ARTICLE



hMENA isoforms impact NSCLC patient outcome through fibronectin/β1 integrin axis

Francesca Di Modugno¹ · Sheila Spada^{1,2} · Belinda Palermo¹ · Paolo Visca³ · Pierluigi lapicca¹ · Anna Di Carlo¹ · Barbara Antoniani³ · Isabella Sperduti⁴ · Anna Di Benedetto³ · Irene Terrenato⁴ · Marcella Mottolese³ · Francesco Gandolfi⁵ · Francesco Facciolo⁶ · Emily I. Chen⁷ · Martin A. Schwartz¹ · Angela Santoni² · Mina J. Bissell¹ · Paola Nisticò¹

Received: 13 November 2017 / Revised: 23 May 2018 / Accepted: 23 May 2018 / Published online: 15 June 2018 © The Author(s) 2018. This article is published with open access

Abstract

We demonstrated previously that the splicing of the actin regulator, hMENA, generates two alternatively expressed isoforms, hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v \delta$, which have opposite functions in cell invasiveness. Their mechanisms of action have remained unclear. Here we report two major findings: (i) hMENA regulates $\beta 1$ integrin expression. This was shown by depleting total hMENA, which led to loss of nuclear expression of serum response factor (SRF)-coactivator myocardin-related transcription factor 1 (MRTF-A), leading to an increase in the G-actin/F-actin ratio crucial for MRTF-A localization. This in turn inhibited SRF activity and the expression of its target gene $\beta 1$ integrin. (ii) hMENA^{11a} reduces and hMENA $\Delta v \delta$ increases $\beta 1$ integrin activation and signaling. Moreover, exogenous expression of hMENA^{11a} in hMENA $\Delta v \delta$ -positive cancer cells dramatically reduces secretion of extracellular matrix (ECM) components, including $\beta 1$ integrin ligands and metalloproteinases. On the other hand, overexpression of the pro-invasive hMENA $\Delta v \delta$ increases fibronectin production. In primary tumors high hMENA^{11a} correlates with low stromal fibronectin and a favorable clinical outcome of early node-negative non-small-cell lung cancer patients. These data provide new insights into the roles of hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v \delta$ in the druggable $\beta 1$ integrin-ECM signaling axis and allow stratification of patient risk, guiding their clinical management.

These authors contributed equally: Francesca Di Modugno and Sheila Spada.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0364-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Paola Nisticò paola.nistico@ifo.gov.it

- ¹ Tumor Immunology and Immunotherapy Unit, IRCCS-Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy
- ² Department of Molecular Medicine, University Sapienza, Rome, Italy
- ³ Laboratory of Pathology, IRCCS-Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy
- ⁴ Biostatistics-Scientific Direction, IRCCS-Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy

Introduction

In order to invade, cancer cells rely on a dynamic remodeling of actin cytoskeleton [1-3].

hMENA (ENAH or MENA) along with VASP and EVL comprise the Ena/VASP family of actin regulatory proteins, which modulate cell–cell adhesion and cell migration [4]. Ena/VASP proteins share specific domains that include the EVH2 domain [5], which binds to G- and F-actin and is

- ⁵ Department of Physics, University Sapienza, Rome, Italy
- ⁶ Thoracic Surgery Unit, IRCCS-Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy
- ⁷ Department of Pharmacology, Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
- ⁸ Yale Cardiovascular Research Center, Departments of Internal Medicine, Cell Biology and Biomedical Engineering, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
- ⁹ Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA



Fig. 1 Expression of hMENA(t) correlates with β 1 integrin in human NSCLC tissues and its silencing reduces β 1 expression and the translocation of the SRF cofactor, MRTF-A, from the nucleus to cytoplasm in lung and breast cancer cell lines expressing hMENA^{11a} or hMENA Δ v6. **a** Spearman correlation between gene expression levels of hMENA(t) (*X*-axis) and ITGB1 (*Y*-axis) in NSCLC cancer samples from TCGA. Gene expression estimates are reported as FPKM values according to the TCGA protocol. The size (number of samples/patients analyzed) of the dataset is reported on the top; statistical results are shown in the bottom-right corner. **b** qRT-PCR analysis of β 1 integrin mRNA expression in the indicated cell lines transfected with control (CNTR), and hMENA(t) smart pool siRNAs. Data are reported as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *p* value was calculated by 2-tailed Student's *t* test. **c**, **d** Western blot analysis of CNTR and

responsible for homo-hetero-tetramerization of Ena/VASP proteins [6]. hMENA contains a unique LERER domain that binds the α 5 integrin cytoplasmic tail, affecting α 5 β 1 signaling [7].

We initially discovered hMENA by serological analysis of recombinant cDNA expression library (SEREX) of a breast tumor with the autologous patient serum [8]. hMENA is overexpressed in primary tumors of different histological origins [9–11] compared to the normal tissues. The gene undergoes a splicing process generating multiple tissuespecific isoforms [12]. We have identified two alternatively expressed isoforms, epithelial specific hMENA^{11a} [13], and mesenchymal specific hMENA $\Delta v6$ [14]. hMENA^{11a} antagonizes whereas hMENA $\Delta v6$ promotes the invasive ability of cancer cells [10, 11, 14]. In pancreatic cancer cells, expression of hMENA $\Delta v6$, along with a lack of hMENA^{11a}, is crucial for SMAD2-mediated-TGF^β signaling and invasiveness [11]. In ovarian cancer, we have recently described an essential function of hMENA/hME-NA $\Delta v6$ for endothelin1/ β -arrestin1-induced invadopodial activity and cancer progression [15].

sihMENA(t) lung tumor cell lines expressing hMENA $\Delta v6$ (c) or hMENA^{11a} (d) with the indicated antibodies. Note that $\beta 3$ or $\beta 4$ integrin expression is not affected by hMENA silencing. e Immuno-fluorescence staining of MRTF-A in A549, H1975, and BT549 cells transfected with nontargeting siRNA (CNTR) or total hMENA(t) siRNA. Scale bar = 30 µm, magnification ×63. Quantification of the MRTF-A subcellular distribution patterns, cytoplasmic (C) or nuclear and cytoplasmic (N/C), is shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. f Western blot analysis of MRTF-A expression in the nucleus/cytosolic extracts of A549 cells. Anti-MRTF-A Ab immunoreactivity was determined by densitometric quantification using ImageJ, and normalized in relation to TUBULIN in the cytosolic and LAMIN A/C in the nuclear compartments

We reported previously that the hMENA isoform expression pattern is a powerful prognostic factor in a couple of cancers, with high overall hMENA (including hMENA $\Delta v6$) and low hMENA^{11a} expression, identifying early non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and pancreatic cancer patients with poor prognosis [10, 11].

Changes in β 1 integrin expression have been reported in mammary tumor tissues and have been associated with tissue disorganization, increased tumor aggressiveness, and metastasis [16–19]. One of the factors involved in regulation of β 1 integrin expression is the serum-response transcription factor (SRF)/myocardin-related transcription factor (MRTF) complex, which binds directly to the promoter of the β 1 integrin gene [20–22]. MRTF-A is retained in the cytoplasm by interacting with cytoplasmic G-actin; dissociation of this complex due to actin polymerization enables MRTF-A to translocate to the nucleus and to activate SRF-mediated gene transcription [23]. Ena/VASP proteins are well-established actin polymerases and anticapping factors that drive F-actin assembly [24, 25] and play an essential role in F-actin homeostasis [26]. Furthermore, Ena/VASP proteins and Mena specifically have previously been shown to regulate SRF activity in fibroblasts [27]. The β 1 integrin signaling, through the focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-associated pathway, is one of the central mediators of cell migration and invasion [28, 29], and the activation depends on integrin conformational changes modulating the affinity for the ligands [30]. After binding of fibronectin (FN1) to α 5 β 1, the FN1 self-association induces signaling that promotes actin cytoskeleton remodeling and cell contractility [31, 32]. In patients with breast cancer [17, 33, 34] and NSCLC [35], expression of FN1 and α 5 β 1 was shown to be associated with poor prognosis, and in breast cancer expression of both MENA and MENA^{INV} was significantly correlated with FN, and to a lesser degree with α 5 in patients with worst prognosis [7].

Here we demonstrate that hMENA controls $\beta 1$ integrin expression, and provide new insights into the role of the actin regulator hMENA in the activity of the transcription factor SRF. Our findings indicate that the opposite functions of hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v 6$ in cancer cell invasion are due to their different abilities to activate $\beta 1$ integrin signaling and to affect the secretion of several key extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, including $\beta 1$ integrin ligands. We propose that hMENA and its alternatively expressed isoforms are checkpoints of the targetable $\beta 1$ integrin-ECM signaling pathway.

That early node-negative NSCLC patients show a prolonged disease-free survival (DFS) when expressing high hMENA^{11a}/low stromal FN, offers new insights into the clinical management of these patients.

Results

In lung cancer hMENA correlates with β 1 integrin expression and regulates nuclear MRTF-A level, SRF activity, and β 1 integrin expression

We demonstrated previously that hMENA is overexpressed during lung, breast, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) tumor progression [9–11]. Others have shown that Mena interacts with α 5 integrin influencing α 5 β 1 signaling [7]. Considering the role of β 1 integrin in cancer cell invasiveness and tumor progression [33–39], we evaluated whether hMENA and its isoforms influence this integrin's expression and signaling.

We analyzed whether the *total* hMENA, hereafter hMENA(t), transcription correlates with β 1 integrin in a patient dataset from the TCGA database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), encompassing tumor samples from 472 NSCLC without lymph node involvement. We found a significant positive association between hMENA(t) and β 1 integrin gene expression (Fig. 1a).

We explored the effect of hMENA(t) silencing on $\beta 1$ integrin expression in a panel of lung cancer cell lines as well as breast cancer cells. In the invasive hMENA $\Delta v6$ positive/hMENA^{11a}-negative A549, CALU1, and BT549, as well as in the hMENA^{11a}-positive/hMENA Δ v6-negative H1975 and H1650 cells, the hMENA(t) silencing (performed by siGENOME SMARTpool Human ENAH) led to a dramatic reduction of β 1 integrin RNA and protein expression regardless of the pattern of hMENA isoforms expressed (Fig. 1b-d and Supplementary Figure 1a-c). Similar results were obtained by transiently transfecting H1650, BT549, and A549 cells with MISSION® shRNA Plasmid DNA-ENAH human (Supplementary Figure 1d). These results were confirmed by data of RNA-seq analysis performed in H1650 sihMENA(t) versus control cells, showing a significant reduction of ITGB1 (not shown). Reduction of $\beta 1$ integrin occurred in parallel with a reduction of $\alpha 5$ integrin, as shown by Western blot (WB) analysis (Supplementary Figure 1c), and of α 3 and α 6, as measured by FACS analysis (Supplementary Figure 1e). However, neither β 3 nor β 4 integrins were inhibited, indicating specificity for β 1 (Fig. 1c, d). Interestingly, we found that silencing of β 1 integrin in the invasive BT549 cells did not affect hMENA(t) expression (Supplementary Figure 1b, c).

 β 1 integrin gene expression is regulated by SRF [20, 21], and the SRF transcriptional activity occurs after binding to the MRTF-A cofactor. We confirmed these data in BT549 cells (Supplementary Figure 2c), and then explored whether hMENA participates in the regulation of the SRF/MRTF-A complex formation. We saw that nuclear MRTF-A expression was decreased strongly by hMENA(t) depletion in all the cell lines analyzed (A549, H1975, and BT549) (Fig. 1e). Accordingly, biochemical analysis showed that hMENA(t) silencing induced a translocation of MRTF-A from the nucleus to cytoplasm (Fig. 1e, f and Supplementary Figure 2a). MRTF-A is retained in the cytoplasm by its interaction with G-actin, and the dissociation of this complex due to actin polymerization enables MRTF-A to translocate to the nucleus and activate SRF-mediated gene transcription [23]. We hypothesized that hMENA(t) affects MRTF-A subcellular localization by regulating actin dynamics. Indeed, we observed that when BT549 cells were labeled with Pan-hMENA Ab and phalloidin, hMENA(t) was localized at the tips of actin filaments. Depletion of hMENA(t) resulted in abrogation of stress fiber, actin reorganization, and a dramatic cell shape change (Supplementary Figure 2b). The assessment of G-actin/F-actin ratio, reflecting the balance between actin polymerization and depolymerization, showed a decrease in F-actin with a significant increase in the G-actin/F-actin ratio in hMENA (t)-silenced BT549 cells with respect to control cells (Supplementary Figure 2d).



CNTR: BT549 ∆v6 pos/11a neg 11a#14; 11a#104: BT549 transfected with 11a

Fig. 2 hMENA $\Delta v6$ localizes at focal adhesions and its overexpression increases the β 1 integrin activation, whereas hMENA^{11a} transfection in hMENAΔv6-expressing BT549 cells induces morphological changes, inhibits β 1 integrin activation, and the downstream signaling pathway. a Confocal analysis with the indicated antibodies (red) of BT549 cells transfected with hMENA $\Delta v6$ -GFP (green). Magnification ×63. Scale bar = 20 μ m. **b** Percentage of active cell surface β 1 integrin (9EG7) with respect to total β 1 integrin (TS2-16) analyzed by flow cytometry in DAL, BT549, and A549 cells transfected with empty vector (CNTR) or hMENAAv6 showing that hMENAAv6 transfection increases the activation of $\beta 1$ integrin. Data are reported as the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments. p value was calculated by 2tailed Student's t test. The upper panel shows Western blot analysis with Pan-hMENA Ab. c Matrigel invasion assay performed on A549 cells (50,000 cells; 24 h of invasion), transiently transfected with the empty vector (CNTR) or hMENA $\Delta v6$, and treated with $\beta 1$ integrin blocking antibody AIIB2 or with IgG1 isotype control toward serum. The assay was repeated three times, performed in triplicate each time. Standard deviations are indicated. p value was calculated by 2-tailed Student's t test. Inset: WB analysis of the A549 cells to verify hME-NAAv6 transfection. d Phase-contrast microscopy images of BT549

The expression of SRF was not influenced by hMENA(t) silencing in BT549 cells (Supplementary Figure 2e). However, the analysis of the effect of hMENA(t) depletion on SRF activity by serum response element (SRE)-reporter assay experiments showed that BT549 cells had a

cells transfected with empty vector (CNTR) and hMENA^{11a}-expressing cell clones (#14 and #104) when grown for 10 days in 3D on lrECM. Magnification $\times 40$. e Percentage of active $\beta 1$ integrin (9EG7) with respect to total β 1 integrin (TS2-16) analyzed by flow cytometry in BT549 CNTR (hMENA^{11a} negative/hMENA $\Delta v6$ positive) or hMENA^{11a}-expressing clones, showing that hMENA^{11a} transfection reduces the activation of $\beta 1$ integrin. Data are reported as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. p value was calculated by 2tailed Student's t test. Representative histograms of flow cytometric staining for 9EG7 in BT549 CNTR or hMENA^{11a}--expressing clones are shown. f WB analysis of BT549 CNTR or hMENA^{11a}-expressing clones with the indicated antibodies, showing that hMENA^{11a} transfection reduces the phosphorylation of $\beta 1$ integrin signaling partners, TALIN, SRC, and FAK. Immunoreactivity was determined by ImageJ and phosphoproteins were normalized in comparison with the respective total proteins (TALIN, SRC, and FAK). Numbers indicate the fold changes. The fold reduction of phoshorylated protein expression in hMENA^{11a}-expressing clones is reported on the right. Data are reported as the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments

significant reduction of SRF activity, comparable to the effect observed using the SRF inhibitor CCG1423 in relation to control cells (Supplementary Figure 2f).

These data show that hMENA affects MRTF-A nuclear translocation and SRF activity independently of the pattern



Fig. 3 hMENA^{11a} transfection significantly reduces ECM components in the secretome of breast tumor cells. **a** Heat map of proteins found to be differentially secreted in BT549 CNTR cells expressing hME-NA $\Delta v6$ and in hMENA^{11a}-expressing cell clones (#14 and #104) (left). Analysis was conducted on three biological replicates. *T*-tests and Benjamini and Hochberg's FDR Control Algorithm was applied to the resulting large-scale data and FDR threshold used to generate the differentially expressed protein list. Fold change rank of proteins associated with ECM composition (right). **b** Heat map of proteins

found to be differentially secreted across DAL CNTR cells and hMENA^{11a} stable transfectants (left). Analysis was conducted on four biological replicates. Fold change rank of proteins associated with ECM composition also identified in BT549 cells (right). Western blot analysis of DAL CNTR and DAL/hMENA^{11a} cells with the indicated Abs (inset). **c** Three networks identified by upstream regulator algorithm with predicted *z*-score action using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)

of expressed isoforms, suggesting that hMENA sustains β 1 integrin expression by affecting SRF activity.

hMENA^{11a} and hMENA Δ v6 isoforms regulate β 1 integrin activation in opposite directions

Previously we reported that the alternatively expressed hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v6$ isoforms have opposite functions in cell invasiveness of breast, lung, and pancreatic tumors [10, 11, 14]. This general mechanism is of great clinical relevance in early NSCLC patients, where high PanhMENA and low hMENA^{11a} were the only independent

predictors of shorter DFS [10]. We suspected that the opposite roles of these isoforms on cancer cell invasiveness may be dictated by a different effect on β 1 integrin activation. Since we have previously reported the pro-invasive role of hMENA Δ v6 in lung cancer cells [10], we silenced SRF and found the abrogation of this hMENA Δ v6 function in A549 cells (Supplementary Figure 3).

We examined the role of hMENA isoforms in $\beta 1$ integrin activation in invasive hMENA/hMENA $\Delta v 6$ -positive cancer cell lines. A clear colocalization of hMENA/ hMENA $\Delta v 6$ and the active form of $\beta 1$ integrin was revealed by immunofluorescence analysis using PanhMENA Ab and 9EG7 Ab, specific for the extended (active) conformation of $\beta 1$ integrin [40]. The hMENA(t) fluorescence intensity is enriched in the areas of active $\beta 1$ integrin clusters, which were completely abrogated by hMENA(t) silencing as evidenced by 9EG7 staining (Supplementary Figure 4a, b). To assess whether this dramatic effect is related to the reduction of $\beta 1$ integrin expression caused by hMENA(t) silencing, we evaluated the ratio between the active $\beta 1$ integrin (9EG7) and the total β1 integrin expression (TS2-16). TS2-16 binds to the head of $\beta 1$ integrin either in bent or in extended conformation [30]. We found that hMENA(t) depletion strongly reduced the percentage of active $\beta 1$ integrin with respect to the total level of β 1 integrin, in both breast BT549 and lung A549 cancer cells (Supplementary Figure 4c, d). Importantly, the silencing of VASP, the other Ena/ VASP member, did not reduce the expression and activation of β 1 integrin (Supplementary Figure 4e, f).

To confirm the specific role of hMENA $\Delta v6$ isoform in β1 integrin activation, we transiently transfected this isoform in BT549 cells. The hMENA $\Delta v6$ isoform tagged with GFP localized at focal adhesion with the active β 1 integrin and with P-FAK and P-paxillin (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, we observed a significant increase in $\beta 1$ integrin activation (Fig. 2b). This effect is even more evident when we stably transfected DAL, a breast cancer cell line that, unlike BT549, is poorly invasive and expresses undetectable levels of hMENA isoforms [14] and low levels of β 1 integrin (Fig. 2b). We observed the hMENA Δ v6-mediated increase in β 1 integrin activation also in the A549 lung cancer cell line (Fig. 2b). The confocal analysis show a higher intensity of P-FAK and P-paxillin in the cells transfected with hME-NA Δ v6-GFP with respect to the neighboring untransfected cells (Supplementary Figure 5a, b).

To assess whether $\beta 1$ integrin activation contributes to hMENA $\Delta v6$ pro-invasive function, we treated the A549 cells that were transiently transfected with hMENA $\Delta v6$, with the β 1 integrin blocking antibody AIIB2. Matrigel invasion assay demonstrated that $\beta 1$ integrin inhibition hampered cell invasion and impeded the pro-invasive effect of hMENA $\Delta v6$ transfection (Fig. 2c), suggesting that hMENA $\Delta v6$ exerts its pro-invasive role by activating $\beta 1$ integrin. We evaluated whether the dominant anti-invasive hMENA^{11a} [14] exerted an inhibitory effect on β 1 integrin activation. hMENA^{11a}-transfected BT549 cells were cloned and, when grown in 3D on laminin-rich ECM (lrECM), showed a "mass" morphology compared to the "stellate" morphology of BT549 control cells (Fig. 2d for clones #14 and #104). In addition, we observed a significant reduction of the extended β 1 integrin conformation staining using 9EG7 in the two hMENA^{11a} clones analyzed (Fig. 2e) with respect to control. Interestingly, we found a significant reduction of the phosphorylation of talin and of the $\beta 1$

integrin downstream signaling partners, FAK, SRC (Fig. 2f), and paxillin (Supplementary Figure 6a, b), suggesting that hMENA^{11a} inhibits the β 1 integrin activation pathway. Notably, this occurred also in BT549 cells transduced with the splicing regulator ESRP1, which includes the 11a exon [41] (Supplementary Figure 6a). On the contrary, the transfection of hMENA Δ v6 in DAL and A549 cells increases the phosphorylation status of talin and FAK (Supplementary Figure 5c, d).

Proteomic analysis reveals that hMENA^{11a} expression modifies the ECM composition

Our observation that the anti-invasive hMENA^{11a} isoform reduced β 1 integrin activity prompted us to perform proteomic analysis of the secretome of two BT549 hMENA^{11a}-transfected clones and control cells (CNTR).

Among the 1721 quantifiable proteins identified in the conditioned medium (CM) of the cells, we observed 373 proteins (10% false discovery rate (FDR), q = 0.1) and 255 proteins (5% FDR, q = 0.05), which were significantly different in the two hMENA^{11a}-transfected clones compared to control cells (Fig. 3a). Upstream regulator analysis from Ingenuity Pathway (Qiagen, MD) of differentially expressed proteins identifies the down-regulation of several important signaling pathways in the BT549/hMENA^{11a} clones. TGF β 1 signaling pathways were the most significant (activation *z*-score = -4389, *p* value = 112E-20), along with the pathways of FN1 (activation *z*-score = -2472, *p* value = 179E-06) and β 1 integrin (activation *z*-score = -2182, *p* value = 0.000282) (Fig. 3c).

Many of these proteins are identified as ECM molecules (by GeneOntology) and are associated with β 1 integrin activation (Fig. 3a). Moreover, among the significantly reduced secreted proteins we found the colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), in agreement with previous data in MTLn3 mammary tumors reporting that increased MENA^{11a} expression correlates with decreased expression of CSF1 [42].

We confirmed these data in DAL cancer cell line, the only cell line that expresses undetectable hMENA(t), stably transfected with hMENA^{11a}. Secretome analysis showed that among 1811 quantifiable proteins (Supplementary Table 1), 1324 were significantly different between the transfected hMENA^{11a} and the control CM (Fig. 3b). Among these differentially expressed proteins, we showed the significant reduction of β 1 integrin ligands in both BT549 hMENA^{11a} and DAL hMENA^{11a} compared to the CM from their respective control cells. Apart from ECM components, we found also that hMENA^{11a}-transfected cells showed a reduced secretion of ECM remodeling enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) (Fig. 3a, b), in agreement with our recent observation in pancreatic cancer cell lines [11]. To gain insight into the



Fig. 4 hMENA $\Delta v6$ increases and hMENA^{11a} reduces the FN1 expression in NSCLC cell lines and low level of FN1 in the stroma of high hMENA^{11a} expressing tumors is a good prognostic factor in early node-negative NSCLC patients. **a** Western blot analysis of A549 cells transfected with empty vector (CNTR), hMENA $\Delta v6$, and hMENA^{11a} with the indicated Abs. The fold increase or reduction of FN1 protein expression in hMENA $\Delta v6$ - or hMENA^{11a}-transfected cells of different experiments is reported on the right. Data are reported as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. **b** Consecutive sections of

mechanism underlying the effects of the hMENA^{11a} on β 1 integrin ligand secretion and to analyze whether hMENA $\Delta v6$ plays an opposite role, we analyzed the expression of FN1 in DAL cells transfected with hMENA^{11a} or hMENA $\Delta v6$. The FN1 transcript is strongly induced by hMENA $\Delta v6$, but not affected by hMENA^{11a} (Supplementary Figure 7d). At the protein level, results showed that transfection of hMENA^{11a} reduces whereas hMENA $\Delta v6$ increases the level of FN1 protein both in the CM as well as in the DAL cell lysates (Supplementary Figure 7a-c). At the functional level, the reduction of β 1 integrin activation observed in the hMENA^{11a} /BT549 cell clones is abrogated when the assay is performed on cells grown for 1 h on FN1 (Supplementary Figure 7e). These data suggest that hMENA^{11a} has a dominant antiinvasive role due to its ability to inhibit both the secretion of pro-invasive ß1 integrin ligands such as fibronectin and ECM degrading enzymes such as MMP2.

representative cases of NSCLC decorated with Pan-hMENA, hME-NA^{11a}, and FN1 Abs. All magnification values are $\times 20$. Scale bar = 30 µm. **c** Immunohistochemical characterization of 114 node-negative NSCLC tissues showing that hybMENA^{11a} pos (high hMENA^{11a} /low hMENA [t]) cases more frequently express low FN1 level in the stroma. *p* value was estimated with Fisher Exact test. **d–f** Kaplan–Meier estimate of disease-free survival (DFS) of resected, node-negative, NSCLC patients according to dichotomized hybME-NA^{11a} and stromal FN1 expression

High hMENA^{11a} along with low stromal FN1 expression prolongs the disease-free survival of early node-negative NSCLC patients

Previously we noted that hMENA^{11a} is a useful prognostic factor in node-negative NSCLC patients [10]. Given the results of mass spectrometry analysis showing that a high ratio of hMENA^{11a}/hMENA Δ v6 expression decreases the secretion of a protein cluster belonging to the FN1 pathway, and given the relevance of FN1 expression in lung cancer [43], we evaluated the effect of hMENA^{11a} and hME-NA Δ v6 transfection on FN1 expression in lung cancer cell lines. Results showed that transfection of hMENA^{11a} reduces whereas hMENA Δ v6 increases the level of FN1 protein (Fig. 4a). To confirm the clinical relevance of these experimental results, we analyzed FN1 expression in 114 tissues of early node-negative NSCLC patients (patient



Fig. 5 Working model: a hMENA(t) regulates nuclear MRTF-A localization, SRF activity, and $\beta 1$ integrin expression. Tumor cells overexpressing hMENA(t) show nuclear MRTF-A localization, active SRF, and overexpress $\beta 1$ integrin. hMENA(t) depletion (below) inactivates SRF and reduces $\beta 1$ integrin expression by increasing the

G/F-actin ratio and retaining MRTF-A in the cytoplasm. **b** hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v \delta$ isoforms have opposite functions in the $\beta 1$ integrin activity, ECM composition and is associated with the clinical outcome of node-negative NSCLC patients

characteristics are reported in Supplementary Table 2), in parallel with Pan-hMENA and hMENA^{11a} staining, scored as hybMENA^{11a}-positive (which denotes cases with hME-NA^{11a} high/hMENA(t) low) or -negative (all other cases including tumors expressing hMENA $\Delta v6$) [10]. We found that FN1 expression in the tumor stroma is distributed differently in hybMENA^{11a}-positive or -negative tumors (Fig. 4b, c) (p = 0.03). High FN1 was significantly more expressed in the hybMENA^{11a}-negative group, which included tumors expressing hMENA $\Delta v6$. In contrast, hyb-MENA^{11a}-positive tumors express essentially a low FN1 level in the stroma, in agreement with the proteomic data obtained for cancer cell lines. Of clinical relevance, Kaplan-Meier curves for DFS, according to the combination of the two variables, indicate that 72.5% of patients with hybMENA^{11a}-positive and low FN1 are disease free at 5 years (p < 0.0001), whereas when we considered only hybMENA^{11a} expression, we found that 63.5% of the patients were disease free at 5 years (Fig. 4d-f). These data confirm, but also strengthen the conclusion that the combination of high hMENA^{11a} and low stromal FN1 is a promising prognostic factor in early node-negative NSCLC patients (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The bidirectional transmission of information among actin cytoskeleton, integrins, and ECM has been widely studied in cancer invasion [1], and an increase in the β 1 integrin level has clearly been shown to increase the growth rate of breast cancer cells [37] and be directly associated with malignant phenotype in three-dimensional assays [16, 44, 33, 38, 45–47]. Knowledge of the link between cytoskeletal actin dynamics and gene expression is growing continuously, and our present findings indicate that the actin cytoskeleton regulatory protein, hMENA, controls the level of expression of β 1, and that the alternatively expressed hMENA ^{11a} and hMENA Δv 6 isoforms inhibit or activate β 1 integrin signaling, respectively.

Analyzing a cohort of TGCA node-negative NSCLC patient data, we demonstrated a significant correlation between hMENA and β 1 integrin expression (Fig. 1a). To attack the mechanistic basis linking hMENA and β 1 integrin expression, we performed a series of molecular and biochemical studies in a panel of NSCLC and breast cancer cell lines. We demonstrated that hMENA controls β 1 integrin expression, but does not affect the expression of other integrins such as β 3 and β 4 integrins (Fig. 1c, d) implicated in cancer cell motility and tumor progression.

 β 1 integrin is a target gene of SRF [20], crucial in the communication between the actin cytoskeleton and the genome, representing a model of the link between cytoarchitecture and gene activity [48, 49]. Our data that the depletion of all hMENA isoforms inhibits the nuclear expression of the cofactor MRTF-A (Fig. 1e) indicate a role of hMENA in SRF/MRTF-A circuit. This is in line with our previous data reporting that hMENA and its isoforms may affect the nuclear localization of transcription factors such as FOXO3A [50] and SMAD2 [11]. Indeed, the silencing of hMENA isoforms in PDAC invasive cancer cell lines abrogated SMAD2 phosphorylation and its nuclear localization, impairing the TGF β -mediated EMT [11], suggesting that hMENA may control the activity of this and other transcription factors. Importantly, we showed that hMENA silencing increases the G-actin/F-actin ratio, crucial for MRTF-A subcellular localization, and reduces the activity of SRF (Supplementary Figure 2d, f). Although further studies are needed to unequivocally prove some of the above, we can hypothesize that, by affecting the G-actin/Factin ratio, hMENA may be of importance in the control of nuclear transcriptional activity that favors expression of genes involved in tumor cell motility and tumor progression. The Rho-GTPase Cdc42 was shown to similarly promote cancer cell transendothelial migration by regulating *β*1 integrin gene transcription through SRF-mediated activation [22].

Integrin activation is coordinated by actin cytoskeleton dynamics [51]. We have demonstrated previously that the alternatively spliced hMENA isoforms impact cytoarchitecture [10] and are linked to malignant progression in a 3D model of human breast cancer progression [14]. Using a panel of NSCLC and breast cell lines, we have also found that hMENA regulates β 1 integrin, independently of the pattern of hMENA isoform expression (Fig. 1b–d). To search for the mechanisms by which hMENA ^{11a} exerts an anti-invasive and hMENA Δ v6- a pro-invasive function in the absence of hMENA^{11a}, we focused on the role of these two isoforms in β 1 integrin activation.

The β 1 activation status depends on integrin conformational changes that modulate the affinity for the ligands, the recruitment of adaptor proteins, and the phosphorylation of downstream signaling partners (i.e., FAK, SRC, and paxillin) [52]. Using antibodies able to specifically bind the different conformations of β 1 integrin [30], we showed that the different roles of hMENA isoforms are dictated by the status of β 1 integrin activation. In fact, our gain and loss of function experiments (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figures 4 and 5) show that hMENA $\Delta v6$ induces active $\beta 1$ integrin expression. The observation that hMENA $\Delta v6$ localizes to clusters of active B1 integrin, FAK, and paxillin at focal adhesions (Fig. 2a) sustains its functional participation. In cell invasion assays, we demonstrated that β 1 integrin inhibition hampered cell invasion and impeded the proinvasive effect of hMENA $\Delta v6$ transfection (Fig. 2c), indicating that the pro-invasive function of hMENA $\Delta v6$ is mediated by its ability to activate β 1 integrin. Conversely, we showed that transfection of the anti-invasive hMENA^{11a} dramatically reduced activation of $\beta 1$ integrin and phosphorylation of talin, reported to be required for $\beta 1$ integrin activation [53], FAK, SRC (Fig. 2f), and paxillin. We obtained similar results when expression of hMENA^{11a} was induced via its splicing regulator ESRP1 (Supplementary Figure 6a).

We hypothesize that hMENA^{11a} and hMENA $\Delta v 6$. respectively, inhibit or induce the release of the bent inactive conformation of $\beta 1$ integrin by interacting with different adaptor molecules. This has been reported for VASP, the other Ena/VASP family member, that when associates with Rap1-GTP-interacting adaptor molecule (RIAM) at focal adhesions increases the talin binding to $\beta 1$ integrin and its inside-out activation [54]. Whereas in the current studies VASP silencing does not affect β1 integrin activation (Supplementary Figure 4e, f), the hypothesis that the two isoforms may differentially influence the inside-out $\beta 1$ integrin activation is supported by the increase/decrease of talin phosphorylation induced by transfection of either hMENA $\Delta v6$ or hMENA^{11a}, respectively (Supplementary Figure 5c, d and Fig. 2f). We could also speculate that the two isoforms may bind with different affinities to $\alpha 5$ integrin, causing a diverse $\alpha 5\beta 1$ receptor maturation as shown for MENA^{INV} [7], a MENA isoform described as relevant in cancer cell invasiveness, but not restricted to mesenchymal-like invasive cancer cells as we have demonstrated for hMENA $\Delta v 6$. However, further studies are needed to clarify whether hMENA Δ v6 has an increased or distinct activity compared to the coexpressed canonical hMENA.

The findings show clearly that hMENA^{11a} transfection in cells that do not contain this isoform inhibits different ECM proteins. In view of the recent published results on the novel role for Mena in the regulation of local translation of specific mRNAs in developing axons, including FN1 mRNA, it could be posited that hMENA^{11a} restrains the post-transcriptional effect of hMENA [55]. Our data show that hMENA^{11a} inhibits the secretion of β 1 integrin ligand collagens, laminin, and fibronectin (Fig. 3a, b), suggesting a

mechanism underlying the hMENA^{11a}-mediated reduction of β 1 integrin activation, supported by the abrogation of this reduction when the assay is performed on cells grown on FN1 (Supplementary Figure 7e). Indeed, it was shown [56] that binding of ECM ligands to the high-affinity conformation of β 1 integrin induces outside-in activation with the recruitment of a signaling cascade leading to actin cytoskeleton rearrangements. The clear correlation between the isoform composition and invasion, as well as changes in the pattern of ECM proteins and TGF^β pathway, strongly point to the clinical relevance of our findings. Another conclusion of this study is the need to pay serious attention to the patterns of protein expression in the stroma of node-negative NSCLC patients in both prognosis and treatment. Thus a combination of high hMENA^{11a} and low stromal FN1 in the lung cancer tissues would predict a favorable outcome and the opposite would be true for high hMENA $\Delta v6$ and high FN1. This would create new opportunities for the ongoing debate of the clinical management of these patients.

Materials and methods

Details regarding materials and cell lines and cultures and information relative to patients and tissue specimens can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

TCGA analysis

The analysis of correlation between hMENA and β 1 integrin gene expression was carried out in patient dataset from the TCGA database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), encompassing 472 samples of NSCLC without lymph node involvement. The analysis is described in detail in the Supplementary Information.

Immunohistochemistry

Pan-hMENA (mouse, clone 21, 610693, BD Biosciences), hMENA^{11a} [13], and FN1 (mouse, IST-4, F0916, Sigma-Aldrich) immunoreactions were revealed by Bond Polymer Refine Detection (Leica Biosystem, Milan, Italy) on an automated autostainer (BondTM Max, Leica).

Staining for hMENA(t) and hMENA^{11a} was evaluated as previously reported [10] on whole tissue sections.

Stromal FN1 was scored using a scale from 0 to 3 (score 0: no staining, score 1: weak, 2: moderate, and 3: strong). For statistical analysis grades 0–1 were merged and labeled as "Low," whereas grades 2–3 were combined and labeled as "High."

Evaluation of the immunohistochemistry results was performed independently by two investigators (MM and PV) blinded to patient data.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA)

SMARTpool small interfering RNAs (GEHealthcare, Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) were used for hMENA (t), β 1 integrin, and SRF silencing as previously reported [11] and detailed in supplementary materials and methods. hMENA knockdown was also obtained by transient transfection of MISSION[®] shRNA Plasmid DNA-ENAH human-TRCN0000303614 (Sigma-Aldrich) targeting the 3'UTR region.

Transfections

A 3×10^5 cells/well were plated in six-well plates and the next day transfected with 2.5 µg/ml *hMENA*^{11a}, *hMENA*Δ*v*6 cDNA (untagged or GFP-tagged), or with vector alone (pcDNA3), using LipofectAMINE2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Stable transfectants were obtained by selecting transfected cells with 500 µg/ml of G418 (Invitrogen). Clones of BT549 breast cancer cells retrovirally infected with pMSCV-hMENA^{11a} vector were selected from the bulk cell culture by limiting dilution. BT549 ESRP1 transduced cells were obtained as previously reported [14].

WB analysis

Protein extracts and WB analysis were performed as previously reported [14]. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were obtained using NE-PER Nuclear Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA).

Antibodies used are reported in Supplementary Material and Methods.

Densitometric quantitation of antibody immunoreactivity was determined by Image J 1.49 v program (NIH) and normalized as reported in figure legends.

RNA extraction and real-time PCR

RNA extraction and real-time PCR were performed as previously described [14] and detailed information are reported in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

SRF activity, luciferase reporter assay

Luciferase reporter assay was performed using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega). Cells seeded in a 96-well plate in triplicates were concurrently transfected with hMENA(t) or CNTR siRNAs, and SRE-driven firefly luciferase reporter plasmid and Renilla luciferase pRL-TK control plasmid (SRE Reporter assay kit, Quigen) with Cignal dual-luciferase SRE Reporter. After 72 h, 75 µl of Dual-Glo Luciferase Reagent was added to the culture medium and after 10 min the firefly luciferase and Renilla luminescence were measured.

Untranfected BT549 cells treated with CCG1423 (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor MI, USA) were used as control of SRF activity inhibition.

Data are shown as relative firefly luciferase expression normalized to Renilla luciferase.

Immunofluorescence

Cells transfected with siRNAs or hMENA isoform vectors were analyzed by immunofluorescence as previously reported [14] and as detailed in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

G-actin/F-actin in vivo assay

The amount of filamentous actin (F-actin) content versus free globular-actin (G-actin) content was determined using G-actin/F-actin in vivo assay Biochem kit (Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO). The samples obtained were analyzed for actin quantification by SDS-PAGE and WB.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was performed on cells grown on uncoated plates or on FN1 (25 µg/ml) coated culture plates for 1 h and mechanically detached from the plates by the use of a scraper. Washing steps and antibody incubations were performed in 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3% dialyzed FCS, 0.1% azide, containing either 1 mM each Mg2+ and Ca2+ or 0.2 mM Mn2+ (as a positive control of β1 integrin activation). Cells were stained with 9EG7 mAb or TS2-16 mAb, for 30 min at room temperature. After washing, cells were incubated in FITC-labeled antirat (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX, USA) or antimouse (Cappel Laboratories, West Chester, PA, USA) antibodies, respectively. Cells were acquired on a BD FACSCantoII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FACSDiva and CellQuest software (BD Biosciences). Dead cells were excluded by propidium iodide staining (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA). The percentage of positive staining of 9EG7 mAb (corrected for background by subtracting the percentage of the antibody control isotype) was divided by the percentage of positive staining for the TS2-16 mAb (corrected for background) to measure the active/total β 1 integrin ratio.

Cell invasion assay

Cell invasion assay was performed as previously reported [10] in Matrigel invasion chamber (BD Biocoat Matrigel invasion chamber, BD Biosciences) in serum-free medium, or in serum-free medium containing 0.5 mg/ml of AIIB2 mAb (Aragen Bioscience, Morgan Hill, CA, USA) or control Isotype IgG1 (eBioscience, CA, USA).

Analysis of the secretome by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/ MS)

For MS analysis, cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium and CM collected after 48 h of culture; protease and proteinase inhibitors were added immediately. CM was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 30 min to remove cell debris and the supernatant was concentrated using the Amicon Ultra 15, Ultracel-3K centrifugation device (Thermo Fisher, San Josè, CA, USA) until the volume was reduced to ~100 ul. The protein concentration was determined using the Qubit Protein Assay (Thermo Fisher). Detailed description of the analysis is reported in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all the variables. Categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentage values, while continuous variables were summarized through mean values and their relative standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM). Unpaired Student's *t*-test was used when appropriate. The correlation analysis was performed with Spearman test.

The Pearson's chi-Square test or Fisher Exact test, when appropriate, was applied to assess the relationship between biological parameters. DFS was calculated by the Kaplan–Meier product limit method from the date of the surgery until relapse or death. Significance was defined at the p < 0.05 level. All the analyses were performed with SPSS statistical software version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, USA).

Acknowledgments The authors thank Giuliana Falasca, Mariangela Panetta, and Maria Vincenza Sarcone for the technical contribution, Gabriele Toietta for his support in the generation of hMENA vectors, Angela Gismondi for her continuous and helpful discussion and Rita Falcioni for her support.

Funding PN is supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research AIRC: 5×1000 , 12182, and IG 15224. M.J.B. is supported by the NCI (awards R37CA064786 and U54CA143836–Bay Area Physical Sciences Oncology Center), the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (award W81XWH0810736), and by a grant from the Breast Cancer Research Foundation. MAS is supported by NIH, RO1 GM47214.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Xu R, Boudreau A, Bissell MJ, Tissue architecture and function: dynamic reciprocity via extra- and intra-cellular matrices. Cancer Metastas Rev. 2009;28:167–76.
- Yilmaz M, Christofori G, EMT, the cytoskeleton, and cancer cell invasion. Cancer Metastas Rev. 2009;28:15–33.
- 3. Nürnberg A, Kitzing T, Grosse R. Nucleating actin for invasion. Nat Rev Cancer. 2011;11:177–87.
- 4. Bear JE, Gertler FB. Ena/VASP: towards resolving a pointed controversy at the barbed end. J Cell Sci. 2009;122:1947–53.
- Krause M, Dent EW, Bear JE, Loureiro JJ, Gertler FB. Ena/VASP proteins: regulators of the actin cytoskeleton and cell migration. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2003;19:541–64.
- Kühnel K, Jarchau T, Wolf E, Schlichting I, Walter U, Wittinghofer A, et al. The VASP tetramerization domain is a righthanded coiled coil based on a 15-residue repeat. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101:17027–32.
- Oudin MJ, Jonas O, Kosciuk T, Broye LC, Guido BC, Wyckoff J, et al. Tumor cell-driven extracellular matrix remodeling drives haptotaxis during metastatic progression. Cancer Discov. 2016;6:516–31.
- Di Modugno F, Bronzi G, Scanlan MJ, Del Bello D, Cascioli S, Venturo I, et al. Human Mena protein, a serex-defined antigen overexpressed in breast cancer eliciting both humoral and CD8 + T-cell immune response. Int J Cancer. 2004;109:909–18.
- Di Modugno F, Mottolese M, Di Benedetto A, Conidi A, Novelli F, Perracchio L, et al. The cytoskeleton regulatory protein hMena (ENAH) is overexpressed in human benign breast lesions with high risk of transformation and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-positive/hormonal receptor-negative tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2006;12:1470–8.
- Bria E, Di Modugno F, Sperduti I, Iapicca P, Visca P, Alessandrini G, et al. Prognostic impact of hMena isoforms in resected, node-negative, non-small-cell lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2014;5:11054–63.
- Melchionna R, Iapicca P, Di Modugno F, Trono P, Sperduti I, Fassan M, et al. The pattern of hMENA isoforms is regulated by TGF-β1 in pancreatic cancer and may predict patient outcome. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5:e1221556.
- Gertler F, Condeelis J. Metastasis: tumor cells becoming MENAcing. Trends Cell Biol. 2011;21:81–90.
- 13. Di Modugno F, DeMonte L, Balsamo M, Bronzi G, Nicotra MR, Alessio M, et al. Molecular cloning of hMena (ENAH) and its splice variant hMena + 11a: epidermal growth factor increases their expression and stimulates hMena + 11a phosphorylation in breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Res. 2007;67:2657–65.
- Di Modugno F, Iapicca P, Boudreau A, Mottolese M, Terrenato I, Perracchio L, et al. Splicing program of hMENA produces a novel isoform associated with invasive, mesenchymal-like breast tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:19280–385.

- 15. Di Modugno F, Caprara V, Chellini L, Tocci P, Spadaro F, Ferrandina G, et al. hMENA is a key regulator in endothelin-1/βarrestin1-induced invadopodial function and metastatic process. Proc Natl Acad Sci Usa. 2018;115:3132–7.
- Weaver VM, Petersen OW, Wang F, Larabell CA, Briand P, Damsky C, et al. Reversion of the malignant phenotype of human breast cells in three-dimensional culture and in vivo by integrin blocking antibodies. J Cell Biol. 1997;137:231–45.
- Yao ES, Zhang H, Chen YY, Lee B, Chew K, Moore D, et al. Increased beta1 integrin is associated with decreased survival in invasive breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2007;67:659–64.
- Barkan DE, Touny LH, Michalowski AM, Smith JA, Chu I, Davis AS, et al. Metastatic growth from dormant cells induced by a col-I-enriched fibrotic environment. Cancer Res. 2010;70:5706–16.
- Nisticò P, Di Modugno F, Spada S, Bissell MJ. β1 and β4 integrins: from breast development to clinical practice. Breast Cancer Res. 2014;16:459.
- Schratt G, Philippar U, Berger J, Schwarz H, Heidenreich O, Nordheim A. Serum response factor is crucial for actin cytoskeletal organization and focal adhesion assembly in embryonic stem cells. J Cell Biol. 2002;156:737–50.
- Brandt DT, Baarlink C, Kitzing TM, Kremmer E, Ivaska J, Nollau P, et al. SCAI acts as a suppressor of cancer cell invasion through the transcriptional control of beta1-integrin. Nat Cell Biol. 2009;11:557–68.
- Reymond N, Im JH, Garg R, Vega FM, Borda d'Agua B, Riou P, et al. Cdc42 promotes transendothelial migration of cancer cells through β1 integrin. J Cell Biol. 2012;199:653–68.
- Miralles F, Posern G, Zaromytidou AI, Treisman R. Actin dynamics control SRF activity by regulation of its coactivator MAL. Cell. 2003;113:329–42.
- Hansen SD, Mullins RD. VASP is a processive actin polymerase that requires monomeric actin for barbed end association. J Cell Biol. 2010;191:571–84.
- Barzik M, Kotova TI, Higgs HN, Hazelwood L, Hanein D, Gertler FB, Schafer DA. Ena/VASP proteins enhance actin polymerization in the presence of barbed end capping proteins. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:28653–62.
- Rotty JD, Wu C, Haynes EM, Suarez C, Winkelman JD, Johnson HE, et al. Profilin-1 serves as a gatekeeper for actin assembly by Arp2/3-dependent and -independent pathways. Dev Cell. 2015;32:54–67.
- Grosse R, Copeland JW, Newsome TP, Way M, Treisman R. A role for VASP in RhoA-Diaphanous signalling to actin dynamics and SRF activity. EMBO J. 2003;22:3050–61.
- Beaty BT, Sharma VP, Bravo-Cordero JJ, Simpson MA, Eddy RJ, Koleske AJ, et al. β1 integrin regulates Arg to promote invadopodial maturation and matrix degradation. Mol Biol Cell. 2013;24:1661–75.
- Shibue T, Brooks MW, Weinberg RA. An integrin-linked machinery of cytoskeletal regulation that enables experimental tumor initiation and metastatic colonization. Cancer Cell. 2013;24:481–98.
- 30. Su Y, Xia W, Li J, Walz T, Humphries MJ, Vestweber D, et al. Relating conformation to function in integrin $\alpha 5\beta$ 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016;113:E3872–81.
- Singh P, Carraher C, Schwarzbauer JE. Assembly of fibronectin extracellular matrix. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010;26:397–419.
- Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15:802–12.
- 33. Nam JM, Onodera Y, Bissell MJ, Park CC. Breast cancer cells in three-dimensional culture display an enhanced radioresponse after coordinate targeting of integrin alpha5beta1 and fibronectin. Cancer Res. 2010;70:5238–48.

- 34. Bae YK, Kim A, Kim MK, Choi JE, Kang SH, Lee SJ. Fibronectin expression in carcinoma cells correlates with tumor aggressiveness and poor clinical outcome in patients with invasive breast cancer. Hum Pathol. 2013;44:2028–37.
- 35. Han JY, Kim HS, Lee SH, Park WS, Lee JY, Yoo NJ. Immunohistochemical expression of integrins and extracellular matrix proteins in non-small cell lung cancer: correlation with lymph node metastasis. Lung Cancer. 2003;41:65–70.
- Akiyama SK,Olden K,Yamada KM, Fibronectin and integrins in invasion and metastasis. Cancer Metastas Rev. 1995;14:173–89.
- 37. Howlett AR, Bailey N, Damsky C, Petersen OW, Bissell MJ. Cellular growth and survival are mediated by beta 1 integrins in normal human breast epithelium but not in breast carcinoma. J Cell Sci. 1995;108:1945–57. (Pt 5)
- Park CC, Zhang H, Pallavicini M, Gray JW, Baehner F, Park CJ, et al. Beta1 integrin inhibitory antibody induces apoptosis of breast cancer cells, inhibits growth, and distinguishes malignant from normal phenotype in three dimensional cultures and in vivo. Cancer Res. 2006;66:1526–35.
- Morello V, Cabodi S, Sigismund S, Camacho-Leal MP, Repetto D, Volante M, et al. β1 integrin controls EGFR signaling and tumorigenic properties of lung cancer cells. Oncogene. 2011;30:4087–96.
- Bazzoni G, Shih DT, Buck CA, Hemler ME. Monoclonal antibody 9EG7 defines a novel beta 1 integrin epitope induced by soluble ligand and manganese, but inhibited by calcium. J Biol Chem. 1995;270:25570–7.
- Warzecha CC, Sato TK, Nabet B, Hogenesch JB, Carstens RP. ESRP1 and ESRP2 are epithelial cell-type-specific regulators of FGFR2 splicing. Mol Cell. 2009;33:591–601.
- 42. Roussos ET, Balsamo M, Alford SK, Wyckoff JB, Gligorijevic B, Wang Y, et al. Mena invasive (MenaINV) promotes multicellular streaming motility and transendothelial migration in a mouse model of breast cancer. J Cell Sci. 2011;124:2120–31. (Pt 13)
- Caccavari F, Valdembri D, Sandri C, Bussolino F, Serini G. Integrin signaling and lung cancer. Cell Adh Migr. 2010;4:124–9.
- 44. Bissell MJ, Labarge MA. Context, tissue plasticity, and cancer: are tumor stem cells also regulated by the microenvironment? Cancer Cell. 2005;7:17–23.
- 45. Wang F, Hansen RK, Radisky D, Yoneda T, Barcellos-Hoff MH, Petersen OW, et al. Phenotypic reversion or death of cancer cells

by altering signaling pathways in three-dimensional contexts. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94:1494–503.

- Park CC, Zhang HJ, Yao ES, Park CJ, Bissell MJ. Beta1 integrin inhibition dramatically enhances radiotherapy efficacy in human breast cancer xenografts. Cancer Res. 2008;68:4398–405.
- 47. Nam JM, Ahmed KM, Costes S, Zhang H, Onodera Y, Olshen AB, et al. β1-Integrin via NF-κB signaling is essential for acquisition of invasiveness in a model of radiation treated in situ breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2013;15:R60.
- Boudreau N, Myers C, Bissell MJ. From laminin to lamin: regulation of tissue-specific gene expression by the ECM. Trends Cell Biol. 1995;5:1–4.
- Olson EN, Nordheim A. Linking actin dynamics and gene transcription to drive cellular motile functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010;11:353–65.
- Trono P, Di Modugno F, Circo R, Spada S, Di Benedetto A, Melchionna R, et al. hMENA(11a) contributes to HER3-mediated resistance to PI3K inhibitors in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. Oncogene. 2016;35:887–96.
- Li J, Springer TA. Integrin extension enables ultrasensitive regulation by cytoskeletal force. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114:4685–90.
- Geiger B, Bershadsky A, Pankov R, Yamada KM. Transmembrane crosstalk between the extracellular matrix-cytoskeleton crosstalk. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2:793–805.
- 53. Jin JK, Tien PC, Cheng CJ, Song JH, Huang C, Lin SH. Talin1 phosphorylation activates β1 integrins: a novel mechanism to promote prostate cancer bone metastasis. Oncogene. 2015;34:1811–21.
- Worth DC, Hodivala-Dilke K, Robinson SD, King SJ, Morton PE, Gertler FB, et al. Alpha v beta3 integrin spatially regulates VASP and RIAM to control adhesion dynamics and migration. J Cell Biol. 2010;189:369–83.
- 55. Vidaki M, Drees F, Saxena T, Lanslots E, Taliaferro MJ, Tatarakis A, et al. A requirement for Mena, an actin regulator, in local mRNA translation in developing neurons. Neuron. 2017;95:608–622.e5.
- Margadant C, Monsuur HN, Norman JC, Sonnenberg A. Mechanisms of integrin activation and trafficking. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2011;23:607–14.