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#### Abstract

Purpose: This research has been conducted in order to examine the quality of sleep and the factors affecting the sleep quality. Material/Methods: The sample of this descriptive research is comprised of 223 volunteer students studying at Uludağ University Faculty of Health Sciences Department of Nursing. Research datas have been collected through personal features survey and Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). Results: The average result derived from the sample is $6.52 \pm 3.17$. To briefly explain the average of the component scores: subjective sleep quality $1.29 \pm 0.76$, sleep latency $1,55 \pm 0.94$, sleep duration $0.78 \pm 0.99$, habitual sleep activity $0.47 \pm 0.90$, sleep disturbances $0.99 \pm 0.09$, use of sleeping medication $0.12 \pm 0.48$, daytime dysfunction $1.29 \pm 0.90$. It has been observed that there is a meaningful discrepancies between average PSQI results and smoking habits of the students, total daily sleeping hours, efficient waking up times, average daily coffee consumption( $p<0.05$ ). According to the analyses there is no meaningful discrepancies between the age,gender, where the students live,snoozing during the morning classes, the existence of chronic diseases and daily average tea consumption. ( $p>0.05$ ) Conclusions: According to the findings in the light of this research; nursing students have low sleep quality.
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## Introduction

Sleep, which is directly related to health and quality of life, is a basic need for a human being to continue his bio-psycho-social and cultural functions [1]. Sleep affects the quality of life and health, which is also perceived as an important variable $[2,3]$. Feeling energetic and fit after sleeping is descriped as the sleep quality [4]. The fact that, nowadays the complaints about sleep disorder being prevalent, low sleep quality being an indicator of many medical diseases and there is strong relationship between physical ,psychological wellness and sleep; sleep quality is an important concept in the clinic practices and related researches on sleep [5].

Sleeping disorders is a common health problem among adolescants and young adults [6]. There is a general belief that university students do not sleep enough [7]. It has been reported that the the amount and the quality of the sleep of university students has been changed in past few decades and the sleep disorders has been inclined [8]. In the related researches is found that sleeping disorder among university students in various frequencies and amounts [9-11]. Low quality of sleep harms not only the academic success but also behavioral and emotional problems [12], negative emotional status, increase in alcohol and smoking habits [13,14]. In another research, it has been found that, there is a link between sleep quality and pschological wellbeing; more psychological diseases are observed among
university students with low sleep quality [15]. Additionally it is recorded in the medical literature that, sleep quality is affected from the external factors such as gender, academic success, academic background, general health, socio-economic status and the stress level of the person [1,4,7,16].

Nursing students may have sleep issues due to their program being though, time and effortrequiring [3,11]. Because of this matter, students who cannot sleep enough may have various physical,social, psychological problems. Therefore, it is much more important to indicate the sleep quality of the students and the factors affecting. There is a demand for this kind of research since there is only limited amount of related research

## Aim of Study

This research is conducted in order to examine the sleep quality of the Nursing students and the factors affecting it.

## Material and Method

## Subjects

The research sample of this descriptive and cross-sectional research is derived from the population of students studying at Uludag University Faculty of Health Sciences Department of Nursing in the Spring Semester of 2016-2017 academic year ( $\mathrm{N}=450$ ). The sample of the research is 223 volunteer students.

## Instrument

In the research data collection process, personal features survey and Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) has been used. Survey, which is prepared by the researchers scanning the related medical literature, comprises of 11 survey questions. These questions are aimed to indicate the introductory information of the students and the varibles affecting the sleep quality (age, gender, semester, aree of residence, existence of chronic diseases, caffeine consumption level, smoking habits).

Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) usef for examination of the sleep quality of the students; is a scale which assesses the sleep quality and the sleeping disorder in the last one month. Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) is devised by the Buysee et al. [17] is adapted to Turkish by the Agargun et al. [18] and internal consistency coefficient is calculated as 0.80 . In the examination process of PSQI, 19 issues are scored. PSQI has 7 internal components such as subjective sleep quality, duration of sleep, habitual sleeping activity, sleep disturbance, sleep delay, use of sleeping drugs and daytime dysfunctions. Each component is scored between 0-3. Total score varies between 0-21, total PSQI score being <5 shows high sleep quality, $>5$ indicates low sleep quality [18].

## Statistical Analysis

In the data assessment process; frequency, percentage, arithmetic average and Cronbach's alpha is measured. The total score average of the sample was calculated and the normality test was applied to determine the normal distribution of the sample scores According to this analysis, it is observed that the sample scores does not comply with the normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov $\quad \mathrm{Z}=0.143, \quad \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); nonparametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U and Kruskall Wallis were used to examine the difference between the independent variables and sample averages.Scores are provided as average $\pm$ standard deviation and $p<0.05$ is considered as statistically meaningful results

## Ethical Concerns

For the use of the assessment, written permissions are taken via e-mail. For the purpose of the conduct of the survey, written approval from the research commission of the related institution is taken (Decision no: 2017/7). Before application and the approval was obtained from them, students were informed about the research and data collection tools.

## Results

According to the research, average age of the stundets is $20.03 \pm 1,73,68,6 \%$ of them are women. $50.2 \%$ of the students are in I. year, $19.7 \%$ are in II. year, $18.4 \%$ in III. year, \%11.7 of them are in IV. year. $17 \%$ of the students have smoking habits, $56.5 \%$ of the sleep 6-7 hours per day. $26 \%$ of the students consumes $4-7$ cups of tea per day, $19.3 \%$ of them uses 2-3 cups of coffee, $46.6 \%$ of them wake up energetic after sleep, $19.9 \%$ of them have no chronic disease, $41.3 \%$ of them snooze during morning lectures.

The total PSQI average of the students is calculated as $6.52 \pm 3.17$ and the ratio of the students with sleep quality average higher than 5 is $56.1 \%$. (Table1, Table 2) The students internal component score averages are given below: subjective sleep quality $1.29 \pm 0.76$, sleep latency $1,55 \pm 0,94$, sleep duration $0.78 \pm 0.99$, habitual sleep activity $0.47 \pm 0.9$, sleep disturbances $0.99 \pm 0.09$, sleeping drug use $0.12 \pm 0.48$ and daytime dysfunctions $1.29 \pm 0.9$ (Table 1)
Table 1. PSQI total and internal component score averages of the sample

| PSQI Components | $\mathbf{X} \pm$ SS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Subjective Sleep Quality | $1.29 \pm 0.76$ |
| Sleep Latency | $1.55 \pm 0.94$ |
| Sleep Duration | $0.78 \pm 0.99$ |
| Habitual Sleeping Activity | $0.47 \pm 0.90$ |
| Sleep Disturbances | $0.99 \pm 0.09$ |
| Sleeping Drug Usage | $0.12 \pm 0.48$ |
| Daytime Dysfunctions | $1.29 \pm 0.90$ |
| Total PSQI | $\mathbf{6 . 5 2} \pm 3.17$ |

Although total PSQI score average being above 5, only $56.1 \%$ of the students' PSQI averages were above 5 . According to this result nearly half of the students' sleep quality can be considered as low sleep quality (Table 2).

Table 2. PSQI score averages of the sample

|  | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 and below | 98 | 43.9 |
| above 5 | 125 | 56.1 |

In Table 3 personal features of the nursing stdents, the relationship between these features and PSQI scores. According to the table,a statistically meaningful relationship between PSQI score averages amd smoking habit, total daily sleeping hours, waking up energetic and daily average coffee consumption ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); no meaningful relationship is found between PSQI scores and age, gender, semester level, area of residence, preexistence of chronic diseases,

Table 3. Personal feature distribution of the sample students and the relationship between personal features and PSQI scores ( $n: 223$ )

| Personal Features | n | \% | Test Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender <br> Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 153 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.4 \\ 68.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}^{*}=1.36 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.174 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age (Gender) | $20.03 \pm$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r}^{* *}=0.094 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.160 \end{aligned}$ |
| Semester Year <br> 1.Year <br> 2.Year <br> 3.Year <br> 4.Year | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 44 \\ & 41 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2 \\ & 19.7 \\ & 18.4 \\ & 11.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * *}=6.050 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.109 \end{aligned}$ |
| Area of Residence With Family İn Dormitory Alone at Home Sharing flat | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 120 \\ & 10 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \\ & 53.8 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * * *}=3.58 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.310 \end{aligned}$ |
| Preexistence of Chronic Diseases Yes <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 \\ & 91.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}^{*}=1.21 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.226 \end{aligned}$ |
| Smoking Habits Smoking Non-smoking | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 83.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U}^{*}=2.54 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Snoozing during the Lecture Hours Yes <br> No <br> Sometimes | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 35 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.3 \\ & 15.7 \\ & 43.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * * *}=1.59 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.45 \end{aligned}$ |
| Waking Up Energetic Yes <br> No <br> Sometimes | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 90 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & 40.4 \\ & 46.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * *}=26.43 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Sleeping Hours <br> 4-5 hours <br> 6-7 hours <br> 8-9 hours <br> 9 hours and above | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 126 \\ & 57 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 56.5 \\ & 25.6 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * *}=40.06 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tea Consumption <br> 0-3 cups <br> 4-7 cups <br> 8 cups and above | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 58 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.7 \\ & 26.0 \\ & 6.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * *}=2.92 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.231 \end{aligned}$ |
| Coffee consumption <br> 0-1 Cup <br> 2-3 Cup <br> 4 Cups and above | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & 43 \\ & 83 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.1 \\ & 19.3 \\ & 3.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{KW}^{* * *}=10.75 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.005 \end{aligned}$ |

*Mann Whitney U Analysis
**Correlation Analysis
***Kruskal Wallis Analysis

## Discussion

According to the results of this research which we conducted in order examine the affecting nursing students' sleep quality and the factors affecting; $56.1 \%$ of the students have PSQI average of 5 and lower. In the light of this research, we can infer that more than half of the students have low sleep quality. In a similar research in the United States of America, it is observed than $71 \%$ of the students have at least
one sleeping disorder [19]. According to a similar research conducted by Karatay and colleagues [4] 56\% of the nursing students have low sleep. According to Aysan and colleagues' research [3] students with sleep quality scores higher than 5 comprises $59 \%$ of the sample. Similar research in the medical literature points out that university students have low quality of sleep [10,16,20-23]. Our research results justifies the results of researches given above. It is understood from the results of our research
that low sleep quality is an important issue for the nursing students. Extraordinarly apart from our research, according to some similar researches conducted in Turkey less than half of the university students studying in Turkey have sleeping disorders $[14,16]$. We interpret that, this difference may be caused by the choice of a different sample of students.

According to the results of the study, there was a significant difference between students' sleep quality and smoking habits, total sleep hours, resting status in the morning and average daily coffee consumption (Table 3). It is reported that sleeping is important in terms of the health of young adults [3] and it is said that young people need sleep for an average of 9-10 hours per [4,24]. In this study, students who wake up well-rested and sleeping 6-7 hours per day have higher sleep quality. These findings also supports the medical literature.According to Karatay et al. [4], Sari et al. [14] and Vail-Smith and colleagues' [8] studies, smoking students have lower sleep quality compared to nonsmokers. It is known that cigarette contains nicotine which has stimulant effect and it is known that smoking before sleep especially makes it difficult to fall asleep and affects sleep quality negatively. On the other side according to Shcao et al. [25] caffeine containing drinks harms sleep quality. Our study also show parallelism with these findings.

According to the results of this research, it is found that there was no relation between the sleep quality and the age, sex, class level, area of residence, sleepiness in morning classes, presence of chronic diseases and average daily tea consumption (Table 3). Age and gender have been found to be among the factors that may affect sleep quality of individuals, though some studies have shown that some factors such as age, gender, class level and place of residence do not affect sleep quality [3,16]. In this study, it is interpreted that the age factor to be ineffective in sleep quality may be caused by the are in a similar age group. According to researches examining the correlation between gender and sleep quality, females have lower sleep quality than males [3,5,7]. Additionally, first year students' sleep quality may be harmed by these factors; such as their first year curriculum being though, being deprived of family attention, adaptation efforts for a new social environment. Furthermore, considering that the environmental factor on sleep quality is also very effective, it can be assumed that the students living in dormitory stay more crowded rooms and the
sleep quality is lower than the other students. Consequently, our research does not justify the medical literature.

Lund and colleagues [26] pointed out that physical and psychological problems have negative effects of sleep quality. In our study, it is observed that preexistence of chronic diseases does not effect sleep quality. In Saygili and colleagues' research [16] students with chronic diseases have lower sleep quality. Sari and colleagues [14] showed that students confirming to have chronic illnesses have lower sleep quality but this result does not reflect a statistically meaningful relationship between sleep quality and existence of a chronic disease. It is known that chronic diseases related to the respiratory system, especially asthma, are frequently caused by sleep problems and affect sleep quality negatively [16]. The results are not consistent with the literature due to the fact that students who included in the study have declared illnesses which have ambiguous relationship with the sleep quality; since the variety of the chronic diseases are not questioned in this research.

## Conclusion

According to the findings in the light of this research; nursing students have low sleep quality. Additionally, students who do not smoke, sleeps 6-7 hours per day and consuming beverages with caffeine less have a better quality of sleep. To raise awaeness among university students and about the concept of sleep quality and the factors affecting the sleep quality and to increase the quality of sleep quality; panel discussions,seminars and conferences focusing on the relationship between alcohol/caffeine consumption, smoking and the quality of sleep are suggested.
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