Communication



Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on breast surgery and breast reconstruction in a Japanese university hospital setting

Dai Shibata¹, Takahiko Kawate², Takako Komiya¹, Itaru Nakamura³, Takashi Ishikawa², Hajime Matsumura¹

Departments of ¹Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and ²Breast Surgery, Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo; ³Department of Infectious Disease Medicine, Tokyo Medical University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Hajime Matsumura

Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Tokyo Medical University, 6-7-1, Nishi-shinjuku Shiniuku-ku, Tokyo 160-0023, Japan

Tel: +81-3-3342-6111, Fax: +81-3-5322-8253, E-mail: hmatsu-tki@umin.ac.jp

Received: June 19, 2021 • Revised: September 29, 2021 • Accepted: September 30, 2021 pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171

https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2021.01438 • Arch Plast Surg 2022;49:132-136

Copyright © 2022 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has spread worldwide, and the World Health Organization categorized it as a pandemic on March 11, 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic has had a major impact on hospitals throughout the globe and caused a collapse of medical care in many regions. Although Tokyo did not experience a medical collapse, several major hospitals were affected. There were no restrictions on hospital admission, and all hospital wards were free to admit patients. However, due to surgical restrictions, many surgeries were canceled and surgical admissions were postponed. Patient-initiated suppression of medical visits further decreased the number of patients who were admitted to the hospital.

On April 10, 2020, the Tokyo Metropolitan Government declared a state of emergency. In response, the hospital decided to strictly limit the number of surgical cases in accordance with the COVID-19 surgical triage guidelines issued by the American College of Surgeons. The operating room (OR) restrictions began on April 20, 2020. Only urgent operations were performed, except for those requiring only local anesthesia.

Before the pandemic, the department of breast surgery scheduled up to six surgeries per week, and approximately 250 to 300 breast cancer surgeries were performed annually. Breast surgeons decided to postpone all surgeries beginning in mid-April 2020. In June 2020, the restrictions were relaxed after the lifting of the national emergency declaration, and three operations per week were permitted. In August 2020, the OR schedule reverted to normal.

This study sought to determine how patients requiring surgery for breast cancer or breast reconstruction at the Tokyo Medical University Hospital were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

This retrospective single-center chart review was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tokyo Medical University. We investigated the treatment of breast cancer patients during the following periods: (1) April to July 2020 (when surgery under general anesthesia was restricted); (2) August to November 2019 (the period after the Allergan recall, discussed later); or (3) April to July 2019 (1 year prior to the survey period in [1]).

During these periods, patients diagnosed with breast cancer or referred after diagnosis to an outpatient breast clinic, patients referred from outpatient breast clinics to outpatient plastic surgery clinics for breast reconstruction, and patients awaiting breast reconstruction (e.g., autologous tissue reconstruction, implant reconstruction, and nipple-areola reconstruction) at an outpatient plastic surgery clinic were included. The number of patients with breast cancer or undergoing breast reconstruction, the number of surgeries and surgical procedures, the number of postponed surgeries and reasons, and changes in the number of reconstructive procedures were collected from medical records.

Triage and prioritization of breast surgery during the COVID-19

The Japan Surgical Society announced a surgical triage protocol under COVID-19 based on the American College of Surgeons protocol on April 14, 2020. We created our own triage for breast cancer based on the Japan Surgical Society protocol. Patients with breast cancer were divided into three categories:

- (1) High-priority (requiring urgent treatment)
- · Salvage operation for surgical complications, such as hematoma removal or revision of an ischemic autologous flap
- · Rapidly growing phyllodes tumor
- (2) Medium-priority (treatment delay could worsen outcomes)
- Stage I and II hormone receptor-positive: surgery is postponed and endocrine therapy is administered.
- T2 or N1 hormone receptor-positive human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2)-negative breast cancer: neoadjuvant chemotherapy is administered as an alternative to endocrine therapy.
- Triple-negative or HER2-positive breast cancer: surgery is considered depending on local conditions.
- (3) Low-priority (immediate treatment not required and can be deferred)
- Prophylactic surgery: surgery is postponed.
- Ductal carcinoma in situ: surgery is postponed.
- Re-excision of positive margins and cases of effective neoadju-

vant endocrine therapy: surgery is postponed

Triage and prioritization of reconstructive treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic

Triage and prioritization were performed in accordance with the recommendations of the American College of Surgeons and American Society of Plastic Surgeons [1,2]. Only tissue expander insertions were allowed for immediate reconstruction. Patients who requested autologous tissue reconstruction were recommended to undergo immediate two-stage breast reconstruction. All implant replacements, autologous replacements (as the second operation in two-stage reconstruction), and delayed reconstructions were canceled, although this policy was eliminated after the relaxation of the OR restrictions. However, implant replacement was considered for patients whose surgeries had previously been canceled due to the Allergan recall. Nipple-areolar complex (NAC) reconstruction under local anesthesia continued during the pandemic.

Results

A summary of the results is shown in Figs. 1, 2 and Tables 1-4.

Breast cancer and breast reconstruction patients during the pandemic period (April to July 2020)

Fifty-six patients were candidates for breast cancer surgery during this period. Seventeen breast cancer surgeries that were planned between April 1 and April 19 were unaffected by the OR restrictions. From April 20 to June 31, 39 breast cancer surgeries were scheduled, but 26 (66.7%) were postponed due to the level of priority (medium or low). Forty-one breast reconstruction surgeries were planned during this period. Seventeen additional patients underwent breast reconstruction but did not have surgery scheduled during the specified period.

Postponed surgeries during the pandemic period

Primary breast cancer surgery was postponed in 26 patients (19 medium-priority patients and seven low-priority patients). All postponed medium-priority patients received neoadjuvant endocrine treatment (oral tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors) while awaiting rescheduling. The duration of neoadjuvant endocrine therapy was relatively short (less than 1-3 months). Surgeries were performed later in the pandemic period using surgical slots in the plastic surgery department. All surgeries in the low-priority group were postponed once and performed at a later date.




Fig. 1. Breast cancer surgery postponement in the three periods.




Fig. 2. Breast reconstruction postponement in the three periods. TE, tissue expander; SBI, silicone breast implant; NAC, nipple-areolar complex.

Table 1. Surgery postponement during the COVID-19 pandemic (April 20 to July 31, 2020)

| Surgery | | | No. of surgeries planned | No. of surgeries postponed |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Primary breast | | High-priority cases | 13 | 0 |
| cancer surgery | | Medium-priority cases | 19 | 19 |
| | | Low-priority cases | 7 | 7 |
| | | Total | 39 | 26 |
| Breast reconstruction | on surgery | | | |
| Immediate | One-stage | Autologous tissue | 3 | 1 |
| | Two-stage | Tissue expander | 15 | 6 |
| | | Silicone breast implanta) | 7 | 6 |
| | | Autologous tissue ^{a)} | 6 | 5 |
| Delayed | One-stage | Silicone breast implant | 0 | 0 |
| | | Autologous tissue | 2 | 2 |
| | Two-stage | Silicone breast implanta) | 0 | 0 |
| NAC reconstruction | | Graft/flap | 2 | 1 |
| | | Tattoo | 5 | 0 |
| Fat injection | | | 1 | 1 |
| | | Total | 41 | 22 |

Seventeen cases were excluded before the restriction of operating room use. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NAC, nipple-areolar complex. a)Replacement.

We postponed 22 of 41 breast reconstruction surgeries. Details of the reconstructive procedures are shown in Table 1. Thirteen surgeries were postponed at the surgeon's request and nine at the patient's request, mostly due to fears of contracting COVID-19 in the hospital.

Surgeries performed during the pandemic period

Surgery was performed in 56 patients during the pandemic period (including 17 patients who underwent surgery before the OR restriction), as follows: total mastectomy in nine patients, partial mastectomy in 29 patients, breast reconstruction in 11 patients, and others (hematoma evacuation and axillary lymph node dissection) in seven patients. Two immediate one-stage breast reconstructions, 11 immediate two-stage breast reconstructions, and six NAC reconstructions were performed.

Oncological outcomes of the triaged breast cancer patients

The triage of patients with breast cancer did not result in any adverse oncological outcomes. No disease progression was observed during the study period in any of the medium-priority patients for whom surgery was postponed (Table 4).

The period after Allergan announced a recall (August to November 2019)

The results for the second period are shown in Table 2. A statement with regard to the significant number of breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma cases was issued by Allergan in July 2019. In Japan, the Allergan product was the only approved implant at that time. Six surgeries were postponed due to the Allergan recall. One patient was switched to autologous tissue reconstruction, four awaited implant replacement surgery, and one reconstruction was

Table 2. Operations postponed during the period after the Allergan recall (August 1 to November 30, 2019)

| Surgery | | | No. of surgeries planned | No. of surgeries postponed | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Primary breast cancer s | 105 | 0 | | | | |
| Breast reconstruction surgery | | | | | | |
| Immediate | One-stage | Autologous tissue | 6 | 0 | | |
| | Two-stage | Tissue expander | 6 | 3 | | |
| | | Silicone breast implanta) | 3 | 3 | | |
| | | Autologous tissue ^{a)} | 2 | 0 | | |
| Delayed | One-stage | Silicone breast implant | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Autologous tissue | 3 | 0 | | |
| | Two-stage | Silicone breast implanta) | 0 | 0 | | |
| NAC reconstruction | | Graft/flap | 5 | 0 | | |
| | | Tattoo | 14 | 0 | | |
| Fat injection | | | 1 | 0 | | |
| | | Total | 40 | 6 | | |

NAC, nipple-areolar complex.

a)Replacement.

Table 3. Operations postponed 1 year prior to COVID-19 closure (April 1 to July 31, 2019)

| 10 54.7 0.7 20.07 | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Surgery | | | No. of surgeries planned | No. of surgeries postponed |
| Primary breast cancer | surgery | | 91 | 0 |
| Breast reconstruction | surgery | | | |
| Immediate | One-stage | Autologous tissue | 3 | 0 |
| | Two-stage | Tissue expander | 7 | 0 |
| | | Silicone breast implant ^{a)} | 4 | 0 |
| | | Autologous tissue ^{a)} | 0 | 0 |
| Delayed | One-stage | Silicone breast implant | 1 | 0 |
| | | Autologous tissue | 0 | 0 |
| | Two-stage | Silicone breast implanta) | 1 | 0 |
| NAC reconstruction | | Graft/flap | 4 | 0 |
| | | Tattoo | 14 | 0 |
| Fat injection | | | 0 | 0 |
| | | Total | 34 | 0 |
| | | | | |

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NAC, nipple-areolar complex.

a)Replacement.

canceled at the patient's request. COVID-19 clearly had a greater impact on more patients than the Allergan recall in terms of postponed surgeries. However, COVID-19 had only a short-term impact, because the restrictions were lifted after the number of infected individuals decreased.

One-year prior to the COVID-19 pandemic period (April to July 2019)

The results for the third period studied in this research are shown in Table 3. No surgeries were postponed during this period.

Discussion

Few studies have described triage methods and reported patient turnover during the COVID-19 pandemic, and none have closely com-

Table 4. Cases of high-priority surgery during the COVID-19 restriction period

| No. | Age (yr) | T stage | N stage | M stage | Clinical stage | Subtype | Neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen | Reconstruction | Bt or Bp | SLNB or Ax |
|-----|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|
| 1 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | I | Luminal-HER2 | EC followed by DOC/HER/PER | - | Bt | Ax |
| 2 | 71 | 2 | 1 | 0 | IIB | Luminal (Ki-67: 40%) | EC followed by DOC | - | Bt | Ax |
| 3 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | IIA | Luminal-HER2 | EC followed by DOC | - | Вр | Ax |
| 4 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | IIA | Luminal-HER2 | EC followed by DOC/HER+mono HER | TE | NSM | SLNB |
| 5 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 0 | IIB | Luminal (Ki-67: 40%) | EC followed by DOC | - | Bt | Ax |
| 6 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | IIA | HER2-enrich | EC followed by DOC/HER/PER | TE | SSM | SLNB |
| 7 | 69 | 2 | 2a | 0 | IIIA | Triple-negative | ddEC followed by DOC | - | Вр | Ax |
| 8 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 | IIB | Luminal (Ki-67: 40%) | ddEC followed by ddPacli | TE | NSM | Ax |
| 9 | 52 | 4b | 1 | 0 | IIIB | Luminal | EC followed by DOC | - | Bt | Ax |
| 10 | 57 | 2 | 1 | 0 | IIB | Triple-negative | ddEC followed by ddPacli | - | Вр | Ax |
| 11 | 42 | 4b | 1 | 0 | IIIB | Luminal | ddEC followed by ddPacli | - | Bt | Ax |
| 12 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 0 | IIA | Luminal-HER2 | EC followed by DOC/HER | - | Bt | SLNB |
| 13 | 39 | 4b | 1 | 0 | IIIB | Luminal | ddEC followed by ddPacli | - | Bt | Ax |

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; EC, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide; DOC, docetaxel; HER, trastuzumab; PER, pertuzumab; dd, dose-dense; Pacli, paclitaxel; TE, tissue expander; Bt, breast total resection; Bp, breast partial resection; NSM, nipple-sparing mastectomy; SSM, skin-sparing mastectomy; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy; Ax, axillary lymph node dissection.

pared the number of surgeries and surgical procedures with those performed before the pandemic [3-5]. The objectives of the triage protocol defined herein were to prevent infection of medical personnel, appropriately allocate medical resources, and avoid affecting patients' prognoses. The oncological prognoses of the patients included in this study were unaffected; therefore, the response plan was extremely successful. However, differences in race, wealth, and insurance availability vary across countries. Therefore, care must be taken when adapting this triage plan outside of Japan, as triage needs to be flexible and varied to avoid treatment disparities [6-8]. Axillary lymph node dissection was performed in 10 of 13 (76.9%) cases undertriage. These 10 cases were diagnosed with a higher clinical stage and positive axillary lymph nodes at the time of initial diagnosis. Overall, there was a tendency to prioritize oncologically high-risk cases.

Patients in the medium-priority group were the most difficult to manage. All patients in this group received neoadjuvant endocrine therapy during surgery deferral [9,10]. Neoadjuvant endocrine therapy increases the response rate and improves surgical outcomes for hormone receptor-bearing breast cancer [11-13]. Despite its underutilization, this was a management option even before the COV-ID-19 pandemic. We administered this treatment to 19 patients during surgical postponement, and this triage was successful. No patients had advanced breast cancer due to delayed breast cancer surgery during the COVID-19 crisis, which is similar to the findings from a single hospital in South Korea [14].

Furthermore, the medium-priority group included a patient who requested immediate breast reconstruction. Breast reconstruction plays an important role in the cosmetic aspect of breast cancer surgery; however, it was difficult to prioritize this procedure. During the pandemic, the avoidance of immediate breast reconstruction was preferable because immediate reconstruction is associated with more

complications and subsequent reoperation than simple mastectomies [15,16]. Autologous tissue reconstruction, which is particularly time-consuming, was discouraged. According to an opinion survey, 63.4% of breast cancer specialists in Brazil recommended immediate reconstruction, but only 3.4% recommended autologous tissue reconstruction [17]. Some reports have indicated that breast reconstruction during the COVID-19 pandemic was limited to immediate tissue expander insertion and silicone breast implant replacement only [3,4]. Avoiding autologous tissue reconstruction reduces the operation time and hospital stay, and awake surgery has been reported as a method to reduce the operation time [18].

The need for reconstruction makes breast cancer different from other malignancies, making the treatment of breast cancer during a pandemic more complex. After OR restrictions were relaxed, breast surgeons and plastic surgeons recommended the gradual resumption of tissue expander insertion procedures. In July 2020, we were able to perform two primary first-stage autologous tissue reconstructions. Moreover, the number of NAC reconstructions under local anesthesia decreased as compared to the usual number because these operations were not planned during the pandemic period.

The limitation of this study was the relatively small number of patients in this single-center study, which was conducted at a hospital in Tokyo, where the spread of COVID-19 was relatively low by global standards. In addition, a collapse of the medical system did not occur in Tokyo, and medical resources were not significantly constrained, except for the use of ORs.

It is of the utmost importance to strike a balance between appropriate treatment, adherence to the patient's preferences, and the limitations of social and hospital conditions when making treatment decisions. A variety of simulations may be required to prepare for future pandemics [19]. During the COVID-19 pandemic, a significant number of breast cancer and breast reconstruction surgeries had to be postponed. The triage and prioritization plan that we implemented resulted in none of the patients having disease progression during the restriction period, which demonstrates that this novel strategy was appropriate.

Notes

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Tokyo Medical University (IRB No. T2020-0246) and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The informed consent was waived because this study design is a retrospective chart review.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: H Matsumura. Data curation: D Shibata, T Kawate, T Komiya. Project administration: H Matsumura, I Nakamura, T Ishikawa. Writing-original draft: D Shibata. Writing-review & editing: H Matsumura. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

ORCID

 Dai Shibata
 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4272-9786

 Takahiko Kawate
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6074-7702

 Takako Komiya
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7018-793X

 Itaru Nakamura
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8494-1278

 Takashi Ishikawa
 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3450-4533

 Hajime Matsumura
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8788-7684

References

- American College of Surgeons. COVID-19 guidelines for triage of breast cancer patients [Internet]. Chicago, IL: American College of Surgeons; c2020 [cited 2020 Sep 21]. Available from: https://www.facs.org/ covid-19/clinical-guidance/elective-case/breast-cancer.
- American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Statement on breast reconstruction in the face of COVID-19 pandemic [Internet]. Arlington Heights, IL: American Society of Plastic Surgeons; c2020 [cited 2020 Sep 21]. Available from: https://www.plasticsurgery.org/documents/medical-professionals/COVID19-Breast-Reconstruction-Statement.pdf.
- Specht M, Sobti N, Rosado N, et al. High-efficiency same-day approach to breast reconstruction during the COVID-19 crisis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2020:182:679-88.
- Lisa A, Battistini A, Giannasi S, et al. Breast reconstruction in a coronavirus disease 2019 hub. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2020;8:e3043.
- 5. MacInnes EG, Piper J, Tait C, et al. Breast cancer surgery during the

- COVID-19 pandemic peak in the UK: operative outcomes. Cureus 2020;12:e9280.
- 6. Obeng-Gyasi S, Oppong B, Paskett ED, et al. Purposeful surgical delay and the coronavirus pandemic: how will black breast cancer patients fare? Breast Cancer Res Treat 2020;182:527-30.
- 7. Belkacemi Y, Grellier N, Ghith S, et al. A review of the international early recommendations for departments organization and cancer management priorities during the global COVID-19 pandemic: applicability in lowand middle-income countries. Eur J Cancer 2020;135:130-46.
- Anwar SL, Harahap WA, Aryandono T. Perspectives on how to navigate cancer surgery in the breast, head and neck, skin, and soft tissue tumor in limited-resource countries during COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Surg 2020;79:206-12.
- Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Abraham J, et al. Breast Cancer, Version 3.2020, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2020;18:452-78.
- Spring LM, Gupta A, Reynolds KL, et al. Neoadjuvant endocrine therapy for estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol 2016;2:1477-86.
- 11. Alba E, Calvo L, Albanell J, et al. Chemotherapy (CT) and hormonotherapy (HT) as neoadjuvant treatment in luminal breast cancer patients: results from the GEICAM/2006-03, a multicenter, randomized, phase-II study. Ann Oncol 2012;23:3069-74.
- Semiglazov VF, Semiglazov VV, Dashyan GA, et al. Phase 2 randomized trial of primary endocrine therapy versus chemotherapy in postmenopausal patients with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Cancer 2007;110:244-54
- Eiermann W, Paepke S, Appfelstaedt J, et al. Preoperative treatment of postmenopausal breast cancer patients with letrozole: a randomized double-blind multicenter study. Ann Oncol 2001;12:1527-32.
- Lee J, Jung JH, Kim WW, et al. Patterns of delaying surgery for breast cancer during the COVID-19 outbreak in Daegu, South Korea. Front Surg 2020;7:576196.
- Chetta MD, Schoenbrunner AR, Lee CN. Postmastectomy breast reconstruction in the time of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2020;8:e2967.
- 16. Al-Hilli Z, Thomsen KM, Habermann EB, et al. Reoperation for complications after lumpectomy and mastectomy for breast cancer from the 2012 National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP). Ann Surg Oncol 2015;22 Suppl 3:S459-69.
- Cavalcante FP, Novita GG, Millen EC, et al. Management of early breast cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2020:184:637-47.
- Vanni G, Pellicciaro M, Materazzo M, et al. Awake breast cancer surgery: strategy in the beginning of COVID-19 emergency. Breast Cancer 2021; 28:137-44.
- 19. Ahmed M. Optimizing breast cancer surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. Breast Cancer 2020;27:1045-7.