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#### Abstract

CAMKK2 is a serine/threonine kinase and an activator of AMPK whose dysregulation is linked with multiple diseases. Unfortunately, STO-609, the tool inhibitor commonly used to probe CAMKK2 signaling, has limitations. To identify promising scaffolds as starting points for the development of high-quality CAMKK2 chemical probes, we utilized a hinge-binding scaffold hopping strategy to design new CAMKK2 inhibitors. Starting from the potent but promiscuous disubstituted 7-azaindole GSK650934, a total of 32 compounds, composed of single-ring, 5,6-, and 6,6-fused heteroaromatic cores, were synthesized. The compound set was specifically designed to probe interactions with the kinase hinge-binding residues. Compared to GSK650394 and STO-609, 13 compounds displayed similar or better CAMKK2 inhibitory potency in vitro, while compounds $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ and $\mathbf{4 5}$ had improved selectivity for CAMKK2 across the kinome. Our systematic survey of hinge-binding chemotypes identified several potent and selective inhibitors of CAMKK2 to serve as starting points for medicinal chemistry programs.


## INTRODUCTION

Calcium ( $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ )/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase 2 (CAMKK2) is a serine/threonine kinase that is one of the calmodulin (CaM)-binding proteins of the CaMK family. ${ }^{1-3}$ $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ is an important second messenger that aids in the regulation of a wide range of signaling events in part through binding to its intracellular receptor $\mathrm{CaM} .{ }^{4} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-bound CaM modulates various cellular responses via activation of an array of downstream proteins including CAMKK2. ${ }^{5}$ Upon activation, CAMKK2 phosphorylates and activates its substrates including CAMK1, CAMK4, AMP-activated protein kinase, (AMPK) and in some cases AKT. This signal transduction results in the regulation of many important physiological and pathological processes. ${ }^{6-16}$

Due to CAMKK2's important role in cell signaling, dysregulation of CAMKK2 has been implicated in several
diseases. Aberrant activation and overexpression of CAMKK2 have been linked to multiple cancer types including prostate, breast, ovarian, gastric, and hepatic cancers. ${ }^{8-14,17,18}$ Knockdown of CAMKK2 via siRNA or pharmacological inhibition of CAMKK2 reduced cell proliferation, migration, and invasion as well as induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in numerous cancer cell lines and tumor models. ${ }^{8,12-14,17,19-21}$ Mechanistically, CAMKK2 is an important regulator of metabolic and

[^0]
inflammatory processes, which are contributory factors to its impact on cancer growth. ${ }^{9,14}$

In addition, therapeutic interventions targeting CAMKK2 may be beneficial beyond oncology. For example, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is often preceded by non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), which is driven by several risk factors including obesity and type 2 diabetes. ${ }^{22-24}$ Inhibition of CAMKK2 reduced food intake in mice, and Camkk2-null mice are leaner than wild-type mice when fed a high-fat diet. ${ }^{25,26}$ Consistent with these findings, CaMKK2 was recently shown to be inhibited by liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist that decreases food intake and promotes weight loss. ${ }^{27}$ In relation to skeletal disease, CAMKK2 is expressed in osteoblasts and osteoclasts, which play an essential role in bone tissue maintenance. ${ }^{28}$ Inhibition of CAMKK2 stimulated bone formation and reversed ageassociated decline in bone health by promoting osteoblast differentiation and inhibiting osteoclast differentiation. ${ }^{29}$ Taken together, these findings suggest that inhibition of CAMKK2 may be effective for the treatment of a variety of diseases including various types of cancers, metabolic disorders, and bone diseases like osteoporosis. While CAMKK2 has emerged as an attractive therapeutic target, there remains a shortage of high-quality CAMKK2 inhibitors, which has impaired progress in the field.

At present, almost all CAMKK2-related pharmacological studies rely on the use of the ATP-competitive inhibitor STO609 to study CAMKK2 signaling events (Figure 1). ${ }^{8,11,17,28-32}$
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Figure 1. Structures of known CAMKK2 inhibitors: STO-609 and GSK650394.

However, STO-609 is not an ideal chemical tool. ${ }^{33}$ It binds to multiple kinases beyond CAMKK2. When screened against a panel of over 400 wild-type human kinases (KINOMEscan, Eurofins DiscoverX) at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, STO-609 bound with strong
affinity to 14 kinases ( $<20 \%$ activity remaining). ${ }^{33}$ Among these kinases were CDKL2, GRK3, and CK2, which are all overexpressed in several cancer types. ${ }^{34-36}$ In addition to these collateral kinase targets, STO-609 potently activates the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. ${ }^{37}$ STO-609 is a planar molecule with poor aqueous solubility, yet it is routinely used at high doses in cell culture ( $>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and in vivo. ${ }^{24}$ Due to the liabilities of STO-609, the field would benefit from the discovery and development of potent and highly selective small-molecule inhibitors of CAMKK2 as high-quality probes.

We recently conducted an extensive literature search for CAMKK2 inhibitors to identify starting points for medicinal chemistry optimization. ${ }^{33}$ A promising series of potent CAMKK2 inhibitors were recently disclosed by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK). ${ }^{25}$ The 7 -azaindole GSK650394 (also called a pyrrolopyridine) (Figure 1) was a potent CAMKK2 inhibitor $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.63 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The co-crystal structure of GSK650394 with CAMKK2 (PDB 6BKU) revealed the critical role of the carboxylic acid and cyclopentyl moiety (Figure 2a), which was consistent with the reported structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies. ${ }^{25}$ The co-crystal structure reveals that the nitrogen atoms of the pyridine and pyrrole rings act as hydrogen bond acceptor and donor pairs, respectively, and form interactions with the protein backbone of the ATPbinding site. The acid functionality contributes to critical hydrogen bonding interactions with both the protonated amine of Lys 194 and the carboxylate group of Glu236 in a water-mediated manner. ${ }^{38}$ Additionally, $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interactions (aromatic edge-face interactions) between the aromatic ring of gatekeeper Phe 267 with both the CH in the 2-position of the 7 -azaindole and the methine group in the ortho-position of the 3-aryl moiety of the inhibitor stabilize GSK650394 in this orientation. The pendant phenyl group in the 5-position of the 7 -azaindole scaffold occupies a hydrophobic pocket which can potentially be exploited to gain selectivity and potency and modulate physical properties to optimize pharmacokinetic parameters. Although a crystal structure of CAMKK2 cocrystallized with ATP is not available, the cyclopentyl group appears to mimic at least the position of the ribosyl moiety of ATP based on the proximity of the cyclopentyl group to the Ploop of CAMKK2. The 7 -azaindole pharmacophore in GSK650394 is commonly found in kinase inhibitors and is considered a powerful but sometimes promiscuous hinge binder. ${ }^{39-43}$ When screened against a panel of 334 kinases (Reaction Biology Corporation), GSK650394 inhibited 29


Figure 2. (a) Binding mode of the co-crystallized ligand GSK650394 in the ATP-binding site of CAMKK2 (PDB-ID: 6BKU). The image was generated with PyMOL. The protein is colored in gray. Blue-dashed lines indicate H -bond interactions; green-dashed lines display $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interactions. GSK650394 is shown as purple sticks and the water molecule as a red sphere. Oxygen and nitrogen atoms are colored in red and blue, respectively. (b) Structure of GSK650394 highlighting important sites for design of new CAMKK2 inhibitors.
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Figure 3. New molecules based on scaffold hopping from GSK650394 designed to interrogate several aspects of hinge binding interactions between CAMKK2 and the proposed inhibitors. Top row: Inhibitors retaining the topology of GSK650394 (5,6-fused ring systems with the cyclopentylsubstituted benzoic acid moiety attached to the 5 -membered ring). Middle row: 5,6-fused systems with the cyclopentyl-substituted benzoic acid moiety appended to the 6 -membered ring. Bottom row: Inhibitors with ring expansion (6,6-fused ring system) or ring deletion (single 6-membered ring as the hinge-binding core).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Pinacol Boronate Esters 3 and $4^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) cyclopentylmagnesium bromide, THF, -10 to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl ; (b) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}$ bis(pinacolato)diboron, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
kinases by more than $90 \%$, limiting its utility as a tool compound for studying CAMKK2 or any other kinase. ${ }^{33}$

To improve the kinase selectivity of GSK650394, we targeted replacement of the 7 -azaindole with other heterocycles that would modulate interactions with the hinge-binding residues and in some cases reduce interactions with the hingebinding residues. Our compound design strategy is depicted in Figure 2b. Our central hypothesis was based on the belief that the 3-position aryl ring, decorated with the carboxylic acid and cyclopentyl groups, plays a dominant role in CAMKK2 recognition and that inhibitors with enhanced selectivity would arise from compounds with the binding contribution from the hinge binder diminished. This led to the synthesis and evaluation of structurally diverse novel chemotypes as potential inhibitors of CAMKK2 (Figure 3).

Thus, we performed a scaffold-hopping exercise wherein the hinge-binding 7 -azaindole core of GSK650394 was substituted with structurally diverse alternate hinge-binding moieties. We retained the ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety hypothesizing that the interactions observed in the crystal structure would bias the new set toward CAMKK2 affinity.

## RESULTS

Chemistry. To develop analogues of GSK650394 that could be potent and selective CAMKK2 inhibitor scaffolds, all our synthesized compounds incorporated the critical pharmacophore, ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid. In parallel, to investigate the importance of the pendant phenyl ring, we synthesized matched pairs with and without this group. We theorized that removal of the phenyl ring could significantly lower the cLog $P$ and increase ligand efficiency (LE) if it was dispensable without being detrimental to potency. To this end, we first synthesized pinacol borate esters 3 and 4 to enable
attachment to the various hinge-binding pharmacophores via Suzuki coupling reactions (Scheme 1). 4-Bromo-2-fluorobenzoic acid 1 underwent a Grignard reaction with cyclopentylmagnesium bromide to afford the ortho-cyclopentylsubstituted benzoic acid 2 , which was then converted into the corresponding pinacol boronate ester using Miyaura borylation conditions yielding $3 .{ }^{44}$ The analogous methyl ester 4 was made in a similar fashion following esterification of 2 in methanol in the presence of thionyl chloride.

3- and 3,5-Substituted Fused 6,5-Ring Systems. Initial analogues were fused 5,6 -ring systems with 3 - and 3,5 substitution patterns that retained the topology of GSK650394 but modify the nature and/or location of heteroatoms in the ring system and thus can alter the compound's ability to form H -bond interactions with the hinge region. GSK650394 is commercially available, but its matched pair 7, truncated at the 5 -position, required synthesis (Scheme 2). 3-Bromo-7-

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Azaindole 7, the Matched Pair for GSK650394 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) SEM-Cl, NaH, DMF, $0-21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $4, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Cy})_{3}, \mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) aq $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .

## Scheme 3. Synthesis of N-Methyl Azaindole Hinge Binders 10 and $11^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) aq LiOH , dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h , then aq HCl ; (c) 4, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) aq LiOH , dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .

Scheme 4. General Route to the Furo- and Thienopyridines ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (EtO) $)_{3} \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, EtOH , reflux, 24 h ; (b) ethyl glycolate or ethylthioglycolate, NaH , DME, $0-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) aq NaOH , EtOH then aq $\mathrm{HCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-10$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}^{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \text {, }}$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} 2(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO} 3, \mathrm{dioxane} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) aq $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ then aq $\mathrm{HCl}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.
azaindole 5 was converted to the silylethoxymethyl (SEM)protected azaindole and then subjected to Suzuki-Miyaura conditions to afford cyclopentyl analogue 6. SEM deprotection, followed by base-mediated saponification, afforded the target azaindole 7 .

The $N$-methyl analogues of GSK650394 and 7 were synthesized as depicted in Scheme 3. To access 10, 5-chloro-3-iodo azaindole $\mathbf{8 b}$ was N -alkylated. ${ }^{45}$ Consecutive Suzuki reactions with the ortho-cyclopentyl methyl benzoate 4 and then phenyl boronic acid placed the key pharmacophore in the 3 -position and a phenyl ring in the 5 -position. Saponification
of the methyl ester proceeded smoothly affording azaindole $\mathbf{1 0}$. Coupling of boronate ester 4 with 3 -bromo-N-methyl azaindole, followed by saponification of the methyl ester, afforded target molecule $\mathbf{1 1}$.

The synthesis of the structurally similar furopyridine and thienopyridine cores is described in Scheme 4. Nicotinic acid derivatives 12a and 13a were converted into ethyl esters 12$\mathbf{1 4 b}$ and then treated with ethyl glycolate or ethyl thioglycolate in the presence of sodium hydride $(\mathrm{NaH})$ to give the respective furopyridines or thienopyridines $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 4 c} .^{46} \mathrm{~A}$ onepot saponification-decarboxylation of the $\beta$-keto esters

Scheme 5. Synthesis of Pyrazolopyridine and Pyrazolopyrimidine Analogues ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; 17 via (b) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}^{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; 18 via (c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min, $\mu \mathrm{W}$; (e) aq LiOH , dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ then aq HCl .

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the Triazolopyridazine Analogues 25 and $26^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) aq $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH} 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .

## Scheme 7. Synthesis of 2,4-Substituted N-Methyl Azaindoles ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) aq LiOH, dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl ; (c) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (d) $4, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (e) aq LiOH, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .

Scheme 8. Synthesis of the Imidazopyridine Hinge Binders ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $4, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) aq LiOH, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl ; ( d ) benzoic acid, CDI, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min , then $32,200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$; (e) aq LiOH, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .
afforded the 2 -unsubstituted heterocycles 12-14d. These aryl alcohols were converted to the corresponding triflates 12-14d, which were able to undergo Suzuki-Miyaura reactions to yield analogues $\mathbf{1 2 f}, \mathbf{1 3 g}$, and $\mathbf{1 4 g} .{ }^{47} \mathbf{1 2 g}$ was obtained directly from
the commercially available 3-bromothieno $[2,3-b]$ pyridine 15 e using Suzuki-Miyaura reaction.

The subsequent set of fused 5,6-ring derivatives synthesized in Scheme 5 (pyrazolopyridines and pyrazolopyrimidines)

Scheme 9. Synthesis of Thieno $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine and Thieno $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine Hinge Binders ${ }^{a}$



${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane/water, $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CsF}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{dioxane} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane/water, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (d) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane/water, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (e) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane/water, $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{W}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (f) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{NaHCO}{ }_{3}, \mathrm{CsF}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; $(\mathrm{g})$ aq LiOH , dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl .

Scheme 10. Synthesis of Quinoline and Quinazoline Hinge Binders ${ }^{a}$

a)



43b ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$ )

c)



54
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) aq LiOH, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq $\mathrm{HCl} ;(\mathrm{e}) 4, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) aq LiOH , dioxane, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h , then aq $\mathrm{HCl} ;(\mathrm{g}) 4, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) (i) DIPEA, $\mathrm{Tf} \mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (ii) $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (i) aq $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then aq HCl ; (j) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XPhos, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane/H2O, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Scheme 11. Synthesis of Pyrimidine and Aminopyrimidine Hinge Binders ${ }^{a}$

a)


b)

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,2-\mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$. (b) 4, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,2-\mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) aq LiOH , dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h , then aq HCl ; (d) $\mathrm{PhBr}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, XantPhos, $\mathrm{NaO}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}$.
dramatically altered the placement of the heteroatoms based on the parent compound. Analogues 19 and 20 were obtained by stepwise Suzuki couplings, first with 4 and then with phenylboronic acid, followed by methyl ester hydrolysis. The monosubstituted complementary matched pairs were synthesized from commercially available 3-bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine or -pyrimidine via Suzuki couplings with 4 to afford 21 and 22. The same set of reaction conditions was used to afford the triazolopyridazine analogues 25 and 26 (Scheme 6).

2- and 2,4-Substituted Fused 5,6-Ring Systems. The first scaffolds in this category were $N$-methyl azaindoles and are described in Scheme 7. The disubstituted azaindole 29 was obtained from coupling phenylboronic acid at the more reactive 2 -position of 2 -iodo-4-chloro- $N$-methyl azaindole, followed by coupling with intermediate 4 at the 4 -position. Saponification of the methyl ester afforded acid 29. The monosubstituted compound 30 was synthesized from commercially available 4 -chloro- N -methyl-7-azaindole with a Suzuki coupling reaction, followed by saponification of the methyl ester.

Imidazopyridine analogues were obtained from initial coupling of 2,3-diamino-4-chloropyridine with 4 to afford the aryl-substituted pyridine 32 (Scheme 8 ). Imidazopyridine 33 was synthesized by condensation of diamine 32 with trimethyl orthoformate in methanol, followed by saponification of the ester to afford the desired carboxylic acid. The 2 -aryl analogue 34 was obtained by converting benzoic acid into an activated ester with $1,1^{\prime}$-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) and addition of diamine 32 to form a putative amide intermediate that underwent a ring closure under thermal conditions resulting in the imidazopyridine intermediate. Ester hydrolysis yielded the desired imidazopyridine 34.

Mono- and disubstituted thienopyrimidine analogues of the $[3,2-d]$ and $[2,3-d]$ core scaffolds were synthesized from commercially available starting materials (Scheme 9). The monosubstituted analogues $\mathbf{3 8}$ and $\mathbf{4 2}$ were easily accessed in one step via Suzuki coupling using commercially available 35a and 39a, respectively. 37 was synthesized by first coupling 35b with phenylboronic acid to afford 2 -aryl-substituted inter-
mediate 36, which was then coupled with 3 to yield the disubstituted thieno $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine. The reaction sequence was reversed in the synthesis of the disubstituted thieno[2,3$d]$ pyrimidine 41 since the chlorine substituent in $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ was found to be more reactive than the thiophene bromine. Intermediate 4 was coupled to the 4 -position of $39 b$ affording 40. Subsequent Suzuki coupling with phenylboronic acid, followed by saponification of the ester, yielded disubstituted analogue 41.

Synthesis of Substituted Fused 6,6-Ring Hinge Binders. This set of hinge binders moves from 5,6-fused ring systems to 6,6 -fused systems. The disubstituted quinoline analogue 45 was synthesized in a straightforward manner from commercially available 4,6-dichloroquinoline 43b via sequential Suzuki couplings with 4 and phenylboronic acid, followed by saponification of the methyl ester. The monosubstituted quinoline 46 was synthesized in one step via Suzuki coupling between the commercially available 43a and 3.

The quinazoline hinge binder derivatives were obtained via similar routes utilized in the synthesis of the quinolines (Scheme 10) with the exception of compound 49. The disubstituted quinazoline 49 was prepared from 4-chloroqui-nazolin-6-ol 47b with initial installation of boronate 4 and subsequent conversion of the hydroxy group of 48 into a triflate which readily underwent Suzuki coupling with phenylboronic acid. Saponification then afforded the target compound. 4-Aryl-2-methylquinoline 52 and 1,6-naphthyridine 54 analogues were synthesized in one step via Suzuki coupling with 3 (Scheme 10c).

Synthesis of Pyrimidine Hinge Binders. The final set of hinge binders we explored consisted of substituted pyrimidines. The monosubstituted pyrimidine 56 was obtained via Suzuki coupling of 55 with 3 (Scheme 11a). 2-Phenyl-4-aryl pyrimidine 58 was synthesized from 2-phenyl-4-chloropyrimidine 57 by Suzuki coupling with 3 . The synthesis of aminopyrimidines 61 and $\mathbf{6 2}$ is described in Scheme 11b. Starting from commercially available 4-chloropyrimidin-2amine 59, Suzuki coupling with 4 afforded 60. Saponification of the resulting methyl ester afforded $\mathbf{6 1 .} \mathbf{6 0}$ was utilized in a

Table 1. CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Data for Compounds with 3- and 3,5-Substituted Fused 5,6-Ring Systems ${ }^{a}$

| ID | Hinge Binder | Hinge Binder | X | CAMKK2 PoC |  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { GSK } \\ \mathbf{6 5 0 3 9 4} \end{gathered}$ | Azaindole |  | Ph | 3 | 4 | 14 | 3 |
| 7 |  |  | H | 0 | 6 | 83 | 26 |
| 10 | $N$-methyl azaindole |  | Ph | 101 | 102 | 103 | NG |
| 11 |  |  | H | 95 | 100 | 103 | NG |
| 13g | Furopyridine |  | Ph | 7 | 46 | 71 | 65 |
| 12 f |  |  | H | 28 | 71 | 97 | NG |
| 14g | Thienopyridine |  | Ph | 3 | 14 | 30 | 5 |
| 12g |  |  | H | 19 | 75 | 101 | NG |
| 19 | Pyrazolopyridine |  | Ph | 9 | 60 | 85 | 145 |
| 21 |  |  | H | 5 | 37 | 81 | 44 |
| 20 | Pyrazolopyrimidine |  | Ph | 4 | 18 | 71 | 21 |
| 22 |  |  | H | 16 | 65 | 100 | NG |
| 25 | Triazolopyridazine |  | Ph | 58 | 72 | 91 | NG |
| 26 |  |  | H | 83 | 94 | 95 | NG |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=$ ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety; PoC (percent of control = percent of enzyme activity remaining, when compared with the control); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration); NG (not generated); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were generated in an 8-point full dose response assay.

Buchwald-Hartwig coupling with bromobenzene, and subsequent ester hydrolysis yielded 2-phenylaminopyrimidine 62.

Assays Used for In Vitro Compound Affinity Evaluation. DSF Assay. We used a thermal-shift assay (differential scanning fluorimetry, DSF) to detect protein-ligand interactions. ${ }^{48}$ For many proteins, including kinases, this is a useful high-throughput method to identify compounds that bind to a protein of interest, and in many cases, the melting temperature correlates with binding affinity. CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 are $\sim 60 \%$ identical at the amino acid sequence level. ${ }^{49}$ We measured DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ for all analogues against both CAMKK2 and CAMKK1 using the purified kinase domains of these two proteins. As in the end we did not utilize the DSF data to drive the project, all DSF data are reported in the Supporting Information.

In Vitro CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Assays. We used purified recombinant CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 in an assay that measured the transfer of radiolabeled phosphate from $\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-ATP to a synthetic CAMKK2 substrate (CAMKKtide). Initially, we evaluated CAMKK2 inhibitory activity of all synthesized compounds at three different concentrations ( 10,100 , and 1000 nM ) to rank compounds and provide preliminary dose response information. In the percent of control ( PoC ) experiments, the assay with no inhibitor present serves as the control. Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) were then determined for analogues with PoC values $<10$ at the $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ screening concentration. For a subset of CAMKK2 inhibitors, we also determined halfmaximal inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) values. STO-609 and GSK650394 were routinely used as reference compounds in
the assay to ensure that the assay performed correctly and CAMKK2 inhibition was observed.

In Vitro Compound Screening Results. The initial set of compounds evaluated was focused on those with similar topology to GSK650394. Data in Table 1 depict compounds, the enzyme CAMKK2 inhibition data (PoC) at three concentrations, and CAMKK2 enzyme inhibition $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for selected compounds. Although we collected thermal shift (DSF) data for our inhibitors with both CAMKK1 and CAMKK2, we found that they did not provide enough information to drive chemistry decisions and did not always correlate with the enzymatic activity. The DSF data are provided in the Supporting Information for reference. We thus relied on the CAMKK2 enzyme inhibition data as our primary assay.

Removal of the phenyl group from the 5-position of GSK650394 led to a roughly 3-fold decrease in enzyme inhibitory activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=26$ vs 3 nM ), implying that the phenyl group or an appropriately adorned phenyl group may be useful to enhance CAMKK2 enzyme affinity and/or activity. Similar pairs of analogues for the different hinge binders allowed for direct comparison (Table 1).

The goal of this project was to discover alternate scaffolds for CAMKK2 with sufficient potency and suitable kinome selectivity that can be used as starting points for medicinal chemistry optimization programs. We hypothesized that carefully modulating the hydrogen bonding interactions at the hinge-binding region via a variety of heterocyclic scaffolds could identify a new series that maintained CAMKK2 affinity and improved selectivity profiles. Kinase inhibitors with

Table 2. CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Data for Compounds with 2- and 2,4-Substituted Fused 6,5-Ring Systems ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

| ID | Hinge Binder | Hinge Binder | X | CAMKK2 PoC |  |  | IC ${ }_{50}$ [ nM$]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 0.1 M ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| 29 | N -methyl azaindole |  | Ph | 6 | 64 | 84 | 120 |
| 30 |  |  | H | 5 | 30 | 97 | 56 |
| 34 | Imidazopyridine |  | Ph | 0 | 8 | 78 | 23 |
| 33 |  |  | H | 11 | 66 | 97 | 193 |
| 37 | Thienopyrimidine |  | Ph | 0 | 68 | 101 | 169 |
| 38 |  |  | H | 0 | 17 | 73 | 24 |
| 41 | Thienopyrimidine |  | Ph | 12 | 75 | 91 | 232 |
| 42 |  |  | H | 2 | 24 | 77 | 31 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=$ ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety; PoC (percent of control = percent of enzyme activity remaining, when compared with control); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were generated in an 8 -point full dose response assay.
multiple hinge-binding interactions can suffer from off-target effects owing to their potential for poor selectivity across the kinome. ${ }^{39,41,50}$ However, careful modification of even promiscuous starting points can in some cases lead to desired levels of selectivity. There are examples demonstrating that modifications to the hydrogen bonding interactions between a kinase inhibitor and the hinge region of the kinase can improve selectivity without severely impacting potency. ${ }^{41} \mathrm{~N}$-Methyl azaindoles 10 and 11 (Table 1) offer a particularly drastic change in hinge binding by blocking the H -bonding donor property of the pyrrole ring. This resulted in a complete loss in enzyme inhibition potency in vitro. This suggested that the steric bulk of the $N$-methyl group is not accommodated within the binding pocket of CAMKK2 when the cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety is attached at the 3-position of the azaindole scaffold.

Further structural modifications to the hinge-binding moiety that maintained the fused 5,6 -ring system are outlined here. Compounds $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ replaced the pyrrole ring with a furan ring. Compounds $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ and 12 g replaced the pyrrole ring of GSK650394 with a thiophene ring. The pyridine nitrogen that makes a key hydrogen bond with the hinge is maintained, but the NH hydrogen bonding opportunity has been removed. Compounds 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, and 26 all maintain the 5,6fused core but have key differences from GSK650394. They do not have a nitrogen in a position analogous to the nitrogen in the 7-position of GSK650394, thus necessitating different hinge-binding interactions. They also incorporate nitrogen atoms in other locations within the 5,6-ring system.

Nearly all the phenyl-substituted analogues of active CAMKK2 inhibitor scaffolds showed greater enzyme potency than their corresponding truncated analogues. An exception was compound 19 (phenyl version), which had an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=145$ nM compared to an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=44 \mathrm{nM}$ for compound 21 (truncated version). Replacement of the pyrrole ring in the azaindole with either a furan or thiophene ring retained potency in the phenyl-substituted analogues $\left(13 g \mathrm{IC}_{50}=65\right.$ $\mathrm{nM}, 14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=5 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but their corresponding truncated analogues ( $\mathbf{1 2 f}, \mathbf{1 2 g}$ ) were relatively inactive. We would like to
point out that an analogue of compound $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ is listed as a CAMKK2 chemical probe (https://www.thesgc.org/chemical-probes/SGC-CAMKK2-1) with the name SGC-CAMKK2-1. The kinome-wide selectivity profiles and cellular potencies of these two close analogues are comparable (vide infra and the SGC probe link above). In addition, SGC-CAMKK2-1 is currently commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich. The results depicted in Table 1 demonstrate that the choice of a hinge binder plays a role in the type of substitutions that are tolerated. Both versions of the triazolopyridazine hinge binder $(25,26)$ showed no activity. We hypothesize that this is due to repulsion between the lone pair on the nitrogen in the 2 position and a backbone protein carbonyl (carbonyl from E268 in CAMKK2). In many kinase/inhibitor co-crystal structures, this carbonyl is engaged in hydrogen bonding with a NH from the inhibitor or at least pointing toward a polarized CH on the inhibitor. In summary, four scaffolds (furopyridine $\mathbf{1 3 g}$, thienopyridine 14 g , pyrazolopyridine 19 and 21 , and pyrazolopyrimidine 20) in this set exhibited robust CAMKK2 enzyme inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $<150 \mathrm{nM}$ ), with $\mathbf{1 4 g}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ exhibiting comparable enzymatic inhibitory activity to GSK650394 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

To further evaluate fused 5,6-ring structures as CAMKK2 inhibitors, we switched from 3,5- to 2,4-ring substitutions as depicted in Table 2. $N$-methyl azaindoles 29 and 30 were the first pair of analogues synthesized. Interestingly, these two N -methyl-substituted azaindole analogues were well tolerated, displaying good CAMKK2 enzyme inhibitory activity ( 29 IC $_{50}$ $=120 \mathrm{nM}, 30 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=56 \mathrm{nM}$ ). This result is in stark contrast to $3,5-N$-methyl azaindoles $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ in Table 1 . The truncated analogue 30 was significantly more potent than its corresponding phenyl analogue 29.
The 2,4-substituted analogues of Table 2 are all inhibitors of CAMKK2. Incorporation of an extra nitrogen into the pyrrole ring to create imidazopyridine analogues 33 and 34 was well tolerated, with the phenyl-substituted analogue demonstrating higher potency ( $34 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=23 \mathrm{nM}$ ) than the corresponding non-phenyl version ( $33 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=193 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Similar to N -methyl azaindole 30, non-phenyl-substituted thienopyrimidines (38

Table 3. CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Data for Compounds with Fused 6,6-Ring Systems ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Hinge Binder | Hinge Binder | X | CAMKK2 PoC |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & {[\mathrm{nM}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| 45 | Quinoline |  | Ph | 8 | 62 | 92 | 137 |
| 46 |  |  | H | 3 | 5 | 51 | 13 |
| 49 | Quinazoline |  | Ph | 5 | 28 | 48 | 12 |
| 50 |  |  | H | 10 | 51 | 96 | 96 |
| 52 | 2-Methylquinoline |  | H | 97 | 93 | 98 | NG |
| 54 | 1,6-Naphthyridine | $\sim$ | - | 86 | 91 | 102 | NG |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=$ ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety; PoC (percent of control = percent of enzyme activity remaining, when compared with the control); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration); NG (not generated); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were generated in an 8-point full dose response assay.

Table 4. CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Data for Substituted Pyrimidines and 4-Aminopyrimidines ${ }^{a}$

| ID | Hinge Binder | Hinge <br> Binder | X | CAMKK2 PoC |  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 0.1 M M | $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| 56 | Pyrimidine |  | Ph | 0 | 10 | 73 | 21 |
| 58 |  |  | H | 26 | 84 | 98 | NG |
| 62 | Aminopyrimidine |  | Ph | 0 | 21 | 97 | 51 |
| 61 |  |  | H | 8 | 53 | 95 | 108 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=$ ortho-cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety; PoC (percent of control = percent of enzyme activity remaining, when compared with the control); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration); NG (not generated); $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were generated in an 8 -point full dose response assay.
$\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=24 \mathrm{nM}, 42 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=31 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ were more potent than their corresponding phenyl-substituted analogues ( $37 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=169$ $\mathrm{nM}, 41 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=232 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Both [3,2-d] analogues 37 and 38 (with the sulfur oriented away from the hinge-binding region) and $[2,3-d]$ analogues 41 and 42 (with the sulfur oriented toward the hinge) inhibit CAMKK2. For both these isomeric thienopyrimidines, removal of the pendant phenyl actually increases CAMKK2 potency ( 37 with phenyl $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=169 \mathrm{nM}$ 38 without phenyl $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=24 \mathrm{nM} ; 41$ with phenyl $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=232$ nM 42 without phenyl $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=31 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

Next, we explored replacement of the 5,6-bicyclic cores with 6,6 -bicyclic structures. Quinazolines and quinolines are frequently used as kinase hinge-binding heterocycles and were identified as active scaffolds for CAMKK2 inhibition (Table 3). Both quinoline 45, with a phenyl in the 6 -position, and 46, unsubstituted in the 6-position, inhibited CAMKK2 $\left(45 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=137 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{IC}_{50} 46=13 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The potency of the low-molecular-weight compound 46 suggests that further exploration may be fruitful. Similarly, the two quinazolines $49\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=12 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $50\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=96 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ were also potent CAMKK2 inhibitors.
As expected, introduction of a methyl group at the 2position of $\mathbf{5 2}$ resulted in a complete loss of CAMKK2 enzyme
activity. The steric bulk of the $2^{\prime}$ methyl group is not tolerated and likely hinders the ability of the quinoline nitrogen to effectively participate in hydrogen bonding with the hinge region. Naphthyridine 54 showed poor CAMKK2 enzymatic activity.

Finally, we evaluated a small set of pyrimidines, well-known kinase ATP-competitive inhibitor scaffolds, for their CAMKK2 inhibitory activity (Table 4). Pyrimidine 56, with a phenyl in the 2-position, had a CAMKK2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=21 \mathrm{nM}$. 2-Anilinopyrimidine 62 was also potent with CAMKK2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=51 \mathrm{nM}$. Pyrimidine 58, unsubstituted in the 2 -position, lost considerable activity relative to 56. 2-Amino-pyrimidine 61 retained CAMKK2 potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=108 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

X-ray Crystallography and In Silico Docking Studies. In order to more fully understand the binding modes and to plan optimization studies on these scaffolds, we turned to Xray crystallography and in silico docking analysis of key molecules. We previously reported the crystal structure of 7 azaindole GSK650394 bound to CAMKK2 (PDB ID 6BKU). ${ }^{51}$ A crystal structure of the closely related 7-azaindole GSK650393 is also available (PDB ID 6CMJ). ${ }^{25}$ These two structures clearly demonstrate a hydrogen bond interaction between the NH of the pyrrole in the 7 -azaindole to the

Table 5. Crystallization Conditions and Data Collection Statistics

| ligand | 13g | UNC10244803 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data collection |  |  |
| X-ray source | APS 24-ID-C | DLS 103 |
| wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.9791 | 0.9763 |
| space group | $P 4_{3} 2,2$ | $P 4_{3} 212$ |
| cell dimensions |  |  |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 73.3, 73.3, 122.0 | 73.2, 73.2, 120.3 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma(\mathrm{deg})$ | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 |
| resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 19.74-1.70 (1.73-1.70) | 19.61-1.60 (1.63-1.60) |
| no. of unique reflections | 37,374 (1,767) | 43,864 (3,161) |
| $R_{\text {merge }}$ (\%) | 14.7 (183.7) | 12.2 (31.5) |
| mean $I / \sigma I$ | 14.2 (2.0) | 21.3 (10.9) |
| mean $\mathrm{CC}(1 / 2)$ | 1.0 (0.75) | 1.0 (0.99) |
| completeness (\%) | 99.9 (100) | 99.9 (100) |
| redundancy | 21.7 (22.1) | 25.8 (25.0) |
| refinement |  |  |
| resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 19.75-1.70 (1.75-1.70) | 19.61-1.60 (1.64-1.60) |
| $R_{\text {cryst }} / R_{\text {free }}$ (\%) | 16.0/18.2 | 15.3/17.1 |
| no. of non-hydrogen atoms/mean B-factor ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |
| protein atoms | 2230/28.1 | 2229/21.3 |
| solvent atoms | 370/44.3 | 338/33.6 |
| ligand atoms | 29/19.8 | 26/12.5 |
| rmsd bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.010 | 0.01 |
| rmsd bond angles (deg) | 1.05 | 1.04 |
| Ramachandran statistics (\%) |  |  |
| favored | 98.2 | 98.2 |
| allowed | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| PDB ID | 5UY6 | 5UYJ |
| crystallization conditions | 25\% PEG 3350, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M bis-tris buffer, pH 6.5 | 20\% PEG 3350, 0.02 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M CHC buffer system, pH 8.5 |

carbonyl group of Glu268 at the CAMKK2 hinge region. The nitrogen atom at the 7 -position forms an interaction with the hinge via the hydrogen bond with the NH of Val270. We were able to obtain a crystal structure of furopyridine $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ (PDB ID 5UY6). Data collection statistics and crystallization conditions are shown in Table 5.

Furopyridine $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ displays a similar binding mode to GSK650394. The 5,6-fused ring systems are oriented in the same way in the CAMKK2 active site, and the pyridine moieties of the two heterocycles form comparable hydrogen bond interactions with the NH group of Val270, and the furan oxygen atom and pyrrole NH group are in the same region of space. Although we have no crystal structure of thienopyridine $\mathbf{1 4 g}$, we hypothesize that it would likely bind in a similar fashion.

To assess the binding modes of $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ and other compounds, we performed in silico docking studies with the docking software Glide version 2014 (Schrödinger). ${ }^{52}$ For our docking studies, we utilized three of the X-ray crystal structures of CAMKK2 (PDB-ID: 6BKU, 5UY6, and 5UYJ). We based our choice of the CAMKK2 PDB protein structure to use for our modeling on the structural similarity between the cocrystallized ligand and the compound we planned to use in the in silico docking. In order to ensure reliability of the docking protocol and the ensuing results for hypothesis generation, the co-crystallized ligands GSK650394, 13g, and UNC10244803 [2-cyclopentyl-4-(7-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)benzoic acid, CAMKK2 enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=33 \mathrm{nM}$ ] were removed from the binding site and the ligands were re-docked into the binding pocket. The docking poses were then compared with
the original pose from the crystal structures. The root-meansquare deviation (rmsd) value was lower than $1 \AA$, indicating a reliable docking procedure. The predicted binding modes of active compounds (Figure 3) show conserved H-bonds or close proximity between the compound's carboxylate group and both the protonated amine of Lys194 and the carboxylate group of Glu236 in a water-mediated manner, analogous to what was observed in the crystal structures. The overall orientation of the tested compounds and their interactions with the hinge region is also of interest.

As expected, the predicted binding mode of thienopyridine $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ overlaid almost perfectly with the pose of furopyridine 13 g in the X-ray structure (Figure 4a). The backbone NH group of Val270 and the thienopyridine N -atom are well positioned for a hydrogen bond interaction. Interestingly, there appears to be an additional favorable interaction between the sulfur atom of $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ and the carbonyl group of Glu268. Inter- and intramolecular interactions between sulfur and oxygen atoms are relatively common and can be used to the medicinal chemists' advantage. ${ }^{53,54}$

We were also able to obtain a crystal structure of quinoline UNC10244803 (PDB ID: 5UYJ). The quinoline nitrogen atom is positioned to form a hydrogen bond with the NH group of Val270. The predicted binding pose of 46 (Figure 4b) is highly comparable to the binding mode of the co-crystallized ligand UNC10244803. Similar to quinoline 46, 2-phenylpyrimidine 56 has only one heteroatom that is capable of forming hydrogen bonds with the hinge (Figure 4c). The Natom of pyridine in the 1-position of 56 shows a H -bond with the NH group of Val270. Together with the presumed H-bond


Figure 4. X-ray structures and in silico docking. In silico docking was performed with Glide, and images were generated with PyMOL. The protein is colored in gray. Blue-dashed lines indicate H-bond interactions, while green-dashed lines display $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interactions and orange-dashed lines refer to sulfur $-\sigma$ hole bonding. Docked ligands are shown as yellow sticks or orange sticks, co-crystallized ligands as purple sticks, and the water molecule as a red sphere. Oxygen and nitrogen atoms are colored in red and blue, respectively. (a) Predicted binding mode of $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ (yellow) using the protein structure from PDB-ID 5UY6 compared to the co-crystallized ligand $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ (purple). (b) Predicted binding modes of $\mathbf{4 6}$ (yellow) using the protein structure from PDB-ID SUYJ and the co-crystallized ligand UNC10244803 (purple). (c) Predicted binding mode of 56 using the protein structure from PDB-ID 5UYJ. (d) Predicted binding mode of 22 using the protein structure from PDB-ID 6BKU. (e) Predicted binding modes of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (orange) and 29 (yellow) using the protein structure from PDB-ID 6BKU (light gray) and 5UYJ (dark gray), respectively. (f) Predicted binding mode of 38 using the protein structure from PDB-ID 5UYJ.
formed between the protonated N -atom of Lys194 and the carboxylate group of 56 , these two interactions anchor 56 in the active site. In this orientation, the phenyl ring in the 2position is tolerated by the binding pocket. 2-Aryl-pyrimidines are much less common as kinase inhibitors than 2anilinopyrimidines and so warrant further exploration. The 2position phenyl ring of 56 is adjacent to Leu 269 of CAMKK2. We speculate that kinases incorporating amino acids with larger side chains such as Phe or Tyr in this location at the hinge region will be less tolerant of this scaffold, perhaps offering a path to enhance selectivity.

Pyrazolopyrimidine 22 (Figure 4d) forms one hinge-binding interaction between the N -atom in position 1 and the backbone NH group of Val270. The distance between the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$group of Lys194 and the carboxylate group of 22 is greater compared to the predicted binding modes of the other compounds (Figure $4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{e}$ ). The $N$-methyl group of compound 10 (Figure 4e) appears to preclude binding of this compound in the same orientation as GSK650394. This compound is inactive in the enzyme assay, and the docking predicts a flipped orientation of the compound. $N$-methyl compound 29, however, retains activity, and the in silico docking result suggests a conformation that allows the pyridyl nitrogen atom to interact with the NH group of Val270. The methyl group in this orientation is tolerated, perhaps analogously to the 2phenyl of compound 56. This flipped binding mode is possibly due to the shift of the attachment position of the cyclopentyl benzoic acid moiety from the 5 -position of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to the 4 -position in 29. The hinge-binding interactions shown in the predicted binding mode of thienopyrimidine 38 are comparable (Figure

4f) to those of 56 . Additionally, the orientation of 38 is further stabilized by an interaction that is formed between the O-atom of the carbonyl moiety of Ile 171 and the $S$-atom of the thienopyrimidine scaffold.

Compound Selectivity. In order to begin to understand kinome-wide selectivity for these new compounds, we profiled 9 exemplars in a panel of over 400 wild-type human kinases using the Eurofins DiscoverX's KINOMEscan technology ${ }^{50}$ (Freemont, CA USA). A summary of the kinome selectivity results is depicted in Table 6, and the complete KINOMEscan data sets are available in the Supporting Information. Table 6 contains the structures of the compounds profiled, the PoC values for CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 at the $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ screening concentration, and a list of all the kinases in the panel that bound with a $\mathrm{PoC}<10$. The S -score is a quantitative measure of a compound's selectivity at a particular screening concentration, calculated by dividing the number of kinases that a particular compound binds to at a chosen threshold by the total number of distinct kinases tested. All the compounds we tested from this scaffold-hopping effort have an $\mathrm{S}_{10}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ < 0.05, which implies that they bind to fewer than $5 \%$ of the wild-type kinases tested in this panel with a PoC of 10 or less, thus offering promising selectivity for a starting point for further optimization.

Figure 5 is a visual representation of the KINOMEscan data for two of the compounds, azaindole compound 7 and 2-anilino-pyrimidine compound 62. All kinases bound with a $\mathrm{PoC}<10$ are depicted as red dots. These kinases are listed in Table 5, and the full data sets are available in the Supporting Information. For compound 7, 12 kinases have a PoC less than

Table 6. Selectivity Screening Summary Results for Nine Different Exemplars

|  |  | S $_{10}(1$ | CAMKK2 PoC | CAMKK1 PoC | Kinases with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Structure | $\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | PoC $\leq 10$ |
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CSNK2A2, JAK3, CDK11, } \\
\text { CSNK2A1, EPHB6, PIK3CG, } \\
\text { NEK10, CLK2, ICK, GAK, } \\
\text { TYK2, CAMKK2, CAMKK1, } \\
\text { DRAK2, MLK3, PIK4CB, ULK3 } \\
\text { CDK8, MEK4 }
\end{gathered}
$$

MARK1, BRSK2, MARK4, BMPR1B
p38-delta, MST1R, MAK

CDK9, JNK1, ICK, JNK3, CAMKK1, EPHB6, CDC2L5, SGK, CDK2, CAMKK2, CDK3, JNK2, JAK3, AURKA, CDKL5, PIP5K2C

CDK11, CDK8, CAMKK1,
CAMKK2
none
CDK8, SGK, JAK3, YSK4, CDK11, CAMKK1, CAMKK2, CASK, ERK8, CAMK2A, EPHB6, SGK3

CAMKK1, CAMKK2
or equal to 10, including CAMKK2 and CAMKK1. For compound $7, \mathrm{~S}_{10}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})=0.03$ implies that $3 \%$ of the kinases tested had a $\mathrm{PoC}<10$ at an inhibitor screening concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For compound 62, only two kinases (CAMKK1 and CAMKK2) have a $\mathrm{PoC}<10 . \mathrm{S}_{10}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})=0.005$ implies that $0.5 \%$ of the kinases tested had a $\mathrm{PoC}<10$ at an inhibitor screening concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Next steps will need to include testing of the compounds in enzyme inhibition assays and cell-based assays for the identified kinases for each scaffold.

CAMKK1 activity. CAMKK1 is a closely related and also understudied kinase. In order to learn if selectivity between CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 may be attainable in these series, we determined IC50 values for CAMKK1 inhibition for the 18 compounds with CAMKK2 IC50 < 150 nM , with the results depicted in Table 7. STO-609 is reported to have some selectivity for CAMKK2 over CAMKK1, and this is recapitulated in our assays. ${ }^{55}$ In general, the compounds are more potent inhibitors of CAMKK2 than CAMKK1.


Figure 5. In vitro kinase selectivity profile of compound 7 (5a) and compound $\mathbf{6 2 ( 5 b )}$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Eurofins DiscoverX KINOMEscan). TREEspot interaction maps for $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{6 2}$ profiled against $>400$ human kinase targets. In this assay, primary screen binding interactions are reported as PoC, where lower values are an indication of stronger hits. Red dots depict the kinases for which $\operatorname{PoC}$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}<10$. The size of the dot is based on the PoC values, with larger dots having smaller PoC values (more potent binders). PoC values for all kinases can be found in the Supporting Information.

Table 7. CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 Enzyme Inhibition Data, CAMKK2 NanoBRET in Cell Target Engagement, LE Metrics, and cLog $P$ and Solubility Data for Potent CAMKK2 Inhibitors ${ }^{a}$

| ID | CAMKK1 enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | CAMKK2 enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | CAMKK2 NB IC ${ }_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ | cLog $P$ | LE | LLE | solubility [ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STO-609 | $408 \pm 22$ | $58 \pm 5.7$ | NG | 3.59 | 0.41 | 3.61 | 60 |
| GSK650394 | $33 \pm 6.9$ | $3 \pm 0.4$ | <3 | 5.67 | 0.40 | 2.83 | 2 |
| 7 | $195 \pm 25$ | $26 \pm 6.1$ | NG | 3.78 | 0.45 | 3.82 |  |
| 13g | $961 \pm 132$ | $65 \pm 15$ | $700 \pm 9.3$ | 5.68 | 0.34 | 1.52 | 77 |
| 14 g | $384 \pm 53$ | $5 \pm 1.1$ | $210 \pm 24$ | 6.32 | 0.39 | 1.98 | 1.5 |
| 19 | $1480 \pm 120$ | $145 \pm 30$ | $530 \pm 95$ | 6.01 | 0.32 | 0.79 | 42 |
| 20 | $238 \pm 32$ | $21 \pm 1.3$ | $190 \pm 19$ | 5.17 | 0.36 | 2.53 | 50.5 |
| 21 | $2013 \pm 174$ | $44 \pm 9.1$ | $200 \pm 11$ | 4.13 | 0.44 | 3.27 | 48.3 |
| 29 | >10,000 | $120 \pm 21$ | $240 \pm 7$ | 6.06 | 0.32 | 0.84 |  |
| 30 | $1345 \pm 222$ | $56 \pm 14$ | $470 \pm 44$ | 3.97 | 0.42 | 3.33 | 40 |
| 34 | $27 \pm 4.5$ | $23 \pm 4.2$ | $8.1 \pm 0.8$ | 5.42 | 0.36 | 2.18 | 55.1 |
| 38 | $407 \pm 25$ | $24 \pm 1.1$ | $170 \pm 18$ | 3.99 | 0.45 | 3.61 | 55.4 |
| 42 | $7280 \pm 216$ | $31 \pm 4.3$ | $1400 \pm 250$ | 3.78 | 0.45 | 3.72 | 62.6 |
| 45 | $1838 \pm 348$ | $137 \pm 12$ | $540 \pm 62$ | 6.59 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 38 |
| 46 | $239 \pm 20$ | $13 \pm 1.0$ | $140 \pm 12$ | 4.7 | 0.45 | 3.2 | 64.4 |
| 49 | $2614 \pm 349$ | $12 \pm 3.9$ | $890 \pm 71$ | 5.86 | 0.36 | 2.04 | 70.4 |
| 50 | $5481 \pm 130$ | $96 \pm 14$ | $1900 \pm 200$ | 3.97 | 0.40 | 3.03 |  |
| 56 | >10,000 | $21 \pm 2.6$ | $290 \pm 23$ | 4.68 | 0.41 | 3.02 | 19.4 |
| 61 | >10,000 | $108 \pm 6.9$ | $950 \pm 110$ | 2.57 | 0.46 | 4.43 |  |
| 62 | $31 \pm 6.5$ | $51 \pm 13$ | <3 | 5.38 | 0.37 | 1.92 | 10.6 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{NB}=$ data from the NanoBRET in the cell target engagement assay; NG $=$ data not generated.

Compound 34 (CAMKK1 IC $50=27 \mathrm{nM}$, CAMKK2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=23$ $\mathrm{nM})$ and compound $62\left(\right.$ CAMKK1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=31 \mathrm{nM}$, CAMKK2 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=51 \mathrm{nM}$ ) are exceptions, being equipotent or slightly more potent on CAMKK1. For STO-609, a switch in a residue near the inhibitor hinge-binding group from a valine in CAMKK2 (Val269) to a leucine in CAMKK1 (Leu233) has been hypothesized as the source of selectivity. ${ }^{56}$ Further experiments will need to be done to understand and exploit the
differences in CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 activity observed for the inhibitors described here.

Compound Properties. A number of key physicochemical screens and calculations are considered in early-stage drug discovery, including lipophilicity, $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$, solubility, permeability, and stability. Solubility is one of the most critical physicochemical properties as poor solubility can lead to an underestimation of activity, inaccurate SAR, inaccurate in vitro ADMET test results, and downstream compound development
issues. ${ }^{57,58}$ Highlighting the critical importance of solubility, it has been shown that $87 \%$ of commercial drugs have solubility $\geq 65 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, but only $7 \%$ had solubility $\leq 20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}^{59}{ }^{59}$ Therefore, solubility data can identify a series liability that needs to be fixed and highlight promising series with enhanced solubility. This information can help guide optimization strategies. LE metrics are also a commonly used tool that can guide hit-to-lead optimization for drug candidates. ${ }^{60,61}$ To this end, we calculated LE and lipophilic ligand efficiency (LLE) and collected kinetic solubility data for compounds with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $<150 \mathrm{nM}$ in the CAMKK2 enzyme assay (Table 7). ${ }^{62}$

LE values are influenced by molecular size; hence, it was unsurprising to see two clear ranges depending on the presence or absence of the phenyl ring. The hinge binders without the phenyl ring had LE values ranging from 0.41 to 0.46 . These are smaller compounds with molecular weights between 300 and 325, and generally, LE values above 0.3 are acceptable for this MW range. ${ }^{60}$ The LLE values for these compounds were between 3.02 and 4.43. Aminopyridine 61 had the highest LE and LLE values of 0.46 and 4.43, respectively. Both 7 and 38 had comparable LE values of 0.45 but significantly lower LLE values of 3.82 and 3.61 , respectively.

Both the LE and LLE metrics of the phenyl-substituted analogues have lower values. This is reflective of the increased atom count and higher $\operatorname{cLog} P$ values due to the additional lipophilicity of the phenyl ring. The LE values are between 0.32 and 0.40 for these compounds. GSK650394 has the highest LE and LLE values of 0.40 and 2.83, respectively. The analogous thienopyridine $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ has the next highest LE value of 0.39 but a lower LLE value of 1.98. Quinoline 45 had the lowest LE and LLE values of 0.32 and 0.31 , respectively. Solely relying on LE metrics to select compounds is unadvisable as many other factors, such as solubility, underpin successful drug development. A future direction could be to focus on polar substituents on the pendant phenyl to $\operatorname{lower} \log P$, enhance solubility, and mitigate the metabolic liability that may be seen in high-logP compounds. Similarly, non-aromatic substituents could be explored to access this same region of the active site.

Of the phenyl-substituted analogues, GSK650394 and $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ were the most potent, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3 and 5 nM , respectively, and had the best LE and LLE values. Although promising in this regard, these compounds have extremely poor solubility values of 2 and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Optimization of these compounds will thus require extensive structure-property-relationship studies in parallel to SAR studies to develop them into useful tools with adequate solubility for further study. Interestingly, furopyridine $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ had the highest measured solubility value of $77 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Substitution of the azaindole's NH with an oxygen greatly improved solubility. The effect was reversed when a more lipophilic sulfur atom is incorporated into the same position, $\mathbf{1 4 g}$. Pyrimidine analogues 56 and 62 are also only modestly soluble ( 10.6 and $19.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Optimization to tool compounds will likely require the introduction of solubilizing functional groups. A number of the compounds tested had reasonable solubility ranging from 38 to $55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Four compounds had a promising solubility above that recorded for STO-609, $60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. These were the thienopyrimidine 42 $(62.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ and quinoline $46(64.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, both without the pendant phenyl ring. The phenyl-substituted quinazoline 49 has a solubility of $70.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, second only to furopyridine $\mathbf{1 3 g}$. Overall, the solubility of several potent compounds was
encouraging but will need to be monitored as optimization proceeds.

CAMKK2 NanoBRET Cellular Target Engagement. Having demonstrated potent CAMKK2 inhibition and promising selectivity profiles for these compounds we tested in kinome-wide profiling, we turned our attention to the cellular activity of the compounds (Table 7). To this end, we developed a CAMKK2 NanoBRET target engagement assay. ${ }^{63}$ This assay uses bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) between nanoluciferase (NL) fused to the kinase domain of CAMKK2 (BRET donor) and a tracer molecule that binds to the ATP-binding site of the kinase (BRET acceptor). The addition of cell penetrant CAMKK2 inhibitors leads to displacement of the tracer molecule and a quantifiable reduction in BRET signal. This assay is conducted in living cells, and the observation of binding not only depends on affinity between the compound and CAMKK2 but also on compound cell penetrance. The parent molecule, GSK650394, was very potent in this assay ( $\mathrm{NB} \mathrm{IC}_{50}<3 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Several additional key compounds were evaluated in this assay (Table 7 and the Supporting Information). A key takeaway here is that all the compounds that advanced to this assay (those with CAMKK2 enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<150 \mathrm{nM}$ ) demonstrated measurable CAMKK2 target engagement in cells. The potency was below 500 nM for thienopyridine $\mathbf{1 4 g}(210 \mathrm{nM})$, pyrazolopyrimidines 20 and 21 ( 190 and 200 nM ), $N$-methyl azaindoles 29 and 30 ( 240 and 470 nM ), imidazopyridine $34(8 \mathrm{nM})$, thienopyrimidine $38(170 \mathrm{nM})$, quinoline $46(140 \mathrm{nM})$, 2phenylpyrimidine 56 ( 290 nM ), and 2-amino-pyrimidine 62 ( $<3 \mathrm{nM}$ ). The results for exemplar compounds from five different scaffolds (13g, 45, 46, 56, and 62) are depicted in Figure 6.


Figure 6. CAMKK2 NanoBRET dose-response curves for compounds $13 \mathrm{~g}, 45,46,56$, and 62.

On-Target Cellular Effect (Phosphorylation Inhibition Assay). We also assessed the impact of our inhibitors on phosphorylation downstream from CAMKK2 using Western blot analysis with C4-2 prostate cancer cells to provide evidence of a phenotypic and on-target effect of our CAMKK2 inhibitors in intact cells. AMPK (Thr172) was chosen because in intact cells, CAMKK2, but not the related CAMKK1, can readily phosphorylate this substrate. ${ }^{64}$ We performed preliminary Western blot analysis at a single inhibitor concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the 18 compounds with CAMKK2 enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<$ 150 nM along with STO-609. These results are shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7. Western blots of the 18 compounds with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<150 \mathrm{nM}$ in the CAMKK2 enzyme assay, together with STO-609, screened at a single inhibitor concentration $=1 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Based on these results from screening at a single concentration, compounds $7,20,29,34,38,46,56$, and 62 showed the most robust reduction of the p-AMPK band and were advanced into full dose response experiments in this same assay format to provide $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ estimates for inhibition of phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined from dose response experiments using $0-10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the compound. The Western blot dose response experiments for the most potent compound, 62, are depicted in Figure 8a. The Western blot dose response data for
a. 62

b.

| com pound | IC50 for inhibition of $p$-AMPK |
| :---: | :---: |
| 62 | $<39 \mathrm{nM}$ |
| 46 | 390 nM |
| 56 | 790 nM |
| 20 | 990 nM |
| 29 | 980 nM |
| 34 | 1800 nM |
| 7 | 5500 nM |
| 38 | 8100 nM |

Figure 8. (a) Dose response for inhibition of phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172 in C4-2 prostate cancer cells by compound 62. (b). Calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for inhibition of phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172 in C4-2 prostate cancer cells for the top compounds from the single concentration experiment depicted in Figure 7.
compounds 7, 20, 29, 34, 38, 46, and 56 can be found in the Supporting Information. In addition, dose response experiments for compound 62 utilizing lower inhibitor concentrations can be found in the Supporting Information. The calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for the dose response experiments for all eight compounds are shown in Figure 8b. Compounds $62\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=10 \mathrm{nM}\right), 46\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=390 \mathrm{nM}\right), 56\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=790 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, $20\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=900 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $29\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 980 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ all have $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for inhibition of phosphorylation of AMPK at Thrl72 below $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, suggesting that optimization into compounds with potent cellular activity will be achievable for these series.

## ■ DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Through a hinge-binder scaffold hopping strategy, we have designed, synthesized, and biologically evaluated as CAMKK2 inhibitors a series of 32 compounds that utilize 5,6-bicyclic, 6,6-bicyclic, and single-ring hinge-binding moieties that are based on the 7 -azaindole GSK650394. These inhibitors were designed with the aim of retaining CAMKK2 potency and improving the kinase selectivity of the promiscuous azaindole by changing the strong H -bond interactions that the parent azaindole makes with the kinase hinge-binding residues. Additionally, we sought to identify inhibitors with improved physicochemical properties, drug likeness, and selectivity all while retaining CAMKK2 inhibitory potency. Several compounds with similar or better potency than GSK650394 and STO-609 have been identified, some of which also showed improved physicochemical properties. We found that a number
of these compounds, but not all, have some selectivity over the closely related enzyme CAMKK1 in enzyme assays. Further work will be needed to understand selectivity determinants, to optimize this selectivity, and to determine if the observed selectivity holds in a cellular context. Kinome-wide profiling revealed that the nine exemplars we screened in the Eurofins DiscoverX KINOMEscan platform are not broadly promiscuous, with $\mathrm{S}_{10}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})<0.05$. In addition, we have demonstrated that exemplars have cellular activity in two orthogonal assays, a CAMKK2 NanoBRET in cell target engagement assay, and Western blot experiments looking at inhibition of the production of p-AMPK. Our work has shown that kinase inhibitors with weakened (non-ideal) hinge-binding interactions can show highly selective kinase inhibition and still have useful on-target enzyme potency and cellular potency. This work has led to the identification of several CAMKK2 scaffolds with alternate hinge-binding moieties that hold promise as starting points for the discovery of potent, selective, and cell-active CAMKK2 inhibitors.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Biology. Protein Expression and Purification/DSF Assay. Smallmolecule screening by DSF was performed as described previously. ${ }^{48,65}$ Briefly, the DSF assay was performed in the 96 -well format. Purified CAMKK1 or CAMKK2 was diluted to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ kinase in 100 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $10 \%$ glycerol supplemented with $5 \times$ SYPRO Orange (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All assay experiments used $19.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ kinase and SYPRO Orange mixture. Compounds solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were used at a $12.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration, with a $2.5 \%$ concentration of DMSO per well. PCR plates were sealed using optically clear films and transferred to a C1000 thermal cycler with CFX-96 RT-PCR head (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). The fluorescence intensity was measured over a temperature gradient from 25 to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a constant rate of $0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. Curve fitting and protein melting temperatures were calculated based on a Boltzmann function fitting to experimental data (GraphPad Prism 8). Protein with the addition of $2.5 \%$ DMSO was used as a reference. All experiments were carried out in triplicate, and the mean of the $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ is reported. Compounds that provided negative values are presented as having a $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 Enzyme Assays. CAMKK1 and CAMKK2 activity was determined by measuring the transfer of radiolabeled phosphate from $\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-ATP to a synthetic peptide substrate (CaMKKtide) as previously described. ${ }^{66}$ Briefly, purified recombinant CAMKK1 or CAMKK2 $(100 \mathrm{pM})$ was incubated in the assay buffer [50 mM HEPES ( pH 7.4 ), 1 mM dithiothreitol, $0.02 \%$ (v/v) Brij-35] containing $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CaMKKtide (Genscript), $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CaM (Sigma-Aldrich, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia), $200 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA, USA), $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ (SigmaAldrich, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia), and various concentrations of inhibitors $(0-1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a standard $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay for 10 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were terminated by spotting $15 \mu$ l onto P81 phosphocellulose paper (GE Lifesciences, Paramatta, NSW, Australia) and washing extensively in $1 \%$ phosphoric acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation counting.

CAMKK2 NanoBRET Assay. To quantify the cellular activity of these inhibitors, we developed a CAMKK2 NanoBRET target engagement assay. ${ }^{63}$ Briefly, this assay utilizes an NL fused to the kinase domain of CAMKK2. This NL kinase fusion is then transiently transfected into HEK293 cells, and after 24 h , the tracer is added to the cells. When the tracer and the NL-CAMKK2 fusion come into proximity, they create a BRET signal that can be competed in a dosedependent manner by the addition of cell-penetrant CAMKK2 inhibitors.

Western Blot Analysis. C4-2 cells were plated in 6-well plates in the IMEM medium containing $0.5 \%$ fetal bovine serum. After 72 h , the cells were then treated with the compounds for 24 h before the media were aspirated and wells were washed twice in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were lysed using RIPA buffer containing phosphatase and the protease inhibitor cocktail while rotating for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In each lane, $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ well of protein lysate was loaded into a $10 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis gel and run for 1 h and 30 min . Gels were then transferred overnight in a TRIS-glycine/methanol transfer buffer onto a poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Membranes were blocked, incubated with primary overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, washed, incubated with secondary at room temperature (rt) for 1 h , washed, and then developed on an Azure Biosystems C-600 imager.
[Cell signaling: phospho-AMPK $\alpha$ (Thr172) (40H9) rabbit mAb: Cat\#: 2535; AMPK $\alpha$ (D5A2) Rabbit mAb Cat\#: 5831; BD Bioscience: CAMKK mouse mAb Cat\# 610544; Sigma: GAPDH rabbit pAb: Cat\# G9545; secondary antibody: goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L)-HRP conjugate was from Bio-Rad (Cat\#:1706515)].
Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination. Crystallization of the CAMKK2-kinase domain bound to $\mathbf{1 3 g}$ or UNC10244803 followed a previously established protocol. ${ }^{38}$ Briefly, the inhibitor (dissolved in $100 \%$ DMSO) was added to the protein in 3 -fold molar excess and incubated on ice for approximately 30 min . The mixture was centrifuged at $21,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before setting up 150 nL volume sitting drops at three ratios (2:1, $1: 1$, or $1: 2$ protein-inhibitor complex to reservoir solution). Crystallization experiments were performed at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystal optimization used Newman's buffer system. ${ }^{67}$ Crystals were cryoprotected in the mother liquor supplemented with $25-30 \%$ glycerol before flash cooling in liquid nitrogen for data collection. Diffraction data were collected at 100 K at the Advanced Photon Source 24ID-C or at the Diamond Light Source (DLS) I03 beamline.

Diffraction data were integrated with $\mathrm{XDS}^{68}$ and scaled using AIMLESS from the CCP4 software suite. ${ }^{69}$ The structure was solved by molecular replacement using $\mathrm{Phaser}^{70}$ and the kinase domain of CAMKK2 as the search model (PDB ID 2ZV2). ${ }^{71}$ Refinement was performed using REFMAC5, ${ }^{72}$ and Coot $^{73}$ was used for model building. Structure validation was performed using MolProbity. ${ }^{74}$ Structure factors and coordinates for the structure were deposited at the PDB (PDB ID 5UY6).

Chemistry. General Chemistry Information. All reagents and solvents, unless specifically stated, were used as obtained from their commercial sources without further purification. Solvents were degassed with nitrogen for cross-coupling reactions. Air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere using nitrogen in a previously oven-dried or flame-dried reaction flask, and addition of reagents was done using a syringe. All microwave ( $\mu \mathrm{W}$ ) reactions were carried out in a Biotage Initiator EXP US 400W microwave synthesizer. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analyses were performed using $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pre-coated sorbtech fluorescent TLC plates, and spots were visualized using UV light. High-resolution mass spectrometry samples were analyzed with a ThermoFisher Q Exactive HF-X (ThermoFisher, Bremen, Germany) mass spectrometer coupled with a Waters Acquity H-class liquid chromatograph system. All high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectra were recorded via electrospray ionization (ESI). Column chromatography was undertaken with a Biotage Isolera One or Prime instrument. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrometry was run on a Varian Inova 400 MHz or Bruker AVANCE III 700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a TCI H-C/N-D 5 mm cryoprobe, and data were processed using the MestReNova processor. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm with residual solvent peaks referenced as the internal standard. All compounds were $>95 \%$ pure by analytical LC.

4-Bromo-2-cyclopentylbenzoic Acid (2). 4-Bromo-2-fluorobenzoic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cyclopentylmagnesium bromide solution ( 16.0 mL of a 2 M solution, 32.0 mmol ) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4 \mathrm{~h} .2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then
slowly added to the solution, followed by EtOAc ( 40.0 mL ). The organic phase was separated, concentrated, and purified using column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 4-bromo-2-cyclopentylbenzoic acid $2(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ as a pure white solid. The NMR data for this compound match those previously reported. ${ }^{38}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 168.9, 148.6, 131.2, 131.1, 129.5, 128.6, 125.1, 41.2, 34.2, 25.2; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 269.0.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic Acid (3). To a stirred solution of 4-bromo-2-cyclopentylbenzoic acid $2(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 9.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(pinacolato) diboron $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOAc}(3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 37.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Once cooled to rt, the solution was diluted with EtOAc and filtered through a celite pad. The solution was concentrated, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid 3 ( 2.30 g , $78 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.69 (d, $J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ $(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.69-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.7,144.4,134.9,132.1,131.5,128.2,83.9,41.2,34.4,25.2,24.7$; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z, 317.2$.

Methyl 2-Cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro-lan-2-yl)benzoate (4). To a stirred solution of methyl 4-bromo-2cyclopentylbenzoate SII ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 7.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane $(35.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{KOAc}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Once cooled to rt , the solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with 100 mL of EtOAc. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the crude residue was purified via column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford methyl 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro-lan-2-yl)benzoate 4 ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as oil which slowly solidified into a white solid under vacuum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{tt}, J$ $=9.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-$ $1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 168.3, 144.6, 133.6, 132.2, 131.6, 128.3, 84.0, 52.2, 41.3, 34.3, 25.2, 24.7; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 330.2.

Methyl 2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoate (6). To a stirred solution of 3-bromo-1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 2 -cyclopentyl-4-( $4,4,5,5-$ tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate $4(681 \mathrm{mg}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2(15.4$ mg , $68.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), K3PO4 ( $934 \mathrm{mg}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and P(Cy)3 ( 38.6 mg , $137 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and allowed to cool to rt, filtered through a pad of Celite, and washed with EtOAc ( 10 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude was purified by column chromatography eluting with $0-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to afford methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)-ethoxy)methyl)-1H-pyrrolo $[2,3-b]$ pyridin-3-yl)benzoate ( 545 mg , $88 \%$ ) as a light-yellow solid (LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 327.3). A solution of the above material ("SEM-protected 6"), 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1-((2-(trimethylsilyl) ethoxy)methyl)-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3yl) benzoate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with trifluoroacetyl ( $3.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then neutralized with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic phases were washed with sat. NaCl solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethanol ( 40.0 mL ), and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(1.82 \mathrm{mg}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h and allowed to cool to rt. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(75.0 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with a saturated NaCl solution ( 50 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography ( $0-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-( 1 H -pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoate 6 ( 245 mg , $69 \%$ ) as a deep-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.10-12.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-$ $8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.79-3.67 (m, 1H), 2.11-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.88-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.74$1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 167.9,149.2$, 147.2, 143.1, 138.7, 130.3, 127.4, 127.2, 125.1, 124.1, 123.2, 117.2, 116.4, 113.4, 51.9, 41.3, 34.3, 25.2; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 320.4.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (7). Methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoate $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.312 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol ( 8.00 mL ), followed by the addition of $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.12$ mmol ). The mixture was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , allowed to cool, and extracted with diethyl ether $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH 5 with 1 M HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic acid $7(85.0 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.64$ (s, 1H), 12.12-12.08 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.73-1.61 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.3, 148.3, 147.1, 142.3, 138.1, 130.4, 128.6, 128.2, 125.2, 124.2, 123.2, 117.7, 116.4, 113.8, 41.2, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 307.1447$; found, $307.1426 ; \mathrm{mp}$ range $238-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(5-Chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2cyclopentylbenzoate (9). 5-Chloro-3-iodo-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3b] pyridine ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 34.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(334 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.00 mmol ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water ( 2 mL ) was added before the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was stirred at rt for 16 h . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2.00 mL ) and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford methyl 4-(5-chloro-1-methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2cyclopentylbenzoate $9(217 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-$ $1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 168.5, 148.6, 146.3, 141.7, 137.6, 130.8, 128.5, 128.3, 127.6, 125.0, 124.4, 123.6, 119.3, 114.2, 52.0, 41.7, 34.9, 31.8, 25.7; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z, 369.1369$; found: $m / z, 369.1348$; mp range $144-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3yl)benzoic Acid (10). Methyl 4-(5-chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3b] pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 9 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid ( $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(12.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), XPhos ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 27.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(265 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81$ mmol ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A 3:1 mixture of dioxane/ water ( 2 ml ) was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2 mL ) and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq
$\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ and dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt , diluted with water ( 2 mL ), and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4 . The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-5-phenyl- 1 H -pyrrolo [2,3-b]-pyridin-3-yl)benzoic acid 10 ( $77.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.75$ (br s, 1H), 8.63 (d, $J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (dd, $J=8.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.7$, 148.0, 147.7, 142.4, 139.2, 138.2, 131.0, 130.0, 129.6, 129.5, 128.9, 127.6, 126.1, 124.5, 123.6, 117.9, 113.3, 41.4, 34.8, 31.6, 25.8; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 397.1916; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 397.1911; mp range $199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (11). 3-Bromo-1-methyl-1 H -pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine ( 100 mg , 0.47 mmol ), methyl 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxa-borolan-2-yl)benzoate ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 24$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ), XPhos ( $23.0 \mathrm{mg}, 48.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(540 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66$ mmol ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A 3:1 mixture of dioxane/ water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2.0 mL ) and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq LiOH $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M})$ and dioxane $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt, diluted with water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4 . The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford $11(113 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-159(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.3,147.0,146.3,141.0,136.9$, 130.7, 130.5, 129.1, 126.8, 124.0, 123.4, 123.1, 118.2, 112.3, 41.1, 34.3, 31.3, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 321.1603; found $m / z, 321.1596 ; \mathrm{mp}$ range $181-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Ethyl 2-Chloronicotinate (12b). To a solution of 2-chloronicotinic acid $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 31.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(35.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise with stirring triethyl orthoacetate ( $17.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 95.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated to reflux for 16 h and allowed to cool to rt, and the resultant solution was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the title compound, ethyl 2-chloronicotinate $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ (5.40 g, $92 \%$ ), as a clear oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.1,152.2,147.8,140.2,127.1,123.2,61.8,13.9 ;$ LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 186.0.

Ethyl 5-Bromo-2-chloronicotinate (13b). To a solution of 5-bromo-2-chloronicotinic acid ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 60.0 mL ) was added slowly $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(9.10 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 93.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 16 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, re-dissolved in aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the desired product, 13b ( $10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ), as a light-yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.76$ (d, $\left.J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.47$ (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 162.88, 152.79, 146.65, 142.12, 128.34, 118.85, 62.24, 13.83; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 186.0$.

Ethyl 2,5-Dichloronicotinate (14b). Prepared following the procedure for $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ using 2,5 -dichloronicotinic acid ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.0$ mmol ). After purification, ethyl 2,5-dichloronicotinate $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ ( 11.0 g , $99 \%$ ) was afforded as a clear oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.9,150.6,146.1,139.5,130.3$, 128.0, 62.3, 13.8; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 220.1.

Ethyl 3-Hydroxyfuro[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate (12c). To a suspension of sodium hydride, $60 \%$ dispersed in mineral oil, ( 5.60 g , $0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 1,2 -dimethoxyethane ( 60.0 mL ) was added ethyl 2hydroxyacetate ( $13.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) under ice cooling and stirring. The ice bath was removed, and the solution was allowed warm to rt. After 30 min , a solution of ethyl 2-chloronicotinate $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 54.0$ mmol ) in 100 mL of 1,2-dimethoxyethane was slowly added and the mixture was heated to $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residual solid was re-dissolved in aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aq layer was acidified with $\mathrm{AcOH}(\mathrm{pH} 4)$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ / hexane) afforded ethyl 3-hydroxyfuro [2,3-b] pyridine-2-carboxylate 12c ( $8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ) as a pale-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.53$ (dd, $J=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 208.1.
Ethyl 5-Bromo-3-hydroxyfuro[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate (13c). Prepared following the procedure for 12c using ethyl 5-bromo-2-chloronicotinate $\mathbf{1 3 b}(18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 68.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, ethyl 5-bromo-3-hydroxyfuro[2,3-b] pyridine-2-carboxylate 13c (19.0 g, $76 \%$ ) was afforded as a light-brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.34-11.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 158.7$, 156.7, 148.8, 144.7, 133.5, 127.2, 115.9, 114.3, 60.4, 14.3; LCMS: [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 286.0.

Ethyl 5-Chloro-3-hydroxythieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate (14c). Prepared following the procedure for 12c using ethyl 2,5dichloronicotinate $\mathbf{1 4 b}(15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 68.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl 2mercaptoacetate $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, ethyl 5-chloro-3-hydroxythieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxylate 14 c (12.4 g, $71 \%$ ) was afforded as a light-orange solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.6,155.7$, 152.2, 149.4, 130.3, 127.7, 126.7, 105.5, 61.1, 14.2; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $m / z$, 258.0.

Furo[2,3-b]pyridin-3(2H)-one (12d). A mixture of ethyl 3hydroxyfuro $[2,3-b]$ pyridine-2-carboxylate $12 \mathrm{c}(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium hydroxide $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 15.0 mL of water was refluxed for 1 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the yellow-orange crystalline mass was dissolved in water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ with conc. HCl , and heated to reflux for 45 min . Once cooled, the solution was neutralized with sodium bicarbonate and extracted with chloroform. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford furo $[2,3-b]$ pyridin- $3(2 H)$-one $12 \mathrm{~d}(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a light-brown solid. The title compound was isolated as a mixture of keto-enol tautomers (9:1).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 197.7, 177.1, 156.9, 134.3, 118.8, 113.6, 74.6; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / z$, 136.1.

5-Bromofuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3(2H)-one (13d). Prepared following the procedure for $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ using ethyl 5-bromo-3-hydroxyfuro[2,3b] pyridine-2-carboxylate $13 \mathrm{c}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification,

5-bromofuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3(2H)-one 13d (6.30 g, 84\%) was afforded as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta$ 196.4, 175.6, 156.9, 136.3, 115.5, 113.2, 75.8; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 213.4.

5-Chlorothieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3(2H)-one (14d). Prepared following the procedure for 12d using ethyl 5-chloro-3-hydroxythieno[2,3b] pyridine-2-carboxylate $14 \mathrm{c}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, 5 -chlorothieno[2,3-b] pyridin-3 2 H )-one $\mathbf{1 4 d}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ was isolated as an orange solid composed of keto- and enol-isomers.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.46$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta$ 196.8, 172.1, 156.5, 154.7, 145.4, 145.3, 133.2, 127.9, 127.8, 126.9, 126.0, 100.9, 40.8; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 186.4.

Furo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (12e). A solution of $12 \mathrm{~d}(450 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIPEA $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by dropwise addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $788 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 4.66 mmol ). The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to rt and stirred for 2 h and then quenched with water. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford furo[2,3b] pyridin-3-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $12 \mathrm{e}(640 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a brown oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.55-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.81,146.35,135.28,132.54,129.95,128.17$, 120.11, $118.71(\mathrm{q}, J=321.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 268.2.

5-Bromofuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (13e). Prepared following the procedure for 12e using 5-bromofuro-[2,3-b] pyridin-3 2 H )-one $13 \mathrm{~d}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 47.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, 5-bromofuro[2,3-b] pyridin-3-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 13e (12.8 g, $79 \%$ ) was isolated as a light-brown oil which solidified upon standing.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 347.1$.

5-Chlorothieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (14e). Prepared following the procedure for 12e using 5-chlorothieno-[2,3-b] pyridin-3 $2 H$ )-one $14 \mathrm{~d}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 4.31 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, 5 -Chlorothieno [2,3-b] pyridin-3-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $14 \mathrm{e}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ was isolated as a brown oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.34,147.62,134.57,129.60,127.41,125.23,118.67$ (q, $J=321.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.13$; LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / z, 318.4$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(furo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (12f). A microwave vial was loaded with furo [2,3-b] pyridin-3-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 12e $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 936 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl) benzoic acid 3 (444 mg, 1.40 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 9.50 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, sodium carbonate $(397 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.74 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The vial was sealed and heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The solution was neutralized with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 12 f ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,161.9,147.0,144.5,143.0,133.7,131.0,130.2$, 124.8, 123.9, 120.2, 119.9, 117.4, 41.3, 34.3, 25.2; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 308.1287; found, 308.1266; mp range $164-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(5-Bromofuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (13f). Prepared following the procedure for 12 f using 5bromofuro $[2,3-b]$ pyridin- 3 -yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $13 \mathrm{e}(100 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4(95.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, methyl $4-$ (5-bromofuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 13 f ( 68 mg , $59 \%)$ was isolated as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.74$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.56 (d, $J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 167.9,160.5,147.0,144.9,144.8,133.4,132.3,130.2$, 130.0, 125.0, 124.1, 119.6, 119.5, 115.3, 52.2, 41.4, 34.2, 25.2.

Methyl 4-(5-Chlorothieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (14f). Prepared following the procedure for 12 f using 5chlorothieno $[2,3-b]$ pyridin- 3 -yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $14 e$ ( 950 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4(988 \mathrm{mg}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, methyl 4 -(5-chlorothieno[ $[2,3-b]$ pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ ( $870 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) was isolated as a near-white solid.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.69$ (d, $\left.J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.27-$ $8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 168.0,159.5,147.1,145.4,137.1,133.6$, 131.3, 130.2, 130.0, 129.7, 128.1, 128.0, 126.6, 125.3, 52.2, 41.4, 34.3, 25.2.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylfuro[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (13g). 4-(5-Bromofuro $2,3-b]$ pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoic acid, $13 \mathrm{f}(48.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylboronic acid ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(14.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) were dissolved in a $4: 1$ mixture of dioxane ( 2.5 mL ) and heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 16 h . The mixture was neutralized to $\mathrm{pH} 6-7$ with aq HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 5.00 mL ). The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified using flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to obtain the methyl ester intermediate which was immediately dissolved in MeOH ( 3.0 mL ), added aq NaOH (small excess), and heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 6 with aq HCl , extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated to afford 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylfuro[2,3-b]pyridin3 -yl)benzoic acid 13 g ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.3,161.6$, 147.0, 143.8, 143.2, 137.5, 133.6, 132.9, 131.0, 130.3, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 127.5, 125.0, 124.1, 120.2, 117.6, 41.2, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 384.1600$; found, 384.1593 ; mp range $259-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylthieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (14g). Methyl 4 -(5-chlorothieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $14 \mathrm{f}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 403 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, phenylboronic acid ( 73.8 mg , $605 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(18.5 \mathrm{mg}, 20.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, dicyclohexyl $\left(2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}-\right.$ triisopropyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)phosphane ( $28.8 \mathrm{mg}, 60.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(394 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dioxane ( 3.00 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, neutralized to pH 7 with aq HCl , and extracted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $25 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. This material was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, added aq NaOH (small excess), and heated to $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 6 , extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated to afford 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylthieno[2,3b] pyridin-3-yl)benzoic acid $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.94$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.96 (d, $J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.72 (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.83-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.63 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.4,160.6,147.0,145.8,137.3,137.3,134.4,132.5$, 131.2, 130.4, 130.1, 129.2, 128.1, 128.1, 127.3, 126.5, 126.2, 125.3, 41.2, 34.5, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 400.1371; found, $400.1365 ; \mathrm{mp}$ range $269-273^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(thieno[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (12g). Prepared following the procedure for $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ using 3 -bromothieno $[2,3-$ b]pyridine 15 e ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After purification, 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(thieno $[2,3-b]$ pyridin-3-yl)benzoic acid $\mathbf{1 2 g}$ ( 66.0 mg , $88 \%$ ) was afforded as a light-brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65$ ( $\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-$ $3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.58$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 172.6, 164.7, 150.1, 149.9, 140.4, 137.4, 134.5, 133.7, 133.4, 133.1, 129.5, 128.4, 128.4, 123.4, 44.4, 37.5, 28.4; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 324.1058; found, 324.1054 ; mp range $194-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(5-Bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (17). 5 -Bromo-3-iodopyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyridine ( 200 mg , 0.62 mmol ) and methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate $4(194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a $3: 1$ solution of dioxane/water $(4.00 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 31$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ), XPhos ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 62.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(605 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90$ mmol ) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h . The aqueous phase was removed, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) to afford ethyl 4-(5-bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 17 ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as a mustard yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (ddd, $J=7.3,2.1,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-$ $1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.5,148.7,141.6$, 137.6, 135.8, 130.9, 129.7, 128.4, 125.3, 123.7, 119.7, 118.7, 116.0, 112.4, 52.0, 41.7, 34.8, 25.7; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 399.0708$; found $\mathrm{m} / z, 399.0705$; mp range $182-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(5-Chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (18). 5-Chloro-3-iodopyrazolo [1,5-a]pyrimidine ( 500 mg , 1.79 mmol ) and methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate $4(591 \mathrm{mg})$ were dissolved in a $10: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(73.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the solution was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was allowed to cool to rt, the aqueous phase was removed, and the organic phase was concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $10-20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) to afford methyl 4-(5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 18 (270 $\mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ) as a bright-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.6$, $150.8,148.6,143.9,136.5,134.4,130.6,128.3,124.5,122.8,110.1$, 109.4, 51.9, 41.7, 34.8, 25.8; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z, 356.1165$; found $m / z, 356.1161$; mp range $163-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (19). Methyl 4-(5-bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 17 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid ( 37.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(245$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water ( 1.50 mL ) was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and sealed. The mixture was irradiated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2.00 mL ) and
water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M})$ and dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt , diluted with water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4. The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford the acid $(68.0 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,147.2,141.4,137.6$, 136.9, 136.5, 135.7, 131.5, 131.4, 130.5, 129.7, 129.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 126.7, 124.5, 123.4, 113.5, 111.9, 111.7, 41.1, 34.3, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z, 383.1759$; found $m / z$, 383.1754; mp range $153-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (20). Methyl 4-(5-chloropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $18(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylboronic acid ( 41.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 28.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(275$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was irradiated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2.00 mL ) and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography (5-10\% EtOAc/ hexane) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M})$ and dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt , diluted with water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4. The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried ( Na 2 SO 4 ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(5-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)benzoic acid 20 ( 87.0 mg , $81 \%$ ) as a bright-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.24$ (dd, $J=$ $7.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-$ $3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 169.2, 155.9, 147.3, $144.3,143.6,137.0,136.6,135.3,131.0,130.1,129.0(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH})$, 128.4, $127.2(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 123.8,122.1,108.2,105.9,41.0,34.7,25.6$; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 384.1712; found, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 384.1707; mp range $210-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (21). 3-Bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-cyclo-pentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid 3 $(193 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(23.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, XPhos ( 24.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 51.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(579 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded into a microwave vial. A $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and sealed. The mixture was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 2.00 mL ) and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was acidified to pH 4 with 1.00 M aq HCl solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography (50-100\% EtOAc/hexane) to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzoic acid 21 ( $64.0 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.78$ (br s, 1 H ), 8.76 (d, $J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ (ddd, $J=8.9,6.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-$ $3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,147.1,140.8$, 136.3, 135.8, 130.5, 129.6, 128.7, 125.4, 124.2, 123.2, 117.1, 112.7, 110.9, 41.2, 34.3, 25.2; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 307.1446; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 307.1439; mp range $167-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (22). 3-Bromopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid $3(287 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(87.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(864 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded into a microwave vial. A 3:1 mixture of dioxane/water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was irradiated at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was acidified to pH 4 with 1 M aq HCl solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography (50-100\% EtOAc/hexane) to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-yl)benzoic acid 22 ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a bright-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.74$ (br s, 1H), 9.24-9.12 (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $7.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.3, 150.9, 146.9, 144.5, 143.2, 136.6, 135.0, 130.1, 128.6, 123.6, 122.5, 109.0, 108.2, 41.2, 34.3, 25.2; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / z, 308.1399$; found $m / z, 308.1393$; mp range 196-199 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(6-Chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (24). 3-Bromo-6-chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazine ( $924 \mathrm{mg}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl) benzoate $4(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of dioxane $(12.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ were degassed and put under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 16 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to pH 6 and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 75.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified via column chromatography (35\% EtOAc/ hexane) to afford methyl 4-(6-chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $24(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a grayish solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $m / z, 356.2$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)benzoic Acid (25). Following the procedure for $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ using 4-(6-chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 24 $(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenyl[1,2,4] triazolo[4,3-b] pyridazin-3-yl) benzoic acid 25 (200 mg, 84\%) was afforded as a near-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 13.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,153.5,146.6,146.4,144.5,134.1,133.0,131.1$, 129.7, 129.1, 128.7, 127.4, 125.6, 125.2, 124.2, 119.9, 41.1, 34.7, 25.6; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 385.1665$; found, 385.1659 ; mp range $228-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-([1,2,4]Triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoic Acid (26). 3-Bromo-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 754$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ), methyl 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro-lan-2-yl)benzoate 4 ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), XPhos $(53.9 \mathrm{mg}, 113$
$\mu \mathrm{mol}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(516 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(34.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol ) in a $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(4.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 16 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 6)$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times$ 50.0 mL ); the organic phases were combined, concentrated, and purified using flash column chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 4-([1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-3-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoic acid 26 ( $178.0 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.14$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.79 (dd, $J=$ $4.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50-8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,146.8,146.4,146.3$, 145.1, 133.1, 129.6, 128.6, 125.4, 125.3, 124.1, 120.9, 41.3, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 309.1352; found, 309.1346; mp range $208-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-Chloro-1-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine (28). 4-Chloro-2-iodo-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b] pyridine ( $75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ mmol ), phenylboronic acid ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (251 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded into a microwave vial. A 3:1 mixture of dioxane/water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was irradiated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 4-chloro-1-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b] pyridine $28(58.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ as a white-gray solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.6,142.6,142.5,135.3,131.7$, $129.1(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 128.6,119.9,116.2,97.9,30.3$; mp range $113-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-4yl)benzoic Acid (29). 4-Chloro-1-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3b] pyridine $28(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate $4(98.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(242 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.74 mmol ) were loaded into a microwave vial. A $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was irradiated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography (5-10\% EtOAc/ hexane) to afford the methyl-substituted ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00$ M) and dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt , diluted with water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4 . The solution was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2$ $\times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid $29(79.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a brown-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.3,149.5,146.7,143.0,142.2,140.8,139.4,131.8$, 131.6, 130.0, $128.9(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 128.8(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 128.6,126.5$, $125.5,117.5,115.1,98.1,41.2,34.4,29.9,25.3$; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 397.1916; found $m / z, 397.1908$; mp range $187-189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (30). 4-Chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine ( 75.0 mg ,
0.45 mmol ), methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxa-borolan-2-yl) benzoate $4(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(52.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $45.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(440 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded into a microwave vial. A 3:1 mixture of dioxane/water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen and capped. The solution was irradiated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford the methyl ester intermediate. The methyl ester was saponified in a solution of aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M})$ and dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was cooled to rt, diluted with water $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{M})$ until pH 4 . The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The solid was washed with cold water $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid $30(97.0 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 13.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.7,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.3, 147.9, 146.6, 142.6, 140.8, 139.9, 131.7, 131.0, 129.9, 126.5, 125.4, 117.5, 114.3, 97.8, 41.1, 34.4, 31.2, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 321.1603; found $m / z, 321.1595$; mp range $201-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 2-Cyclopentyl-4-(2,3-diaminopyridin-4-yl)benzoate (32). 4-Chloropyridine-2,3-diamine ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate 4 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in a $10: 1$ mixture of dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, XPhos $(72.0 \mathrm{mg}, 150$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(740 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The solution was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Once cooled to rt, the aqueous phase was removed and the organic phase was concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $25-75 \%$ EtOAc/ hexane) to afford methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2,3-diaminopyridin-4yl)benzoate 32 ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a dark-brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.5$, 149.1, 148.4, 140.6, 136.8, 133.8, 130.4, 130.4, 127.2, 126.6, 125.5, 116.3, 52.2, 41.7, 35.0, 25.7; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $m / z, 312.1712$; found $m / z, 312.1704$; mp range $125-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(3H-imidazo[4,5-b]pyridin-7-yl)benzoic Acid (33). Methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2,3-diaminopyridin-4-yl)benzoate 32 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Sulfamic acid $(3.00 \mathrm{mg}, 32.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and trimethyl orthoformate $(85.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography ( $50-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane). The isolated methyl ester was dissolved in dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.00 M solution). The solution was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , cooled, and diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was acidified to pH 4 with 1.00 M aq HCl solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(3H-imidazo[4,5-b]pyridin-7-yl)benzoic acid 33 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.10-2.03 (br m, 2H), 1.87-1.73 (br m, 2H), 1.67 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 171.9, 169.4, 148.8, 146.1, 144.2, 143.8, 138.2, 136.5, 132.1, 131.8, 129.3, 127.6, 125.8, 115.3, 41.3, 34.4, 25.2; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 308.1399; found, $m / z 308.1392$; mp range $183-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(2-phenyl-3H-imidazo[4,5-b]pyridin-7-yl)benzoic Acid (34). Benzoic acid ( $47.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI ( 63.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were loaded into a microwave vial and dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF) ( 1.0 mL ). The solution was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . Methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2,3-diaminopyr-idin-4-yl)benzoate $4(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the vial was sealed. The mixture was heated to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and allowed to cool to rt , and water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, causing a precipitate to form. The precipitate was filtered and washed with cold water (10.0 $\mathrm{mL})$ and hexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was dissolved in dioxane $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.00 M solution). The solution was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and then diluted with EtOAc $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was acidified to pH 4 with 1 M aq HCl solution. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography (50-100\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2-phenyl-3H-imidazo[4,5-b]pyridin-7-yl)benzoic acid $34(93.0 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.55-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.4,150.2,146.6,137.7,132.4,131.1,129.5,129.1$, 128.0, 127.1, 125.6, 116.2, 41.1, 39.5, 34.5, 25.4; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$, 384.1712; found $m / z$, 384.1704; mp range $196-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-Chloro-6-iodothieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (35b). An oven-dried 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask provided with a pressureequalizing addition funnel was cooled under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 4-Chlorothieno[3,2d] pyrimidine $35 \mathrm{a}(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added under positive $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ pressure, followed by the addition of anhydrous THF ( 65.0 mL ). The solution was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; LDA ( $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 5 min , and the mixture was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . A solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}(6.08 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 15.0 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min using the equalizing addition funnel. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , allowed to warm up to rt over 1 h , and then stirred for 16 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ /ice and diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were rinsed with aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. NaCl solution (2 $\times 25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ hexane/ EtOAc), affording 4-chloro-6-iodothieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine 35b (4.60 $\mathrm{g}, 68 \%$ ) as a clear brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.6,154.9,154.8,151.7,134.8$, 134.2, 97.4 .

4-Chloro-6-phenylthieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (36). 4-Chloro-6iodothieno $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine $\mathbf{3 5 b}(1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 300 \mathrm{mg})$, phenylboronic acid ( $1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 125 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 85.0 \mathrm{mg})$, CsF ( $1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 155 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a $3: 1$ mixture of dioxane/water $(7.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude was purified via column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 0-30\%) to afford 4-chloro-6phenylthieno $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine $36(175 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.05-7.97 (m, 2H), 7.62-7.52 (m, 3H).

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (37). A 5 mL microwave vial was loaded with pyrimidine 36 ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid $3(70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 150 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 13.0 \mathrm{mg})$. The solids were dissolved in a solution of 1,4-dioxane/water (3:1, 1.50 mL ). The
vial was sealed, and the reaction mixture was irradiated at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and remaining solids were suspended in ice/water before the pH was adjusted to $2-3$ with 2 M HCl . After an initial purification over silica gel, the compound was further purified by recrystallization from diethyl ether, affording 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic acid $37(12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{p}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 169.2,162.98,157.7,155.2$, 153.6, 146.6, 138.9, 134.4, 131.9, 130.6, 129.8, 129.5, 127.4, 126.8, 126.6, 125.4, 120.3, 41.3, 34.5, 25.3; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 401.1324; found, 401.1329; mp range $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (38). A 5 mL microwave vial was loaded with 4-chlorothieno[3,2d] pyrimidine 35 a ( $0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 70.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ), 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl) benzoic acid 3 ( $0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 130$ $\mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 270 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 25.0$ $\mathrm{mg})$. The solids were dissolved in a solution of 1,4-dioxane/water ( $3: 1,1.50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The vial was sealed, and the reaction mixture was irradiated at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the remaining solids were suspended with ice/water before the pH was adjusted to $2-3$ with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$. The crude was purified via column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{HOAc}, 95: 4: 1-\right.$ 90:9:1), affording 2-cyclopentyl-4-(thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic acid $38(70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ $(\mathrm{p}, J=8.4,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.2, 162.1, 158.3, 154.7, 146.6, 139.0, 138.9, 134.4, 129.8, 127.6, 126.6, 125.5, 124.5, 41.2, 34.5, 25.4; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 325.1011$; found, 325.1011 ; mp range $205-209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(6-Bromothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (40). A 5 mL microwave vial was loaded with 6-bromo-4chlorothieno $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine $39 b(0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg})$, methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate 4 $(0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 120 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 195 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 23.0 \mathrm{mg})$. The solids were dissolved in a solution of $1,4-$ dioxane/water ( $3: 1,1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The vial was sealed, and the reaction mixture was irradiated at $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the crude was purified via column chromatography ( $0-5 \%$ hexane/EtOAc) to afford methyl 4-(6bromothieno $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 40 (50.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (41). Methyl 4-(6-bromothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $40(0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 45.0 \mathrm{mg})$, phenylboronic acid $(0.12$ mmol, 15.0 mg ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 52.0 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(5$ $\mathrm{mol} \%, 6.00 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were dissolved in a solution of 1,4-dioxane/water $(3: 1,2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the crude was purified via column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ). The material was further purified using preparative TLC silica plates, affording methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoate (25 $\mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid. The methyl ester was saponified as follows: methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[2,3-d] pyrimidin-4yl)benzoate ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 25.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 2.50 $\mathrm{mL}) . \mathrm{LiOH}(0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the solution. This mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Solvents were removed in vacuo; the material was suspended in ice/ water, and the pH was adjusted to $2-3$ with 2 M HCl . The resulting solid was filtrated, thoroughly rinsed with water, and air- and vacuum-
dried, affording 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylthieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4yl)benzoic acid 41 ( $17.0 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.16 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-$ $1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.1,168.8,159.9,153.4,146.8,142.8$, 136.9, 134.9, 130.7, 130.2, 129.4, 129.0, 128.9, 126.7, 124.9, 124.4, 117.7, 41.4, 34.2, 25.1; HRMS (HESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 401.1324$; found, 401.1330 ; mp range $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (42). Prepared following the procedure for 38 using 4 -chlorothieno[2,3d] pyrimidine 35 a ( $70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoic acid 3 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41$ mmol ). After purification, 2-cyclopentyl-4-(thieno[2,3-d] pyrimidin-4yl)benzoic acid $42(72.0 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ was afforded as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.65-1.56 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.3$, $169.3,159.2,153.1,146.2,139.4,133.9,129.5,129.3,127.4,127.4$, 126.4, 120.7, 41.3, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS (HESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 325.1011; found, 325.1013 ; mp range $146-149.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(6-Chloroquinolin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (44). 4,6-Dichloroquinoline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzoate 4 ( $1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 4.80$ mmol ) were dissolved in a $10: 1$ solution of dioxane/water $(27.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(231 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, XPhos $(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.94 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was diluted with EtOAc $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $10-30 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to afford methyl 4-(6-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $44(1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (dd, $J=9.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ (ddd, $J=16.2,12.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.5,145.0,148.1,147.2,146.9,140.4,133.0$, $131.5,131.1,130.5,130.0,128.1,127.2,126.4,124.5,121.8,77.0$, 52.3, 41.8, 35.9, 25.7; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 366.1260; found $m / z, 366.1246$; mp range $137-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinolin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (45). Methyl 4-(6-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate 44 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and phenylboronic acid $(66.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a $10: 1$ solution of dioxane/water $(12 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol ), XPhos ( $29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(264 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81$ mmol ) were added, and the solution was heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Once cooled, the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography ( $10-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinolin-4-yl)benzoate as an intermediate. The intermediate methyl ester was dissolved in dioxane ( 1 mL ) and aq LiOH ( 1 mL of a 1 M solution). The solution was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and then acidified to pH 4 with 1 M aq HCl , causing a precipitate to form. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with cold water $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried under vacuum to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinolin-4$\mathrm{yl})$ benzoic acid 45 ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59 (dd, $J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.71-1.50 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 169.3,146.4$,
145.2, 139.8, 138.9, 138.8, 132.8, 130.7, 129.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.3, 128.1, 127.1, 126.8, 126.2, 123.0, 122.3, 119.4, 99.5, 41.2, 34.5, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 394.1807; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 394.1786; mp range $164-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(quinolin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (46). Prepared following the procedure for 21 using 4-chloroquinoline $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 611$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ). After purification, 2-cyclopentyl-4-(quinolin-4-yl)benzoic acid 46 ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) was afforded as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.8-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (ddd, $J=8.3$, $6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.4,150.2,148.1,146.8,146.6,140.0,132.1,129.6$, 129.6, 129.5, 127.8, 127.2, 126.7, 125.7, 125.2, 121.5, 41.2, 34.5, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} m / z, 316.1338$; found, 316.1339; mp range $259-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-hydroxyquinazolin-4-yl)benzoate (48). Prepared following the procedure for 32 using 4-chloroquina-zolin-6-ol 47b ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After purification, methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-hydroxyquinazolin-4-yl)benzoate 48 ( $63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) was afforded as a thick oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (d, $J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-$ $7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 167.9, 166.2, 154.7, 149.0, 146.4, 138.9, 134.8, 132.6, 132.3, 130.8, 129.4, 128.4, 127.1, 125.2, 122.9, 52.4, 41.3, 34.4, 25.2.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (49). Methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)benzoate SI4 (170 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(1$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The resulting solution was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and allowed to cool to rt, added water $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with ether $(2 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 6)$ and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and concentrated to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(6-phenylquinazolin-4-yl)benzoic acid $49(150 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a light-yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.4,167.0,154.4,149.9,146.1,139.8,139.0,138.8$, 133.5, 133.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.2, 127.1, 123.7, 122.5, 41.2, 34.5, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 393.1607; found, 393.1603; mp range $265-269^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(quinazolin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (50). 4-Chloroquinazoline ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-cyclopentyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl) benzoate $4(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 60.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were loaded into a microwave vial. A solution of dioxane/water $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a $10: 1$ mix) was added, and the vial was flushed with nitrogen. The mixture was irradiated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Once cooled, the aqueous layer was removed and the remaining volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude was purified via column chromatography, and the intermediate ester was isolated. The ester was dissolved in dioxane ( 1 mL ) and aq $\mathrm{LiOH}\left(1 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ of a 1 M solution). The solution was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and then acidified to pH 4 with 1 M aq HCl , causing a precipitate to form. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then dried under vacuum to afford the quinazoline $(128 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$ as a pale-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.03$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (ddd, $J=9.7,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.3,167.0,154.3,150.3,146.0,139.0,134.4,133.5$, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.08, 126.6, 122.3, 41.3, 34.4, 25.2; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / z$, 319.1446; found, $m / z$ 319.1427; mp range $120-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(2-methylquinolin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (52). Prepared following the procedure for 21 using 4-chloro-2-methylquinoline 51 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After purification, 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2-methylquinolin-4-yl)benzoic acid $52(290 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ was afforded as an off-white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.08$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.01 (dd, $J=$ $8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-$ $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.12-2.00 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.56 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 172.6,161.6,151.0,150.0,149.3$, 143.2, 135.4, 132.6, 132.5, 132.0, 130.8, 129.7, 129.3, 128.1, 127.2, 125.3, 44.4, 37.6, 28.4, 27.9; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 332.1651$; found, 332.1642 ; mp range $271-275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(1,6-naphthyridin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (54). Prepared following the procedure for 21 using 4 -chloro- 1,6 -naphthyridine $53(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$. After purification, 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(1,6-naphthyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid 54 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) was afforded as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.14$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.24-9.15 (m, 2 H ), 8.79 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01$ (dd, $J=5.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.84 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.88$, 155.32, $150.85,150.45,147.84,146.88,146.7,138.4,133.1,129.9,128.4$, 127.3, 123.5, 122.3, 121.5, 41.6, 34.8, 25.6; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \mathrm{m} / z$, 319.1447; found, 319.1427; mp range 195-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (56). 4-Chloropyrimidine ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 1,2-DME $(4.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(78$ $\mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $3(503 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the solution was stirred at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite under vacuum. The filter cake was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography $[0-50 \% \operatorname{EtOAc}(0.01 \%$ acetic acid $) /$ hexane] to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic acid 56 ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.92-8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{p}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.3, 158.8, 158.8, 158.3, 146.4, 138.3, 134.5, 129.7, 125.1, 124.2, 117.7, 41.4, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 267.1138; found, 267.1139; mp range $245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(2-phenylpyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (58). 4-Chloro-2-phenylpyrimidine ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(775$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 1,2-DME ( 2.40 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(48.0 \mathrm{mg}, 42.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $3(307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the solution was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite under vacuum. The filter cake was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography [ $0-10 \%$ EtOAc( $0.01 \%$ acetic acid)/hexane] to afford 2 -cyclopentyl-4-(2-phenyl-pyrimidin-4-yl) benzoic acid $58(21 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.16$ (br s, 1H), 9.04-8.95 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{p}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 1.75-1.65 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.4$, 163.4, 162.3, 158.9, 146.4, 138.5, 137.2, 134.5, 131.0, 129.7, 128.8, 127.8, 125.3, 124.3, 115.7, 41.4, 34.4, 25.3; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 345.1598; found, 345.1600; mp range $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Methyl 4-(2-Aminopyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate (60). 4 -Chloropyrimidin-2-amine ( $501 \mathrm{mg}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.81$ $\mathrm{mg}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 1,2-DME ( 8.00 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(238 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.50$
mmol ) were added, and the solution was irradiated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite under vacuum. The filter cake was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/ hexane) to afford methyl 4 -( 2 -aminopyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $60(523 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 168.00,163.81,162.68$, 159.31, 146.28, 139.90, 132.37, 129.51, 124.83, 124.03, 106.29, 52.23, 41.48, 34.34, 25.20; mp range $178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-(2-Aminopyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (61.HCl). Methyl 4-(2-aminopyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoate $60(40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 1,4 -dioxane ( 1.00 $\mathrm{mL}) .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $17 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{HCl}(6.00 \mathrm{M}, 6.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, resulting in precipitate formation. The precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{HCl}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$. The precipitate was dried under reduced pressure to afford 4-(2-aminopyrimidin-4-yl)-2-cyclopentylbenzoic acid hydrochloride $\mathbf{6 1} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.54-8.14$ (br s, 1H) 8.53 (d, $J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2,168.3,157.1,150.3$, 146.1, 136.7, 136.0, 129.5, 126.1, 125.3, 106.5, 41.5, 34.4, 25.2; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 284.1394; found, 284.1394; mp range $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-(2-(phenylamino)pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic Acid Hydrochloride ( $62 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ). Methyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2-(phenylamino)-pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoate SI5 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $1,4-$ dioxane $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}) .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was stirred for at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, resulting in precipitate formation. The precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M})$. The precipitate was dried under reduced pressure to afford 2-cyclopentyl-4-(2-(phenylamino)pyrimidin-4-yl)benzoic acid hydrochloride $(62 \cdot \mathrm{HCl})(12 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.10$ (br s, 1H), $9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.61-8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{p}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-$ $2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 169.3, 162.6, 160.1, 159.3, 146.4, 140.5, 139.0, 134.0, 129.6, 128.4, 125.1, 124.0, 121.5, 119.0, 108.3, 41.2, 34.5, 25.4; HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / z, 360.4365$; found, 360.1712 ; mp range $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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