

# Impaired pulmonary function after treatment for tuberculosis: the end of the disease?

Mikhail Ivanovich Chushkin<sup>1,2</sup>, Oleg Nikolayevich Ots<sup>1</sup>

- Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia.
- 2. Medical Center, Central Bank of Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia.

Submitted: 2 March 2016. Accepted: 15 August 2016.

Study carried out in the Laboratory of Pulmonary Physiology, Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow Russia

#### **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To evaluate the prevalence of pulmonary function abnormalities and to investigate the factors affecting lung function in patients treated for pulmonary tuberculosis. Methods: A total of 214 consecutive patients (132 men and 82 women; 20-82 years of age), treated for pulmonary tuberculosis and followed at a local dispensary, underwent spirometry and plethysmography at least one year after treatment. Results: Pulmonary impairment was present in 102 (47.7%) of the 214 patients evaluated. The most common functional alteration was obstructive lung disease (seen in 34.6%). Of the 214 patients, 60 (28.0%) showed reduced pulmonary function (FEV, below the lower limit of normal). Risk factors for reduced pulmonary function were having had culturepositive pulmonary tuberculosis in the past, being over 50 years of age, having recurrent tuberculosis, and having a lower level of education. Conclusions: Nearly half of all tuberculosis patients evolve to impaired pulmonary function. That underscores the need for pulmonary function testing after the end of treatment.

Keywords: Respiratory function tests; Tuberculosis, pulmonary; Spirometry; Lung diseases, obstructive.

## INTRODUCTION

It has been estimated that approximately one third of the world's population is latently infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis. (1) In 2011, there were an estimated 8.7 million new cases of tuberculosis worldwide, equivalent to 125 cases per 100,000 population.(1)

In patients infected with M. tuberculosis, whether treated or untreated, a variety of pulmonary and extrapulmonary sequelae and complications can occur, categorized as follows: parenchymal lesions, which include tuberculoma, thin-walled cavities, scarring, and end-stage lung destruction; or airway lesions, which include bronchiectasis, tracheobronchial stenosis, and broncholithiasis. (2) Structural changes lead to obstructive, restrictive, or mixed patterns of impaired pulmonary function. Studies in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) have demonstrated that 33.3-94.0% of such patients develop impaired pulmonary function.(3) Although it is unknown how many PTB survivors are living today, when the incidence of tuberculosis and the success of therapy are considered, the number of PTB survivors appears to be substantial and increasing. (4) There have been few studies on the topic of impaired pulmonary function in PTB survivors, and most such studies have involved highly selected populations. The patients in those populations do not fully represent the populations affected by tuberculosis. (4-8) Little is known about the prevalence of a restrictive pattern after PTB. Although the evaluation of true restriction requires the measurement of TLC, the studies cited above used only spirometry. Most of those studies were conducted in countries where

the incidence of tuberculosis is low; the prevalence and type of pulmonary impairment might differ in countries where that incidence is high.

The objectives of this study were to evaluate the incidence and extent of pulmonary function abnormalities in patients previously treated for PTB. We also studied the factors affecting pulmonary function in such patients.

## **METHODS**

This study was carried out in the Department of Pulmonary Physiology, Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, in Moscow, Russia. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of the Association of Medical and Pharmaceutical Universities. All participants gave written informed consent, and confidentiality was ensured.

In Russia, the primary component of the tuberculosis control system is the regional dispensary, which provides services to patients with active disease and to those considered at risk of contracting or developing the disease. The patients are followed for some time after treatment. (9)

In the 2003-2007 period, a total of 757 PTB patients (between 20 and 90 years of age) were treated and followed at the local tuberculosis dispensary. All 757 patients were subsequently recruited by telephone. Letters were sent if no telephone contact was made. Of the 757 eligible patients, 214 agreed to take part in the study.

From April 2005 to December 2013, patients previously treated for PTB underwent pulmonary function tests

#### Correspondence to:

Mikhail Chushkin. Laboratory of Pulmonary Physiology, Research Institute of Phthisiopulmonology, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, 4 bld Dostoevsky, 127994, Moscow, Russia

Tel.: 7 915 485-76-50. E-mail: mchushkin@yandex.ru

Financial support: None.



(PFTs) in the Department of Pulmonary Physiology. All 214 of the patients had been treated successfully, and the PFTs were performed at least one year after the end of treatment. At the time of the PFTs, none of the patients showed any signs of active PTB.

All of the participating patients underwent spirometry and plethysmography, as well as completing a questionnaire designed to collect data related to demographic characteristics, smoking, medical history, and other aspects. The PFTs were performed by experienced technicians, in accordance with the recommendations of the American Thoracic Society/ European Respiratory Society, (10,11) with a combination spirometer/plethysmograph (MasterScreen Body; Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany). Of the 214 patients, 69 had physician-diagnosed chronic lung disease. All 214 patients were urban dwellers without a personal history of exposure to biomass smoke. Those with a history of intermittent bronchodilator use received their usual treatment 30 min prior to testing. European Community for Coal and Steel equations for spirometry and lung volumes were applied. (12) Airway obstruction was defined as an FEV<sub>1</sub>/VC ratio below the lower limit of normal (LLN) and a TLC above or equal to the LLN; a restrictive pattern was defined as a TLC below the LLN and an FEV<sub>1</sub>/VC ratio above or equal to the LLN; a mixed pattern was defined as an FEV,/VC ratio and TLC both below the LLN(13); and a nonspecific pattern was defined as a TLC above or equal to the LLN, an FEV<sub>1</sub>/VC ratio above or equal to the LLN, and an FEV<sub>1</sub> or FVC below the LLN.(14) We defined reduced pulmonary function as an FEV<sub>1</sub> below the LLN.

For quantitative variables, the differences between groups were assessed by the Mann-Whitney test or the Kruskal-Wallis test. For categorical variables, the groups were compared by the chi-square test. The predictive value of age for the presence of pulmonary impairment was evaluated by determining the area under the ROC curve. Accuracy was calculated for the best cut-off value, defined as that with the highest sum of sensitivity and specificity. Logistic regression was used in order to identify factors associated with impaired pulmonary function. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the 214 subjects included in the analysis. There were 132 men and 82 women, with a mean age of 51.1 years (range, 20-82 years). Of the 214 subjects, 105 had previously been culture-positive and 90 had previously been culture-negative. For the remaining 19 subjects, the culture results were unknown.

The PFT results were normal in 112 (52.4%) of the 214 patients (Table 2). Pulmonary impairment was identified in 102 (47.7%) of the patients, the pattern being obstructive in 74 (34.6%), restrictive in 18 (8.4%), mixed in 8 (3.7%), and nonspecific in 2 (0.9%).

Of the 214 patients, 60 (28.0%) had an FEV $_1$  below the LLN. Using the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society criteria, $^{(13)}$  we classified the degree of abnormality as mild in 6 (2.8%), moderate in 34 (15.9%), and severe in 20 (9.3%), as detailed in Table 2. Clinically significant pulmonary impairment, defined as an FEV $_1$  < 60% of the predicted value, $^{(15)}$  was identified in 35 (14.5%) of the subjects, being attributed to an obstructive pattern in 22 (10.3%), a restrictive pattern in 5 (2.3%), and a mixed pattern in 8 (3.7%). Of those 35 patients, 13 had not been diagnosed with a chronic pulmonary disease.

According to the ROC curve analysis for reduced pulmonary function, when the age of 50 was chosen as the cut-off value, the sensitivity was 73.3% and the specificity was 59.1%. The area under the curve was 0.67 (95% CI: 0.59-0.76; p=0.002).

As can be seen in Table 3, the prevalence of any pulmonary impairment in the patients ≥ 50 years of age was 60.6% (range, 56.3-63.3%, depending on the specific age bracket), compared with 34.3% (range, 7.1-42.4%, depending on the specific age bracket) in

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the patients in the study sample (n = 214).

(n = 214).		
Characteristic	Value	Range
Gendera		
Male	132 (61.7)	
Female	82 (38.3)	
Age, years <sup>b</sup>	51.1 (14.2)	20-82
< 40a	46 (21.5)	
40-49	59 (27.6)	
50-59	49 (22.9)	
60-69	32 (15.0)	
≥ 70	28 (13.1)	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2b</sup>	23.9 (4.4)	14.8-41.5
Education <sup>a,c</sup>		
College-educated	66 (31.4)	
High school only	144 (68.6)	
Smoking status <sup>a</sup>		
Current smoker	112 (52.3)	
Former smoker	26 (12.2)	
Never-smoker	76 (35.5)	
Pulmonary function <sup>b</sup>		
FVC, % of predicted	99.7 (21.4)	31-143
FEV <sub>1</sub> , % of predicted	87.1 (25.0)	23-139
FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio	70.3 (13.5)	28.7-97
PEF, % of predicted	81.9 (28.7)	21-151
MMEF, % of predicted	61.9 (33.3)	5.4-161
TLC, % of predicted	99.9 (17.8)	38-136
FRC, % of predicted	111.4 (27.9)	45-191
RV, % of predicted	103.9 (30.2)	34-253
IC, % of predicted	91.2 (24.2)	27-165
RV/TLC ratio	34.7 (10.0)	16-74

BMI: body mass index; MMEF: maximal midexpiratory flow; FRC: functional residual capacity; and IC: inspiratory capacity. <sup>a</sup>Values expressed as n (%). <sup>p</sup>Values expressed as mean (SD). The level of education was unknown in 4 patients.



the patients < 50 years of age (p < 0.001; chi-square test). In the patients  $\geq$  50 of age, the prevalence of reduced pulmonary function was 40.4% (range, 37.5-42.9%, depending on the specific age bracket), whereas it was 15.2% (range, 0.0-20.3%, depending on the specific age bracket) in the patients < 50 years of age, and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p < 0.001; chi-square test).

Table 4 shows the pulmonary function parameters, stratified by culture results, number of episodes of PTB, and smoking history. The values for FVC,  $FEV_1$ , and the  $FEV_1/VC$  ratio were significantly lower in the patients who had previously had culture-positive PTB

than in those who had previously had culture-negative PTB (p < 0.05 for all; Mann-Whitney test). There were 188 patients who had had only one episode of PTB and 26 who had had two or more episodes. Values for  $FEV_1$  and the  $FEV_1$ /VC ratio were significantly lower in the patients who had had two or more episodes of PTB than in those who had had only one. More than 60% of the subjects evaluated in our study had a history of smoking. The prevalence of airway obstruction in ever-smokers (smokers and former smokers, collectively) and never-smokers was 47.1% and 22.4%, respectively (p < 0.001; chi-square test). The prevalence of reduced pulmonary function in ever-smokers and in never-smokers was 31.2% and

Table 2. Type and severity of pulmonary impairment in patients previously treated for pulmonary tuberculosis.<sup>a</sup>

Variable	(n = 214)
Type of impairment	
Normal	112 (52.4)
Obstructive pattern (FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio < LLN and TLC ≥ LLN)	74 (34.6)
Restrictive pattern (TLC < LLN and FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio ≥ LLN)	18 (8.4)
Mixed pattern (TLC and FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio < LLN)	8 (3.7)
Nonspecific pattern (TLC ≥ LLN, FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio ≥ LLN, and FEV <sub>1</sub> or FVC < LLN)	2 (0.9)
Reduced pulmonary function (FEV <sub>1</sub> < LLN)	60 (28)
FEV <sub>1</sub>	
< 35% of predicted	9 (4.2)
35-49% of predicted	11 (5.1)
50-59% of predicted	15 (7.0)
60-69% of predicted	19 (8.9)
≥ 70% of predicted and < LLN	6 (2.8)
≥ LLN	154 (72)

LLN: lower limit of normal. aValues expressed as n (%).

Table 3. Relationship between age and pulmonary impairment in patients previously treated for pulmonary tuberculosis.<sup>a</sup>

Age, years	Gender	n	Any	Pattern of impairment <sup>b,c</sup>			Reduced pulmonary
			impairment	Obstructive	Restrictive	Mixed	functiond
	Male	7					
20-29	Female	7	1 (7.1)	1 (7.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Total	14					
	Male	22					
30-39	Female	10	10 (31.3)	6 (18.8)	2 (6.3)	1 (3.1)	4 (12.5)
	Total	32					
	Male	39					
40-49	Female	20	25 (42.4)	24 (40.7)	1 (1.7)	0 (0)	12 (20.3)
	Total	59					
	Male	36					
50-59	Female	13	31 (63.3)	21 (42.9)	7 (14.3)	3 (6.1)	21 (42.9)
	Total	49					
	Male	18					
60-69	Female	14	18 (56.3)	13 (40.6)	2 (6.25)	2 (6.3)	12 (37.5)
	Total	32					
	Male	10					
≥ 70	Female	18	17 (60.7)	9 (32.1)	6 (21.43)	2 (7.1)	11 (39.3)
	Total	28					

LLN = lower limit of normal.  ${}^{a}$ Values are given as n(%).  ${}^{b}$ An obstructive pattern was defined as an FEV,/VC ratio < the lower limit of normal (LLN) and a TLC  $\geq$  LLN; a restrictive pattern was defined as a TLC < LLN and an FEV,/VC ratio  $\geq$  LLN; a mixed pattern was defined as an FEV,/VC ratio  $\leq$  LLN and a TLC < LLN; and a nonspecific pattern was defined as a TLC  $\geq$  LLN, an FEV,/VC ratio  $\geq$  LLN, and an FEV, or FVC < LLN.  ${}^{c}$ Two patients presented with a nonspecific pattern (data not shown).  ${}^{d}$ Defined as an FEV, < LLN.



22.4%, respectively (p = 0.226; chi-square test). In the smokers, former smokers, and never-smokers, the mean  $FEV_1$  (as a percentage of the predicted value) was  $87.0 \pm 22.7\%$ ,  $80.0 \pm 27.5\%$ , and  $89.7 \pm 27.1\%$ , respectively (p = 0.201; Kruskal-Wallis test). We found that smoking had no influence on the prevalence of reduced pulmonary function. Only the  $FEV_1/VC$  ratio was lower in ever-smokers than in never-smokers (Table 4).

The risk factors for reduced pulmonary function were having previously had culture-positive PTB, being over 50 years of age, having a low level of education, and having experienced recurrence of tuberculosis. The prevalence of reduced pulmonary function was lower in college-educated patients than in those who had only a high school education (18.2% vs. 31.9%), and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05; chi-square test). We found that neither gender nor smoking status had any influence on the prevalence of reduced pulmonary function (Table 5).

### **DISCUSSION**

A study conducted in the United States showed that 59% of patients treated for tuberculosis subsequently had abnormal pulmonary function.<sup>(4)</sup> In that study, more than half of the patients treated for PTB evolved

to significantly impaired pulmonary function. These data suggest that impaired pulmonary function after PTB is a major cause of chronic lung disease. (4) The authors of that study found that 44% of the patients developed restrictive impairment, (4) compared with the 6.6% reported for the general population. (16) We also found that the prevalence of restrictive impairment was higher among the patients evaluated in our study than in the general population.

In the present study, approximately half of all PTB patients treated at the local tuberculosis dispensary suffered from impaired pulmonary function, a prevalence much higher than that observed in the general population. In addition to the risk factors for reduced pulmonary function identified in the present study—having previously had culture-positive PTB, being over 50 years of age, having a low level of education, and having experienced recurrence of tuberculosis—potential risk factors for impaired pulmonary function in patients previously treated for PTB include extensive disease before treatment, prolonged treatment duration, and poor radiographic improvement after treatment. (17)

We can presume that recurrent PTB is an important risk factor for pulmonary impairment and that patients with risk factors for recurrence require close monitoring and appropriate treatment in order to prevent future

Table 4. Conditional analysis of pulmonary impairment after treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis.

Variable	Con	р	
	Previous culture result <sup>a</sup>		
	Positive	Negative	
	(n = 105)	(n = 90)	
FVC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	96.7 (22.9)	106.0 (16.8)	0.006
FEV <sub>1</sub> , % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	81.8 (24.9)	96.6 (22.0)	< 0.001
FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio <sup>b</sup>	67.9 (13.8)	73.6 (12.5)	0.002
TLC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	98.4 (19.4)	103.8 (12.7)	0.106
Any impairment <sup>c</sup>	58 (55.2)	30 (33.3)	0.002
Reduced pulmonary function <sup>c</sup>	39 (37.1)	13 (14.4)	0.004
Number of episodes of pulmonary tuberculosis			
	Two or more	One	
	(n = 26)	(n = 188)	
FVC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	90.6 ± 28.9	100.9 ± 20.0	0.140
FEV <sub>1</sub> , % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	71.7 ± 27.9	89.2 ± 23.9	0.002
FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio <sup>b</sup>	63.3 ± 12.8	71.2 ± 13.4	0.003
TLC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	92.3 ± 25.6	100.9 ± 16.2	0.12
Any impairment <sup>c</sup>	20 (76.9)	82 (43.6)	0.001
Reduced pulmonary function <sup>c</sup>	15 (57.7)	45 (23.9)	< 0.001
	Smo	oking	
	Ever	Never	
	(n = 138)	(n = 76)	
FVC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	100.2 ± 20.6	98.7 ± 23.0	0.979
FEV <sub>1</sub> , % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	85.6 ± 23.7	89.7 ± 27.1	0.151
FEV <sub>1</sub> /VC ratio <sup>b</sup>	67.9 ± 13.3	74.6 ± 12.9	< 0.001
TLC, % of predicted <sup>b</sup>	102.0 ± 16.0	96.0 ± 20.2	0.072
Any impairment <sup>c</sup>	74 (53.6)	28 (36.8)	0.019
Reduced pulmonary function <sup>c</sup>	43 (31.2)	17 (22.4)	0.171

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Determined for only 195 of the 214 patients in the sample. <sup>b</sup>Values expressed as mean (SD). <sup>c</sup>Values expressed as n (%). <sup>d</sup>Defined as an FEV, < LLN.



Table 5. Risk factors for reduced pulmonary function in patients previously treated for pulmonary tuberculosis.a

Risk factor	OR	95% CI	р
Recurrence of tuberculosis: yes vs. no.	4.33	1.86-10.11	< 0.001
Age: < 50 years vs. ≥ 50 years	3.77	1.95-7.25	< 0.001
Positive culture in the past <sup>b</sup> : yes vs. no	3.5	1.72-7.11	< 0.001
Education: high school only vs. college education	2.11	1.03-4.33	0.04
Smoking: ever vs. never	1.57	0.82-3.0	0.17
Gender: male vs. female	1.49	0.79-2.81	0.21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Defined as an FEV, below the lower limit of normal. <sup>b</sup>Determined for only 195 of the 214 patients in the sample.

episodes of PTB. Hnizdo et al.  $^{(5)}$  showed that the mean decrease in FEV $_1$  was 180 mL in patients who had experienced only one episode of PTB, 362 mL in those who had experienced two episodes, and 462 mL in those who had experienced three episodes.

The prevalence of reduced pulmonary function was significantly lower in college-educated patients than in those who had only a high school education; 18.2% and 31.9%, respectively (p < 0.05; chi-square test). As a rule, college-educated patients have a better socioeconomic status, better nutrition, less occupational risk and a more conscientious attitude toward treatment than do those without a college education. (18) The magnitude of the effect of socioeconomic status has been reported to be 200-300 mL of FEV,  $^{(18)}$ 

Although smoking has been established as a major risk factor for COPD, an estimated 25-45% of COPD patients are never-smokers and emerging evidence suggests that other risk factors are important.(18) A recent meta-analysis showed that smoking is a risk factor for tuberculosis. However, it is not clear whether smoking can increase the mortality risk in individuals who already have active tuberculosis. (19) The impact of smoking on pulmonary impairment in patients who have been treated for PTB is also unknown. Previous studies have produced inconsistent results. (4,6,8,17) In our study, despite the fact that the prevalence of obstruction was significantly higher in ever-smokers than in never-smokers, we found that smoking had no influence on the prevalence of reduced pulmonary function. The explanation for that finding remains uncertain. Chung et al.(17) found that a history of PTB was a stronger determinant of impaired pulmonary function than was smoking. Those authors also suggested that post-tuberculosis pulmonary inflammation can mask a smoking-related decline in pulmonary function.(17)

The overall prevalence of pulmonary impairment is much higher in patients treated for PTB than in the general population. In our patient sample, obstructive impairment was the most common pattern, followed by restrictive, mixed, and nonspecific impairment. These results are in accordance with those of other studies in the literature,  $^{(4)}$  suggesting that pulmonary impairment after tuberculosis could be an underestimated cause of chronic lung disease, especially in countries where the tuberculosis burden is high. Reduced FEV $_{\rm 1}$  has been shown to be an independent predictor of all-cause and respiratory disease-related mortality.  $^{(20)}$ 

Inghammar et al.<sup>(21)</sup> showed that impaired pulmonary function was associated with an increased risk of active tuberculosis. However, if decreased lung function is a risk factor for the development of tuberculosis, it is also possible that pulmonary impairment is a risk factor for its recurrence. Further studies are needed in order to determine whether impaired pulmonary function can be viewed as a risk factor and to devise targeted measures to prevent the recurrence of tuberculosis.

The presence of symptoms is not a sensitive and specific indicator of airway limitation, and the use of a symptom questionnaire appears to be an ineffective means of identifying pulmonary impairment. (22) However, evidence suggests that pulmonary function testing is not used consistently. Even in developed countries, less than half of all patients newly diagnosed with chronic pulmonary diseases receive pulmonary function testing near the time of diagnosis. (23) Using a mechanical peak expiratory flow meter or a pocket spirometer as a screening tool can reduce the number of diagnostic PFTs required. (24) Although it has not been established that PFTs can predict recurrence, their use can probably help select a group of patients at higher risk of recurrence who require longer follow-up and prevention measures. This supports the idea that patients previously treated for PTB should undergo pulmonary function testing. However, pulmonary function testing has yet to be included in the guidelines for the treatment of tuberculosis. (25) We suppose that using FEV<sub>1</sub> < 80% of the predicted value (rather than FEV, below the LLN) as a cut-off value would serve as a reasonable strategy for patients under follow-up treatment, because of its simplicity and ease of use.

This study has some limitations. Very few of the patients received bronchodilators, and it is possible that bronchospasm is conducive to pulmonary impairment. In addition, the study was conducted at a single center, whereas a multicenter study could have produced results that would have been more robust. Furthermore, because of a lack of the pertinent data, we did not examine the influence that a history of cavitation had on pulmonary impairment. Tuberculosis treatment is much less effective in patients with cavitary disease than in those with noncavitary disease or culture-positive PTB, and the negative influence of cavitary disease on lung function could therefore be quite significant. (26)

In conclusion, we found that a history of PTB was a risk factor for pulmonary impairment. The eradication of the bacteria does not necessarily translate to the end



of the illness. After tuberculosis treatment, more than 40% of patients can evolve to pulmonary impairment, mainly obstructive disorders. This underscores the need for pulmonary function testing in patients who have been treated for PTB.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Tatyana Radina, Vera Plotnikova, and Liubov Yashina for their help in preparing the manuscript.

### **REFERENCES**

- Glaziou P, Falzon D, Floyd K, Raviglione M. Global epidemiology of tuberculosis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;34(1):3-16. https:// doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1333467
- Kim HY, Song KS, Goo JM, Lee JS, Lee KS, Lim TH. Thoracic sequelae and complications of tuberculosis. Radiographics. 2001; 21(4):839-58; discussion 859-60. https://doi.org/10.1148/ radiographics.21.4.g01jl06839
- Stepanian IE. Bronchial impotence in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis [Article in Russian]. Tuberk Biolezni Legkih. 2013;4(1): 6-11.
- Pasipanodya JG, Miller TL, Vecino M, Munguia G, Garmon R, Bae S, et al. Pulmonary impairment after tuberculosis. Chest. 2007;131(6): 1817-24. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.06-2949
- Hnizdo E, Singh T, Churchyard G. Chronic pulmonary function impairment caused by initial and recurrent pulmonary tuberculosis following treatment. Thorax. 2000;55(1):32-8. https://doi.org/10.1136/ thorax.55.1.32
- Lee SW, Kim YS, Kim DS, Oh YM, Lee SD. The risk of obstructive lung disease by previous pulmonary tuberculosis in a country with intermediate burden of tuberculosis. J Korean Med Sci. 2011;26(2):268-73. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2011.26.2.268
- Menezes AM, Hallal PC, Perez-Padilla R, Jardim JR, Muiño A, Lopez MV, et al. Tuberculosis and airflow obstruction: evidence from the PLATINO study in Latin America. Eur Respir J. 2007;30(6):1180-5. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00083507
- Willcox PA, Ferguson AD. Chronic obstructive airways disease following treated pulmonary tuberculosis. Respir Med. 1989;83(3):195-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0954-6111(89)80031-9
- Perelman MI. Tuberculosis in Russia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2000;4(12):1097-103.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al; ATS/ERS Task Force. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(2):319-38. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.0 0034805
- Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, Pedersen OF, Brusasco V, Burgos, et al. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 511-522. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.0 0035005
- 12. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, Pedersen OF, Peslin R, Yernault JC. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. Work Group on Standardization of Respiratory Function Tests. European Community for Coal and Steel. Official position of the European Respiratory Society [Article in French]. Rev Mal Respir. 1994;11 Suppl 3:5-40.
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(5):948-68. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.00035205
- Iyer VN, Schroeder DR, Parker KO, Hyatt RE, Scanlon PD. The nonspecific pulmonary function test: longitudinal follow-up and outcomes. Chest. 2011;139(4): 878-86. https://doi.org/10.1378/

- chest 10-0804
- 15. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Weinberger SE, Hanania NA, Criner G, van der Molen T, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(3):179-91. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-3-201108020-00008
- Mannino DM, Ford ES, Redd SC. Obstructive and restrictive lung disease and functional limitation: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination. J Intern Med. 2003;254(6):540-7. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2003.01211.x
- Chung KP, Chen JY, Lee CH, Wu HD, Wang JY, Lee LN, et al. Trends and predictors of changes in pulmonary function after treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2011;66(4):549-56. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-59322011000400005
- Salvi SS, Barnes PJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in nonsmokers. Lancet. 2009;374(9691):733-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(09)61303-9
- Bates MN, Khalakdina A, Pai M, Chang L, Lessa F, Smith KR. Risk of tuberculosis from exposure to tobacco smoke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(4):335-42. https://doi. org/10.1001/archinte.167.4.335
- Young RP, Hopkins R, Eaton TE. Forced expiratory volume in one second: not just a lung function test but a marker of premature death from all causes. Eur Respir J. 2007;30(4):616-22. https://doi. org/10.1183/09031936.00021707
- Inghammar M, Löfdahl CG, Winqvist N, Ljungberg B, Egesten A, Engström G. Impaired pulmonary function and the risk of tuberculosis: a population-based cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2011;37(5):1285-7. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00091110
- Buffels J, Degryse J, Heyrman J, Decramer M; DIDASCO Study. Office spirometry significantly improves early detection of COPD in general practice: the DIDASCO Study. Chest. 2004;125(4):1394-9. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.125.4.1394
- Lee TA, Bartle B, Weiss KB. Spirometry use in clinical practice following diagnosis of COPD. Chest. 2006;129(6):1509-15. https:// doi.org/10.1378/chest.129.6.1509
- Nelson SB, LaVange LM, Nie Y, Walsh JW, Enright PL, Martinez FJ, et al. Questionnaires and pocket spirometers provide an alternative approach for COPD screening in the general population. Chest. 2012;142(2):358-66. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-1474
- Blumberg HM, Burman WJ, Chaisson RE, Daley CL, Etkind SC, Friedman LN, et al. American Thoracic Society/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America: treatment of tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167(4):603-62. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.167.4.603
- Long R, Maycher B, Dhar A, Manfreda J, Hershfield E, Anthonisen N. Pulmonary tuberculosis treated with directly observed therapy: serial changes in lung structure and function. Chest. 1998;113(4):933-43. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.113.4.933