### The association between a genetic variant in the SULF2 gene, metabolic parameters and vascular disease in patients at high cardiovascular risk

Britt E Heidemann<sup>a</sup>, Frank LJ Visseren<sup>a</sup>, Jessica van Setten<sup>b</sup>, A David Marais<sup>c</sup> and Charlotte Koopal<sup>a</sup> on behalf of the UCC-SMART Study Group

**Background** Clearance of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs) is mediated by several receptors, including heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs). Sulfate glucosamine-6-O-endosulfatase-2 is a gene related to the regulation of HSPG. A variant in this gene, rs2281279, has been shown to be associated with triglycerides and insulin resistance.

**Objective** To determine the relationship between rs2281279, metabolic parameters and vascular events, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in patients at high cardiovascular risk and whether *APOE* genotype modifies this relationship.

**Methods** Patients (n=4386) at high cardiovascular risk from the Utrecht Cardiovascular Cohort-Second Manifestations of Arterial Disease study were stratified according to their imputed rs2281279 genotype: AA (n=2438), AG (n=1642) and GG (n=306). Effects of rs2281279 on metabolic parameters, vascular events and T2DM were analyzed with linear regression and Cox models.

**Results** There was no relationship between imputed rs2281279 genotype and triglycerides, non-high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, insulin and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index. During a median follow-up of 11.8 (IQR, 9.3–15.5) years, 1026 cardiovascular events and 320 limb events occurred. The presence of the G allele in

#### Introduction

Hypertriglyceridemia is a hallmark of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1,2]. High triglycerides are a marker for the presence of atherogenic triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs). Clearance of TRLs by the liver is achieved by the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-R), heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) and possibly the LDL-R-related protein 1 (LRP1) [3,4]. HSPGs are a diverse group of proteoglycans rs2281279 did not affect the risk of vascular events [hazard ratio (HR), 1.03; 95% confidence interval (Cl), 0.94–1.14] or limb events (HR, 0.92; 95% Cl, 0.77–1.10). The presence of the G allele in rs2281279 did not affect the risk of T2DM (HR, 1.09; 95% Cl, 0.94–1.27). The presence of the minor G allele of rs2281279 was associated with a beneficial risk profile in  $\epsilon_{2}\epsilon_{2}$  patients, but not in  $\epsilon_{3}\epsilon_{3}$  patients.

**Conclusions** Imputed rs2281279 genotype is not associated with metabolic parameters and does not increase the risk of vascular events or T2DM in patients at high risk for cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc Endocrinol Metab* 12: 1–8 Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Cardiovascular Endocrinology & Metabolism 2023, 12:e10.1097/ XCE.00000000000278;doi: 10.1097/XCE.000000000000278

Keywords: diabetes mellitus type 2, heparan sulfate proteoglycans, single nucleotide polymorphism, SULF2 gene, triglycerides

<sup>a</sup>Department of Vascular Medicine, <sup>b</sup>Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, The Netherlands and <sup>c</sup>Division of Chemical Pathology, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Correspondence to Frank L.J. Visseren, MD, PhD, Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Centre Utrecht, PO Box 85500, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands Tel: +31 (0)88 755 5161; fax: +31 (0)30 252 3741; e-mail: f.l.j.visseren@umcutrecht.nl

Received 2 April 2022 Accepted 18 November 2022.

and are involved in several physiological processes throughout the body. Syndecan-1 is the primary HSPG that mediates the clearance of TRLs in the liver [3]. It contains a single transmembrane protein to which sugar polymers are attached, heparan sulfates, that bind TRLs and subsequently clear them from the circulation [5].

A study in mice identified that dysregulation of the heparan sulfate glucosamine-6-O-endosulfatase-2 (SULF2)gene disrupts HSPG structure [6]. The *SULF2* gene encodes the Sulf2 enzyme that decreases the sulfation grade of the heparan sulfate chains by removing essential 6-O sulfate residues, thereby preventing binding and clearance of TRLs from the circulation by HSPGs. In obese and diabetic mice, Sulf2 overexpression results in TRL accumulation in plasma [6,7]. Furthermore, liver biopsies from obese subjects showed a significant positive association between Sulf2 mRNA expression and

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website, www.cardiovascularendocrinology.com.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

fasting plasma triglycerides levels [8]. The rs2281279 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the *SULF2* gene (with an estimated allele frequency of 28% in Europeans [9]) was evaluated in patients with and without T2DM [8,10–12]. The presence of the minor G allele of rs2281279 is thought to be associated with less Sulf2 [10] and therefore more functional HSPG, however, different studies showed conflicting results with regard to this SNP and metabolic parameters and CVD [8,10–12].

Proper HSPG function is particularly relevant in subjects homozygous for the  $\epsilon 2$  allele in the APOE gene (approximately 1% of the general population) [13] because compared to other APOE genotypes, the  $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 2$  genotype results in a decreased affinity (<2%) of apolipoprotein E2 (apoE2) to the LDL-R, thereby impairing TRL clearance through this receptor [14]. The binding of apoE2 protein to HSPG is also decreased in  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  subjects (~60%) but the remaining binding capacity is considered sufficient for adequate TRL uptake [15]. Subjects with the ε2ε2 genotype are at risk to develop familial dysbetalipoproteinemia, a highly atherogenic disorder characterized by (postprandial) TRL accumulation and premature CVD [16]. For the development of familial dysbetalipoproteinemia a second metabolic hit - notably adiposity or insulin resistance - is required. It is hypothesized that insulin resistance can cause familial dysbetalipoproteinemia in  $\varepsilon^2$  homozygotes by overexpression of Sulf2 [17].

In the present study in patients at high cardiovascular risk, we aim to evaluate whether the presence of the minor G allele of rs2281279, is associated with favorable metabolic parameters and a decrease in the risk of vascular events and T2DM. Furthermore, we aim to evaluate whether the *APOE* genotype modifies the relationship between the presence of the minor allele G in rs2281279 and metabolic parameters.

### Material and methods

#### Study population

Patients originated from the Utrecht Cardiovascular Cohort-Second Manifestations of Arterial Disease (UCC-SMART) study. The UCC-SMART study is an ongoing, single-center, prospective cohort study including newly referred patients to the University Medical Center Utrecht from 18 years of age with established CVD or cardiovascular risk factors. A description of the study protocol has been published elsewhere [18]. The UCC-SMART study was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

#### Vascular screening at baseline

After inclusion, all patients underwent a vascular screening protocol, including health questionnaires, physical examination, laboratory testing, ankle-branchial index and abdominal, aortic and carotid ultrasound. Vascular disease at baseline was defined as the presence of clinically evident coronary artery disease (CAD), cerebrovascular disease (CeVD), peripheral arterial disease (PAD) or abdominal arterial aneurysm (AAA). For definitions of these types of CVD at baseline see Supplementary Table S1, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww. com/CAEN/A39. T2DM was defined as either a referral or self-reported diagnosis of T2DM, or a fasting plasma glu- $\cos \ge 7 \text{ mmol/L}$  at study inclusion with the initiation of glucose-lowering treatment within 1 year, or baseline use of hypoglycemic agents or insulin. Medication use, smoking and alcohol use were self-reported. Lipid-lowering medication included the use of statins, fibrates, ezetimibe or bile acid sequestrants at baseline. Prescription of high-intensity statins was defined as atorvastatin  $\geq$ 40 mg or rosuvastatin  $\geq$ 20 mg. Patients with hypertension were defined as those who were prescribed antihypertensive medication or had an office SBP of ≥140 or DBP of  $\geq 90 \text{ mmHg}$ . Obesity was defined as a BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined according to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III definition [19].

#### Patient selection and imputation of rs2281279

To date, in total 13667 patients are included in the UCC-SMART study. For the present study, data were used from all patients (patients with established CVD or hypertension, T2DM or dyslipidemia) enrolled in the UCC-SMART study between September 1996 and August 2010 because patients included in this period were genotyped (n=6,970). Samples were genotyped using the Illumina Infinium Global Screening Array and extensive sample and SNP quality control was conducted according to community standards to remove low-quality samples and SNPs. In addition, only samples from European descent were kept, and related samples were excluded by keeping only a single sample from each pair, resulting in 5959 patients eligible for the analyses. Because the SNP of interest (rs2281279) was not genotyped in this cohort, imputation of this SNP was performed using the 1000 Genomes Project (1 KG) phase 3 and Genome of the Netherlands Consortium v5 as reference panels (PMID: 24974849 and 20981092). SHAPEIT2 was used for phasing and IMPUTE2 for subsequent imputation. The imputation quality (info score,  $r^2$ ) of this SNP was 0.836. Genotype probabilities were transformed to best-guess genotypes using a cutoff of 0.1 (from 0, 1 and 2). For this cutoff, 10% uncertainty was considered acceptable. This cutoff is also used in standard Genome-Wide Association Studies software (PLINK and QCTOOL/SNPTEST). Patients with a high probability of having an AA, AG or GG genotype for rs2281279 [imputation value <0.10 (AA), value between >0.90 and <1.10 (AG) and value >1.90 (GG)] were included. Patients with a low probability (genotype probability between >0.10 and <0.90 and between >1.10 and <1.90) were excluded (n = 1,573), resulting in 4386 patients eligible for the analyses.

#### Laboratory measurements

Baseline lipid levels were obtained in a fasting state. Total cholesterol, triglycerides and glucose were measured with a commercial enzymatic dry chemistry kit (Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, USA). Highdensity lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) was measured with a commercial enzymatic kit (Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated using the Friedewald formula up to triglycerides levels of 9mmol/L [20,21]. Non-HDL-cholesterol (Non-HDL-C) was calculated as fasting total cholesterol minus HDL-C. From 2003 onwards, insulin was measured with an immunometric technique on an IMMULITE 1000 Analyzer (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, USA). Before 2003, insulin was not measured. The quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) was used to express insulin sensitivity. It was calculated using the formula: 1/[log(insulin in mU/L) minus log(glucose in mg/ dL] [22]. In patients without T2DM, the Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as follows: Glucose (in mmol/L)×insulin (in mU/L)/22.5 [23].

#### Follow-up

During follow-up, patients received questionnaires to evaluate possible cardiovascular events twice a year. Outcomes of interest for this study were the combination of nonfatal and fatal vascular events, limb events and T2DM. T2DM was not routinely assessed before July 2006. All patients without diabetes at baseline that were included before July 2006 received a questionnaire in 2006, to assess if they had been diagnosed with T2DM since inclusion. If an event was reported, hospital discharge letters, relevant laboratory results and radiologic examinations were collected and the event was classified independently by three physicians of the UCC-SMART endpoint committee. For detailed definitions of outcomes see Supplementary Table S2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39. Follow-up was defined as the time from inclusion until the development of the first event, death, loss to follow-up or the preselected date of 1 July 2019.

#### **Data analyses**

To prevent loss of statistical power and potential bias [24], missing data were imputed by single regression imputation. Missing values were <1.0%, except for use of high-intensity statins (10%) and waist- and hip circumference (16%). Insulin was not imputed because this was not measured before 2003, therefore 1919 (44%) patients had missing insulin, and consequently also QUICKI, values. Linear regression models were fitted to determine the cross-sectional association between rs2281279 genotype and triglycerides, non-HDL-C, insulin and QUICKI, with adjustment for age and sex. For TGs and insulin linearity was obtained after log transformation.

Cox proportional hazard models were fitted to determine the effect of the rs2281279 genotype on cardiovascular events, limb events and T2DM. Restricted cubic splines showed a linear relationship between rs2281279 genotype and outcomes, therefore confirming proportional hazards. The Cox proportional hazard assumption was also visually checked by plotting Schoenfeld residuals against time. For vascular events data from 4386 patients were used. For the T2DM event data from 3289 patients without DM at baseline for whom follow-up data on T2DM were available were used. For all regression analyses, an additive model with imputed rs2281279 genotype as a continuous determinant was used and a wild type (AA) was used as the reference category. Cox models were adjusted for age and sex. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using RStudio (version 3.5.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

#### Sensitivity analyses

To evaluate whether the association between the rs2281279 genotype, metabolic parameters and outcomes was influenced by the presence of T1DM, hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides >9 mmol/L) or vascular disease at baseline the analyses were repeated excluding these specific subgroups. To increase certainty with regard to the rs2281279 genotype a stricter cutoff (0.05 instead of 0.10) to transform genotype probabilities to best-guess genotypes was used (Supplementary Table S4 and S5, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links. lww.com/CAEN/A39*). The effect of potential effect modifiers (vascular disease, BMI, age, sex, hypertension, metabolic syndrome and T2DM) were tested by adding an interaction term to the linear regression and Cox models.

#### SULF2 genotype and APOE genotype

Descriptive analyses are provided for patients with either an *APOE*  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  or  $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$  genotype in combination with an AA or GG genotype for rs2281279. We hypothesize that an  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$ genotype in combination with an AA genotype in rs2281279 mimics an familial dysbetalipoproteinemia model because in that case both TRL clearing pathways are not properly functioning. Patients with an  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  genotype in combination with a GG genotype in rs2281279 mimic in that case 'healthy'  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  subjects. Subjects with an  $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$  genotype in combination with an AA genotype of rs2281279 mimic decreased HSPG function only. Finally, an  $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$  genotype and a GG genotype in rs2281279 mimic a healthy model with two functioning TRL clearance pathways.

#### Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

In total 4386 patients at high risk for CVD were included. Baseline characteristics stratified for the imputed rs2281279 genotype are shown in Table 1. Mean age was

Table 1 B	aseline o	characteristics	according to	rs2281279	genotype
-----------	-----------	-----------------	--------------	-----------	----------

	AA (n=2438)	AG (n=1642)	GG (n=306)	All patients (n=4386)
Male sex (n, %)	1687 (69%)	1103 (67%)	218 (72%)	3008 (69%)
Age	58.0±12.1	57.5±12.4	58.8±11.5	57.9±12.2
Type of vascular disease				
Coronary heart disease (n, %)	1106 (45%)	733 (45%)	147 (48%)	1986 (45%)
Peripheral vascular disease (n, %)	399 (16%)	245 (15%)	56 (18%)	700 (16%)
Cerebrovascular disease (n, %)	481 (20%)	323 (20%)	65 (21%)	869 (20%)
Abdominal aortic aneurysm (n, %)	166 (7%)	117 (7%)	15 (5%)	298 (7%)
Diabetes mellitus type 2 (n, %)	409 (17%)	261 (16%)	48 (16%)	718 (16%)
Medication use				
Lipid-lowering treatment	1368 (56%)	891 (54%)	180 (59%)	2439 (56%)
Statins	1350 (55%)	885 (54%)	176 (58%)	2411 (55%)
High-intensity statins	120 (5%)	91 (6%)	17 (6%)	228 (5%)
Fibrates	48 (2%)	34 (2%)	6 (2%)	88 (2%)
Ezetimibe	66 (3%)	47 (3%)	5 (2%)	118 (3%)
Bile acid sequestrant	1 (0%)	2 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0%)
Antihypertensive treatment	1631 (67%)	1087 (66%)	206 (67%)	2924 (67%)
Platelet inhibitors	1418 (58%)	957 (58%)	191 (62%)	2566 (59%)
Current smoking	776 (32%)	534 (33%)	101 (33%)	1411 (32%)
Current alcohol consumption	1215 (50%)	790 (48%)	157 (51%)	2162 (49%)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$26.8 \pm 4.3$	$26.5 \pm 4.2$	$26.9 \pm 4.5$	$26.7 \pm 4.3$
Waist circumference (cm)	95±13	94±12	95±13	94±12
Waist-to-hip ratio	$0.91 \pm 0.08$	$0.90 \pm 0.09$	$0.91 \pm 0.09$	$0.91 \pm 0.08$
Metabolic syndrome	1226 (50%)	817 (50%)	168 (55%)	2211 (50%)
SBP (mmHg)	$142 \pm 21$	$141 \pm 22$	$141 \pm 22$	141±22
DBP (mmHg)	83±12	83±12	83±12	83±12
Laboratory values				
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	$5.17 \pm 1.45$	$5.17 \pm 1.36$	$5.19 \pm 1.39$	$5.17 \pm 1.41$
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	$1.23 \pm 0.39$	$1.24 \pm 0.39$	$1.21 \pm 0.33$	$1.23 \pm 0.38$
Non-HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	$3.93 \pm 1.46$	$3.94 \pm 1.37$	3.98±1.38	$3.94 \pm 1.42$
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.14±1.23	$3.15 \pm 1.20$	$3.19 \pm 1.26$	3.15±1.22
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.40 (1.00–2.10)	1.44 (1.00–2.10)	1.41 (1.00–2.30)	1.40 (1.00–2.10)
Insulin (mU/L)	9 (6-14)	9 (6–15)	9 (6-15)	9 (6-14)
Glucose (mmol/L)	$6.3 \pm 2.1$	$6.3 \pm 2.0$	$6.4 \pm 1.8$	$6.3 \pm 2.0$

All data in n (%), mean with standard deviation or median (interguartile range).

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

57.9  $\pm$  12.2 years and 69% were male, 45% had a history of CAD, 16% of CeVD, 20% of PAD and 7% of AAA. Furthermore, 16% of the patients had T2DM and 50% fulfilled the criteria for metabolic syndrome. The AA genotype was present in 2438 (55%) patients, 1642 patients (37%) had an AG genotype and 306 patients (7%) had a GG genotype. The minor G allele frequency was 26%, which is comparable with the frequency earlier reported in the European population [9]. There were no relevant differences at baseline across rs2281279 genotypes, including age and sex. There were also no differences in the use of lipid-lowering medication, including subtypes of lipid-lowering, across the three groups.

#### Relation between rs2281279 and metabolic parameters

Fasting triglycerides levels were not different across genotypes [AA 1.40 (1.00–2.10) mmol/L; AG 1.44 (1.00– 2.10) mmol/L; GG 1.41 (1.00–2.30); P=0.52]. There was no effect of rs2281279 genotype on (log) triglycerides levels [ $\beta$  per additional G allele 0.004; 95% confidence interval (CI), -0.008 to 0.016] or non-HDL-C ( $\beta$ , 0.013; 95% CI, -0.054 to 0.080). There was also no effect on (log) insulin levels ( $\beta$ , -0.008; 95% CI, -0.026 to 0.010) and QUICKI ( $\beta$ , 0.001; 95% CI, -0.001–0.003) (Table 2). Estimates did not change in an unadjusted model or in a model additionally adjusted for BMI, use of lipid-lowering medication, SBP, smoking and T2DM.

Table 2	Additive effect of the presence of rs2281279 (G allele) on
metabo	lic parameters (n=4386)

	Beta (95% CI)
(log)Triglycerides	0.004 (-0.008 to 0.016)
Non-HDL-cholesterol	0.013 (-0.054 to 0.080)
(log)Insulin <sup>a</sup>	-0.008 (-0.026 to 0.010)
QUICKIª	0.001 (-0.001 to 0.003)
QUICKI	0.001 (-0.001 10 0.003)

Model adjusted for age + sex.

CI, confidence interval; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index.

<sup>a</sup>n=2467.

## Relation between rs2281279 and risk of vascular events and T2DM

In patients at high cardiovascular risk (n = 4386), median follow-up was 11.8 (IQR, 9.3–15.5) years in which 1026 cardiovascular events and 320 limb events occurred. An additional G allele in the rs2281279 SNP did not affect the risk of (recurrent) cardiovascular events [hazard ratio (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.94–1.14)] or (recurrent) limb events (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.77–1.10). In patients without diabetes at baseline for whom follow-up data were available (n = 3289), 395 new T2DM cases were diagnosed during a median follow-up of 12.3 (IQR, 10.0–16.0) years. An additional G allele in the rs2281279 SNP did not change the risk (HR, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.94–1.27) for T2DM during follow-up (Table 3). Results of an unadjusted model were similar to results of a model additionally adjusted

	Number of patients	Number of events (%)	HR (95% CI)
Cardiovascular events	4386	1026 (23%)	1.03 (0.94–1.14)
Limb events T2DM	4386 3289	320 (7%) 395 (12%)	0.92 (0.77-1.10) 1.09 (0.94-1.27)

Model adjusted for age + sex.

Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

for BMI, use of lipid-lowering medication, SBP, smoking and T2DM.

#### Sensitivity analyses

Analyses when excluding patients with T1DM (n=96), patients with triglycerides >9 mmol/L (n=36) or patients without vascular disease at baseline (n=1144) did not change the results (data not shown). Furthermore, when using a stricter cutoff of 0.05 to transform genotype probabilities to best-guess genotypes, 3930 patients (AA; n=2225, AG; n=1445, GG; n=260) were included. Using this cutoff did not change the results (Supplementary Table S3 and S4, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39*).

Vascular disease, BMI, age, sex, hypertension and MetS did not affect the relationship between rs2281279 genotype and (log) triglycerides and between rs2281279 genotype and cardiovascular events, respectively (P for all interactions >0.05).

However, T2DM was an effect modifier in the relation between the rs2281279 genotype and (log) triglycerides (p for interaction 0.04). Therefore, the results were stratified for T2DM status (Supplementary Table S5-S7, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww.com/ CAEN/A39). The effect of rs2281279 genotype on (log) triglycerides in patients without T2DM was  $\beta$ , 0.010 (95% CI, -0.002 to 0.023) and in patients with T2DM β, -0.020 (95% CI, -0.051 to 0.011) per additional G allele. In patients without T2DM, median triglycerides levels were 1.35 (IQR, 1.00-2.00) mmol/L in patients with an AA genotype (n = 2029) and 1.40 (IQR, 1.00-2.30) mmol/L in patients with a GG genotype (n = 258). In patients with T2DM, median triglycerides levels were 1.70 (IQR, 1.18-2.60) mmol/L in patients with an AA genotype (*n* = 409) and 1.74 (IQR, 1.28–2.33) mmol/L in patients with a GG genotype (n = 48) (Supplementary Table S6, Supplemental digital content 1, http://links.lww. com/CAEN/A39).

There was no interaction of T2DM status in the relation between rs2281279 genotype and non-HDL-C, (log)insulin or QUICKI (Supplementary Table S5, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39*). In addition, there was no interaction with T2DM in the relation between rs2281279 and vascular events (Supplementary Table S7, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39*).

# Association between SULF2 genotype and APOE genotype

The SULF2 AA+ $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  group included 29 patients and the SULF2 GG+ $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  group consisted of four patients. Comparing these  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  subgroups, there was a remarkable difference in SBP (149±22mmHg in SULF2 AA vs. 135±6mmHg in SULF2 GG), total cholesterol (in SULF2 AA 6.20±3.52mmol/L vs. 4.78±1.58mmol/L in SULF2 GG), triglycerides [2.48 (IQR, 1.75–4.11)mmol/L in SULF2 AA vs. 2.31 (IQR, 1.85–2.68)mmol/L in SULF2 GG], apolipoprotein B (apoB) levels (0.52±0.16g/L in SULF2 AA vs. 0.67±0.11g/L in SULF2 GG) and use of lipid-lowering therapy (69% in SULF2 AA vs. 25% in SULF2 GG) (Supplementary Table S8, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39*).

The SULF2 AA+ $\epsilon$ 3 $\epsilon$ 3 group included 1318 patients and the SULF2 GG+ $\epsilon$ 3 $\epsilon$ 3 included 166 patients. There were no important differences between the  $\epsilon$ 3 $\epsilon$ 3 subgroups (Supplementary Table S8, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww.com/CAEN/A39*). The most important differences when comparing the  $\epsilon$ 2 $\epsilon$ 2 subgroups with the  $\epsilon$ 3 $\epsilon$ 3 subgroups were age, BMI and plasma apoB and triglycerides levels.

#### Discussion

The present study in 4386 patients at high cardiovascular risk, demonstrates that the rs2281279 genotype is not associated with metabolic parameters, including lipoproteins and insulin resistance, and does not increase the risk for vascular events or T2DM. In a patient with an  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  genotype, the AA genotype in rs2281279 seems to be associated with an unfavorable cardiovascular risk profile (larger waist, higher blood pressure and increased lipid levels).

The data of the present study do not support the important role of rs2281279 in TRL metabolism. This can be due to the fact that rs2281279 does not affect HSPG function or because HSPG itself is not important in TRL metabolism. However, it is well established that HSPG, compared to LDL-R, plays an important role in (postprandial) TRL metabolism. This was first demonstrated in five patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (without functional LDL-R). In this study, the authors found that the postprandial clearance of chylomicron remnants was normal [25], leading to the search of a specific remnant receptor. After a couple of years, it was demonstrated in several in-vitro studies [3,4,26] and genetic studies in mice [5] that HSPGs and particularly the syndecan-1 family were the TRL clearing receptor there were looking for. Also, rare genetic variants in humans that reduce the interaction or affinity of the apoE

protein with HSPG, result in hypertriglyceridemia and even the typical familial dysbetalipoproteinemia lipid phenotype [15]. Taken together, the role of HSPG in TRL metabolism seems well established. Therefore, the absence of effect observed in this study might be due to the fact that rs2281279 is not a good proxy for the HSPG function. Although a previous study in human liver biopsies found an association between the rs2281279 genotype and *SULF2* gene expression, functional studies investigating the association between rs2281279 and HSPG in TRL metabolism have not been performed [10].

The association of rs2281279 with fasting triglycerides levels was first identified in a cohort comprising 210 patients with T2DM and subsequently replicated in an independent cohort consisting of 1308 patients with T2DM [8]. Both cohorts found that the G allele was associated with lower fasting triglycerides levels. These associations, however, were unadjusted, and were only borderline significant in both cohorts, and might therefore be false positive. However, in two subsequent studies, one in 29 obese patients with T2DM and one in 68 normolipidemic healthy subjects, it was also found that carrying at least one minor G allele of rs2281279 was associated with a significantly lower (postprandial) triglycerides levels [8,10]. In addition, these studies found that the minor G allele lowered fasting glucose. However, these analyses were also unadjusted and the study in healthy subjects combined heteroand homozygotes for the minor G allele. In contrast, a larger study of 165 nondiabetic subjects from a population-based cohort found no differences in both fasting triglycerides levels and postprandial triglycerides response according to the rs2281279 genotype [12]. In addition, a Finnish prospective cohort consisting of 339 subjects with hypertension and 441 controls also found no association between the rs2281279 genotype and any of the variables (including lipids and hypertension) [11]. Sensitivity analyses in patients with obesity did not change the results in this study. The results of the present study in patients at high risk of vascular disease are in line with the two studies in nondiabetic patients. Besides the methodological issues addressed above, the differences in findings might be due to the population under investigation, with a favorable effect of the G allele of rs2281279 only being present in patients with insulin resistance. Possibly SNP rs2281279 mediates the effect of insulin resistance on Sulf2, rather than directly affecting Sulf2.

In line with this, we found a significant interaction between T2DM and rs2281279 on (log) triglycerides, with the minor G allele being associated with decreased (log) triglycerides in patients with T2DM and increased (log) triglycerides in patients without T2DM. However, these differences were very small and did not result in clinically relevant differences in median triglycerides between rs2281279 genotypes in strata of T2DM. In the present study, rs2281279 was not associated with vascular events, including limb events, in both patients with and without T2DM. A Finnish cohort consisting of healthy controls and subjects with hypertension also found no association for rs2281279 with CVD outcomes (cerebrovascular events and ischemic heart disease) during a follow-up of approximately 10 years [11]. Therefore, we conclude that rs2281279 is not associated with metabolic parameters or clinical endpoints in nondiabetic patients at high risk of CVD.

The second aim of this study was to evaluate whether the APOE genotype modifies the relationship between the rs2281279 genotype and metabolic parameters. Therefore patients were stratified according to SULF2 genotype (AA or GG) and APOE genotype ( $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  or  $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ ). Unfortunately, due to the rarity of the  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  genotype, it was only possible to provide descriptive statistics. Although speculative, the results seemed to show that, compared to the SULF2 GG +  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$  group, the SULF2 AA +  $\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$ group had a less favorable cardiovascular risk profile, reminiscent of an familial dysbetalipoproteinemia metabolic profile, with higher total cholesterol and triglycerides and larger lipoproteins. These differences were not present in the  $\varepsilon 3 \varepsilon 3$  group, which possibly indicates an interaction of the SULF2 genotype with the  $\varepsilon_2$  allele. This supports the hypothesis that metabolic risk factors involved in the etiology of familial dysbetalipoproteinemia are associated with HSPG degradation. The association between HSPG metabolism and familial dysbetalipoproteinemia has not been studied before, therefore we could not compare our results to other studies. Further research into the relationship between familial dysbetalipoproteinemia and HSPG degradation is necessary.

The strengths of this prospective cohort study include a large number of patients at high CVD risk and the long follow-up. This is the largest cohort evaluating the metabolic effects of rs2281279 as well as its effects on vascular events. In addition, selection bias based on genotype was not likely, since the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was met (P value 0.21) based on expected allele frequencies [AA (n = 2421), AG (n = 1675) and GG (n = 290)] being comparable with the observed allele frequencies.

Several limitations of this study need to be considered. First, for the assessment of the genotype of rs2281279 imputation was used. Although this is a generally acceptable method in genetics [27], the imputation quality of this variant was high (0.836) and sensitivity analyses considering a more strict cutoff to assign best-guess genotypes did not change the results, it could still have led to misclassification. Second, in this cohort, there is no information on postprandial responses. Nonetheless, fasting triglycerides and the presence of T2DM are predictors of postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and can therefore be used as a proxy for postprandial response [28]. Third, in this study, it was assumed that the genotype of rs2281279 was a proxy for (dys)function of HSPG, but this is not substantiated by functional tests. Therefore levels of the Sulf2 enzyme or tests into HSPG function itself would provide more insight in the effect of this SNP on HSPG function. Fourth, it cannot be ruled out that the sample size used in this study is still too small to detect an effect of this specific SNP, especially in the interaction with *APOE*. Fifth, the current study population has a highly selected nature, which can possibly have led to selection bias or confounding by, for example, the increased use of lipid-lowering medication compared to studies in the general population. However, the absolute numbers of patients using lipid-lowering therapy specifically targeting TGs such as fibrates were very low in this cohort (2%).

In conclusion, in patients with a high cardiovascular risk, the rs2281279 genotype was not associated with metabolic parameters, including TRL metabolism, vascular events or development of T2DM. In addition, the presence of the minor G allele of rs2281279 was associated with a beneficial risk profile in patients with an  $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 2$  genotype, but not in  $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$  subjects.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the research nurses; R. van Petersen (data-manager); B. van Dinther (study manager) and the members of the Utrecht Cardiovascular Cohort-Second Manifestations of ARTerial disease-Studygroup (UCC-SMART-Studygroup): F.W. Asselbergs and H.M. Nathoe, Department of Cardiology; G.J. de Borst, Department of Vascular Surgery; M.L. Bots and M.I. Geerlings, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care; M.H. Emmelot, Department of Geriatrics; P.A. de Jong and T. Leiner, Department of Radiology; A.T. Lely, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology; N.P. van der Kaaij, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery; L.J. Kappelle and Y.M. Ruigrok, Department of Neurology; M.C. Verhaar, Department of Nephrology & Hypertension, F.L.J. Visseren (chair) and J. Westerink, Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht and Utrecht University.

The work presented in the article has not been previously published or presented in whole or in part.

The UCC- SMART study was financially supported by a grant of the University Medical Center Utrecht. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

 Taskinen MR, Borén J. New insights into the pathophysiology of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetes. *Atherosclerosis* 2015; 239:483–495.

- 2 Nordestgaard BG. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: new insights from epidemiology, genetics, and biology. *Circ Res* 2016; **118**:547–563.
- 3 Fuki IV, Kuhn KM, Lomazov IR, Rothman VL, Tuszynski GP, Iozzo RV, et al. The syndecan family of proteoglycans. Novel receptors mediating internalization of atherogenic lipoproteins in vitro. J Clin Invest 1997; 100:1611–1622.
- 4 Williams KJ, Fless GM, Petrie KA, Snyder ML, Brocia RW, Swenson TL. Mechanisms by which lipoprotein lipase alters cellular metabolism of lipoprotein(a), low density lipoprotein, and nascent lipoproteins. Roles for low density lipoprotein receptors and heparan sulfate proteoglycans. *J Biol Chem* 1992; 267:13284–13292.
- 5 Stanford KI, Bishop JR, Foley EM, Gonzales JC, Niesman IR, Witztum JL, et al. Syndecan-1 is the primary heparan sulfate proteoglycan mediating hepatic clearance of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in mice. J Clin Invest 2009; **119**:3236–3245.
- 6 Chen K, Liu ML, Schaffer L, Li M, Boden G, Wu X, et al. Type 2 diabetes in mice induces hepatic overexpression of sulfatase 2, a novel factor that suppresses uptake of remnant lipoproteins. *Hepatology* 2010; 52:1957–1967.
- 7 Hassing HC, Mooij H, Guo S, Monia BP, Chen K, Kulik W, et al. Inhibition of hepatic sulfatase-2 in vivo: a novel strategy to correct diabetic dyslipidemia. *Hepatology* 2012; **55**:1746–1753.
- 8 Hassing HC, Surendran RP, Derudas B, Verrijken A, Francque SM, Mooij HL, *et al.* SULF2 strongly prediposes to fasting and postprandial triglycerides in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2014; **22**:1309–1316.
- 9 Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 2020; 581:434–443.
- 10 Matikainen N, Burza MA, Romeo S, Hakkarainen A, Adiels M, Folkersen L, et al. Genetic variation in SULF2 is associated with postprandial clearance of triglyceride-rich remnant particles and triglyceride levels in healthy subjects. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e79473e79473.
- 11 Kunnas T, Solakivi T, Määttä K, Nikkari ST. Glucuronic Acid Epimerase (GLCE) variant rs3865014 (A>G) is associated with BMI, blood hemoglobin, hypertension, and cerebrovascular events, the TAMRISK study. Ann Hum Genet 2016; 80:332–335.
- 12 Hassing HC. Glycobiology in cardiometabolic homeostasis [PhD thesis]. University of Amsterdam; 2013.
- 13 Bennet AM, Di Angelantonio E, Ye Z, Wensley F, Dahlin A, Ahlbom A, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E genotypes with lipid levels and coronary risk. JAMA 2007; 298:1300–1311.
- 14 Mahley RW, Huang Y, Rall SC Jr. Pathogenesis of type III hyperlipoproteinemia (dysbetalipoproteinemia). Questions, quandaries, and paradoxes. J Lipid Res 1999; 40:1933–1949.
- 15 Ji ZS, Fazio S, Mahley RW. Variable heparan sulfate proteoglycan binding of apolipoprotein E variants may modulate the expression of type III hyperlipoproteinemia. *J Biol Chem* 1994; **269**:13421–13428.
- 16 Koopal C, Marais AD, Visseren FL. Familial dysbetalipoproteinemia: an underdiagnosed lipid disorder. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2017; 24:133–139.
- 17 Koopal C, Marais AD, Westerink J, Visseren FL. Autosomal dominant familial dysbetalipoproteinemia: a pathophysiological framework and practical approach to diagnosis and therapy. J Clin Lipidol 2017; 11:12–23 e1.
- 18 Simons PC, Algra A, van de Laak MF, Grobbee DE, van der Graaf Y. Second manifestations of ARTerial disease (SMART) study: rationale and design. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1999; **15**:773–781.
- 19 Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. Curr Opin Cardiol 2006; 21:1–6.
- 20 Tremblay AJ, Morrissette H, Gagne JM, Bergeron J, Gagne C, Couture P. Validation of the Friedewald formula for the determination of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol compared with beta-quantification in a large population. *Clin Biochem* 2004; **37**:785–790.
- 21 Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972; **18**:499–502.
- 22 Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G, et al. Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85:2402–2410.
- 23 Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell

function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985; **28**:412–419.

- 24 Donders ART, van der Heijden GJMG, Stijnen T, Moons KGM. Review: a gentle introduction to imputation of missing values. J Clin Epidemiol 2006; 59:1087–1091.
- 25 Rubinsztein DC, Cohen JC, Berger GM, van der Westhuyzen DR, Coetzee GA, Gevers W. Chylomicron remnant clearance from the plasma is normal in familial hypercholesterolemic homozygotes with defined receptor defects. *J Clin Invest* 1990; 86:1306–1312.
- 26 Mahley RW, Ji ZS. Remnant lipoprotein metabolism: key pathways involving cell-surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans and apolipoprotein E. J Lipid Res 1999; 40:1–16.
- 27 Li Y, Willer C, Sanna S, Abecasis G. Genotype imputation. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 2009; 10:387–406.
- 28 Ai M, Tanaka A, Ogita K, Sekinc M, Numano F, Numano F, et al. Relationship between plasma insulin concentration and plasma remnant lipoprotein response to an oral fat load in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001; 38:1628–1632.