# scientific reports



## **OPEN** Common variants in *KCNK5* and FHL5 genes contributed to the susceptibility of migraine without aura in Han Chinese population

Zhao Jiang<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Longrui Zhao<sup>1,5</sup>, Xiaojie Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Wenjuan Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Yuxing Feng<sup>4</sup> & Tao Li<sup>1</sup>

A recent genome-wide meta study suggested that rs67338227 in the FHL5 gene and rs10456100 in the KCNK5 gene are associated with migraine from 27 population-based cohorts excluding Chinese population. Given that migraine without aura (MO) is the most common subtype of migraine, our aim was to systematically investigate the relationship of common variants in FHL5 and KCNK5 genes with the susceptibility to MO and provide clues as to the nature of the mechanisms involved in the etiology of migraine. A total of 3306 subjects including 1042 patients with MO and 2264 controls were recruited for the discovery stage, and 2530 individuals including 842 patients with MO and 1688 controls for the replication stage. Twenty-two tag SNPs (7 from FHL5 and 15 from KCNK5) were selected for genotyping. Genetic associations were analyzed at both single-marker and haplotype levels. Potential functional consequences of the significant SNPs were analyzed using gene expression data obtained from the GTEx database. Two SNPs, rs10456100 (KCNK5, P = 9.01 × 10<sup>-9</sup>) and rs7775721 (FHL5,  $P = 6.86 \times 10^{-13}$ ), were determined to be significantly associated with MO in the discovery sample and were then replicated in another sample. In the combined sample set, the T allele of both SNPs was significantly associated with the increased risk of MO. Significant eQTL signals were identified for both SNP rs10456100 and rs7775721. Our findings suggest that the T allele carriers of SNP rs10456100 and rs7775721 are at increased risk of migraine.

Migraine is a common neurovascular-disorder disease with 5%-15% incidence. As the third-highest cause of disability worldwide, severe migraine affects lives and results in serious economic consequences<sup>1-3</sup>. Depending on the clinical manifestations, migraine is characterized into two main types: migraine with aura (MA) and migraine without aura (MO)<sup>4</sup>. Because of the unclear etiology and pathogenesis of migraine, epidemiological studies on migraine are urgently needed. Moreover, developments of biotechnology and methods have contributed to new understanding of the migraine pathogenesis. There is a widely held belief that migraine development depends on the combined effect of genetic, vascular and nervous factors, family and twin studies have also demonstrated that the heritability of migraine is 30-60%, and the genetic contribution appears to differ among subtypes<sup>5-8</sup>.

Although knowledge of the underlying molecular mechanisms of migraine in the early stage is derived mainly from monogenic studies, considerable comprehensive genetic progress has been made in complex diseases currently based on the development of DNA sequencing techniques. In particular, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and meta-analysis in large migraine cohorts recruiting millions of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) distributed across the genome have greatly helped to discover more genetic factors and pathways<sup>9-11</sup>. Comprehensive GWAS research results showed that positive migraine-related loci were particularly concentrated near genes involved in neuronal regulation and vascular functions<sup>5</sup>. Furthermore, neuronal regulations were affected by genes involving ion channels, glutamatergic transmission, nitric oxide or oxidative stress, or

<sup>1</sup>Department of Forensic Medicine, School of Medicine and Forensics, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, 76 Yanta West Road, Xi'an 710061, Shaanxi, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Xijing Hospital of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Xianyang Hospital of Yan'an University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China. <sup>4</sup>Department of Rehabilation and Pain Medicine, the Ninth People's Hospital of Chongging, Chongqing, China. <sup>5</sup>These authors contributed equally: Zhao Jiang and Longrui Zhao. <sup>∞</sup>email: litao050428@ mail.xjtu.edu.cn

pain-sensing. Then, the ion channel *KCNK5* gene was identified as a migraine susceptibility locus. Furthermore, Olsen' research suggested that the vessels dilate during MO and contract during MA<sup>12</sup>. Hence, vascular etiologies play significant roles in common migraine, and the genes implicated in vascular function could also be associated with migraine susceptibility, including the four-and-a-half LIM domains protein 5 (FHL5) gene. For its multiple polyadenylation sites, *FHL5* gene may stimulates vascular smooth muscle proliferation and migration via cAMP activation regulation to result in migraine.

A recent GWA meta study suggested that rs67338227 in the *FHL5* gene and rs10456100 in the *KCNK5* gene are associated with migraine from 27 population-based cohorts excluding Chinese population<sup>10</sup>. Then, Lin et al. found that the A allele of rs13208321 in *FHL5* gene was associated with reduced risk of migraine in a Chinese population<sup>13</sup>, suggesting that the *FHL5* gene is a potential risk gene for migraine. An et al. also performed a multilocus analysis to reveal the association between 17 candidate genes (including *FHL5* gene) and migraine susceptibility in Chinese population, but rs13208321 in *FHL5* gene showed no significant association signal for the susceptibility to migraine<sup>14</sup>. In addition, the relationship between *KNCK5* gene polymorphisms and the risk of migraine remains unknown. Given that MO is the most common subtype of migraine, we conducted this case–control study to evaluate the associations of *FHL5* and *KCNK5* genes with the risk of MO in a Han Chinese population. Our aim was to systematically investigate the relationship of common variants in *FHL5* and *KCNK5* genes with the susceptibility to MO and provide clues as to the nature of the mechanisms involved in the etiology of migraine.

#### Methods

Study subjects. We implemented a two-stage study design. In the discovery stage, a total of 22 SNPs were genotyped in 3306 study subjects. Significant SNPs identified in discovery stage were then genotyped in the independent samples of the replication stage comprising of 2530 study subjects. Subjects including 1042 patients with MO and 2264 healthy age-matched controls were recruited in the headache specialized clinic at the Department of Neurology of Xijing Hospital of Air Force Medical University and Xianyang Hospital of Yan'an University (Xi'an City). Subjects including 842 patients with MO and 1688 healthy age-matched controls were enrolled from the headache specialized clinic at the Department of Neurology of the Ninth People's Hospital of Chongqing (Chongqing City). Two-stage subjects included in the study were unrelated Han Chinese individuals from Xi'an City and Chongqing City. All patients with MO were diagnosed by headache specialists based on the strict neurological examination results according to the criteria of the International Classification of Headache disorders (ICHD-3rd edition). Subjects with severe organic disease, tumors, neurological disorders, histories of depression or other comorbid psychiatric disorders involving migraines and nonmigrainous headaches were excluded. In addition, patients with secondary MO post-head injury were also excluded from the study. All healthy controls with no personal chronic headache were recruited from attendees at the physical examination centers in the hospitals mentioned above. Detailed demographic and medical history questionnaires were collected from all subjects, including age, gender, family history, unilateral pain, pulsating pain, nausea, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia, and aggravation by physical activity. Written informed consent forms were obtained from all subjects. This study was carried out according to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (version 2002) and was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center.

**SNP selection and genotyping.** SNPs located within gene regions of *FHL5* and *KCNK5* were extracted for genotyping. Based on 1000 genome Chinese Han Beijing (CHB) data, we selected 21 tag SNPs (from 162 SNPs with MAF > 0.05) for genotyping (6 from *FHL5* and 15 from *KCNK5*) using the criteria of  $r^2 \ge 0.5$ . In addition, SNP rs67338227 was also included for purposes of replication for a previous meta-study<sup>10</sup>. All 22 SNPs were located at intronic regions of the two genes (Supplemental Table S1). The peripheral blood samples of the study subjects were utilized for genomic DNA extractions based on the Tiangen DNA extraction kit (Tiangen Biotech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China). The Sequenom MassARRAY platform with iPLEX GOLD chemistry (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for SNP genotyping. To minimize artificial factors, laboratory personnel were blinded to labels of case and control for each sample during the experiments<sup>15,16</sup>. Data entry was also reviewed independently by two authors. Finally, 5% of the random sample was replicated for SNP genotyping, and the concordance rate was 100%.

**Statistical power analyses.** We have utilized GAS power calculator (version 4.2.5, http://csg.sph.umich. edu/abecasis/gas\_power\_calculator/) to conduct the statistical power analyses. The parameters utilized for the power analyses were summarized in Supplemental Table S2. The results indicated that our study setting could achieve 82.8% statistical power in detecting a genetic association signal with the genotypic relative risk of 1.2 (Supplemental Figure S1).

**Statistical and bioinformatic analyses.** Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested in controls. Single marker-based genetic associations were analyzed by performing  $\chi^2$  tests. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks were constructed and haplotype-based association analyses were conducted for each LD block. In addition, distributions of clinical variables for patients with migraine in genotype groups of the significant SNPs were also examined. Bonferroni corrections were applied to address multiple comparisons. The threshold of *P* values chosen for discovery stage was  $0.05/22 \approx 0.002$ . Plink<sup>17</sup> and Haploview<sup>18</sup> were utilized for genetic association analyses. R project was used for general statistical analyses<sup>19</sup>.

To examine the potential functional consequences of the significant SNPs, we extracted gene expression data from the GTEx database to investigate the tissue-specific expression quantitative traits loci (eQTL) and

|                                      | Discovery stage (N=3306) |                      |                   |         | Replication stag | e (N=2530)           |                   |         |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|--|--|
| Clinical<br>variables                | Cases<br>(N = 1042)      | Controls<br>(N=2264) | Statistics        | P value | Cases (N=842)    | Controls<br>(N=1688) | Statistics        | P value |  |  |
| Age, mean $\pm$ SD                   | 37.8±7.2                 | 37.8±7.4             | t=0.14            | 0.89    | 36.7±7.1         | 36.8±7.0             | t = -0.34         | 0.73    |  |  |
| Gender (%)                           |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Male                                 | 295 (28)                 | 643 (28)             |                   |         | 187 (22)         | 1310 (78)            |                   |         |  |  |
| Female                               | 747 (72)                 | 1621 (72)            | $\chi^2 = 0.0001$ | 0.99    | 655 (78)         | 378 (22)             | $\chi^2 = 0.0029$ | 0.96    |  |  |
| Family history (%)                   |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 68 (7)                   | 120 (5)              |                   |         | 62 (7)           | 105 (4)              |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 974 (93)                 | 2144 (95)            | $\chi^2 = 1.78$   | 0.18    | 780 (93)         | 1583 (96)            | $\chi^2 = 1.01$   | 0.31    |  |  |
| Unilateral pain (%)                  |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 792 (76)                 | -                    |                   |         | 690 (82)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 250 (24)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 152 (18)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Pulsating pain (%)                   |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 677 (65)                 | -                    |                   |         | 581 (69)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 365 (35)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 261 (31)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Nausea (%)                           |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 854 (82)                 | -                    |                   |         | 674 (80)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 188 (18)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 168 (20)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Vomiting (%)                         |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 771 (74)                 | -                    |                   |         | 598 (71)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 271 (26)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 244 (29)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Photophobia (%)                      |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 834 (80)                 | -                    |                   |         | 657 (78)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 208 (20)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 185 (22)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Phonophobia (%)                      |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 896 (86)                 | -                    |                   |         | 699 (83)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 146 (14)                 | -                    | -                 | -       | 143 (17)         | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |
| Aggrevation by physical activity (%) |                          |                      |                   |         |                  |                      |                   |         |  |  |
| Yes                                  | 969 (93)                 | -                    |                   |         | 758 (90)         | -                    |                   |         |  |  |
| No                                   | 73 (7)                   | -                    | -                 | -       | 84 (10)          | -                    | -                 | -       |  |  |

 Table 1. Demographic and clinical information of the study subjects.

splicing quantitative trait loci (sQLT). The GTEx database (https://www.gtexportal.org/home/) is derived from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project that aims to collect and analyze multiple human tissues that are densely genotyped and analyzed for global RNA expression<sup>20</sup>.

#### Results

**Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study subjects.** A total of 3306 study subjects including 1042 patients with MO and 2264 controls were recruited for discovery stage. A total of 2530 individuals including 842 patients with MO and 1688 controls were enrolled for the replication stage. Age, gender and family history of migraine were compared between controls and patients with migraine in the discovery and replication samples, and no significant differences were identified (Table 1).

Genetic association between MO and SNPs. All 22 genotyped SNPs were in HWE in controls (Supplemental Table S1). Two SNPs were identified to be significantly associated with migraine in the discovery sample and were then replicated in the replication sample (Table 2). In the combined sample set, SNP rs10456100 (*KCNK5*) was significantly associated with migraine  $(\chi^2 = 37.05, P \text{ Value} = 9.01 \times 10^{-9}, \text{ Table 2})$ . The T allele of this SNP was significantly associated with the increased risk of migraine ( $OR_{TT} = 2.11[1.56-2.86]$ ,  $OR_{CT} = 1.29[1.15-2.86]$ ,  $OR_{TT} = 1.29[1.15-2.86]$ , OR1.46], Table 2). As shown in Table 2, SNP rs7775721 (FHL5) was also significantly associated with migraine  $(\chi^2 = 56.02, P \text{ value} = 6.86 \times 10^{-13})$  in the combined sample set, and its T allele was significantly associated with the increased risk of migraine ( $OR_{TT}$  = 1.85 [1.57–2.17],  $OR_{CT}$  = 1.25 [1.11–1.42]). Dose-dependence patterns could be observed for both SNPs. The full results of the single marker-based association analyses in discovery stage are summarized in Supplemental Table S3. LD plots for both genes were constructed (Fig. 1) and only one LD block was identified. It is a two-SNP LD block (rs3892126-rs2815118) located within gene region of KCNK5. No significant signals were identified in haplotype-based association analyses (Supplemental Table S4). For both significant SNPs, there is no significant difference for clinical variables in different genotype groups (Supplemental Table S5). To investigate the potential systematic inflation of association signals caused by population stratification, we generated Q-Q plots based on the results of allelic association analyses and the result is shown in Supplemental Figure S2. No systematic inflation for the association signals could be identified.

|               | SNP        | Genotypic analysis |                   |                      |                     |          |                       | Allelic analy | Allelic analysis <sup>a</sup> |                      |                     |     |                       |  |
|---------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----|-----------------------|--|
| Sample<br>set |            | Geno (%)           | Cases<br>(N=1042) | Controls<br>(N=2264) | OR<br>[95%CI]       | $\chi^2$ | Р                     | Alleles (%)   | Cases<br>(N = 2084)           | Controls<br>(N=4528) | OR[95%CI]           | z   | Р                     |  |
| DS -          | rs10456100 | TT                 | 46 (4)            | 52 (2)               | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СТ                 | 313 (30)          | 580 (26)             | 2.11<br>[1.41-3.17] |          |                       | Т             | 405 (19)                      | 684 (15)             |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СС                 | 683 (66)          | 1632 (72)            | 1.29<br>[1.09–1.52] | 20.3     | 3.9×10 <sup>-5</sup>  | С             | 1679 (81)                     | 3844 (85)            | 1.34<br>[1.21–1.49] | 5.4 | 5.6×10 <sup>-8</sup>  |  |
|               | rs7775721  | TT                 | 206 (20)          | 299 (13)             | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СТ                 | 496 (48)          | 1055 (47)            | 1.84<br>[1.48-2.29] |          |                       | Т             | 908 (44)                      | 1653 (37)            |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СС                 | 340 (32)          | 910 (40)             | 1.26<br>[1.07-1.48] | 31.1     | $1.8 \times 10^{-7}$  | С             | 1176 (56)                     | 2875 (63)            | 1.35<br>[1.18–1.54] | 4.4 | 1.4×10 <sup>-5</sup>  |  |
|               |            |                    | Cases<br>(N=842)  | Controls<br>(N=1688) |                     |          |                       |               | Cases<br>(N=1684)             | Controls<br>(N=3376) |                     |     |                       |  |
| RS –          |            | TT                 | 39 (5)            | 41 (2)               | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               | rs10456100 | СТ                 | 254 (30)          | 432 (26)             | 2.11<br>[1.34-3.30] |          |                       | Т             | 332 (20)                      | 514 (15)             |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СС                 | 549 (65)          | 1215 (72)            | 1.30<br>[1.08-1.57] | 16.7     | $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  | С             | 1352 (80)                     | 2862 (85)            | 1.36<br>[1.17-1.58] | 4.0 | 7.3×10 <sup>-5</sup>  |  |
|               | rs7775721  | TT                 | 168 (20)          | 223 (13)             | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СТ                 | 397 (47)          | 783 (46)             | 1.85<br>[1.45-2.37] |          |                       | Т             | 733 (44)                      | 1229 (36)            |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | CC                 | 277 (33)          | 682 (41)             | 1.25<br>[1.04-1.50] | 24.9     | 3.8×10 <sup>-6</sup>  | С             | 951 (56)                      | 2147 (64)            | 1.34<br>[1.19–1.51] | 4.8 | $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$  |  |
|               |            |                    | Cases<br>(N=1884) | Controls<br>(N=3952) |                     |          |                       |               | Cases<br>(N=3768)             | Controls<br>(N=7904) |                     |     |                       |  |
| CS            | rs10456100 | TT                 | 85 (5)            | 93 (2)               | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СТ                 | 567 (30)          | 1012 (26)            | 2.11<br>[1.56–2.86] |          |                       | Т             | 737 (20)                      | 1198 (15)            |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СС                 | 1232 (65)         | 2847 (72)            | 1.29<br>[1.15-1.46] | 37.1     | 9.0×10 <sup>-9</sup>  | С             | 3031 (80)                     | 6706 (85)            | 1.35<br>[1.22–1.50] | 5.9 | 3.9×10 <sup>-9</sup>  |  |
|               | rs7775721  | TT                 | 374 (20)          | 522 (13)             | -                   |          |                       |               | -                             | -                    |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СТ                 | 893 (47)          | 1838 (47)            | 1.85<br>[1.57–2.17] |          |                       | Т             | 1641 (44)                     | 2882 (36)            |                     |     |                       |  |
|               |            | СС                 | 617 (33)          | 1592 (40)            | 1.25<br>[1.11-1.42] | 56.0     | 6.9×10 <sup>-13</sup> | с             | 2127 (56)                     | 5022 (64)            | 1.34<br>[1.24–1.45] | 7.3 | 3.4×10 <sup>-13</sup> |  |

**Table 2.** Significant results for single marker based association analysis. DS: discovery stage; RS: replication stage; CS: combined set. Geno: Genotypes. Z: Z-statistics. <sup>a</sup>Logistic models were fitted for allelic analysis. OR with 95% confidence intervals and *P* values were adjusted by age and gender.

.....

**Significant eQTL and sQTL signals captured on rs10456100 and rs7775721.** Significant eQTL signals were identified for both SNP rs10456100 and rs7775721 (Supplemental Table S6 and S7). The threshold of *P* values was 0.05/46=0.001. The T allele of rs10456100 was significantly associated with decreased gene expression level of *KCNK5* in subcutaneous adipose tissue (Fig. 2A,  $P=2.70 \times 10^{-10}$ ). On the other hand, the T allele of rs7775721 was significantly associated with decreased gene expression of *FHL5* in the putamen of the brain (Fig. 2B,  $P=2.70 \times 10^{-10}$ ). In addition, we identified widespread significant sQTL signals for rs7775721 on *FHL5* (Table 3). The T allele of rs7775721 was related to the decreased level of alternative splicing of the premRNA for gene *FHL5* from multiple types of human tissues.

#### Discussion

In the present study, we identified two significant genetic association signals, SNP rs10456100 in *KCNK5* and SNP rs7775721 in *FHL5*, of MO in a Chinese Han population. For SNP rs10456100, although a recent large-scale GWA meta-study reported this association signal, a Chinese population was not included in that study<sup>10</sup>. In this sense, our study could be considered a successful replication of this previous study in a Chinese population. The effect direction of SNP rs10456100 was the same for both studies, even though the effect size was considerably greater in the present study. Furthermore, SNP rs67338227 was also reported to be significant in the GWA meta-study. However, in the present study, we did not identify this signal. In addition, another study based on European population indicated that SNP rs9394578 in *KCNK5* was a significant association signal for risk of MO<sup>21</sup>. However, this association signal was also not replicated in our Chinese samples. These inconsistencies could be explained, at least in part, by differences in genetic background of the study populations. For example, the minor allele frequency (the A allele) of SNP rs9394578 was 0.23 in a European population (1000 genome data) compared to 0.08 in our Chinese Han data.

For SNP rs7775721, to the best of our knowledge, no previous study has reported this genetic association signal, although multiple genetic association studies have been conducted for genetic polymorphisms of *FHL5* 



**Figure 1.** Linkage disequilibrium plots for gene *KCNK5* and *FHL5*. (**A**) Linkage disequilibrium plot for gene *KCNK5*; (**B**) Linkage disequilibrium plot for gene *FHL5*.



**Figure 2.** Violin plots for genotypes of SNP rs10456100 and rs7775721 on gene expression level of *KCNK5* and *FHL5*. (**A**) Violin plot for genotypes of SNP rs10456100 on *KCNK5* gene expression levels in human tissue of subcutaneous adipose; (**B**) Violin plot for genotypes of SNP rs7775721 on *FHL5* gene expression levels in human tissue of brain putamen.

and risk of MO. SNP rs2983896 was identified to be significantly associated with risk of MO in a study based on European population in 2016<sup>22</sup>; however, this genetic association signal was not replicated in the present study. Similar to the association signals in *KCNK5*, we believe that this inconsistency could also be explained by the genetic heterogeneity of different populations. In addition, at least two previous studies have investigated the associations between genetic polymorphisms in *FHL5* and risk of MO based on Chinese populations<sup>13,14</sup>. SNP rs13208321 was found to contribute to the risk of MO in both studies. In the present study, this SNP was not included because it was located out of the gene region of *FHL5*.

| Gene | Ref_Alt Alleles | SNP       | P value                | NES   | Tissue                              |
|------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $3.40 \times 10^{-59}$ | -0.9  | Artery—Tibial                       |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $8.50 \times 10^{-37}$ | -0.73 | Adipose—Subcutaneous                |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $1.70 \times 10^{-19}$ | -0.57 | Nerve—Tibial                        |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $3.10 \times 10^{-19}$ | 0.51  | Testis                              |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $3.30 \times 10^{-17}$ | -0.57 | Adipose—Visceral (Omentum)          |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $7.60 \times 10^{-15}$ | -0.49 | Skin—Sun Exposed (Lower leg)        |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $2.10 \times 10^{-14}$ | - 0.5 | Thyroid                             |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $6.90 \times 10^{-14}$ | -0.55 | Artery—Aorta                        |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $3.00 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.48  | Lung                                |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $5.40 \times 10^{-13}$ | -0.55 | Breast—Mammary Tissue               |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $5.60 \times 10^{-13}$ | -0.73 | Artery—Coronary                     |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $7.90 \times 10^{-10}$ | -0.46 | Esophagus—Muscularis                |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $1.10 \times 10^{-6}$  | -0.44 | Esophagus—Gastroesophageal Junction |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $1.20 \times 10^{-6}$  | -0.39 | Heart—Left Ventricle                |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $4.90 \times 10^{-6}$  | -0.28 | Muscle—Skeletal                     |
| FHL5 | C_T             | rs7775721 | $6.10 \times 10^{-6}$  | -0.33 | Esophagus—Mucosa                    |

Table 3. Significant sQTL signals of SNP rs7775721 on FHL5. Ref\_Alt Alleles: reference and alternative alleles.

It is beyond the scope of this study to investigate the pathological significance of the association signals. However, we may still conduct a general discussion based on previous studies and the present one. In general, association signals between an SNP and a disorder could follow one of the two following situations. The first is that this SNP might have true effects on the pathological mechanisms of the disorder. Another is that this SNP is a surrogate of some underlying ungenotyped DNA variant that has true effects on the onset and development of the disorder. One thing interesting to note is that, for both loci, multiple different SNPs have been reported to be associated with MO. Therefore, it is probable that both SNP rs10456100 in *KCNK5* and SNP rs7775721 in *FHL5* might only be surrogates without true effects. With the widespread application of high-throughput technology, many susceptible genes were identified to be contributed to the risk of complex diseases<sup>23–25</sup>, but it is difficult to draw solid conclusions only from SNP results<sup>26–28</sup>. Thus, more research on the genetic architecture of the two loci are still needed in the future to further investigate the pathological significance of the two association signals.

The *KCNK5* gene is located at 6p21.2 with 5 exons. The protein encoded by *KCNK5* gene is a member of the super family K of potassium channel proteins. Multiple lines of evidence have shown that other members of the super family K are also indicated to be linked with migraine susceptibility<sup>29</sup>. K<sup>+</sup> plays an important role in a variety of metabolic activities, and it has to get into the cytoplasm via potassium channels first before its functional implementation. Therefore, *KCNK5* gene possibly regulates the K<sup>+</sup>-related membrane potential and excitability to induce the occurrence and development of migraine<sup>30</sup>. Moreover, high extracellular K<sup>+</sup> can cause vascular smooth muscle contraction, vasospasm, ischemia, and cortex neuron activity inhibition, which in turn leads to migraine<sup>31,32</sup>. Interestingly, in the present study, we found that the T allele of SNP rs10456100 was associated with increased risk of migraine and decreased level of *KCNK5* gene expression. Based on these findings, we hypothesize that this allele might increase extracellular K<sup>+</sup> levels by reducing the transcription of the *KCNK5* gene, in turn increasing the risk of migraine for individuals. More studies in future are still needed to investigate the underlying mechanisms of *KCNK5* gene and pathology of migraine.

The *FHL5* gene is located at 6q16.1 with 8 exons. The protein encoded by *FHL5* gene is coordinately expressed with activator of cAMP-responsive element modulator (CREM) and confers a powerful transcriptional activation function. In the present study, we showed that the T allele of SNP rs7775721 was associated with increased risk of MO and decreased gene expression level of *FHL5*. In addition, bioinformatics evidence also indicated that the T allele of rs7775721 was related to decreased levels of alternative splicing of the pre-mRNA for gene *FHL5* from multiple types of human tissues. Nevertheless, it has not been determined how to connect the functional consequences of rs7775721 and the pathology of migraine. Additional studies should be conducted for *FHL5* gene to unravel the underlying pathological mechanisms.

This study suffers from several limitations. As a candidate gene-based study, it is difficult for us to adjust for population stratifications. Although the Q-Q plot showed no significant inflation of these association signals, we still cannot rule out the possibility that the significant findings might be spurious. In addition, we investigated the potential functional consequences of SNP rs10456100 and SNP rs7775721 through eQTL and sQTL data obtained from public available database. However, we need to be careful to interpret these data because samples collected by the GTEx database are not patients with MO. Gene expression patterns could be quite different in tissues of patients with migraine compared to those of healthy individuals.

In conclusion, our findings indicated that the T allele carriers of SNP rs10456100 and rs7775721 would increase the risk of MO in a Han Chinese population, providing evidence indicating that the *KCNK5* and *FHL5* genes contribute to the susceptibility of migraine. These findings help to elucidate the pathogenesis of migraine.

Received: 11 January 2021; Accepted: 23 February 2021 Published online: 24 March 2021

#### References

- Adams, A. M. et al. The impact of chronic migraine: The chronic migraine epidemiology and outcomes (CaMEO) study methods and baseline results. Cephalalgia 35, 563–578. https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102414552532 (2015).
- 2. Yu, S. Y. et al. Headache care in China. Headache 54, 601-609. https://doi.org/10.1111/head.12330 (2014).
- Danielle, A., Sawyer, J., Sommer, N. & Moore, J. B. Migraine Treatment. Clin. Plast. Surg. 47, 295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cps. 2020.01.003 (2020).
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache, S. The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia 33, 629–808, doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102413485658 (2013).
- Sutherland, H. G. & Griffiths, L. R. Genetics of migraine: Insights into the molecular basis of migraine disorders. *Headache* 57, 537–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/head.13053 (2017).
- 6. Mulder, E. J. *et al.* Genetic and environmental influences on migraine: A twin study across six countries. *Twin Res.* **6**, 422–431. https://doi.org/10.1375/twin.6.5.422 (2003).
- Honkasalo, M. L. et al. Migraine and concomitant symptoms among 8167 adult twin-pairs. Headache 35, 70–78. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1526-4610.1995.hed3502070.x (1995).
- Russell, M. B., Ulrich, V., Gervil, M. & Olesen, J. Migraine without aura and migraine with aura are distinct disorders. A populationbased twin survey. *Headache* 42, 332–336. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1526-4610.2002.02102.x (2002).
- Anttila, V. et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new susceptibility loci for migraine. Nat. Genet. 45, 912–917. https://doi. org/10.1038/ng.2676 (2013).
- Gormley, P. et al. Meta-analysis of 375,000 individuals identifies 38 susceptibility loci for migraine. Nat. Genet. 48, 856. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.3598 (2016).
- Freilinger, T. et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies susceptibility loci for migraine without aura. Nat. Genet. 44, 777-U205. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2307 (2012).
- 12. Olsen, T. S. Migraine with and without Aura—the same disease due to cerebral vasospasm of different intensity—a hypothesis based on Cbf studies during migraine. *Headache* **30**, 269–272. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4610.1990.hed3005269.x (1990).
- Lin, Q. F. et al. Association of genetic loci for migraine susceptibility in the she people of China. J. Headache Pain 16, 1–6. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s10194-015-0553-1 (2015).
- 14. An, X. K. *et al.* Multilocus analysis reveals three candidate genes for Chinese migraine susceptibility. *Clin. Genet.* **92**, 143–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.12962 (2017).
- 15. Guan, F. et al. MIR137 gene and target gene CACNA1C of miR-137 contribute to schizophrenia susceptibility in Han Chinese. Schizophr Res. 152, 97–104 (2014).
- Guan, F. et al. Association of PDE4B polymorphisms and schizophrenia in Northwestern Han Chinese. Hum. Genet. 131, 1047– 1056 (2012).
- Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559–575. https://doi.org/10.1086/519795 (2007).
- Barrett, J. C., Fry, B., Maller, J. & Daly, M. J. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 21, 263–265. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth457 (2005).
- 19. Team, C. R. R: A Language and environment for statistical computing. (2020).
- Ardlie, K. G. et al. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. Science 348, 648–660. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1262110 (2015).
- Yang, Y. H. *et al.* Molecular genetic overlap between migraine and major depressive disorder. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 26, 1202–1216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-018-0150-2 (2018).
- Zhao, H. Y. *et al.* Gene-based pleiotropy across migraine with aura and migraine without aura patient groups. *Cephalalgia* 36, 648–657. https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102415591497 (2016).
- 23. Zhang, T. X. *et al.* Voltage-gated calcium channel activity and complex related genes and schizophrenia: A systematic investigation based on Han Chinese population. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **106**, 99–105 (2018).
- 24. Han, W. *et al.* Relationship of common variants in CHRNA5 with early-onset schizophrenia and executive function. *Schizophr Res.* **206**, 407–412 (2018).
- 25. Guan, F. *et al.* Evaluation of the relationships of the WBP1L gene with schizophrenia and the general psychopathology scale based on a case-control study. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* **183**, 164–171 (2020).
- Guan, F. et al. Genetic polymorphisms of RGS14 and renal stone disease: a case-control study based on the chinese han population. Arch. Med. Res. S0188-4409(20), 32240-32242 (2020).
- 27. Guan, F. et al. Risk of gastric ulcer contributed by genetic polymorphisms of PSCA: A case-control study based on Chinese Han population. Gene 757, 144941–144944 (2020).
- Guan, F. et al. Relationship of SNAP25 variants with schizophrenia and antipsychotic-induced weight change in large-scale schizophrenia patients. Schizophr Res. 215, 250–255 (2020).
- Liu, P. et al. Functional analysis of a migraine-associated TRESK K+ channel mutation. J. Neurosci. 33, 12810–12824. https://doi.org/10.1523/Jneurosci.1237-13.2013 (2013).
- Lafreniere, R. G. & Rouleau, G. A. Migraine: Role of the TRESK two-pore potassium channel. Int. J. Biochem. Cell B 43, 1533–1536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2011.08.002 (2011).
- Jen, J. Calcium channelopathies in the central nervous system. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 9, 274–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(99)80040-3 (1999).
- Andres-Enguix, I. et al. Functional analysis of missense variants in the TRESK (KCNK18) K+ channel. Sci. Rep.-Uk https://doi. org/10.1038/srep00237 (2012).

#### Author contributions

Z.J. and T.L. conceived and designed the study. Z.J. and L.R.Z. carried out candidate SNPs selection and statistical analyses. Z.J., X.J.Z., W.J.Z. and Y.X.F. conducted subject screening. Z.J. and L.R.Z. contributed to the collection and preparation of control DNA samples. Z. J. wrote the paper.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-86374-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021