

Prevalence and risk factors for osteoporotic fracture among adults with comorbidities in Al-Ahsaa, Saudi Arabia

Naif M Al Hamam¹, Ghusoon F Al-Moaibed², Emad H Alfayez³, Eman H Alfayez⁴, Mohammed Saud Al-Mubaddil⁵, Narjes Ali Alramadhan⁶

¹Orthopedic Consultant, ²Medical Intern, ⁶Medical Student, College of Medicine, King Faisal University, Al Ahsaa City, ³Medical Intern, College of Medicine, Najran University, Najran City, ⁴Medical Student, College of Medicine, Alfarabi Colleges, Riyadh, ⁵Medical Intern, College of Medicine, Shaqra University, Shaqra, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background and Aims: Little is known about the prevalence of osteoporotic fracture, its sociodemographic correlates, and its comorbid conditions among the adult population of the Kingdome of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Hence, the present work aimed to assess the prevalence of adults at high risk of osteoporotic fracture in the presence of its known risk factors. As well, to determine the most commonly associated comorbidities of osteoporosis in Saudi Arabia. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was performed among 518 Saudi adults aged over 45 years in Al-Ahsaa city, KSA. The Arabic version of the fracture risk assessment FRAX without bone mineral density (BMD) was presented in an online questionnaire. **Results:** The 10-year risk for major osteoporotic fracture was found in 50.81% of the participants; 23.48% of them were at high risk and 25.71% at moderate risk. Also, 26.27% of the respondents were at high risk of hip fracture. Significant correlates of osteoporotic fractures included female gender (*P* = 0.003), old age (*P* = 0.000), age at menopause (*P* = 0.000), low body mass index (BMI; *P* = 0.000), previous fracture (*P* = 0.000), alcohol consumption (*P* = 0.000), positive family history (*P* = 0.000), corticosteroids (*P* = 0.000), nutritional, or gestational disease (*P* = 0.000). **Conclusion:** More than a third of the surveyed population had osteoporosis, which was associated with many sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. Therefore, early interventions for osteoporosis and the prevention of other comorbidities may improve the outcome of osteoporosis.

Keywords: Comorbidities, fractures, Kingdome of Saudi Arabia, osteoporosis

Introduction

According to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) osteoporosis and related bone diseases, osteoporosis is defined as a skeletal disorder, characterized by decreased bone mass with a deterioration of micro-architectural bone tissues that leads to decreased bone strength and increased risk of fragility fractures of the hip, spine, and wrist.^[1] Osteoporosis and its fractures are

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ghusoon F Al-Moaibed, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. E-mail: Ghusoon95@gmail.com

Revised: 06-01-2020

Published: 28-02-2020

Received: 11-06-2019 **Accepted:** 13-01-2020

Access this article online
Quick Response Code:
Website:
www.jfmpc.com
DOI:
10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_982_19

considered a major public health burden worldwide. Currently, it is estimated that over 200 million people in the world have osteoporosis, which is causing more than 8.9 million fractures each year.^[2] In Saudi Arabia, osteoporosis manifests in adults aged between 50-79 years and affects 34% of women and 30.7% of men.^[3]

Even though osteoporosis and its fractures are of great importance to the public health, they usually go unrecognized,^[4,5] thus early detection and appropriate approach are important

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: AI Hamam NM, AI-Moaibed GF, Alfayez EH, Alfayez EH, AI-Mubaddil MS, Alramadhan NA. Prevalence and risk factors for osteoporotic fracture among adults with comorbidities in AI-Ahsaa, Saudi Arabia. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:877-82.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

to avoid further consequences.^[6] In 2018, the Saudi Mistry of Health^[7] released a national plan for prevention and management of osteoporosis in Saudi Arabia and recommended strategies (Recommendation 3, P: 4) to improve the disease early recognition by primary care and family physicians. In clinical settings, osteoporosis is diagnosed based on the presence of fragility fractures or measurements of bone mineral density (BMD) by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). According to the world health organization (WHO) criteria of BMD in osteoporotic patients, T-score should be ≤ -2.5 for a given individual, calculated against the reference population.^[8]

There are many risk factors associated with osteoporosis. These can be classified into unpreventable risk factors and preventable risk factors.^[9] Secondary osteoporosis is the presence of osteoporosis due to underlying comorbidities or medications.^[10] The presence of co-existing conditions, like diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, chronic liver disease, chronic malnutrition or malabsorption can increase the risk of bone loss and fractures and reduce the quality of life.^[11] However, treating the underlying cause is enough to decrease the risk of osteoporotic fractures.^[12] A study conducted in Saudi Arabia showed that the mean age of the patients with secondary osteoporosis was 37.4 years, with osteoporosis found in 46.4% and osteopenia in 34.1%.^[13]

Another study showed that fracture risk was $3.7 \pm 3.1\%$ among men aged between 40 to 90 years, among whom 2.3% had secondary osteoporosis.^[14] Therefore, new assessment tools have been developed to detect high-risk individuals to prevent such fractures and improve health-related quality of life.^[15]

Since there are no signs and symptoms of osteoporosis, most of the patients are diagnosed once the fracture occurs. Therefore, the primary goal of treatment of osteoporosis should be the prevention of first fragility fracture. Hence, it is important to identify the frequency of patients who are at high risk of osteoporotic fracture to set appropriate preventative methods and protect them. There is no specific study that examined such patients in Saudi Arabia, particularly the general population at Al-Ahsaa city. Therefore this study aimed to calculate the frequency of adults at high risk of osteoporotic fractures in the presence of comorbidities including type 1 diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, chronic liver disease, hypogonadism, premature menopause, chronic malnutrition or malabsorption, and also to determine the most common associated risk factors of osteoporosis.

Methods

A cross-sectional and community-based study was conducted among the Saudi adult males and females aged from 45-65 years in Al-Ahsaa city, KSA. The minimum sample size was estimated to be 500, but we managed to reach a total of 574 individuals. The assessment was conducted using an electronic online questionnaire, which consisted of the Arabic version of the fracture risk assessment FRAX without bone mineral density (BMD). The questionnaire included two parts. The first part consisted of six questions about sociodemographic data. The consent was obtained from the participants at the beginning of the survey, then data regarding the age, gender, and residence area. Length in centimeters (cm) and weight in kilograms (kg) were obtained to calculate the body mass index (BMI) using (Kg/m2) equation. The second part included 15 questions that assessed the presence of previous fractures, family history, smoking, and alcohol drinking, use of corticosteroids, background history of osteoporosis, rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, chronic liver disease, hypogonadism, premature menopause, and chronic malnutrition or malabsorption. Also, the 10-year probability of major osteoporotic fractures in the hip, spine, or wrist was measured using the United States (US) White version of the FRAX tool without BMD as recommended by the Saudi osteoporosis society.^[16]

Ethical approval was obtained from the research and studies committee at the college of medicine at King Faisal University, Date: 02/03/2019. All participants were informed that their information will be kept confidential and will not be used but for the purpose of the study.

The Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS version 21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for the statistical analysis. The mean and standard deviation was calculated for continuous variables. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Compression between different variables was performed using the Chi-square, independent *t*-test, or Pearson's correlation coefficient. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study included a total of 574; of them 18 were excluded because they refused to participate and 38 were from outside AlAhsa, KSA, giving a response rate of 90.2%. There were 260 (51.08%) males and 249 (48.92%) females. The age group were classified into 4 categories; age between 45-50 years (n = 207, 40.67%), age between 51-55 years (n = 129, 25.34%), age between 56-60 years (n = 101, 19.84%), and age between 61-65 years (n = 72, 14.15%). There were

Table 1: Sociodemographic features of the study population					
Variables	%				
Sex					
Men	51.08				
Women	48.92				
Age (years)					
45-50	40.67				
51-55	25.34				
56-60	19.84				
61-65	14.15				
Have you ever been diagnosed with osteoporosis?					
Yes	35.4				
No	64.6				
Less than enough					

35.36% (*n* = 180) of participants who were already diagnosed with osteoporosis [Table 1].

Table 2: Calculation of 10-year risk for major osteoporotic fractures among the study population			
Risk	%		
Risk of osteoporotic fracture			
High	50.8		
Moderate	25.7		
Low	23.5		
Risk of osteoporotic hip fracture			
Yes	26.7		
No	73.3		

Using the FRAX score, the 10-year risk for major osteoporotic fractures including the spine, hip, and wrist, the risk was classified into high, moderate, and low. A total of 50.8% were at high risk, 25.7% at moderate risk, and 23.5% at low risk. Also, 26.72% of participants were at risk of osteoporotic hip fracture [Table 2]. Table 3 shows that osteoporotic fractures were significantly associated with female sex ($\mathbf{r} = 0.129$, P = 0.003), old age ($\mathbf{r} = 0.644$, P = 0.000), age at menopause ($\mathbf{r} = 0.282$, P = 0.000), and low BMI ($\mathbf{r} = 0.176$, P = 0.000).

Table 4 summarizes the clinical risk factors correlated with osteoporotic fractures, including previous fracture (r = 0.667, P = 0.000), positive family history (r = 0.614, P = 0.000), alcohol

Sociodemographic characteristics		Severity of 10-year risk for osteoporotic fractures			Spearman's	Р	
		Low	Moderate	High	correlation coefficient		
Gender	Male	130	76	47	0.129	0.003	
	Female	121	51	69			
Age group	45-50	163	29	9	0.644	0.000	
	51-55	68	31	27			
	56-60	13	35	48			
	61-65	7	32	32			
BMI	Underweight	4	8	3	0.176	0.000	
	Normal	87	49	58			
	Overweight	90	34	39			
	Obesity class 1	43	25	10			
	Obesity class 2	12	6	5			
	Obesity class 3	2	0	0			
Age at	Before the age of 45 years	56	35	47	0.282	0.000	
menopause	After the age of 45 years	65	16	22			

Table 4: Distribution of the 10-year risk for osteoporotic fracture among different clinical risk factors							
Clinical risk factors		Severity of 10-year risk of osteoporotic fractures			Pearson's	Р	
		Low	Moderate	High	coefficient		
History of	Yes	11	38	88	0.667	0.000	
previous fracture	No	240	89	28			
Family history of	Yes	24	59	98	0.614	0.000	
hip fracture	No	227	68	18			
Smoking	Yes	90	67	52	0.083	0.063	
	No	161	60	64			
Drinking	Yes	6	17	16	0.208	0.000	
consumption	No	245	110	100			
Taking	Yes	59	93	101	0.522	0.000	
corticosteroid	No	192	34	15			
Rheumatoid	Yes	43	58	71	0.333	0.000	
arthritis	No	208	69	45			
Type 1 diabetes	Yes	33	24	25	0.087	0.049	
	No	218	103	91			
Thyroid	Yes	49	56	67	0.349	0.000	
hyperactivity	No	202	71	49			
Gonadal	Yes	27	47	50	0.338	0.000	
insufficiency	No	224	80	66			
Chronic liver	Yes	21	40	46	0.275	0.000	
disease	No	230	87	70			
Nutritional or	Yes	113	88	85	0.188	0.000	
gestational disease	No	38	39	31			

consumption (r = 0.208, P = 0.000) use of corticosteroids (r = 0.522, P = 0.000), rheumatoid arthritis (r = 0.333, P = 0.000), thyroid hyperactivity (r = 0.349, P = 0.000), gonadal insufficiency (r = 0.338, P = 0.000), chronic liver disease (r = 0.275, P = 0.000), nutritional, or gestational disease (r = 0.188, P = 0.000). Both smoking and type 1 diabetes were not correlated with the 10-year risk for osteoporotic fractures [Table 4].

Discussion

The present study provides data on the risk factors of osteoporosis based on a sample of Saudi population aged 45 years and older. Overall, 35.4% self-reported having an established diagnosis of osteoporosis. According to the Pearson correlation analysis, the 10-year risk for osteoporotic fracture was significantly related to sex, age, previous fracture, family history, alcohol consumption, and use of corticosteroids. Women with age of menopause before 45 years had a significantly higher risk of osteoporotic fractures compared to women with menopause after 45 years. The risk was significantly high in individuals with comorbid disorders, including thyroid hyperactivity, gonadal insufficiency, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic liver disease and nutritional or gestational disease. Type 1 diabetes showed no significant association.

Prevalence rates are difficult to compare as prevalence estimates are most commonly based on measuring the bone mineral density using WHO's criteria with T-scores.^[17,18] However, our results are close to those of other Saudi studies.^[3,13,19,20] In 2018, the Saudi ministry of health reported that the prevalence of osteoporosis was 28.2% in men and 37.8% in women aged above 50 years,^[7] which is similar to the prevalence in the present study. In comparison with other Saudi studies, results vary depending on the methodology used to measure osteoporosis.

Research studies have consistently demonstrated that the incidence of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures is higher in women than in men, and it tends to increase steeply with advancing age.^[21,22] The role of age and gender in osteoporotic fractures are evident in this study as women showed an increased risk of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures compared to men, as well as the risk of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures positively correlated with age. In the current analysis, women with age of menopause before 45 years had a higher risk for osteoporotic fractures compared to those with menopause at older ages. These findings agree well with what has been shown by other studies, which found that early natural menopause emerged as a significant independent predictor of osteoporosis, regardless intervention with hormonal therapy and calcium and vitamin D supplementation.^[23-25]

In this study, the risk of osteoporotic fractures was higher among individuals with family history than among those without a family history of osteoporosis. Similar findings have been reported by other studies that found family history to be an independent risk factor for osteoporosis.^[26-29] The present study is mainly concerned with the estimation of the risk for osteoporosis and fractures related to it in the existence of other medical comorbidities. Our analysis showed that the 10-year risk for osteoporotic fractures was higher in individuals with diagnosed thyroid hypersensitivity, which is in agreement with other studies^[30] Bone changes in people with hyperthyroidism are characterized by enhanced turnover of both cortical and trabecular bone leading to increased mobilization of bone mineral and porosity.^[31] Gonadal insufficiency in adults is a well-recognized cause of overall bone loss and a risk factor for the development of osteoporosis. Bone loss has been well correlated with gradual and age-dependent decline in estrogen and testosterone in female and male osteoporosis, respectively.^[32] This is supported by our finding of the higher risk of osteoporosis and related fractures in those who self-reported having gonadal insufficiency. Similar to previous studies, the risk of osteoporotic fractures in this study was found to be higher in patients with rheumatoid arthritis,^[33,34] liver disease,^[35,36] type 1 diabetes^[37] and nutritional deficiency.^[38]

Results of studies that examined the relationship between osteoporosis and smoking and osteoporosis and alcohol consumption including fracture risk and low BMD remain inconclusive.^[39-42] In this study, both alcohol and smoking were significantly correlated with osteoporotic fracture risk. Inconclusive results have also been found in studies assessing the relationship between osteoporosis and body weight. In some studies, lower BMI was correlated with reduced osteoporosis and fracture risk, which is what we found in the present study.^[43,44]

Study limitations

Although this study is one of few examining the risk factors for osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in a large sample of Saudi adults, it has some limitations. The use of self-reported information on clinical data may lead to biased estimates and reporting bias. As well, the prevalence of osteoporosis was mainly based on self-reported diagnoses and was not clinically verified. Even though the prevalence rate in this study was comparable with the findings of other studies, inaccurate data about the diagnosis of osteoporosis, such as misclassification and misunderstanding, are unavoidable.

Conclusion

Osteoporotic fractures were common and associated with many sociodemographic and clinical factors. It was evident that osteoporotic fractures are significantly related to several comorbidities. Interventions for osteoporosis could be improved by providing early care or prevention of other comorbid diseases.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for Saleh Salem Messfer Gohman and Ashwaq Hassan Abdullah Alfayez for their active participation in collecting the data. Also, we would like to acknowledge Narjes Ali AlRamadhan for her work in statistical analysis.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. The National Institutes of Health Osteoporosis and Related Bone Diseases. Osteoporosis overview, 2015.
- 2. International Osteoporosis Foundation. What is Osteoporosis? [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https:// www.iofbonehealth.org/what-is-osteoporosis. [Cited 2019 Aug 21].
- 3. Alwahhabi BK. Osteoporosis in Saudi Arabia. Are we doing enough? Saudi Med J 2015;36:1149-50.
- 4. Gehlbach SH, Fournier M, Bigelow C. Recognition of osteoporosis by primary care physicians. Am J Public Health 2002;92:271-3.
- Costa ALD, da Silva MACN, Brito LMO, Nascimento ACB, do Carmo Lacerda Barbosa M, Batista JE, *et al.* Osteoporosis in primary care: An opportunity to approach risk factors. Rev Bras Reumatol Engl Ed 2016;56:111-6. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S2255502115000723.
- 6. Bijelic R, Milicevic S, Balaban J. Risk factors for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. Med Arch (Sarajevo, Bosnia Herzegovina) 2017;71:25-8.
- Ministry of Health. National Plan for Osteoporosis Prevention and Management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia 2018. p. 4. Available from: https://www.moh. gov.sa/en/Ministry/MediaCenter/Publications/Pages/ Publications-2019-04-23-001.aspx. [Cited 2019 Sep 05].
- 8. WHO Scientific Group on the Assessment of Osteoporosis at the Primary Health Care Level. Summary Meeting Report Brussels. Belgium.
- 9. Guthrie JR, Ebeling PR, Dennerstein L, Wark JD. Risk factors for osteoporosis: Prevalence, change, and association with bone density. Medscape Womens Health 2000;5:E2.
- 10. Sheu A, Diamond T. Secondary osteoporosis. Aust Prescr 2016;39:85-7.
- 11. Nuño-Solinis R, Rodríguez-Pereira C, Alonso-Morán E, Orueta JF. Comorbidity and healthcare expenditure in women with osteoporosis living in the basque country (Spain). J Osteoporos 2014;2014:205954. doi: 10.1155/2014/205954.
- 12. Mirza F, Canalis E. Management of endocrine disease: Secondary osteoporosis: Pathophysiology and management. Eur J Endocrinol 2015;173:R131-51.
- 13. Sadat-Ali M, Al-Habdan IM, Al-Turki HA, Azam MQ. An epidemiological analysis of the incidence of osteoporosis and osteoporosis-related fractures among the Saudi Arabian population. Ann Saudi Med 2012;32:637-41.
- 14. Gómez Navarro R. [Prevalence of risk factors for fragility fracture in men aged 40 to 90 years of a Spanish basic Rural Health Area]. Rev Esp Salud Publica 2011;85:491-8.
- 15. Unnanuntana A, Gladnick BP, Donnelly E, Lane JM. The assessment of fracture risk. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92:743-53.
- 16. Al-Saleh Y, Sulimani R, Sabico S, Raef H, Fouda M, Alshahrani F, *et al.* 2015 Guidelines for osteoporosis

in Saudi Arabia: Recommendations from the Saudi osteoporosis society. Ann Saudi Med 2015;35:1-12.

- 17. Wright NC, Looker AC, Saag KG, Curtis JR, Delzell ES, Randall S, *et al.* The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine. J Bone Miner Res 2014;29:2520-6
- Wade SW, Strader C, Fitzpatrick LA, Anthony MS, O'Malley CD. Estimating prevalence of osteoporosis: Examples from industrialized countries. Arch Osteoporos 2014;9:182.
- 19. Ardawi MSM, Maimany AA, Bahksh TM, Nasrat HAN, Milaat WA, Al-Raddadi RM. Bone mineral density of the spine and femur in healthy Saudis. Osteoporos In 2005;16:43-55.
- 20. El-Desouki MI, Sulimani RA. High prevalence of osteoporosis in Saudi men. Saudi Med J 2007;28:774-7.
- 21. Alswat KA. Gender disparities in osteoporosis. J Clin Med Res 2017;9:382-7.
- 22. Senthilraja M, Cherian K, Jebasingh F, Kapoor N, Paul T, Asha H. Osteoporosis knowledge and beliefs among postmenopausal women: A cross-sectional study from a teaching hospital in southern India. J Fam Med Prim Care 2019;8:1374.
- 23. van Der Voort DJM, van Der Weijer PHM, Barentsen R. Early menopause: Increased fracture risk at older age. Osteoporos Int 2003;14:525-30.
- Svejme O, Ahlborg HG, Nilsson J-Å, Karlsson MK. Early menopause and risk of osteoporosis, fracture and mortality: A 34-year prospective observational study in 390 women. BJOG 2012;119:810-6.
- 25. Sullivan SD, Lehman A, Nathan NK, Thomson CA, Howard BV. Age of menopause and fracture risk in postmenopausal women randomized to calcium+vitamin D, hormone therapy, or the combination: Results from the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trials. Menopause 2017;24:371-8.
- 26. Hyassat D, Alyan T, Jaddou H, Ajlouni KM. Prevalence and risk factors of osteoporosis among jordanian postmenopausal women attending the national center for diabetes, endocrinology and genetics in Jordan. Biores Open Access 2017;6:85-93.
- 27. Robitaille J, Yoon PW, Moore CA, Liu T, Irizarry-Delacruz M, Looker AC, *et al.* Prevalence, family history, and prevention of reported osteoporosis in U.S. women. Am J Prev Med 2008;35:47-54.
- 28. Soroko SB, Barrett-Connor E, Edelstein SL, Kritz-Silverstein D. Family history of osteoporosis and bone mineral density at the axial skeleton: The Rancho Bernardo Study. J Bone Miner Res 1994;9:761-9.
- 29. Seeman E, Hopper JL, Bach LA, Cooper ME, Parkinson E, McKay J, *et al.* Reduced bone mass in daughters of women with osteoporosis. N Engl J Med 1989;320:554-8.
- Ale AO, Ogbera AO, Ebili HO, Adeyemo OL, Afe TO. Prevalence, predictive factors, and characteristics of osteoporosis in hyperthyroid patients. Int J Endocrinol 2018;2018:3540256.
- 31. Reddy PA, Harinarayan CV, Sachan A, Suresh V, Rajagopal G. Bone disease in thyrotoxicosis. Indian J Med Res 2012;135:277-86.
- 32. Golds G, Houdek D, Arnason T. Male hypogonadism and osteoporosis: The effects, clinical consequences, and treatment of testosterone deficiency in bone health. Int J

Endocrinol 2017;2017:4602129.

- 33. Węgierska M, Dura M, Blumfield E, Żuchowski P, Waszczak M, Jeka S. Osteoporosis diagnostics in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Reumatologia 2016;54:29-34.
- 34. Heidari B, Hassanjani Roushan MR. Rheumatoid arthritis and osteoporosis. Casp J Intern Med 2012;3:445-6.
- 35. Luxon BA. Bone disorders in chronic liver diseases. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2011;13:40-8.
- Handzlik-Orlik G, Holecki M, Wilczyński K, Duława J. Osteoporosis in liver disease: Pathogenesis and management. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab 2016;7:128-35.
- 37. Valderrábano RJ, Linares MI. Diabetes mellitus and bone health: Epidemiology, etiology and implications for fracture risk stratification. Clin Diabetes Endocrinol 2018;4:9.
- 38. Higgs J, Derbyshire E, Styles K. Nutrition and osteoporosis prevention for the orthopaedic surgeon: A wholefoods approach. EFORT Open Rev 2017;2:300-8.
- 39. Puth M-T, Klaschik M, Schmid M, Weckbecker K, Münster E. Prevalence and comorbidity of osteoporosis- a

cross-sectional analysis on 10,660 adults aged 50 years and older in Germany. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2018;19:144.

- 40. Tucker KL, Jugdaohsingh R, Powell JJ, Qiao N, Hannan MT, Sripanyakorn S, *et al.* Effects of beer, wine, and liquor intakes on bone mineral density in older men and women. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:1188-96.
- 41. Zhang X, Yu Z, Yu M, Qu X. Alcohol consumption and hip fracture risk. Osteoporos Int 2015;26:531-42.
- 42. Gaddini GW, Turner RT, Grant KA, Iwaniec UT. Alcohol: A simple nutrient with complex actions on bone in the adult skeleton. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2016;40:657-71.
- 43. van der Voort DJ, Brandon S, Dinant GJ, van Wersch JW. Screening for osteoporosis using easily obtainable biometrical data: Diagnostic accuracy of measured, self-reported and recalled BMI, and related costs of bone mineral density measurements. Osteoporos Int 2000;11:233-9.
- 44. van der Voort DJM, Geusens PP, Dinant GJ. Risk factors for osteoporosis related to their outcome: Fractures. Osteoporos Int 2001;12:630-8.