Title: Contingency planning in the clinical laboratory: lessons learned amidst COVID-19

Running head: Contingency planning in the clinical laboratory

Authors: Allison B. Chambliss^{1,2}, Nicole V. Tolan^{3*}

Affiliations:

¹Department of Pathology, Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

²Department of Pathology, Los Angeles County + University of Southern California (LAC+USC) Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

*Corresponding Author:

Nicole V. Tolan, Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Cotran 2, Boston, MA 02115, USA. E-mail: ntolan@bwh.harvard.edu.

Keywords: coronavirus disease 2019, novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2, contingency planning, laboratory management

List of abbreviations:

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019 SARS-CoV-2, novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 FTE, full-time equivalent Global transmission of the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has faced clinical laboratories with many challenges in continuing to offer critical services. Round-the-clock laboratory testing remains essential to support patient care, both those with and without 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19). This pandemic is leading to an influx of hospitalized patients, while simultaneously yielding virus exposures and self-quarantines for the laboratory workforce. Thus, laboratories should prepare to operate with limited staff and may need to prioritize laboratory tests according to clinical necessity.

All laboratories will recognize the need to pay particular attention to those sections involved in SARS-CoV-2 viral testing; upstaffing areas that receive, test or send out samples, and report/call-back results. However, the laboratory should consider various staffing models to maintain healthy workers, such as altering shift hours, or even alternating staffing groups (1). Preemptive scaling back of laboratory staff and enabling them to work from home will allow for creation of a reserve labor pool that can be engaged as staff are required to quarantine with exposure. This is only possible when laboratory testing volumes for tests not relevant to COVID-19 precipitously decrease as hospitals cancel all non-emergent and elective procedures that would otherwise require maintaining higher volumes of comprehensive testing.

The laboratory should begin contingency planning by assessing baseline operational status, which benches can be offered less frequently (batched as sample stability allows), which can be closed altogether, and the resultant minimum number of staff required to support emergent testing (**Table 1**). In order to do so effectively, the laboratory should define which tests are required to support emergent care and inpatient testing. Some resources are available to determine this emergent test menu, such as the World Health Organization's *Model List of Essential In Vitro Diagnostics* (2) and the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute's *Planning for Laboratory Operations During a Disaster* (3). However, these resources are not specific to COVID-19, and laboratories should work with medical leadership to ensure that laboratory offerings are aligned with expected testing practices.

Tests that will need to be maintained include complete blood counts, metabolic panels, routine coagulation, troponin, liver function tests, blood gases, and inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein, lactate dehydrogenase, and procalcitonin (4, 5). With laboratory automation, it may be best to prioritize FTEs by assay bench or analyzer as prioritization of individual tests would require additional work of scrutinizing and separating orders, and sorting, storing, and re-running a large number of samples. It may be most efficient to simply allow an automation line to run the complete battery of tests ordered unless analyte-specific technical issues arise. In times of particularly critical shortages of staff and/or reagents, with proper

agreement of hospital leadership and use of mass notification mechanisms, non-emergent tests could be temporarily masked from providers in the test ordering system and eliminate the laboratory from receiving them in the first place.

The laboratory should also evaluate reagent and supply inventory and consider increasing supplies on-hand in preparation for higher test volumes and/or possible lapses in vendor supplies or delivery mechanisms. This will need to be considered in relation to the number of tests anticipated in both critical care and general care patient populations (https://covidprotocols.org) and the likelihood of filling COVID-19 expansion beds as part of surge planning (**Table 2**). The lab should prepare for an increased number of mechanically ventilated patients. Hospital leadership can provide details about the plans to expand patient care areas for COVID-19 patients and the expected testing volumes. It may also be valuable to preemptively evaluate the potential benefit of increased point-of-care testing to ease the burden of samples sent to the laboratory. However, it is essential to consider the entire workflow, including interface work that may be required for new tests.

As elective surgical procedures are postponed, staff across the department may be available to provide support and back-up to the essential functions of the lab, particularly on offshifts. Cross-training amongst the various core laboratory areas, ideally in advance of significant absenteeism, will yield flexibility of assignments. As universities are increasingly scaling back research operations, other able-bodied personnel such as research scientists, medical students, or Pathology residents may help the clinical laboratory as long as institutional policies and regulatory requirements are met. Non-certified personnel may assist the laboratory with, for example, internal specimen courier services, specimen accessioning, inventory, or the assembly of COVID-19 test collection kits.

Finally, open and continuous communication, both among the laboratory department and healthcare providers, should be maintained with regards to the status of laboratory services. Electronic 'daily huddles' can help with assessing the number of staff available, the benches that will operate each day, and where additional staff can be relocated to support intradepartmental needs. Daily assessment and communication can be automated via e-mail templates to inform the hospital of real-time lab staffing capacity and tests that will be unavailable or delayed.

In summary, there are a number of steps the laboratory can preemptively take as part of disaster planning that involve cross-specialty collaboration within laboratory medicine and with the support of hospital leadership (**Table 3**).

References:

- Lippi G, Plebani M. The critical role of laboratory medicine during coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and other viral outbreaks. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020, Mar 19.
- 2. World Health Organization. Second WHO Model List of Essential In Vitro Diagnostics. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
- 3. CLSI. *Planning for Laboratory Operations During a Disaster; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document GP36-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014.
- 4. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liang W, Ou C, He J, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N. Engl. J. Med. (2020), 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) when COVID-19 disease is suspected. Interim guidance, 13 March 2020, World Health Organization; 2019. <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/clinical-management-of-severe-acute-respiratory-infection-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected</u>

Table 1. Example contingency planning FTE assignment tool. Using the Chemistry section as an example, a similar contingency planning tool can be used across core clinical lab specialties to assess benches/testing that can be performed depending upon available staffing. Its design affords managers to use this tool daily to assign benches, considering priority of assays and specimen stability for assays that are batched. Notably, increased risks of staffing concerns are seen on off-shifts (weekend days, evenings, and nights) and may be addressed by identifying staff who would volunteer to be on-call to cover these shifts as needed. A similar tool can be used to automate communication within the department and help reallocate staffing where it is needed, while also providing updates to clinical care teams. Data for the Chemistry section is offered as an example of information to collect, which is dependent on testing volumes, breadth of testing offered, as well other lab-specific needs. Lab Control/Receiving, Hematology, and Lab Management sections are provided as a place holder, with blank, shaded cells indicating additional data to be entered. A downloadable Excel file of this table is available as Supplemental Table 1. FTE: baseline full-time equivalent (FTE) staff number; DS: preemptive down-staffing to create alternating labor pools; Min: minimum number of FTE required to support only emergent testing; %Min: minimum percentage of full staffing capacity to perform testing; Float: no dedicated staff, staff from other benches to cover as able; d/c: discard and cancel; 1+: requires supervisor review and sign-off.

| | | | | Sample Stabilities | | | | | | | Veekend Days FT DS Min %M F | | | | | | ning | | | Nights | | | |
|----------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|--|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|------|---------|-------|-----|------|--------|-------|-----|--|
| CORE LAB | Prior | Bench or testing area | 20- | 2- | - | Contingency/Back-Up | FTE | DS | Min | ΖM | FT | DS | Min | ×Μ | FT | DS | Min | ×Μ | FT | DS | Min | Z | |
| ab Control/Receiving | | Pneumatic Tube | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual Processing, Problem San | nples | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Automation Line Loader | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Send Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chemistry | | Blood Gas | | | | Essential Testing | 2 | | | 25% | 1 | 1 | | 25% | 2 | | | 25% | 2 | | | 25 | |
| | | Osmolality (Serum and Urine) | | | | - | | Float | | | | Float | | | | i Float | | | | Float | | | |
| | 3 | Core Chemistry Automation | | | | 1. batch and run as possible | 3 | : 3 | 2 | 25% | 3 | 3 | 2 | 50% | 3 | 3 | 2 | 75% | 3 | 2 | 2 | 50 | |
| | | | | | | 2. d/c testing without staffing (blood gas for emergent testing) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Fetal Fibronectin Testing | 8 hrs | 3 | 3 mo | 1. batch and run as possible | 0.5 | Float | Floa | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25 | |
| | | | | days | | 2. d/c when >2 days delay (notify physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Mas | ss 12 hrs | 14 | days | 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 14 days | 1. | - 1- | 1 | 50% | 1+ | 0 | 0 | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Spectrometry Testing | | | | send out when >2 days delay (notify physicians) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | 3. d/c if unable to send out (notify physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | Serologic Hepatitis Testing | 3 days | | 6 mo | 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 7 days | 1 | 1 1 | Batch | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | days | | 2. freeze when >5 days, review pending log for Occupational | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | Immunoglobulins and Free Light C | Chains | 7 | 6 mo | o 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 7 days | | 1 | Batch | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | days | | 2. freeze when >5 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | Ancillary Non-Emergent Chemistr | | | | 1. batch and run as possible, 2-8°C and run within limits per | 1 | Batch | Batch | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Vitamin D | 8 hrs | 4 | | analyte: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | TPO | | days | 1mo | freeze when >2 days delay, run within 6 months | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Insulin | | 3 | 6 mo | freeze when > 3 days delay, run within 1 month | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C3 | 4 days | days | | d/c when >3 days delay, non-essential given delay (notify | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C4 | 2 days | 24 hrs | 3 mo | physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | Protein Electrophoresis/ | | | | 1. batch and run as possible | 2 | Batch | Batch | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Immunofisation | 4 daus | 2 wks | 6 mo | 2. send to reference lab when >7 delay | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Serum | | | | 3. freeze when >2 days, run within 1 mo (irretrievable sample) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | Toxicology Mass Spectrometry T | esti 24 hrs | | | 1. batch and run as possible | 1. | Batch | Batch | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | daus | | 2. freezing when > 2 days delay (irretrievable sample) | M.V.F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11 | Reports and QA (Supervisor) | | | | | 2 | | | 75% | 1 | Float | Float | 25% | 1 | I Float | Float | 25% | 1 | Float | Float | 25% | |
| | 12 | Contingency Work | | | | | 0 | Float | Floa | | 0 | Float | Float | 25% | 0 | Float | Float | 25% | 0 | Float | Float | 25% | |
| | | | | | | TOTAL FTEs | 15 | 1 | 6 | | 7 | 5 | 4 | | 7 | 5 | 4 | | 9 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | | | | | | 75% Staffed | 11.25 | | | | 5.25 | | | | 5.25 | - | | | 6.75 | | | | |
| | | | | | | 50% Staffed | 7.5 | | | | 3.5 | | | | 3.5 | | | | 4.5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | 25% Staffed | 3.75 | | | | 1,75 | | | | 1,75 | | | | 2.25 | | | | |
| Hematology | 1 | Core Hernatology Automation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | Core Coagulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Automated Urinalysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual hCG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Manual Differential and Slide Revi | ieω | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Bone Marrow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Body Fluids | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Flow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reports and QA (Path Review, et- | c) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Contingency Work | *.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | a strangeney a stra | | | | TOTAL FTEs | | | _ | | | _ | _ | | | — | _ | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | The Staffed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 50% Staffed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 25% Stalled | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Management | 1 | Inventory and Stocking | | - | - | 2105 318790 | - | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | - | | - | - | | - | _ | | _ | |
| Lab management | | Ordering | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ordening | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |

Table 2. Example surge planning tool for emergent laboratory testing. Using a surge planning model of 670 general care and 280 intensive care unit (ICU) beds, the anticipated volume of laboratory testing during an anticipated suge can be estimated following testing protocols outlined by the institution (e.g. https://covidprotocols.org/protocols/02-ed-inpatient-floor-management-triage-transfers). A downloadable Excel file of this table is available as **Supplemental Table 2**. GC: general care unit; ICU: intensive/critical care unit; SCT: stem sell transplant; ABG/VBG: arterial/venous blood gas; CK: creatinine kinase; LFT: liver function tests; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; CRP: C-reactive peptide; PT/INR: prothrombin time/international normalized ratio; +: additional testing expected, unpredictable volumes.

| COVID-19 Order set | Typical (pre-COVID) Daily Volume | Estimated COVID Daily Volume | | On A | d missio n | Dail | v | | every Other Day | Weekly |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|------|------------|----------|-----|--------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Test | | , | | All | High Risk | Unstable | ICU | Stable | | Heme malignancy/SCT patients |
| CBC with differential | 1218 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 34 3 | + , | 5 <i>//</i> / |
| BMP | 1000 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 34 3 | + | |
| Magnesium | 8 50 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 34 3 | + | |
| ABG/VBG | 205 | 840 | + | | | + " | 840 | | + | |
| Troponin | 120 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 34 3 | + | |
| NT-pro BNP | 55 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 34 3 | + | |
| ск | 33 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 34 3 | + | |
| LFT | 3 50 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 34 3 | + | |
| Triglycerides | 170 | 126 | | | | | | | 126 | |
| LDH | 115 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 34 3 | + | |
| CRP | 110 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 34 3 | + | |
| PT/INR | 750 | 157 | + | 137 | 20 | | | | + | |
| D-dime r | 16 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 34 3 | + | |
| Fibrinogen | 62 | 0 | + | | | | | | + | |
| Procalcitonin | 42 | 157 | + | 137 | 20 | | | | + | |
| Ferritin | 70 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 34 3 | + | |
| Extended Respiratory Viral Pane | el . | 20 | | | 20 | | | | | |
| Glucan | | 16 | | | | | | | | 16 |
| Galactomannan | | 16 | | | | | | | | 16 |
| Inpatient CoV2 testing | | 155 | | 155 | | | | | | |
| Adult and Pediatric Estimates: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peak # Daily Admissions | | | | 157 | | | | | | |
| General Care | | | | 137 | | | | | | |
| ICU | | | | | 20 | | | | | |
| Peak Occupancy | | | | | | | 965 | | | |
| General Care | | | | | | | | 68 5 | | |
| ICU | | | | | | | 280 | | | |

Assumptio ns

Assume 20% for GC and 7% for ICU of peak occupancy for daily admissions Assume 90% ICU patients on mechanical ventilation, requiring propofol Assume 3x blood gasses per day for each ICU COVID positive patient

Assume 11.5% of all admissions are heme malignancy/SCT patients

Assume 1x CoV testing on admission

Table 3. Strategies for contingency planning in the clinical laboratory amidst the COVID-19 pandemic

| Vary staffing models | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Alter shift hours | | | | | | | | | |
| Preemptively scale back on-site workers | | | | | | | | | |
| Alternate teams for remote vs. on-site work | | | | | | | | | |
| Approve overtime to call in off-shift workers | | | | | | | | | |
| Cross-train professionals from other clinical lab areas | | | | | | | | | |
| Prioritize testing menu for emergent testing | | | | | | | | | |
| Define necessary/urgently needed tests | | | | | | | | | |
| Prioritize FTEs to necessary tests | | | | | | | | | |
| Batch or temporarily discontinue non-prioritized tests | | | | | | | | | |
| Mask non-prioritized tests from provider order system | | | | | | | | | |
| Prepare for surge of COVID-19 patients | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplify inventory of reagents for prioritized tests | | | | | | | | | |
| Support point-of-care testing | | | | | | | | | |
| Recruit medical trainees or researchers for lab assistant roles | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintain communication with hospital and medical leadership | | | | | | | | | |
| Define expected practices for laboratory testing | | | | | | | | | |
| Communicate daily lab staffing status and test menu availability | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | ple Stabi | | and the loss | | Day S | | A/4 | | | nd Days | | | Even | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|---|---------|-------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
| rity | Bench or testing area | 20-22°C | 2-8°C | -20°C | Contingency/Back-Up | FTE | DS | Min | %Min | FTE | DS | Min | %Min | FTE | DS | Min | %Min |
| 1 Pneuma | atic Tube | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Manual | Processing, Problem Samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Automa | ation Line Loader | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Send O | ut | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Blood G | Gas | | | | Essential Testing | 2 | 2 | 1 | 25% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 25% |
| 2 Osmola | ality (Serum and Urine) | | | | Essential results | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% |
| 3 Core Ch | hemistry Automation | | | | 1. batch and run as possible | 3 | 3 | 2 | 25% | 3 | 3 | 2 | 50% | 3 | 3 | 2 | 75% |
| | | | | | d/c testing without staffing (blood gas for emergent testing) | | | | | | | | nii). | | | | |
| 4 Fetal Fi | bronectin Testing | 8 hrs | 3 days | 3 mo | 1. batch and run as possible | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% | 0.5 | Float | Float | 25% |
| | | | | | d/c when >2 days delay (notify physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 Therape | eutic Drug Monitoring Mass | 12 hrs | 14 | days | 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 14 days | 1+ | 1+ | 1+ | 50% | 1+ | 0 | 0 | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spectro | ometry Testing | | | | send out when >2 days delay (notify physicians) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3. d/c if unable to send out (notify physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Serolog | jic Hepatitis Testing | 3 days | 7 days | 6 mo | 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 7 days | 1 | 1 | Batch | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - | | | 1 | | 2. freeze when >5 days, review pending log for Occupational Health | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 1000000 | adabuling and Erec Light Chains | | 7 days | 6 | | | | D-st-sh | 50% | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 immun | oglobulins and Free Light Chains | | / uays | 6 mo | 1. batch and run as possible, store 2-8°C and run within 7 days 2. freeze when >5 days | 1 | 1 | Batch | 5070 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| م المعنالية | - Non Francisco Chamistaire | | | | • | | _ | Detek | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | ry Non-Emergent Chemistries | 0 h-r | | c | 1. batch and run as possible, 2-8°C and run within limits per analyte: | 1 | Batch | Batch | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Vitamin | 10 | 8 hrs | | | freeze when >2 days delay, run within 6 months | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TPO Insulin | | | | | freeze when >3 days delay, run within 1 month | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 24 hrs | 6 mo | d/c when >3 days delay, non-essential given delay (notify physician) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C3 C4 | | | 8 days | 3 | d/c testing when >7 days delay (notify physicians) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 days | 2 days | 5 mo | freeze when >2 days delay, run within three months | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Electrophoresis/ Immunofixation | | | | 1. batch and run as possible | 2 | Batch | Batch | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Serum | | 4 days | 2 wks | | 2. send to reference lab when >7 delay | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urine | | | 72 hrs | | 3. freeze when >2 days, run within 1 mo (irretrievable sample) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Toxicol | ogy Mass Spectrometry Testing | 24 hrs | 7 days | 3 mo | 1. batch and run as possible | 1+ | Batch | Batch | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | freezing when >2 days delay (irretrievable sample) | M,W,F | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 Reports | s and QA (Supervisor) | | | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | | 1 | Float | Float | 25% | 1 | Float | Float | 25% |
| 12 Conting | gency Work | | | | | 0 | Float | Float | . | 0 | Float | Float | 25% | 0 | Float | Float | 25% |
| | | | | | TOTAL FT | is 15 | 11 | 6 | | 7 | 5 | 4 | | 7 | 5 | 4 | |
| | | | | | 75% Staffe | d 11.25 | | | | 5.25 | | | | 5.25 | | | |
| | | | | | 50% Staffe | d 7.5 | | | | 3.5 | | | | 3.5 | | | |
| | | | - | | 25% Staffe | d 3.75 | | | | 1.75 | | | | 1.75 | | | |
| 1 Core He | ematology Automation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Core Co | pagulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Automa | ated Urinalysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Manual | I hCG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 Manual | Differential and Slide Review | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Bone M | farrow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Body Fl | uids | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Flow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Reports | s and QA (Path Review, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Conting | gency Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TOTAL FT | s | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 75 % Staffe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 50% Staffe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 25% Staffe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Invento | ory and Stocking | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a lana storning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Typical (pre-COVID) | Estimated COVID | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Daily Volume | Daily Volume | olume | | dmission | Dai | ly | E | Every Other Day | Weekly | | | | | |
| | | | All | High Risk | Unstable | ICU | Stable | Worsening On Propofol | Heme malignancy/SCT patients | | | | | |
| 1218 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 1000 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 850 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | + | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 205 | 840 | + | | | + | 840 | | + | | | | | | |
| 120 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 55 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 33 | 779 | + | 137 | 20 | | 280 | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 350 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 170 | 126 | | | | | | | 126 | | | | | | |
| 115 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 110 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 750 | 157 | + | 137 | 20 | | | | + | | | | | | |
| 16 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| 62 | 0 | + | | | | | | + | | | | | | |
| 42 | 157 | + | 137 | 20 | | | | + | | | | | | |
| 70 | 499 | + | 137 | 20 | | | 343 | + | | | | | | |
| | 20 | | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | | | | 16 | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | | | | 16 | | | | | |
| | 155 | | 155 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 157 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 137 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 965 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 685 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 280 | | | | | | | | |
| | Daily Volume 1218 1000 850 205 120 55 33 350 170 115 110 750 16 62 42 70 | Daily Volume Daily Volume 1218 779 1000 779 850 779 205 840 120 779 55 779 33 779 350 499 170 126 115 499 16 499 62 0 42 157 70 499 62 0 42 157 16 499 62 0 42 157 70 499 16 16 15 155 | Daily Volume Daily Volume 1218 779 + 1000 779 + 850 779 + 205 840 + 120 779 + 55 779 + 33 779 + 350 499 + 170 126 - 115 499 + 16 499 + 750 157 + 16 499 + 70 499 + 70 499 + 16 157 + 16 199 + 16 16 - 16 16 - 16 155 - | Daily Volume Daily Volume On A 1218 779 + 137 1000 779 + 137 850 779 + 137 205 840 + - 120 779 + 137 205 840 + - 120 779 + 137 55 779 + 137 33 779 + 137 350 499 + 137 350 499 + 137 170 126 - - 115 499 + 137 16 499 + 137 62 0 + - 42 157 + 137 70 499 + 137 16 499 + 137 15 16 - 157 16 | Daily VolumeDaily VolumeOn Admission1218779+137201000779+13720850779+13720205840+-120779+1372055779+1372033779+13720350499+13720350499+13720170126115499+1372016499+1372016499+1372016499+1372016157+1372016155155-201616-201616155155155-155155155- | $\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline Daily Volume & On Admission & Daily Volume \\ \hline All High Risk & Unstable \\ \hline All High Risk & Unstable \\ \hline 1218 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & + \\ 1000 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & + \\ 850 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & + \\ 205 & 840 & + & & & + \\ 120 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 55 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 55 & 779 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 330 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 115 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 115 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 116 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 16 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 16 & 499 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 16 & 157 & + 137 & 20 & & & \\ 16 & 16 & 155 & 155 & & \\ 16 & 16 & 155 & 155 & & \\ 155 & 155 & 155 & & \\ 157 & 137 & 20 & & & \\ 137 & & & & & \\ 157 & 137 & & & & \\ 137 & & & & & \\ 157 & 137 & & & & \\ 137 & & & & & \\ 157 & & & & & \\ 137 & & & & & \\ 157 & & & & & \\ 137 & & & & & \\ 127 & & & & & \\ 128 & & &$ | Daily Volume On Admission Daily 1218 779 + 137 20 + 280 1000 779 + 137 20 + 280 850 779 + 137 20 + 280 205 840 + 137 20 + 280 205 840 + - + 840 120 779 + 137 20 280 55 779 + 137 20 280 33 779 + 137 20 280 350 499 + 137 20 280 350 499 + 137 20 280 110 499 + 137 20 4 62 0 + 137 20 70 499 + 137 20 - 16 155 | Daily Volume Daily Volume On A=mission Daily Image: Constant of the sector of | Daily Volume Daily Volume On Admission Daily Every Other Day 1218 779 4 137 20 + 280 343 + 1218 779 + 137 20 + 280 343 + 1218 779 + 137 20 + 280 343 + 1200 779 + 137 20 + 280 343 + 205 840 + + 840 + + 280 343 + 120 779 + 137 20 280 343 + 33 779 + 137 20 280 343 + 170 126 - - 343 + - 126 110 499 + 137 20 - 433 + 16 499 + 137 20 - | | | | | |

Assumptions

Assume 20% for GC and 7% for ICU of peak occupancy for daily admissions Assume 90% ICU patients on mechanical ventilation, requiring propofol Assume 3x blood gasses per day for each ICU COVID positive patient Assume 11.5% of all admissions are heme malignancy/SCT patients Assume 1x CoV testing on admission