ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical study and some molecular features of Mexican patients with syndromic craniosynostosis

Aurora Ibarra-Arce¹ | Manuel Almaraz-Salinas² | Víctor Martínez-Rosas² | Gabriela Ortiz de Zárate-Alarcón² | Laura Flores-Peña² | Mirza Romero-Valdovinos¹ | Angélica Olivo-Díaz¹

¹Departamento de Biología Molecular e Histocompatibilidad, Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea González", Ciudad de México, México

²División de Genética, Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea González", Ciudad de México, México

Correspondence

Angélica Olivo-Díaz, Department of Molecular Biology and Histocompatibility, Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea Gonzalez", SSA, Calzada de Tlalpan 4800, Col. Seccion XVI, 14080 Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico. Email: aolivod@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Craniosynostosis is one of the major genetic disorders affecting 1 in 2,100–2,500 live newborn children. Environmental and genetic factors are involved in the manifestation of this disease. The suggested genetic causes of craniosynostosis are pathogenic variants in *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, *FGFR3*, and *TWIST1* genes.

Methods: In order to describe their major clinical characteristics and the presence of pathogenic variants, a sample of 36 Mexican patients with craniosynostosis diagnosed as: Crouzon (OMIM 123,500), Pfeiffer (OMIM 101,600), Apert (OMIM 101,200), Saethre-Chotzen (OMIM 101,400), and Muenke (OMIM 602,849) was analyzed.

Results: In addition to craniosynostosis, most of the patients presented hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia, and abnormalities in hands and feet. To detect the pathogenic variants p.Pro252Arg *FGFR1* (OMIM 136,350), p.Ser252Trp, p.Pro253Arg *FGFR2* (OMIM 176,943), p.Pro250Arg, *FGFR3* (OMIM 134,934), and p.Gln119Pro *TWIST1* (OMIM 601,622), PCR amplification and restriction enzyme digestion were performed. Four and two patients with Apert presented the pathogenic variants p.Ser252Trp and p.Pro253Arg in *FGFR2*, respectively (with a frequency of 11.1% and 5.5%). The p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant of *FGFR3* was found in a patient with Muenke (with a frequency of 2.8%). The above percentages were calculated with the total number of patients.

Conclusion: The contribution of this work is discreet, since only 4 genes were analyzed and sample size is small. However, this strategy could be improved by sequencing the *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, *FGFR3*, and *TWIST1* genes, to determine different pathogenic variants. On the other hand, it would be important to include other genes, such as *TCF12* (OMIM 600,480), *MSX2* (OMIM 123,101), *RAB23* (OMIM 606,144), and *EFNB1* (OMIM 300,035), to determine their participation in cranio-synostosis in the Mexican population.

KEYWORDS

craniosynostosis, FGFR genes, genetic variants, TWIST1

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1 | INTRODUCTION

2 of 13

Craniosynostosis describes partial or complete premature fusion of the cranial sutures, and occurs as part of a syndrome or as an isolated defect (nonsyndromic); the prevalence of craniosynostosis is 1 in 2,100–2,500 live births and is a significant cause of morbidity (Boulet, Rasmussen, & Honein, 2008). The cause, presentation, and management of craniosynostosis are heterogeneous. It may occur due to genetic variants or be result of mechanical, environmental, and hormonal factors during pregnancy.

Syndromic cases comprise 15%–30% and the rest are nonsyndromic. Specific single-gene variants or chromosome abnormalities can be identified in at least 20% of all cases (Johnson & Wilkie, 2011; Kimonis, Gold, Hoffman, Panchal, & Boyadjiev, 2007).

It is known that *FGFR2* (176,943), *FGFR3* (134,934), *FGFR1* (136,350), *TWIST1* (601,622), and *EFNB1* (300,035) contribute significantly to the craniosynostosis-associated syndromes. Pathogenic variants in *FGFR2* have been related to the Apert (AS) (OMIM 101,200), Pfeiffer (PS) (OMIM 101,600), Crouzon (OMIM 123,500), and Antley-Bixler (OMIM 201,750) syndromes, and *FGFR3* have been related to the Muenke syndrome (MS) (OMIM 602,849), however, pathogenic variants in other *FGFR* genes may overlap between different syndromes.

FGFR1, *FGFR2*, and *FGFR3* belong to the family of fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFR) (Itoh & Ornitz, 2004). Signaling in these genes plays a vital role in early embryonic development because they regulate the balance of cell proliferation. Differentiation and apoptosis are essential for the normal formation of cranial bones (Liu, Cui, Luan, Zhou, & Han, 2013). There are four types of FGFR, called tyrosine kinase receptors, and the pathogenic variants that affect these receptors allow ligand-independent constitutive activation (functional gain), which leads to a premature ossification of the cranial sutures (Bonaventure & El Ghouzzi, 2003).

In turn, *TWIST* contains a basic helical loop helix (bHLH) motif, whose gene product acts as a transcription factor. The helix-loop-helix (HLH) region of this motif is important for homo- or heterodimerization, whereas the basic domain is essential for binding of the dimer complex to a target DNA-binding sequence(s) (Paznekas et al., 1998). Several *TWIST* (OMIM 601,622) pathogenic variants related to Saethre-Chotzen syndrome (OMIM 101,400) (SCS) have been described (El Ghouzzi et al., 1997; Howard et al., 1997).

Although craniosynostosis has been extensively studied worldwide, in Mexico it has only been addressed from the clinical diagnosis perspective, by identifying the mayor clinical syndromic features, and from the craniofacial surgery approach.

However, from the genetic perspective, few reports have been published of Mexican patients. For instance, there is one report of Jacobsen syndrome (JBS, OMIM 147,791), in which the author performs the karyotype and SNP array analysis in one JBS case. The patient presented developmental delay, craniosynostosis (dolichocephaly detected at 2 months of age), craniofacial dysmorphism, and a normal count and size of thrombocytes (Linares et al., 2015). Another report refers two unrelated patients of Crouzon with acanthosis nigricans (CAN OMIM 100,600) which presented an Ala391Glu pathogenic variant, specific of this form of the disease (Arnaud-López, Fragoso, Mantilla-Capacho, & Barros-Nuñez, 2007). The third Mexican study was conducted by our group, focusing only on five patients with AS who underwent the molecular study of the *FGFR2* gene (Ibarra-Arce et al., 2015).

Thus, the goal of this study was to describe the major clinical features associated with craniosynostosis, as well as to identify the frequency of pathogenic variants in *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, *FGFR3*, and *TWIST1* in a sample of Mexican patients.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Ethical compliance

This study was approved by the Ethics in Research and Research Committees of the Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea González", with register number 10-02-2013. Written informed consent was obtained from each person or legal representative of the patients.

2.2 | Clinical evaluation

In the period of 2008 to 2011, 36 patients with syndromic craniosynostosis were included, regardless of age and gender Medical Geneticists performed a complete clinical examination to give an accurate diagnosis of the patients, based on the clinical guidelines recommendations (McCarthy et al., 2012). Only patients with complete clinical features were considered. The cases were classified as Crouzon, AS, MS, PS, or SCS. Molecular results of five AS patients were previously described elsewhere (Ibarra-Arce et al., 2015), but clinical characteristics are defined here. Since the clinical diagnosis is not really clear in some patients, we decided to include Crouzon patients in the molecular analysis, as a strategy to define if they were misclassified.

2.3 | Pathogenic variant detection

DNA was extracted from EDTA-peripheral blood using the proteinase K and phenol/chloroform extraction methods (Green & Sambrook, 2017). The following pathogenic variants in *FGFR1* (136,350) exon IIIa (NM_015850.3), *FGFR2* (176,943) exon IIIa (NM_000141.4), *FGFR3* (134,934) exon 7 (NM_000142.4), and *TWIST1* (601,622) exon 1

(NM_001002926.1) genes were selected, since they are important pathogenic variants in syndromic craniosynostosis: P252R, NM_023110.2(FGFR1):c.755C>G (p.Pro252Arg), rs121909627; S252W, NM_022970.3(FGFR2):c.755C>G (p.Ser252Trp), rs79184941; P253R, NM_000141.4(FGFR2): c.758C>G (p.Pro253Arg), rs77543610; P250R, NM_000 142.4(FGFR3):c.749C>G (p.Pro250Arg), rs4647924; and Q119P, NM_000474.3(TWIST1):c.356A>C (p.Gln119Pro), rs104894057. The latter pathogenic variant was chosen because it alters the DNA-binding domain modifying the affinity of *TWIST* to bind DNA (Howard et al., 1997).

To identify the specific pathogenic variant present in the patients, PCR amplification was performed in all patients of craniosynostosis for every pathogenic variant, independently of the syndrome. Primers and restriction enzymes used are listed in Table 1 (Bellus et al., 1996; Graham et al., 1998; Howard et al., 1997; Lajeunie et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 1996; Pandey, Bajpai, Ali, Gayan, & Singh, 2013; Park et al., 1995; Paznekas et al., 1998; Zeiger et al., 2002).

The optimized PCR conditions consisted of 200 ng of DNA, 0.5 mmol/L of each primer, 0.2 mmol/L dNTPs, PCR buffer (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.3; 50 mmol/L KCl), 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂, and 2 U Taq polimerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The PCR carried out under the following conditions: 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute, annealing at 60–62°C for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. Amplicons were verified by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Pathogenic variants were detected by specific restriction enzyme digestion of each amplicon, performing the reaction according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), and subsequently analyzed on a 6.0% polyacrylamide gel.

3 of 13

We used the HGVS (Human Genome Variation Society) recommendations for the description of the pathogenic variants (den Dunnen et al., 2016) and the access number for the OMIM genes was included.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Baseline characteristics

Age range of the 36 patients was from 6 to 44 years, and the average maternal and paternal age was 31.4 years (from 20 to 43 years) and 35.1 years (from 25 to 55 years) at the time of the patient's birth, respectively. Seven Crouzon had a family history of craniosynostosis: one with father and brother with Crouzon; one with mother and cousin with Crouzon; one with mother and sister with Crouzon; one with grandmother and aunt with Crouzon; one with grandfather with ocular proptosis; one with a brother and cousin referred only as craniosynostosis; and one with a daughter with Crouzon.

The main clinical features that the patients presented, in addition to craniosynostosis, were the following: hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia, and abnormalities in hands and feet; different skull forms were observed.

Table 2 presents 41 clinical and molecular characteristics of the 5 craniosynostosis syndromes studied making a comparison with the literature.

| Gene | Primers 5'-3' | Amplicon size (bp) | Restriction enzyme | Digested fragments (bp) |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| <i>FGFR1</i> exon IIIa | Fw: AAGTGCCTCCTCTCCCATCTTC Rev: TGAACTCCACGTTGCTACCCAG | 216 | MnlI | 136, 109 (Lajeunie et al., 2006; Pandey et al., 2013; Zeiger et al., 2002) |
| FGFR2 exon IIIa | Fw: TGACAGCCTCTGACAACACAAC Rev: GGAAATCAAAGAACCTGTGGC | 350 | BstXI | 212 and 141 (Meyers et al., 1996; Pandey et al., 2013; Park et al., 1995) |
| FGFR3 exon 7 | Fw: CGGCAGTGACGGTGGTGGTGAG Rev: CCAAATCCTCACGCAACCC | 341 | NciI | 151, 123, 67 (Bellus et al., 1996; Graham et al., 1998; Paznekas et al., 1998) |
| TWIST 1 exon 1 | Fw: GAGGCGCCCCGCTCTTCTCC Rev: AGCTCCTCGTAAGACTGCGGAC | 378 | BstUl | 22, 31, 35, 53, 63, 98 and 210 (Howard et al., 1997; Paznekas et al., 1998) |

TABLE 1 Primers and conditions for genomic amplification of FGFR1, 2, 3, and TWIST1

Note: FGFR1 ex IIIa (136,350) Pfeiffer (P252R;136,350.0001), rs121909627; *FGFR2* exon IIIa (176,943) Apert (101,200)(S252W;176,943.0010), rs79184941; *FGFR2* exon IIIa (176,943) Apert (101,200) (P253R;176,943.0011), rs77543610; *FGFR2* exon IIIa (176,943) Crouzon (123,500)(T268TG9); *FGFR3* exon 7 (134,934) Muenke (602,849) (P250R;134,934.0014), rs4647924; *TWIST1* ex 1 (601,622) Saethre-Chotzen (101,400)(GLN119PRO;601,622.0002), rs104894057.

| | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Ciurea and | Wilkie | Iohnson | | Palafox | Twigg and | | Kutkowska- | This stue | ly (%) | | | | |
| Characteristics | Toader (2009) | et al. (2010) | and Wilkie (2011) | Agochukwu et al. (2012) | et al. (2012) | Wilkie (2015) | Ko (2016) | Kaźmierczak et al. (2018) | AS $(n = 6)$ | MS $(n = 1)$ | PS (<i>n</i> = 4) | SCS $(n = 4)$ | Crouzon $(n = 18)$ | MD $(n = 3)$ |
| Acrocephalus | AS | NA | NA | NA | AS | NA | NA | AS | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Brachycephalus | Crouzon | NA | NA | NA | NA | MS | PS | Crouzon, PS, SCS | 0 | 100 | 25 | 25 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
| Dolico/scaphocephalus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Turricephalus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 11.1 | 33.3 |
| Plagiocephalus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Acrobrachyturricephalus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 0 |
| Hypertelorism | Crouzon | Crouzon | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | Crouzon, AS, PS, SCS | 100 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 27.8 | 66.7 |
| Ocular proptosis | Crouzon | Crouzon | NA | Crouzon | Crouzon, AS | Crouzon, SCS | Crouzon, AS, PS, MS, SCS | Crouzon, AS, PS | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 66.7 |
| Palpebral ptosis | SCS | Crouzon | NA | SCS | SCS | SCS | SCS | Crouzon, SCS | 17 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 16.7 | 33.3 |
| Strabismus | Crouzon | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | NA | NA | Crouzon, AS | 33 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 33.3 |
| Nistagmus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Choanal stenosis or choanal atresia | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Midface hypoplasia | Crouzon, AS, PS, MS, SCS | Crouzon, AS | SM | Crouzon, AS, PS | Crouzon, AS, SCS | Crouzon, AS | AS, PS | Crouzon, PS, SCS | 100 | 100 | 75 | 50 | 77.8 | 66.7 |
| Beaked nose | Crouzon | Crouzon | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | NA | Crouzon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.8 | 33.3 |
| Malocclusion | NA | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | NA | AS | Crouzon | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 72.2 | 33.3 |
| Prognathism | Crouzon | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 44.4 | 66.7 |
| Retrognathia | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5.6 | 0 |
| High ogival/palate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 |
| Cleft lip palate | SCS | NA | AS | AS | NA | NA | AS | AS, SCS | 17 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Ears with low implantation | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.9 | 33.3 |
| Hearing loss | NA | NA | NA | NA | Crouzon | NA | AS | AS | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 33.3 |
| Otitis media | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | 100 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | ontinues) |

TABLE 2 Main characteristics of the patients with craniosynostosis

| | Cinrea and | Wilkie | Iohnson | | Palafox | Twigg and | | Kutkowska- | This stud | ly (%) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Characteristics | Toader (2009) | et al. (2010) | and Wilkie (2011) | Agochukwu et al. (2012) | et al. (2012) | Wilkie (2015) | Ko (2016) | Kaźmierczak et al. (2018) | AS $(n = 6)$ | MS $(n = 1)$ | \mathbf{PS} $(n=4)$ | SCS $(n = 4)$ | Crouzon $(n = 18)$ | \mathbf{MD} $(n=3)$ |
| Short neck | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 33 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 44.4 | |
| Hands syndactyly | A, SCS | AS | AS, SCS | AS, SCS | AS, SCS | AS | AS | AS, SCS | 100 | 0 | 75 | 50 | 0 | 66.7 |
| Broad first toe in hands | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 33.3 |
| Feet syndactyly | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 |
| Broad first toe in feet | NA | NA | SCS | NA | PS | NA | NA | NA | 33 | 0 | 50 | 25 | 11.1 | 33.3 |
| Geno valgus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 16.7 | 0 |
| Rhizomelic shortening | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glenohumeral dysplasia | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elbow ankylosis | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrocephalus | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Agenesis of the corpus callosum | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | NA | NA | AS | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heart disease | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hyperhidrosis | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Autism | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Speech disorders | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Developmental delay | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AS | 33 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 0 |
| Intellectual disability | AS, PS | NA | AS | NA | AS | NA | AS | NA | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Family history | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.9 | 0 |
| Pathogenic variant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FGFR2 (p.Ser252Trp)* | AS | NA | AS | NA | NA | NA | AS | AS | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FGFR2 (p.Pro253Arg)** | AS | NA | AS | NA | NA | NA | AS | AS | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>FGFR3</i> (p.Pro250Arg)*** | MS | NA | MS | MS | MS | MS | MS | NA | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 011000 FREASS | 1/FOED 22 - 2 | | 11144 V 1030 | 000141 4000 | -003E - 10E | * C30 D | OCCO FRAM | | | V . (The second | | - | C | |

Abbreviations: ***NM_000142.4(FGFR3):c:749C>G (p.Fro250Arg); **NM_000141.4(FGFR2):c.758C>G (p.Fro253Arg); *NM_022970.3(FGFR2):c.755C>G (p.Ser252Trp); AS, Apert syndrome; Crouzon, Crouzon, Syndrome; MD, Misdiagnosed; MS, Muenke syndrome; NA, Not applicable; PS, Pfeiffer syndrome; SCS, Saethre-Chotzen 0syndrome.

(Continued)

TABLE 2

5 of 13

The Crouzon patients (n = 18), all had ocular proptosis; midface hypoplasia 14; malocclusion 13; strabismus 9, prognathism 8; short neck 8; ears low implantation 7; hypertelorism 5; beaked nose 5; palpebral ptosis 3; geno valgus 3; broad first toe in feet 2; hearing loss 1; and broad first finger in the hand 1 (Table 2 and Figure 1b,c,e and h). None of the cases with clinical diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome had acanthosis nigricans.

The distinctive clinical characteristics of AS (n = 6), in addition to craniosynostosis, are acrocephaly, hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia, ocular proptosis, hand syndactyly, and otitis media; 5 cases presented rhizomelic shortening and hyperhidrosis; 3 elbow ankylosis, heart disease, and glenohumeral dysplasia; 3 agenesis of the corpus callosum; 2 strabismus; 2 choanal stenosis or choanal atresia; 2 hydrocephaly and developmental delay; 2 short neck; and 1 palpebral



(a) Two-year-old male patient with Apert syndrome (AS-5), skull radiography study in lateral (a.1) and anteroposterior (a.2) FIGURE 1 projection, showing: coronal synostosis, widening of the sagittal suture, retrusion of the midface hypoplasia, shallow orbits, digital printing at the temporoparietal level, and decrease in anteroposterior diameter. (b) Seven-year-old male patient with Crouzon syndrome, postoperative, with anteroposterior (b.1) and lateral (b.2) skull radiography. Findings: remodeling with widespread digital impressions suggestive of intracranial hypertension, distracting of the middle facial third. (c) Ten-year-old female patient with Crouzon syndrome, image of the lateral topography where it is observed: decrease in the anteroposterior diameter with a discreet increase in the craniocaudal diameter, digital impression, and retrusion of the midface hypoplasia. (d) Twenty-six-year-old male patient with Apert syndrome (AS-1), lateral topogram image showing: anteroposterior decline, postorbital frontal advance change, and severe retrusion of the midface hypoplasia. (e) Eight-year-old male patient with Crouzon syndrome, with anteroposterior (e.1) and lateral (e.2) cranial radiography, showing: postorbital frontal advance changes, retrusion of the midface hypoplasia, with irregularities in the cranial vault. (f) Eight-year-old male patient with Apert syndrome (AS-2), flat facial profile, well-shaped atrial canopy with low implantation (f.1), with 3D reconstruction images of CT scan of the lateral (f.2) and anterior (f.3) skull, where a decrease in the anterior posterior diameter of the skull is observed, wide forehead. In the frontal image, asymmetric orbits are observed, with smaller size of the left orbit and displaced below it, associated with midface hypoplasia, plagiocephaly, and prognathism. In the hand (f.4), short fingers (brachidactyly) with surgical scar are observed by correction of syndactyly. It presents cutaneous syndactyly and first toe with lateral displacement. (g) Three-year-old female patient with Apert syndrome; lateral (g.1) and frontal (g.2) photography, coronal closure of the left side is observed; type III syndactyly is present in the hands (g.3). (h) Eight-year-old male patient with Crouzon syndrome; image of lateral skull topogram where changes are observed postadvance fronto-orbital with harmonic anteroposterior diameter and retrusion of the middle facial third

ptosis, speech disorders, and cleft/lip palate; and 1 malocclusion, hearing loss, and intellectual disability. In *FGFR2*, four patients presented the pathogenic variant p.Ser252Trp and two the pathogenic variant p.Pro253Arg, with a frequency of 11.1% and 5.5%, respectively (Table 2).

In MS (n = 1), the case had brachycephaly, ocular proptosis, midface hypoplasia, broad first toe in hands, geno valgus, elbow alteration, intellectual disability, and the p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant in *FGFR3*. The frequency of the variant was 2.8%.

Four cases of type I PS (n = 4) were detected, each with a different type of skull: plagiocephalus, brachycephalus, dolico/scaphocephalus, and turricephalus. Three cases presented midface hypoplasia (patients 1, 2 and 4); 2 cases hypertelorism (patients 3 and 4); 2 ocular proptosis (patients 1 and 2); 2 broad first toe in feet (patients 1 and 4); 1 nistagmus, hearing loss and developmental delay (patient 2); 1 prognathism and cleft lip palate (patient 3); 1 high ogival palate (patient 1); and 1 mal occlusion (patient 4) (Table 2).

In SCS (n = 4), 3 cases showed plagiocephaly (patients 1, 3, and 4); 2 cases midface hypoplasia (patients 2 and 4); 2 ocular proptosis (patients 1 and 4); 2 palpebral ptosis and short neck (patients 1 and 3); 1 strabismus, syndactyly in feet, and broad first toe in feet (patient 3); 1 retrognathia (patient 4); 1 cleft/lip palate (patient 2); and 1 ears with low implantation (patient 1) (Table 2).

3.2 | Clinical cases with pathogenic variants

AS-1: Male patient of 26 years with AS, with no family history of craniosynostosis (with 1 normal brother), maternal age 40 years and paternal age 43 years, mother had a previous abortion (6 weeks), is a product of pregnancy 3, pregnancy with threatened abortion at 2 months, for which

the mother was treated and the delivery was premature, at 7 months of gestation, and delivery with fetal distress. In addition to acrobrachycephaly, midface hypoplasia, hypertelorism, ocular proptosis, strabismus, high ogival/palate, otitis media, hearing loss, and syndactyly of the hands and feet of AS, it also presents vertebral fusion at cervical level and lordosis, heart problems with diastolic murmur, dilation of the right ventricle, tricuspid insufficiency, and aortic insufficiency; pulmonary stenosis, tetralogy of Fallot, hydrocephalus, symptomatic epilepsy, and seizures were present. He presented complete syndactyly type II in hands and type IV in the feet. The patient exhibited moderate intellectual disability, with developmental delay. This patient showed the p.Pro 253Arg pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa (Figure 1d).

AS-2: Male patient of 8 years; maternal age 38 years, paternal age 37 years. Besides the craniofacial typical characteristics of AS, it presents heart disease, urogenital abnormality, type I syndactyly in hands, with language and movement problems. In this patient, the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa was present (Figure 1 from f.1 to f.4).

AS-3: Female patient of 1 year, family history is unknown, maternal age 36 years, and paternal age 43 years. In hands presented type III syndactyly (fused fingers), grade I chronic malnutrition was also diagnosed. The patient had the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa.

AS-4: Male patient of 1 year, family history is unknown; maternal age 20 years, without data of the father. With heart disease, type I syndactyly in hands, and the patient had the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa gene.

AS-5: Male patient of 2 years, family history unknown, maternal age 25 years, paternal age 44 years. With type I syndactyly in hands and the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa (Figure 1, a.1 and a.2).

AS-6: Male patient of 37 years, family history unknown, with type I syndactyly in hands, and the p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant in *FGFR2* exon IIIa.

MS: Female patient of 2 years, maternal age 40 years, paternal age 42 years; with 4 healthy brothers; the mother had 2 previous abortions. The p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant in *FGFR3* exon IIIa was present.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we describe the main clinical characteristics of 36 patients with craniosynostosis, as well as some of the pathogenic variants of *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, *FGFR3*, and *TWIST1* genes.

As it is known, craniosynostosis has a wide clinical spectrum and the shape of the skull can help in the diagnosis, as well as in the surgical approach, together with other characteristics in the limbs.

When comparing the types of skulls that exist in the patients, different forms were observed, from a single skull type in AS (Acrocephalus), up to 5 different types in Crouzon: acrocephalus, brachycephalus, dolico/scaphocephalus, turricephalus, and acrobrachyturricephalus.

Multiple hypotheses have been proposed to explain the pathogenesis of abnormal fusion of sutures. Both environmental factors (especially the restriction of the intrauterine fetal head) and genetic factors (variants of a single nucleotide, chromosomal abnormalities, and polygenic background) predispose to craniosynostosis.

When the skull has an elongated and narrow shape, similar to a boat is called scaphocephaly (Massimi, Caldarelli, Tamburrini, Paternoster, & Di Rocco, 2012). Our patients with PS (1 of 4) and Crouzon (1 of 18) presented this characteristic. Unilateral coronal synostosis, resulting in a frontal plagiocephalic skull, is the second most common form of skull in patients with syndromic craniosynostosis, representing up to 25% of cases of craniosynostosis (Raposo-Do-Amaral et al., 2011; 2015), predominantly affects females (60%), with similar incidence on both sides of the skull. In our patients plagiocephaly was found in SCS (75%) and PS (25%). The premature fusion of the coronal suture also causes a deviation in the base of the skull, changing the position of the orbits, asymmetry of the eyebrows, asymmetry of the position of the ear, deviation of the jaw and change of occlusion, with an important effect aesthetic (Ghizoni, Raposo-Amaral, Mathias, Denadai, & Raposo-Amaral, 2013; Raposo-Amaral, García, Denadai, Raposo-Amaral, & Raposo-Amaral, 2014; Raposo-Amaral et al., 2013).

Strabismus is a general finding in patients with syndromic craniosynostosis, with an average estimate for all patients from 70% to 75% (Ganesh et al., 2019); our patients with Crouzon (50%), SCS (25%), and AS (33%), presented strabismus. Comparison of the clinical features of the patients analyzed with literature was problematic, since each author defines their own classification of these syndromes, some are based on the shape of the skull, while others on the affected sutures, some include the extremities, and others take the variant into account. Then, it is convenient to review the original description of each syndrome, to define the main clinical characteristics that were considered when these syndromes were detected for the first time.

Table 2 summarizes the main clinical and molecular characteristics of our patients, compared with the description of the literature (Ciurea & Toader, 2009; Wilkie et al., 2010; Johnson & Wilkie, 2011; Agochukwu, Solomon, & Muenke, 2012; Palafox, Ogando-Rivas, Herrera-Rodríguez, & Queipo, 2012; Twigg & Wilkie, 2015; Ko, 2016 and Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak, Gos, & Obersztyn, 2018).

Mantilla-Capacho, Arnaud, Díaz-Rodríguez, & Barros-Núñez, 2005, cited that the AS has the upper limbs more affected than the lower extremities and described the polydactyly of the hands and feet, and this is similar in our patients. Lajeunie, Bonaventure, El Ghouzzi, Catala, & Renier, 2000, declared that hydrocephalus in Crouzon is a serious complication that occurs in 30% of patients; however, we only observed this in 33% of patients with AS, but not in Crouzon. Agenesis of the corpus callosum and malformations of limbic structures are described in patients with AS. de León et al. in 1987 mentioned that 6 of 10 patients with AS had partial or total agenesis of the corpus callosum. These anomalies could be important in the contribution of the pathogenesis of intellectual disability, not only in AS but also in the other syndromes. In our patients with AS, 50% had agenesis of the corpus callosum and intellectual disability was present in only 17%. Crouzon is less prone to intellectual disability and only occurs in 3% (Kreiborg, 1981). In SCS, affected people often do not have intellectual disabilities. PS is associated with normal intelligence (type I), but types II and III have a serious intellectual disability. In AS, the intellectual ability is variable, and at least 50% of patients are affected with intellectual disability (Gorlin, Cohen, & Hennekam, 2001). In MS, it ranges from normal intellectual performance to mild disability. In our results, AS (17%), MS (50%), PS (25%), and Crouzon (5%) patients had intellectual disability.

Imaging studies in the AS, Crouzon, and PS have revealed abnormalities of the middle and inner ear, including the malformed and fused middle ear ossicles, atresia of the external auditory canal (Crouzon and PS), and atrophy of the tympanic membranes (Crouzon), to name a few (Desai et al., 2010; Orvidas, Fabry, Diacova, & McDonald, 1999; Zhou, Schwartz, & Gopen, 2009). In our patients, the syndromes that presented otitis media were AS (100%) and SCS (25%), and hearing loss AS (17%) and Crouzon (10%). This latter pathology is related to speech disorders that in AS showed 17% and in Crouzon 5%. The literature mentions that hearing

Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine

loss is a specific feature in MS, however, in our study these patients did not present this pathology.

The skin manifestations in AS are acne on the face, chest, back, upper arms, and hyperhidrosis, the latter is described as present in all AS patients (Cohen & Kreiborg, 1995). However, our results show that only 83% of AS patients presented it.

The advance in molecular biology in the last 20 years has allowed us to know more about craniosynostosis, and thus have the genotype–phenotype correlation. Nevertheless, this correlation in craniosynostosis has been difficult. One of the problems is the clinical differentiation of each syndrome, since most patients share certain phenotypic characteristics, whose expression is variable, which makes the definitive clinical diagnosis very difficult. Some authors describe cases with different clinical phenotypes caused by the same pathogenic variant or equivalent of the *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, and *FGFR3* genes. Other authors describe that similar phenotype may be associated with different variants in the same or distinct gene, or may be due to incomplete penetrance of pathogenic variants in genes related to the disease (Passos-Bueno, Sertié, Jehee, Fanganiello, & Yeh, 2008).

The frequency of p.Ser252Trp and p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variants for the *FGFR2* in AS has been reported in several populations: in Brazilians 59.25% and 37% (Passos-Bueno et al., 1998), in Canadians 76% and 18% (Chun, Teebi, Azimi, Steele, & Ray, 2003), in French 97% and 3% (Lajeunie et al., 1999), in Japanese 83% and 16.66% (Sakai et al., 2001), in Taiwanese 87% and 13.33% (Tsai et al., 1999), in Thais 57% and 42.85% (Shotelersuk et al., 2003), and in Turkish 58% and 33% (Nur et al., 2014). These pathogenic variants in patients with AS of diverse ethnic groups also show a higher frequency in the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant, than in p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant. In our patients, the frequency was also higher in p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant (66%) than in p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant (33%).

Several heterozygous pathogenic variants of *FGFR2* have been described in Crouzon, AS, and PS; however, these latter syndromes differ from Crouzon by their extremities and dermatological features (Kan et al., 2002). The syndactyly of the hands and feet is more common and severe in patients with the p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant, whereas cleft palate, higher frequency of profound midface retrusion, and severe malocclusion are more frequent in patients with p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant. In addition, strabismus and visual impairment are more frequent in the case of



FIGURE 2 Molecular strategy for the analysis of patients with syndromic craniosynostosis, using the *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, *FGFR3*, and *TWIST1* genes. In the orange boxes the analyzed pathogenic variants that were presented in the patients are shown, the light blue boxes are the molecular analyzes that were performed and gave negative, and the green boxes are the analyzes that should be done to confirm each syndrome, but that were not carried out in this study. MLPA, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; aCGH, array comparative genomic hybridization. Adapted from Johnson and Wilkie (2011) and Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak et al. (2018)

WILEY_Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine

p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant presence. Concerning to the clinical outcome, the prognosis of AS patients after craniofacial surgery was better in patients with p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant than with p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant (von Gernet, Golla, Ehrenfels, Schuffenhauer, & Fairley, 2000). In our results, one patient with the p.Pro253Arg pathogenic variant had severe syndactyly in the hands and feet, while a patient with the p.Ser252Trp pathogenic variant showed cleft lip/palate.

Moloney et al. (1997) mentioned that it is difficult to clinically distinguish MS patients with and without the pathogenic variant p.Pro250Arg. Most of the time, they are misdiagnosed and confused with SCS. In our study, the patient who presented the p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant was also misdiagnosed as PS and the molecular diagnosis allowed confirmation of MS. On the other hand, another patient who was initially considered as MS, not presenting the pathogenic variant p.Pro250Arg, was misdiagnosed, since the diagnosis could not be clearly defined, either clinically or molecularly.

González-del Angel et al. (2016) studied 56 patients with apparently nonsyndromic uni- or bicoronal craniosynostosis, to identify the frequency and clinical characteristics of MS in a cohort of Mexican children. In only 8 of 56 probands (14%), the p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant was found. They also evaluated first-degree relatives for the p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant and those resulted heterozygous underwent complete clinical examination. The results obtained were as follows: 7 of 20 relatives had the pathogenic variant, which looked apparently normal, however, when more detailed clinical and imaging tests were performed, alterations compatible with MS were found. In a family of MS, a heterozygous male brother p.Pro250Arg pathogenic variant presented hydrocephalus without craniosynostosis as the only clinical manifestation, whose characteristic had not been previously detected. Therefore, the authors suggest that some patients with MS may present only this manifestation as an expansion of the MS phenotype, rather than an unrelated finding. In conclusion, the authors mention that all patients with coronal craniosynostosis should be tested for the pathogenic variant p.Pro250Arg in the FGFR3 gene, to confirm the diagnosis of MS.

Given the complexity that occurs in syndromic craniosynostosis, we decided to elaborate Figure 2 to show the strategy used in this study, considering the pathogenic variants most frequently analyzed as adjuvants in clinical diagnosis. In this sense, the opinion of other authors is that clinical evaluation is a fundamental part of the diagnosis and should be performed rigorously. Once the clinical diagnosis is established, the minimum molecular tests to confirm the diagnosis are described in the first and second line of the flow diagram. Other working groups recommend that if none of the most frequent pathogenic variants are found in patients, the following steps are as follows: the review of the clinical diagnosis, the search for other pathogenic variants in the genes analyzed, and in other genes involved in the pathogenesis of the disease, for example, *TCF12* (OMIM 600,480), *MSX2* (OMIM 123,101), *RAB23* (OMIM 606,144), and *EFNB1* (OMIM 300,035), using different methodologies such as multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MPLA), array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), and next-generation sequencing (NGS).

In conclusion, although enormous advances have been made in recent years in the detection and analysis of the genes responsible for craniosynostosis, the great heterogeneity represented by this disorder continues to be a complex challenge, which requires long-term studies to identify others disease-related genes, as well as the inclusion of different populations.

5 | LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- 1. The small size of the sample and the number of pathogenic variants studied.
- 2. Two patients, who had many clinical features that pointed to a diagnosis of Crouzon, were misdiagnosed, since they presented alterations of hands and feet, but the specific pathogenic variant was not performed. In the same way, a patient, who was thought to have MS, was also ruled out as such, since he did not present the characteristic pathogenic variant.
- 3. Another limitation was that we did not have MRI or CT studies of all patients.
- 4. The molecular strategy used did not include all the pathogenic variants necessary for the analysis of patients with syndromic craniosynostosis (see Figure 2). These limitations could be solved in the future, performing tests that were not included in the study, in addition to carrying out multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, array comparative genomic hybridization and sequencing, in those patients who are negative for known pathogenic variants.

However, despite these limitations, we consider that our study could be a contribution to the knowledge of these pathologies in Mexicans.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The Authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Beatriz Zavaleta Villa and David Sierra for the technical support, as well as Dr. Nidia Escobar Hernández for the radiological images.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors prepared the bibliographic survey, manuscript preparation, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data available upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Angélica Olivo-Díaz ^(D) https://orcid. org/0000-0003-0492-1504

REFERENCES

- Agochukwu, N. B., Solomon, B. D., & Muenke, M. (2012). Impact of genetics on the diagnosis and clinical management of syndromic craniosynostoses. *Childs Nervous System*, 28(9), 1447–1463. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00381-012-1756-2
- Arnaud-López, I., Fragoso, R., Mantilla-Capacho, J., & Barros-Nuñez, P. (2007). Crouzon with acanthosis nigricans. Further delineation of the syndrome. *Clinical Genetic*, 72(5), 405–410. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2007.00884.x
- Bellus, G. A., Gaudenz, K., Zackai, E. H., Clarke, L. A., Szabo, J., Francomano, C. A., & Muenke, M. (1996). Identical mutations in three different fibroblast growth factor receptor genes in autosomal dominant craniosynostosis syndromes. *Nature Genetics*, 14(2), 174– 176. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1096-174
- Bonaventure, J., & El Ghouzzi, V. (2003). Molecular and cellular bases of syndromic craniosynostoses. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine*, 5(4), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1462399403005751
- Boulet, S. L., Rasmussen, S. A., & Honein, M. A. (2008). A population-based study of craniosynostosis in metropolitan Atlanta, 1989– 2003. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 146A(8), 984– 991. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.32208
- Chun, K., Teebi, A. S., Azimi, C., Steele, L., & Ray, P. N. (2003). Screening of patients with craniosynostosis: Molecular strategy. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part A*, 120A(4), 470–473. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.20258
- Ciurea, A. V., & Toader, C. (2009). Genetics of craniosynostosis: Review of the literature. *Journal of Medicine and Life*, 2(1), 5–17. PMID: 20108486.
- Cohen, M. M. Jr, & Kreiborg, S. (1995). Cutaneous manifestations of Apert syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 58(1), 94–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.1320580119
- de León, G. A., de León, G., Grover, W. D., Zaeri, N., & Alburger, P. D. (1987). Agenesis of the corpus callosum and limbic malformation in Apert syndrome (type I acrocephalosyndactyly). *Archives* of Neurology, 44(9), 979–982. https://doi.org/10.1001/archn eur.1987.00520210073023
- den Dunnen, J. T., Dalgleish, R., Maglott, D. R., Hart, R. K., Greenblatt, M. S., McGowan-Jordan, J., Taschner, P. E. (2016). HGVS Recommendations for the description of sequence variants: 2016 update. *Human Mutation 37*(6), 564–569. https://doi.org/10.1002/ humu.22981
- Desai, U., Rosen, H., Mulliken, J. B., Gopen, Q., Meara, J. G., & Rogers, G. F. (2010). Audiologic findings in Pfeiffer syndrome. *The Journal* of Craniofacial Surgery, 21(5), 1411–1418. https://doi.org/10.1097/ SCS.0b013e3181ebcf58
- El Ghouzzi, V., Le Merrer, M. Perrin-Schmit, F., Lajeunie, E., Benit, P., Renier, D., ... Bonaventure, J. (1997). Mutation of the TWIST

gene in Saethre-Chotzen síndrome. *Nature Genetics*, 15(1), 42–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0197-42

- Ganesh, A., Edmond, J., Forbes, B., Katowitz, W. R., Nischal, K. K., Miller, M., & Levin, A. V. (2019). An update of ophthalmic management in craniosynostosis. *Journal of AAPOS*, 23(2), 66–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaapos.2018.10.016
- Ghizoni, E., Raposo-Amaral, C. A., Mathias, R., Denadai, R., & Raposo-Amaral, C. E. (2013). Superior sagittal sinus thrombosis as a treatment complication of nonsyndromic Kleeblattschädel. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 24(6), 2030–2033. https://doi. org/10.1097/01.scs.0000436681.74443.17
- González-del Angel, A., Estandía-Ortega, B., Alcántara-Ortigoza, M. A., Martínez-Cruz, V., Gutiérrez-Tinajero, D. J., Rasmussen, A., & Gómez-González, C. S. (2016). Expansion of the variable expression of Muenke syndrome: Hydrocephalus without craniosynostosis. *American Journal of Medical Genetic. Part A*, 170(12), 3189– 3196. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.37951
- Gorlin, R. J., Cohen, M. M. Jr, & Hennekam, R. C. M. (2001). Syndromes of the Head and Neck, 4th ed. New York: Oxford University Press. ISBN 9780195307900.
- Graham, J. M. Jr, Braddock, S. R., Mortier, G. R., Lachman, R., Van Dop, C., & Jabs, E. W. (1998). Syndrome of coronal craniosynostosis with brachydactyly and carpal/tarsal coalition due to Pro250Arg mutation in FGFR3 gene. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 77(4), 322–329. PMID: 9600744.
- Green, M. R., & Sambrook, J. (2017). Isolation of high-molecular-weight DNA from monolayer cultures of mammalian cells using proteinase K and phenol. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 7, pdb. prot093468. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot093468.
- Howard, T. D., Paznekas, W. A., Green, E. D., Chiang, L. C., Ma, N., Luna, R. I. O. D., ... Jabs, E. W. (1997). Mutations in TWIST, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, in Saethre-Chotzen syndrome. *Nature Genetics*, 15(1), 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0197-36
- Ibarra-Arce, A., Ortiz de Zárate-Alarcón, G., Flores-Peña, L. G., Martínez-Hernández, F., Romero-Valdovinos, M., & Olivo-Díaz, A. (2015). Mutations in the FGFR2 gene in Mexican patients with Apert syndrome. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 14(1), 2341– 2346. https://doi.org/10.4238/2015
- Itoh, N., & Ornitz, D. M. (2004). Evolution of the Fgf and Fgfr gene families. *Trends in Genetics*, 20(11), 563–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tig.2004.08.007
- Johnson, D., & Wilkie, A. O. (2011). Craniosynostosis. European Journal of Human Genetics, 19(4), 369–376. https://doi. org/10.1038/ejhg.2010.235.
- Kan, S., Elanko, N., Johnson, D., Cornejo-Roldan, L., Cook, J., Reich, E. W., Wilkie, A. O. (2002). Genomic screening of fibroblast growth-factor receptor 2 reveals a wide spectrum of mutations in patients with syndromic craniosynostosis. Genetics of craniosynostosis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 70(2), 472–486. https://doi.org/10.1086/338758.
- Kimonis, V., Gold, J. A., Hoffman, T. L., Panchal, J., & Boyadjiev, S. A. (2007). Genetics of craniosynostosis. *Seminars in Pediatric Neurology*, 14(3), 150–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. spen.2007.08.008
- Ko, J. M. (2016). Genetic syndromes associated with craniosynostosis. Journal of Korean Neurosurgery Society, 59(3), 87–191. https://doi. org/10.3340/jkns.2016.59.3.187
- Kreiborg, S. (1981). Crouzon syndrome. A clinical and roentgencephalometric study. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Suppl, 18*, 1–198. PMID: 6955940.

- Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak, A., Gos, M., & Obersztyn, E. (2018). Craniosynostosis as a clinical and diagnostic problem: Molecular pathology and genetic counseling. *Journal of Applied Genetics*, 59(2), 133–147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-017-0423-4
- Lajeunie, E., Bonaventure, J., El Ghouzzi, V., Catala, M., & Renier, D. (2000). Monozygotic twins with Crouzon syndrome: concordance for craniosynostosis and discordance for thumb duplication. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 91(2), 159–160. https:// doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(20000313)91:2%3C159::AID-AJMG17%3E3.0.CO;2-6.
- Lajeunie, E., Cameron, R., El Ghouzzi, V., de Parseval, N., Journeau, P., Gonzales, M., ...Renier, D. (1999). Clinical variability in patients with Apert's syndrome. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 90(3), 443–447. https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1999.90.3.0443
- Lajeunie, E., Heuertz, S., El Ghouzzi, V., Martinovic, J., Renier, D., Le Merrer, M., & Bonaventure, J. (2006). Mutation screening in patients with syndromic craniosynostoses indicates that a limited number of recurrent FGFR2 mutations accounts for severe forms of Pfeiffer syndrome. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 14(3), 289–298. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201558
- Linares, C. E. P., Toral, L. J., Valdés, M. J. M., González, H. L. M., Perez, C. A., Rivera, V. M. R., ... Cuevas-Covarrubias, S. A. (2015). Jacobsen Syndrome: Surgical complications due to unsuspected diagnosis, the importance of molecular studies in patients with craniosynostosis. *Molecular Syndromology*, *6*, 229–235. https://doi. org/10.1159/000442477.
- Liu, C., Cui, Y., Luan, J., Zhou, X., & Han, J. (2013). The molecular and cellular basis of Apert syndrome. *Intractable & Rare Diseases Research*, 2(4), 115–122. https://doi.org/10.5582/ irdr.2013.v2.4.115
- Mantilla-Capacho, J. M., Arnaud, L., Díaz-Rodríguez, M., & Barros-Núñez, P. (2005). Apert syndrome with preaxial polydactyly showing the typical mutation Ser252Trp in the FGFR2 gene. *Genetic Counseling*, 16(4), 403–406. PMID: 16440883.
- Massimi, L., Caldarelli, M., Tamburrini, G., Paternoster, G., & Di Rocco, C. (2012). Isolated sagittal craniosynostosis: definition, classification, and surgical indications. *Child's Nervous System*, 28(9), 1311–1317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-012-1834-5
- McCarthy, J. G., Warren, S. M., Bernstein, J., Burnett, W., Cunningham, M. L., Edmond, J. C., Yemen, T. A. (2012). Parameters of care for craniosynostosis. *Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*, 49, 1S–24S. https://doi.org/10.1597/11-138
- Meyers, G. A., Day, D., Goldberg, R., Daent, D. L., Przylepa, K. A., Abrams, L. J., ... Jabs, E. W. (1996). FGFR2 exon IIIa and IIIc mutations in Crouzon, Jackson Weiss, and Pfeiffer syndromes: Evidence for missense changes, insertions, and deletion due to alternative RNA splicing. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 58(3), 491–498. PMID: 8644708.
- Moloney, D. M., Wall, S. A., Ashworth, G. J., Oldridge, M., Glass, I. A., Francomano, C. A., ... Wilkie, A. (1997). Prevalence of Pro250Arg mutation of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 in coronal craniosynostosis. *Lancet*, 349(9058), 1059–1062. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(96)09082-4
- Nur, B. G., Pehlivanoglu, S., Mıhçı, E., Çalıskan, M., Demir, D., Alper, O. M., Lüleci, G. (2014). Clinicogenetic study of Turkish patients with syndromic craniosynostosis and literature review. *Pediatric Neurology*, 50(5), 482–490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneu rol.2014.01.023
- Orvidas, L. J., Fabry, L. B., Diacova, S., & McDonald, T. J. (1999). Hearing and otopathology in Crouzon syndrome. *The Laryngoscope*,

109(9), 1372–1375. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005537-19990 9000-00002

- Palafox, D., Ogando-Rivas, E., Herrera-Rodríguez, D. L., & Queipo, G. (2012). Malformaciones craneofaciales. De las bases moleculares al tratamiento quirúrgico. *Revista Médica Hospital General De México*, 75(1), 50–59. http://www.elsevier.es/en-revista-revis ta-medica-del-hospital-general-325-articulo-malformaciones-crane ofaciales-de-las-bases-X0185106312231595.
- Pandey, R. K., Bajpai, M., Ali, A., Gayan, S., & Singh, A. (2013). Mutational identification of fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 and fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 genes in craniosynostosis in Indian population. *Indian Journal of Human Genetics*, 19(4), 449– 453. https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-6866.124374.
- Park, W. J., Meyers, G. A., Li, X., Theda, C., Day, D., Jabs, E. W. (1995). Novel FGFR2 mutations in Crouzon and Jackson-Weiss syndromes show allelic heterogeneity and phenotypic variability. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 4(7), 1229–1233. https://doi.org/10.1093/ hmg/4.7.1229
- Passos-Bueno, M. R., Sertié, A. L., Jehee, F. S., Fanganiello, R., & Yeh, E. (2008). Genetics of craniosynostosis: Genes, syndromes, mutations and genotype-phenotype correlations. *Frontiers of Oral Biology*, *12*, 107–143. https://doi.org/10.1159/0000115035
- Passos-Bueno, M. R., Sertié, A. L., Richieri-Costa, A., Alonso, L. G., Zatz, M., Alonso, N.... Ribeiro, S. F. (1998). Description of a new mutation and characterization of FGFR1, FGFR2, and FGFR3 mutations among Brazilian patients with syndromic craniosynostoses. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 78(3), 237–241. PMID: 9677057.
- Paznekas, W. A., Cunningham, M. L., Howard, T. D., Korf, B. R., Lipson, M. H., Grix, A. W., ... Jabs, E. W. (1998). Genetic heterogeneity of Saethre-Chotzen syndrome, due to TWIST and FGFR mutations. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 62(6), 1370–1380. https://doi.org/10.1086/301855
- Raposo-Amaral, C. E., Denadai, R., Ghizoni, E., Buzzo, C. L., & Raposo-Amaral, C. A. (2015). Facial changes after early treatment of unilateral coronal synostosis question the necessity of primary nasal osteotomy. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 26(1), 141– 146. https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.000000000001400
- Raposo-Amaral, C. E., García, J. N. J., Denadai, R., Raposo-Amaral, C. M., & Raposo-Amaral, C. A. (2014). Patient-reported quality of life in highest-functioning Apert and Crouzon syndromes: A comparative study. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, *133*(2), 182e–191e. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.prs.0000437260.31693.75
- Raposo-Amaral, C. E., Tong, A., Denadai, R., Yalom, A., Raposo-Amaral, C. A., Bertola, D., Jarrahy, R. (2013). A subcranial Le Fort III advancement with distraction osteogenesis as a clinical strategy to approach pycnodysostosis with midface retrusion and exorbitism. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 24(4), 1327–1330. https://doi. org/10.1097/SCS.0b013e31829978a5
- Raposo-Do-Amaral, C. E., Silva, M. P., Menon, D. N., Somensi, R. S., Raposo-do-Amaral, C. A., & Buzzo, C. L. (2011). Estudo antropométrico das assimetrias craniofaciais na craniossinostose coronal unilateral. *Revista Brasileña De Cirugía Plástica*, 26(1), 27–31.
- Sakai, N., Tokunaga, K., Yamazaki, Y., Shida, H., Sakata, Y., Susami, T., ... Uchinuma, E. (2001). Sequence analysis of fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2) in Japanese patients with craniosynostosis. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, *12*(6), 580–585. PMID: 11711827.
- Shotelersuk, V., Mahatumarat, C., Ittiwut, C., Rojvachiranonda, N., Srivuthana, S., Wacharasindhu, S., Tongkobpetch, S. (2003).

Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine _____

FGFR2 mutations among Thai children with Crouzon and Apert syndromes. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, *14*(1), 101–107. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001665-200111000-00016

- Tsai, F. J., Tsai, C. H., Peng, C. T., Lin, S. P., Hwu, W. L., Wang, T. R., ... Wu, J. Y. (1999). Molecular diagnosis of Apert syndrome in Chinese patients. *Acta Paediatric Taiwanica*, 40(1), 31–33. PMID: 10910582.
- Twigg, S. R., & Wilkie, A. O. (2015). A genetic-pathophysiological framework for craniosynostosis. American Journal of Human Genetics, 97(3), 359–377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.07.006
- von Gernet, S., Golla, A., Ehrenfels, Y., Schuffenhauer, S., & Fairley, J. D. (2000). Genotype-phenotype analysis in Apert syndrome suggests opposite effects of the two recurrent mutations on syndactyly and outcome of craniofacial surgery. *Clinical Genetics*, 57(2), 137– 139. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-0004.2000.570208.x
- Wilkie, A. O., Byren, J. C., Hurst, J. A., Jayamohan, J., Johnson, D., Knight, S. J., Wall, S. A. (2010). Prevalence and complications of single gene and chromosomal disorders in craniosynostosis. *Pediatrics*, 126(2), e391–400. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-3491

- Zeiger, J. S., Beaty, T. H., Hetmanski, J. B., Wang, H., Scott, A. F., Kasch, L., ... VanderKolk, C. (2002). Genetic and environmental risk factors for sagittal craniosynostosis. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 13(5), 602–606. PMID: 12218784.
- Zhou, G., Schwartz, L. T., & Gopen, Q. (2009). Inner ear anomalies and conductive hearing loss in children with Apert syndrome: An overlooked otologic aspect. *Otology and Neurotology*, 30(2), 184–189. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.Ob013e318191a352

How to cite this article: Ibarra-Arce A, Almaraz-Salinas M, Martínez-Rosas V, et al. Clinical study and some molecular features of Mexican patients with syndromic craniosynostosis. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2020;8:e1266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> mgg3.1266