

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Inf Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 27.

Published in final edited form as: Inf Sci. 2018 ; 21: 235–253. doi:10.28945/4028.

## **Collaborative Transdisciplinary Research in a Small Institution: Challenges and Opportunities**

**Nilda G. Medina**, **Loggina S. Báez**, and **Loyda B. Méndez** Universidad del Este, Carolina, Puerto Rico

## Abstract

**Aim/Purpose**—In this paper, we discuss how a Transdisciplinary (TD) and a Community Based Participatory Research (CBPR) initiative was conceptualized, developed, implemented, and sustained at a small academic institution with limited research infrastructure, emphasizing die role of capacity building.

**Background**—Most examples of die implementation of TD research come from large-scale initiatives in research-intensive institutions or centers widi multiple resources to establish collaborations among experts from different disciplines. However less is known about die implementation of TD and CBPR initiatives in small academic settings.

**Methodology**—This paper includes a discussion of the challenges and lessons learned of this process in a teaching-intensive Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI), which included a research component as part of the institutional priorities when it transitioned to a 4-year college in 2001.

**Contribution**—We hope diat our experience helps odier researchers in similar institutions to engage in diis type of research.

**Findings**—In this case, a collaborative TD and CBPR initiative was successfully implemented despite limited resources for capacity building and research infrastructure, as well as diversity among researchers and community members.

**Recommendation for Researchers**—To sustain institutional collaborative capacity in this type of institution, authors recommend continuous capacity building efforts and the development of modules and/or courses to provide formal TD training for junior faculty while encouraging researchers to interact and collaborate. In addition, the importance of the role of the community liaison is highlighted.

**Impact on Society**—Successful TD and CBPR initiatives may have a positive impact on the reduction or elimination of health disparities which involve complex phenomena that requires a broad view from different perspectives.

Correspondence to: Nilda G. Medina.

<sup>(</sup>CC BY-NC 4.0) This article is licensed to you under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License. When you copy and redistribute this paper in full or in part, you need to provide proper attribution to it to ensure that others can later locate this work (and to ensure that others do not accuse you of plagiarism). You may (and we encourage you to) adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any non-commercial purposes. This license does not permit you to use this material for commercial purposes.

**Future Research**—Even though capacity building can facilitate the implementation of TD and CBPR, many challenges arise as an inherent result of community engagement and the integration of different disciplines. Thus, the need of continuous reflection to acknowledge them becomes critical for advancing TD and CBPR efforts.

#### Keywords

transdisciplinary research; community based participatory research; capacity building; Hispanic Serving Institution

#### Introduction

Health disparities problems involve multiple factors that should be analyzed and evaluated from theoretical frameworks and research models that allow a broad view of these complex phenomena. Transdisciplinary (TD) research offers this opportunity through the integration of different disciplines for the creation of a common conceptual framework to tackle a problem (Rosenfield, 1992). Using a TD approach, investigators from different scientific disciplines, expertise and cultures interact to co-produce knowledge (Abrahams, 2006). Meanwhile, Community Based Participatory Research (CBPR) is a collaborative approach that begins with a research topic of importance to the community and combines knowledge with action, in order to achieve social change to improve health outcomes and eliminate health disparities (WK. Kellogg Foundation Community Health Scholars Program, 2001). Therefore *"TD, community-based, interactive, or participatory research approaches are often suggested as appropriate means to meet both the requirements posed by real-world problems as well as the goals of sustainability"* (Lang et. al, 2012).

The implementation of both TD and CBPR approaches requires a continuous capacity building effort. ESSENCE on Health Research (2014) defines research capacity building as any attempt to increase the ability of individuals and institutions to undertake high-quality research and to engage with the wider community of stakeholders. Most examples of the development and implementation of TD and CBPR initiatives come from large-scale programs led by research-intensive institutions or centers with multiple resources to establish collaborations among experts from different disciplines (Cooper et. al. 2013; Emmons, Viswanath & Colditz, 2008; Stokols, Hall, Taylor & Moser, 2008). However less is known about the process of establishing TD and CBPR initiatives in small academic settings. Therefore, in this paper we outline how a TD and a CBPR research initiative was conceptualized, developed, implemented, and sustained at a small academic institution with limited research infrastructure.

#### Case Study: UNE's TD Research Efforts

Universidad del Este (UNE) is a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI) located in Puerto Rico, whose primary focus is teaching. However, in 2001 a research component was incorporated in UNE's mission and included as a priority in the institutional strategic development plan, initially as a way to enhance science education and provide research experiences to undergraduate students. The transition to a teaching-research institution required the implementation of holistic and sustainable strategies that could have a university-wide

impact. Therefore, based on the definition of TD research, our institution embraced the use of this approach as a strategy to maximize the use of academic and financial resources.

The aims of UNE's TD Research Efforts were to:

- 1. Strengthen UNE's research infrastructure.
- 2. Promote TD research endeavors among its faculty, students and academic units.
- **3.** Develop CBPR initiatives that focus on health disparities affecting in UNE's surrounding communities.

To accomplish these aims, research capacity building was considered a priority to develop die necessary infrastructure to conduct research in health disparities using TD and CBPR approaches.

## Conceptualization of a TD and CBPR Research Agenda

In 2008, our university was awarded a Research Infrastructure for Minority Institutions (RIMI) grant from die National Institutes of Minority Health and Healdi Disparities (NIMHD) to enhance research capacity in health disparity areas (P20MD003355). The overall goal of die NIMHD-RIMI grant was to enhance UNE's research capacity in healdi disparity research at the basic science, preventive health, sociobehavioral, and educational level, as well as to increase student pursuit of advanced studies in diese areas. This presupposed at minimum a multidisciplinary approach to tackle a problem of interest, as it involved die participation of faculty and students from different academic units (i.e., Science & Technology, Healdi, Social Sciences, and Education). The health disparities of interest were asdima and violence at elementary school settings in Puerto Rico. These were selected based on dieir prevalence in Puerto Rican population, and because they provided a wide context for collaboration among diverse disciplines. To address these issues, die VIAS Healdi Disparity Network was created widi die goal of promoting TD and CBPR approaches for die prevention of violence and asdima in UNE's surrounding communities (Lugo, Baez, Medina, & Santiago, 2011).

During die conceptualization phase of VIAS Healdi Disparity Network, careful attention was given to die *Pransdisriplinaty research design principles* (see Table 1) to assemble die violence and asdima research teams. However, since the inclusion of die community in die research process requires a paradigm shift that not only replaces die community's position in research but also re-conceptualizes the role of die researcher, die *CBPR guiding principles* described by Israel and odiers (Israel, Schulz, Parker, & Becker 1998, Israel et al., 2008) (see Table 2) were incorporated as well in die conceptual framework of our TD research initiative.

#### Development of TD and CBPR Research Infrastructure

A core aspect of die development of a TD & CBPR research initiative is to promote capacity building among researchers and community partners, as well as enhance die collaborative readiness among diem. According to ESSENCE (2016) framework for research capacity strengdiening, capacity building goes beyond training, involves shifts in power, elicits

systematic changes, and is influenced by cultural aspects, among odier factors (see Table 3). In UNE's case, the NIMHD-RIMI grant contributed to direct on-going capacity-building efforts towards die development of die administrative, human, and physical infrastructure needed to promote a TD research institutional culture, a critical feature for die success of diis type of initiative. During the five years of die grant, significant institutional and administrative changes were implemented, many of which remain, to continue supporting capacity building efforts. Administrative changes included die creation of the Associate Vice Chancellor's Office of Research, an Institutional Program for die Advancement of Research (PIFI, Spanish acronym), and a Transdisciplinary Research Institute (TRI). The Associate Vice Chancellor's Office of Research was tasked widi the promotion, coordination, administration, and dissemination of research activities and projects through a TD approach. PIFI provides seed money for pilot projects (up to 15K) to gadier preliminary data and submit competitive research proposals for external funding. The TRI functions as a research support unit diat collaborates in die coordination of activities related to: faculty development, curricular assessment of research skills, development of research projects, and research compliance. In addition, full-time research positions (currendy seven) were created to allow faculty to spend all dieir time and effort on research endeavors. Moreover, physical infrastructure dedicated to research was increased to include 1,000 sq. ft. of office space for the TD Research Institute (including workstations widi specialized software for qualitative and quantitative research and access to scientific databases), as well as 1,000 sq. ft. of laboratory space widi specialized equipment in die School of Science and Technology.

Furthermore, the VIAS Health Disparity Network invited local, national, and international experts in TD, CBPR and other research related areas to provide workshops and guidance to UNE's faculty and community partners, as well as to serve on the VIAS External Advisory Board. Among diem were: (a) a Senior Fellow in the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Center for Healdi Policy and Professor of Early Childhood Multicultural Education at die University of New Mexico, (b) die Co-Director of the Resilience Research Centre and Adjunct Professor at die School of Social Work, Dalhousie University, Canada, (c) die Professor and Director of die Research, Evaluation, Measurement, and Statistics program at Texas Tech University (TTU), (d) an Associate Professor in Psychology (Affiliated widi Tatino and Tatin American Studies) at die University of California, Santa Cruz, (e) a Professor of School of Public Healdi, University of Michigan, (f) an Assistant Professor of Department of Healdi Promotion, Education & Behavior, University of Soudi Carolina, (g) Professors of School of Social and Human Sciences and School of Science and Technology, Universidad del Este, (h) die Associate Vice Chancellor's Office of Research, Universidad del Este, (i) die Director of Transdisciplinary Institute of Social Action Research and Associate Professor of University of Puerto Rico, Humacao Campus, (j) the Director, Office of Research Integrity at University of Kentucky, (k) an Associate Professor and Associate Director, Center for Community Healdi, Institute for Public Healdi and Medicine, Northwestern Feinberg School of Medicine, J) die Director of the Department of Pediatrics, (m) an Associate Director for Community Engaged Research, Professor and Head of die Department of Community, and Behavioral Healdi and Director of Prevention Research Center for Rural Health, University of Iowa, and (n) die Professor of die Department of Pediatrics, University of Puerto Rico, Medical Sciences Campus, among others. The

capacity-building activities were coordinated by the TRI, and were attended by faculty, administrators, students, researchers, as well as community stakeholders (see Table 4).

Hall et al. (2008) considered three categories in die evaluation of collaborative-readiness in TD research teams: die contextual-environmental conditions (e.g, institutional support, physical proximity of investigators), intrapersonal characteristics (e.g, research orientation, leadership, among others), and interpersonal factors (e.g, group size, diversity of disciplines represented, previous history of collaboration). In our case, die capacity-building activities helped address these factors by bringing researchers and community stakeholders togedier in a series of face-to-face meetings within an enabling environment that fostered collegiality and cultivated seeds for collaboration. As stated in Medina, Fernandez, Cruz, Jordan and Trenche (2016), these meetings "…helped create a productive environment in which all ideas were listened to and were integrated…" and fostered trust and respect among partners. In addition, it provided a common ground in which a shared language was developed, and teams were assembled to define the research questions and study design, as illustrated by die configuration of the YIAS Healdi Disparity Network.

## Implementation of TD and CBPR Research Initiative

The VIAS Healdi Disparity Network consisted of two distinct TD teams, one for Asdima Prevention and die odier for Violence Prevention. Bodi teams had committees at each participating elementary school, whose members were researchers and undergraduate students from different disciplines and community stakeholders, which included parents, teachers, students, social workers, administrative staff, and other members of the community (see Table 5).

For effective communication among team members, face-to-face meetings at regular intervals (i.e., biweekly) were held to discuss die progress and challenges in die research activities, as well as ideas for new projects. A liaison was appointed to maintain an open line of communication between the community and the researchers. In addition, community engagement activities were frequendy held to raise awareness of healdi disparity issues and to promote familiarity and social cohesiveness among team members and community stakeholders through both formal and informal settings (see Table 6).

An example of one the projects diat stemmed from diese teams and committees was die development, validation, and implementation of a school violence observation instrument designed to gadier information about die characteristics and behavioral patterns of school violence at each school community (Medina et al., 2016; Medina Santiago, Cruz Rivera, Trenche Rodriguez & Baez Avila, 2017). In this study die principal investigator worked collaboratively with die school communities and YIAS' research team during the whole research process. Moreover, faculty and undergraduate students from diverse disciplines were involved in data collection and had also input in die modifications made to die observation instrument and procedure. In addition, diey contributed in data analysis and interpretation. This TD and CBPR effort helped develop a better instrument tailored to the needs of the community and contributed to a better understanding of the phenomenon being researched.

In CBPR efforts, die dissemination of findings should be provided on an ongoing basis, using multiple strategies, so results can be used to guide the development of interventions and policy change (Israel et.al, 2008). In diis case, die results were discussed widi community members and disseminated to die communities at large (e.g, school personnel and parents) dirough oral and written reports. Furdiermore, action plans were developed at each school community to prevent and reduce school violence based on die results of the study. For instance, changes in school organization (e.g, lunch schedules, supervision duties) were implemented and parents were invited to participate in school activities as classroom assistants, lunch monitors, etc. In addition, dissemination of findings extended beyond the partnership itself, involving a community member (a school social worker) as co-audior of publications and co-presenter at conferences and workshops.

Regarding this process of dissemination of results, CBPR recognizes the importance of the discussion and interpretation of die data obtained from die research by all parties involved. However, diis information should be presented to die community in a clear and respectful language, and in ways which will be useful for decision-making and for developing action plans diat will benefit die community (Balcazar, 2003). Thus, multiple strategies of dissemination were used to communicate the results on an ongoing basis to different audiences (see Table 7).

## Sustainability of TD and CBPR Research Efforts

Sustainability is a core concept in TD, CBPR, and capacity-building principles. Therefore, early and continuous capacity building efforts are necessary to sustain TD and CBPR research efforts and collaborations. According to Hacker and colleagues (2012), "capacity building can be seen as both a determinant of sustainability and an outcome of it. Some have even referred to this as capacity sustainability" (p.2). Referring to the conceptual model for the evaluation of collaborative initiatives described by Hall et al. (2008), the capacity building activities during the development and implementation of our TD initiative, not only enhanced the collaborative readiness of the teams, but also their collaborative capacity which in turn translated into sustainable collaborative products.

For instance, the enabling institutional environment for cross-disciplinary collaborations, the development of research skills through capacity-building activities, and the convergence of investigators through formal and informal settings contributed to the submission in 2013 of a grant to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), aimed to determine the impact of urban environmental stressors in student health and achievement by means of a CBPR and TD approach. For this grant submission, the research team was composed of investigators from both TD teams of the VIAS Health Disparity Network working together towards a common goal. Even though the grant was not awarded, it received favorable comments from reviewers, but more importantly it set up the stage for future TD collaborations in UNE. Thus, in 2015, two of the researchers involved in the submission of the EPA grant were awarded an Academic Research Enhancement Award (AREA-R15) from NIMHD (R15MD010201). The purpose of this research mechanism is to stimulate research in educational institutions that have not been major recipients of NIH support, and is intended to support small-scale research projects (National Institutes of Health [NIH], n.d.). As a

small institution, being a recipient of this grant is an encouraging and positive outcome of fostering TD collaborations, since it has been the first of its kind to be awarded to UNE and it had provided continuity to the collaboration with the surrounding school communities.

In addition, one of the guiding principles of CBPR is that it involves systems development through cyclical and iterative processes, including those for developing partnerships and establishing mechanisms of sustainability (Israel et al. 1998). This is also true for TD and capacity building research efforts. Hall and colleagues (2012), proposed a four-phase model of TD team-based research in which a cyclical progression occur through the phases (i.e. development, conceptualization, implementation, and translation) as well as recursive and iterative movements among them during the life cycle of a TD initiative. These movements may lead to new research directions and changes in the TD team. Indeed, the evolution of the TD team is a key process in the translation phase in which the development of new collaborations that provide additional expertise can aid in moving the TD research findings from one level of analysis to another and/or across the discovery-development-delivery continuum (Hall et al. 2012). In our case, the VIAS Health Disparity Network evolved into Project ECO-RED. The main goal of this project is to examine the relationships between exposure to traffic-related air pollution and the risk of developing respiratory and neurocognitive impairments in Puerto Rican children. The new research direction in our TD initiative required the addition of an epidemiologist and a respiratory therapist to the previous research team of the environmental toxicologist, school psychologist, and academic research psychologist that participated in the VIAS teams.

## Challenges and Lessons Learned

Although we have been able to successfully implement and sustain a collaborative TD and CBPR research initiative we still face challenges (see Table 8) that have been reported in the literature on these topics (Kessel & Rosenfield, 2008; Stokols, Misra, Moser, Hall & Taylor, 2008; Vogel et al., 2014). For instance, there are institutional barriers, such as emphasis on academic-teaching tasks for faculty that does not have a research appointment. In our institution, most faculty are part-time and mostly hired for teaching. In addition, of die 154 full-time faculty members only 54.5% have a doctoral degree. These factors limit opportunities for collaboration widiin the institution. However, it has encouraged us to develop collaborations and partnerships with other institutions and organizations, which have enhanced our TD and CBPR research team.

The implementation of a research approach particularly focused on TD proved to be costeffective to our institution as die investigators shared resources towards a same goal. However, as die research teams and projects keep expanding in disciplines and scope there is a need for additional research infrastructure and continuous capacity-building efforts to support die changing demands of die TD research. This element has been more difficult to sustain since, as a small institution with a primary focus on teaching, diere are limited resources and opportunities for die development of areas designated for research activities. Also in contrast with research-intensive institutions, UNE receives less dian 530,000 USD a year in research grants and thus limited indirect costs. In addition, our institution does not have a critical mass of investigators to be able to successfully compete for major grants for

construction, instrumentation, research centers, etc. This has created the need to identify odier types of funding sources. For instance, UNE is one of die primarily undergraduate institutions served by the Puerto Rico IDeA Network for Biomedical Research Excellence (PR-INBRE, P20GM103475–14), which are funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of die National Institutes of Healdi. Through PR-INBRE, UNE investigators have access to core instrumentation facilities, opportunities for collaboration widi an island-wide network of researchers, career-development workshops, and research training. Furthermore, PR-INBRE has provided funds for alteration and renovation of laboratory spaces, research instrumentation, and pilot projects.

During the initial phases of the implementation of the TD and CBPR research initiative, a considerable time and effort was devoted to capacity-building activities focused on TD and CBPR training. This proved to be effective in stimulating collaborations among investigators and the community. Unfortunately, after the initial funding from the NIMHD-RIMI grant, these capacity-building activities have scaled-down, which has limited the participation of additional faculty in the TD research projects. We emphasize the need for training junior faculty since traditionally most investigators have not been involved in TD research and thus many lack the skills and dispositions to engage successfully in TD collaborative efforts. While others have focused on TD training for graduate students and postdoctoral fellows, as those described by Nash et al. (2003) and James, Gehlert, Bowen and Colditz. (2015), as a small academic institution without doctoral programs, this may not be feasible. Thus, to sustain the institutional collaborative capacity, more emphasis should be made in developing modules and/or courses to provide formal TD training to faculty while encouraging researchers to interact and collaborate (Nash, 2008).

Diversity in values and attitudes, as well as differences in terminology, methods and techniques among researchers across different disciplines and community members are known barriers for TD and CBPR (Vogel et al., 2014). Thus, to promote effective communication between the researchers and the community, a liaison was appointed to facilitate the flow of information. Initially, the functions of the liaison were mainly structural tasks such as coordination and information exchange. However, throughout the tenure of VIAS Health Disparity Network, it became clear that the liaison was more than just an intermediary between parties, but a key player for the successful implementation of TD and CBPR initiative. Gray (2008) states that brokers who function as representatives and liaisons are the most crucial in large TD teams as they are the only links connecting diverse groups. In our case, the liaison not only provided linkage, but also assumed a role of leadership due to the unique position of centrality within the TD teams. Thus, the liaison provides support to the principal investigators by facilitating team-based processes, maintaining frequent communication, and serving as translator to maintain a clear message and build trust among team members. As such, the liaison must be skilled in group processes, conflict resolution, and interpersonal communication. Since the role of the liaison takes a considerable amount of time and effort, in our TD and CBPR initiatives, an academic research psychologist has been exclusively tasked with this endeavor.

## Conclusion

This article focuses on CBPR and TD approaches to the study of health disparities, paying attention to the capacity building component as an important part of the process of achieving TD knowledge generation. As illustrated in Figure 1, capacity building shares core aspects with CBPR and TD efforts such as building and developing collaborations, supporting and sustaining research efforts, as well as evaluating and monitoring the research process.

Capacity building sessions encouraged the convergence of researchers from different disciplines towards the same goals, providing a common ground to develop new ideas and projects to address health disparities in our communities. This became an opportunity to maximize limited research resources in our institution by expanding the scientific network of the researchers, increasing collaborations, and enhancing the translation of potential solutions to address the needs of community stakeholders. Even though capacity building can facilitate the implementation of TD and CBPR research, many challenges arise as an inherent result of community engagement and the integration of different disciplines. Thus, the need of continuous reflection to acknowledge them, becomes critical for advancing TD and CBPR research efforts.

## Acknowledgements

Participating communities, members of die VIAS Healdi Disparity Network, Dr. Carlos Santiago, Dr. Eduardo Lugo, Dr. Ivis Figueroa, Dr. Teresa Pedroso, members of Project ECO-RED, UNE's Transdisciplinary Research Institute (TRI), and Luis Iturralde, Associate Vice-Chancellor of Research.

Funding

NIMHD-RIMI (P20MD003355), PR-INBRE (P20GM103475–14), NIMHD-NIH-R15 (R15MD010201), and UNE's Institutional Program for die Advancement of Research (PIFI, Spanish acronym).

## BIOGRAPHIES



**Dr. Nilda G. Medina** is an Associate Professor at the School of Social and Human Sciences of Universidad del Este (UNE) in Carolina, Puerto Rico. She holds a PhD in Academic-Research Psychology from the University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus and a master's Degree in General Psychology from New York University. From 2011 to 2015 she was a Research Professor in UNE's Project VIAS-RIMI and is currently Principal Investigator of several research projects, including Project ECO-RED (Effects of air pollutants on respiratory health and cognition of Puerto Rican children - R15MD010201), funded by die National Institute of Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD) of die National Institutes of Healdi (NIH). Likewise, she has worked as a school psychologist in die public and private sector. Her research interests include: neurocognitive development, learning disabilities, school violence and school climate.



**Dr. Loggina S. Baez** is die School Liaison of die *Project Effects of Air Pollutants in Respiratory Health and Cognition of Puerto Rican Children* (1R15MD01201–1) at Universidad del Este, Carolina Campus, Puerto Rico. She has also taught courses in die Graduate Program of Clinical Social Work of die same institution. Dr. Baez Avila has background in Academic-Research Psychology, with specific training in school contexts research, community work, Transdisciplinary and Community Based Participatory (CBPR). She has coordinated VIAS Healdi Disparity Network (RIMI grant), Violence and Asthma Minority Research Network (I *TAS Project* - NIH-NIMHD) at Universidad del Este, and also coordinated a NIMH program (NIMH R24) diat offered support to faculty and advanced graduate students interested in mental healdi and HIV/AIDS at die University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus. In 2010, she received a Ph.D. degree in Psychology from University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus. Her research interests are: CBPR, Transdisciplinary Science, Cognitive Psychology, Sport Psychology and Neuropsychology.



**Dr. Loyda B. Mendez** is an Associate Professor in the School of Science and Technology at Universidad del Este in Carolina, Puerto Rico. After completing her Ph.D. in Environmental Toxicology at the University of California, E-vine in 2006, she did postdoctoral studies in Biochemistry and Aerosol Dosimetry. From 2011 to 2013 she was die Associate Director and Research Specialist of die Air Pollution Healdi Effects Taboratory at the University of California, Irvine. In 2013, she joined die VIAS Health Disparity Network, which used Transdisciplinary (TD) and Community Based Participatory Research (CBPR) approaches for the Prevention of Asthma and Violence in local elementary schools. Currently, Dr. Mendez is a principal investigator of project ECO-RED, which goal is to study the effects of traffic-related air pollutants in the respiratory and cognitive healdi of Puerto Rican children. Her main research interest is to understand the adverse healdi effects of inhaled toxicants in susceptible populations.

## References

- Abrahams DB (2006). Applying transdisciplinary research strategies to understanding and eliminating health disparities. Health Education & Behavior, 33(4), 515–531. 10.1177/109019810628773216769758
- Balcazar FE (2003). Investigacion accion participativa (iap): Aspectos conceptuales y dificultades de implementacion [Participatory action research (PAR): Conceptual aspects and implementation difficulties]. Fimdamentos en hmnanidades, IIII (7/8).
- Cooper LA, Boulware LE, Miller ER, Golden SEL, Carson KA, Noronha G, ... Brancati FL (2013). Creating a transdisciplinary research center to reduce cardiovascular health disparities in Baltimore,

Maryland: Lessons learned. American Jonrnal of Public Health, 103(11), e26–e38. 10.2105/AJPH. 2013.301297

- Emmons KM, Viswanath K, & Colditz GA (2008). The role of transdisciplinary collaboration in translating and disseminating health research: Lessons learned and exemplars of success. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S204–210. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.05.00918619401
- ESSENCE on Flealth Research. (2014). Seven principles for strengthening research capacity in low and middle-income countries: sittfle ideas in a conflex world. ESSENCE Good practice document series. World Flealth Organization.
- ESSENCE on Health Research. (2016). Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Frameivork for Research Capacity Strengthening. ESSENCE Good practice document series. World Health Organization.
- Gray B (2008). Enhancing transdisciplinary research through collaborative leadership. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S124–132. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.03.03718619392
- Hacker K, Tendulkar SA, Rideout C, Bhuiya N, Trinh-Shevrin C, Savage CP, ... DiGirolamo A (2012). Community capacity building and sustainability: Outcomes of community-based participatory research. Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action, 6(5), 349– 360. 10.1353/cpr.2012.0048
- Hall KL, Stokols D, Moser RP, Taylor BK, Thornquist MD, Nebeling LC, & Jeffery RW (2008). The collaboration readiness of transdisciplinary research teams and centers findings from the National Cancer Institute's TREC Year-One evaluation study. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S161–172. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.03.03518619396
- Hall KL, Vogel AL, Stipelman B, Stokols D, Morgan G, & Gehlert S (2012). A four-phase model of transdisciplinary team-based research: Goals, team processes, and strategies. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2(4), 415–430. 10.1007/sl3142-012-Q167-y23483588
- Israel BA, Schulz AJ, Parker EA, & Becker AB (1998). Review of community-based participatory research: Assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. Annual Review of Public Health, 19(1), 173–202. 10.1146/annurev.publhealth.19.1.173
- Israel BA, Schulz AJ, Parker EA, Becker AB, Allen AJ, & Guzman R (2008). Critical issues in developing and following CBPR principles In Minkler M & Wallerstein N (Eds.), Community based participator research for health: From process to outcomes (2nd ed.) (pp. 47–66). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- James AS, Gehlert S, Bowen DJ, & Colditz GA (2015). A framework for training transdisciplinary scholars in cancer prevention and control. Journal of Cancer Education, 30(4), 664–669. 10.1007/ sl3187-014-Q771-225510368
- Kessel E, & Rosenfield PL (2008). Toward transdisciplinary research: Historical and contemporary perspectives. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S225–234. 10.1016/j.amepre. 2008.05.00518619403
- Lang DJ, Wiek A, Bergmann M, Stauffacher M, Martens E, Moll E, Swilling M, & Thomas CJ (2012). Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges. Sustainability science: Bridging the gap between science and society, 7, 25–43. 10.1007/ s11625-011-0149-x
- Lugo E, Baez L, Medina N, & Santiago C (2011). El proyecto VIAS: Accion y transformacion para la prevencion de la violencia escolar a traves de la Investigacion Basada en la Participacion [Project VIAS: Action and transformation for school violence prevention through CBPR]. Ambitos de Encuentro, 4, 1, 125–153.
- Medina N, Fernandez G, Cruz T, Jordan N, & Trenche M (2016). Community participation in the development and validation of a school violence observation instrument. Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action, 10(2), 251–258. 10.1353/cpr.2016.0020
- Medina Santiago N, Cruz Rivera T, Trenche Rodriguez M, & Baez Avila L (2017). Estudio participativo para el desarrollo de un instrumento de observacion de violencia escolar. [Participatory research to develop a school violence observation instrument]. Ambitos de Encuentro, 10(2), pp. 48–66.
- Nash JM (2008). Transdisciplinary training key components and prerequisites for success. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S133–140. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.05.00418619393

- Nash JM, Collins BN, Loughlin SE, Solbrig M, Harvey R, Krishnan-Sarin S, & Spirito A (2003). Training the transdisciplinary scientist: A general framework applied to tobacco use behavior. Nicotine cT Tobacco Research, 5(Suppl 1), S41–53. 10.1080/14622200310001625528
- National Institutes of Health (NIH). (n.d.). Academic Research Enhancement Award. Retrieved from: https://area.nih.gov/
- Rosenfield EL (1992). The potential of transdisciplinary research for sustaining and extending linkages between the health and social sciences. Social Science and Medicine, 35, 1343–1357. 10.1016/0277-9536i92190Q38-R1462174
- Stokols D, Hall KL, Taylor BK, & Moser RP (2008). The science of team science: Overview of the field and introduction to the supplement. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S77–89. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.05.00218619407
- Stokols D, Misra S, Moser RP, Hall KL, & Taylor BK (2008). The ecology of team science: Understanding contextual influences on transdisciplinary collaboration. American journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(2 Suppl), S96–115. 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.05.00318619410
- Vogel AL, Stipelman BA, Hall KL, Nebeling L, Stokols D, & Spruijt-Metz D (2014). Pioneering the transdisciplinary team science approach: Lessons learned from National Cancer Institute grantees, journal of Translational Medicine and Epidemiology, 2(2), 1027.25554748
- WK. Kellogg Foundation Community Health Scholars Program. (2001). Stories of impact [Brochure]. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, School of Public Health Scholars Program, National Program Office.



**Figure 1.** Core concepts shared by TD, CBPR, and capacity building efforts

#### Page 14

## Table 1.

Transdisciplinary research design principles (Lang et. al, 2012)

Principle	Description		
Phase A: Design principles for collaborative problem framing and building a collaborative research team			
Build a collaborative research team	Identify researchers, collaborators and stakeholders with expertise in the research problem, and facilitate explicit team-building processes.		
Create joint understanding and definition of the sustainability problem to be addressed	Define the sustainability problem and make sure all team members are involved in that process.		
Collaboratively define the boundary/research object, research objectives as well as specific research questions, and success criteria	Formulate an overall research object in which all the partners agree on common success criteria.		
Design a methodological framework for collaborative knowledge production and integration	The research team must agree upon a jointly developed methodological framework that defines how the research target will be pursued in the next phase and what settings will be employed.		
Phase B: Design principles for co-creation of solution oriented and transferable knowledge through collaborative research			
Assign and support appropriate roles for practitioners and researchers	In each research effort, the tasks, roles and responsibilities of the scientists and practitioners, must be clearly defined in a transparent process.		
Apply and adjust integrative research methods and transdisciplinary settings for knowledge generation and integration	The research team employs a medthodological framework to generate solutions to the research problem, as well as develop suitable settings for inter- and transdisciplinary cooperation and knowledge integration.		
Phase C: Design principles for (re-)integrating and applying the created knowledge			
Realize two-dimensional integration	Review the research outcomes and evaluate if its implementation served to solve or mitigate the problem addressed.		
Generate targeted product for both parties	The research products, such as publications, must be appropriate to both researchers and partners so they can use that information for real-world problem-solving, scientific progress and/or innovation.		
Evaluate scientific and societal impact	Evaluate the project at different stages after completion.		
General Design Principles cutting across the three phases	General Design Principles cutting across the three phases		
Facilitate continuous formative evaluation	Formative evaluation must involve experts related to the topical field and transdisciplinary research. This process should allow to review the progress and reshape the subsequent project steps and phases, if necessary.		
Mitigate conflict constellations	The researchers and practitioners must prepare and anticipate conflict at the outset, as well as adapted agreements should accompany the transdisciplinary research process over the entire course of the project.		
Enhance capabilities for and interest in participation	Pay adequate attention to the material and intellectual capabilities that are required for effective and sustained participation in the project over time.		

Author Manuscript

## Table 2.

## CBPR guiding principles (Israel et al., 1998; Israel et al., 2008)

Principle	Description
Recognizes community as a unit of identity.	Communities can be geographic, neighborhoods or groups that do not share these characteristics, but have a common sense of identity that involves a sense of emotional connection and identification with others.
Builds on strengths and resources within the community	Considers, includes and works with the skills and resources of the people involved, the networks that the community has to support its self-management process, and the social structures that contribute to the ability of community members to work together.
Facilitates collaborative, equitable partnerships in all phases of the research.	The norms of partnerships include mutual respect; recognition of the knowledge, expertise, and resource capacities of the participants in the process; and open communication.
Promotes co-learning and capacity building among all partners.	The co-learning process facilitates the reciprocal transfer of knowledge, skills, and capacity.
Integrates and achieves a balance between research and action for the mutual benefit of all partners.	Seeks to create a balance between the generation of scientific research and application of knowledge resulting from it in favor of community efforts that lead to social change.
Involves a long-term process and commitment.	The relationships and commitment of all partners involved go beyond the culmination of a specific project or funding period since the problems faced by the communities are not limited by the time established by a project or funding agencies.
Involves systems development through a cyclical and iterative process.	The cyclical and iterative process should include partnership development, community assessment, problem definition, determination of action, and mechanisms for sustainability among odiers.
Emphasizes local relevance of public health problems and ecological perspectives that recognize and attend to the multiple determinants of health and disease.	It emphasizes an ecological approach that involves individuals, the immediate context in which they live, and the broader context in which they are embedded.
Disseminates findings and knowledge gained to all partners and involves all partners in the dissemination process.	The data obtained from the research must be: interpreted and discussed by all parties involved, presented to the community in a clear and respectful language and in ways that will be useful for the community, and disseminated among and beyond the partnership itself.

## Table 3.

Research capacity building principles (ESSENCE on Health Research, 2014, 2016)

Principle	Description
Network, collaborate, communicate, and share experiences	Involves collaboration between many stakeholders, ability to communicate effectively, as well as finding out about and becoming part of a network of research activity.
Understand the local context and accurately evaluate existing research capacity	Promote and facilitate the production of research relevant to the society by engaging community representatives that can assert the most pressing priorities to be addressed to ensure equity. Perform needs assessment of current and planned research capacity for monitoring and evaluation efforts. Support guidance, tools and training in evaluation and enable stake-holders to conduct independent evaluations.
Ensure local ownership and secure active support	Engage research leaders in academic, private and public sectors to identify gaps in research capacity and consider local priorities, in order to formulate research development strategies. Involve stakeholders in the design, implementation and evaluation phases of research initiatives.
Build in monitoring, evaluation and learning from the start	Incorporate an agreed framework to evaluate and monitor capacity building efforts before they are implemented. Include quantitative and qualitative indicators of success that can be used for comparative analysis. Provide periods for "reflection on action" to respond to changing circumstances throughout the process.
Establish robust research governance and support structures, and promote effective leadership	Strengthen structures and systems that enable high-level decision. Facilitate customary research work such as ethics reviews, grant management and budget. Relationship between components can be used to design more sustainable capacity building strategies by harmonizing efforts and ensure complementarity. Support research training in essential leadership and management skills to establish and maintain effective relations within research teams and with other stakeholders.
Embed strong support, supervision and mentorship structures	Foster tailored, flexible regular support from knowledgeable and passionate supervisors and mentors to produce high-quality, timely and relevant research. Promote training to develop mentoring skills at all levels to ensure early career researchers become effective mentors and thus help drive sustainability.
Think long-term, be flexible and plan for continuity	Develop a long-term systemic approach that impacts multiple levels, (individual and institutional) to reach a critical self-sustaining mass of research capacity. Place strong emphasis on developing fundraising and policy engagement skills to encourage long-term sustainable support for high-quality research.

#### Table 4.

## Capacity-building activities and workshops

Category	Activities/Workshops	Audience
TD & CBPR	CBPR: Rationale, benefits, and challenges Setting the stage: The why, what, and how of interdisciplinary/transdisciplinary inquiry Open dialogue about TD research School violence prevention and CBPR: Strengths and challenges of community- university relations Challenges of school community engagement to prevent youth violence	Faculty
	Introduction to CBPR	Faculty & students
	CBPR & Health Disparities Summer Activities Engaging youth in participatory action research	Community
Health disparity issues	School needs assessment on violence and asthma Fundamentals of school violence Psychological aspects of school violence	Teachers
	Laws regarding self-administration of asthma rescue medications in schools Psychological Services Guide	Community
	Asthma as a multifactor illness: Research and service opportunities Particulate matter and asdima: Physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms	Faculty
	Environmental factors & asdima Asthma management in children & adults	Faculty & community
Research development &	Grant writing and external resources	Faculty
methods	Statistical Analysis: Theoretical Aspects Quantitative mediods & SPSS Application of multivariate statistical models in the behavioral and social sciences Qualitative methods & In-Vivo Understanding the obscure and taken-for-granted: The use of visual methods Photovoice Strategies for Youth Violence Prevention	Faculty & students
Ethics	Responsible conduct of research	Faculty & students
	Responsible conduct of research & CBPR	Community
	Ethics in CBPR	IRB members
	Ethics in mentorship	Faculty & students
Mentorship	Monthly mentoring training series (Introduction to mentoring, Individual development plan, etc.) VIAS-RIMI Mentoring Guide	Faculty & students
	Writing effective letters of recommendation	Faculty

#### Table 5.

## VIAS Health Disparity Network teams

TD Teem	Disciplines represented		
ID leam	Researchers	Undergraduate students	Community members
Asthma prevention	Medicine Public Health Demography Environmental Toxicology Academic/Research Psychology	Biology Biotechnology Microbiology Nursing Social Work	Teachers Counselor Social workers Librarian Parents Students Administrative Assistant School directors Leaders of community organizations Government officials
Violence prevention	Clinical/Community Psychology School Psychology Academic/Research Psychology	Criminal Justice Education Psychology Social Work	

## Table 6.

## Community Engagement Activities

Category	Activities
Awareness	World Asthma Day "No smoking" week Art Contests Health Fairs Movie Forums
Outreach	Mothers' SPA day School Open House Annual Turkey Run Christmas Fighting Field day Reading Week
Service	Reforestation of school areas Vegetable garden Workshops for students, teachers, school staff Environmental health student club Fundraising for school activities

## Table 7.

## Dissemination strategies

Strategy	Audience
VIAS biannual newsletter Brochures Teachers meeting Parents-teacher meetings Study Reports (Biannual)	School com-munity mem- bers (students, parents, school personnel)
Faculty meetings Brown-bag series: "Almorzando y conversando" Seminar series: "Jornadas para la discussion de investigaciones y productos de labor creativa" Institutional magazine: Medina, N.G., & Mendez, T.B. (2016, May). Se investiga la relación entre la contaminación atmosférica y las condiciones respiratorias en ninos. UNEVISION, 14,17. Retrieved from: http:// www.suagm.edu/une/publicaciones/unevision/unevision_2016_mayo	Institutional personnel (administrators, faculty, staff, students)
Documentary: López Román, F. A. (Producer & director). (2011). La investigación acción participativa y la violencia escolar [Documentary]. Colección Jesús T. Piñero (LB 1028.25.P9 148 2011). Universidad del Este, Carolina, PR. Excerpt retrieved from: https://vimeo.com/23142488/description Interviews: Méndez, L.B., & Medina, N.G. (2016, December 8) Efectos de contaminantes at-mosféricos en la salud respiratoria y cognición de niños puertorriqueños (Proyecto ECO-RED). Interview by L. Gómez. In Utopística [Web-based broadcast]. Retrieved from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XwD845VaBDU Lugo, E., Medina, N.G., & Santiago, C. (2013, October 6) Prevention of School Violence through research work of Violence Prevention Component of VIAS-RIMI. Interview by J. Rodríguez-Cancel. In UNEVISION [Television broadcast]. San Juan, PR: Sistema TV WMTJ Méndez, L.B., & Medina, N.G. (2016, July 2). Proyecto ECO-RED. Interview by M. Carrasquillo. In Ruta U: Revista Pitirre [Television broadcast]. San Juan, PR: Sistema TV WMTJ Medina, N.G. (2014, April). Effects of school violence in academic achievement. Interview by David Reyes. In Noticias 24/7 [Television broadcast]. Ciudad, PR: Channel 6 WIPR Medina, N.G. (2010, June 6). School Violence and Bullying. Interview In Comuni-dad Ley y Orden [Radio broadcast]. Ciudad, PR: Radio Vida 90.5 FM	Community-at-large

## Table 8.

## CBPR, TD and capacity building principles and challenges of sustainability

Principles	Challenges	Response to the challenges
CBPR   Recognizes community as a unit of identity   Facilitates collaborative, equitable partnerships in   all phases of the research   Integrates and achieves a balance between   research and action for the mutual benefit of all   partners   TD   Collaborative problem framing and building a   collaborative research team (Phase A)   Co-creation of solution-oriented and transferable   knowledge through collaborative research (Phase B)   Mitigate conflict constellations   Capacity Building   Network, collaborate, communicate and share   experiences   Ensure local ownership and secure active support	Diversity in values and attitudes, as well as differences in terminology, methods and techniques among researchers across different disciplines and community members Requires more time Requires more faculty participation	Collaborations and partnerships with other institutions and organizations, which have enhanced our TD and CBPR research team Recruitment of a liaison to promote effective communication between researchers and community
CBPR Builds on strengths and resources within the community Involves a long-term process and commitment Capacity Building Understand the local context and accurately evaluate existing research capacity	Small institution with a primary focus on teaching Limited resources and opportunities for the development of areas designated for research activities. Lack of a critical mass of investigators to be able to successfully compete for major grants for construction, instrumentation, research centers, etc. (Most faculty is part- time and only 54% of faculty members have doctoral degree)	Investigators shared resources towards a same goal Need to identify odier types of funding sources for investigators to have access to facilities, instrumentation, collaborations, career development, and research trainings (i.e. — PR-INBRE, UNE) Strengthening the capacity building component Peer mentoring
CBPR   Promotes co-learning and capacity building among all partners   TD   Enhance capabilities for and interest in participation   Capacity Building   Establish robust research governance and support structures, and promote effective leadership	Difficulty to maintain activities aimed at capacity building when the funding period ends for programs that contribute to develop research infrastructure	Training of junior faculty Peer mentoring Develop modules and/or courses to provide formal TD training
CBPR Involves systems development through a cyclical and iterative process TD Facilitate continuous formative evaluation Capacity Building Build in monitoring, evaluation and learning from the start	Limited human resources Tack of critical mass of investigators to compete for major grants	Institutional seed funds Collaboration between faculty with common research interests TD team-based research
CBPR Disseminates findings and knowledge gained to all partners and involves all partners in the dissemination process TD (Re-) integrating and applying the created knowledge (Phase C)	Lack of experience in research work that involves community members as partners in the research process Institutional processes unrelated to this type of work	Institutional support to train researchers and community partners External collaborators with expertise in IRB process, writing of scientific articles, and presentations in professional forums, etc.