Validation and Cross-cultural Adaptation of the Sinonasal Outcome Test (SNOT)-22 for the Arabian Patient Population

Mohammed Asiri¹, Ghassan Alokby²

Otolaryngology, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, SAU
Otolaryngology, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAU

Corresponding author: Mohammed Asiri, h1m2d3@hotmail.com Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

Background and objective

Quality of life measurement is an essential element of healthcare evaluation. The Sino-Nasal Outcome Test 22 (SNOT-22) has been validated in different languages, and in this study we validated the SNOT-22 in Arabic language. The objective of this study is to provide a validated, cross-culturally adapted version of the SNOT-22 for the Arabic speaking population.

Materials, methods, and main outcome measures

This was a prospective cohort study set in a tertiary hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The SNOT-22 was translated into Arabic by two native Arabic speakers. A total of 30 patients with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) with/without nasal polyps were included in test-retest study. Following that, a prospective study was conducted where the translated SNOT-22 was distributed to a different set of 30 CRS patients before and three months after endoscopic sinus surgery. Another 50 healthy individuals were included as a control group. The main outcome measure was the translation and validation of the SNOT-22 in Arabic.

Results

Internal consistency was assessed by performing a test-retest study. Cronbach's alpha was 0.803 at both the initial examination and at the retest, showing good internal consistency. There was a statistically significant difference between the results of the control group and the preoperative results of the CRS group (p<.001). The preoperative mean (SD) SNOT-22 score for the CRS group was 64.8 (20.3) and it dropped to 29.2 (11.8) postoperatively showing statistically significant change (p<.001), indicating the responsiveness of the SNOT-22.

Conclusion

The Arabic version of the SNOT-22 has internal consistency, reliability, and reproducibility that is needed for it to be a valid instrument to be used in research and clinical practice.

Categories: Family/General Practice, Otolaryngology, Epidemiology/Public Health **Keywords:** chronic rhinosinusitis, quality of life, arabic, sinus surgery, snot-22, sinonasal outcome test, sinusitis, validation, snot, crs

Introduction

How to cite this article

Asiri M, Alokby G (April 12, 2019) Validation and Cross-cultural Adaptation of the Sinonasal Outcome Test (SNOT)-22 for the Arabian Patient Population. Cureus 11(4): e4447. DOI 10.7759/cureus.4447

Received 03/04/2019 Review began 04/04/2019 Review ended 04/11/2019 Published 04/12/2019

© Copyright 2019

Asiri et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a well-known disease that causes inflammation of the nasal sinuses and the linings of the nasal passages. In 2012, the European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps (EPOS) proposed diagnostic criteria for CRS, which includes the following: two or more symptoms, one of which should be either nasal blockage or nasal discharge, ± facial pain/pressure, ± hyposmia or anosmia; and either endoscopic signs of polyps and/or mucopurulent discharge from middle meatus and/ or edema/mucosal obstruction in middle meatus and/or CT findings showing mucosal changes within the ostiomeatal complex and/or sinuses. The duration of symptoms must be for 12 weeks or more [1].

CRS affects 14%-15% of the adult United States (US) population, while in Europe it has been reported to affect 5%-15% of the population [2]. Because CRS has been shown to substantially reduce the health-related quality of life of the affected patients, the assessment of quality of life (QoL) becomes an essential element of healthcare evaluation, and the burden and relief of symptoms as perceived by the patient plays an essential role in the choice and evaluation of treatment by the clinician [3].

As CRS causes significant impact on patients' quality of life, many disease-specific QoL instruments were developed, such as the Sinonasal Outcome Test (SNOT), the Sino-Nasal Outcome Test 20 (SNOT-20) and 22 (SNOT-22), which are validated patient-reported measures of symptom severity and health-related QoL in sinonasal conditions [2, 4].

SNOT-22 is the modified version of SNOT-20, to which two items have been added-nasal blockage and sense of taste and smell [2]. SNOT-22 covers a broad range of health-related QoL problems including physical problems, functional limitations, and emotional consequences [5]. The outcomes it measures can be divided into four different clinical subscales: rhinological symptoms (items 1-7, 12), ear and facial symptoms (items 8-11), sleep function (items 13-16), and psychological issues (items 17-22).

The SNOT-22 questionnaire was initially written in English, but it has been translated to and validated in different languages [2, 6-14]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first translation of the Arabic SNOT-22 that has been tested for validity and responsiveness by comparing the score of CRS patients to a control group of healthy individuals and by comparing the score of CRS patients before and after surgical intervention.

Materials And Methods

Initially, two independent native Arabic translators translated the original SNOT-22 questionnaire from English to Arabic language, after which two other native English translators re-translated it from Arabic to English. The English and Arabic versions of SNOT-22 are shown in Figures *1-2*.

I.D.:_

SINO-NASAL OUTCOME TEST (SNOT-22)

DATE:_

Below you will find a list of symptoms and social/emotional consequences of your rhinosinusitis. We would like to know more about these problems and would appreciate your answering the following questions to the best of your ability. There are no right or wrong answers, and only you can provide us with this information. Please rate your problems as they have been over the past <u>two weeks</u>. Thank you for your participation. Do not hesitate to ask for assistance if necessary.

1. Considering how severe the problem is when you experience it and how often it happens, please rate each item below on how "bad" it is by circling the number that corresponds with how you feel using this scale: \rightarrow		No Problem	Very Mild Problem	Mild or slight Problem	Moderate Problem	Severe Problem	Problem as bad as it can be		5 Most Important Items
1.	Need to blow nose	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
2.	Nasal Blockage	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
3.	Sneezing	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
4.	Runny nose	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
5.	Cough	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
6.	Post-nasal discharge	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
7.	Thick nasal discharge	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
8.	Ear fullness	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
9.	Dizziness	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
10.	Ear pain	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
11.	Facial pain/pressure	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
12.	Decreased Sense of Smell/Taste	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
13.	Difficulty falling asleep	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
14.	Wake up at night	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
15.	Lack of a good night's sleep	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
16.	Wake up tired	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
17.	Fatigue	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
18.	Reduced productivity	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
19.	Reduced concentration	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
20.	Frustrated/restless/irritable	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
21.	Sad	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
22.	Embarrassed	0	1	2	3	4	5		0
2.	2. Please mark the most important items affecting your health (maximum of 5 items)								

2. Please mark the most important items affecting your health (maximum of 5 items)

SNOT-20 Copyright © 1996 by Jay F. Piccirillo, M.D., Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri SNOT-22 Developed from modification of SNOT-20 by National Comparative Audit of Surgery for Nasal Polyposis and Rhinosinusitis Royal College of Surgeons of England.

FIGURE 1: The SNOT-22 in English

			, ويفتع المرد	ی الرب الحد	÷-,	,	
ى تاثير الأعراض	ليست مشكلة	مشكلة طفيفة جداً	مشكلة طفيفة	مشكلة متوسطة	مشكلة شديدة	مشكلة شديدة جداً	أهم خمسة أعراض
- الحاجة الى تنظيف الأنف من 'فر از ات	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- إنسداد الأنف	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- عطاس	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- سيلان الأنف	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- كحة	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- إفرازات من خلف الأنف الى الحلق	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- إفرازت أنفية عليظة	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- إنسداد الأذن	0	1	2	3	4	5	
- دو ار	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- ألم في الأذن	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- ألم في الوجة	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- ضعف في حاسة الشم أو التذوق	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- صعوبة في الخلود الى النوم	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- الاستيقاظ من النوم مساءً	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- عدم النوم جيداً	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- الشعور بالإر هاق عند الاستيقاظ من و م	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- إر هاق	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- ضعف الإنتاجية	0	1	2	3	4	5	
1- ضعف التركيز	0	1	2	3	4	5	
2- العصبية	0	1	2	3	4	5	
2- الحزن	0	1	2	3	4	5	
2- الأحراج	0	1	2	3	4	5	

FIGURE 2: Arabic version of the SNOT-22

The inclusion criteria were adult patients who fit the diagnostic criteria of EPOS for CRS (with/without nasal polyps). The exclusion criteria were age less than 18 years, pregnancy, and refusal to participate in the study. The test-retest reliability was calculated by getting patients with CRS to fill the SNOT-22 questionnaire in two different clinic visits by two different physicians.

A control study was done and the control group consisted of members of the hospital employees and accompanying persons or relatives. We excluded any participant who had a history of CRS and/or using nasal medication. All subjects underwent nasal endoscopic examination first and then SNOT-22 scores were obtained.

A preoperative-postoperative study was also conducted. Patients undergoing sinus surgery were evaluated using the Arabic version of the SNOT-22 questionnaire one day before surgery and three months after.

Statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, USA) software and the level of significance was (p<.05). The internal consistency of the Arabic version of SNOT-22, which reflects the way how the items relate to each other was analyzed; Cronbach's alpha was used to represent and evaluate internal consistency for ordinal

responses. The minimum acceptable value was 0.7. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated for each item and by removing each item at once after.

Using Pearson's test and kappa test (reproducibility) the test-retest reliability, which indicates stability over time when repeating the test, was analyzed by correlating the initial test with the subsequent scores. The responsiveness of the Arabic version of SNOT-22 was assessed by comparing the SNOT scores before and after surgery. The paired t test was used for the statistical evaluation. The Wilcoxon signed-rank sum test (Z) was performed when normality of the differences was not fulfilled. Spearman's correlation and Pearson's coefficient (R) were used for analysis of ordinal or non-parametric variables.

Results

A total of 30 patients with CRS with/without nasal polyps were included in test-retest study. Of the subjects, 36.7% were females (n=11) and 63.3% were males (n=19). The mean (SD) SNOT-22 sum score was 64.2 (7.9) (range: 52 to 85) in the initial test, and 65.1 (7.8) (range: 51 to 84) in the retest. The Wilcoxon signed-rank sum result for the test was 1.815 (p=.069), (Table 1).

Group	n	Mean ± SD	Range
Initial test	30	64.2 (7.9)	52 – 85
Retest	30	65.1 (7.8)	51 – 84
Control	50	19.5 (13.1)	0 - 57
Preoperative	30	64.8 (20.3)	25 - 104
Postoperative	30	29.2 (11.8)	6 - 53

TABLE 1: Total SNOT-22 scores of the groups in validation study

The Cronbach's alpha result of the initial examination was 0.803 and 0.803 for the retest examination, which suggests good internal consistency with the Arabic SNOT-22.

Pearson's correlation analysis was calculated with an R value of 0.907 (p<.001) and kappa of 0.161, which indicates a strong correlation between the initial test and the retest examination scores. The results of Cronbach's alpha and Spearman's correlation for each item in the test and retest examinations are given in Table 2.

	Item description	Cronbach	's alpha	Spearman's correlation		
SNOT-22 Item		Test	Retest	Test	Retest	
1	Need to blow nose	0.806	0.806	0.941	0.925	
2	Nasal obstruction	0.805	0.805	0.912	0.867	
3	Sneezing	0.801	0.805	0.857	0.834	
4	Runny nose	0.805	0.804	0.843	0.886	
5	Cough	0.804	0.804	0.958	0.962	
6	Post nasal discharge	0.804	0.804	0.959	0.968	
7	Thick nasal discharge	0.805	0.806	0.956	0.909	
8	Ear fullness	0.809	0.809	0.963	0.960	
9	Dizziness	0.806	0.806	0.935	0.949	
10	Ear pain	0.805	0.805	0.920	0.905	
11	Facial pain/pressure	0.797	0.799	0.936	0.885	
12	Loss of smell or taste	0.804	0.803	0.867	0.866	
13	Difficulty falling asleep	0.800	0.801	0.949	0.957	
14	Waking up at night	0.802	0.801	0.965	0.955	
15	Lack of a good night's sleep	0.795	0.796	0.964	0.948	
16	Waking up tired	0.794	0.794	0.966	0.952	
17	Fatigue	0.807	0.805	0.853	0.851	
18	Reduced productivity	0.798	0.799	0.988	0.975	
19	Reduced concentration	0.798	0.797	0.942	0.883	
20	Frustrated/restless/irritable	0.803	0.802	0.957	0.959	
21	Sad	0.806	0.807	0.951	0.942	
22	Embarrassed	0.806	0.805	0.981	0.985	

TABLE 2: Cronbach's alpha and Spearman's correlation results for each item

The control group in the control study included 50 volunteers from hospital employees and accompanying persons or relatives of our patients. Of the subjects 14.0% were females (n=7) and 86.0% were males (n=43). The mean (SD) total score for the control group was 19.5 (13.1).

The mean (SD) total SNOT-22 score was significantly higher with the group of patients before

surgery (64.8 (20.3)) compared to the mean (SD) total SNOT-22 score of the control group (19.5 (13.1)), (p<.001), which indicated a statistically significant difference between the two groups.

Thirty patients were included in the preoperative-postoperative study, and 36.7% of them were females (n=11) and 63.3% were males (n=19). The mean (SD) preoperative SNOT-22 total score was 64.8 (20.3) (range: 25 to 104) and the mean (SD) postoperative score was 29.2 (11.8) (range: 6 to 53). The mean score after three months postoperatively was significantly lower (p<.001), indicating the responsiveness of the SNOT-22 (Table 1).

Discussion

There are over 15 known disease-specific sinonasal outcome questionnaires in English [12]. Among them is the SNOT-22 questionnaire, which showed reliability, validity, responsiveness, and ease of use [15]. This has made it widely used both in research and in clinical practice for patients with CRS. The SNOT-22 is already validated in many languages including Czech, Danish, Greek, Lithuanian, Persian, and Spanish [2, 6, 9, 11-14].

Following the generally accepted methodology as described by Koller et al., the translation, cross-cultural adaptation, and validation of the Arabic version of the SNOT-22 questionnaire was carried out [16]. Internal consistency score refers to the relation of items within an instrument. The minimum acceptable value for Cronbach's alpha test is considered to be 0.7; above 0.8 is considered good and more than 0.9 is considered excellent.

Cronbach's alpha was calculated for the initial test and the retest with a value of 0.803, which indicates a good internal consistency. Schalek et al., Lange et al., and Vaitkus et al. evaluated Pearson's test in SNOT-22 [2, 6, 9]. They reported a Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.86, 0.70 and 0.72, respectively. In our study, Pearson's correlation coefficient R value was 0.907 (p<.001), which suggests a strong correlation between the initial test and the retest scores.

The evaluation of reproducibility was done using kappa test with a score of 0.161.

We tested the ability of the Arabic version of SNOT-22 to reflect the differences between CRS patients groups, which consist of 30 patients with a control group of 50 healthy individuals, by comparing the mean SNOT-22 scores for each group. The mean (SD) SNOT-22 scores of patients with CRS was 64.8 (20.3) compared to the healthy individuals' mean (SD) of 19.5 (13.1), indicating a statistically significant difference between the two groups (p<.001).

Responsiveness is defined as the ability of a questionnaire to detect changes over time. The responsiveness of the SNOT-22 questionnaire was assessed by comparing the final scores before and after the surgical intervention (sinus surgery) using the paired t test. The paired t test showed that SNOT-22 scores pre- and postoperatively were statistically significant (p<.001). The mean (SD) preoperative SNOT-22 total scores were 64.8 (20.3) (range: 25 to 104) and the mean (SD) postoperative total scores was 29.2 (11.8) (range: 6 to 53).

Three months postoperatively, the mean SNOT-22 score was significantly lower than that of the preoperative examination (p<.001), which indicates an excellent responsiveness to SNOT-22.

Conclusions

In conclusion this study proves that the Arabic version of the SNOT-22 questionnaire has the internal consistency, reliability, and reproducibility that is needed for it to be a valid instrument to be used in research and clinical practice.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, et al.: EPOS 2012: European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. A summary for otorhinolaryngologists. Rhinology. 2012, 50:1-12. 10.4193/Rhino50E2
- Vaitkus S, Padervinskis E, Balsevicius T, et al.: Translation cross-cultural adaptation, and validation of the sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT)-22 for Lithuanian patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2013, 270:1843-8. 10.1007/s00405-012-2282-2
- Rudmik L, Smith TL: Quality of life in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2011, 11:247-52. 10.1007/s11882-010-0175-2
- Piccirillo JF, Merritt MG, Jr Richards ML: Psychometric and clinimetric validity of the 20-Item SinoNasal Outcome Test (SNOT-20). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2002, 126:41-7. 10.1067/mhn.2002.121022
- Browne JP, Hopkins C, Slack R, Cano SJ: The Sino-Nasal Outcome Test (SNOT): can we make it more clinically meaningful?. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007, 136:736-41. 10.1016/j.otohns.2007.01.024
- 6. Lange B, Thilsing T, Al-kalemji A, Baelum J, Martinussen T, Kjeldsen A: The Sino-Nasal Outcome Test 22 validated for Danish patients. Dan Med Bull. 2011, 58:4235.
- Caminha GP, Melo Junior JTd, Hopkins C, Pizzichini E, Pizzichini MMM: SNOT 22: psychometric properties and cross-cultural adaptation into the Portuguese language spoken in Brazil. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2012, 78:34-9.
- 8. Kosugi EM, Chen VG, Fonseca VM, Cursino MM, Mendes Neto JA, Gregorio LC: Translation, cross-cultural adaptation and validation of SinoNasal Outcome Test (SNOT): 22 to Brazilian Portuguese. [Article in English, Portuguese]. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2011, 77:663-9.
- Schalek P, Otruba L, Hahn A: Quality of life in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis: a validation of the Czech version of SNOT-22 questionnaire. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2010, 267:473-5. 10.1007/s00405-009-1180-8
- 10. de Dorlodot C, Horoi M, Lefebvre P, Collet S, Bertrand B, Eloy P, Poirrier A-L: French adaptation and validation of the sino-nasal outcome test- 22: a prospective cohort study on quality of life among 422 subjects. Clin Otolaryngol. 2015, 40:29-35. 10.1111/coa.12315
- Jalessi M, Farhadi M, Kamrava SK, Amintehran E, Asghari A, Hemami M, Mobasseri A: The reliability and validity of the Persian version of sinonasal outcome test 22 (SNOT 22) questionnaires. Iran Red Crescent Med. 2013, 15:404-8. 10.5812/ircmj.7937
- de los Santos G, Reyes P, del Castillo R, Fragola C, Royuela A: Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT-22) for Spanish-speaking patients. Eur Arch. 2015, 272:3335-40. 10.1007/s00405-014-3437-0
- Lachanas VA, Tsea M, Tsiouvaka S, Hajiioannou JK, Skoulakis CE, Bizakis JG: The sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT)- 22: validation for Greek patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2014, 271:2723-8. 10.1007/s00405-014-2969-7
- 14. Seferlis F, Proimos E, Chimona TS, Asimakopoulou P, Papadakis CE: SNOT-22 validation in greek patients. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat. 2014, 76:207-11. 10.1159/000365995
- 15. Morley AD, Sharp HR: A review of sinonasal outcome scoring systems which is best? . Clin Otolaryngol. 2006, 31:103-9. 10.1111/j.1749-4486.2006.01155.x

16. Koller M, Aaronson NK, Blazeby J, et al.: Translation procedures for standardised quality of life questionnaires: The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) approach. Eur J Cancer. 2007, 43:1810-20. 10.1016/j.ejca.2007.05.029