in Jiangjin District, Chongqing, China. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2020; 2020: 7510872 [PMID: 32328098 DOI: 10.1155/2020/7510872]



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

