





Lifestyle Behaviors and Socio-Demographic Factors Associated with Overweight or Obesity Among Saudi Females Attending Fitness Centers

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy

Abeer A AlTamimi ¹
Nada M Albawardi ²
Mezna A AlMarzooqi ³
Mohanad Aljubairi²
Hazzaa M Al-Hazzaa ²

¹Health Sciences Research Center,
Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman
University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia;

²Lifestyle and Health Research Center,
Health Sciences Research Center,
Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman
University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia;

³Department of Community Health
Sciences, College of Applied Medical
Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh,
Saudi Arabia

Objective: To examine the associations of overweight or obesity with several lifestyle and socio-demographic factors among Saudi women attending fitness centers in Riyadh.

Methods: Saudi females (n = 460) aged 16 years and older were recruited from 12 randomly selected fitness centers in Riyadh, using a stratified clustered sampling technique. Bodyweight and height were measured. Lifestyle behaviors were assessed using a previously validated instrument, and included physical activity, sedentary behaviors, sleep, and dietary habits.

Results: Over 62% of the participants were either overweight or obese. Mean BMI values for females younger than age 30 years (26.4 ± 5.3) were significantly lower than those 30 years of age or older (29.2 ± 5.6 , $p > 0.001$). There was a significant correlation ($r = 0.450$, $p < 0.001$) between BMI levels and the participant's reason to engage in exercise for weight loss. Females who were overweight/obese are significantly older, married, have more children, have a lower educational degree, earn less income, have higher numbers of obese in the family, and had attempted to lose weight more frequently than non-overweight/non-obese females. No significant differences were detected between females who were overweight/obese and those who were not overweight/obese in the majority of the lifestyle-related variables, except that females who were not overweight or obese showed lower weekly consumption of milk and dairy products ($p = 0.029$) and higher intakes of fast foods per week ($p = 0.049$).

Conclusion: Weight loss attempts, age, number of obese in the family, and females' education level emerged as the most important contributory factors to obesity status, explaining nearly 23% of the common variances. A better understanding of the relationships between obesity and lifestyle behaviors is necessary for effective prevention and management of obesity in Saudi females.

Keywords: body mass index, fitness center, lifestyle behaviors, obesity, socio-demographic factors

Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity appears to be rising in many countries worldwide.^{1,2} Obesity is a major health concern and has been reported to be associated with increased risk of diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obstructive sleep apnea, and osteoarthritis.^{3,4} Moreover, obesity in women in particular is considered a multifactorial condition influenced by many modifiable and non-modifiable variables.^{2,5,6} Strong positive associations have been reported between overweight or obesity and several unhealthy lifestyle behaviors such as television viewing and

Correspondence: Hazzaa M Al-Hazzaa
Email halhazzaa@hotmail.com

physical inactivity, which highlights the potential benefits of reducing sedentary behaviors, and increasing physical activity, in combating a surge in obesity rate.⁷ In addition, lifestyle-related risks appear to be linked to many non-communicable diseases (NCDs), with enormous global mortality and economic cost.⁸ For instance, a sedentary lifestyle has been reported to be adversely associated with cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors, including body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, fasting triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, 2-hour glucose test, and fasting insulin.⁹ On the other hand, ample evidence has shown significant associations between a healthy lifestyle and improved health and well-being.^{10,11}

A recent review of systematic reviews conducted on lifestyle-related diseases among women in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, including Saudi Arabia, showed high levels of modifiable risk factors among women.¹² The study highlighted the need for comprehensive work among the GCC states to strengthen the regulatory framework and endorse social and political changes in order to reduce such risk factors.¹² Results from a national survey conducted in 2013 indicated that the prevalence of overweight plus obesity among Saudi women 16–64 years was 61.5%, with obesity found to be higher among less-educated men and women.¹³ In the past, obesity among Saudi women was a sign of prosperity and affluence; however, it is seen now as a health problem and a risk factor for many non-communicable diseases. This change in perception of obesity among young women may be attributed to increased women's education, improved health care in the country, and more trends toward globalization.¹⁴

In Saudi Arabia, there have been positive socio-political changes recently that have provided more autonomy and opportunities for Saudi women to participate in many aspects of society. The country introduced physical education for girls in schools in 2017, permitted women to drive in 2018, and also began granting licenses to open private gyms for women, allowing more opportunities for women to be physically active. Such recent changes are applauded, especially when the national prevalence of no or insufficient physical activity among Saudi women was reported to be nearly 78%.^{15,16} Similar figures among female youths were reported.^{17,18} The high inactivity level among Saudi females coupled with high screen time represents a double burden on the health of young Saudi women.¹⁷ Community-based lifestyle intervention has been shown as an appropriate format for weight management and appears to improve

bodyweight, anthropometric, and fitness parameters.¹⁹ In addition, recent reports have stressed the importance of local community fitness centers, through referral systems, in promoting physical activity and thus helping to prevent coronary heart disease risk factors, including obesity indices, fat distribution, plasma lipids, inflammation, psychological problems, and overall morbidity and mortality.²⁰

In the current article, we present findings on the associations between overweight/obesity and lifestyle behaviors among Saudi females attending fitness centers in Riyadh, using a previously validated lifestyle behaviors questionnaire.^{17,21} More specifically, we examined the differences in selected socio-demographic factors and lifestyle behaviors between females who were overweight or obese and those who were not overweight or obese who were participating in fitness centers.

Methods

Participants

Saudi females aged 16 years and older without any physical impairment were recruited from 12 randomly selected fitness centers in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, using a stratified (geographical locations) clustered sampling technique. A predetermined sample size of 384 participants was calculated so that the sample proportion was within 0.05 of the population proportion with a 95% confidence level. Population proportion was assumed to be at 0.50, as this proportion yields the maximal possible sample size required. We then added about 20% for clustered design effects and missing data, and the total target sample size reached 460 females. Female participants in the sampled fitness center were approached during random visits and were asked to take part in the study. Visits to the fitness centers included random days during the week and over weekends. The ethical approval was secured from the ethical committee at the Health Sciences Research Center, Princess Nourah University (IRB Log Number: 18–0222). A written consent form was obtained from each of the participating females. All participants were informed about the purpose of the study. In addition, the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Anthropometric Measurements

Bodyweight was measured to the nearest 100 g, with minimal clothing, and without shoes, using a calibrated

portable medical scale (Seca scale model 770, Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Height was measured to the nearest cm with the subject in the full standing position without shoes using a calibrated portable measuring rod. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as bodyweight in kg divided by squared height in meters. Participants were classified into two categories, overweight/obesity (BMI \geq 25 kg/m²) or non-overweight/non-obesity (BMI < 25 kg/m²). An underweight category was classified as BMI values below 18.5 kg/m².

Assessment of Lifestyle Habits

The Arab Teen Lifestyle Study (ATLS) questionnaire was used to collect data related to physical activity, screen time, sleep duration, and dietary habits.^{17,21} Briefly, the questionnaire collects information on the frequency, duration, and intensity of light, moderate, and vigorous intensity physical activities during a typical week. The items cover several domains of activity including transport, household, fitness and sporting, and leisure-time activities. The questionnaire was shown in previous studies to be valid and reliable for assessing physical activity and other lifestyle habits in adolescents and young adults.^{22,23} In addition, participants reported on the typical time in hours spent per day on screen activities, including television (TV) viewing, video games, and computer and internet use during both weekdays and weekends. The weekly dietary habits, as well as typical duration (in hours) of sleep at night on weekdays and weekends, were also reported by the participants.

Data and Statistical Analysis

Data were entered into an SPSS data file, checked, cleaned, and analyzed using SPSS program, version 22 (IBM,

Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were calculated and presented as means and standard deviations (SD) or proportions. In addition, cross-tabulation with Chi-square tests was used to calculate the frequency and proportion of selected socio-demographic variables relative to overweight or obesity status. Multivariable analysis was used to test differences between overweight/obese versus non-overweight/non-obese participants as well as differences between younger versus older age groups in selected variables while controlling for several confounders. Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated between BMI levels and the participant's reason to do exercise for weight loss. Finally, a linear regression analysis with a stepwise method was used to predict BMI. Variables entered into the prediction equation included age, marital status, screen time, sleep duration, education level, father's education, mother's education, family income, weight-loss attempt, number of obese in the family, sum of energy expenditure in METs from moderate-intensity physical activity, sum of energy expenditure in METs from vigorous-intensity physical activity, and total energy expenditure in METs from all physical activity. An alpha level of ≤ 0.05 was used as the level of significance.

Results

After approaching 517 female participants during both weekdays and weekends, 460 of them agreed to take part in the study. This was a response rate of 89%. About 60% of the sample were younger than 30 years of age. The anthropometric characteristics of the participating females as a whole and relative to overweight/obesity status are shown in Table 1. Over 62% of the participants are either overweight or have obesity. Only 2% of the females are underweight. Mean BMI values for those females younger than age 30 years are

Table 1 Anthropometric Characteristics of the Participating Females (Mean \pm Standard Deviation or Percentage)

Variables	N	All	Non-Overweight/Non-Obese	Overweight/Obese
Age (year)	409	29.2 \pm 8.2	26.8 \pm 6.0 *	30.6 \pm 8.9
Weight (kg)	455	69.3 \pm 14.3	56.9 \pm 5.7 *	76.7 \pm 12.7
Height (cm)	455	158.7 \pm 5.5	159.1 \pm 4.9	158.5 \pm 5.8
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	455	27.5 \pm 5.4	22.5 \pm 2.0 *	30.5 \pm 4.6
Body mass index classification (%)				
<18.5	18.5–24.9	25–29.9	30+	
Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight	Obesity	
2.0	35.5	34.4	28.1	
<i>p</i> < 0.001				

Note: **p* < 0.001 for the difference between the non-overweight/non-obese and overweight/obese (*t*-test for independent samples).

significantly lower than those who were 30 years of age or older (26.4 ± 5.3 versus 29.2 ± 5.6 , $p > 0.001$). Also, overweight or obesity status among the younger age group (53.3%) is significantly ($p < 0.001$) lower than those of the older age group (76.4%). There was a significant correlation (not shown in the table) between BMI levels and the participant's reason to engage in exercise for weight loss ($r = 0.450$, $p < 0.001$).

Table 2 describes the socio-demographic factors of the participants relative to overweight or obesity status. Females who were overweight or obese are significantly ($p = 0.001$ – 0.039) older, married, have more children, have lower educational degrees, earn less income, have higher numbers of obesity in the family, and had attempted to lose weight more frequently than those of non-overweight or non-obese participants.

Table 3 presents the results of the multivariable analysis of selected lifestyle variables among Saudi females relative to overweight or obesity status, while controlling for the effects of age, education level, family income, and father's and mother's education levels. There were no significant differences between females who were overweight or obesity versus those who were not overweight or obese in the majority of the lifestyle-related variables. As an exception to that, females who were not overweight or obese showed lower weekly consumption of milk and dairy products (4.3 ± 2.4 versus 4.8 ± 2.3 days/week, $p = 0.029$) as well as higher intakes of fast foods per week (1.9 ± 1.5 versus 1.4 ± 1.3 days/week, $p = 0.049$), whereas females who were overweight or obese had a higher number of weight-loss attempts (1.9 ± 0.80 versus 2.5 ± 0.65 , $p = 0.011$).

Table 4 shows the results of the multivariable analysis of selected anthropometric and lifestyle variables, while controlling for the effects of education level, family income, and father's and mother's education levels, among Saudi females relative to age group category. The older age group showed significantly higher values of bodyweight ($p < 0.001$), BMI ($p < 0.001$), vegetable intake ($p < 0.001$), and number of attempts to lose weight ($p = 0.014$), whereas the younger age group exhibited higher values of screen time ($p < 0.001$), sleep duration ($p = 0.013$), and intake of sugar-sweetened drinks ($p = 0.023$), fast foods ($p < 0.001$), French fries and potato chips ($p < 0.001$), and energy drinks ($p = 0.001$).

Table 5 displays the proportion (%) of inactive or active female participants (in METs-min/week) relative to overweight or obesity status. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of active participants between

Table 2 Cross Tabulation of Socio-Demographic Variables Relative to Overweight or Obesity Status Among Saudi Females

Variables	Non-Overweight/ Non-Obese	Overweight/ Obese	p-value*
Age (years) (%)			
<30 years	46.7	23.6	< 0.001
≥30 years	53.3	76.4	
Marital status (%)			
Single	70.5	48.6	< 0.001
Married	29.5	51.4	
Number of children in family (%)			
1–2	50.0	31.1	0.039
3–4	41.7	43.7	
5+	8.3	25.2	
Educational level (%)			
High school or less	13.8	26.5	0.008
University degree	80.0	68.4	
Postgraduate degree	6.3	5.1	
Father's education (%)			
High school or less	36.1	41.6	0.077
University degree	42.2	44.9	
Postgraduate degree	21.7	13.5	
Mother's education (%)			
High school or less	55.3	65.3	0.110
University degree	37.9	30.0	
Postgraduate degree	6.8	4.7	
Family income in SR (%) **			
≤10,000	13.5	21.8	0.038
10,001–20,000	40.0	41.0	
20,001–30,000	23.9	23.8	
>30,000	22.6	13.4	
Number of obese members in the family (%)			
None	77.3	56.5	0.002
1–2	21.2	37.0	
3+	2.5	6.5	
Weight loss attempt (%)			
None	43.1	14.3	< 0.001
1–2	31.2	28.8	
3+	25.7	56.9	

Notes: *Chi-square tests for the differences in proportions. **US\$ = 3.75 Saudi Riyal.

overweight or obesity (93.1%) versus non-overweight non-obesity (94.6%) status ($p = 0.329$). The majority of the women were relatively active irrespective of overweight or obesity status.

Table 3 Multivariable Analysis of Selected Lifestyle Variables, While Controlling for Age, Education Level, Family Income, and Father's and Mother's Education Levels, Among Saudi Females Relative to Overweight or Obesity Status (Data are Means and Standard Deviations)

Variables	Non-Overweight/Non-Obese	Overweight/Obese	p-value *
Screen time (hours/day)	4.5 ± 2.0	4.4 ± 2.3	0.292
Sleep duration (hours/night)	6.6 ± 1.6	6.8 ± 1.5	0.063
Sum of activity energy expenditure from moderate intensity physical activity (METs-min/week)	1425.2 ± 1435.5	1598.6 ± 1270.9	0.642
Sum of activity energy expenditure from vigorous intensity physical activity (METs-min/week)	2450.7 ± 2300.1	2112.2 ± 2005.5	0.212
Total activity energy expenditure from all physical activity (METs-min/week)	3875.9 ± 2967.9	3710.8 ± 2510.2	0.449
Breakfast intake (day/week)	4.6 ± 2.6	4.6 ± 2.7	0.889
Vegetable intake (day/week)	4.6 ± 2.1	4.8 ± 2.1	0.861
Fruit intake (day/week)	3.5 ± 2.3	3.9 ± 2.2	0.151
Milk & dairy products intake (day/week)	4.3 ± 2.4	4.8 ± 2.3	0.029
Sugar-sweetened drinks intake (day/week)	1.7 ± 2.0	1.4 ± 1.8	0.554
Fast foods intake (day/week)	1.9 ± 1.5	1.4 ± 1.3	0.049
French fries/potato chips intake (day/week)	1.5 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.3	0.292
Cake/donuts intake (day/week)	2.0 ± 1.8	1.9 ± 1.8	0.900
Chocolates/candy intake (day/week)	3.1 ± 2.3	2.6 ± 2.2	0.168
Energy drink intake (day/week)	0.20 ± 0.72	0.07 ± 0.50	0.204
Weight loss attempts	1.9 ± 0.80	2.5 ± 0.65	0.011

Note: * Wilks' Lambda $F = 5.775$, $p < 0.001$; p-values for tests of between-subjects effects are presented in the table.

Abbreviation: METs-min/week, metabolic equivalent in minutes per week.

Finally, the results of multiple linear regression analyses for the prediction of body mass index from the lifestyle and demographic factors are presented in Table 6. The findings showed four significant prediction models with adjusted R square ranging from 0.129 (weight loss attempts) to 0.226 (four independent predictors explaining nearly 23% of the variance in the participants' BMI values).

Discussion

In the present study, we examined the associations of overweight or obesity with several lifestyle and socio-demographic factors among Saudi women, who were randomly selected from participants attending fitness centers in Riyadh, the capital of Saudi Arabia. The main findings of the current study indicated that the majority (62%) of the sampled females are overweight or obese seeking mostly weight loss, yet they were fairly highly active in terms of total activity energy expenditure per week. The findings also showed that females who were overweight or obese are significantly older, married, have more children, have a lower educational degree, earn less income, have higher numbers of obesity in the family, and had attempted to lose weight more frequently than those females who were not overweight or obese. In addition, there was no

significant difference in activity levels between overweight or obesity versus non-overweight non-obesity status. The most important contributory factors to obesity status (predicting BMI value) were weight-loss attempts, age, number of obesity in the family, and female's education level, explaining about 23% of the common variances.

Few studies have examined obesity prevalence in relation to lifestyle behaviors and demographic factors among females participating in fitness centers in Saudi Arabia.²⁴ The reason may be that until recently not many fitness centers were available for Saudi females. However, after the recent socio-political changes in the country, Saudi women began engaging on a large scale in exercise and physical activity at the newly opened fitness centers around the kingdom.²⁵ Compared with the previous study,²⁴ the present research used a large and representative sample from all the fitness centers in Riyadh. In addition, it used a validated questionnaire to examine the lifestyle behaviors among those participating females.

The overweight plus obesity prevalence among the present sample of females attending fitness centers reached 62.5%. In comparison, the prevalence rates for overweight and obesity in a study conducted in the Hail region in Northern Saudi Arabia were 22.5% and 70%, respectively.²⁴ Furthermore, the

Table 4 Multivariable Analysis of Selected Anthropometric and Lifestyle Variables, While Controlling for Education Level, Family Income, and Father's and Mother's Education Levels, Among Saudi Females Relative to Age Group Category (Data are Means and Standard Deviations)

Variables	Younger Age Group (60.7%)	Older Age Group (39.3%)	p-value *
Age (years)	23.9 ± 3.3	36.9 ± 6.4	< 0.001
Weight (kg)	66.7 ± 14.1	73.5 ± 15.3	< 0.001
Height (cm)	158.5 ± 5.3	158.7 ± 5.8	0.375
BMI	26.5 ± 5.4	29.2 ± 5.6	< 0.001
Screen time (hours/day)	4.8 ± 2.4	3.8 ± 1.6	< 0.001
Sleep duration (hours/night)	6.9 ± 1.6	6.4 ± 1.4	0.013
Sum of activity energy expenditure from moderate intensity physical activity (METs-min/week)	1441.0 ± 1249.1	1668.4 ± 1454.3	0.242
Sum of activity energy expenditure from vigorous intensity physical activity (METs-min/week)	2378.5 ± 2267.9	2022.5 ± 1869.6	0.105
Total activity energy expenditure from all physical activity (METs-min/week)	3819.5 ± 2707.4	3690.9 ± 2672.9	0.479
Breakfast intake (day/week)	4.4 ± 2.7	4.9 ± 2.6	0.094
Vegetable intake (day/week)	4.4 ± 2.2	5.2 ± 1.9	< 0.001
Fruit intake (day/week)	3.6 ± 2.3	4.0 ± 2.2	0.067
Milk & dairy products intake (day/week)	4.6 ± 2.4	4.7 ± 2.3	0.327
Sugar-sweetened drinks intake (day/week)	1.7 ± 1.9	1.2 ± 1.8	0.023
Fast foods intake (day/week)	1.9 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.1	< 0.001
French fries/potato chips intake (day/week)	1.6 ± 1.5	0.90 ± 0.98	< 0.001
Cake/donuts intake (day/week)	1.9 ± 1.8	2.0 ± 1.9	0.772
Chocolates/candy intake (day/week)	2.7 ± 2.2	2.9 ± 2.4	0.352
Energy drink intake (day/week)	0.22 ± 0.75	0.01 ± 0.12	0.001
Weight loss attempts	2.2 ± 0.78	2.4 ± 0.76	0.014

Note: * Wilks' Lambda $F = 35.409$, $p < 0.001$; p-values for tests of between-subjects effects are presented in the table.

Abbreviation: METs-min/week, metabolic equivalent in minutes per week.

reported prevalence of overweight and obesity among women sampled from the community in Jeddah was 30.1% and 35.6%, respectively.²⁶ Also, a cross-sectional survey,²⁷ conducted in selected primary health-care centers in Riyadh city with a higher mean age than that in the present study (43.8 ± 10.9 versus 29.2 ± 8.2 years), reported a higher mean BMI value than the one found in the present study (31.4 ± 6.5 compared to 27.5 ± 5.4 kg/m²). However, the somewhat lower rate of overweight or obesity among this sample of

females compared to those in previously mentioned studies may be explained by the fact that the majority of Saudi women enrolling in fitness centers were seeking weight loss and were fairly active. In addition, although overweight or obesity prevalence among the young females (younger than 30 years) in the current study appears much lower than the overweight or obesity rate of those 30 years of age or older (53.3% versus 76.4%), this prevalence rate for the younger age group is still much higher than those reported for college-age women reported in previous studies, which ranged from 28.1% to 29.5%.^{28,29} However, we have to recall that the majority of these females reported in the present study were enrolled in fitness centers with the main goal to lose weight.

The present study revealed that females who were overweight or obese were significantly older, married, have more children, have a lower educational degree, earn less income, have higher numbers of obesity in the family, and have had more frequent attempts to lose weight compared with non-overweight or non-obese participants. Findings from the last national survey, conducted in the year 2013, indicated that the risk of obesity

Table 5 The Proportion (%) of Inactive and Active Participants Relative to Overweight or Obesity Status

Variables	Non-Overweight/Non-Obesity	Overweight/Obesity	p-value *
Inactive (<600 METs-min/week)	5.4%	6.9%	0.329
Active (≥600 METs-min/week)	94.6%	93.1%	

Note: *Chi-square test.

Abbreviation: METs-min/week, metabolic equivalent in minutes per week.

Table 6 Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analyses for the Prediction of Body Mass Index from the Lifestyle and Socio-Demographic Factors

Dependent Variables	Predictor Variables	Standardized Coefficient (Beta)	p-value	R	Adjusted R ²	
Body mass index	Model 1	Weight-loss attempts	0.363	< 0.001	0.363	0.129
	Model 2	Weight-loss attempts	0.341	< 0.001	0.433	0.183
		Age	0.238	< 0.001		
	Model 3	Weight-loss attempts	0.307	< 0.001	0.463	0.208
	Age	0.250	< 0.001			
	Number of obesity in the family	0.167	< 0.001			
Model 4	Weight-loss attempts	0.301	< 0.001	0.485	0.226	
	Age	0.251	< 0.001			
	Number of obesity in the family	0.163	0.001			
	Education level	-0.142	0.002			

among women increased with age, being married or previously married, compared with those never married.¹³ Also, the national survey found that women who had more education than high school were less likely to be obese than those who had a primary school education level or less. Further, lifestyle habits such as diet indicators or physical activity were not significantly associated with obesity among Saudi women, as vegetable and fruit consumption was similar among obese and non-obese Saudis, and over 75% of women had low to no physical activity at all.¹³ In another study, higher body mass index and physical inactivity among Saudi women were shown to be associated with poor self-rated health, and have implications for public health policies and interventions aimed at improving the health and quality of life for such women.³⁰ It is interesting to indicate that another study, which intended to gain a better understanding of the healthy lifestyle consumer by investigating demographic, personal values, and psychographic antecedents using cluster analysis, showed that people who maintain a healthy lifestyle tend to be female, older, more educated, put less importance on the value of “excitement,” have a greater tendency to plan ahead, and tend to experience less role overload.³¹

Lifestyle habits have been linked to obesity in numerous studies.^{10,11,32} In addition, it is well recognized that cultural values and beliefs as well as socio-economic factors and the built environment can greatly influence lifestyle behaviors. Physical inactivity and low intake of fruits and vegetables, for example, are considered among the

major yet preventable risk factors for NCDs.³³ Compared with standard care, lifestyle interventions were reported to be effective in reducing several obesity indices, such as bodyweight, body mass index, waist circumference, as well as numerous cardiovascular risks like blood pressure, blood lipids and blood glucose in overweight and obese people, with favorable effects maintained up to three years.³² Moreover, findings from the prospective Danish Diet study showed that adherence to healthy lifestyle behaviors was linked to a lower risk of acute coronary syndrome even among obese individuals.³⁴

The results from the present study did not find significant differences in the total activity energy expenditure from all physical activity (METs-min/week) between overweight/obese compared with non-overweight/non-obese or between younger compared with older age groups. The physical activity instrument used in the present study collects activity data from all domains of physical activities, including household, transport, fitness, and recreational sports activities. The American College of Sports Medicine recommended moderate physical activity above 250 minutes per week for long-term weight loss.³⁵ Further, a randomized controlled trial, involving overweight and obese women (age = 18–40 years; BMI = 27–37 kg/m²) undergoing a weight-loss program, showed that weekly physical activity of longer duration conducted over fewer sessions during the week could be more effective for weight loss than those activity sessions undertaken with more frequent and shorter duration.³⁶ This may be helpful for those who are neither willing nor able to schedule time

for physical activity sessions almost every day to achieve weight loss. However, due to the cross-sectional nature of our study, we did not examine the differences in exercise frequency and duration of weekly sessions over time.

The current findings did not find significant differences between overweight/obese compared with non-overweight/non-obese females in many selected lifestyle variables, including activity energy expenditure, screen time, and sleep duration. Similar findings were found in a previous study involving female college students.²⁹ However, the participants in the current study were much more active than those reported for young college females (94% versus 49.6% were expending 600 METs-minutes or more per week).²⁹ Our findings about the lack of association between obesity and physical activity may be explained by the fact that most of the females in the sample were fairly active. The high prevalence of high levels of activity in the present sample made the participants homogenous in terms of physical activity, which may have reduced the relationship between physical activity and overweight or obesity status. A similar finding was found between physical inactivity and obesity levels among women in a national survey; however, this was attributed to the low activity level among a large percentage of Saudi females.¹³ In line with our findings, the relationships between lifestyle behaviors and overweight or obesity among Saudi females attending health science colleges were previously investigated; however, the finding did not show significant associations between lifestyle habits of college females and overweight or obesity status.²⁹ In contrast, a previously published study involving a large number of Saudi youth showed that a number of lifestyle behaviors exhibited significant gender effects, with some particular variables showing multiple interaction effects between gender, obesity, and lifestyle behaviors.³⁷ Obesity and insufficient physical activity, though, were reported to be significant predictors of multiple morbidities among people aged 55–70 in New Zealand.³⁸

In the present study, the weight-loss attempts, age, number of obesity in the family, and females' education level emerged as the most important contributory factors to obesity status, explaining about 23% of the common variances. Among Saudi college-aged students, a significant moderate relationship ($r = 0.370$) between BMI and weight-loss attempts was reported.²⁸ Weight-loss attempts, thus, appear to be a critical variable to consider when developing interventions for weight loss. A recent large cross-sectional study indicated an increasing trend in the proportion of participants

who attempted to lose weight among adults in the USA.³⁹ However, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey over a 10-year period from 2005 to 2014 showed that unhealthy weight-loss strategies are associated with increased odds of depression.⁴⁰

The present study has its strengths and limitations. Among the strengths of the current research is having a representative sample of Saudi women from all fitness centers in the city of Riyadh. In addition, we have used a reliable and valid lifestyle questionnaire that covers physical activity, sedentary behaviors, sleep, and dietary habits. It employs metabolic equivalents for calculating energy expenditure from all domains of physical activity and not just fitness and recreational activities. Also, the weight and height values included in this study were actually measured and not reported by the participants. However, we also acknowledge some limitations for the present study. First, the cross-sectional nature of this study does not allow us to assume causal relationships between overweight or obesity and the lifestyle or socio-demographic factors. Second, due to the nature of the questionnaires, in general, we cannot exclude the potential for recall bias in reporting all the lifestyle behaviors. Third, the study findings may not be generalized to all Saudi females, as the sample in the present study came from females who are already participating regularly in fitness centers, and therefore may have stronger motives to lose weight compared with the general population. Fourth, the current sample has also more overweight or obesity prevalence than the general population, based on comparison with a national survey. Fifth, the part in the questionnaire related to food frequency items did not account for portion size, something that may have impacted the relationships between dietary habits and overweight or obesity status.

Conclusion

The present study examined the associations of overweight or obesity with several lifestyle and socio-demographic factors among Saudi women attending fitness centers in Riyadh. The main findings of this study indicated that the majority of the participants were overweight or obese seeking weight loss, yet they were highly active in terms of total activity energy expenditure. The findings also showed that females who were overweight or obese are significantly older, married, have more children, have a lower educational degree, earn less income, have higher numbers of obesity in the family, and had attempted to

lose weight more frequently than those females who were not overweight or obese. In addition, there was no significant difference in activity levels between overweight or obesity versus non-overweight non-obesity status. Only a few lifestyle behaviors are significantly different relative to overweight or obesity status, namely intake of milk and dairy products and fast foods. The older age group showed significantly higher values of bodyweight, BMI, vegetable intake, and number of attempts to lose weight, whereas the younger age group exhibited higher values of screen time, sleep duration, and intake of sugar-sweetened drinks, fast foods, French fries and potato chips, and energy drinks. Multiple linear regression analysis for the prediction of body mass index from the lifestyle, anthropometric, and demographic factors showed that weight-loss attempts, age, number of obese members in the family, and female's education level were the most important contributory factors to overweight or obesity status, explaining nearly 23% of the common variances. Primary prevention of overweight and obesity by promoting healthy lifestyle behaviors should still be a major public health goal. A better understanding of the relationships between obesity and lifestyle behaviors is necessary for effective prevention and management of obesity in females and can assist in planning effective interventions targeting Saudi females.

Data Sharing Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article. Any additional data will be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants for taking part in this study. Also, special thanks to the following research assistants, who participated in data collection: Lama Alrasheed, Doaa Aljasser, Sultanah Alsulaiman, and Shahad Alshalan.

Author Contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University through the Fast-track Research Funding Program.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this work.

References

- Williams E, Mesidor M, Winters K, Dubbert P, Wyatt S. Overweight and obesity: prevalence, consequences, and causes of a growing public health problem. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2015;4:363–370. doi:10.1007/s13679-015-0169-4
- World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>. Accessed March, 20, 2020.
- Haslam DW, James WP. Obesity. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9492):1197–1209. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67483-1
- Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, et al. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. *JAMA*. 2003;289:76–79. doi:10.1001/jama.289.1.76
- Barnes AS. Emerging modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women: obesity, physical activity, and sedentary behavior. *Tex Heart Inst J*. 2013;40:293–295.
- Hu FB. Overweight and obesity in women: health risks and consequences. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2003;12:163–172. doi:10.1089/154099903321576565
- Cameron AJ, Welborn TA, Zimmet PZ, et al. Overweight and obesity in Australia: the 1999–2000 Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab). *Med J Aust*. 2003;178:427–432. doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.2003.tb05283.x
- World Health Organization. *Global Status Report on Non-Communicable Diseases*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2014. Available from: <http://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd-status-report-2014/en/>. Accessed March 20, 2020..
- Thorp AA, Healy GN, Owen N, et al. Deleterious associations of sitting time and television viewing time with cardiometabolic risk biomarkers: Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle (AusDiab) study 2004–2005. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:327–334. doi:10.2337/dc09-0493
- Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, for the Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group. Impact of physical inactivity on the world's major non-communicable diseases. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9838):219–229. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61031-9
- Ley SH, Ardisson Korat AV, Sun Q, et al. Contribution of the Nurses' Health Studies to uncovering risk factors for type 2 diabetes: diet, lifestyle, biomarkers, and genetics. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106:1624–1630. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2016.303314
- Alshaikh MK, Filippidis FT, Al-Omar HA, Rawaf S, Majeed A, Salmasi AM. The ticking time bomb in lifestyle-related diseases among women in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries; review of systematic reviews. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1):536. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4331-7
- Memish ZA, El Bcheraoui C, Tuffaha M, et al. Obesity and associated factors—Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2014;11:E174. doi:10.5888/pcd11.140236
- McLaren L. Socioeconomic status and obesity. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2007;29:29–48. doi:10.1093/epirev/mxm001

15. El Bcheraoui C, Tuffaha M, Daoud F, et al. On your mark, get set, go: levels of physical activity in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. *J Phys Act Health*. 2016;13:231–238. doi:10.1123/jpah.2014-0601
16. Al-Hazzaa HM. Physical inactivity in Saudi Arabia revisited: a systematic review of inactivity prevalence and perceived barriers to active living. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)*. 2018;12(6):50–64.
17. Al-Hazzaa HM, Abahussain N, Al-Sobayel H, Qahwaji D, Musaiger AO. Physical activity, sedentary behaviors and dietary habits among Saudi adolescents relative to age, gender and region. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011;8:140. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-140
18. Moradi-Lakeh M, El Bcheraoui C, Tuffaha M, et al. The health of Saudi youths: current challenges and future opportunities. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2016;17:26. doi:10.1186/s12875-016-0425-z
19. Raatz SK, Johnson LK, Scheet AJ, Langei CM, Westereng RA, Community based lifestyle intervention improves body weight, anthropometric, and fitness parameters. *FASEB J*. 2012;26 (suppl):813.10. doi:10.1096/fj.12-204412
20. Swift DL, Lavie CJ, Johannsen NM, et al. Physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and exercise training in primary and secondary coronary prevention. *Circ J*. 2013;77(2):281–292. doi:10.1253/circj. CJ-13-0007
21. Al-Hazzaa HM, Musaiger AO, ATLS Research Group. Arab Teens Lifestyle Study (ATLS): objectives, design, methodology and implications. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2011;4:417–426. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S26676.
22. Al-Hazzaa HM, Al-Sobayel HI, Musaiger AO. Convergent validity of the Arab Teens Lifestyle Study (ATLS) physical activity questionnaire. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2011;8(9):3810–3820. doi:10.3390/ijerph8093810
23. Al-Hazzaa HM, Al-Ahmadi M. A self-reported questionnaire for the assessment of physical activity in youth 15–25 years: development, reliability and construct validity. *Arab J of Food Nutri*. 2003;4(8):279–291.
24. Ben-Ammar AA, Al-Holy MA. Body image and lifestyle attitudes of female gymnasium users in Saudi Arabia. *Nutri Food Sci*. 2013;43 (4):365–373. doi:10.1108/NFS-10-2012-0110
25. Saudi Vision 2030 (2018) National Transformation Program 2020. Available from: <https://vision2030.gov.sa/en>. Accessed July 14, 2020.
26. Al-Raddadi R, Bahijri SM, Jambi HA, Ferns G, Tuomilehto J. The prevalence of obesity and overweight, associated demographic and lifestyle factors, and health status in the adult population of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2019;10:2040622319878997. doi:10.1177/2040622319878997
27. AlQuaiz AM, Siddiqui AR, Kazi A, Batais MA, Al-Hazmi AM. Sedentary lifestyle and Framingham risk scores: a population-based study in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2019;19 (1):88. doi:10.1186/s12872-019-1048-9
28. Albeeybe J, Alomer A, Alahmari T, et al. Body size misperception and overweight or obesity among Saudi college-aged females. *J Obes*. 2018;2018:5246915. doi:10.1155/2018/5246915
29. Alhakhbany MA, Alzamil HA, Alabdullatif WA, Aldekhyyel SN, Alsuhaybani MN, Al-Hazzaa HM. Lifestyle habits in relation to overweight and obesity among Saudi women attending health science Colleges. *J Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2018;8(1–2):13–19. doi:10.2991/j.jegh.2018.09.100
30. Jradi H, Alharbi Z, Mohammad Y. Self-rated health among Saudi women: association with morbidity, lifestyle, and psychosocial factors. *J Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2018;8(3–4):183–188. doi:10.2991/j.jegh.2018.04.100
31. Divine L, Lepisto L. Analysis of the healthy lifestyle consumer. *J Consumer Marketing*. 2005;22(5):275–283. doi:10.1108/07363760510611707
32. Galani C, Schneider H. Prevention and treatment of obesity with lifestyle interventions: review and meta-analysis. *Int J Public Health*. 2007;52(6):348–359. doi:10.1007/s00038-007-7015-8
33. World Health Organization (2009) Global Health Risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. Geneva: Switzerland. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44203/9789241563871_eng.pdf. Accessed March 20, 2020..
34. Jensen MK, Chiuve SE, Rimm EB, et al. Obesity, behavioral lifestyle factors, and risk of acute coronary events. *Circulation*. 2008;117:3062–3069. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.759951
35. Donnelly JE, Blair SN, Jakicic JM, Manore MM, Rankin JW, Smith BK, American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. Appropriate physical activity intervention strategies for weight loss and prevention of weight regain for adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2009;41(2):459–471. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181949333
36. Madjd A, Taylor MA, Shafei Neek L, et al. Effect of weekly physical activity frequency on weight loss in healthy overweight and obese women attending a weight loss program: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;104:1202–1208. doi:10.3945/ajcn.116.136408
37. Al-Hazzaa HM, Albawardi NM. Activity energy expenditure, screen time and dietary habits relative to gender among Saudi youth: interactions of gender with obesity status and selected lifestyle behaviours. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2019;28:389–400. doi:10.6133/apjn.201906_28(2).0022
38. Aminisani N, Stephens C, Allen J, Alpess F, Shamshirgaran SM. Socio-demographic and lifestyle factors associated with multimorbidity in New Zealand. *Epidemiol Health*. 2020;42:e2020001. doi:10.4178/epih.e2020001
39. Han L, You D, Zeng F, et al. Trends in self-perceived weight status, weight loss attempts, and weight loss strategies among adults in the United States, 1999–2016. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(11):e1915219. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.15219
40. Chaitoff A, Swetlik C, Ituarte C, et al. Associations between unhealthy weight-loss strategies and depressive symptoms. *Am J Prev Med*. 2019;56:241–250. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2018.09.017

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research. Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert opinion

and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-targets-and-therapy-journal>