TSG101 and PEG10 are prognostic markers in squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinomas and adenocarcinoma of the gallbladder

ZIRU LIU¹, ZHULIN YANG¹, DONGCAI LIU¹, DAIQIANG LI², QIONG ZOU³, YUAN YUAN³, JINGHE LI⁴, LUFENG LIANG⁵, MEIGUI CHEN⁶ and SENLIN CHEN⁷

¹Research Laboratory of Hepatobiliary Diseases; ²Department of Pathology, Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410011; ³Department of Pathology, Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410013; ⁴Department of Pathology, Basic School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410078; ⁵Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, Changsha, Hunan 410007; ⁶Department of Pathology, Loudi Central Hospital, Loudi, Hunan 417011; ⁷Department of Pathology, Hunan Provincial Tumor Hospital, Changsha, Hunan 410013, P.R. China

Received July 21, 2013; Accepted January 16, 2014

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2014.1886

Abstract. The clinicopathological characteristics of squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma (SC/ASC) are currently not well documented, and as the prevalence of SC/ASC is uncommon in gallbladder cancers, a prognostic marker has not yet been found. In the present study, the expression of tumor susceptibility gene (TSG) 101 and paternally expressed gene (PEG) 10 was assessed in 46 SC/ASCs and 80 adenocarcinomas (ACs) using immunohistochemistry, and the samples were further analyzed to examine correlations with the clinicopathological characteristics. It was demonstrated that positive TSG101 and PEG10 expression were significantly associated with large tumor size, high tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and no resection (only biopsy) of SC/ASC and AC. The univariate Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that positive TSG101 and PEG10 expression, and differentiation, tumor size, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and surgical curability, is closely associated with a decreased overall survival in SC/ASC and AC patients (P<0.05 or P<0.001). The multivariate Cox regression analysis identified that positive TSG101 and PEG10 expression are independent factors for a poor-prognosis in SC/ASC and AC patients. The present study indicates that positive TSG101 and PEG10 expression are closely associated with the clinical, pathological and biological behaviors, and a poor prognosis in gallbladder cancer.

Introduction

In the USA, gallbladder cancers (GBCs) are the most common biliary tract malignancy and the fifth most common gastrointestinal cancer (1,2). The prognosis of GBC is extremely poor, with a high mortality rate, and early diagnosis is generally impossible due to a lack of specific signs or symptoms (3). The majority of GBC patients (>90%) are diagnosed at an inoperable stage, with serious invasion and metastasis to other organs (4). The majority of GBCs are adenocarcinomas (ACs; >90%) (5). By contrast, it is rare for other histopathological subtypes, including mucinous, papillary and squamous subtypes, to be identified (2). Between 1 and 12% of gallbladder cancers are squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinomas (SC/ASCs) (2,6), and the clinicopathological characteristics of SC/ASCs are not well documented, as the majority of available studies are individual case studies or analyses of small case series. The establishment of therapeutic interventions for SC/ASC is required (2). Currently, biomarkers for predicting the prognosis of AC are under investigation, however, none have achieved clinical application as of yet (4). Notably, biomarkers associated with the progression and prognosis of SC/ASC have not been reported, and therefore, documenting the clinicopathological and biological characteristics is essential.

Paternally expressed gene (PEG) 10 was first identified by Ono *et al* as an imprinted gene that is paternally expressed and maternally silenced (8). The human PEG10 gene is located on chromosome band 7q21, functioning as

Correspondence to: Dr Zhulin Yang, Research Laboratory of Hepatobiliary Diseases, Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 139 People's Road, Changsha, Hunan 410011, P.R. China E-mail: yangzhulin8@sina.com

Key words: gallbladder cancer, adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, TSG101, PEG10, prognosis, metastasis

a transcriptional factor. PEG10 expression can be detected in a variety of human normal tissues, including the brain, kidney, lung, placenta, testis, ovary, spleen, lymphoblasts, endothelial cells and thymus (9,10), however, its exact roles remain unknown. The overexpression of the PEG10 gene has also been detected in human cancers, including leukemia, breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), prostate cancer and pancreatic cancer (7,11). The exact association between PEG10 and tumorigenesis has not yet been identified. However, the accumulated evidence indicates the involvement of PEG10 in apoptotic resistance and oncogenesis. For instance, in studies of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, PEG10 mRNA expression was strongly associated with high lipoprotein lipase expression, which is a predictor of unfavorable outcome in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (10), whereas overexpressed PEG10 increased apoptotic resistance in B cell lineage, acute and chronic lymphocytic leukemia cluster of differentiation (CD)23⁺/CD5⁺ B cells (12). In HCC, PEG10 decreases cell death through interaction with seven in absentia homolog-1, a mediator of apoptosis (9). Previous studies have demonstrated that PEG10 expression can be regulated by the proto-oncogene, c-MYC, via the binding of the c-MYC oncoprotein to the E-box-containing region of the first intron of PEG10 (13-15). PEG10 also interacts with the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β type I receptor, activin receptor-like kinase (ALK) 1 (16). Additionally, knockdown of PEG10 inhibits the proliferation of pancreatic carcinoma and HepG2 HCC cells (13), while knockout of the PEG gene causes early embryonic lethality (17). This evidence indicates that PEG10 may play a crucial role in carcinogenesis and tumor cell growth. However, PEG10 expression in SC/ASC and AC of the gallbladder has not yet been identified.

Although tumor susceptibility gene (TSG) 101 was originally identified as a potential tumor suppressor gene (18), subsequent studies have shown that the deletion of TSG101 in cell cultures did not lead to uncontrolled cell growth, while conditional knockout of TSG101 in mice did not result in neoplastic transformation. However, homozygous deletion of TSG101 led to embryonic lethality in gene knockout mice, whereas cell cycle arrest and cell death resulted from the silencing of TSG101 expression in mammalian cells (18). This indicates that TSG101 plays a crucial role in cell survival. In addition, a previous study has indicated that TSG101 is an essential protein involved in numerous cellular processes associated with cell growth and signal transduction, including transcriptional regulation, protein ubiquitination, cell cycle control and vesicular transport (20). Liu et al reported overexpression of TSG101 in human papillary thyroid carcinomas, which provided one of the earliest pieces of evidence for linking PSG101 to carcinogenesis (21). The overexpression of TSG101 was also observed in several human cancers, including ovarian cancer (19), gastrointestinal tumors (22) and colorectal carcinoma (23). Gene silencing of TSG101 leads to growth arrest and cell death in breast and prostate cancer cells (24). In addition, early evidence indicated the close interaction of TSG101 with p53 within the p53/mouse double minute (MDM) 2 homolog feedback control loop, which upon de-regulation, results in tumorigenesis (25). However, no studies have shown the involvement of TSG101 in gallbladder cancer.

In the present study, the expression of PEG10 and TSG101 in resection specimens, including 80 AC and 46 SC/ASC samples, were examined by immunohistochemistry. The correlations of PEG10 and TSG101 expression with the biological behavior and prognosis of SC/ASC and AC of the gallbladder were evaluated, along with the clinical significance and the survival rates of the patients.

Materials and methods

Case selection. Between January 1995 and December 2009, 46 SC/ASC samples were collected from patients who had undergone surgical resection or biopsy. In the gallbladder cancers of the present study, the percentage of SC/ASC was 4.34% (46/1,060 GBCs). Among the 46 SCs/ASCs, 14 samples (14/325 GBCs) were collected from Xiangya Hospital, 16 (16/370 GBCs) from Second Xiangya Hospital, 5 (5/110 GBCs) from Third Xiangya Hospital, 5 (5/105 GBCs) from Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, 4 (4/100 GBCs) from Hunan Provincial Tumor Hospital (all Changsha, Hunan, China) and 1 each from Changde Central Hospital and Loudi Central Hospital (Loudi, Hunan, China), respectively (2/50 GBCs). Between January 2001 to December 2009, a total of 80 AC samples from patients who had undergone surgical resection or biopsy, were collected from Second Xiangya Hospital and Loudi Central Hospital. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research, Central South University (Changsha, China).

In total, there were 27 female and 19 male (F/M, 1.42)SC/ASC patients, and 54 female and 26 male (F/M, 2.08) AC patients. The age range was 35-82 years (mean \pm SD, 55.8±9.6 years) for the SC/ASC patients and 33-80 years (mean \pm SD, 53.8 \pm 9.9 years) for the AC patients. The differentiation classifications of the squamous cells of the SCs/ASCs samples included 16 well-differentiated (34.8%), 24 moderately-differentiated (52.2%) and 6 poorly-differentiated (13.0%) carcinomas. For the AC samples, 27 samples were well-differentiated (33.8%), 25 were moderately-differentiated (31.3%) and 28 were poorly-differentiated (35.0%). Invasion of the gallbladder, surrounding tissues and organs was identified in 30 SC/ASC patients (65.2%), while 29 had regional lymph node metastasis (63.0%) and 28 had gallstones (60.9%). Invasion was found in 49 AC patients (61.3%), while 50 had regional lymph node metastasis (62.5%) and 38 had gallstones (47.5%). According to the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging, 5 of the SC/ASC samples were stage I tumors, 7 were stage II, 20 were stage III and 14 were stage IV. For the AC samples, 8 were stage I tumors, 13 were stage II, 38 were stage III and 21 were stage IV. In total, for the SCs/ASCs and ACs, 14 and 26 patients underwent radical resection surgery, 18 and 28 underwent palliative surgery and 14 and 26 underwent no operation and only had biopsies, respectively.

The 2-year survival data of the SC/ASC and AC patients was collected from phone calls and letters. In total, 23 AC patients survived >1 year (9 patients survived >2 years) and 57 survived <1 year, with an average survival time of 10.34 \pm 0.63 months. Among the SCs/ASCs patients, 13 survived >1 year (4 patients survived >2 years) and 33 survived <1 year, with an average survival time of 10.07 \pm 0.78 months.



Figure 1. TSG101 and PEG10 expression in SC/ASC using EnVision immunohistochemistry; original magnification, x200. TSG101- and PEG10-positive reactions were mainly localized in the cytoplasm. (A) Positive TSG101 expression (>25% positive cells) in moderately-differentiated SC/ASC. (B) Negative TSG101 expression (<25% positive cells) in well-differentiated SC/ASC. (C) Positive PEG10 expression in poorly-differentiated SC/ASC. (D) Negative PEG10 expression in moderately-differentiated SC/ASC. TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adeno-squamous carcinoma.



Figure 2. TSG101 and PEG10 expression in AC using EnVision immunohistochemistry; original magnification, x200. TSG101- and PEG10-positive reactions were mainly localized in the cytoplasm. (A) Positive TSG101 expression (>25% positive cells) in poorly-differentiated AC. (B) Negative TSG101 expression (<25% positive cells) in well-differentiated AC. (C) Positive PEG10 expression in poorly-differentiated AC. (D) Negative PEG10 expression in well-differentiated AC. TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; AC, adenocarcinoma.

Immunohistochemistry staining. Sections (4-µm thick) were cut from routinely paraffin-embedded tissues of AC and SC/ASC. Rabbit anti-PEG10 and mouse anti-TSG101 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The staining was performed with the peroxidase-based EnVision[™] Detection kit (Dako Laboratories, Carpinteria, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 4-µM sections were cut from routinely paraffin-embedded tissues of the AC and SC/ASC samples. The sections were soaked with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3x5 min prior to the sections being deparaffinized and incubated with 3% H₂O₂ for 15 min. The sections were then incubated with mouse anti-TSG101 (1:100 dilution) or rabbit anti-PEG10 (1:100 dilution) antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Solution A (containing horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody) was added subsequent to the rinsing of the sections with PBS (3 times), and then the sections were incubated for 30 min. The substrate, 3,3'-diaminobenzidine, was added prior to hematoxylin counter-staining. Following dehydration, the slides were soaked in xylene 3 times, for 5 min each. For the positive control, positive sections were purchased from Foochow Maixin Biotechnology Company (Foochow, China), and for the negative control, the primary antibody was replaced with 5% fetal bovine serum. The percentage of positive cells was calculated from 500 cells in 10 random fields; ≥25% positive cells were regarded as positive and <25% positive cells were regarded as negative.

Statistical analysis. The data were analyzed using the statistical package for the Social Sciences, Version 13.0

(SPSS, 13.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The inter-association of TSG101 or PEG10 expression with histological or clinical factors was analyzed using χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests. Kaplan-Meier and time series (log-rank) tests were used for the univariate survival analysis. Cox's proportional hazards model was used for the multivariate analysis and to determine the 95% confidence interval. P<0.05 was used to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Comparison of TSG101 and PEG10 expression and clinicopathological characteristics in SC/ASC and AC. As shown in Table I, the percentage of cases with a patient age of >45 years, a tumor mass of >3 cm and well- or moderately-differentiated tumors was significantly higher in the SCs/ASCs compared with the ACs (P<0.05). Correlations between other clinicopathological characteristics and the percentage of positive TSG101 and PEG10 expression were not significant. The majority of TSG101- and PEG10-positive reactions were localized in the cytoplasm of the SC/ASCs (Fig. 1) and ACs (Fig. 2), as observed using EnVision immunohistochemistry (Dako Laboratories).

Association of clinicopathological characteristics and TSG101 and PEG10 expression in SC/ASC and AC patients. A significantly higher association was apparent between the percentage of cases with TSG101- and PEG10-positive expression in the SC/ASC samples with a large tumor mass size, high

Table I. Comparison of gallbladder	SC/ASC and AC clinicop	athological features and T	SG101 and PEG10 expression status.

Gender, n (%) Gender, n (%) Male 19 (41.3) 26 (32.5) 0.986 0.352 Female 27 (58.7) 54 (67.5) 9 Age, n (%) 54 (57.5) 0.986 0.352 Age, n (%) 3 (6.5) 16 (20.0) 4.143 0.042 >45 years 3 (0.5) 64 (80.0) 0 0.42 Differentiation, n (%) 6 (3.0) 27 (33.8) 0.042 Moderate 24 (52.2) 25 (31.3) 8.515 0.014 Poor 6 (13.0) 28 (35.0) Maximum tumor diameter, n (%) 50 (62.5) 4.280 0.039 >3 cm 20 (43.5) 50 (62.5) 4.280 0.039 >3 cm 26 (56.5) 30 (37.5) 0.148 (+) 28 (60.9) 38 (47.5) 0.287 0.866 IH 12 (26.1) 21 (26.3) 111 20 (43.5) 38 (47.5) 0.287 0.866 IV 14 (30.4) <td< th=""><th>Clinicopathological characteristics</th><th>SC/ASC (n=46)</th><th>AC (n=80)</th><th>χ^2</th><th>P-value</th></td<>	Clinicopathological characteristics	SC/ASC (n=46)	AC (n=80)	χ^2	P-value
Male19 (41.3)26 (32.5)0.9860.352Female27 (58.7)54 (67.5)	Gender, n (%)				
Female 27 (58.7) 54 (67.5) Age, n (%)	Male	19 (41.3)	26 (32.5)	0.986	0.352
Age, n (%) $= 45$ years3 (6.5)16 (20.0)4.1430.042 > 45 years3 (6.5)64 (80.0)0.012Differentiation, n (%)16 (34.8)27 (33.8)10.014Well16 (34.8)27 (33.8)10.014Moderate24 (52.2)25 (31.3)8.5150.014Poor6 (13.0)28 (35.0)0.039Asimum tumor diameter, n (%) $= 3 cm$ 20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039>3 cm20 (56.5)30 (37.5)0.0140.039>3 cm26 (56.5)30 (37.5)0.0140.014(·)18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(+)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)0.2870.866V14 (30.4)21 (26.3)11111H12 (26.1)21 (26.3)110.0041H12 (26.1)21 (26.3)110.052Lymph node metastasis, n (%)112 (26.3)0.0040.952(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.6)0.1970.658(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.6)0.1970.568(·)16 (34.8)31 (38.6)0.1970.568 <trr<tr>(·)16 (34.8)</trr<tr>	Female	27 (58.7)	54 (67.5)		
± 45 years3 (6.5)16 (20.0)4.1430.042 $\rightarrow 45$ years43 (93.5)64 (80.0)94 $\rightarrow 45$ years43 (93.5)64 (80.0)Differentiation, n (%)16 (34.8)27 (33.8)Moderate24 (52.2)25 (31.3)8.515 $\rightarrow 00^{-1}$ 6 (13.0)28 (35.0)Maximum tumor diameter, n (%)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 $\Rightarrow 3$ cm26 (56.5)30 (37.5)4.2800.039 $\Rightarrow 3$ cm26 (56.5)30 (37.5)2.0930.148(\div)18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(\div)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)2.0930.148(\div)20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)1111(\cdot)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952(\cdot)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(\cdot)16 (30.4)26 (32.5)10.101Pallative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without reservina14 (30.4)26 (32.5)10.101Radical14 (30.4)26 (32.5)10.1010.906TSGiflu, n ($\%$)	Age, n (%)				
>45 years 43 (93.5) 64 (80.0) Differentiation, n (%) V Well 16 (34.8) 27 (33.8) Moderate 24 (52.2) 25 (31.3) 8.515 0.014 Poor 6 (13.0) 28 (35.0) 0.039 35 cm 20 (43.5) 50 (62.5) 4.280 0.039 >3 cm 20 (43.5) 38 (47.5) 2.093 0.148 (+) 28 (60.9) 38 (47.5) 0.287 0.866 IV 12 (26.1) 21 (26.3) 111 20 (43.5) 38 (47.5) 0.287 0.866 IV 14 (30.4) 21 (26.3) 111 20 (43.5) 30 (37.5) 0.004 0.952 Lymph node metastasis, n (%) 1 21 (26.3) 11 0.052 49 0.552 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	≤45 years	3 (6.5)	16 (20.0)	4.143	0.042
Differentiation, n (%)IWell16 (34.8)27 (33.8)Moderate24 (52.2)25 (31.3)8.515Poor6 (13.0)28 (35.0)Maximum tumor diameter, n (%)223 (35.0) \leq^{2} cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 $>^{3}$ cm20 (56.5)30 (37.5)2.0930.148(+)18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(+)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)7.0040.866TNM stages, n (%)1112 (26.1)21 (26.3)111HI00 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)111Upph node metastasis, n (%)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952(+)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)2.0930.0520.552Locrergional invasion, n (%)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.6580.0521014Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.8980.906Strigget methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)10140.906Strigget methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.9140.906Strigget methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.9140.906Strigget methods, n (%)12138 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)10140.906Strigget methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)1014 <td< td=""><td>>45 years</td><td>43 (93.5)</td><td>64 (80.0)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	>45 years	43 (93.5)	64 (80.0)		
Well16 (34.8)27 (33.8)Moderate24 (52.2)25 (31.3)8.5150.014Poor6 (30.0)28 (30.0)8.5150.014Poor20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039>3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039>3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039>3 cm20 (43.5)30 (37.5)0.0140.014()18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(+)12 (26.1)21 (26.3)1110.2870.887TNM stages, n (%)1120 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)1110.0040.952(-)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.9520.9510.688(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.9)26 (32.5)160160Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)160Mean survival time, months (range)10.0420 (32.5)10.382 <th< td=""><td>Differentiation, n (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Differentiation, n (%)				
Moderate24 (52.2)25 (31.3)8.5150.014Poor6 (13.0)28 (35.0)Maximum tumor diameter, n (%)28 (35.0)Maximum tumor diameter, n (%) ≤ 3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 ≤ 3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 ≤ 3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)2.0930.148(-)18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(+)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)2.0930.148(+)20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)0.0040.952(+)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952(+)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)0.0040.952(+)20 (63.2)49 (61.3)0.658(+)30 (65.2)49 (61.3)0.057Surgical methods, n (%)I8 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.215Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.99510.382(-)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%)10.07 (4-25)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)10.1420.612PEGIO, n (%)I20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)10.1420.1420.142(-)12 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)24 (52	Well	16 (34.8)	27 (33.8)		
Poor6 (13.0)28 (35.0)Maximum tumor diameter, n (%) $=$ $=$ $=$ ≤ 3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 ≥ 3 cm26 (55.5)30 (37.5)4.2800.039 ≥ 3 cm26 (55.5)30 (37.5)0.148 $< ()$ 18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148 $(+)$ 28 (60.9)38 (47.5)0.2870.866 $(+)$ 12 (26.1)21 (26.3)11120 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866 V 14 (30.4)21 (26.3)1140.9520.9520.9520.952 $(+)$ 17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.9520.9520.9520.9520.952 $(+)$ 16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.6580.9520.9530.9520.9530.9520.9530.9550.9580.9540.9580.9540.9520.9540.9580.9540.9520.9540.9540.9540.9540.9540.9550.9540.9540.954<	Moderate	24 (52.2)	25 (31.3)	8.515	0.014
Maximum tumor diameter, n (%) 32 cm $20 (43.5)$ $50 (62.5)$ 4.280 0.039 $>3 \text{ cm}$ $26 (56.5)$ $30 (37.5)$ 4.280 0.039 $>3 \text{ cm}$ $26 (56.5)$ $30 (37.5)$ 2.093 0.148 (-) $18 (39.1)$ $42 (52.5)$ 2.093 0.148 (+) $28 (60.9)$ $38 (47.5)$ 2.093 0.148 (+) $28 (60.9)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.287 0.866 IH $12 (26.1)$ $21 (26.3)$ $110 (26.3)$ III $20 (43.5)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.287 0.866 IV $10 (30.4)$ $21 (26.3)$ 0.927 0.866 IV $20 (43.5)$ $30 (37.5)$ 0.004 0.952 Lymph node metastasis, n (%) (6.2) $0.026 (32.5)$ 0.0287 0.866 Locoregional invasion, n (%) $(9 (61.3))$ 0.197 0.658 (+) $30 (65.2)$ $49 (61.3)$ 1138.8 0.197 0.658 (+) $16 (34.8)$ $31 (38.8)$ 0.197 0.898 Without resection $14 (30.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ 8.898 Mitative $18 (39.1)$ $28 (35.0)$ 0.215 0.898 Surgical methods, n (%) $11 (30.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ 0.951 0.382 Palliative $18 (39.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ 0.951 0.382 (-) $0.247,80$ $26 (25.5)$ 0.951 0.382 (-) $0.247,80$ $26 (25.5)$ 0.289 0.678 (-) $0.24 (52.2)$ $38 (47.5)$	Poor	6 (13.0)	28 (35.0)		
s3 cm20 (43.5)50 (62.5)4.2800.039 $s3 cm$ 26 (56.5)30 (37.5)00Cholecystolithiasis, n (%)18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148($+$)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)2.0930.148TNM stages, n (%)12 (26.1)21 (26.3)111IHI12 (26.1)21 (26.3)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)0.0040.952($+$)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952($+$)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)0.0040.952Locoregional invasion, n (%)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658($+$)30 (65.2)49 (61.3)0.2150.898Surgical methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.2150.898Mitadiu resection10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)0.2890.532($-$)24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382($+$)20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.672PEGID, n (%)120 (43.5)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)	Maximum tumor diameter, n (%)				
>3 cm26 (56.5)30 (37.5)Cholecystolithiasis, n (%) $()$ 18 (39.1)42 (52.5)2.0930.148(+)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)0.2870.148(+)28 (60.9)38 (47.5)0.2870.866TNM stages, n (%)12 (26.1)21 (26.3)11IH20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)10040.952(+)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)0.0040.952(+)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)0.0040.952(+)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)0.65.2)49 (61.3)0.6520.898Virgical methods, n (%)26 (32.5)10.34 (3.61)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%)10.07 (4.25)10.34 (3.27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%)24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)20 (43.5)24 (52.5)10.34 (3.5)0.2890.678PEGIO, n (%) $(-)$ 26 (35.5)10.38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)26 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)25 (55.5)10.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)24 (52.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)24 (52.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)24 (52.5) <td< td=""><td>≤3 cm</td><td>20 (43.5)</td><td>50 (62.5)</td><td>4.280</td><td>0.039</td></td<>	≤3 cm	20 (43.5)	50 (62.5)	4.280	0.039
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	>3 cm	26 (56.5)	30 (37.5)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cholecystolithiasis, n (%)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} (+) & 28 \ (60.9) & 38 \ (47.5) \\ TNM \ stages, n \ (\%) & & & & & & & & & \\ I+II & 12 \ (26.1) & 21 \ (26.3) & & & & & & \\ III & 20 \ (43.5) & 38 \ (47.5) & 0.287 & 0.866 \\ IV & 14 \ (30.4) & 21 \ (26.3) & & & & & \\ Lymph node \ metastasis, n \ (\%) & & & & & & \\ (\cdot) & 17 \ (37.0) & 30 \ (37.5) & 0.004 & 0.952 \\ (+) & 29 \ (63.0) & 50 \ (62.5) & & & & \\ Locoregional \ invasion, n \ (\%) & & & & \\ (\cdot) & 16 \ (34.8) & 31 \ (38.8) & 0.197 & 0.658 \\ (+) & 30 \ (65.2) & 49 \ (61.3) & & \\ Surgical \ methods, n \ (\%) & & & \\ Radical & 14 \ (30.4) & 26 \ (32.5) & & & \\ Palliative & 18 \ (39.1) & 28 \ (35.0) & 0.215 & 0.898 \\ Without \ resection & 14 \ (30.4) & 26 \ (32.5) & & \\ Mean \ survival \ time, \ months \ (range) & 10.07 \ (4-25) & 10.34 \ (3-27) & 0.014 & 0.906 \\ TSGI01, n \ (\%) & & & \\ (\cdot) & 24 \ (52.2) & 38 \ (47.5) & 0.951 & 0.382 \\ (+) & 20 \ (43.5) & 42 \ (52.5) & & \\ PEGI0, n \ (\%) & & & \\ (\cdot) & 0.26 \ (56.5) & 38 \ (47.5) & 0.289 & 0.678 \\ (+) & 0.20 \ (43.5) & 42 \ (52.5) & & \\ \end{array}$	(-)	18 (39.1)	42 (52.5)	2.093	0.148
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } TNM stages, n (\%) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	(+)	28 (60.9)	38 (47.5)		
I+II12 (26.1)21 (26.3)III20 (43.5)38 (47.5)0.2870.866IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)0Lymph node metastasis, n (%)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952(+)29 (63.0)50 (62.5)00Locoregional invasion, n (%)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)30 (65.2)49 (61.3)00Surgical methods, n (%)8 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)00.906TSGI01, n (%)0.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906(-)24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)00PEGI0, n (%)(-)26 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)000.9510.382(-)26 (35.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)000(-)26 (55.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)000(-)26 (55.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)000(-)26 (55.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)26 (55.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)26 (55.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(-)	TNM stages, n (%)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I+II	12 (26.1)	21 (26.3)		
IV14 (30.4)21 (26.3)Lymph node metastasis, n (%)17 (37.0)30 (37.5)0.0040.952(-)17 (37.0)30 (62.5)0.0040.952Locoregional invasion, n (%)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(-)16 (34.8)31 (38.8)0.1970.658(+)30 (65.2)49 (61.3)1Surgical methods, n (%) X X X Radical14 (30.4)26 (32.5) X Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5) X Mean survival time, months (range)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%) X X X X X (-)24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5) X X	III	20 (43.5)	38 (47.5)	0.287	0.866
Lymph node metastasis, n (%) $17 (37.0)$ $30 (37.5)$ 0.004 0.952 (-) $29 (63.0)$ $50 (62.5)$ $10 (62.5)$ $10 (62.5)$ Locoregional invasion, n (%) $16 (34.8)$ $31 (38.8)$ 0.197 0.658 (+) $16 (36.2)$ $49 (61.3)$ $10 (63.2)$ $90 (61.3)$ Surgical methods, n (%) $14 (30.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ $10 (21.5)$ 0.898 Radical $14 (30.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ 0.215 0.898 Without resection $14 (30.4)$ $26 (32.5)$ 0.014 0.906 TSG101, n (%) $(-)$ $24 (52.2)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.951 0.382 (+) $22 (47.8)$ $42 (52.5)$ 10.289 0.678 (-) $26 (56.5)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.289 0.678 (+) $20 (43.5)$ $42 (52.5)$ 0.289 0.678	IV	14 (30.4)	21 (26.3)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lymph node metastasis, n (%)				
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (+) & 29 \ (63.0) & 50 \ (62.5) \\ \\ \mbox{Locoregional invasion, n (\%)} & & & & & & & \\ (-) & 16 \ (34.8) & 31 \ (38.8) & 0.197 & 0.658 \\ (+) & 30 \ (65.2) & 49 \ (61.3) \\ \\ \mbox{Surgical methods, n (\%)} & & & & & & \\ \\ \mbox{Radical} & 14 \ (30.4) & 26 \ (32.5) & & & \\ \\ \mbox{Palliative} & 18 \ (39.1) & 28 \ (35.0) & 0.215 & 0.898 \\ \\ \mbox{Without resection} & 14 \ (30.4) & 26 \ (32.5) & & \\ \\ \mbox{Without resection} & 14 \ (30.4) & 26 \ (32.5) & & \\ \\ \mbox{Mean survival time, months (range)} & 10.07 \ (4-25) & 10.34 \ (3-27) & 0.014 & 0.906 \\ \\ \mbox{TSG101, n (\%)} & & & \\ (+) & 22 \ (47.8) & 42 \ (52.5) & & \\ \\ \mbox{PEG10, n (\%)} & & & \\ (-) & 26 \ (56.5) & 38 \ (47.5) & 0.289 & 0.678 \\ (+) & 20 \ (43.5) & 42 \ (52.5) & & \\ \end{array}$	(-)	17 (37.0)	30 (37.5)	0.004	0.952
Locoregional invasion, n (%)I $16 (34.8)$ $31 (38.8)$ 0.197 0.658 (+) $30 (65.2)$ $49 (61.3)$ $50 (52.2)$ $49 (61.3)$ Surgical methods, n (%)I $26 (32.5)$ $80 (50.2)$ <t< td=""><td>(+)</td><td>29 (63.0)</td><td>50 (62.5)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	(+)	29 (63.0)	50 (62.5)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Locoregional invasion, n (%)				
	(-)	16 (34.8)	31 (38.8)	0.197	0.658
Surgical methods, n (%)14 (30.4)26 (32.5)Radical14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.2150.898Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.0140.906Mean survival time, months (range)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSG101, n (%)24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)22 (47.8)6.2890.678(-)26 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)6.2890.678	(+)	30 (65.2)	49 (61.3)		
Radical14 (30.4)26 (32.5)Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)0.0140.906Mean survival time, months (range)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSGI01, n (%) $(-)$ 24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)22 (47.8)42 (52.5)0.2890.678(-)26 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)0.2890.678	Surgical methods, n (%)				
Palliative18 (39.1)28 (35.0)0.2150.898Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)Mean survival time, months (range)10.07 (4-25)10.34 (3-27)0.0140.906TSG101, n (%) $(-)$ 24 (52.2)38 (47.5)0.9510.382(+)22 (47.8)42 (52.5) $(-)$ $(-)$ 26 (56.5) $(-)$	Radical	14 (30.4)	26 (32.5)		
Without resection14 (30.4)26 (32.5)Mean survival time, months (range) 10.07 (4-25) 10.34 (3-27) 0.014 0.906 TSG101, n (%) 24 (52.2) 38 (47.5) 0.951 0.382 (+) 22 (47.8) 42 (52.5) 22 (47.8) 42 (52.5)PEG10, n (%) 26 (56.5) 38 (47.5) 0.289 0.678 (+) 20 (43.5) 42 (52.5)	Palliative	18 (39.1)	28 (35.0)	0.215	0.898
Mean survival time, months (range) $10.07 (4-25)$ $10.34 (3-27)$ 0.014 0.906 TSG101, n (%)(-) $24 (52.2)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.951 0.382 (+) $22 (47.8)$ $42 (52.5)$ PEG10, n (%)(-) $26 (56.5)$ $38 (47.5)$ 0.289 0.678 (+) $20 (43.5)$ $42 (52.5)$	Without resection	14 (30.4)	26 (32.5)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean survival time, months (range)	10.07 (4-25)	10.34 (3-27)	0.014	0.906
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TSG101, n (%)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(-)	24 (52.2)	38 (47.5)	0.951	0.382
PEG10, n (%) (-) 26 (56.5) 38 (47.5) 0.289 0.678 (+) 20 (43.5) 42 (52.5)	(+)	22 (47.8)	42 (52.5)		
(-)26 (56.5)38 (47.5)0.2890.678(+)20 (43.5)42 (52.5)	PEG10, n (%)				
(+) 20 (43.5) 42 (52.5)	(-)	26 (56.5)	38 (47.5)	0.289	0.678
	(+)	20 (43.5)	42 (52.5)		

TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; SC/ASC squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma; AC, adenocarcinoma; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.

TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and no resection (biopsy only) compared with the cases of small tumor size, low TNM stage, no lymph metastasis, no invasion and radical resection (P<0.05; Table II).

For AC tumors, the percentage of TSG101- and PEG10-positive expression was significantly higher in the

cases with poor differentiation, large tumor mass size, high TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and collection of tumor samples by biopsy, compared with the well-differentiated cases, small tumor mass, low TNM stage, no lymph node metastasis, no invasion and collection of tumor samples by resection (P<0.05 or P<0.01; Table III).

Table II. Association of TSG10	1 and PEG10 expression	n with the clinicopathologic	al characteristics of SC/ASC.

Clinicopathological $\overline{Pos, n(\%)}$ χ^2 P-value Pos, n(\%) χ^2 Gender Male 19 8 (42.1) 0.425 0.515 7 (36.8) 0.580 Female 27 14 (51.9) 13 (48.1) Age 3 1 (33.3) 0.270 0.603 1 (33.3) 0.134	PEG10			
Gender Male 19 8 (42.1) 0.425 0.515 7 (36.8) 0.580 Female 27 14 (51.9) 13 (48.1) Age \leq 45 years 3 1 (33.3) 0.270 0.603 1 (33.3) 0.134	P-value			
Male198 (42.1)0.4250.5157 (36.8)0.580Female2714 (51.9)13 (48.1)Age ≤ 45 years31 (33.3)0.2700.6031 (33.3)0.134				
Female2714 (51.9)13 (48.1)Age ≤ 45 years31 (33.3)0.2700.6031 (33.3)0.134	0.446			
Age ≤45 years 3 1 (33.3) 0.270 0.603 1 (33.3) 0.134				
$\leq 45 \text{ years}$ 3 1 (33.3) 0.270 0.603 1 (33.3) 0.134				
	0.714			
>45 years 43 21 (48.8) 19 (44.2)				
Pathological type				
SC 26 14 (53.8) 0.869 0.351 14 (53.8) 2.616	0.106			
ASC 20 8 (40.0) 6 (30.0)				
Differentiation				
Well 16 6 (37.5) 3.753 0.153 6 (37.5) 1.573	0.456			
Moderate 24 11 (45.8) 10 (41.7)				
Poor 6 5 (83.3) 4 (66.7)				
Tumor mass size				
≤3 cm 20 6 (30.0) 4.506 0.032 5 (25.0) 4.916	0.028			
>3 cm 26 16 (61.5) 15 (57.7)				
Gallstones				
No 18 9 (50.0) 0.056 0.813 10 (55.6) 1.755	0.185			
Yes 28 13 (46.4) 10 (35.7)				
TNM stage				
I+II 12 3 (25.0) 2 (16.7)				
III 20 8 (40.0) 8.282 0.017 8 (40.0) 8.059	0.018			
IV 14 11 (78.6) 10 (71.4)				
Lymph metastasis				
No 17 4 (23.5) 6.379 0.012 4 (23.5) 4.367	0.037			
Yes 29 18 (62.1) 16 (55.2)				
Invasion				
No 16 4 (25.0) 5.123 0.024 3 (18.8) 6.105	0.016			
Yes 30 18 (60.0) 17 (56.7)				
Surgery				
Radical 14 3 (21.4) 9.296 0.010 3 (21.4) 7.374	0.025			
Palliative 18 8 (44.4) 7 (38.9)				
Biopsy 14 11 (78.6) 10 (71.4)				

TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; Pos, positive; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma.

Correlation between survival rates and TSG101 or PEG10 expression in patients with SC/ASC and AC. The survival information of the SC/ASC and AC patients was collated from phone calls and letters. The follow-up time for the present study was 2 years. The patients with a survival time >2 years were included as censored cases in the analysis. In total, 57 AC patients survived >1 year and 23 survived <1 year (9 survived >2 years), with an average survival time of 10.34±0.63 months. For the SC/ASC patients, 33 survived <1 year and 13 survived >1 year (4 survived >2 years), with an average survival time of 10.07 ± 0.78 months.

Evaluation of the SC/ASC patients using a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis demonstrated that differentiation, tumor size, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and surgical procedure (P<0.001) were significantly associated with average survival time (Table IV), and the average survival time of the TSG101- and PEG10-positive patients was significantly lower than that of the patients with a negative result for TSG101

Cliniconothological			TSG101			PEG10			
characteristics	Cases, n	Pos, n (%)	χ^2	P-value	Pos, n (%)	χ^2	P-value		
Gender									
Male	26	13 (50.0)	0.097	0.756	13 (50.0)	0.097	0.756		
Female	54	29 (53.7)			29 (53.7)				
Age									
≤45 years	16	6 (37.5)	1.805	0.179	5 (31.3)	3.622	0.057		
>45 years	64	36 (56.3)			37 (57.8)				
Differentiation									
Well	27	9 (33.3)	9.865	0.007	10 (37.0)	6.800	0.034		
Moderate	25	12 (48.0)			12 (48.0)				
Poor	28	21 (75.0)			20 (71.4)				
Tumor mass size									
≤3 cm	50	21 (42.0)	5.896	0.015	22 (44.0)	3.863	0.049		
>3 cm	30	21 (70.0)			20 (66.7)				
Gallstones									
No	42	22 (52.4)	0.001	0.982	20 (47.6)	0.845	0.358		
Yes	38	20 (52.6)			22 (57.9)				
TNM stage									
I+II	21	5 (23.8)			6 (28.6)				
III	38	20 (52.6)	13.749	0.001	19 (50.0)	11.736	0.003		
IV	21	17 (81.0)			17 (81.0)				
Lymph metastasis									
No	30	9 (30.0)	9.744	0.002	11 (36.7)	4.825	0.032		
Yes	50	33 (66.0)			31 (62.0)				
Invasion									
No	31	11 (35.5)	5.877	0.015	12 (38.7)	3.860	0.049		
Yes	49	31 (63.3)			30 (61.2)				
Surgery									
Radical	26	7 (26.9)	13.052	0.001	9 (34.6)	9.282	0.010		
Palliative	28	15 (53.6)			14 (50.0)				
Biopsy	26	20 (76.9)			19 (73.1)				

Table III. Association of TSG1	01 and PEG10 expressi	ion with the clinicopat	hological characteris	stics of AC.

TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; AC, adenocarcinoma; Pos, positive.

and PEG10 expression (P<0.001; Table IV and Fig. 3). Cox's multivariate analysis demonstrated that the differentiation, tumor size (\geq 3 cm), TNM stage, invasion, surgical procedure and TSG101- and PEG10-positive expression were negatively correlated with overall survival, indicating that the positive expression of TSG101 and PEG10 is a risk factor of SCs/ASCs (Table V).

The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the AC patients revealed similar results as for the SC/ASC patients (Table VI). The average survival time of the TSG101- or PEG10-positive AC patients was significantly lower than patients exhibiting negative TSG101 or PEG10 expression (P<0.001; Table VI and Fig. 4). Cox's multivariate analysis demonstrated that differentiation, tumor size (≥ 3 cm), TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion, surgical procedure and TSG101- and PEG10-positive expression positively correlated with the poor survival rate of the AC patients (Table VII).

Discussion

The current knowledge on the clinicopathological characteristics of SC/ASC has mainly been obtained from individual case studies or analyses of small case series. Therefore, accurate understanding of the differences between rare SC/ASC tumors and ordinary adenocarcinomas is not possible without further studies. The reported incidence of squamous differentiation is 1-12% in gallbladder malignancies (26,27), and in the present study 4.34% SCs/ASCs were observed. A previous study

Clinicopathological		Average survival,			
characteristics	Cases, n	months (range)	χ^2	P-value	
Gender					
Male	19	10.74 (6-24)	0.767	0.381	
Female	27	9.85 (4-24)			
Age					
≤45 years	3	15.67 (8-24)	2.023	0.155	
>45 years	43	9.84 (4-25)			
Pathological type					
SC	26	10.19 (4-24)	0.223	0.637	
ASC	20	10.25 (4-24)			
Differentiation					
Well	16	13.81 (5-24)			
Moderate	24	8.92 (4-18)	19.125	< 0.0001	
Poor	6	5.83 (4-9)			
Tumor mass size					
≤3 cm	20	14.35 (7-24)	31.337	< 0.0001	
>3 cm	26	7.04 (4-11)			
Gallstones					
No	18	8.22 (4-12)	3.730	< 0.0001	
Yes	28	11.50 (4-24)			
TNM stage					
I+II	12	17.00 (9-24)			
III	20	9.20 (7-15)	51.139	< 0.0001	
IV	14	5.86 (4-8)			
Lymph node metastasis					
No	17	14.24 (4-24)	16.219	< 0.0001	
Yes	29	7.86 (4-15)			
Invasion					
No	16	15.75 (9-24)	32.271	< 0.0001	
Yes	30	7.27 (4-12)			
Surgery					
Radical	14	16.64 (10-24)			
Palliative	18	8.50 (6-12)	50.165	< 0.0001	
Biopsy	14	6.00 (4-8)			
TSG101					
_	24	12.96 (6-24)	16.277	< 0.0001	
+	22	7.23 (4-12)			
PEG10					
-	26	12.73 (6-24)	19.275	< 0.0001	
+	20	6.95 (4-12)			

Table IV. Association between TSG101 and PEG10 expression, clinicopathological characteristics and average survival of SC/ASC patients.

TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; SC/ASC squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.

identified that the occurrence of SC/ASC is predominant in females (F/M, 3.8) (25), however in the present study there was no significant difference (F/M, 1.4). It was also apparent in the

present study that the prevalence of SC/ASC was more significant in older patients compared with AC. In previous studies, it has been demonstrated that the proliferation of SC occurs

							95% confidence interval	
Groups	Factors	RC	SE	Wald	P-value	RR	Lower	Upper
Pathological types	SC/ASC	0.189	0.363	0.271	0.603	1.208	0.593	2.461
Differentiation	Well/Moderate/Poor	1.167	0.402	8.427	0.004	3.212	1.461	7.063
Tumor mass size	≤3 cm/>3 cm	2.343	0.777	9.093	0.003	10.412	2.271	47.747
Gallstone	No/Yes	1.018	0.521	3.818	0.051	2.768	0.997	7.684
TNM stage	I+II/III/IV	1.170	0.517	5.121	0.024	3.222	1.170	8.876
Lymph metastasis	No/Yes	1.061	0.421	6.351	0.012	2.889	1.266	6.594
Invasion	No/Yes	2.389	0.785	9.262	0.002	10.903	2.341	50.785
Surgery	Radical/Palliative/Biopsy	1.068	0.487	4.809	0.028	2.910	1.120	7.557
TSG101	-/+	1.126	0.491	5.259	0.022	3.083	1.178	8.072
PEG10	-/+	1.194	0.486	6.036	0.014	3.300	1.273	8.555

Table V. Multivariate Cox regression analysis of survival rate in SC/ASC patients.

RC, Regression coefficients; SE, standard error; RR, relative risk; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, parentally expressed gene 10; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma.



Figure 3. TSG101 and PEG10 expression and survival in patients with SC/ASC of the gallbladder. (A) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival time in patients with SC/ASC and with positive and negative TSG101 expression. (B) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival time in patients with SC/ASC and with positive and negative PEG10 expression. TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, parentally expressed gene 10; SC/ASC, squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinoma.



Figure 4. TSG101 and PEG10 expression and survival in patients with AC of the gallbladder. (A) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival time in patients with AC and with positive and negative TSG101 expression. (B) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival time in patients with AC and with positive and negative PEG10 expression. TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, parentally expressed gene 10; AC, adenocarcinoma.

Clinicopathological characteristics	Cases, n	Cases, n Average survival, months (range)		P-value	
Gender					
Male	26	9.58 (3-24)	2.567	0.109	
Female	54	11.30 (3-24)			
Age					
≤45 years	16	10.81 (4-24)	0.003	0.956	
>45 years	64	10.72 (3-24)			
Differentiation					
Well	27	15.07 (5-24)			
Moderate	25	10.60 (4-24)	32.501	< 0.0001	
Poor	28	6.68 (3-14)			
Tumor mass size					
≤3 cm	50	13.70 (6-24)	68.283	< 0.0001	
>3 cm	30	5.80 (3-10)			
Gallstones					
No	42	10.19 (3-24)	0.246	0.620	
Yes	38	11.34 (4-24)			
TNM stage					
I+II	21	18.96 (5-24)			
III	38	9.29 (6-15)	105.825	< 0.0001	
IV	21	5.14 (3-7)			
Lymph node metastasis					
No	30	16.27 (4-24)	42.372	< 0.0001	
Yes	50	7.42 (3-14)			
Invasion					
No	31	16.68 (7-24)	55.535	< 0.0001	
Yes	49	6.98 (3-11)			
Surgery					
Radical	26	18.31 (10-24)			
Palliative	28	8.64 (6-11)	113.141	< 0.0001	
Biopsy	26	5.42 (3-9)			
TSG101					
-	38	13.76 (5-24)	18.937	< 0.0001	
+	42	8.00 (3-24)			
PEG10					
-	38	12.40 (4-24)	4.677	0.031	
+	42	9.24 (3-24)			

Table VI. Association between TSG101 and PEG10 expression, clinicopathological characteristics and average survival time of AC patients.

TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, paternally expressed gene 10; AC, adenocarcinoma.

at a higher rate than AC, whereas the prevalence of squamous tumors is less frequent with lymph node metastasis (28,29). Observations from the present study revealed no differences in the occurrence of invasion and lymph node metastasis between AC and SC/ASC, however, more SC/ASC patients had a larger tumor size. In total, 86% of SC/ASC and 74% of AC patients were diagnosed at an inoperable stage, however, for the remaining patients it was apparent that radical resection was a good prognostic factor for AC and SC/ASC. There was no significant difference in the post-operative survival time between cases of AC (10.34 ± 0.63 months) and SC/ASC (10.07 ± 0.78 months). Furthermore, no significant differences in differentiation, TNM stage and surgical curability were found between AC and SC/ASC. These observations indicated that the clinicopathological presentations of SC/ASC did not appear to be significantly different from ordinary AC, and

							95% confidence interval	
Groups	Factors	RC	SE	Wald	P-value	RR	Lower	Upper
Differentiation	Well/Moderate/Poor	1.192	0.449	7.048	0.008	3.294	1.366	7.941
Tumor mass size	≤3 cm/>3 cm	1.127	0.430	6.869	0.009	3.086	1.329	7.169
Gallstone	No/Yes	0.213	0.262	0.661	0.416	1.237	0.740	2.068
TNM stage	I+II/III/IV	1.282	0.452	8.045	0.005	3.604	1.486	8.740
Lymph metastasis	No/Yes	1.456	0.548	7.059	0.008	4.289	1.465	12.555
Invasion	No/Yes	1.420	0.501	8.033	0.005	4.137	1.550	11.045
Surgery	Radical/Palliative/Biopsy	1.420	0.468	9.206	0.002	4.137	1.653	10.353
TSG101	-/+	1.198	0.480	6.229	0.013	3.313	1.293	8.489
PEG10	-/+	1.140	0.495	5.304	0.021	3.127	1.185	8.250

TT 1 1 T TTT	3 6 1.*	• • •	•	1	c • 1		
Toble V/II	A/1111f1	vorinta ('o	v rooroccion	ODOLVCIC O	0110371370	roto in Al	notionto
TADIC VII.	. IVIUIU		X 10910551011	allalysis O	i suivivai		Datients.

^aRC, Regression coefficients; SE, standard error; RR, relative risk; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; TSG101, tumor susceptibility gene 101; PEG10, parentally expressed gene 10; AC, adenocarcinoma.

that squamous differentiation was no more aggressive than glandular differentiation in the gallbladder.

A previous study has demonstrated that the PEG10 gene is overexpressed in leukemia, breast cancer, prostate cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma and pancreatic cancer (11). Knockdown of PEG10 has been shown to inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells (13). Further evidence has demonstrated that PEG10 expression can be regulated by the proto-oncogene, MYC (4), and that PEG10 also interacts with the TGF- β type I receptor, ALK1 (16). Notably, the expression of human telomerase reverse transcriptase is downregulated when PEG10 is knocked down by siRNA (15). This evidence indicates the involvement of PEG10 in carcinogenesis. Similarly, the overexpression of TSG101 has been detected in human papillary thyroid carcinomas (21), ovarian cancer (19), gastrointestinal tumors (22) and colorectal carcinoma (23). Silencing of TSG101 leads to growth arrest and cell death in breast and prostate cancer cells (24). Additionally, overexpression of TSG101 plays an oncogenic role by inactivating p53 through MDM2 upregulation (21,25). This evidence also strongly indicates that TSG101 is involved in tumorigenesis. Certain studies have found that TSG101 is overexpressed in the vincristine-resistant human gastric adenocarcinoma cell line, SGC7901/VCR (30). The same group later found that the silencing of TSG101 expression significantly increased SGC7901/VCR sensitization to chemotherapeutic drugs through reducing adverse drug reactions (31), indicating that TSG101 plays a critical role in chemoresistance. Although no studies have revealed that PEG10 is directly involved in chemoresistance, overexpressed PEG10 is involved in apoptotic resistance (12). This may be an explanation for why chemotherapy and radiation therapy exhibit less of an effect in GBC.

Although the overexpression of PEG10 and TSG101 in cancer cells has been previously studied, their expression in SC/ASC and AC of the gallbladder has yet to be identified. In the present study, an extensive collection of human SC/ASC and AC samples was used to demonstrate that overexpressed PEG10 and TSG101 are associated with large tumor mass size, high TNM stage, lymph node metastasis, invasion and no resection (only biopsy) in SC/ASC and AC, and with poor differentiation in AC. It was also demonstrated that the survival time in patients with overexpression of PEG10 and TSG101 was significantly shorter when compared with patients with lower expression; Cox's multivariate analysis indicated that the overexpression of PEG10 and TSG101 was positively correlated with mortality. The present study indicates that the function of PEG10 and TSG101 may be involved in the progression, metastasis and prognosis of AC and SC/ASC.

In conclusion, the elevated expression of PEG10 and TSG101 in gallbladder SC/ASC and AC samples indicates that they are significant markers for progression, clinical biological behavior and prognosis. The involvement of TSG101 in chemoresistance and the role of PEG10 in apoptosis resistance indicate that these two markers have a strong potential to be developed as a target for gene therapy, which may sensitize chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Also, patients with high PEG10 and TSG101 expression in their tumors are more likely to suffer from invasion and metastatic recurrence. These patients may require close monitoring for clinical signs of relapse, so that therapeutic inventions can be applied early enough for optimal outcomes.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Department of Pathology, Basic School of Medicine, Central South University (Changsha, China); Department of Pathology, Second Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China); Department of Pathology, Third Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China); Department of Pathology, Loudi Central Hospital (Loudi, China); and the Department of Pathology, Hunan Provincial Tumor Hospital (Changsha, China).

References

- 1. Azad MB, Chen Y and Gibson SB: Regulation of autophagy by reactive oxygen species (ROS): implications for cancer progression and treatment. Antioxid Redox Signal 11: 777-790, 2009.
- Pelicano H, Carney D and Huang P: ROS stress in cancer cells and therapeutic implications. Drug Resist Updat 7: 97-110, 2004.
- Storz P: Reactive oxygen species in tumor progression. Front Biosci 10: 1881-1896, 2005.
- Brigelius-Flohé R and Kipp A: Glutathione peroxidases in different stages of carcinogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1790: 1555-1568, 2009.
- Wiseman H and Halliwell B: Damage to DNA by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: role in inflammatory disease and progression to cancer. Biochem J 313: 17-29, 1996.
- Leto TL and Geiszt M: Role of Nox family NADPH oxidases in host defense. Antioxid Redox Signal 8: 1549-1561, 2006.
- 7. Cullen JJ, Mitros FA and Oberley LW: Expression of antioxidant enzymes in diseases of the human pancreas: another link between chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Pancreas 26: 23-27, 2003.
- OnoR,KobayashiS,WagatsumaH,*etal*: A retrotransposon-derived gene, PEG10, is a novel imprinted gene located on human chromosome 7q21. Genomics 73: 232-237, 2001.
- 9. Okabe H, Satoh S, Furukawa Y, *et al*: Involvement of PEG10 in human hepatocellular carcinogenesis through interaction with SIAH1. Cancer Res 63: 3043-3048, 2003.
- Kainz B, Shehata M, Bilban M, *et al*: Overexpression of the paternally expressed gene 10 (PEG10) from the imprinted locus on chromosome 7q21 in high-risk B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Int J Cancer 121: 1984-1993, 2007.
- 11. Tsuji K, Yasui K, Gen Y, *et al*: PEG10 is a probable target for the amplification at 7q21 detected in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 198: 118-125, 2010.
- Chunsong H, Yuling H, Li W, et al: CXC chemokine ligand 13 and CC chemokine ligand 19 cooperatively render resistance to apoptosis in B cell lineage acute and chronic lymphocytic leukemia CD23+CD5+ B cells. J Immunol 177: 6713-6722, 2006.
- Li CM, Margolin AA, Salas M, et al: PEG10 is a c-MYC target gene in cancer cells. Cancer Res 66: 665-672, 2006.
- 14. Ip WK, Lai PB, Wong NL, et al: Identification of PEG10 as a progression related biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 250: 284-291, 2007.
- 15. Jie X, Lang C, Jian Q, *et al*: Androgen activates PEG10 to promote carcinogenesis in hepatic cancer cells. Oncogene 26: 5741-5751, 2007.
- 16. Lux A, Beil C, Majety M, et al: Human retroviral gag- and gag-pol-like proteins interact with the transforming growth factor-beta receptor activin receptor-like kinase 1. J Biol Chem 280: 8482-8493, 2005.

- 17. Ono R, Nakamura K, Inoue K, *et al*: Deletion of Peg10, an imprinted gene acquired from a retrotransposon, causes early embryonic lethality. Nat Genet 38: 101-106, 2006.
- Li L and Cohen SN: Tsg101: a novel tumor susceptibility gene isolated by controlled homozygous functional knockout of allelic loci in mammalian cells. Cell 85: 319-329, 1996.
- Young TW, Rosen DG, Mei FC, *et al*: Up-regulation of tumor susceptibility gene 101 conveys poor prognosis through suppression of p21 expression in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 13: 3848-3854, 2007.
- 20. Bashirova AA, Bleiber G, Qi Y, *et al*: Consistent effects of TSG101 genetic variability on multiple outcomes of exposure to human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 80: 6757-6763, 2006.
- immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 80: 6757-6763, 2006.
 21. Liu RT, Huang CC, You HL, *et al*: Overexpression of tumor susceptibility gene TSG101 in human papillary thyroid carcinomas. Oncogene 21: 4830-4837, 2002.
- 22. Koon N, Schneider-Stock R, Sarlomo-Rikala M, *et al*: Molecular targets for tumour progression in gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Gut 53: 235-240, 2004.
- 23. Ma XR, Edmund Sim UH, Pauline B, *et al*: Overexpression of WNT2 and TSG101 genes in colorectal carcinoma. Trop Biomed 25: 46-57, 2008.
- 24. Zhu G, Gilchrist R, Borley N, *et al*: Reduction of TSG101 protein has a negative impact on tumor cell growth. Int J Cancer 109: 541-547, 2004.
- Li L, Liao J, Ruland J, et al: A TSG101/MDM2 regulatory loop modulates MDM2 degradation and MDM2/p53 feedback control. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 1619-1624, 2001.
- 26. Hawkins WG, DeMatteo RP, Jarnagin WR, Ben-Porat L, Blumgart LH and Fong Y: Jaundice predicts advanced disease and early mortality in patients with gallbladder cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 11: 310-315, 2004.
- 27. Roa JC, Tapia O, Cakir A, Basturk O, Dursun N, Akdemir D, Saka B, Losada H, Bagci P and Adsay NV: Squamous cell and adenosquamous carcinomas of the gallbladder: clinicopathological analysis of 34 cases identified in 606 carcinomas. Mod Pathol 24: 1069-1078, 2011.
- 28. Kondo M, Dono K, Sakon M, *et al*: Adenosquamous carcinoma of the gallbladder. Hepatogastroenterology 49: 1230–1234, 2002.
- Muzio G, Maggiora M, Paiuzzi E, Oraldi M and Canuto RA: Aldehyde dehydrogenases and cell proliferation. Free Radic Biol Med 52: 735-746, 2012.
- 30. Zhao Y, You H, Liu F, *et al*: Differentially expressed gene profiles between multidrug resistant gastric adenocarcinoma cells and their parental cells. Cancer Lett 185: 211-218, 2002.
- 31. Shen H, Pan Y, Han Z, *et al*: Reversal of multidrug resistance of gastric cancer cells by downregulation of TSG101 with TSG101siRNA. Cancer Biol Ther 3: 561-565, 2004.