

¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT therapy in metastatic/inoperable PPGL

9:9

¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy in metastatic/ inoperable pheochromocytoma-paraganglioma

Sanjeet Kumar Jaiswal¹, Vijaya Sarathi², Saba Samad Memon¹, Robin Garg¹, Gaurav Malhotra³, Priyanka Verma³, Ravikumar Shah¹, Manjeet Kaur Sehemby¹, Virendra A Patil¹, Swati Jadhav¹, Anurag Ranjan Lila¹, Nalini S Shah¹ and Tushar R Bandgar¹

¹Department of Endocrinology, Seth G.S Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India ²Department of Endocrinology, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Center, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ³Department of Nuclear Medicine, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence should be addressed to T R Bandgar: drtusharb@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE-based peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) is a promising therapy for metastatic and/or inoperable pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (PPGL). We aim to evaluate the efficacy and safety of and identify predictors of response to ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy in metastatic and/or inoperable PPGL. *Methods:* This retrospective study involved 15 patients of metastatic or unresectable PPGL, who received ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE PRRT therapy. Clinical, biochemical (plasma-free normetanephrine), and radiological (anatomical and functional) responses were compared before and after the last therapy.

Results: A total of 15 patients (4 PCC, 4 sPGL, 5 HNPGL, 1 PCC + sPGL, 1 HNPGL + sPGL) were included. The median duration of follow up was 27 (range: 11–62) months from the start of PRRT. Based on the RECIST (1.1) criteria, progressive disease was seen in three (20%), stable disease in eight (53%), partial response in one (7%), and minor response in three (20%) and controlled disease in 12 (80%). On linear regression analysis the presence of PGL (*P*= 0.044) and baseline SUV_{max} >21 (*P* < 0.0001) were significant positive predictors of early response to PRRT. Encouraging safety profiles were noted with no long term nephrotoxicity and hematotoxicity.

Conclusion: ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy is an effective and safe modality of treatment for patients with metastatic/inoperable PPGL. Although it is not prudent to withhold PRRT in metastatic PPGL with baseline SUV_{max} < 21, baseline SUV_{max} >21 can be used to predict early response to PRRT.

Key Words

- pheochromocytoma
- paraganglioma
- ▶ PRRT
- predictors of response
- SUVmax

Endocrine Connections (2020) **9**, 864–873

Introduction

Pheochromocytomas (PCC)–paragangliomas (PGL) (PPGL) are rare tumors of neural crest origin with malignant potential. The prevalence of metastasis ranges from 2–13% in PCC to 2.4–50% in PGL (1, 2). In patients with unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic PPGL, symptomatic or progressive disease is usually treated with chemotherapy, radionuclide therapy (¹³¹I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (¹³¹I-MIBG) and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT)),



of therapy over the other.

external radiotherapy, radiofrequency ablation therapy

or tyrosine kinase inhibitors (3, 4). There is no head to

head trials that compare the superiority of one modality

Tyr3-octreotate (DOTATATE) has shown favorable efficacy

in controlling symptoms and tumor progression in most

of the previous studies (5, 6). A recently published meta-

analysis has reported good efficacy (disease control rate:

¹⁷⁷Lu-tetra-aza-cyclo-dodecanetetraacetic acid–DPhe1-



80% (95% CI: 77–89%)) with an encouraging safety profile (7). But availability, cost, and potential adverse effects limit the use of PRRT.

Identifying predictors of early response to PRRT may help with appropriate patient selection. So far, the only recognized predictor of response to PRRT in PPGL patients is the Ki-67 labeling index (6). Various studies of PRRT in neuroendocrine tumors (NET) have found baseline SUV_{max} as an important predictor of response to PRRT (8, 9). In this study, we have evaluated the efficacy, safety, and predictors of response of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy in metastatic/inoperable PPGL.

Materials and methods

Retrospective evaluation of consecutive metastatic (n = 10) or unresectable (n = 5) PPGL patients who received 177Lu-DOTATATE therapy (registered at KEM Hospital, Mumbai, India) between January 2010 and December 2019 and followed up for at least 6 months after the first dose of therapy. The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC-II) of Seth G.S. Medical College and KEM Hospital (EC/OA-171/2018) with the waiver of consent. PPGL was diagnosed on basis of histopathology; and in unresectable or metastatic disease, on biochemistry and imaging. All other details including symptomatology, biochemistry, imaging (contrast-enhanced CT (CECT), ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT, and ¹³¹I-MIBG scintigraphy), the dose of radionuclide and adverse effects were reviewed. Plasma-free metanephrines (metanephrine (PFMN); normetanephrine (PFNMN)), CECT, and ¹³¹I-MIBG were done as described previously (2, 10, 11). Genotype was available for few patients (n=5) and was done as described previously (12).

⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PECT/CT was performed by administering 111-185 MBq (3-5 mCi) of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE intravenously and obtaining non-contrast-enhanced PET/CT 60 min later on Philips Gemini TF PET/CT (Philips Health Care, USA). Image reconstruction was done using the row action maximum likelihood algorithm (RAMLA). SUV_{max} was calculated by selecting lesions of maximum tracer uptake and more than 1 cm (up to a maximum of five lesions per organ) as regions of interest (ROIs) (9). SUV_{max}, SUV_{max} tumor/liver (T/L), or tumor/spleen (T/S) were calculated in ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT by using the inbuilt software attached to PET workstation. For patients having one lesion, single lesion SUV_{max} and in patients having more than one lesion (more than 1 cm) mean SUV_{max} was calculated.

PRRT: administration protocol

Eligibility for ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy was a high level (Krenning score more than II) and low ¹³¹I-MIBG uptake. Preparation of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE (by in house generator) and labeling of octreotate with ¹⁷⁷Lu was done at Radiation Medicine Centre (RMC), Mumbai. Baseline parameters (clinical, biochemical, hematological, functional renal scintigraphy) were noted. PRRT was deferred for patients with one or more cytopenias (hemoglobin <9 g/dL, total leukocyte count <4000/µL or platelet count <100,000/µL), Karnofsky performance status (KFS) less than 60% or the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status score more than two (13). Patients were premedicated with antihistamines, ondansetron, and positively charged renoprotective amino (L-lysin, etc.) infusion. ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE L-arginine, was administered as a slow i.v. infusion over 30-45 min (150-200 mCi/cycle) and observed for a day. A maximum of six cycles was given with a minimum interval of three months was maintained between 2 consecutive cycles.

Assessment of efficacy

Efficacy was assessed based on clinical (compressive or catecholaminergic features, change in antihypertensive medications), plasma-free metanephrines (PFMN and PFNMN), CECT, and SSTR response. Plasma concentrations of free metanephrines (single value) before the commencement of therapy and after the last PRRT cycle were compared. For anatomical imaging CECT was used and the CECT response (gold standard) was based on RECIST version 1.1 (target lesion). Complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all target lesions plus reduction of the short axis of pathologic lymph nodes to <1 cm, partial response (PR) as at least 30% decrease in the sum of the longest diameters of target lesions (relative to baseline sum), minor response (MR) as smaller decrements in size not meeting the criteria of PR (10-30% decrease in maximum diameters of target lesions), stable disease (SD) as neither MR nor progressive disease (PD) and PD as at least 20% increase (\geq 5 mm absolute increase) in the sum of longest diameters of target lesions (relative to smallest sum) or appearance of new lesions (14, 15). Controlled disease (CD) was defined as a combination of all the responses except PD (SD+PR+MR). CD was calculated to make the data more comparable to previously published literature (5, 7).

SSTR response was based on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT (defined as partial response (PR): reduction in intensity by one Krenning score in at least one tumor site,





complete response (CR): total disappearance of abnormal uptake of previous avid lesions) or progressive disease (PD): increase in intensity or extent of previous abnormal uptake, or development of new avid lesions) (5, 16, 17, 18).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis were done using SPSS (version 23, IBM) and MedCalc Ink (version 19.1.6). Categorical variables were expressed in actual numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± s.p. or median and range as appropriate. Continuous variables between the two groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test whereas categorical variables were compared using Fischer's exact t-test. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were determined by using Kaplan-Meier analysis and PFS was compared between the groups using the log-rank test. We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and area under the curve (AUC) to determine the optimal cutoff of baseline SUV_{max}, which predicts response to PRRT. Linear regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of response to PRRT. Kappa coefficient was calculated to compare the agreement between RECIST 1.1 and change in SUV_{max} of <15% for diagnosing PD and CD. *P*-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

A total of 15 patients ((7 males); (4 PCC, 4 sPGL, 5 HNPGL, 1 PCC+sPGL, 1 HNPGL+sPGL)) were included. The mean age at the start of PRRT was 32.5 ± 13.9 years. All PCC and sPGL except one sPGL were normetanephrine-secreting (median: 677 pg/mL (range: 216–3296)). All HNPGL were non-secretory. Three patients had a concomitant pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (PNET) of whom two were genetically proven to have von Hippel Lindau syndrome. The indication for PRRT was metastasis (8) and inoperability (7). A median of three cycles (1–6) was administered with median cumulative radioactivity of 28 GBq (19–40 GBq). Other tumor characteristics are summarized in Table 1. None of the patients in our cohort have received somatostatin analog therapy.

The median duration of follow up was 27 months (range: 11–62) from the start of PRRT. The overall survival was 100% whereas median PFS was not reached (Fig. 1A). None of the HNPGL patients had PD. Median PFS was 14 months in PCC whereas median PFS was not achieved in HNPGL (Fig. 1B).

Based on RECIST (1.1) criteria, PD was seen in three (20%), SD in seven (47%), PR in one (7%), and MR in four (27%) and CD in 12 (80%).

All three patients with PD had PCC and PCC were significantly more frequent in them than those with CD (p=0.04). In patients who had PD even after three cycles of PRRT, other modalities (Actinium-225(²²⁵Ac)-based PRRT (n = 2) or high dose ¹³¹I-MIBG therapy (n = 1)) were used on compassionate basis whereas patients who had SD or PR were offered to complete the course of six cycles.

Worsening of symptoms was observed in only two patients with PD (13%) whereas the remaining (12 controlled; 1PD) had improvement or no change in symptoms. A patient (case 4), had significant tinnitus and the fleshy tumor was visible in the left external auditory canal (EAC), however, after six cycles of PRRT therapy, marked improvement in the tinnitus and disappearance of the EAC lesion (Fig. 2).

The defined daily dose (DDD) of anti-hypertensives decreased in six of nine (66%) patients while increased in the remaining three (PD). As all the nine secretory PPGL were normetanephrine-secreting, change in PNFMN after PRRT was calculated. After the last cycle of PRRT, five (56%) patients had decreased PFNMN level, with a percentage fall of >50, 25–50, and 10–25% in two, one, and two patients, respectively. Four patients had increased PFNMN level after PRRT of whom three had PD and one had SD (Table 2).

The baseline mean SUV_{max} on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE was numerically higher in the CD compared to PD (72.4 ± 70.9 vs 11.9 ± 5.8, P=0.179) but statistically insignificant albeit with a cut-off of SUV_{max} of >21 the difference was clinically significant (nine out of ten; 90% of CD; P=0.004). In the ROC curve analysis done which included 14 patients, the baseline SUV_{max} significantly predicted response (CD) to PRRT with the area under the curve (AUC) of 0.939 (P = 0.024) (Fig. 3). SUV_{max} of >21 had a sensitivity of 0.91 (95% CI: 0.80–1.00) and specificity of 1.0 (95% CI: 0.29–1.00) to predict response to PRRT. The PFS was apparently longer in patients with baseline SUV_{max} of >21 compared to those with < 21 (35 (11–62) months vs 11 (6–54) months).

Baseline mean SUV_{max} was significantly higher among HNPGL patients than those with PCC or sPGL (119 ± 84.5 vs 26.1 ± 17.8, P=0.006). On linear regression analysis baseline SUV_{max} >21 (r^2 = 0.682, P < 0.0001) and PGL (r^2 =0.783, P=0.04) were the significant positive predictors. Change in SUV_{max} before and after PRRT was available for 13 patients. Nine (69%) patients had decreased and four (31%) had increased mean SUV_{max} (17–210%).





© 2020 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

S K Jaiswal *et al.*

¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT therapy in metastatic/inoperabl<u>e PPGL</u>

9:9





Figure 1

(A) Kaplan–Meier plots for PFS of the overall primary lesion (HNPGL + Spgl + PCC), (B) Kaplan–Meier plots (log-rank test) to compare PFS among PCC vs PGL.

Among the latter four, three had PD while one had SD on RECIST 1.1. An increase in SUVmax by >15% was observed in all the three patients with PD whereas eight of 10 patients with CD had a decrease in SUV_{max} by >15% (Table 3). Change in SUV_{max} of >15% after PRRT had good agreement with the diagnosis of PD and CD based on RECIST 1.1, as shown in Fig. 4 (case 3) a metastatic sPGL, after six cycles of PRRT, -62 % change in mean SUV_{max} and CD based on RECIST 1.1.

In patients with associated PNET (n=3), the mean SUV_{max} of PNET decreased (57 ± 33 vs 20 ± 9.4, P=0.137) on follow up imaging, despite progressive PPGL disease in one patient.

The most common adverse effects observed were nausea-vomiting (n=3, 20%) and weight loss (n=2, 13%). One patient had isolated grade 2 thrombocytopenia and another had combined anemia and thrombocytopenia which recovered in 2 and 4 weeks, respectively. None of the patients had transient or permanent nephrotoxicity. Mean glomerular filtration rate and renal plasma flow were 121 ± 19 and 505 ± 99.4 mL/min following the last



Figure 2

Response in SDH-D positive (case 4) unresectable HNPGL following six cycles of PRRT therapy ((A, B) pretherapy mean SUV_{max}: 104 and (C, D) posttherapy mean SUV_{max}: 24, respectively).

cycle of PRRT therapy. None had a catecholaminergic crisis during or after therapy. One patient with childhood-onset of sPGL developed bilateral avascular necrosis of hip after 26 months of PRRT.

Discussion

Our study reiterates the efficacy of PRRT in controlling metastatic/inoperable PPGL. Using the RECIST 1.1 criteria, none of our patients had a complete response as reported by most of the previous studies whereas three (20%) patients had a progressive disease which is also in agreement with the existing literature (14–16%). Twelve patients had CD yielding a disease control rate of 80% which is comparable to that reported in a recent meta-analysis (84% (95% CI: 77%-89%)). Objective response rate (morphological reduction) including CR, PR and MR in our study (33% (5/15)) was also comparable to that





CoseAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertensiveAnti-hypertens								Best		
Mo.SymptomsUnclubble (effer)Anti-hypertensive (after)Anti-hypertensive (after)An	Case		Anti-hypertensive		ADDD of		ΔMean	response		ΔSTR-R^b SUV_{max} (%)
	no.	Symptoms	(before)	Anti-hypertensive (after)	antihypertensives (%)	APFNMN (%)	diameter ^a (%)	(RECIST 1.1)	SSTR-Response	on SSTR image
2 Stable Prazosin: 7.5 mg, Amlodipine: 5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg Prazosin: 7.5 mg, Prazosin: 5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg Prazosin: 7.5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg -71.8 -71.8 3 Improved Prazosin: 5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg Prazosin: 2.5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg -50 -69.4 -16.3 MR PR -62.1 4 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg Atenolol: 50 mg Prazosin: 20 mg -100 -14.8 -22 MR PR -62.1 5 Worsened Amlodipine: 10 mg Prazosin: 30 mg, Metoprolo: 200 mg 266 1333 34.5 PD PD 17.1 6 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg 0 -1100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg 0 -1100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 0 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 8 Worsened Amlodipine: 10 mg 0 0 -49.9	-	Stable	Amlodipine: 2.5 mg	Amlodipine: 12.5 mg	400	520	200	PD	PD	52.6
3 Improved Prazosin: 5 mg, Metoproloi: 50 mg, Metoproloi: 50 mg, Aranosi: 20 mg, Aranosi: 20 mg, Metoproloi: 50 mg, Aranosi: 50 mg, Metoproloi: 20 mg, Metoproloi: 20 mg, Metoprolo: 20 mg,	7	Stable	Prazosin: 7.5 mg, Amlodipine: 5 mg	Prazosin: 7.5 mg	-40	16.6	-7.2	SD	SD	-71.8
4 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg, Atenoloi: 50 mg 0 -100 -14.8 -22 MR PR -76.7 5 Worsened Atenoloi: 50 mg Metoprolo: 20 mg Prazosin: 30 mg, Metoprolo: 200 mg 266 1333 34.5 PD PD 17.1 6 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 5D 5D -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 5D 5D -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 5D 5D -18.3 7 Improved Pradosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 5D PD PD -18.3 9 Improved PHTN 150 168 49.9 PD PD -18.3 10 Improved NHTN - -62.5 -45.2 -2.8 SD SD 20.9 11	m	Improved	Prazosin: 5 mg, Metoprolol: 50 mg	Prazosin: 2.5 mg, Metoprolol: 25 mg	-50	-69.4	-16.3	MR	PR	-62.1
5 Worsened Amlodipine: 10 mg Prazosin: 30 mg, Metoprolo: 200 mg 266 1333 34.5 PD PD 17.1 6 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -18.3 9 Improved Amlodipine: 10 mg 0 -100 -89.7 -6 SD SD 20.9 -18.3 9 Improved NHTN Prazosin: 15 mg -62.5 -45.2 -2.48 SD SD SD -41.5 10 Improved NHTN - - -43.7 SD SD SD -41.5 11 Stable NHTN - - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD SD -	4	Improved	Prazosin: 20 mg, Atenolol: 50 mg	0	-100	-14.8	-22	MR	PR	-76.7
6 Improved Prazosin: 20 mg 0 -100 -17.3 -9.17 SD SD -50 7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 100 -89.7 -6 SD SD -18.3 8 Worsened Amolopine: 10 mg 0 100 -89.7 -6 SD SD -18.3 9 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg Prazosin: 10 mg 150 -163 49.9 PD PD 22.9 9 Improved Prazosin: 30 mg Prazosin: 15 mg -62.5 -45.2 -2.47 SD SD -41.5 10 Improved NHTN - - 0 NA NA SD SD SD -41.5 11 Stable NHTN - - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD -76.3 12 Improved NHTN - - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD -76.3 13<	Ъ	Worsened	Amlodipine: 10 mg	Prazosin: 30 mg, Metoprolo: 200 mg	266	1333	34.5	PD	PD	17.1
7 Improved Prazosin: 10 mg 0 -100 -89.7 -6 SD SD -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.3 -18.	9	Improved	Prazosin: 20 mg Atenolol: 25 mg	0	-100	-17.3	-9.17	SD	SD	-50
8 Worsened Amlodipine: 10 mg Amlodipine: 10 mg Tazosin: 15 mg 150 168 49.9 PD PD 22.9 9 Improved Prazosin: 30 mg, Amlodipine: 10 mg Prazosin: 15 mg -62.5 -45.2 -2.8 SD SD -41.5 10 Improved NHTN - - 0 NA NA SD SD SD 209 11 Stable NHTN - 0 NA NA SD SD SD 209 12 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD 209 - - - - - - 76.3 12 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.0 MR PR PR -75.3 13 Improved NHTN - - 0 NA -2.0 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN -	2	Improved	Prazosin: 10 mg	0	-100	-89.7	9–	SD	SD	-18.3
9 Improved Prazosin: 30 mg, Amlodipine: 10 mg Prazosin: 15 mg -62.5 -45.2 -2.8 SD SD -11.5 10 Improved NHTN - - 0 NA NA SD SD -41.5 11 Stable NHTN - - 0 NA NA SD SD 209 12 Improved NHTN - - -2.47 SD SD 209 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.0 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.0 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -75.3 15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -75.3	ø	Worsened	Amlodipine: 10 mg	Amlodipine: 10 mg, Prazosin: 15 mg	150	168	49.9	PD	PD	22.9
10 Improved NHN - 0 NA NA SD SD NA 11 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD NA 12 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD 209 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -2.0 MR PR -75.3 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -20 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -40 PR PR -75.3 15<	6	Improved	Prazosin: 30 mg, Amlodipine: 10 mg	Prazosin: 15 mg	-62.5	-45.2	-2.8	SD	SD	-41.5
11 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -2.47 SD SD 209 12 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -8 SD SD - - 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -8 SD SD - - 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -20 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -40 PR PR -76.3 15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -1.7	10	Improved	NHTN	1	0	NA	NA	SD	SD	NA
12 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -8 SD SD - 13 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -20 MR PR -75.3 14 Improved NHTN - 0 NA -40 PR -76.3 15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -1.7	1	Stable	NHTN	1	0	NA	-2.47	SD	SD	209
13 Improved NHTN - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>Improved</td><td>NHTN</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>ΝA</td><td>80 </td><td>SD</td><td>SD</td><td>I</td></t<>	12	Improved	NHTN	1	0	ΝA	80 	SD	SD	I
14 Improved NHTN - -76.3 15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -40 PR -76.3 15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -1.7	13	Improved	NHTN	1	0	ΝA	-20	MR	PR	-75.3
15 Stable NHTN - 0 NA -17 MR SD -1.7	14	Improved	NHTN	1	0	NA	-40	РК	PR	-76.3
	15	Stable	NHTN	ı	0	NA	-17	MR	SD	-1.7

 Table 2
 Response evaluation in all patients after ¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT therapy.

https://ec.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-20-0292

© 2020 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

DDD, defined daily dose; MR, minor response; NA, not available; NHTN, Normotensive; PD, progressive disease; PFNMN, plasma free normetanephrine; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

S K Jaiswal *et al.*

¹⁷⁷Lu-PRRT therapy in metastatic/inoperable PPGL





Figure 3 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of baseline SUV_{max}.

reported in the meta-analysis (25% (95% CI: 19%-32%)). However, the PR rate was relatively lower in our cohort (6.7%) than that reported in previous studies (7–29%). This may be due to the limited use of additional treatments in our cohort than that in most of the previous studies. This feature may be the strength of our study as the effect of PRRT is least likely to be confounded.

Clinical improvement is seen in a majority of patients subjected to PRRT, with worsening of symptoms being documented in only two patients (13%) who had PD. This is in accordance with the existing literature where the worsening of symptoms has been reported in 11–12% of patients (5, 19). Thus, clinical features can be useful markers of response to PRRT. Four (44%) of the nine patients had increased PFNMN level after PRRT which concurred with progressive disease in three patients whereas in the other with stable disease, the increase in PFNMN was milder (<20%). Thus, PFNMN may also be a useful marker to assess response to PRRT in patients with secretory PPGL.

We demonstrated that baseline mean SUV_{max} on 68 Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT may be used as a parameter to predict the response to therapy. The prediction accuracy was high which resulted in significant prediction despite smaller sample size. The utility of mean SUV_{max} on 68Ga-DOTATATE to predict response to PRRT has been

Parameters	Progressive disease (PD)	Controlled disease (PR + SD + MR)	P-value (95% Cl)
Mean age (years)	36.6 ± 22.5	42 ± 10.8	0.544
Tumor type	PCC: 3	PCC (1), sPGL(4), HNPGL(5), sPGL + HNPGL: 1, sPGL + PCC: 1	0.004ª
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (100)	7 (58.3)	0.20
Pre-therapy PFNMN (mean ± s.p.)	749 ± 1097	1321 ± 1369	0.93
Change in DDD (%), $n = 3 + 7$ (mean \pm s.d.)	+207 ± 132	-50.2 ± 43.6	0.001ª
Change in PFNMN (mean ±s.d.)	+673 ± 597	-11.1 ± 31.4	0.000 ^a
No of PRRT cycle (mean ± s.p.)	3.5 ± 2.08	4.1 ± 1.8	0.63
Mean dose of Lu (GBq) (mean ± s.p.)	23.6 ± 14.4	26.2 ± 1.9	0.49
Pre-therapy ⁶⁸ Ga-DOTATATE	12.4 ± 6.0	72.4 ± 70.9	0.18
SUV_{max} (mean), $n = 13$ (mean ± s.p.)			
Baseline SUV _{max} >21, $n = 14$, n (%)	0 (<i>n</i> = 3)	90 (9/10)	0.004a
Baseline mean (T/L) (mean \pm s.p.)	1.2 ± 0.6	9.1 ± 9.4	0.18
Baseline mean (T/S) (mean ± s.d.)	0.49 ± 0.28	3.3 ± 4.2	0.28
Reduction of mean SUV _{max} (tumor) more than 15% ($n = 13$)	0/3 (0)	8/10 (80)	0.022 ^a
Reduction mean SUV _{max} (T/L) > 15%, <i>n</i> (%)	0/3 (0)	5/8 (63)	0.07
Reduction mean SUV _{max} (T/S) > 15%, n (%)	2/3 (33)	4/8 (50)	0.63

^a*P*-value < 0.05.

DDD, defined daily dose; HNPGL, head and neck paraganglioma; MR, minor response; PCC, pheochromocytoma; PD, progressive disease; PFNMN, plasma-free normetanephrine; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; sPGL, sympathetic paraganglioma; SUV_{max}, standard uptake value maximum; T/L, tumor/liver; T/S, tumor/spleen.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.





Figure 4

Showing response in SDH-B positive (case 4), metachronous metastatic sPGL (pre-therapy (A) and post-therapy (B) mean SUV_{max} 28.5 and 10.5, respectively).

described in the context of pancreatic and bowel NET (8, 9). However, the available literature on PRRT in PPGL, especially regarding the predictors of response to PRRT is sparse. Baseline mean SUV_{max} of 21 on $^{68}\text{Ga-DOTATATE}$ significantly predicted response to subsequent PRRT in our study. Patients with baseline mean SUV_{max} of >21 also tended to have longer PFS. Similar SUV_{max} cut-offs (13-26.3) have been obtained to predict response to PRRT among NET patients (9). Better response to PRRT in NET with higher SUVmax is expected as they provide more receptors for binding of the radiopharmaceutical allowing higher radioactivity in the lesion. However, a recent study has shown better histological differentiation of tumors with higher SUV_{max} (20) which may also contribute to the better response of NET with higher SUV_{max} . Hence, the majority of the patients with CD could be predicted to have a favorable response by baseline SUV_{max} of >21.

Anatomical criteria such as RECIST 1.1 are a quantitative measure to define response to PRRT and is considered the gold standard criteria. However, there are no functional imaging-based quantitative criteria to assess response to PRRT. In our study the diagnosis of PD and

CD based on reduction in SUV_{max} by 15% after PRRT had a substantial agreement (k=0.64, =0.012) with RECIST 1.1 criteria. Hence, SUV_{max} may be used as a quantitative measure to assess response to PRRT.

Interestingly, PCC was a significant negative predictor of response to PRRT. Similarly, Nastos *et al.* have reported better response to PRRT in PGL than in PCC (3). The poorer response of PCC than PGL may be due to less expression of SSTR in the former as noted in our study (20.2 ± 13.1 vs 122 ± 97.2 , P = 0.04). On the other hand, the poor response may be due to their more dedifferentiated nature as suggested by poor uptake of ¹³¹I-MIBG as well as ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE at baseline. However, considering the limited number of therapeutic options available for metastatic PCC, especially for those with low MIBG uptake, the limited data from our study does not preclude the use of PRRT in them.

HNPGL had a trend for better disease control and longer PFS which has also been reported in a recent study (21). SUV_{max} was significantly higher among HNPGL patients which might have resulted in the tendency for better response. However, a higher proportion of nonmetastatic disease (50–65%) among HNPGL than PCC+sPGL (22–85%) (21), or the inherent nature of metastatic HNPGL to progress slowly might have also contributed for the better response (1).

In addition to good efficacy, 177Lu-based therapy has been reported to have an encouraging safety profile. Apart from minor gastrointestinal side effects, only two of our patients developed transient grade I thrombocytopenia, with no other serious toxicity. In the Netter-1 trial including 116 patients with midgut NET who received PRRT, grade 3 or 4 neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and lymphopenia occurred in 1, 2, and 9%, respectively, whereas none of them developed nephrotoxicity and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) (21). Although catecholaminergic crisis and tumor lysis syndrome have been reported in PPGL patients receiving PRRT (22, 23), none of our patients developed these adverse effects. However, most of our secretory PPGLs had received adequate alpha blockade before being subjected to therapy which might have masked the potential for catecholaminergic crisis.

The number of PRRT cycles was variable (one to six) in our study; two patients with sPGL received only one to two cycles (cases 9 and 10). However, fewer cycles in these patients are unlikely to underestimate the response to PRRT as both these patients had SD despite only one to two cycles. Notably, one of them also achieved more than 40% reduction in DDD a well as PFNMN.





The major limitations of our study are the small sample size and retrospective nature; thus MIB1-index, chromogranin A, and 68Ga-DOTATATE-PET/CT values were not available at several time points of follow up. Another major limitation of the study is lack of genetic data in many patients. It is possible that a trend for better response among patients with HNPGL than those with sPGL might be due to association of the former with mutations in SDHD rather than in SDHB. However, such an analysis could not be performed due to limited genetic data. Also, it was not possible to ascertain with certainty whether the SD was the effect of PRRT or the natural course of the disease. However, in a few patients with SD decreasing trend of SUV_{max} was noted after PRRT which indirectly demonstrates the responsive nature of the disease. Hence, prospective, randomized, controlled studies with larger patient numbers are warranted.

S K Jaiswal et al.

Conclusion

¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy is an effective and safe modality of treatment for patients with metastatic/inoperable PPGL. Baseline SUV_{max} more than 21 on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE positively predicts early response to ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy. Although it is not prudent to withhold ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy in metastatic PPGL with baseline SUV_{max} < 21, baseline SUV_{max} >21 can be used to predict early response to ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy. We also found that the change in SUV_{max} on follow-up imaging may be a useful parameter, in addition to clinical, biochemical, and radiological parameters, to monitor the response to ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE therapy. However, the study findings need confirmation in larger, prospective cohorts.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Vyankatesh Shivane, and Neelam Jaguste for administrative help in the conduct of the study.

References

1 Hamidi O, Young WF, Iñiguez-Ariza NM, Kittah NE, Gruber L, Bancos C, Tamhane S & Bancos I. Malignant pheochromocytoma

© 2020 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd and paraganglioma: 272 patients over 55 years. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2017 **102** 3296–3305. (https://doi. org/10.1210/jc.2017-00992)

- 2 Jaiswal SK, Sarathi V, Memon SS, Goroshi M, Jadhav S, Prakash G, Dalvi A, Lila AR, Bandgar T & Shah NS. Sympathetic paraganglioma: a single-center experience from western India. *Endocrine Practice* 2019 **25** 211–219. (https://doi.org/10.4158/EP-2018-0480)
- 3 Nastos K, Cheung VTF, Toumpanakis C, Navalkissoor S, Quigley AM, Caplin M & Khoo B. Peptide receptor radionuclide treatment, and (131)I-MIBG in the management of patients with metastatic/ progressive phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas. *Journal* of Surgical Oncology 2017 **115** 425–434. (https://doi.org/10.1002/ jso.24553)
- 4 Nölting S, Grossman A & Pacak K. Metastatic phaeochromocytoma: spinning towards more promising treatment options authors. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes* 2019 **127** 117–128. (https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.24553)
- 5 Kong G, Grozinsky-Glasberg S, Hofman MS, Callahan J, Meirovitz A, Maimon O, Pattison DA, Gross DJ & Hicks RJ. Efficacy of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) for functional metastatic paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2017 **102** 3278–3287. (https://doi. org/10.1210/jc.2017-00816)
- 6 Vyakaranam AR, Crona J, Norlén O, Granberg D, Garske-Román U, Sandström M, Fröss-Baron K, Thiis-Evensen E, Hellman P & Sundin A. Favorable outcome in patients with pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma treated with 177Lu-DOTATATE. *Cancers* 2019 **11** 909. (https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11070909)
- 7 Satapathy S, Rai B & Bhansali A. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in the management of advanced pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2019 **91** 718–727. (https://doi.org/10.1111/ cen.14106)
- 8 Kratochwil C, Stefanova M, Mavriopoulou E, Holland-Letz T, Dimitrakopoulou-Strauss A, Afshar-Oromieh A, Mier W, Haberkorn U & Giesel FL. SUV of 68Ga-DOTATOC-PET/CT predicts response probability of PRRT in neuroendocrine tumors. *Molecular Imaging and Biology* 2015 **17** 313–318. (https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11307-014-0795-3)
- 9 Sharma R, Meng WM, Yusuf S, Evans J, Ramaswami R, Wernig F, Frilling A, Mauri F, Al-nahhas A, Aboagye EO, *et al.* ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT parameters predict response to peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in neuroendocrine tumours. *Radiotherapy and Oncology* 2019 **141** 108–115. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radonc.2019.09.003)
- 10 Sarathi V, Pandit R, Jagtap V, Lila AR, Bandgar TR, Menon PS, Varthakavi P, Raghavan VP & Shah NS. Performance of plasma fractionated free metanephrines by enzyme immunoassay in the diagnosis of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. *Endocrine Practice* 2011 **17** 759–765. (https://doi.org/10.4158/EP11058.OR)
- 11 Sarathi V, Pandit R, Patil VK, Lia AR, Bandgar TR & Shah NS. Performance of plasma fractionated free metanephrines by enzyme immunoassay in the diagnosis of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in children. *Endocrine Practice* 2012 **18** 694–699. (https://doi.org/10.4158/EP12050.OR)
- 12 Pandit R, Khadilkar K, Sarathi V, Kasaliwal R, Goroshi M, Khare S, Nair S, Raghavan V, Dalvi A, Hira P, *et al.* Germline mutations and genotype-phenotype correlation in Asian Indian patients with pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2016 **175** 311–323. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-16-0126)
- 13 Gayathri BN & Rao KS. Pancytopenia: a clinico hematological study. *Journal of Laboratory Physicians* 2011 **3** 15–20. (https://doi. org/10.4103/0974-2727.78555)
- 14 Morse B, Jeong D, Ihnat G & Silva AC. Pearls and pitfalls of response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST) v1.1 non-target lesion

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

9:9



assessment. Abdominal Radiology 2019 **44** 766–774. (https://doi. org/10.1007/s00261-018-1752-4)

- 15 Nishino M. Tumor response assessment for precision cancer therapy: response evaluation criteria in solid tumors and beyond. *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book* 2018 **38** 1019–1029. (https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_201441)
- 16 Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, *et al.* New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline. *European Journal of Cancer* 2009 **45** 228–247. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026)
- 17 Puranik AD, Kulkarni HR, Singh A & Baum RP. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with 90 Y/177 Lu-labelled peptides for inoperable head and neck paragangliomas (glomus tumors). *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging* 2015 **42** 1223–1230. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-015-3029-2)
- 18 Young H, Baum R, Cremerius U, Herholz K, Hoekstra O, Lammertsma AA, Pruim J & Price P. Measurement of clinical and subclinical tumour response using [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose and positron emission tomography: review and 1999 EORTC recommendations. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) PET Study Group. European Journal of Cancer 1999 **35** 1773–1782. (https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-8049(99)00229-4)

- 19 Yadav MP, Ballal S & Bal C. Concomitant 177Lu-DOTATATE and capecitabine therapy in malignant paragangliomas. *EJNMMI Research* 2019 **9** 13. (https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-019-0484-y)
- 20 Kaewput C, Suppiah S & Vinjamuri S. Correlation between standardized uptake value of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC positron emission tomography/computed tomography and pathological classification of neuroendocrine tumors. *World Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 2018 **17** 34–40. (https://doi.org/10.4103/wjnm.WJNM_16_17)
- 21 Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, Hendifar A, Yao J, Chasen B, Mittra E, Kunz PL, Kulke MH, Jacene H, *et al.* Phase 3 trial of 177Lu-dotatate for midgut neuroendocrine tumors. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2017 **376** 125–135. (https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1607427)
- 22 Zandee WT, Feelders RA, Smit Duijzentkunst DA, Hofland J, Metselaar RM, Oldenburg RA, van Linge A, Kam BLR, Teunissen JJM, Korpershoek E, *et al.* Treatment of inoperable or metastatic paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas with peptide receptor radionuclide therapy using 177Lu-DOTATATE. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2019 **181** 45–53. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-18-0901)
- 23 Makis W, McCann K & McEwan AJB. The challenges of treating paraganglioma patients with 177Lu-DOTATATE PRRT: catecholamine crises, tumor lysis syndrome, and the need for modification of treatment protocols. *Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging* 2015 **49** 223–230. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s13139-015-0332-6)

Received in final form 5 August 2020 Accepted 12 August 2020 Accepted Manuscript published online 12 August 2020

