



# Article Health Risk Analysis of Elemental Components of an Industrially Emitted Respirable Particulate Matter in an Urban Area

Oyewale Mayowa Morakinyo <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Murembiwa Stanley Mukhola <sup>1</sup> and Matlou Ingrid Mokgobu <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Science, Tshwane University of Technology,
- Private Bag X680, Pretoria 0001, South Africa; mukholams@tut.ac.za (M.S.M.); mokgobumi@tut.ac.za (M.I.M.)
  - Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Faculty of Public Health, College of Medicine,
  - University of Ibadan, Ibadan 200284, Nigeria Correspondence: wahlemirax@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) is a recognised carcinogen and a priority air pollutant owing to its respirable and toxic chemical components. There is a dearth of information in South Africa on cancer and non-cancer risks of exposure to heavy metal (HM) content of PM2.5. This study determined the seasonal concentration of HM in PM2.5 and the cancer and non-cancer risks of exposure to HM in PM2.5. Ambient PM2.5 was monitored and samples were collected during the winter and summer months in an industrialized area in South Africa. Concentration levels of nine HMs—As, Cu, Cd, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn—were determined in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples using inductive coupled optical emission spectrophotometry. The non-cancer and cancer risks of each metal through the inhalation, ingestion and dermal routes were estimated using the Hazard Quotient and Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR), respectively, among infants, children, and adults. Mean concentration of each HM-bound  $PM_{2.5}$  was higher in winter than in summer. The probability of the HM to induce non-cancer effects was higher during winter than in summer. The mean ELCR for HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> ( $5.24 \times 10^{-2}$ ) was higher than the acceptable limit of  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$ . The carcinogenic risk from As, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb were higher than the acceptable limit for all age groups. The risk levels for the carcinogenic HMs followed the order: Cr > As > Cd > Ni > Pb. The findings indicated that the concentrations of HM in PM2.5 demonstrated a season-dependent pattern and could trigger cancer and non-cancer health risks. The formulation of a regulatory standard for HM in South Africa and its enforcement will help in reducing human exposure to HM-bound PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Keywords: heavy metals; PM2.5; hazard quotient; excess lifetime cancer risk; South Africa

# 1. Introduction

South Africa represents one of the largest industrialised economies in the Southern Hemisphere with significant mining and metallurgical activities [1,2]. It is an arid country with high naturally occurring dust levels, coupled with industrial and vehicular pollution emissions [3]. Air quality in South Africa is characterised by a mixture of air pollution problems arising from urban-industrial activities and the domestic use of biofuels [4]. High Particulate matter (PM) pollution levels have been recorded in industrialised regions and urban areas in South Africa [5–7]. Additionally, many communities have constantly be exposed to experience high pollution levels from the use of unclean fuels, coal combustion and other mobile and stationary sources.

The PM<sub>2.5</sub> denotes a toxic fraction of PM and is recognised as a major threat to human health due to its chemical toxicity and its ability to pass beyond the human larynx and ciliated airways [8,9]. It can penetrate the alveolar regions, blood circulation system [10] and getting into the extrapulmonary organs including the liver, spleen, heart, and brain [11].

Airborne PM is a heterogeneous mix of harmful chemical and biological substances including heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, fungi, bacteria and viruses.



Citation: Morakinyo, O.M.; Mukhola, M.S.; Mokgobu, M.I. Health Risk Analysis of Elemental Components of an Industrially Emitted Respirable Particulate Matter in an Urban Area. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, *18*, 3653. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073653

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 16 February 2021 Accepted: 23 March 2021 Published: 1 April 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Heavy metals bounded to the particulate matter have been the focus in many environmental studies [12,13]. Heavy metals such as Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, V, and Zn are typically present in PM [14] and have, therefore, been classified as an environmental priority pollutant by the United States Environmental Protection Agency [15]. Human exposure to heavy metal bounded PM is through inhalation, ingestion, and dermal absorption pathways [16,17].

Although HM in PM account for ~10% of its mass, it still denotes an environmentally key component due to its persistent bioavailability, long resident time in the environment and toxicity, even at low concentration thresholds [18]. Past epidemiological studies reported an association between exposure to PM-bound HMs and cardiovascular effects [19,20], myocardial toxicity-associated health risks, stroke [19], decreased functioning of the lung, pulmonary disease, bone defects, lung cancer [21,22], kidney damage and increased blood pressure [23,24]. Researchers assert that exposure to PM-bound metals can cause pulmonary toxicity [25], inflammatory responses, DNA damage and oxidative stress [26]. The International Agency for Research on Cancer classified As, Cd, Cr(VI) and Ni compound as human carcinogens [27] and inorganic Pb compounds as probable carcinogens [28]. Recently, Cr, Ni, Cu, Fe, V, and Zn have been reported to promote electron exchange [29] and aid the formation of reactive oxygen species in the lung [26].

In South Africa, the standard for  $PM_{2.5}$  was established in 2012 in terms of section 9(1) of the NEMA: AQA as 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for a day and annual average, respectively [30]. Following the establishment of the standard, limited studies have determined the levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  in industrial areas. Additionally, no information currently exists on cancer and non-cancer risk assessment of exposure to HM bounded  $PM_{2.5}$  for specific age groups.

Having an understanding of the concentration of HM contained in  $PM_{2.5}$  is important for assessing the public health risk of exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  as well as the potential non-cancer and cancer risks of exposure to HM in  $PM_{2.5}$ . Additionally, this study will provide the evidence-based knowledge needed for the formulation of new environmental management plans for HM in South Africa. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the seasonal concentration of HM in  $PM_{2.5}$  and (2) the cancer and non-cancer health risks of exposure to HMbound  $PM_{2.5}$ .

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in an industrial area in Pretoria West, located in the Gauteng province. It is situated to the north of Johannesburg and extends from Centurion in the south to Temba in the north, encompassing an area of 2200 km<sup>2</sup> [31]. Sited in the industrial area are industrial facilities with approved air emission licences, power plants and metallurgical industries and facilities with small boilers [32]. The detailed information on the study area has been reported in our earlier published works [33–35].

# 2.2. Sampling Procedure for PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The Beta<sup>PLUS</sup> Particle measurement system—model 602, which was part of an existing ambient air quality monitoring network sited at Pretoria West industrial area—was used for sampling of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The Beta<sup>PLUS</sup> Particle measurement system continuously measures PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentration in the ambient air. Sampled PM<sub>2.5</sub> was collected on a 47 mm glass fibre filter with a porosity of 2  $\mu$ m by the Beta<sup>PLUS</sup> Particle measurement system operating at a constant flow rate of 1 m<sup>3</sup>/h for 24 h. Filters were thereafter retrieved for heavy metal analysis. The full description of the sampling process and the gravimetric analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

# 2.3. Analysis of Heavy Metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The analysis of HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  was done following the procedure described by Hugelin et al. [36] To one-fourth of each of the 47 mm  $PM_{2.5}$  loaded quartz fiber filter portion in 25 mL flask was added 5 mL of 65% nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and 1 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide

 $(H_2O_2)$  to extract the metals contained in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The solution was then digested by placing the flask on a hot plate for about 24 h at a temperature of 100 °C. The temperature was later increased to 260 °C until white smoke appeared. A Whatman qualitative filter paper of diameter 47 mm was used for filtering the digested solution and to the effluent was added indium (internal standard) and 50 mL double distilled water. The solution was subsequently stored in refrigeration until instrumental analysis was done. Blank filters and duplicate samples were analysed following the same procedure earlier stated.

The concentrations of selected heavy metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub> were determined using inductive coupled optical emission spectrophotometry (ICP-OES) (Perkin-Elmer Optima 2100 DV). Nine heavy metals: As, Cu, Cd, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn were determined in this study. Calibration of the instrument was done through the use of ICP-OES standard solution containing known amounts of the metals. For accuracy and precision of the test procedure, certified reference materials were used.

The values obtained for heavy metals were compared with the WHO air quality guidelines and the US EPA regulatory guideline (Table 1). It is important to note that the South African national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) only has an exposure limit for Pb. Note that Mn and Zn recommended values were not seen in the literature.

Metal	Winter (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Summer (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Value (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
As	0.035	0.07	0.006
Cd	0.026	0.022	0.0002
Cr	0.354	0.309	0.012
Cu	0.2	0.2	100
Fe	4.3	3.4	10,000
Ni	0.067	0.061	0.00024
Pb	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 1. Permissible limits of concentrations of metals in ambient air.

NAAQS: National Ambient Air Quality Standards; USEPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency; NIOSH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Source: Agarwal et al. [37].

## 2.4. Health Risk Assessment of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The health risk assessment (HHRA) model adopted for the estimation of the noncancer and cancer risks from exposure to HMs (As, Cu, Cd, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn) in  $PM_{2.5}$  was based on the US EPA human health evaluation method [38]. Human exposure to a heavy metal component of  $PM_{2.5}$  can occur through ingestion, inhalation and dermal routes [39,40]. Human exposure was explained in terms of average daily dose and was computed for each metal and each exposure routes as follows:

$$ADD_{inh} = C * InhR * EF * ED \tag{1}$$

BW \* AT

$$ADD_{ing} = C * IngR * EF * ED$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

BW \* AT

$$ADD_{derm} = C * SA * AF * ABS * EF * ED$$
(3)

BW \* AT

where  $ADD_{inh}$ ,  $ADD_{ing}$ ,  $ADD_{derm}$  is the average daily dose of each metal in PM<sub>2.5</sub> through the inhalation, ingestion and dermal routes; *C* is the amount of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in ambient air (µg/m<sup>3</sup>); *ED* is the exposure duration (days), *BW* is the body weight of the exposed group (kg); *AT* is the averaging time (days); *IngR* is the ingestion rate (mg/day), *InhR* is the inhalation rate (m<sup>3</sup>/day); *SA* is the surface area of the skin exposed to pollutants (cm<sup>2</sup>), *AF* is the skin adherence factor (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day), *ABS* is the dermal absorption factor [41,42]; *EF* is the exposure frequency (days/year). An *EF* of 350 days per year was used to calculate the lifetime exposure of human receptors (both child and adult) with the assumption that all population in Pretoria West spends a maximum of 14 days per year away from the study area [43]. The values of these parameters are stated in Table 2.

Parameter	Definition		Value for A	Reference		
	Deminion	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	Kererence
		(0-1 yl)	(2-3 y18)	(0-12 y13)	(19-75 yis)	
С	Mean concentration of PM <sub>2.5</sub> in ambient air $(\mu g/m^3)$					
IngR	Ingestion rate (mg/day)	60	60	60	30	US EPA [44]
EF	Exposure frequency (days/year)	350	350	350	350	Morakinyo et al. [7], US EPA [45]
ED	Exposure duration (years)	1	6	12	30	Matooane and Diab [46] US EPA [45]
ET	Exposure time (h)	1	8	6	3	Matooane and Diab [46] US EPA [45]
AT	Averaging time (days); $AT = ED \times 365$ days	365	2190	4380	10,950	Matooane and Diab [46] US EPA [45]
BW	Body weight (kg)	11.3	22.6	45.3	71.8	Matooane and Diab [45]
SA	Skin surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	2800	2800	2800	5700	US EPA [47]
AF	Adherence factor of soil to skin (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> /event)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.07	US EPA [47]
ABS	Dermal absorption fraction	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	US EPA [47]
InhR	Inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	9.2	16.74	21.02	21.4	US EPA [45]

Table 2. Recommended values in equations of the daily exposure dose of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

#### 2.5. Estimation of Non-Carcinogenic Risks of Heavy Metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The non-carcinogenic risk of each metal through the inhalation, ingestion and dermal routes was estimated using the Hazard Quotient (HQ). This was achieved by dividing the ADD from each exposure route by a definite reference dose (RfD). The HQ is defined as follows:

$$HQ = ADD \tag{4}$$

RfD

For the inhalation route,

$$HQ = ADD \tag{5}$$

# $RfCi \times 1000 \ \mu g \ m g^{-1}$

where RfD (reference dose, mg kg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) is the estimated maximum allowable risk to humans from daily exposure to a known pollutant [40]; RfCi is inhalation reference concentrations (mg m<sup>-3</sup>). An HQ of less than 1 signifies that no adverse health risk will occur while and HQ of more than 1 signifies that potential non-cancer effects would occur [48]. The RfD values used in estimating the HQ are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Recommended values of Reference Doses.

	As	Cu	Cd	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
RfD-ADDing	$3.00  imes 10^{-04}$	$4.00  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.00  imes 10^{-03}$	$3.00  imes 10^{-03}$	-	$4.60  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.00  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.50  imes 10^{-03}$	$3.00  imes 10^{-01}$
RfD-ADDderm	$1.23  imes 10^{-04}$	$4.02  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.00 imes10^{-05}$	$2.86 imes10^{-05}$	-	$1.43 imes10^{-05}$	$2.06  imes 10^{-02}$	$5.25  imes 10^{-04}$	$6.00  imes 10^{-02}$
RfDi-ADDinh	$1.50  imes 10^{-05}$	$1.20  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.00 imes10^{-05}$	$1.00 imes10^{-04}$	$7.00  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.00  imes 10^{-05}$	$1.40 imes10^{-05}$	$3.50  imes 10^{-03}$	$3.00  imes 10^{-01}$

Source: Izhar et al. [40] Note: RfD-ADDing: Ingestion reference dose (mg/kg/day), RfD-ADDderm: Dermal contact reference dose (mg/kg/day), RfDi-ADDinh: Inhalation reference dose (mg/kg/day).

To determine the possible non-cancer effects that could arise from exposure to the synergistic effects of several metals, the sum of *HQ* values of all the metals were computed and expressed as a hazard index (*HI*):

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ1 + HQ2 + \ldots + HQi$$
(6)

where HQi denotes the HQ for the *i*th element. HI < 1 stands for no significant risk of non-carcinogenic effects while HI > 1 shows the likely occurrence of non-carcinogenic effects [49].

The non-carcinogenic risks through the total exposure route (*HIt*) were calculated as the sum of all HI for the inhalation, ingestion and dermal routes. It was expressed as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i} HI$$
(7)

When *HIt* is less than 1, protracted health risks are unlikely to occur, although noncarcinogenic risks are likely to occur when HIt > 1 [50].

#### 2.6. Estimation of Carcinogenic Risks of Heavy Metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (*ELCR*—likelihood of developing cancer over the lifespan of an individual as a consequence of exposure to a carcinogenic metal) of exposure to As, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb was computed as follows:

$$ELCR = EC \times IUR$$
 (8)

where *EC* is the exposure concentration via the inhalation route; *IUR* (mg m<sup>-3</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> is the inhalation unit risk of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The *RfCi* and *IUR* values are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Recommended values of RfC and IUR.

	As (Inorganic)	Cd (Diet/Water)	Cr (VI)	Mn (Diet)	Ni	Pb
$\frac{RfC (mg/m^3)}{IUR (mg/m^3)^{-1}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \times 10^{-05} \\ 4.30 \times 10^{-03} \end{array}$	$egin{array}{ll} 1.00 imes10^{-05}\ 1.80 imes10^{-03} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00 \times 10^{-04} \\ 8.40 \times 10^{-02} \end{array}$	$5.00 \times 10^{-05}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \times 10^{-05} \\ 2.40 \times 10^{-04} \end{array}$	$1.20 \times 10^{-05}$

The *RfC* and *IUR* were obtained from the US EPA website—http://www.epa.gov/region9/superfund/prg/index.html (accessed on 9 April 2016) [38] and the study of Li et al. [51].

The exposure concentration was estimated as:

$$EC = C * InhR * EF * ED$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

ATn

where ATn is the average time for carcinogens (70-year  $\times$  365 days/year  $\times$  24 h/day) [38,39].

The *IUR* of carcinogenic risk through the ingestion and dermal routes were not provided in the literature, so only the carcinogenic risk of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> through the inhalation pathway was computed. The allowable or tolerable ELCR for the regulatory purpose is  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ – $1 \times 10^{-4.3}$ .

#### 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Bound Heavy Metal Concentration

Higher mean concentrations of metals in  $PM_{2.5}$  were found in winter than in summer (Table 5). The winter/summer concentration ratio of greater than 1 was observed for all metals: As (1.4), Cu (1.4), Cd (1.2), Cr (1.1), Fe (1.2), Mn (1.1), Ni (1.7), Pb (1.4) and Zn (1.2). In terms of non-carcinogenic metals, the pattern of Fe > Cu > Zn > Mn was observed whereas, the pattern was Pb > Ni > As > Cd, > Cr for carcinogenic metals in

winter and summer months, respectively. Similar studies carried out in other countries such as China [51], India [37], Italy [52], reported a higher mean concentrations of metals in winter than in summer.

Heerry Motel	Winte	er	Summer					
neavy Metal	Mean (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	SD	Mean (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	SD				
As	4.72	3.97	3.32	2.68				
Cu	7.31	5.44	5.23	3.50				
Cd	2.81	2.79	2.28	2.23				
Cr	2.39	2.10	2.18	1.23				
Fe	7.10	5.34	5.71	2.63				
Mn	1.66	1.01	1.58	1.05				
Ni	6.29	3.67	5.40	3.32				
Pb	8.48	6.21	5.83	4.24				
Zn	3.23	1.70	2.76	1.78				

Table 5. Seasonal variation of ions and metals in PM<sub>2.5.</sub>

A plausible explanation for increased metal concentration in PM during winter was attributed to stable weather conditions occasioned by less precipitation, stagnation of air movement, low wind speed and turbulence, thermal and strong inversion, and high relative humidity that are predominant during winter [53].

Furthermore, the metal-bound PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured in this study was compared with national and international regulatory guidelines shown in Table 1. The mean values of As, Cd, Cr, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn were higher than the recommended exposure safe limit. Higher concentrations of HMs in PM exceeding recommended limits have been reported [54]. The proximity of the monitoring station to the emission sources like the power plants and metallurgical industries in the study site may play a significant role in the higher concentration of metals that was recorded. Arsenic, Pb, Cd, Cr and Ni in PM had been reported to be markers of industrial emissions majorly from coal-fired power plants, metallurgical industries, and oil refineries [55].

#### 3.2. Average Daily Exposure Dose

The average daily exposure dose (ADD), which is the dose rate of heavy metals (HMs) in  $PM_{2.5}$  via the ingestion, dermal and inhalation exposure pathways, is expressed as a daily dose on a per-unit-body-weight basis is presented in Table 6. The ADD of HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  through the three exposure pathways for the different age groups followed the pattern Cu > Fe > Zn > Mn > Pb > Ni > As > Cd > Cr.

The ADD of the HMs also differs for the different exposure groups. For example, the ADDs of Fe, Mn, and Zn were greater for infants than for adults while the ADDs of As, Cr, Ni, and Pb were highest for adults than for infants.

Motal	Season		ADD In	halation			ADD Ingestion				ADD Dermal			
wietur	Scason	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	
4.0	Winter	$5.26  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.87 imes10^{-01}$	$3.60  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.78  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.43  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.03  imes 10^{+00}$	$1.03 \times 10^{+00}$	$8.10 imes10^{-01}$	$3.20 imes10^{-03}$	$9.61  imes 10^{-03}$	$9.59 imes10^{-03}$	$1.08 \times 10^{-02}$	
AS	Summer	$3.70 imes10^{-02}$	$2.02 imes10^{-01}$	$2.53 imes10^{-01}$	$4.07 imes10^{-01}$	$2.41 imes10^{-01}$	$7.25  imes 10^{-01}$	$7.22  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.70 imes10^{-01}$	$2.25 imes10^{-03}$	$6.76 imes10^{-03}$	$6.75 imes10^{-03}$	$7.58  imes 10^{-03}$	
Cu	Winter	$5.71 \times 10^{+00}$	$5.91  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.25 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.09 imes10^{+00}$	$3.72 \times 10^{+01}$	$1.86  imes 10^{+01}$	$9.28  imes 10^{+01}$	$2.93 imes10^{+01}$	$3.47 imes10^{-01}$	$1.74 imes10^{-01}$	$8.67 imes10^{-02}$	$3.90 \times 10^{-02}$	
Cu	Summer	$4.25  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.86  imes 10^{+00}$	$2.42  imes 10^{+00}$	$1.53 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.66  imes 10^{+01}$	$1.33  imes 10^{+01}$	$6.64 imes10^{+01}$	$2.10  imes 10^{+01}$	$2.49 imes10^{-01}$	$1.24 imes10^{-01}$	$6.20  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.79  imes 10^{-02}$	
Cd	Winter	$3.13  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.71 imes10^{-01}$	$2.14 imes10^{-01}$	$3.44 imes10^{-01}$	$2.04 imes10^{-01}$	$6.13 imes10^{-01}$	$6.12  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.80 imes10^{-01}$	$1.91 imes10^{-03}$	$5.72 \times 10^{-03}$	$5.71  imes 10^{-03}$	$6.42  imes 10^{-03}$	
Cu	Summer	$2.54 imes10^{-02}$	$1.39 imes10^{-01}$	$1.74 imes10^{-01}$	$2.79  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.67 imes10^{-01}$	$5.00 imes10^{-01}$	$5.00 imes10^{-01}$	$3.91 imes10^{-01}$	$1.55  imes 10^{-03}$	$4.64 imes10^{-03}$	$4.63 imes10^{-03}$	$5.21  imes 10^{-03}$	
Cr	Winter	$2.67  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.46 imes10^{-01}$	$1.82  imes 10^{-01}$	$2.93 imes10^{-01}$	$1.74 imes10^{-01}$	$5.22  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.20 imes10^{-01}$	$4.10 imes10^{-01}$	$1.62  imes 10^{-03}$	$4.87 imes10^{-03}$	$4.86 imes10^{-03}$	$5.46 imes10^{-03}$	
CI	Summer	$2.43  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.33 imes10^{-01}$	$1.66  imes 10^{-01}$	$2.67  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.59  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.76 imes10^{-01}$	$4.75  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.74 imes10^{-01}$	$1.48 imes10^{-03}$	$4.44 imes10^{-03}$	$4.43 imes10^{-03}$	$4.98  imes 10^{-03}$	
Fo	Winter	$5.54 \times 10^{+00}$	$5.04 \times 10^{+00}$	$3.16 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.03  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.61  imes 10^{+01}$	$1.81 \times 10^{+01}$	$9.02 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.84  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.37  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.69  imes 10^{-01}$	$8.42  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.78  imes 10^{-02}$	
re	Summer	$4.46 \times 10^{+00}$	$4.06 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.54 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.63 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.91 \times 10^{+01}$	$1.45 \times 10^{+01}$	$7.25 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.29 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.71  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.36  imes 10^{-01}$	$6.77  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.04 \times 10^{-02}$	
Mn	Winter	$1.30 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.18  imes 10^{+00}$	$7.39  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.74 imes10^{-01}$	$8.45  imes 10^{+00}$	$4.23 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.11 \times 10^{+00}$	$6.65  imes 10^{-01}$	$7.89  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.94  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.97  imes 10^{-02}$	$8.85  imes 10^{-03}$	
10111	Summer	$1.23 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.12 \times 10^{+00}$	$7.03  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.52  imes 10^{-01}$	$8.05  imes 10^{+01}$	$4.02 \times 10^{+01}$	$2.01 \times 10^{+00}$	$6.33  imes 10^{-01}$	$7.51  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.73  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.87  imes 10^{-02}$	$8.42  imes 10^{-03}$	
Ni	Winter	$7.02  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.83  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.80 imes10^{-01}$	$7.70  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.58 imes10^{-01}$	$1.37 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.37 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.08 \times 10^{+00}$	$4.27  imes 10^{-03}$	$1.28  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.28  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.44  imes 10^{-02}$	
111	Summer	$6.02  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.29  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.11  imes 10^{-01}$	$6.61  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.93  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.18 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.18  imes 10^{+00}$	$9.27  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.67  imes 10^{-03}$	$1.10  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.10  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.23 \times 10^{-02}$	
Ph	Winter	$9.46 \times 10^{-02}$	$5.16 \times 10^{-01}$	$6.47  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.04 \times 10^{+00}$	$6.17  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.85 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.85 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.46 \times 10^{+00}$	$5.76 \times 10^{-03}$	$1.73 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.72 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.94 \times 10^{-02}$	
10	Summer	$6.50  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.55 \times 10^{-01}$	$4.50  imes 10^{-01}$	$7.14 \times 10^{-01}$	$4.24 \times 10^{-01}$	$1.27 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.27 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.00 \times 10^{+00}$	$3.96 \times 10^{-03}$	$1.19 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.18  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.33 \times 10^{-02}$	
Zn	Winter	$2.52 \times 10^{+00}$	$2.29 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.44 \times 10^{+00}$	$9.23 \times 10^{-01}$	$1.65 \times 10^{+01}$	$8.22 \times 10^{+00}$	$4.10  imes 10^{+00}$	$1.29 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.53 \times 10^{-01}$	$7.67 \times 10^{-02}$	$3.83 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.72 \times 10^{-02}$	
211	Summer	$2.15  imes 10^{+00}$	$1.96 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.23 \times 10^{+00}$	$7.89  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.41 \times 10^{+01}$	$7.03  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.51 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.11 \times 10^{+00}$	$1.31  imes 10^{-01}$	$6.56  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.27 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.47 \times 10^{-02}$	

**Table 6.** Average daily dose of HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  via inhalation, ingestion, and dermal routes.

# 3.3. Non-Carcinogenic Risks of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The non-carcinogenic risks of HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  via dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation exposure pathways are shown in Table 7. Findings from this study showed that the probability of exposure to HMs to induce non-cancer effects was higher during winter than in summer. Cadmium, Mn, and Ni may induce non-carcinogenic effects (HQ > 1) through the inhalation routes for all age groups while Mn is the only HM in  $PM_{2.5}$  that may cause non-carcinogenic health effects through dermal contact. Arsenic possessed significant non-cancer risks for all age groups through the inhalation routes. None of the HMs possessed the ability to induce non-cancer through the dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation exposure pathways.

Epidemiological studies conducted in the past have reported an association between exposure to elevated levels of some metals such as Ni, Cd, Cu, and As in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and markers of cardiovascular disease [19,56]. The association between exposure to Cd and the occurrence of hypertension resulting in atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction was established in an epidemiological study. In toxicological studies, the incidence of hyperglycemia, insulin resistance and glycemic deregulation from exposure to Ni have been reported [57,58].

In this study, there was no consistent pattern for the non-cancer effects across the age groups. For instance, through the inhalation route, As, Cr, and Ni will likely induce the highest non-cancer effects in adults; Cu and Mn will cause greater health outcomes in infants while it is in children for Cd. Children were previously identified to be more prone to the adverse effects of pollutants than adults [49,59]. The reason being that children breathe in more air per their unit body weight, and their not fully formed immune system cannot handle environmental pollutants amidst other physiological [60,61]. However, a possible explanation for the higher susceptibility of adults to non-carcinogenic effects of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> was that adults engaged more in physically demanding activities that required a higher rate of inhalation than children.

Furthermore, the hazard index (*HI*) of all the HMs in this study, computed as the sum of all *HQ*s for individual metals for each pathway [49], is presented in Table 6. It was observed that the cumulative non-carcinogenic effects of the all the HMs through the different exposure routes exceeded the safe limit of 1. This implied that the probability that non-carcinogenic effects will occur from exposure to the synergy of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> was higher compared to the risk from exposure to individual metals. This also suggested that the metals would have a cumulative non-cancer effect on all age groups. Seasonal variation in *HI* of metals for the different age groups was also observed with the *HI* value been greater than 1 during winter months. Incidence of the *HI* for multi-elemental exposure exceeding safe the limit has also been reported [42].

Metal Season			HQ Inh	alation		HQ Ingestion				HQ Dermal			
	Season	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	Infant	Child	Child	Adult
	Winter	$3.51 imes10^{+00}$	$1.91  imes 10^{+01}$	$2.40 imes10^{+01}$	$3.85 imes10^{+01}$	$1.14 imes10^{+00}$	$3.43  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.43  imes 10^{+00}$	$2.70  imes 10^{+00}$	$2.60  imes 10^{-02}$	$7.81  imes 10^{-02}$	$7.80  imes 10^{-02}$	$8.78 imes10^{-02}$
AS	Summer	$2.47 imes10^{+00}$	$1.35 imes10^{+01}$	$1.69 imes10^{+01}$	$2.71 imes10^{+01}$	$8.03 imes10^{-01}$	$2.42 imes10^{+00}$	$2.41 imes10^{+00}$	$1.90 imes10^{+00}$	$1.83 imes10^{-02}$	$5.50 imes10^{-02}$	$5.49 imes10^{-02}$	$6.16 imes10^{-02}$
C11	Winter	$4.08 imes10^{+02}$	$4.22 imes10^{+02}$	$2.32 imes10^{+02}$	$1.49 imes10^{+02}$	$9.30 imes10^{-01}$	$4.65 imes10^{-01}$	$2.32 imes10^{+00}$	$7.33 imes10^{-01}$	$8.26 imes10^{-03}$	$4.14 imes10^{-03}$	$2.06 imes10^{-03}$	$9.29 imes10^{-04}$
Cu	Summer	$3.04 imes10^{+02}$	$2.76 imes10^{+02}$	$1.73 imes10^{+02}$	$1.09 imes10^{+02}$	$6.65 imes10^{-01}$	$3.33 imes10^{-01}$	$1.66 imes10^{+00}$	$5.25 imes10^{-01}$	$5.93 imes10^{-03}$	$2.95 imes10^{-03}$	$1.48 imes10^{-03}$	$6.64 imes10^{-04}$
Cd	Winter	$3.13 imes10^{+00}$	$1.71 imes10^{+02}$	$2.41 imes10^{+01}$	$3.44 imes10^{+01}$	$2.04 imes10^{-01}$	$6.13 imes10^{-01}$	$6.12 imes10^{-01}$	$4.80 imes10^{-01}$	$1.91 imes10^{-01}$	$5.72 imes10^{-01}$	$5.71 imes10^{-01}$	$6.42 imes10^{-01}$
Cu	Summer	$2.54 imes10^{+00}$	$1.39 imes10^{+01}$	$1.74 imes10^{+01}$	$2.79 imes10^{+01}$	$1.67  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.00 imes10^{-01}$	$5.00 imes10^{-01}$	$3.91 imes10^{-01}$	$1.55 imes10^{-01}$	$4.64 imes10^{-01}$	$4.63 imes10^{-01}$	$5.21  imes 10^{-01}$
C.	Winter	$2.67 imes10^{-01}$	$1.46 imes10^{+00}$	$1.82 imes10^{+00}$	$2.93 imes10^{+00}$	$5.80 imes10^{-02}$	$1.74 imes10^{-01}$	$1.73 imes10^{-01}$	$1.37 imes10^{-01}$	$5.66 imes10^{-02}$	$1.70 imes10^{-01}$	$1.70 imes10^{-01}$	$1.91 imes10^{-01}$
Cr	Summer	$2.43 imes10^{-01}$	$1.33 imes10^{+00}$	$1.66 imes10^{+00}$	$2.67 imes10^{+00}$	$5.30  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.59  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.58 imes10^{-01}$	$1.25  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.18 imes10^{-02}$	$1.55  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.55 imes10^{-01}$	$1.74 imes10^{-01}$
Fo	Winter	$7.91 imes10^{-03}$	$7.20 imes10^{-03}$	$4.51 imes10^{-03}$	$2.90  imes 10^{-03}$	$5.16 imes10^{-02}$	$2.59  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.29  imes 10^{-02}$	$4.06 imes10^{-03}$	$4.81 imes10^{-04}$	$2.41 imes10^{-04}$	$1.20 imes10^{-04}$	$5.40 imes10^{-05}$
ге	Summer	$6.37 imes10^{-03}$	$5.80 imes10^{-03}$	$3.63 imes10^{-03}$	$2.33  imes 10^{-03}$	$4.16 imes10^{-02}$	$2.07  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.04 imes10^{-02}$	$3.27  imes 10^{-03}$	$3.87 imes10^{-04}$	$1.94 imes10^{-04}$	$9.67  imes 10^{-05}$	$4.34 imes10^{-05}$
Mn	Winter	$2.60 imes10^{+01}$	$2.36 imes10^{+01}$	$1.48 imes10^{+01}$	$9.48 imes10^{+00}$	$1.84 imes10^{-01}$	$9.20  imes 10^{-02}$	$4.59 imes10^{-02}$	$1.45  imes 10^{-02}$	$5.52 imes10^{+00}$	$2.76 imes10^{+00}$	$1.38 imes10^{+00}$	$6.19 imes10^{-01}$
IVIII	Summer	$2.46 imes10^{+01}$	$2.24 imes10^{+01}$	$1.41 imes10^{+01}$	$9.04 imes10^{+00}$	$1.75  imes 10^{-01}$	$8.74 imes10^{-01}$	$4.37  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.38 imes10^{-02}$	$5.25 imes10^{+00}$	$2.61 imes10^{+00}$	$1.31 imes10^{+00}$	$5.89 imes10^{-01}$
NI;	Winter	$5.01 imes10^{+00}$	$2.74 imes10^{+01}$	$3.43 imes10^{+01}$	$5.50 imes10^{+01}$	$2.29  imes 10^{-02}$	$6.85 imes10^{-02}$	$6.85 imes10^{-02}$	$5.40 imes10^{-02}$	$2.07 imes10^{-04}$	$6.21  imes 10^{-04}$	$6.21  imes 10^{-04}$	$6.99  imes 10^{-04}$
111	Summer	$4.30 imes10^{+00}$	$2.35 imes10^{+01}$	$2.94 imes10^{+01}$	$4.72 imes10^{+01}$	$1.97  imes 10^{-02}$	$5.90  imes 10^{-02}$	$5.90  imes 10^{-02}$	$4.64 imes10^{-02}$	$1.78 imes10^{-04}$	$5.34 imes10^{-04}$	$5.34 imes10^{-04}$	$5.97 imes10^{-04}$
Dh	Winter	$2.69  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.47 imes10^{-01}$	$1.84 imes10^{-01}$	$2.95 imes10^{-01}$	$1.76  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.29  imes 10^{-01}$	$5.29  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.17 imes10^{-01}$	$1.10 imes10^{-02}$	$3.30 imes10^{-02}$	$3.28  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.70  imes 10^{-02}$
FD	Summer	$1.85  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.01  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.28 imes10^{-01}$	$2.03 imes10^{-01}$	$1.21  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.63 imes10^{-01}$	$3.63  imes 10^{-01}$	$2.86 imes10^{-01}$	$7.54 imes10^{-03}$	$2.27  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.25  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.53  imes 10^{-02}$
Zn	Winter	$8.37 imes10^{-03}$	$7.61 imes10^{-03}$	$4.78 imes10^{-03}$	$3.07  imes 10^{-03}$	$5.50 imes10^{-02}$	$2.74 imes10^{-02}$	$1.37  imes 10^{-02}$	$4.30 imes10^{-03}$	$2.55  imes 10^{-03}$	$1.28 imes10^{-03}$	$6.38 imes10^{-04}$	$2.87 imes10^{-04}$
ZII	Summer	$7.14 imes10^{-03}$	$6.51 imes10^{-03}$	$4.09 imes10^{-03}$	$2.62 \times 10^{-03}$	$4.70  imes 10^{-02}$	$2.34 imes10^{-02}$	$1.17  imes 10^{-02}$	$3.70  imes 10^{-03}$	$2.18 imes10^{-03}$	$1.09 imes10^{-03}$	$5.45  imes 10^{-04}$	$2.45  imes 10^{-04}$
பா	Winter	$4.46 imes10^{+02}$	$6.65 imes10^{+02}$	$3.31 imes10^{+02}$	$2.90 imes10^{+02}$	$2.82 imes10^{+00}$	$5.42 imes10^{+00}$	$7.21 imes10^{+00}$	$4.54 imes10^{+00}$	$5.82 imes10^{+00}$	$7.76 imes10^{+00}$	$2.24 imes10^{+00}$	$1.58 imes10^{+00}$
111	Summer	$3.38 imes10^{+02}$	$3.51 imes10^{+02}$	$2.53 imes10^{+02}$	$1.81 imes10^{+02}$	$3.67 imes10^{+00}$	$4.75 imes10^{+00}$	$5.22  imes 10^{+00}$	$3.29 imes10^{+00}$	$5.49 imes10^{+00}$	$3.31 imes10^{+00}$	$2.01  imes 10^{+00}$	$1.37 imes10^{+00}$
Li+			Infant		Child		Toddler			Adult			
пц	Winter		$4.55 \times 10^{+02}$		$6.78 \times 10^{+02}$		$3.41 \times 10^{+02}$			$2.96 \times 10^{+02}$			
	Summer		$3.47 \times 10^{+02}$		$3.59 \times 10^{+02}$		$2.60  imes 10^{+02}$			$1.86  imes 10^{+02}$			

Table 7. Summary of non-carcinogenic risks of HMs in PM<sub>2.5</sub> via dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation exposure pathways.

The values in bold depict that the levels of the heavy metals through the different exposure pathways were exceeded; HI ( $\Sigma HQ$ ): Represents the sum HQ value of 9 heavy metals in winter and summer, HIt ( $\Sigma HI$ ): Represents the sum HI value of three exposure pathways.

## 3.4. Carcinogenic Risks of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Bound HMs

Findings from this study showed that the total average value of the ELCR for HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  was  $5.24 \times 10^{-2}$ , which is higher than the acceptable limit of  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$  (Table 8). The carcinogenic risk from As, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb were higher than the acceptable limit for all age groups except for Ni and Pb that will not likely induce any cancerous effects in infants. The risk levels for the carcinogenic HMs followed the order: Cr > As > Cd > Ni > Pb. In addition, these HMs posed the greatest cancer risk to adults, then to children and lastly to infants. Similar epidemiological studies have reported higher cancer risks in adults than in infants [39,50,62]. Hu and his colleagues reported that adults have higher exposure time to carcinogenic HMs, hence the greater the dose of HMs accumulated in the body [39]. This study also found that cancer risk increased during winter ( $2.75 \times 10^{-2}$ ) compared to summer ( $2.50 \times 10^{-2}$ ). Raaschou-Nielsen and colleagues have earlier reported an association between the incidence of lung cancer and exposure to heavy metal contents of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in a cohort study conducted in fourteen European countries [25].

Table 8. Carcinogenic risks via inhalation exposure to heavy metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Metal	Sassan		EC Inh	alation		IUR	ECLR				
	Season	Infant	Child	Child	Adult	$(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$	Infant	Child	Toddler	Adult	
A	Winter	$2.69 imes10^{-03}$	$1.29  imes 10^{-01}$	$1.94  imes 10^{-01}$	$2.42  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.20 \times 10^{-03}$	$1.16  imes 10^{-05}$	$5.55 imes10^{-04}$	$8.34 imes10^{-04}$	$1.04  imes 10^{-03}$	
AS	Summer	$1.90 imes10^{-03}$	$9.10 imes10^{-02}$	$1.36 imes10^{-01}$	$1.71 imes10^{-01}$	$4.30 \times 10^{-50}$	$8.17 imes10^{-06}$	$3.91 imes10^{-04}$	$5.85 imes10^{-04}$	$7.35 imes10^{-04}$	
C 1	Winter	$1.60 imes10^{-03}$	$7.70  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.16 imes10^{-01}$	$1.44 imes10^{-01}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-03}$	$2.88 imes10^{-06}$	$1.39 imes10^{-04}$	$2.09 imes10^{-04}$	$2.59 imes10^{-04}$	
Ca	Summer	$1.30 imes10^{-03}$	$6.25  imes 10^{-02}$	$9.37  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.17 imes10^{-01}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-60}$	$2.34 imes10^{-06}$	$1.23 imes10^{-04}$	$1.69 imes10^{-04}$	$2.11 imes10^{-04}$	
C.	Winter	$1.36 imes10^{-03}$	$6.55  imes 10^{-02}$	$9.82  imes 10^{-02}$	$1.23 imes10^{-01}$	$8.40 \times 10^{-02}$	$1.14 imes10^{-04}$	$5.50 imes10^{-03}$	$8.25 imes10^{-03}$	$1.03 imes10^{-02}$	
Cr	Summer	$1.24 imes10^{-03}$	$5.97 imes10^{-02}$	$9.59 imes10^{-02}$	$1.12 imes10^{-01}$	$8.40 \times 10^{-0.2}$	$1.04 imes10^{-04}$	$5.02  imes 10^{-03}$	$8.06 imes10^{-03}$	$9.41 imes10^{-03}$	
NI;	Winter	$3.59 imes10^{-03}$	$1.72 imes10^{-01}$	$2.58 imes10^{-01}$	$3.23 imes10^{-01}$	$2.40 \times 10^{-04}$	$8.61 imes10^{-07}$	$4.13 imes10^{-05}$	$6.19 imes10^{-05}$	$7.75  imes 10^{-05}$	
INI	Summer	$3.08 imes10^{-03}$	$1.48 imes10^{-01}$	$2.22  imes 10^{-01}$	$2.77 imes10^{-01}$	$2.40 \times 10^{-0.2}$	$7.39  imes 10^{-07}$	$3.55 imes10^{-05}$	$5.33 imes10^{-05}$	$6.65  imes 10^{-05}$	
Dh	Winter	$4.84 imes10^{-03}$	$2.32  imes 10^{-01}$	$4.65 imes10^{-01}$	$4.36 imes10^{-01}$	$1.20 \times 10^{-05}$	$5.80 imes10^{-08}$	$2.78 imes10^{-06}$	$2.16 imes10^{-05}$	$5.23  imes 10^{-06}$	
10	Summer	$3.33 imes10^{-03}$	$1.60  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.19  imes 10^{-01}$	$3.00  imes 10^{-01}$	1.20 × 10	$3.90  imes 10^{-08}$	$1.92 \times 10^{-06}$	$3.83  imes 10^{-06}$	$3.60 \times 10^{-06}$	
	Winter						$1.29 imes10^{-04}$	$6.24 imes10^{-03}$	$9.38 imes10^{-03}$	$1.17  imes 10^{-02}$	
	Summer					Iotal ECLR	$1.15  imes 10^{-04}$	$5.57  imes 10^{-03}$	$8.87  imes 10^{-03}$	$1.04\times10^{-02}$	

Limitation of Study

One of the limitations of this study was the assumption that the levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  recorded by the fixed monitoring station are representative of the total concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  to which the residents within the study area are exposed. However, PM concentration obtained from a fixed site may not be a true measurement of personal PM exposure due to individual activities and other factors. Additionally, certain uncertainties are associated with the use of the health risk assessment framework. However, the human health risk assessment framework has been useful in quantitative studies for estimating health risks and for making informed decisions in regarding risk abatement.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, the seasonal concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$ -bound HM and their cancer and noncancer risks in the Pretoria West industrial area were determined. The findings indicated that the concentrations of HM in  $PM_{2.5}$  demonstrated a season-dependent pattern.

The average concentration of As, Cd, Cr, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn in  $PM_{2.5}$  exceeded the recommended safe limit for humans. For all age groups, there is the likelihood that Fe, Pb, and Zn will induce non-cancer risk through the inhalation, ingestion and dermal pathways. Exposure to the cumulative effects of all HMs in  $PM_{2.5}$  through both the individual and the total pathways will result in non-carcinogenic health effects. Arsenic, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb have higher cancer risk than safe limits with them posing the greatest cancer risk to adults and least to infants.

The results provided evidence that the levels of HM in the study area may be a threat to human health. It also gave additional insights into the pollution issues in the study area and it is a pointer to the need for more rigorous strategies for controlling emissions. These findings would be useful for policymakers and relevant stakeholders in coming up with measures that will mitigate trace metal concentrations in PM. New air quality guidelines for HM should be established in South Africa. Besides Pb, there are no existing regulatory standards for HM in South Africa. Additionally, industrial processes should be monitored by the government by enforcing the installation of newer technologies that produce cleaner emissions. This study recommends future studies focusing on determining the effects of other components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from varied sources on measures of health outcomes in different urban areas in South Africa.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, O.M.M., M.S.M. and M.I.M.; methodology, O.M.M., M.S.M. and M.I.M.; software, O.M.M.; validation, M.S.M. and O.M.M. and M.I.M.; formal analysis, O.M.M.; investigation, O.M.M., M.S.M. and M.I.M.; resources, M.S.M. and M.I.M.; data curation, O.M.M., M.S.M. and M.I.M.; writing—original draft preparation, O.M.M.; writing—review and editing, O.M.M., M.I.M.; visualization, O.M.M.; supervision, M.S.M. and M.I.M.; project administration, O.M.M., M.S.M. and M.I.M.; funding acquisition, M.S.M. and M.I.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of Tshwane University of Technology (FCRE 2015/11/006 on 26 November 2015).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Air Quality Management, Environment and Agriculture Management Department, City of Tshwane Municipality for allowing us to make use of the Air Quality Monitoring network of the City of Tshwane at the Pretoria West industrial area.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The sponsors had no role in the design, execution, interpretation, or writing of the study.

#### References

- 1. Rorich, R.P.; Galpin, J.S. Air quality in the Mpumalanga Highveld region, South Africa. S. Afr. J. Sci. 1998, 94, 109–114.
- 2. Sivertsen, B.; Matale, C.; Pereira, L.M.R. Sulphur emissions and transfrontier air pollution in Southern Africa. S. Afr. Dev. Community Maseru (Lesotho) Environ. Land Manag. Sect. Coord. Unit Rep. **1995**, 35, 117.
- South Africa (SA). Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT). In PM<sub>2.5</sub> as an Emerging Priority Pollutant in South Africa—Impacts on Human Health; Paper Prepared for Department of Environmental Affairs, Directorate: Information Management; DEAT: Pretoria, South Africa, 2010.
- 4. Diab, R.D.; Muller, L.; Matooane, M. Applications of health risk assessment models to South African conditions. *S. Afr. Geogr. J.* **2006**, *88*, 159–165. [CrossRef]
- 5. Engelbrecht, J.P.; Swanepoel, L.; Chow, J.C.; Wattson, J.G.; Egami, R.T. The comparison of source contributions from residential coal and low-smoke fuels, using CMB modeling, in South Africa. *Environ. Sci. Policy* **2002**, *5*, 157–167. [CrossRef]
- 6. Thabethe, N.D.L.; Engelbrecht, J.C.; Wright, C.Y.; Oosthuizen, M.A. Human health risks posed by exposure to PM10 for four life stages in a low socio-economic community in South Africa. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* **2014**, *18*, 206. [PubMed]
- Morakinyo, O.M.; Adebowale, A.S.; Mokgobu, M.I.; Mukhola, M.S. Health risk of inhalation exposure to sub-10 μm particulate matter and gaseous pollutants in an urban-industrial area in South Africa: An ecological study. BMJ Open 2017, 7, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 8. Brown, J.S.; Gordon, T.; Price, O.; Asgharian, B. Thoracic and respirable particle definitions for human health risk assessment. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2013, *10*, 12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pui, D.Y.H.; Chen, S.; Zuo, Z. PM<sub>2.5</sub> in China: Measurements, sources, visibility and health effects, and mitigation. *Particuology* 2014, 13, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- 10. Fiordelisi, A.; Piscitelli, P.; Trimarco, B.; Coscioni, E.; Iaccarino, G.; Sorriento, D. The mechanisms of air pollution and particulate matter in cardiovascular diseases. *Heart Fail. Rev.* 2017, 22, 337–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Hussain, M.; Madl, P.; Khan, A. Lung deposition predictions of airborne particles and the emergence of contemporary diseases Part-I. *Health* **2011**, *2*, 51–59.
- 12. Baalousha, M.; Stoll, S.; Motelica-Heino, M.; Guigues, N.; Braibant, G.; Huneau, F.; Le Coustumer, P. Suspended particulate matter determines physical speciation of Fe, Mn, and trace metals in surface waters of Loire watershed. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, *26*, 5251–5266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Sofowote, U.M.; Di Federico, L.M.; Healy, R.M.; Debosz, J.; Su, Y.; Wang, J.; Munoz, A. Heavy metals in the near-road environment: Results of semi-continuous monitoring of ambient particulate matter in the greater toronto and hamilton area. *Atmos. Environ.* 2019, X1, 100005. [CrossRef]
- 14. Mukhtar, A.; Limbeck, A. Recent developments in assessment of bio-accessible trace metal fractions in airborne particulate matter: A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2013, 774, 11–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations: Priority Pollutants List. 2014. Available online: https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2014-title40-vol29/xml/CFR-2014-title40-vol29-part423-appA.xml (accessed on 12 October 2017).
- Ahmad, I.; Khan, B.; Khan, S.; Rahman, Z.U.; Khan, M.A.; Gul, N. Airborne PM10 and lead concentrations at selected traffic junctions in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan: Implications for human health. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 10, 1320–1325. [CrossRef]
- Soltani, N.; Keshavarzi, B.; Moore, F.; Tavakol, T.; Lahijanzadeh, A.R.; Jaafarzadeh, N.; Kermani, M. Ecological and human health hazards of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in road dust of Isfahan metropolis. *Iran. Sci. Total Environ.* 2015, 505, 712–723. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Ogundele, L.T.; Owoade, O.K.; Hopke, P.K.; Olise, F.S. Heavy metals in industrially emitted particulate matter in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Environ. Res.* 2017, 156, 320–325. [CrossRef]
- 19. Meng, Q.; Richmond-Bryant, J.; Lu, S.E.; Buckley, B.; Welsh, W.J.; Whitsel, E.A.; Hanna, A.; Yeatts, K.B.; Warren, J.; Herring, A.H.; et al. Cardiovascular outcomes and the physical and chemical properties of metal ions found in particulate matter air pollution: A QICAR study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2013**, *121*, 558–564. [CrossRef]
- 20. Niu, J.; Liberda, E.N.; Qu, S.; Guo, X.; Li, X.; Zhang, J.; Meng, J.; Yan, B.; Li, N.; Zhong, M.; et al. The role of metal components in the cardiovascular effects of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e83782. [CrossRef]
- 21. Dockery, D.J.; Pope, C.A. Acute respiratory effects of particulate in air pollution. Annu. Rev. Public Health 1994, 15, 107–132. [CrossRef]
- 22. Morais, S.; Garcia, E.; Costa, G.E.F.; De Lourdes Pereira, M. Heavy metals and human health. Environ. Health 2012, 3, 226–246.
- Dai, Q.; Bi, X.; Wu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J.; Xu, H.; Yao, L.; Jiao, L.; Feng, Y. Characterization and source identification of heavy metals in ambient PM10 and PM2.5 in an integrated iron and steel industry zone compared with a background site. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 2015, 15, 875–887. [CrossRef]
- 24. Pandey, M.; Pandey, A.K.; Mishra, A.; Tripathi, B.D. Speciation of carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic metals in respirable suspended particulate matter (PM10) in Varanasi, India. *Urban Clim.* **2017**, *19*, 141–154. [CrossRef]
- Raaschou-Nielsen, O.; Beelen, R.; Wang, M.; Hoek, G.; Andersen, Z.J.; Hoffmann, B.; Stafoggia, M.; Samoli, E.; Weinmayr, G.; Dimakopoulou, K.; et al. Particulate matter air pollution components and risk for lung cancer. *Environ. Int.* 2016, 87, 66–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peixoto, M.S.; de Oliveira Galvao, M.F.; Batistuzzo de Medeiros, S.R. Cell death pathways of particulate matter toxicity. *Chemosphere* 2017, 188, 32–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Arsenic, metals, fibers, and dusts. *IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum.* **2012**, *100*, 11–465.
- IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer. Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs. 2011, pp. 1–102. Available online: https://monographs.iarc.who.int/agents-classified-by-the-iarc (accessed on 23 September 2015).
- 29. Sen, S.; Bizimis, M.; Tripathi, S.N.; Paul, D. Lead isotopic finger-printing of aerosols to characterize the sources of atmospheric lead in an industrial city of India. *Atmos. Environ.* **2016**, *129*, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- South Africa (SA). Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT). National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004). National ambient air quality standard for particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 micron metres (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Gov. Gaz. 2012, 35463, 7–9.
- 31. City of Tshwane. Agriculture and Environmental Management Department. In *Air Quality Management in Tshwane*; Environmental Management Division: Pretoria, South Africa; Tshwane, South Africa, 2016.
- 32. Environmental Management Services Department, City of Tshwane. Available online: www.tshwane.gov.za (accessed on 25 September 2015).
- 33. Morakinyo, O.M.; Mokgobu, M.I.; Mukhola, M.S.; Engelbrecht, J.C. Health Risk assessment of exposure to ambient concentrations of Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene in Pretoria West, South Africa. *Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innov. Dev.* **2017**, *9*, 489–496. [CrossRef]
- 34. Morakinyo, O.M.; Mokgobu, M.I.; Mukhola, M.S.; Godobedzha, T. Biological composition of Respirable Particulate matter in an industrial vicinity in South Africa. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 629. [CrossRef]
- Morakinyo, O.M.; Mukhola, M.S.; Mokgobu, M.I. Concentration levels and carcinogenic and mutagenic risks of PM2.5-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in an urban–industrial area in South Africa. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 2019, 42, 2163–2178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Hueglin, C.; Gehrig, R.; Baltensperger, U.; Gysel, M.; Monn, C.; Vonmont, H. Chemical characterisation of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and coarse particles at urban, near-city and rural sites in Switzerland. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 637–651. [CrossRef]
- Agarwal, A.; Mangal, A.; Satsangi, A.; Lakhani, A.; Kumari, K.M. Characterization, sources and health risk analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> bound metals during foggy and non-foggy days in sub-urban atmosphere of Agra. *Atmos. Res.* 2017, 197, 121–131. [CrossRef]
- 38. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. In Part A: Human Health Evaluation Manual; Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment, Part F, Supplemental Guidance for Inhalation Risk Assessment. 2011; Volume 1. Available online: <u>Htttp://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/human\_health\_exposure.htm</u> (accessed on 9 April 2016).

- 39. Hu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Ding, Z.H.; Wang, T.; Lian, H.; Sun, Y.; Wu, J. Bio-accessibility and health risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and PM2.5 in Nanjing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2012**, *57*, 146–152. [CrossRef]
- 40. Izhar, S.; Goel, A.; Chakraborty, A.; Gupta, T. Annual trends in occurrence of submicron particles in ambient air and health risk posed by particle bound metals. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *146*, 582–590. [CrossRef]
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities. 1988. Available online: http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/combust/risk.htm (accessed on 20 June 2015).
- 42. Zhang, Y.; Ji, X.; Ku, T.; Li, G.; Sang, N. Heavy metals bound to fine particulate matter from northern China induce seasondependent health risks: A study based on myocardial toxicity. *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *216*, 380–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Vol. I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B). Development of Risk Based Preliminary Remediation Goals (Interim), PB92-963333; Publication 9285.7-01B; Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, US Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 1991.
- 44. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). *Guidance for Evaluating the Oral Bioavailability of Metals in Soils for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment;* Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) 9285.7-80; EPA: Washington, DC. USA, 2007.
- 45. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Exposure Factors Handbook. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 1997. Available online: http://www.epa.gov/ncea/expofac.htm (accessed on 20 June 2015).
- 46. Matooane, M.; Diab, R. Health risk assessment for sulfur dioxide pollution in South Durban, South Africa. *Arch. Environ. Health* **2003**, *58*, 763–770. [CrossRef]
- 47. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Risk assessment guidance for superfund volume 1. In *Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment)*; Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation: Washington, DC, USA, 2004.
- Cao, S.; Duan, X.; Zhao, X.; Wang, B.; Ma, J.; Fan, D.; Sun, C.; He, B.; Wei, F.; Jiang, G. Health risk assessment of various metal(loid)s via multiple exposure pathways on children living near a typical lead-acid battery plant, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2015, 200, 16–23. [CrossRef]
- 49. Jena, S.; Singh, G. Human health risk assessment of airborne trace elements in Dhanbad, India. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2017, *8*, 490–502. [CrossRef]
- 50. Taner, S.; Pekey, B.; Pekey, H. Fine particulate matter in the indoor air of barbeque restaurants: Elemental compositions, sources and health risks. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, 454–455, 79–87. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Wua, H.; Wang, Q.; Yang, M.; Li, F.; Suna, Y.; Qian, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, C. Chemical partitioning of fine particle-bound metals on haze–fog and non-haze–fog days in Nanjing, China and its contribution to human health risks. *Atmos. Res.* 2017, 183, 142–150. [CrossRef]
- 52. Malandrino, M.; DIMartino, M.; Ghiotti, G.; Geobaldo, F.; Grosa, M.M.; Giacomino, A.; Abollino, O. Inter-annual and seasonal variability in PM<sub>10</sub> samples monitored in the city of Turin (Italy) from 2002 to 2005. *Microchem. J.* **2013**, *107*, 76–85. [CrossRef]
- 53. Padoan, E.; Malandrino, M.; Giacomino, A.; Grosa, M.M.; Lollobrigida, F.; Martini, S.; Abollino, O. Spatial distribution and potential sources of trace elements in PM<sub>10</sub> monitored in urban and rural sites of Piedmont Region. *Chemosphere* **2016**, 145, 495–507. [CrossRef]
- Fang, G.C.; Huang, Y.L.; Huang, J.H. Study of atmospheric metallic elements pollution in Asia during 2000–2007. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 180, 115–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Yang, L.; Li, X.X. Analysis of heavy metal pollution in atmospheric deposition. J. Xi'an Jiaotong Univ. 2014, 48, 118–124.
- 56. Niu, T.; Li, P.; Han, B.; Bai, Z.; Ding, X.; Wang, Q.; Huo, J.; Lu, B. Spatial and temporal variation of chemical composition and mass closure of ambient PM<sub>10</sub> in Tianjin, China. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2013**, *13*, 1832–1846. [CrossRef]
- 57. Gupta, A.D.; Dhara, P.C.; Dhundasi, S.A.; Das, K.K. Effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on nickel II or chromium VI induced alterations of glucose homeostasis and hepatic antioxidant status under sub-chronic exposure conditions. *J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *20*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.; Rao, X.; Wang, T.; Jiang, S.Y.; Ying, Z.; Liu, C.; Wang, A.; Zhong, M.; Deiuliis, J.A.; Maiseyeu, A.; et al. Effect of co-exposure to nickel and particulate matter on insulin resistance and mitochondrial dysfunction in a mouse model. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2012, *9*, 40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Jiang, Y.; Chao, S.; Liu, J.; Yang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, A.; Cao, H. Source appointment and health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil for a township in Jiangsu Province, China. *Chemosphere* **2017**, *168*, 1658–1688. [CrossRef]
- 60. Annesi-Maesano, I.; Baiz, N.; Banerjee, S.; Rudnai, P.; Rive, S. Indoor Air Quality and Sources in Schools and Related Health Effects. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part B 2013, 16, 491–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Madureira, J.; Paciencia, I.; Rufo, J.; Ramos, E.; Barros, H.; Teixeira, J.; Fernandes, E. Indoor air quality in schools and its relationship with children's respiratory symptoms. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *118*, 145–156. [CrossRef]
- 62. Sulong, N.A.; Latif, M.T.; Khan, M.F.; Amil, N.; Ashfold, M.J.; Wahab, M.I.A.; Chan, K.M.; Sahani, M. Source apportionment and health risk assessment among specific age groups during haze and non-haze episodes in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *601–602*, 556–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]