## **Editorial**

# A Powerful Value of Hysteroscopy

Both ultrasound (especially transvaginal ultrasound) and hysteroscopy are convenient and effective tools to evaluate the physiology and/or pathology of the uterine cavity.[1] Conventionally, an application of transvaginal ultrasound is much more acceptable in the majority of obstetricians and gynecologists because it is often considered as the simplest, low cost, least invasive, and easily acceptable tool. In addition, transvaginal ultrasound has been shown to have good accuracy for the detection of endometrial pathology; furthermore, of the most importance, transvaginal ultrasound can be advocated as a routine modality of choice for gynecological diagnosis. [2] The power of ultrasound is not only limited to the diagnostic role but also it is also applied as assistance of treatment.<sup>[3,4]</sup> There are many and various kinds of diseases could be successfully managed and treated by ultrasound-guidance. For example, cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy, one of the most complicated abnormal pregnancies, can be managed by ultrasound-guided curettage because it is relatively simple and inexpensive compared to the hysteroscopy approach.<sup>[5]</sup>

Compared to hysteroscopy, ultrasound seemed to be a winner. However, it does not always be. In the April-June issue of the Gynecology and Minimally Invasive Therapy, Asto and Habana published a very interesting report to claim the value of the hysteroscopy. The authors found that there were many patients with the intrauterine device, which could not be successfully removed by ultrasound-guidance. [6] By contrast, with the assistance of hysteroscopy, these difficulties could be easily overcome. Although the authors still recommended that ultrasound-guided removal of the intrauterine device should be done as the first-line management in patients with no visible strings, and suggested that the above-mentioned procedures can be done during menstruation period in premenopausal women and after cervical ripening in menopausal women. The use of hysteroscopy for removing intrauterine device can be delayed to cases with an attempt by ultrasound but failure. The current study is worthy of discussion.

First, hysteroscopy is often considered as a much more invasive and costly tool than ultrasound is.<sup>[1]</sup> However, it is believed to be a more effective method for the diagnosis of endometrial disorders. Regarding cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy, hysteroscopy provides a clear view for us, making it easy to identify the range of affected tissues, and clean the pregnancy tissue,<sup>[5]</sup> compared to ultrasound does. Hysteroscopy might be recommended as a first-line treatment modality for patients with a cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy, although the different opinions are raised.<sup>[7,8]</sup>

Second, as shown by the authors, the authors revealed two sets of hysteroscope in their studies, and one is a diagnostic hysteroscope, and the other is an operative hysteroscope. [6] More than half of patients (10/19) were successful in the use of a diagnostic hysteroscope, [6] suggesting that this less invasive office hysteroscope can be used initially. In fact, the benefits of the office hysteroscope have been reported recently. [2,9,10] Cheng *et al.* used a flexible hysteroscope with Lin's biopsy grasper to deal with endometrial lesions successfully. [9] The main advantage of Cheng's study is that the patients did not receive any analgesics or anesthesia treatment, suggesting that advanced development of hysteroscope might achieve the least invasive goal in the future.

In conclusion, there might be no doubt of the benefits of hysteroscopy in the use of current and routine clinical practice, especially to evaluate the intrauterine lesion. With much more experiences about the hysteroscopic procedure, I am looking forward to seeing more studies to address this topic.

# **Acknowledgment**

This article was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Executive Yuan (MOST 106-2314-B-075-061-MY3), and Taipei Veterans General Hospital (V106C-129; V106D23-001-MY2-1; and V107C-136).

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.



### Peng-Hui Wang<sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National Yang-Ming University, <sup>3</sup>Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

Address for correspondence: Dr. Peng-Hui Wang,
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Taipei Veterans General
Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan.
E-mail: phwang@yghtpe.gov.tw,
pongpongwang@gmail.com, phwang@ym.edu.tw

# REFERENCES

- Yela DA, Pini PH, Benetti-Pinto CL. Comparison of endometrial assessment by transvaginal ultrasonography and hysteroscopy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2018; Doi: 10.1002/ijgo.12567. [Epub ahead of print].
- Valino MC, Yen CF, Huang KG, Uwais A. Hysteroscopy as a tool for identification of uterine endocervical lesion. Gyneol Minim Invasive Ther 2018;7:88-9.
- 3. Sun HD, Teng SW, Huang BS, Hsiao SM, Yen MS, Wang PH, *et al.* Combination of ultrasound-guided drainage and antibiotics therapy provides a cosmetic advantage for women with methicillin-resistant

- Staphylococcus aureus breast abscess. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2014;53:115-7.
- 4. Lee KL, Chen TJ, Won GS, Chou YH, Chiou HJ, Wang HK, *et al.* The use of fine needle aspiration and trends in incidence of thyroid cancer in Taiwan. J Chin Med Assoc 2018;81:164-9.
- Fu LP. Therapeutic approach for the cesarean scar pregnancy. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e0476.
- Asto MR, Habana AE. Hysteroscopic-guided removal of retained intrauterine device: Experience at an academic tertiary hospital. Gynecol

Article History:
Received 23 July 2018
Accepted 6 August 2018
Available online 26 September 2018

# Quick Response Code: Website: www.e-gmit.com DOI: 10.4103/GMIT.GMIT\_71\_18

- Minim Invasive Ther 2018;7:56-60.
- Pan Y, Liu MB. The value of hysteroscopic management of cesarean scar pregnancy: A report of 44 cases. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2017;56:139-42.
- 8. OuYang ZB, Li HW, Quan S. The first-line approach for cesarean scar pregnancy: The most adopted being not the best. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2016;55:761-2.
- Cheng HY, Lin BL, Tseng JY, Ueno K, Nakada S. Clinical application of Lin's biopsy grasper for intrauterine targeted biopsy and polypectomy during office hysteroscopy. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2018;57:379-82.
- Trojano G, Domiani GR, Casavola VC, Loiacono R, Malvasi A, Pellegrino A, et al. The role of hysteroscopy in evaluating postmenopausal asymptomatic women with thickened endometrium. Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther 2018;7:6-9.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**How to cite this article:** Wang PH. A powerful value of hysteroscopy. Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther 2018;7:143-4.