



Understanding the Physiopathology Behind Axial and Radial Diffusivity Changes—What Do We Know?

Paweł J. Winklewski^{1,2,3*}, Agnieszka Sabisz³, Patrycja Naumczyk⁴, Krzysztof Jodzio⁴, Edyta Szurowska³ and Arkadiusz Szarmach³

¹Department of Human Physiology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland, ²Department of Clinical Anatomy and Physiology, Pomeranian University in Słupsk, Słupsk, Poland, ³2-nd Department of Radiology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland, ⁴Institute of Psychology, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

The use of the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is rapidly growing in the neuroimaging field. Nevertheless, rigorously performed quantitative validation of DTI pathologic metrics remains very limited owing to the difficulty in co-registering quantitative histology findings with magnetic resonance imaging. The aim of this review is to summarize the existing state-of-the-art knowledge with respect to axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity as DTI markers of axonal and myelin damage, respectively. First, we provide technical background for DTI and briefly discuss the specific organization of white matter in bundles of axonal fibers running in parallel; this is the natural target for imaging based on diffusion anisotropy. Second, we discuss the four seminal studies that paved the way for considering axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity as potential *in vivo* surrogate markers of axonal and myelin damage, respectively. Then, we present difficulties in interpreting axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity in clinical conditions associated with inflammation, edema, and white matter fiber crossing. Finally, future directions are highlighted. In summary, DTI can reveal strategic information with respect to white matter tracts, disconnection mechanisms, and related symptoms. Axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity seem to provide quite consistent information in healthy subjects, and in pathological conditions with limited edema and inflammatory changes. DTI remains one of the most promising non-invasive diagnostic tools in medicine.

Keywords: diffusion tensor imaging, axial diffusivity, radial diffusivity, myelin dysfunction, axonal injury

INTRODUCTION

The number of studies using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has grown exponentially. A search for the term “diffusion tensor imaging” yields 13,841 records in PubMed. This is not surprising as DTI represent a highly promising method for characterizing microstructural evolution in neuropathology and treatment (1).

For instance, DTI allows developmental changes in the prefrontal cortex to be tracked. It is believed that brain maturation is associated with augmented myelination, organization, and integrity of frontal white matter; this is confirmed by DTI indices, such as fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}), and axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}). Therefore, DTI provides a tool to highlight patterns associated with the developmental time course of the frontal structural integrity, which correlates with the improvements in higher level cognitive functions taking place between adolescence and early adulthood (2). Interestingly, DTI studies reveal some consistent patterns in subjects exhibiting antisocial behavior. In particular, adult antisocial behavior was shown to be associated with greater

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lars Erslund,
Haukeland University
Hospital, Norway

Reviewed by:

Gerardo Morfina,
University of Illinois at Chicago,
United States
Rodolfo Gabriel Gatto,
University of Illinois at Chicago,
United States

*Correspondence:

Paweł J. Winklewski
pawelwinklewski@wp.pl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Neurodegeneration,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 01 December 2017

Accepted: 09 February 2018

Published: 27 February 2018

Citation:

Winklewski PJ, Sabisz A,
Naumczyk P, Jodzio K, Szurowska E
and Szarmach A (2018)
Understanding the Physiopathology
Behind Axial and Radial Diffusivity
Changes—What Do We Know?
Front. Neurol. 9:92.
doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00092

diffusivity within several white matter tracts, including the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, cingulum, thalamic radiations, corticospinal tract, and corpus callosum (3).

At the same time, a clinical meta-analysis in subjects with mild-traumatic brain injury produced conflicting results. This large review, consisting of 86 studies, concluded that “DTI is sensitive to a wide range of group differences in diffusion metrics, but currently lacks the specificity necessary for meaningful clinical application” (4). There is a clear lack of consensus among the experts about the use of DTI indices in a specific region of the brain as biomarkers for post-concussion syndrome, because no consistent trends for DTI variables in these subjects have been defined (5). On the contrary, in subjects suffering from cerebral small vessel disease, associations between DTI parameters and cognition have been confirmed in a multicenter study (6).

When reviewing the DTI studies it is quite striking that rigorous quantitative validation of DTI pathologic metrics remains very limited, most likely due to the difficulty in co-registering quantitative histopathology data with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). It seems obvious that heterogenic pathologies within the brain white matter, including changes, such as brain edema and the inflammatory response, can potentially affect the consistency of DTI metrics. The aim of this review is to summarize the existing state-of-the-art knowledge with respect to axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity as DTI markers of axonal and myelin damage, respectively.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The principles of diffusion-weighted MRI were first described in the mid-1980s (7–9); they were based on the concept of MRI imaging combined with bipolar magnetic field gradient pulses that were introduced earlier to encode molecular diffusion effects on the spin-echo experiment (10). In ideal conditions, diffusion can be considered a truly three-dimensional process. However, in biological materials like tissues, molecular mobility may be constrained or facilitated in particular directions. Such anisotropy results from the presence of obstacles that influence molecular movement. The MRI signal is generated from water molecules by combining radiofrequency pulses with magnetic field gradients. Importantly, only molecular movements occurring within the direction of the gradient pulses are encoded in MRI generated signal. Consequently, the effect of diffusion anisotropy is easily measured by alternating the direction of the gradient pulses and observing variations in diffusivity parameters in the three planes. This feature makes diffusion-weighted MRI unique and distinguishes it from routine T1 or T2 weighted images (11).

Optimization of MRI sequences and fine tuning of the processing and display of recorded MRI signals allows for the full extraction of diffusion anisotropy effects, and thus, provides details on tissue microstructure. This more rigorous and elaborated diffusion-weighted MRI technique is named DTI (12, 13). The DTI matrix is obtained from a series of diffusion-weighted images in various gradient directions. The three diffusivity parameters (λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3), are generated by matrix diagonalization. Diffusivities are scalar indices describing water diffusion in a specific voxels (the smallest volumetric elements in the image) associated with the geometry of white matter tracts (14, 15). The diffusivities (λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3) obtained by DTI matrix diagonalization can be delimited into parallel (λ_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (λ_{\perp}) components to the axonal tract (16–18). Axial diffusivity, $\lambda_{\parallel} \equiv \lambda_1 > \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, describes the mean diffusion coefficient of water molecules diffusing parallel to the tract within the voxel of interest. Similarly, radial diffusivity, $\lambda_{\perp} \equiv (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/2$, can be defined as the magnitude of water diffusion perpendicular to the tract (19, 20). Fractional anisotropy in brain measures the total magnitude of water directional movement along the axonal fibers (16), while mean diffusivity is a measure of mean diffusion of each direction. Therefore, fractional anisotropy may be enhanced in situations of facilitated parallel diffusivity, restricted perpendicular diffusivity, or as a result of combination of both factors (21).

White matter in the brain, organized in bundles of axonal fibers running in parallel, is the natural target for imaging based on diffusion anisotropy. In principle, diffusion along the fibers should be faster than in the perpendicular direction. Based on the assumption that the direction of the fastest diffusion indicates the overall orientation of the fibers, color-coded maps of white matter tracts in the brain are created [Figure 1 (22)]. A non-invasive method to objectively quantify white matter abnormalities greatly support studies aiming at clarification of mechanisms of damage, matching pathology with neurologic function, and assessing therapeutic interventions.

EARLY EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

White matter impairment leading to neurological disorders can be categorized according to myelin abnormality (demyelination), axonal injury, or a combination of both (23, 24). There are several animal experimental models that allow for at least partial differentiation of these processes. One such model, congenitally dysmyelinated Shiverer mutant mice, was used by Song et al. (19) in his first study on radial (λ_{\perp}) and axial (λ_{\parallel}) diffusivity. Radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) was significantly increased, while axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) was not altered in congenitally dysmyelinated shiverer



FIGURE 1 | Example of maps computed from diffusion tensor imaging of the brain: (A) mean diffusivity, (B) axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}), (C) radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}), and (D) fractional anisotropy.

mutant mice, as compared to wild-type mice (19), suggesting that radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) may represent a potential non-invasive marker of myelin disintegration.

In a second study, Song et al. (20) used a mouse model of retinal ischemia. This model is characterized by acute inner retinal degeneration (25, 26) with initial axonal degeneration in the optic nerve, and secondary myelin fragmentation following retinal degeneration (27). Song et al. (20) observed distinct evolution patterns of axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity during the progression of optic nerve degeneration. Axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) diminished in the injured optic nerve by day 3 following ischemia, while change in radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) between the injured optic nerves and control nerves was not detected until day 5. Radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) reached its minimal value on day 5, and remained on this level on day 7 after ischemia. Importantly, this longitudinal DTI examination of the optic nerve was positively linked with neurofilament and myelin basic protein immunostaining (28) results at day 3 (axonal degeneration) and 7 (myelin fragmentation) after the injury.

The notion that demyelination might be associated with a marked increase in radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}), and modest often insignificant changes in axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}), was further reinforced by the third seminal study of Song et al. (29). In this study, the cuprizone model, which is characterized by consistent demyelination of the corpus callosum in mouse brains, was used. Demyelination after several weeks of diet, including cuprizone (neurotoxicant that chelates copper) is extensive, yet can be reversed if the mice are back to normal chow (30–32). The axonal's damage time course was clearly different from the radial diffusivity time course, demonstrating that radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) recognizes demyelination as distinct from axonal damage. However, although changes in axial diffusivity measured at the initial stage of cuprizone administration suggested acute axonal damage in white matter, the diminished axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) did not reach statistical significance (29). The uncertainty about the potential of axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) as a marker of axon damage was further clarified in the study by Sun et al. (33), from the same group using the same cuprizone mouse model. Biweekly *in vivo* DTI examinations showed a transient decrease in axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) in the corpus callosum after 2–6 weeks of cuprizone administration, while immunostaining for non-phosphorylated neurofilaments demonstrated corresponding axonal damage after 4 weeks of treatment.

In summary, in four seminal studies, Song and colleagues demonstrated that axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity may be useful *in vivo* surrogate markers of axonal and myelin damage, respectively, in selected mouse experimental models of white matter abnormalities.

CRITIQUE OF THE METHOD

Interestingly, when a synthetic model of crossing fibers is used, the three diffusivities (λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3) may not detect the same underlying structural characteristics in particular datasets, because orientation of the related principal eigenvector (a characteristic vector whose direction does not change in the linear transformation and has got the largest magnitude) may differ (34). According to these authors, axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivities,

i.e., the water diffusion coefficient parallel and perpendicular to the axons, may provide an acceptable approximation if the voxel includes a healthy fiber bundle determining the diffusion characteristic of the voxel. However, if the signal-to-noise ratio is low, if crossing fibers are present, or if pathology causes a decrease in anisotropy, such an approach can lead to misinterpretation of the results (35). This is an important statement as the latter situation occurs within brain lesions, characteristic, for instance, of multiple sclerosis (36).

Inflammation, often present in diseases associated with white matter impairment, poses another difficulty for the interpretation of DTI signals (37). In a cuprizone experimental mouse model, it has been shown that axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) values were diminished in the beginning of demyelination process in corpus callosum regions characterized by nonuniform axonal edema, beads, varicosities parallel to the axon segments, and microglia/macrophage activation. In the same animals, axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) was not decreased during prolonged demyelination, in which axonal atrophy was evident. The radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) values generally were enhanced in chronically demyelinated corpus callosum voxels, but in regions with extensive axonal edema and prominent inflammatory cell presence, radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) did not change, likely because of reduced intra-axonal water diffusivity following injury and/or the enhanced restriction related to the presence of infiltrating cells (38).

A combination of oligodendrocyte apoptosis and the development of vasogenic edema could also result in enhanced diffusivity across the axons, leading to discrepancies between radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) and the histological picture (39). Thus, DTI-derived radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) may not be specific to myelin integrity and may actually reflect both myelin integrity and extra-axonal water content (40–42). Finally, cerebrospinal fluid contamination represents another challenge. Cheng et al. (43) proposed a combination of the DTI technique and a FLAIR $b = 0$ image to suppress cerebrospinal fluid partial volume effects and improve white matter fiber tractography.

Summing up, experimental studies from different pathogenic events: acute injury (ischemia, trauma), short term/progressive injury (cuprizone model), and congenial and long-term chronic injury (Shivered mice) are part of different cellular responses which can result in different DTI scalars anomalies (Table 1). In addition, some of the acute processes (trauma), include complex acute multicellular processes (inflammation) and chronic processes (scarring) which could radically change the white matter matrix structure and temporal course of the DTI parameters. Moreover, trophic iteration between neuronal and glial cell populations in the nervous tissue should be taken into consideration. The pathological changes occurring in one population may defectively alter another cell group and affect axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity.

CLINICAL ASPECTS OF AXIAL AND RADIAL DIFFUSIVITY

Pathophysiological changes in multiple sclerosis encompass the dynamic evolution of inflammation, axonal injury, and myelin

TABLE 1 | Summary of findings from specific experimental reports cited in the review.

Experimental model/disease	Axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel})	Radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp})	Histopathological correlation	Reference
Congenitally demyelinated Shiverer mutant mice	Not changed	Increased	Yes, for axial (no axon damage—no λ_{\parallel} change) and radial (demyelination) diffusivity	(19)
Mouse model of retinal ischemia	Decreased by day 3 after ischemia	Decreased on day 5 and present on day 7 after ischemia	Yes, at day 3 (axonal degeneration) and 7 (myelin fragmentation) after the injury	(20)
Mouse cuprizone model of experimental demyelination and myelination of corpus callosum	Tendency to decrease, but not reached statistical significance	Increased	Yes, for radial diffusivity (demyelination), only tendency for axial diffusivity (axon damage)	(29)
Mouse cuprizone model of experimental demyelination and myelination of corpus callosum	Decreased	Increased	Yes, for both axial (axon damage) and radial (demyelination)	(33)
Mathematical modeling			No, if the signal-to-noise ratio is low, if crossing fibers are present, or if pathology causes a decrease in anisotropy	(34, 35)
Rat model of liposaccharide injection into the corpus callosum		Increased	No, radial diffusivity increase due to vasogenic edema	(37)
Mouse cuprizone model of experimental demyelination and myelination of corpus callosum	Decreased	Increased	No, axial diffusivity did not correlate with axonal atrophy; did not correlate with myelin loss or astrogliosis	(38)
Mouse model of acute spinal cord injury	Increased	Increased	Good correlation in the epicenter and remotely to the changes, axial and radial diffusivity impacted by vasogenic edema	(39)
Mathematical modeling			Cellularity decrease axon diffusivity, have a limited impact on radial diffusivity; vasogenic edema increases radial diffusivity	(42)

loss, which creates a particularly challenging situation for imaging with axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity. The timing of inflammation relative to tissue injury is not always known. In addition to the temporal aspect, the pathology in multiple sclerosis is also complex and variable, with axon and myelin injury strongly interlinked. Nevertheless, Oh et al. (44, 45) demonstrated that fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, and radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) could efficiently discriminate multiple sclerosis patients with high and low disability levels. Fractional anisotropy was diminished, mean diffusivity increased, and radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) enhanced in subjects with high disability, as compared with low disability, demonstrating good reproducibility.

Kronlage et al. (46) demonstrated that fractional anisotropy and radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) correlated strongly with electrophysiological markers of demyelination, whereas axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) did not correlate with markers of axonal neuropathy in subjects with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. In another study, axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) and fractional anisotropy showed a significant correlation with axonal integrity, whereas radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) was related to myelin compactness in an animal model of closed head traumatic brain injury (47). In this study, fractional anisotropy was sensitive to astrogliosis in the gray matter, whereas mean diffusivity was associated with augmented cellularity.

Interestingly, Naismith et al. (48) demonstrated that in remote optic neuritis (commonly one of the first manifestations of multiple sclerosis), radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) may discriminate

visual outcomes. White matter tracts consisting of parallel axons tightly packed with myelin are anisotropic, or directional, to the diffusion of water. Chronic injury associated with demyelination and axons loss leads to reduced anisotropy. As a consequence diffusion perpendicular to the white matter tract (analogous to λ_{\perp}) augments, overall diffusivity (mean diffusivity) increases, and tissue directionality diminishes. At the same time, within the human central nervous system, pathologic changes from the acute to the chronic stage result in axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}) becoming less informative over time. As myelin debris is cleared, inflammation and edema diminish, demyelinated axons are less tightly packed, and the widening interstitial space dilutes the ability of DTI to detect and measure anisotropic diffusion (λ_{\parallel}) within axons. Thus, the correlation between axial diffusivity and visual outcomes in subjects with remote optic neuritis was very modest (48).

To summarize, in cases of axon and myelin injury associated with inflammation and increased cellularity, DTI tends to underestimate the extent of demyelination, while at the same time, may exaggerate the extent of the axonal injury. The final outcome is undervalued radial diffusivity (λ_{\perp}) and overvalued axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}). In turn, in chronic diseases associated with intensive axonal loss, the increased isotropic diffusion seems to enhance both radial (λ_{\perp}) and axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}). Consequently, DTI can no longer provide sufficient reliability in terms of underlying pathologies when inflammation, axonal loss, axonal injury, and demyelination coexist.

FUTURE PROSPECTS

Song and colleagues have proposed a new methodology called diffusion basis spectrum imaging (DBSI) to address the DTI inaccuracies with respect to radial (λ_{\perp}) and axial diffusivity (λ_{\parallel}). Phantom tests and *in vivo* experiments using cuprizone-treated mice suggest that DBSI might be capable of quantifying the extent of augmented cellularity and vasogenic edema, constituting a reliable marker of inflammation. Moreover, DBSI seems to improve the quantification of axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity, which distinguishes and reflects axonal versus myelin injury (40).

The DBSI model proposed by the Song research group has been validated in several animal and human studies, as reviewed by Cross and Song (49). The possible limitation of the reviewed research describing the interdependencies between axial (λ_{\parallel}) versus radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity, and axonal versus myelin injury (respectively), is that most of the discussed studies originated from one site. In particular, replication of DBSI-derived data is yet to be published. Animal models of neurodegenerative diseases featuring fluorescently labeled axons (50) represent another option to correlate axonal pathology to specific alterations in axial and radial diffusivities. Further development of DTI technology, including DBSI and other concepts (such as specific animal models), may enormously advance our understanding of underlying pathologies in several central nervous disorders.

REFERENCES

- Alexander AL, Lee JE, Lazar M, Field AS. Diffusion tensor imaging of the brain. *Neurotherapeutics* (2007) 4:316–29. doi:10.1016/j.nurt.2007.05.011
- Sousa SS, Amaro E Jr, Crego A, Gonçalves ÓF, Sampaio A. Developmental trajectory of the prefrontal cortex: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. *Brain Imaging Behav* (2017). doi:10.1007/s11682-017-9761-4
- Waller R, Dotterer HL, Murray L, Maxwell AM, Hyde LW. White-matter tract abnormalities and antisocial behavior: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies across development. *Neuroimage Clin* (2017) 14:201–15. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.01.014
- Asken BM, DeKosky ST, Clugston JR, Jaffee MS, Bauer RM. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) findings in adult civilian, military, and sport-related mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): a systematic critical review. *Brain Imaging Behav* (2017). doi:10.1007/s11682-017-9708-9
- Khong E, Odenwald N, Hashim E, Cusimano MD. Diffusion tensor imaging findings in post-concussion syndrome patients after mild traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. *Front Neurol* (2016) 7:156. doi:10.3389/fneur.2016.00156
- Croall ID, Lohner V, Moynihan B, Khan U, Hassan A, O'Brien JT, et al. Using DTI to assess white matter microstructure in cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) in multicentre studies. *Clin Sci* (2017) 131:1361–73. doi:10.1042/CS20170146
- Merboldt KD, Hanićke W, Frahm J. Self-diffusion NMR imaging using stimulated echoes. *J Magn Reson* (1985) 64:479–86.
- Taylor DG, Bushell MC. The spatial mapping of translational diffusion coefficients by the NMR imaging technique. *Phys Med Biol* (1985) 30:345–9. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/30/4/009
- Le Bihan D, Breton E, Lallemand D, Grenier P, Cabanis E, Laval-Jeantet M. MR imaging of intravoxel incoherent motions: application to diffusion and perfusion in neurologic disorders. *Radiology* (1986) 161:401–7. doi:10.1148/radiology.161.2.3763909
- Stejskal EO, Tanner JE. Spin diffusion measurements: spin echoes in the presence of a time-dependent field gradient. *J Chem Phys* (1965) 42:288–92. doi:10.1063/1.1695690

Diffusion tensor imaging can reveal strategic information with respect to white matter tracts, disconnection mechanisms, and related symptoms. Axial (λ_{\parallel}) and radial (λ_{\perp}) diffusivity seem to provide quite consistent information in healthy subjects, and in pathological conditions with limited edema and inflammatory changes. DTI remains one of the most promising non-invasive diagnostic tools in medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PW and AS drafted and wrote the manuscript. PN, KJ, ES, and AS commented and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Agata Majewska for the excellent technical support, including preparation of figures.

FUNDING

AS and PW are supported by the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) grant numbers 2017/01/X/NZ5/00909 and 2017/01/X/NZ4/00779, respectively.

- Le Bihan D, Mangin JF, Poupon C, Clark CA, Pappata S, Molko N, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging: concepts and applications. *J Magn Reson Imaging* (2001) 13:534–46. doi:10.1002/jmri.1076
- Basser PJ, Mattiello J, Le Bihan D. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin echo. *J Magn Reson* (1994) 103:247–54. doi:10.1006/jmrb.1994.1037
- Basser PJ, Mattiello J, Le Bihan D. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophys J* (1994) 66:259–67. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(94)80775-1
- Pierpaoli C, Basser PJ. Toward a quantitative assessment of diffusion anisotropy. *Magn Reson Med* (1996) 36:893–906; Erratum in *Magn Reson Med* (1997);37:972. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910360612
- Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. A simplified method to measure the diffusion tensor from seven MR images. *Magn Reson Med* (1998) 39:928–34. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910390610
- Basser PJ. Inferring microstructural features and the physiological state of tissues from diffusion-weighted images. *NMR Biomed* (1995) 8:333–44. doi:10.1002/nbm.1940080707
- Xue R, van Zijl PC, Crain BJ, Solaiyappan M, Mori S. In vivo three-dimensional reconstruction of rat brain axonal projections by diffusion tensor imaging. *Magn Reson Med* (1999) 42:1123–7. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1522-2594(199912)42:6<1123::AID-MRM17>3.0.CO;2-H
- Basser PJ, Pajevic S, Pierpaoli C, Duda J, Aldroubi A. In vivo fiber tractography using DT-MRI data. *Magn Reson Med* (2000) 44:625–32. doi:10.1002/1522-2594(200010)44:4<625::AID-MRM17>3.0.CO;2-O
- Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH. Demyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage* (2002) 17:1429–36. doi:10.1006/nimg.2002.1267
- Song SK, Sun SW, Ju WK, Lin SJ, Cross AH, Neufeld AH. Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and myelin degeneration in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. *Neuroimage* (2003) 20:1714–22. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.07.005
- Scholz J, Tomassini V, Johansen-Berg H. Individual differences in white matter microstructure in the healthy brain. 2nd ed. In: Johansen-Berg H, Behrens TEJ, editors. *Diffusion MRI*. San Diego: Elsevier Inc (2014). p. 301–16.

22. Douek P, Turner R, Pekar J, Patronas NJ, Le Bihan D. MR color mapping of myelin fiber orientation. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* (1991) 15:923–9. doi:10.1097/00004728-199111000-00003
23. McGavern DB, Murray PD, Rodriguez M. Quantitation of spinal cord demyelination, remyelination, atrophy, and axonal loss in a model of progressive neurologic injury. *J Neurosci Res* (1999) 58:492–504. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4547(19991115)58:4<492::AID-JNR3>3.0.CO;2-P
24. Perry VH, Anthony DC. Axon damage and repair in multiple sclerosis. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* (1999) 354:1641–7. doi:10.1098/rstb.1999.0509
25. Kawai SI, Vora S, Das S, Gachie E, Becker B, Neufeld AH. Modeling of risk factors for the degeneration of retinal ganglion cells after ischemia/reperfusion in rats: effects of age, caloric restriction, diabetes, pigmentation, and glaucoma. *FASEB J* (2001) 15:1285–7. doi:10.1096/fj.00-0666fje
26. Rosenbaum DM, Rosenbaum PS, Singh M, Gupta G, Gupta H, Li B, et al. Functional and morphologic comparison of two methods to produce transient retinal ischemia in the rat. *J Neuroophthalmol* (2001) 21:62–8. doi:10.1097/00041327-200103000-00015
27. Adachi M, Takahashi K, Nishikawa M, Miki H, Uyama M. High intraocular pressure-induced ischemia and reperfusion injury in the optic nerve and retina in rats. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* (1996) 234:445–51. doi:10.1007/BF02539411
28. Kim GM, Xu J, Song SK, Yan P, Ku G, Xu XM, et al. Tumor necrosis factor receptor deletion reduces nuclear factor-kappa B activation, cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein 2 expression, and functional recovery after traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Neurosci* (2001) 21:6617–25.
29. Song SK, Yoshino J, Le TQ, Lin SJ, Sun SW, Cross AH, et al. Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain. *Neuroimage* (2005) 26:132–40. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.01.028
30. Matsushima GK, Morell P. The neurotoxicant, cuprizone, as a model to study demyelination and remyelination in the central nervous system. *Brain Pathol* (2001) 11:107–16. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2001.tb00385.x
31. Armstrong RC, Le TQ, Frost EE, Borke RC, Vana AC. Absence of fibroblast growth factor 2 promotes oligodendroglial repopulation of demyelinated white matter. *J Neurosci* (2002) 22:8574–85.
32. Stidworthy MF, Genoud S, Suter U, Mantei N, Franklin RJ. Quantifying the early stages of remyelination following cuprizone-induced demyelination. *Brain Pathol* (2003) 13:329–39. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2003.tb00032.x
33. Sun SW, Liang HF, Trinkaus K, Cross AH, Armstrong RC, Song SK. Noninvasive detection of cuprizone induced axonal damage and demyelination in the mouse corpus callosum. *Magn Reson Med* (2006) 55:302–8. doi:10.1002/mrm.20774
34. Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Cercignani M. About “axial” and “radial” diffusivities. *Magn Reson Med* (2009) 61:1255–60. doi:10.1002/mrm.21965
35. Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Ciccarelli O, Schneider T, Alexander DC, Cercignani M. A new approach to structural integrity assessment based on axial and radial diffusivities. *Funct Neurol* (2012) 27:85–90.
36. Rovaris M, Agosta F, Pagani E, Filippi M. Diffusion tensor MR imaging. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* (2009) 19:37–43. doi:10.1016/j.nic.2008.08.001
37. Lodygensky GA, West T, Stump M, Holtzman DM, Inder TE, Neil JJ. In vivo MRI analysis of an inflammatory injury in the developing brain. *Brain Behav Immun* (2010) 24:759–67. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2009.11.005
38. Xie M, Tobin JE, Budde MD, Chen CI, Trinkaus K, Cross AH, et al. Rostrocaudal analysis of corpus callosum demyelination and axon damage across disease stages refines diffusion tensor imaging correlations with pathological features. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* (2010) 69:704–16. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e3181e3de90
39. Kim JH, Loy DN, Liang HF, Trinkaus K, Schmidt RE, Song SK. Noninvasive diffusion tensor imaging of evolving white matter pathology in a mouse model of acute spinal cord injury. *Magn Reson Med* (2007) 58:253–60. doi:10.1002/mrm.21316
40. Wang Y, Wang Q, Haldar JB, Yeh FC, Xie M, Sun P, et al. Quantification of increased cellularity during inflammatory demyelination. *Brain* (2011) 134:3590–601. doi:10.1093/brain/awr307
41. Wang X, Cusick MF, Wang Y, Sun P, Libbey JE, Trinkaus K, et al. Diffusion basis spectrum imaging detects and distinguishes coexisting subclinical inflammation, demyelination and axonal injury in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis mice. *NMR Biomed* (2014) 27:843–52. doi:10.1002/nbm.3129
42. Chiang CW, Wang Y, Sun P, Lin TH, Trinkaus K, Cross AH, et al. Quantifying white matter tract diffusion parameters in the presence of increased extra-fiber cellularity and vasogenic edema. *Neuroimage* (2014) 101:310–9. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.06.064
43. Cheng YW, Chung HW, Chen CY, Chou MC. Diffusion tensor imaging with cerebrospinal fluid suppression and signal-to-noise preservation using acquisition combining fluid-attenuated inversion recovery and conventional imaging: comparison of fiber tracking. *Eur J Radiol* (2011) 79:113–7. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2009.12.032
44. Oh J, Zackowski K, Chen M, Newsome S, Saidha S, Smith SA, et al. Multiparametric MRI correlates of sensorimotor function in the spinal cord in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* (2013) 19:427–35. doi:10.1177/1352458512456614
45. Oh J, Saidha S, Chen M, Smith SA, Prince J, Jones C, et al. Spinal cord quantitative MRI discriminates between disability levels in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* (2013) 80:540–7. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828154c5
46. Kronlage M, Pitarokoili K, Schwarz D, Godel T, Heiland S, Yoon MS, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: diagnostic accuracy and correlation with electrophysiology. *Invest Radiol* (2017) 52:701–7. doi:10.1097/RLI.0000000000000394
47. Tu TW, Williams RA, Lescher JD, Jikaria N, Turtzo LC, Frank JA. Radiological-pathological correlation of diffusion tensor and magnetization transfer imaging in a closed head traumatic brain injury model. *Ann Neurol* (2016) 79:907–20. doi:10.1002/ana.24641
48. Naismith RT, Xu J, Tutlam NT, Trinkaus K, Cross AH, Song SK. Radial diffusivity in remote optic neuritis discriminates visual outcomes. *Neurology* (2010) 74:1702–10. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181e0434d
49. Cross AH, Song SK. A new imaging modality to non-invasively assess multiple sclerosis pathology. *J Neuroimmunol* (2017) 304:81–5. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2016.10.002
50. Gatto RG, Chu Y, Ye AQ, Price SD, Tavassoli E, Buenaventura A, et al. Analysis of YFP(J16)-R6/2 reporter mice and postmortem brains reveals early pathology and increased vulnerability of callosal axons in Huntington’s disease. *Hum Mol Genet* (2015) 24:5285–98. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv248

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Winklewski, Sabisz, Naumczyk, Jodzio, Szurowska and Szarmach. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.