

REVIEW

# Promising oncolytic agents for metastatic breast cancer treatment

### James | Cody Douglas R Hurst<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ImQuest BioSciences, Frederick, MD, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

Abstract: New therapies for metastatic breast cancer patients are urgently needed. The long-term survival rates remain unacceptably low for patients with recurrent disease or disseminated metastases. In addition, existing therapies often cause a variety of debilitating side effects that severely impact quality of life. Oncolytic viruses constitute a developing therapeutic modality in which interest continues to build due to their ability to spare normal tissue while selectively destroying tumor cells. A number of different viruses have been used to develop oncolytic agents for breast cancer, including herpes simplex virus, adenovirus, vaccinia virus, measles virus, reovirus, and others. In general, clinical trials for several cancers have demonstrated excellent safety records and evidence of efficacy. However, the impressive tumor responses often observed in preclinical studies have yet to be realized in the clinic. In order for the promise of oncolytic virotherapy to be fully realized for breast cancer patients, effectiveness must be demonstrated in metastatic disease. This review provides a summary of oncolytic virotherapy strategies being developed to target metastatic breast cancer.

**Keywords:** oncolytic virus, virotherapy, breast cancer, metastasis

#### Introduction

Metastatic breast cancer is currently incurable. Advances in detection and treatment have improved the overall outlook for breast cancer patients in recent decades. Survival rates approach 100% when the disease is localized at diagnosis but are <25% for patients with distant metastases. Despite continued improvements in patient care, survival rates have not changed appreciably in the past 20 years. It is therefore evident that metastasis to vital organs remains the key limitation in the clinical management of breast cancer. Currently used therapies can cause debilitating side effects and are limited in their effectiveness against metastases. This point is illustrated by two recent studies showing an increased long-term risk of heart disease in breast cancer patients treated with standard therapies.<sup>2,3</sup> Additionally, patients aggressively treated with chemotherapy often suffer cognitive impairments sometimes referred to as "chemo brain". 4,5 Treatment of patients with metastatic disease is usually palliative, as aggressive tumors acquire resistance to established therapies. Therefore, there is a dire need for therapies that avoid risks of long-term toxicities and eliminate, or at least control, metastatic disease. Recently, it has been proposed that the current system of clinical trials for metastasis be revised to allow earlier interventions of combinatorial therapies.<sup>6</sup> This view reflects the current prevailing notion that metastasis may be preventable if critical points along the metastatic cascade can be blocked. While prevention strategies are a worthy pursuit, the fact remains that these strategies do not offer benefits to

Correspondence: James J Cody ImQuest BioSciences, 7340 Executive Way, Suite R, Frederick, MD 21704, USA Tel +I 30I 696 0274 Fax +I 30I 696 038I Email jcody@imquestbio.com

Douglas R Hurst 1720, 2nd Avenue South, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA Tel +I 205 934 2951 Fax +1 205 975 1126 Email dhurst@uab.edu

how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php

Dovenress

patients with existing metastases. Tumor heterogeneity and the complexity of the tumor microenvironment mean that a "magic bullet" cure for breast cancer is unlikely. Rather, it is becoming increasingly clear that combinations of therapies will be necessary to yield therapeutic success.

Oncolytic viruses hold promise as potential therapeutics. A tumor-destroying (oncolytic) virus is naturally selective or genetically engineered to selectively replicate in and destroy tumor cells while sparing normal cells.8 During transformation, tumor cells acquire a variety of defects that make them particularly susceptible to oncolytic viruses, including overexpression of certain cell surface antigens, deficiencies in the interferon response, resistance to apoptosis, and increases in nucleotide synthesis, protein synthesis, and cell cycling pathways. 9 Through multiple cycles of tumor cell infection, lysis, and spread to adjacent cells, an oncolytic virus has the potential to spread throughout and destroy an entire tumor. An oncolytic virus is perhaps an ideal therapeutic strategy for metastatic cancer due to a multimodal mechanism of action. Oncolytic viruses can directly destroy tumor cells, destroy tumor vasculature, and provoke antitumor immune responses. Moreover, oncolytic viruses can be "armed" with anticancer transgenes to enhance efficacy or can be combined with existing therapies such as small molecule inhibitors, chemotherapy, or radiation. Though the concept of treating cancer with viruses is decades old, it is only in recent years that advances in genetic engineering have allowed the field to truly mature. 10 A diverse array of oncolytic viral platforms has been described in the literature, and this is an active area of investigation for breast cancer and other tumor types.<sup>7,8</sup> Those most commonly used as oncolytic viruses include both DNA viruses (adenovirus, herpes simplex virus [HSV], and vaccinia virus) and RNA viruses (measles virus, reovirus, and Newcastle disease virus [NDV]). A literature search for "oncolytic virus" reveals that the annual number of publications in this field has approximately tripled over the past decade, highlighting the increasing interest in this potential treatment. In the context of this interest, it has been suggested that oncolytic virotherapy may come to represent a "fourth front" in the war against cancer, to complement the established treatment modalities of surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation.11

# Armed oncolytic viruses

A variety of viral types have shown an ability to replicate in breast cancer cells and suppress tumor growth in vivo by viral replication alone. However, many investigators have sought to enhance the efficacy of an oncolytic viral platform by "arming" the virus with anticancer transgenes targeting directly to cancer cells or the tumor microenvironment. To date, these efforts have largely involved the application of transgenes with broad anticancer activity rather than being specific to breast cancer. Factors chosen to target the tumor microenvironment have been shown to be advantageous in several settings. Gholami et al<sup>12</sup> recently described a vaccinia virus that expresses a single chain antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Due to the resultant antiangiogenic ability, this virus was shown to exhibit higher antitumor activity than a control virus in a murine model of triple-negative breast cancer. The oncolytic adenovirus AdEHE2F expresses two inhibitors of angiogenesis: soluble Flt-1 to inhibit VEGF receptor activity and a soluble extracellular domain of Delta-like 4 to inhibit Notch signaling and thus limit endothelial maturation. This virus more effectively suppressed tumor growth in two flank tumor models and extended survival versus a control virus, and this effect was attributed to both oncolysis and disruption of tumor vasculature. 13 Gil et al<sup>14</sup> describe an oncolytic vaccinia virus that also inhibits angiogenesis by targeting CXCR4. The microenvironment can also be targeted in a site-specific manner. In a series of studies, it has been shown that targeting transforming growth factorbeta signaling enhances the ability of oncolytic adenovirus to limit the progression of bone metastases. 15-18 Similarly, an adenovirus expressing soluble osteoprotegerin fused to the Fc portion of immunoglobulin G (IgG) is also effective at limiting progression of bone metastases.<sup>19</sup>

Another strategy is to enhance viral efficacy by arming the oncolytic platform with immune-stimulating factors. An oncolytic HSV (oHSV) armed with interleukin-12 (IL-12) more effectively inhibited the growth of breast cancer brain metastases than an unarmed control oHSV.<sup>20</sup> Another oHSV, armed with 15-prostaglandin dehydrogenase, reduced tumor growth and suppressed metastasis development by promoting antitumor immunity in an immunocompetent model.<sup>21</sup> Enhanced tumor control has also been described for oncolytic adenoviruses armed with IL-24 and with CD40 ligand. 22,23 Other immune-stimulating factors used to arm oncolytic viruses include IL-2 in an oncolytic NDV<sup>24</sup> and an oncolytic measles virus armed with Helicobacter pylori neutrophilactivating protein.25

Several investigators have sought to enhance oncolytic virus potency by arming viruses with factors intended to either increase viral replication or enhance killing of infected cells. An oHSV armed with inhibitor of growth 4 exhibited enhanced replication in breast cancer cells in vivo.26 An oncolytic adenovirus armed with tumor necrosis

factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) was shown to be effective against triple-negative breast cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo. <sup>27</sup> Other oncolytic adenoviruses have been armed with factors for modulating or targeting cellular stress responses, such as p53, <sup>28</sup> heat shock transcription factor 1, <sup>29</sup> and mortalin. <sup>30</sup> Finally, genes for prodrug-converting enzymes have been used to arm several oncolytic viruses used in breast cancer studies, including vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), <sup>31</sup> vaccinia virus, <sup>32</sup> and adenovirus. <sup>33</sup> Overall, these studies demonstrate that the efficacy of an oncolytic platform can be made more potent by the inclusion of an anticancer transgene.

## Combination therapies

Oncolytic viruses have been used in a number of combinatorial therapeutic strategies to increase their effectiveness against breast cancer. Of particular interest are studies in which virotherapy has been combined with agents that have already been used in breast cancer patients. The microtubuletargeting chemotherapeutic agent paclitaxel was shown to increase viral uptake and cytotoxicity of an IL-24-expressing adenovirus, without altering viral replication.<sup>22</sup> Similarly, paclitaxel in combination with the oHSV G47Δ led to increased tumor cell apoptosis without changes in viral replication, and this yielded a synergistic inhibition of tumor growth in vivo.<sup>34</sup> In another study, paclitaxel was used in a regimen to induce tumor cell senescence and was combined with oncolytic measles virus; this combination more effectively mediated growth inhibition of breast cancer cells than either treatment alone.35 Another chemotherapeutic agent, doxorubicin, was used in combination with a Type-2 oHSV to yield enhanced tumor growth suppression in a subcutaneous syngeneic model<sup>36</sup> and in combination with coxsackievirus A21.37 Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody targeted against VEGF, has been used in breast cancer patients with mixed results. However, a combination therapy of the oHSV HF10 with bevacizumab yielded synergistic antitumor activity in a preclinical model.38

Several agents that have been used in clinical trials for breast cancer have also been demonstrated to enhance oncolytic virotherapy. Inhibitors of histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes can have multiple antitumor effects and are currently being investigated in clinical trials for breast cancer and other tumor types.<sup>39</sup> HDAC inhibitors have been shown to suppress the interferon-mediated antiviral response<sup>40</sup> and thus have attracted attention as a potential combination for virotherapy with oHSV.<sup>41–43</sup> In accordance with these earlier studies, it has been shown that HDAC inhibitors increase oHSV replication in a panel of breast cancer cell lines but

do not alter replication in normal breast epithelial cells, an effect that was attributed to inhibition of Class I HDACs in particular.<sup>44</sup> Inhibitors of heat shock proteins (HSPs) are also in clinical trials as cancer therapeutics. 45 HSP inhibition has been shown to enhance the cytopathic effect of an oncolytic measles virus in breast cancer cells without altering toxicity in normal cells. 46 Combination of an oHSV with the chemotherapeutic drug mitoxantrone yielded enhanced survival in an immunocompetent model by enhancing the immunogenicity of the dying tumor cells and increasing the infiltration of neutrophils and CD8+T cells into treated tumors.<sup>47</sup> Sunitinib is a receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor that targets multiple intracellular pathways. In a study by Jha et al,48 the combination of sunitinib and oncolytic VSV led to the complete elimination of flank tumors in a syngeneic immunocompetent model.<sup>48</sup> The results of this study further suggested that the enhanced effect was a result of the suppression of innate immune pathways by sunitinib. Thalidomide, which has been investigated for anticancer properties as a monotherapy, 49 was used in combination with a fusogenic oHSV to enhance suppression of tumor growth and metastasis to the lungs in an immunocompetent model.50

A number of other agents in clinical use for other disease processes have nonetheless proved useful for improving the potency of oncolytic viruses against breast cancer. The vaccinia virus GLV-1h153 expresses a transgene for the human sodium iodide symporter. In an orthotopic model of triple-negative breast cancer, a combination therapy of the radionuclide 131 I and GLV-1h153 was shown to increase tumor regression six fold versus the virus-only treatment group.<sup>51</sup> In addition to this therapeutic approach, the same virus was demonstrated via positron emission tomography to be useful in combination with 124I in identifying and controlling residual tumor at surgical margins, in an orthotopic tumor model.<sup>52</sup> The prodrug 5-fluorocytosine used in combination with an oncolytic VSV expressing a cytosine deaminase/ uracil phosphoribosyltransferase "suicide" transgene has been shown to enhance antitumor activity and improve survival of tumor-bearing mice.<sup>31</sup> Finally, the hypertension drug losartan has been shown to inhibit collagen I synthesis from mammary carcinoma-associated fibroblasts in vitro and improve intratumoral spread of oHSV by reducing tumor fibrosis (results obtained in non-breast cancer models but may imply effectiveness in a breast cancer setting as well).53

Other investigators have demonstrated proof of principle that agents with potential clinical application may be of use in future combination strategies with oncolytic virotherapy. In particular, combination therapies that target

components of the cytoskeleton can enhance the efficacy of certain oncolytic viruses. A small molecule inhibitor (Y27632) of Rho signaling enhanced measles virus replication in breast cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo, in a flank xenograft model.<sup>54</sup> Irwin et al<sup>55</sup> demonstrated that cell-to-cell spread of myxoma virus can be similarly enhanced by disrupting the cortical actin cytoskeleton, as shown both by chemical inhibition and by the generation of a mutant myxoma virus expressing the vaccinia virus F11 protein. Accordingly, the mutant virus exerted greater tumor growth inhibition in an orthotopic model versus the unarmed control virus, in both injected and uninjected tumors. Other investigators have shown that replication of oHSV can be enhanced in vitro by inhibitors of MEK<sup>56</sup> or by inhibitors of caspases.<sup>57</sup>

In total, the combination strategies summarized here illustrate the point that the antitumor efficacy of oncolytic viruses can be enhanced by a variety of other agents. However, caution must be taken to not interpret these findings too broadly. Whether or not a particular drug will enhance virotherapy for breast cancer must be carefully tested on a case-by-case basis. Studies of combination strategies in other cancers indicate that the effectiveness of a combination depends upon the cell line, the virus, the relative timing of each agent, and the mechanism of action of the compound. Comprehensive reviews of oncolytic viruses in combination therapies for cancer in general are given elsewhere, 58-62 with a few examples of these complex interactions provided here. For instance, the combination of temozolomide and oHSV was synergistic in certain glioma cell lines but antagonistic in others. 63 In thyroid cancer cells, paclitaxel enhanced oHSV cell killing, while doxorubicin mediated either additive or antagonistic effects.<sup>64</sup> Moreover, whether or not a compound enhances viral replication in vitro does not always reflect whether or not antitumor efficacy in vivo is enhanced. In a pancreatic cancer model, gemcitabine inhibited the replication of two different oHSVs in vitro but nonetheless increased cytotoxicity overall. However, in vivo, the combination enhanced antitumor activity of one virus but decreased that of the other.<sup>65</sup> In pancreatic cancer cells, 5-fluorouracil inhibited oncolysis mediated by wild-type HSV but enhanced oncolysis of the oHSV NV1066.66,67 For breast cancer, it may be that the most effective compounds for enhancing virotherapy are yet to be identified. A high-throughput screen of compounds in the murine mammary carcinoma line 4T1 identified a novel compound that enhanced VSV replication in this otherwise-VSV-resistant cell line. 68 A similar approach might be taken to identify compounds that enhance the effectiveness of other oncolytic viruses as well.

## Systemic efficacy

The key challenge in the treatment of breast cancer is the targeted destruction of disseminated metastases. Initial preclinical virotherapy studies have generally involved local or regional delivery of oncolytic agents to individual tumor sites, an approach that would obviously not be ideal for situations in which patients presented with multiple or inaccessible lesions. Despite the challenges associated with systemic delivery of oncolytic viruses (liver uptake, binding to and inactivation by serum factors, etc.), the potential utility of this approach has been demonstrated for several viral platforms, both in terms of systemic delivery and the ability of locally delivered viruses to mediate systemic antitumor effects. Clinical application of this approach has shown it to be generally well tolerated in patients with multiple tumor types.<sup>69</sup>

Intravenous (IV) injection has been used to deliver several types of oncolytic viruses in breast cancer-targeted studies, including both viruses with native tropism and those modified for the purposes of targeting. Proof of principle validating IV delivery as a viable option for metastasis therapy has been shown in studies in which viruses are systemically delivered to primary breast tumors. IV delivery to orthotopic xenografts has been shown with both native and capsid-modified oncolytic adenoviruses.<sup>70,71</sup> Jing et al<sup>72</sup> described a measles virus targeted to the urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR). IV delivery of this virus mediated tumor growth suppression and extended survival in an orthotopic xenograft model. Oncolytic vaccinia virus can also be delivered IV to suppress tumor growth, as shown using the virus GLV-1h68 in a flank tumor model.73 In subsequent studies, the same virus eradicated orthotopic xenograft tumors when delivered IV<sup>74</sup> or by retro-orbital injection to orthotopic tumors derived from breast cancer stem-like cells.75 Another vaccinia virus, GLV-1h153, eliminated primary tumors when delivered intratumorally or IV, and prevented the development of systemic metastases in a model of triple-negative breast cancer. 76 As impressive as these results are, still more encouraging are studies in which oncolytic viruses have reduced the growth of metastases<sup>77</sup> or have been delivered to established systemic metastases. Iankov et al78 report the delivery of a nontargeted oncolytic measles virus to pleural metastases by both direct intrapleural administration and IV administration, improving survival in treated mice. The uPAR-targeted measles virus mentioned above has also been used against systemic metastases, as survival of tumor-bearing mice was extended by the IV delivery of this virus in both syngeneic immunocompetent and xenograft models of lung metastasis.<sup>79</sup>

Several other oncolytic viruses have demonstrated systemic efficacy against lung metastases. IV delivery of a TRAILarmed adenovirus more effectively suppressed the growth of established lung metastases and led to extended survival in a xenograft model versus a control virus that lacked TRAIL,<sup>27</sup> and similar results have been observed with IV delivery of an IL-24-expressing adenovirus. 80 Fernandez et al 81 demonstrated efficacy against established lung metastases, including improved survival, with both an IL-4-expressing and a thymidine kinase-expressing mutant VSV following IV administration to tumor-bearing immunocompetent mice. Similarly, IV administration of a mutant interferon-inducing VSV inhibited the growth of established lung metastases, extending survival of mice versus mock treatment in an immunocompetent model.82 An oncolytic vaccinia virus expressing a CXCR4 antagonist was designed to target tumor vasculature.<sup>14</sup> This virus not only mediated greater growth inhibition and tumor vasculature disruption in primary tumors than a control virus when given IV, but also more effectively limited the growth of lung metastases and enhanced survival, when given either preoperatively or postoperatively in an experiment involving surgical removal of primary tumors. Moreover, mice that remained tumor free following treatment showed a significant resistance to tumor rechallenge, suggesting that therapy had stimulated antitumor immune activity.<sup>14</sup> Oncolytic HSV has also been delivered IV, as demonstrated in a study by Wang et al, 83 in which mice given G47Δ oHSV had nine fold fewer metastatic lung lesions than mock-treated mice. IV administration of coxsackievirus A21 has demonstrated activity against primary tumors and has been reported to eliminate metastases. 84 Finally, IV administration of oncolytic viruses is not limited to delivery to the lung. In a series of studies, oncolytic adenoviruses expressing a soluble transforming growth factor-beta receptor II fused to the human IgG1 Fc fragment have been delivered to established bone metastases by IV injection. In each case, viral therapy limited the progression of bone lesions and the associated tumor-mediated bone destruction in both immunodeficient xenograft<sup>16,17</sup> and syngeneic immunocompetent models. 18 In a similar study, an unarmed chimeric adenovirus mutated to avoid liver uptake achieved comparable results with reduced liver toxicity versus an unmodified control virus.85

Local and/or regional delivery of oncolytic viruses to various metastatic sites has also been reported. An oHSV targeted to human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) given intraperitoneally reduced the growth of peritoneal metastases. Interestingly, treated mice also exhibited reduced development of brain metastases. <sup>86</sup> Intratibial delivery of an

oncolytic adenovirus armed with a transgene consisting of osteoprotegerin fused to the Fc portion of IgG reduced the progression of established bone metastases and limited tumor-mediated bone destruction. <sup>19</sup> Regional delivery methods have been used to target brain metastases, including direct injection of an IL-12-expressing oHSV into metastatic lesions<sup>20,87</sup> or both intracranial and intrathecal delivery of oncolytic poliovirus. <sup>88</sup> Additionally, brain metastases have been targeted by intracarotid administration of the oHSV G47Δ. <sup>89</sup> In that study, disruption of the blood–brain barrier by the prior administration of mannitol was used to improve delivery of the oHSV and to yield a survival benefit in treated mice.

In addition to IV delivery, some oncolytic viruses have shown an ability to exert a systemic effect even when injected intratumorally, as described above for oncolytic vaccinia virus. 76 In a bilateral orthotopic xenograft model, oncolytic reovirus mediated regressions in both injected and uninjected tumors. 90 An oHSV expressing 15-prostaglandin dehydrogenase, when administered to primary tumors, inhibited both tumor growth and the formation of lung metastases in an immunocompetent model.21 In some cases, tumor treatment led to lasting antitumor immunity. The oHSV 1716 was used to treat primary tumors in an immunocompetent model. While tumor growth suppression was moderate, there was nonetheless an extension of survival and a reduction in the number of lung metastases, and treated mice resisted tumor rechallenge.91 Injection of the oHSV KM100 into flank tumors led to complete tumor regressions in the majority of treated animals and conferred a resistance to tumor rechallenge, in an immunocompetent model. 92 Israyelyan et al 93,94 constructed oHSV with either one or two mutations intended to induce syncytia formation among infected breast cancer cells. Both viruses suppressed tumor growth when injected intratumorally and reduced the incidence of metastases in multiple internal organs. 94 An oncolytic adenovirus expressing beta-defensin-2 mediated intratumoral infiltration and activation of dendritic cells; treatment of primary tumors with this virus suppressed tumor growth and inhibited the formation of lung and liver metastases. Furthermore, treated mice were resistant to tumor rechallenge.95

#### Clinical studies

Several oncolytic agents have advanced to clinical trials, for a variety of cancers. <sup>96</sup> Although a clinical trial specific for breast cancer patients has not yet been conducted, breast cancer patients have been included in trials involving solid tumors (Table 1). In a Phase I trial, patients with cutaneous or subcutaneous metastatic tumor deposits (including 14 breast cancer

Cody and Hurst Dovepress

Table I Clinical trials of oncolytic viruses involving breast cancer patients

Virus designation	Virus type	Phase, indication*	Status	Reference#
OncoVexGM-CSF	HSV	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 17121894 <sup>97</sup>
(Talimogene laherparepvec)				
Ad3-hTERT-ETA	Adenovirus	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 2287166798
HFI0	HSV	Pilot, breast	Completed	PMID 16865590 <sup>99</sup>
HFI0	HSV	Pilot, breast	Completed	PMID 22193629100
Onyx-015	Adenovirus	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 17704755 <sup>134</sup>
Telomelysin	Adenovirus	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 19935775 <sup>135</sup>
PV70I	NDV	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 16638865 <sup>136</sup>
PV701	NDV	l, solid tumors	Completed	PMID 11980996137
CAVATAK	Coxsackievirus A21	l, solid tumors**	Completed	NCT00636558
vvDD-CDSR	Vaccinia	l, solid tumors**	Ongoing	NCT00574977
HFI0	HSV	l, solid tumors**	Ongoing	NCT01017185
ColoAdI	Adenovirus	I/II, solid tumors	Recruiting	NCT02028442
VCN-01	Adenovirus	l, solid tumors	Recruiting	NCT02045602
Reolysin	Reovirus	II, breast	Recruiting	NCT01656538
GL-ONCI (GLV-Ih68)	Vaccinia	I, solid tumors	Recruiting	NCT00794131

Notes: \*Solid tumors included breast cancer patients; \*\*these studies specifically recruited breast cancer patients among a variety of other tumor types; \*NCT number is the identifier number on ClinicalTrials.gov.

Abbreviations: HSV, herpes simplex virus; hTERT, human telomerase reverse transcriptase; NDV, Newcastle disease virus; PMID, PubMed unique identifier number.

patients) were given the oHSV OncoVEX GM-CSF.97 Overall, safety and evidence of viral efficacy (transgene expression and viral-associated tumor necrosis) were demonstrated. Some breast cancer patients showed stable disease in the injected lesions and/or shrinkage of both injected and adjacent uninjected lesions. Hemminki et al98 reported safety and potential efficacy in a trial for chemotherapy-refractory tumors, including five breast cancer patients given a human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT)-selective type 3 oncolytic adenovirus, and this effect was enhanced by concomitant trastuzumab administration. For two of these patients, the complete viral dose had been given through the IV route (the others received partial IV doses). The oHSV HF10 has been used in a pilot study involving direct intratumoral injection in six patients with recurrent breast cancer. 99 A follow-up study showed histological evidence of viral replication and CD8+ lymphocyte infiltration in injected tumors. 100 Perhaps lending support to additional clinical trials, evidence of activity of several oncolytic viruses has also been demonstrated in studies involving primary tumor tissue cultured ex vivo, including reovirus, 90 adenovirus, 101-105 and parvovirus H-1.106 These studies may provide a basis for bridging the gap in efficacy often observed between preclinical and clinical studies for oncolytic viruses.

In addition to direct administration to patients, there is evidence that oncolytic viruses may have an additional clinical application based on their ability to eliminate contaminating tumor cells from bone marrow samples in patients given autologous grafts. <sup>107</sup> Proof of principle for potential application to breast cancer has been shown using both reovirus

(in a study<sup>108</sup> in which breast cancer cell lines were admixed with patient apheresis products) and an oHSV.<sup>109</sup>

## Overcoming challenges

Many of the challenges for successful oncolytic virotherapy of metastatic breast cancer are the same as those for virotherapy in general and have been reviewed in detail elsewhere. 7,8,110 Accordingly, the strategies devised to overcome those challenges for other tumor types will likely be directly applicable or readily adaptable to the metastatic breast cancer setting.111 These strategies can be organized into three broad categories: enhancing systemic delivery, promoting efficient intratumoral spread (overcoming matrix barriers, diffusion gradients, and poor viral replication), and limiting the antiviral immune response. For breast cancer in particular, advances have been made on all these fronts. Despite the progress already attained with current oncolytic agents described in this review, vascular delivery remains an obstacle to successful virotherapy, as the bulk of a systemically delivered viral dose is sequestered by the liver and spleen. Efforts to modify the infectivity of adenovirus have perhaps been at the forefront of oncolytic virus applications. In particular, the generation of chimeric adenoviruses with composite fiber proteins derived from multiple serotypes can improve systemic delivery, as shown with an Ad5/35 chimeric virus.<sup>28</sup> Delivery of a chimeric Ad5/3, with reduced liver uptake, to orthotopic tumors was further improved by pharmacological pretreatment of mice to ablate coagulation factors, Kupffer cells, and thrombocytes. 112 Another chimeric virus, Ad5/48, displayed reduced liver uptake and

68 submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Oncolytic Virotherapy 2015:4

greater delivery to bone metastases when given IV, leading to inhibition of tumor progression. Strumor uptake and penetration of oncolytic adenovirus can also be improved by combining polymer coating of the virus to reduce hepatic sequestration and improve circulation half-life with focused ultrasonography to enhance tumor extravasation. This combination led to enhanced suppression of tumor growth and increased survival of tumor-bearing mice. Sinally, the delivery of oncolytic adenovirus using mesenchymal stem cells has also been described.

Other oncolytic viruses have been targeted directly to receptors often overexpressed in breast cancer. Both oHSV and VSV mutants have been generated to target HER-2.86,115,116 Efforts are also under way to improve the replication and spread of oncolytic viruses within tumors. Efficient replication has been shown to be critical for late-phase antitumor responses mediated by vaccinia virus.<sup>117</sup> It has also been shown that breast cancer cells in three-dimensional culture can be more resistant to HSV-1 replication than those in traditional monolayer culture, and this was attributed to interference of the extracellular matrix with viral spread. 118 In some cases, tumor cells of a certain phenotype may be resistant to oncolytic virus replication or can acquire resistance over time. A study by Bazan-Peregrino et al,119 in which multiple adenoviral mutants were compared for effectiveness in breast cancer, may provide a template for identifying more effective oncolytic agents. Similarly, a study by Song et al, 120 in colon and hepatic carcinoma cells, identified gene signatures associated with tumor cell resistance to oHSV that may provide a guide for developing countermeasures that can enhance virotherapy of breast cancer.

Finally, antiviral immunity within the tumor microenvironment has been shown to be a key limitation of the effectiveness of some oncolytic viruses; a study of VSV in several tumor cell lines, including breast cancer, demonstrated that macrophages present in the microenvironment stimulate a Type I interferon response that renders otherwise-susceptible tumor cells resistant to viral replication. <sup>121</sup> However, this antiviral state could be reversed by pharmacological inhibition of JAK signaling. The interaction of oncolytic viruses with the immune system is complex, however. Whereas defects in innate immunity can promote viral replication, <sup>122</sup> an efficient adaptive immune response can be an important component of the antitumor effect mediated by oncolytic viruses. <sup>123</sup>

## **Future directions**

As the field of oncolytic virotherapy continues to mature, new oncolytic viruses are constantly being identified. 124

As an example, most studies with oHSV have thus far been based on an HSV-1 platform, but HSV-2 can also be engineered into an oncolytic virus.36,125 Furthermore, an oncolytic bovine herpes virus type 1 with activity against a range of breast cancer subtypes has also been described. 126 Likewise, while most oncolytic adenovirus constructs have been based upon serotype 5, a number of other serotypes exist as possible oncolytic platforms for breast cancer. 127,128 Recently, a method has been described in which experimental evolution was used to develop an oncolytic VSV highly selective for p53-deficient tumor cells. This selective process was shown to yield a virus more potent than the parental strain in a syngeneic model. 129 Similarly, reverse genetics was used to guide the engineering of a novel measles virus that more effectively infects breast cancer cells. 130 Novel oncolytic vaccinia viruses with activity against breast cancer are also being developed. 131 Aside from the identification of new oncolytic viruses, modifications to existing viruses will also continue to improve their utility against breast cancer. In particular, it is likely that many future oncolytic viruses will include transgenes allowing the real-time monitoring of efficacy by noninvasive imaging.<sup>132</sup> Eisenberg et al,<sup>133</sup> in a proof-of-principle study, demonstrated the utility of using a green fluorescent protein-expressing oHSV to assess surgical efficacy, as intratumoral administration of the oHSV enabled the detection of axillary lymph node metastases.

### **Conclusion**

Oncolytic virotherapy, as a field, has rapidly advanced in a relatively short period of time. Early efforts to destroy tumors by viral oncolysis alone have graduated into efforts in which the potency of the viral platform is enhanced by the inclusion of therapeutic transgenes or in combination with other agents. Utilizing improved viral constructs that can be delivered systemically will lead to effective treatments for metastatic breast cancer patients.

# Acknowledgment

The Hurst laboratory is funded by the American Cancer Society (RSG-11-259-01-CSM) and METAvivor Research and Support, Inc.

#### **Disclosure**

JJC is an employee of ImQuest BioSciences. DRH reports no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015;65(1):5–29.

Cody and Hurst Dovepress

- Bowles EJ, Wellman R, Feigelson HS, et al; Pharmacovigilance Study Team. Risk of heart failure in breast cancer patients after anthracycline and trastuzumab treatment: a retrospective cohort study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104(17):1293–1305.
- Darby SC, Ewertz M, McGale P, et al. Risk of ischemic heart disease in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(11):987–998.
- Vardy J, Rourke S, Tannock IF. Evaluation of cognitive function associated with chemotherapy: a review of published studies and recommendations for future research. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(17):2455–2463.
- Vardy J, Tannock I. Cognitive function after chemotherapy in adults with solid tumours. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2007;63(3):183–202.
- Steeg PS. Perspective: the right trials. *Nature*. 2012;485(7400): S58–S59.
- Alemany R. Viruses in cancer treatment. Clin Transl Oncol. 2013;15(3): 182–188.
- Russell SJ, Peng KW, Bell JC. Oncolytic virotherapy. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30(7):658–670.
- Ilkow CS, Swift SL, Bell JC, Diallo JS. From scourge to cure: tumourselective viral pathogenesis as a new strategy against cancer. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014;10(1):e1003836.
- Kelly E, Russell SJ. History of oncolytic viruses: genesis to genetic engineering. Mol Ther. 2007;15(4):651–659.
- Hunter P. The fourth front against cancer. The first clinical trials to test engineered viruses that attack tumour cells have yielded promising results for future cancer therapies. EMBO Rep. 2011;12(8):769–771.
- Gholami S, Marano A, Chen NG, et al. A novel vaccinia virus with dual oncolytic and anti-angiogenic therapeutic effects against triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2014;148(3):489–499.
- Bazan-Peregrino M, Sainson RC, Carlisle RC, et al. Combining virotherapy and angiotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2013;20(8):461–468.
- Gil M, Seshadri M, Komorowski MP, Abrams SI, Kozbor D. Targeting CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling with oncolytic virotherapy disrupts tumor vasculature and inhibits breast cancer metastases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A. 2013;110(14):E1291–E1300.
- Seth P, Wang ZG, Pister A, et al. Development of oncolytic adenovirus armed with a fusion of soluble transforming growth factor-beta receptor II and human immunoglobulin Fc for breast cancer therapy. Hum Gene Ther. 2006;17(11):1152–1160.
- Hu Z, Zhang Z, Guise T, Seth P. Systemic delivery of an oncolytic adenovirus expressing soluble transforming growth factor-beta receptor II-Fc fusion protein can inhibit breast cancer bone metastasis in a mouse model. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2010;21(11):1623–1629.
- Hu Z, Gerseny H, Zhang Z, et al. Oncolytic adenovirus expressing soluble TGFbeta receptor II-Fc-mediated inhibition of established bone metastases: a safe and effective systemic therapeutic approach for breast cancer. *Mol Ther*. 2011;19(9):1609–1618.
- 18. Zhang Z, Hu Z, Gupta J, et al. Intravenous administration of adenoviruses targeting transforming growth factor beta signaling inhibits established bone metastases in 4T1 mouse mammary tumor model in an immunocompetent syngeneic host. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(9):630–636.
- Cody JJ, Rivera AA, Lyons GR, et al. Arming a replicating adenovirus with osteoprotegerin reduces the tumor burden in a murine model of osteolytic bone metastases of breast cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2010;17(12):893–905.
- Cody JJ, Scaturro P, Cantor AB, Yancey Gillespie G, Parker JN, Markert JM. Preclinical evaluation of oncolytic deltagamma(1)34.5 herpes simplex virus expressing interleukin-12 for therapy of breast cancer brain metastases. *Int J Breast Cancer*. 2012;2012;628697.
- Walker JD, Sehgal I, Kousoulas KG. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus 1 encoding 15-prostaglandin dehydrogenase mitigates immune suppression and reduces ectopic primary and metastatic breast cancer in mice. *J Virol*. 2011;85(14):7363–7371.
- Fang L, Cheng Q, Bai J, et al. An oncolytic adenovirus expressing interleukin-24 enhances antitumor activities in combination with paclitaxel in breast cancer cells. *Mol Med Rep.* 2013;8(5):1416–1424.

 Gomes EM, Rodrigues MS, Phadke AP, et al. Antitumor activity of an oncolytic adenoviral-CD40 ligand (CD154) transgene construct in human breast cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15(4): 1317–1325.

- Zhao H, Janke M, Fournier P, Schirrmacher V. Recombinant Newcastle disease virus expressing human interleukin-2 serves as a potential candidate for tumor therapy. *Virus Res.* 2008;136(1–2):75–80.
- Iankov ID, Allen C, Federspiel MJ, et al. Expression of immunomodulatory neutrophil-activating protein of *Helicobacter pylori* enhances the antitumor activity of oncolytic measles virus. *Mol Ther*. 2012;20(6):1139–1147.
- Conner J, Braidwood L. Expression of inhibitor of growth 4 by HSV1716 improves oncolytic potency and enhances efficacy. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(7):499–507.
- Zhu W, Zhang H, Shi Y, Song M, Zhu B, Wei L. Oncolytic adenovirus encoding tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL) inhibits the growth and metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2013;14(11):1016–1023.
- He X, Liu J, Yang C, et al. 5/35 fiber-modified conditionally replicative adenovirus armed with p53 shows increased tumor-suppressing capacity to breast cancer cells. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2011;22(3):283–292.
- Wang C, Dai Z, Fan R, Deng Y, Lv G, Lu G. HSF1 overexpression enhances oncolytic effect of replicative adenovirus. *J Transl Med*. 2010:8:44.
- Yoo JY, Ryu J, Gao R, et al. Tumor suppression by apoptotic and antiangiogenic effects of mortalin-targeting adeno-oncolytic virus. *J Gene Med*. 2010;12(7):586–595.
- Leveille S, Samuel S, Goulet ML, Hiscott J. Enhancing VSV oncolytic activity with an improved cytosine deaminase suicide gene strategy. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2011;18(6):435–443.
- Seubert CM, Stritzker J, Hess M, et al. Enhanced tumor therapy using vaccinia virus strain GLV-1h68 in combination with a betagalactosidase-activatable prodrug seco-analog of duocarmycin SA. Cancer Gene Ther. 2011;18(1):42–52.
- Dong X, Qu W, Ma S, et al. Potent antitumoral effects of targeted promoter-driven oncolytic adenovirus armed with Dm-dNK for breast cancer in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Lett.* 2013;328(1):95–103.
- 34. Zeng WG, Li JJ, Hu P, Lei L, Wang JN, Liu RB. An oncolytic herpes simplex virus vector, G47Delta, synergizes with paclitaxel in the treatment of breast cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 2013;29(6):2355–2361.
- 35. Weiland T, Lampe J, Essmann F, et al. Enhanced killing of therapy-induced senescent tumor cells by oncolytic measles vaccine viruses. *Int J Cancer*. 2014;134(1):235–243.
- 36. Zhao Q, Zhang W, Ning Z, et al. A novel oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 2 has potent anti-tumor activity. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e93103.
- Skelding KA, Barry RD, Shafren DR. Enhanced oncolysis mediated by Coxsackievirus A21 in combination with doxorubicin hydrochloride. *Invest New Drugs*. 2012;30(2):568–581.
- Tan G, Kasuya H, Sahin TT, et al. Combination therapy of oncolytic herpes simplex virus HF10 and bevacizumab against experimental model of human breast carcinoma xenograft. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(7): 1718–1730
- Smith KT, Workman JL. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: anticancer compounds. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2009;41(1):21–25.
- Chang HM, Paulson M, Holko M, et al. Induction of interferon-stimulated gene expression and antiviral responses require protein deacetylase activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(26):9578–9583.
- Liu TC, Castelo-Branco P, Rabkin SD, Martuza RL. Trichostatin A and oncolytic HSV combination therapy shows enhanced antitumoral and antiangiogenic effects. *Mol Ther*. 2008;16(6):1041–1047.
- Otsuki A, Patel A, Kasai K, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors augment antitumor efficacy of herpes-based oncolytic viruses. *Mol Ther*. 2008;16(9):1546–1555.
- Katsura T, Iwai S, Ota Y, Shimizu H, Ikuta K, Yura Y. The effects of trichostatin A on the oncolytic ability of herpes simplex virus for oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2009;16(3): 237–245.

70 submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com OncolyticVirotherapy 2015:4

- Cody JJ, Markert JM, Hurst DR. Histone deacetylase inhibitors improve the replication of oncolytic herpes simplex virus in breast cancer cells. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e92919.
- 45. Den RB, Lu B. Heat shock protein 90 inhibition: rationale and clinical potential. *Ther Adv Med Oncol*. 2012;4(4):211–218.
- Liu C, Erlichman C, McDonald CJ, et al. Heat shock protein inhibitors increase the efficacy of measles virotherapy. *Gene Ther*. 2008;15(14): 1024–1034.
- Workenhe ST, Pol JG, Lichty BD, Cummings DT, Mossman KL. Combining oncolytic HSV-1 with immunogenic cell death-inducing drug mitoxantrone breaks cancer immune tolerance and improves therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2013;1(5):309–319.
- Jha BK, Dong B, Nguyen CT, Polyakova I, Silverman RH. Suppression of antiviral innate immunity by sunitinib enhances oncolytic virotherapy. *Mol Ther.* 2013;21(9):1749–1757.
- Baidas SM, Winer EP, Fleming GF, et al. Phase II evaluation of thalidomide in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000:18(14):2710–2717.
- Israyelyan A, Shannon EJ, Baghian A, Kearney MT, Kousoulas KG. Thalidomide suppressed the growth of 4T1 cells into solid tumors in Balb/c mice in a combination therapy with the oncolytic fusogenic HSV-1 OncdSyn. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2009;64(6):1201–1210.
- Gholami S, Chen CH, Lou E, et al. Vaccinia virus GLV-1h153 in combination with 1311 shows increased efficiency in treating triple-negative breast cancer. *FASEB J.* 2014;28(2):676–682.
- Gholami S, Chen CH, Belin LJ, et al. Vaccinia virus GLV-1h153 is a novel agent for detection and effective local control of positive surgical margins for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2013;15(2):R26.
- Diop-Frimpong B, Chauhan VP, Krane S, Boucher Y, Jain RK. Losartan inhibits collagen I synthesis and improves the distribution and efficacy of nanotherapeutics in tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(7):2909–2914.
- Opyrchal M, Allen C, Msaouel P, Iankov I, Galanis E. Inhibition of Rho-associated coiled-coil-forming kinase increases efficacy of measles virotherapy. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2013;20(11):630–637.
- Irwin CR, Favis NA, Agopsowicz KC, Hitt MM, Evans DH. Myxoma virus oncolytic efficiency can be enhanced through chemical or genetic disruption of the actin cytoskeleton. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12):e84134.
- Gholami S, Chen CH, Gao S, et al. Role of MAPK in oncolytic herpes viral therapy in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2014;21(7):283–289.
- Wood LW, Shillitoe EJ. Effect of a caspase inhibitor, zVADfmk, on the inhibition of breast cancer cells by herpes simplex virus type 1. Cancer Gene Ther. 2011;18(10):685–694.
- Ottolino-Perry K, Diallo JS, Lichty BD, Bell JC, McCart JA. Intelligent design: combination therapy with oncolytic viruses. *Mol Ther*. 2010; 18(2):251–263.
- Kanai R, Wakimoto H, Cheema T, Rabkin SD. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus vectors and chemotherapy: are combinatorial strategies more effective for cancer? *Future Oncol.* 2010;6(4):619–634.
- Wennier ST, Liu J, McFadden G. Bugs and drugs: oncolytic virotherapy in combination with chemotherapy. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol*. 2012;13(9):1817–1833.
- 61. Nguyen A, Ho L, Wan Y. Chemotherapy and oncolytic virotherapy: advanced tactics in the war against cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2014;4:145.
- Forbes NE, Krishnan R, Diallo JS. Pharmacological modulation of antitumor immunity induced by oncolytic viruses. Front Oncol. 2014;4:191.
- Aghi M, Rabkin S, Martuza RL. Effect of chemotherapy-induced DNA repair on oncolytic herpes simplex viral replication. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006;98(1):38–50.
- Lin SF, Gao SP, Price DL, et al. Synergy of a herpes oncolytic virus and paclitaxel for anaplastic thyroid cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(5): 1519–1528.
- Watanabe I, Kasuya H, Nomura N, et al. Effects of tumor selective replication-competent herpes viruses in combination with gemcitabine on pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2008;61(5): 875–882.

- Kulu Y, Kawasaki H, Donahue JM, et al. Concurrent chemotherapy inhibits herpes simplex virus-1 replication and oncolysis. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2013;20(2):133–140.
- Eisenberg DP, Adusumilli PS, Hendershott KJ, et al. 5-fluorouracil and gemcitabine potentiate the efficacy of oncolytic herpes viral gene therapy in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2005;9(8):1068–1077. [discussion 1077–1069].
- Diallo JS, Le Boeuf F, Lai F, et al. A high-throughput pharmacoviral approach identifies novel oncolytic virus sensitizers. *Mol Ther*. 2010;18(6):1123–1129.
- Liu TC, Kirn D. Systemic efficacy with oncolytic virus therapeutics: clinical proof-of-concept and future directions. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(2): 429–432.
- Ranki T, Kanerva A, Ristimäki A, et al. A heparan sulfate-targeted conditionally replicative adenovirus, Ad5.pk7-Delta24, for the treatment of advanced breast cancer. *Gene Ther*. 2007;14(1):58–67.
- Ranki T, Sarkioja M, Hakkarainen T, von Smitten K, Kanerva A, Hemminki A. Systemic efficacy of oncolytic adenoviruses in imagable orthotopic models of hormone refractory metastatic breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;121(1):165–174.
- Jing Y, Tong C, Zhang J, et al. Tumor and vascular targeting of a novel oncolytic measles virus retargeted against the urokinase receptor. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(4):1459–1468.
- Chen NG, Yu YA, Zhang Q, Szalay AA. Replication efficiency of oncolytic vaccinia virus in cell cultures prognosticates the virulence and antitumor efficacy in mice. *J Transl Med*. 2011;9:164.
- Zhang Q, Yu YA, Wang E, et al. Eradication of solid human breast tumors in nude mice with an intravenously injected light-emitting oncolytic vaccinia virus. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(20):10038–10046.
- Wang H, Chen NG, Minev BR, Szalay AA. Oncolytic vaccinia virus GLV-1h68 strain shows enhanced replication in human breast cancer stem-like cells in comparison to breast cancer cells. *J Transl Med*. 2012:10:167.
- Gholami S, Chen CH, Lou E, et al. Vaccinia virus GLV-1h153 is effective in treating and preventing metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2012;256(3):437–445.
- Heise C, Hermiston T, Johnson L, et al. An adenovirus E1A mutant that demonstrates potent and selective systemic anti-tumoral efficacy. *Nat Med.* 2000;6(10):1134–1139.
- Iankov ID, Msaouel P, Allen C, et al. Demonstration of anti-tumor activity
  of oncolytic measles virus strains in a malignant pleural effusion breast
  cancer model. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010;122(3):745–754.
- Jing Y, Bejarano MT, Zaias J, Merchan JR. In vivo anti-metastatic effects of uPAR retargeted measles virus in syngeneic and xenograft models of mammary cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2015;149(1):99–108.
- Zhu W, Wei L, Zhang H, Chen J, Qin X. Oncolytic adenovirus armed with IL-24 inhibits the growth of breast cancer in vitro and in vivo. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;31:51.
- Fernandez M, Porosnicu M, Markovic D, Barber GN. Genetically engineered vesicular stomatitis virus in gene therapy: application for treatment of malignant disease. *J Virol*. 2002;76(2):895–904.
- Ebert O, Harbaran S, Shinozaki K, Woo SL. Systemic therapy of experimental breast cancer metastases by mutant vesicular stomatitis virus in immune-competent mice. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2005;12(4):350–358.
- Wang J, Hu P, Zeng M, Rabkin SD, Liu R. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2012;40(3): 757–763.
- Skelding KA, Barry RD, Shafren DR. Systemic targeting of metastatic human breast tumor xenografts by Coxsackievirus A21. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2009;113(1):21–30.
- Zhang Z, Krimmel J, Zhang Z, Hu Z, Seth P. Systemic delivery of a novel liver-detargeted oncolytic adenovirus causes reduced liver toxicity but maintains the antitumor response in a breast cancer bone metastasis model. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2011;22(9):1137–1142.
- Nanni P, Gatta V, Menotti L, et al. Preclinical therapy of disseminated HER-2(+) ovarian and breast carcinomas with a HER-2-retargeted oncolytic herpesvirus. *PLoS Pathog*. 2013;9(1):e1003155.

Cody and Hurst Dovepress

 Liu RB, Rabkin SD. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus vectors for the treatment of human breast cancer. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2005;118(4): 307–312.

- Ochiai H, Moore SA, Archer GE, et al. Treatment of intracerebral neoplasia and neoplastic meningitis with regional delivery of oncolytic recombinant poliovirus. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10(14):4831–4838.
- Liu R, Martuza RL, Rabkin SD. Intracarotid delivery of oncolytic HSV vector G47Delta to metastatic breast cancer in the brain. *Gene Ther*. 2005;12(8):647–654.
- Norman KL, Coffey MC, Hirasawa K, et al. Reovirus oncolysis of human breast cancer. Hum Gene Ther. 2002;13(5):641–652.
- Thomas DL, Fraser NW. HSV-1 therapy of primary tumors reduces the number of metastases in an immune-competent model of metastatic breast cancer. *Mol Ther*. 2003;8(4):543–551.
- Hummel JL, Safroneeva E, Mossman KL. The role of ICP0-Null HSV-1 and interferon signaling defects in the effective treatment of breast adenocarcinoma. *Mol Ther*. 2005;12(6):1101–1110.
- 93. Israyelyan AH, Melancon JM, Lomax LG, et al. Effective treatment of human breast tumor in a mouse xenograft model with herpes simplex virus type 1 specifying the NV1020 genomic deletion and the gBsyn3 syncytial mutation enabling high viral replication and spread in breast cancer cells. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2007;18(5):457–473.
- 94. Israyelyan A, Chouljenko VN, Baghian A, David AT, Kearney MT, Kousoulas KG. Herpes simplex virus type-1(HSV-1) oncolytic and highly fusogenic mutants carrying the NV1020 genomic deletion effectively inhibit primary and metastatic tumors in mice. *Virol J.* 2008:5:68.
- Lapteva N, Aldrich M, Rollins L, et al. Attraction and activation of dendritic cells at the site of tumor elicits potent antitumor immunity. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17(9):1626–1636.
- Pol J, Bloy N, Obrist F, et al. Trial watch: oncolytic viruses for cancer therapy. *Oncoimmunology*. 2014;3:e28694.
- Hu JC, Coffin RS, Davis CJ, et al. A phase I study of OncoVEXGM-CSF, a second-generation oncolytic herpes simplex virus expressing granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2006;12(22):6737–6747.
- Hemminki O, Diaconu I, Cerullo V, et al. Ad3-hTERT-E1A, a fully serotype 3 oncolytic adenovirus, in patients with chemotherapy refractory cancer. *Mol Ther*. 2012;20(9):1821–1830.
- Kimata H, Imai T, Kikumori T, et al. Pilot study of oncolytic viral therapy using mutant herpes simplex virus (HF10) against recurrent metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2006;13(8):1078–1084.
- 100. Sahin TT, Kasuya H, Nomura N, et al. Impact of novel oncolytic virus HF10 on cellular components of the tumor microenviroment in patients with recurrent breast cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(4): 229–237.
- 101. Wang Y, Thorne S, Hannock J, et al. A novel assay to assess primary human cancer infectibility by replication-selective oncolytic adenoviruses. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11(1):351–360.
- 102. Stoff-Khalili MA, Rivera AA, Stoff A, et al. Combining high selectivity of replication via CXCR4 promoter with fiber chimerism for effective adenoviral oncolysis in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;120(4): 935–941.
- Eriksson M, Guse K, Bauerschmitz G, et al. Oncolytic adenoviruses kill breast cancer initiating CD44+CD24-/low cells. *Mol Ther*. 2007; 15(12):2088–2093.
- 104. Pennington K, Chu QD, Curiel DT, Li BD, Mathis JM. The utility of a tissue slice model system to determine breast cancer infectivity by oncolytic adenoviruses. J Surg Res. 2010;163(2):270–275.
- Hallden G. Adenoviral gene expression and replication in human tumor explant models. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2012;797:65–78.
- Muharram G, Le Rhun E, Loison I, et al. Parvovirus H-1 induces cytopathic effects in breast carcinoma-derived cultures. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010;121(1):23–33.
- Thirukkumaran CM, Russell JA, Stewart DA, Morris DG. Viral purging of haematological autografts: should we sneeze on the graft? *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2007;40(1):1–12.

 Thirukkumaran CM, Luider JM, Stewart DA, et al. Biological purging of breast cancer cell lines using a replication-competent oncolytic virus in human stem cell autografts. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2005;35(11): 1055–1064.

- Hu JC, Booth MJ, Tripuraneni G, et al. A novel HSV-1 virus, JS1/34.5-/47-, purges contaminating breast cancer cells from bone marrow. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(22):6853–6862.
- Vacchelli E, Eggermont A, Sautès-Fridman C, et al. Trial watch: oncolytic viruses for cancer therapy. *Oncoimmunology*. 2013; 2(6):e24612.
- 111. Miest TS, Cattaneo R. New viruses for cancer therapy: meeting clinical needs. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2014;12(1):23–34.
- 112. Koski A, Rajecki M, Guse K, et al. Systemic adenoviral gene delivery to orthotopic murine breast tumors with ablation of coagulation factors, thrombocytes and Kupffer cells. *J Gene Med*. 2009;11(11): 966–977.
- Carlisle R, Choi J, Bazan-Peregrino M, et al. Enhanced tumor uptake and penetration of virotherapy using polymer stealthing and focused ultrasound. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2013;105(22):1701–1710.
- 114. Dembinski JL, Spaeth EL, Fueyo J, et al. Reduction of nontarget infection and systemic toxicity by targeted delivery of conditionally replicating viruses transported in mesenchymal stem cells. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2010;17(4):289–297.
- 115. Menotti L, Cerretani A, Campadelli-Fiume G. A herpes simplex virus recombinant that exhibits a single-chain antibody to HER2/neu enters cells through the mammary tumor receptor, independently of the gD receptors. J Virol. 2006;80(11):5531–5539.
- Bergman I, Griffin JA, Gao Y, Whitaker-Dowling P. Treatment of implanted mammary tumors with recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus targeted to Her2/neu. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;121(2):425–430.
- 117. Weibel S, Raab V, Yu YA, et al. Viral-mediated oncolysis is the most critical factor in the late-phase of the tumor regression process upon vaccinia virus infection. *BMC Cancer*. 2011;11:68.
- 118. Voros A, Kormos B, Valyi-Nagy T, Valyi-Nagy K. Increased resistance of breast, prostate, and embryonic carcinoma cells against herpes simplex virus in three-dimensional cultures. *ISRN Oncol*. 2013;2013:104913.
- Bazan-Peregrino M, Carlisle RC, Hernandez-Alcoceba R, et al. Comparison of molecular strategies for breast cancer virotherapy using oncolytic adenovirus. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2008;19(9):873–886.
- 120. Song TJ, Haddad D, Adusumilli P, et al. Molecular network pathways and functional analysis of tumor signatures associated with development of resistance to viral gene therapy. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(1):38–48.
- Liu YP, Suksanpaisan L, Steele MB, Russell SJ, Peng KW. Induction of antiviral genes by the tumor microenvironment confers resistance to virotherapy. Sci Rep. 2013;3:2375.
- 122. Ahtiainen L, Mirantes C, Jahkola T, et al. Defects in innate immunity render breast cancer initiating cells permissive to oncolytic adenovirus. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(11):e13859.
- 123. Sobol PT, Boudreau JE, Stephenson K, Wan Y, Lichty BD, Mossman KL. Adaptive antiviral immunity is a determinant of the therapeutic success of oncolytic virotherapy. *Mol Ther*. 2011;19(2): 335–344.
- Stanford MM, Bell JC, Vaha-Koskela MJ. Novel oncolytic viruses: riding high on the next wave? *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2010; 21(2–3):177–183.
- 125. Fu X, Tao L, Cai R, Prigge J, Zhang X. A mutant type 2 herpes simplex virus deleted for the protein kinase domain of the ICP10 gene is a potent oncolytic virus. *Mol Ther*. 2006;13(5):882–890.
- 126. Cuddington BP, Dyer AL, Workenhe ST, Mossman KL. Oncolytic bovine herpesvirus type 1 infects and kills breast tumor cells and breast cancer-initiating cells irrespective of tumor subtype. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2013;20(5):282–289.
- 127. Shashkova EV, May SM, Barry MA. Characterization of human adenovirus serotypes 5, 6, 11, and 35 as anticancer agents. *Virology*. 2009;394(2):311–320.

- Chen CY, Weaver EA, Khare R, May SM, Barry MA. Mining the adenovirus virome for oncolytics against multiple solid tumor types. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2011;18(10):744–750.
- 129. Garijo R, Hernandez-Alonso P, Rivas C, Diallo JS, Sanjuan R. Experimental evolution of an oncolytic vesicular stomatitis virus with increased selectivity for p53-deficient cells. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(7):e102365.
- 130. Sugiyama T, Yoneda M, Kuraishi T, et al. Measles virus selectively blind to signaling lymphocyte activation molecule as a novel oncolytic virus for breast cancer treatment. *Gene Ther*. 2013;20(3):338–347.
- 131. Haddad D, Chen N, Zhang Q, et al. A novel genetically modified oncolytic vaccinia virus in experimental models is effective against a wide range of human cancers. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012;19(Suppl 3): S665–S674.
- Borovjagin AV, McNally LR, Wang M, Curiel DT, MacDougall MJ, Zinn KR. Noninvasive monitoring of mRFP1- and mCherry-labeled oncolytic adenoviruses in an orthotopic breast cancer model by spectral imaging. *Mol Imaging*. 2010;9(2):59–75.

- 133. Eisenberg DP, Adusumilli PS, Hendershott KJ, et al. Real-time intraoperative detection of breast cancer axillary lymph node metastases using a green fluorescent protein-expressing herpes virus. *Ann Surg.* 2006;243(6):824–830. [discussion 830–822].
- Nemunaitis J, Senzer N, Sarmiento S, et al. A phase I trial of intravenous infusion of ONYX-015 and enbrel in solid tumor patients. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2007;14(11):885–893.
- 135. Nemunaitis J, Tong AW, Nemunaitis M, et al. A phase I study of telomerase-specific replication competent oncolytic adenovirus (telomelysin) for various solid tumors. *Mol Ther*. 2010;18(2): 429–434.
- Laurie SA, Bell JC, Atkins HL, et al. A phase 1 clinical study of intravenous administration of PV701, an oncolytic virus, using twostep desensitization. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(8):2555–2562.
- Pecora AL, Rizvi N, Cohen GI, et al. Phase I trial of intravenous administration of PV701, an oncolytic virus, in patients with advanced solid cancers. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20(9):2251–2266.

#### **Oncolytic Virotherapy**

## Publish your work in this journal

Oncolytic Virotherapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access online journal publishing original research, study protocols, reviews, editorials and commentaries on all aspects of oncolytic virology, namely the application of oncolytic viruses for the treatment of cancer. Specific topics in the journal include: Rationale and theoretical aspects of oncolytic virotherapy including in vitro, in vivo and mathematical

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/oncolytic-virotherapy-journal}$ 

**Dovepress** 

modeling; and practical application and problem solving in the clinic including identification of potential responders through biomarkers and genetic profiling. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.