Korean Journal of Radiology

KJR

Korean Guidelines for the Appropriate Use of Cardiac CT

Young Jin Kim, MD[1], Hwan Seok Yong, MD[2], Sung Mok Kim, MD[3], Jeong A Kim, MD[4], Dong Hyun Yang, MD[5], Yoo Jin Hong, MD[1]

[1]Department of Radiology, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 120-752, Korea; [2]Department of Radiology, Korea University Guro Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul 152-703, Korea; [3]Department of Radiology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul 135-710, Korea; [4]Department of Radiology, Ilsan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Goyang 411-706, Korea; [5]Department of Radiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul 138-736, Korea

The development of cardiac CT has provided a non-invasive alternative to echocardiography, exercise electrocardiogram, and invasive angiography and cardiac CT continues to develop at an exponential speed even now. The appropriate use of cardiac CT may lead to improvements in the medical performances of physicians and can reduce medical costs which eventually contribute to better public health. However, until now, there has been no guideline regarding the appropriate use of cardiac CT in Korea. We intend to provide guidelines for the appropriate use of cardiac CT in heart diseases based on scientific data. The purpose of this guideline is to assist clinicians and other health professionals in the use of cardiac CT for diagnosis and treatment of heart diseases, especially in patients at high risk or suspected of heart disease.

Index terms: *Guideline; Appropriateness criteria; Cardiac computed tomography*

PREFACE

Heart disease is one of the leading causes of deaths in Korea, along with malignant neoplasms and cerebrovascular diseases. The proper diagnosis and management of patients with suspected heart disease is necessary for public health care. Advances in CT technology have allowed detailed images of the heart to be obtained, which enable evaluations not only of the coronary arteries but also of other cardiac structures. Currently, multidetector CT scanners

have become widespread around Korea. The development of cardiac CT has provided a non-invasive alternative to echocardiography, exercise electrocardiography, and invasive angiography and cardiac CT continues to develop at an exponential speed even now. The appropriate use of cardiac CT may lead to improvements in the medical performances of physicians and can reduce medical costs which eventually contribute to better public health. However, until now, there has been no guideline regarding the appropriate use of cardiac CT in Korea. We intend to provide guidelines for

the appropriate use of cardiac CT in heart diseases based on scientific data. The purpose of this guideline is to assist clinicians and other health professionals in the use of cardiac CT for diagnosis and treatment of heart diseases, especially in patients at high risk or suspected of heart disease.

Methods for Establishing the Korean Guideline for Appropriate Use of Cardiac CT

This guideline was established by the Korean Society of Radiology (KSR) with participation of the Korean Society of Cardiology (KSC). Guideline development was based on the [Adaptation Process for Developing Korean Clinical Practice Guidelines] published by the Ministry of Health and Welfare in November 2011. The Clinical Practice Guideline Executive Committee of the Korean Academy of Medical Science (KAMS) was consulted for guideline development methods and a library search expert participated during the development of this guideline. The Writing Committee was comprised of 6 members appointed by KSR. The Delphi method was used to develop and establish guideline in consensus. The Rating Committee for the Delphi consensus process was comprised of 20 panelists who were appointed by the KSR and the KSC. The Writing Committee made a first draft of the Korean CT guideline by consolidating pre-existing guidelines and related study results selected for guideline adaptation. The Committee then prepared a questionnaire based on this first draft. The final guideline was established with the outcomes found by panels of the Rating Committee through three rounds of the Delphi consensus process.

For the development of this guideline, we reviewed pre-existing utilization guidelines from other countries. From national databases, 136 articles from the National Guideline Clearing House of the United States, 6 from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network and 1 from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence of the United Kingdom were reviewed. In addition, 98 articles from PubMed, 13 articles from the Cochrane Library, and 152 articles from Embase were reviewed. Only publications and guidelines from January 2008 to December 2011 were selected and reviewed. When guidelines had been revised, the most recent version of the guideline was selected for review. Guidelines that were established by expert consensus without being supported by objective evidence were excluded. Four pre-existing guidelines were finally selected for guideline adaptation (1-4).

To evaluate the quality of the preexisting guidelines selected for guideline adaptation, 4 of the Writing Committee members graded each guideline. An evaluation of preexisting guidelines was made with the Korean Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation II (K-AGREE II) which was developed as a Korean version of AGREE 2.0 by the Clinical Practice Guideline Executive Committee of the KAMS. Four members of the Writing Committee evaluated the 4 selected pre-existing guidelines and a reevaluation was done of any category with a difference of more than 3 points. A standardized score was found for each section and compared. Two guidelines that had high standardized scores were finally chosen (2, 4). Tables for the K-AGREE evaluation results and guideline matrixes are given in the Supplement (in the online-only Data Supplement).

The two guidelines selected for guideline adaptation did not present levels of evidence. To develop an evidence-based guideline, literatures were searched for each scenario. PubMed and Embase were used to search for supportive evidence and the searching parameters were restricted to publications between 2000 and 2012, studies performed only on humans, and studies published in English. After developing appropriate searching formulas for each scenario, a review was done of the search results and evidential studies were selected for each related question. When a more recent systematic review or a meta-analysis study was found, previously published papers with lower levels of evidence were excluded along with case reports. Search formulas for each category are given in the Supplement (in the online-only Data Supplement). The levels of evidence given in this guideline are stratified into 3 grades and are based on the levels of evidence for prognosis and diagnosis published by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine in 2011. A level of evidence, of either A, B, or C, is provided for each recommendation. The following tables (Tables 1, 2) list the levels of evidence and how the evidence was graded. The appropriateness criteria was adapted from the 2010 American Heart Association cardiac CT appropriateness criteria and defined with three ratings: appropriate, uncertain, and inappropriate (Table 3). Throughout the guidelines, the criteria is marked with A (Appropriate), U (Uncertain), or I (Inappropriate) (4).

The questionnaire had 7 sections with a total 103 questions. A survey was conducted a total of 3 times, and for each question, the appropriateness of CT utilization was graded with a response scale; 1–3 points defining the use of CT as inappropriate, 4–6 points as uncertain, and 7–9

points as appropriate. When more than 75% of the panelists agreed on a grade, the panel was considered to have reached consensus for that particular question. The report form for the Delphi consensus included appropriateness criteria from other guidelines for each category, levels of evidence based on searched literature, the response scale (9-point scale), sections available for panelists to write in other comments, and a reference list for each question. In the following consensus rounds, questions for which agreement had not been reached had both their median score from the previous round and the score given in the previous round by the answering panelist listed. Response sections of questions for which agreement had been reached in previous rounds were covered in the questionnaires in the following rounds. No modifications were made to questions for which agreement had not been reached in the previous round and no other comments were written down on the questionnaires by any of the panelists. Of a total of 103 questions, a consensus was reached on 57 questions in the first survey, 36 questions in the second survey, and 10 remaining questions on the third survey. The response rate for each round was 100%. The results of the Delphi voting are included in the Supplement (in the online-only Data Supplement).

A total of 8 members, consisting of 2 members of the Clinical Practice Guideline Executive Committee of the KAMS, 3 of the KSC, and 3 of the KSR, reviewed the guidelines selected by consensus, which were later verified at an independent audit forum.

The development of the current guidelines was funded by a Grant from the National Strategic Coordinating Center for Clinical Research. The activities of the Writing Committee, the Rating Committee for the Delphi consensus and the Reviewing Committee that reviewed and verified the selected recommendations were independent of one another and none of the three Committees were influenced by the funding organization. This guideline was certified by the KSR and KCR and was also peer-reviewed by the Clinical Practice Guideline Evaluation System of the KAMS.

This guideline should be revised every 3 to 5 years, depending on the development of CT technology, changes in the healthcare environment, and further accumulation of evidence associated with cardiac CT.

Contents

Detection of Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) in Symptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

Non-Acute Chest Pain Patients Suspected of Ischemic Chest Pain

CT is known to have a high sensitivity and negative predictive value for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease (CAD) through many meta-analyses and systematic reviews (5-9). Based on previous research, in cases where performing the exercise electrocardiography (ECG) is

Table 1. Definition of Levels of Evidence

Level of Evidence	Definition
A	One Level 1 study, two or more Level 2 studies
B	One Level 2 study, two or more Level 3 studies
C	One Level 3 study, Level 4 or 5 study

Table 2. Definition of Levels of Study

Level of Study	Definition
1	Systematic review, meta-analysis
2	Individual cross sectional studies with consistently applied reference standard and blinding/inception cohort studies
3	Non-consecutive studies or studies without consistently applied reference standards/cohort study or control arm of randomized trial
4	Case-control studies, or poor or non-independent reference standard/case-series or case-control studies, or poor quality prognostic cohort study
5	Mechanism-based reasoning

Table 3. Definition of Appropriateness Criteria

Appropriateness Criteria (Score)	Definition
A-Appropriate (7–9)	Test is generally acceptable and a reasonable approach for listed indication.
U-Uncertain (4–6)	Test may be generally acceptable and may be reasonable approach for indication. Uncertainty also implies that more patient evaluation or patient information is needed to classify indication definitely.
I-Inappropriate (1–3)	Test is not generally acceptable and is not reasonable approach for indication.

impossible or ECG results are uninterpretable with low to intermediate pretest probability of CAD, cardiac CT can be used instead of noninvasive tests such as single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) (10, 11). In patients with stable angina or with atypical chest pain, coronary CT angiography has shown a better diagnostic performance than the exercise ECG and is more cost-effective (12-14). In a study by Ghostine et al. (15) of patients with left bundle branch block who have uninterpretable ECG, coronary CT angiography was shown to be more accurate in the diagnosis of CAD. Based on these studies, coronary CT angiography can be used in patients with low and intermediate pretest probability when patients are unable to exercise or when exercise ECG results are uninterpretable. Arbab-Zadeh et al. (16) reported that the clinical efficiency of coronary CT angiography to diagnose coronary artery stenosis decreased in high-risk patients or in patients with a coronary calcium score (CAC) greater than 600. However, in a recent meta-analysis, the current CT with more than 64 slices showed a high sensitivity and specificity without any association with calcium scores and reports state that high CAC no longer limit CT performance (17).

Recommendations

Non-acute chest pain patients suspected of ischemic chest pain

1. If patients have interpretable ECG and are capable of exercise, coronary CT angiography is recommended for patients with intermediate pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A), and can be considered for patients with low pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A). However, coronary CT angiography is inappropriate for patients with high pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence B).

2. If patients have uninterpretable ECG or are unable to exercise, coronary CT angiography is recommended for patients with low to intermediate pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A), and can be considered for patients with high pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence B).

Acute Chest Pain Patients Suspected of Acute Coronary Syndrome

For patients who visit the emergency room (ER) with acute chest pain which is clearly indicative of myocardial infarction, coronary angiography should be immediately performed. However in patients who show a normal ECG and normal myocardial enzyme levels or who have uninterpretable or non-diagnostic ECG results, coronary CT angiography has been shown to be more efficient with better cost-effectiveness and safety in the planning of future treatment (18-21). Patients who visit the hospital with acute chest pain who also have negative coronary CT angiography results are at very low risk for future major adverse cardiac events (MACEs) such as death by cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction, and revascularization (22-24). Also, in patients with chest pain of unknown causes, the three most common fatal causes of chest pain (CAD, acute coronary syndrome, pulmonary thromboembolism) can be differentiated in a safe and effective manner by CT (25-30). In this case, CT uses a longer scanning range than conventional coronary CT angiography and the amount of radiation exposure and necessary contrast agent also increases (31). Excessive radiation exposure and the increased amount of contrast agent can become problematic and an imaging technique to overcome these issues must be applied. Even so, in a recent study done by Hoffmann et al. (32), performing coronary CT angiography on patients who visited the ER for chest pain and who were suspected of having acute coronary syndrome did help physicians make clinical decisions, but did so with an increase in radiation exposure and the number of additional testing without any reductions in cost.

Recommendations

Acute chest pain patients suspected of acute coronary syndrome

1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in patients with definite myocardial infarction (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).

2. In cases where myocardial infarction has been excluded and persistent ECG ST-segment elevation is observed, coronary CT angiography can be considered (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence B).

3. In patients with acute chest pain of uncertain causes, CT can rule out pulmonary thromboembolism, aortic dissection, and acute coronary syndrome ('triple rule out') (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of

Evidence B).

4. For acute chest pain patients with normal ECG and normal myocardial enzyme levels, coronary CT angiography is recommended (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

5. For low or intermediate pretest probability patients with uninterpretable ECG results, coronary CT angiography is recommended (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A) and can be considered in high pretest probability patients (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence B).

6. In patients with non-diagnostic ECGs or unclear myocardial enzyme levels, coronary CT angiography is recommended for patients with a low or intermediate pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A) and can be considered for patients with a high pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence B).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 1).

Risk Assessment and Detection of CAD in Asymptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

Coronary Calcium Scoring in Asymptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

A family history of premature CAD is considered a risk factor for CAD (33, 34), and also a factor that increased the chances that atherosclerosis will develop and progress (35, 36). A family history of premature CAD, presence of coronary artery calcium, and high CAC have been strongly associated (37), and having a sibling with CAD has been more associated with developing CAD than having a parent with CAD (38).

Many studies have shown that the CAC reflects the degree of atheromatous plaque development and has a strong association with the development of CAD as well (39-45). However, measuring the CAC exposes a patient to radiation, and in men 40 years or younger, and women 50 years and younger, low calcium scores are observed. Thus, measurement of CAC is not thought necessary in young patients and is not recommended in that age group (46-48). In comparison, for men 40 years and older and women 50 years and older who are asymptomatic, the decision to measure CAC to assess risk and detect CAD should be made depending on the individual patient risk factors for cardiac

disease. Several studies have already shown that CAC predict death due to CAD and incidence of myocardial infarction better than the Framingham risk factors (49, 50). In studies where patients at intermediate risk for CAD had CAC greater than 300, the risk for angina increased every year by 2.8% and in 10 years, those intermediate risk patients had the same risk of 28% as patients at high risk for CAD (51).

In a meta-analysis of 27622 asymptomatic patients, when the CAC was 0 (11815 cases), CAD was not completely excluded but did show a very low incidence rate for the following 3 to 5 years (0.4%, 49 events). For cases with CAC between 0 and 400, the relative risk was 4.3 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 3.5 to 5.2; $p < 0.0001$). For CAC between 400 and 1000, the incidence rate was 4.6% with a relative risk of 7.2 (95% CI: 5.2 to 9.9; $p < 0.0001$) and for CAC equal or greater than 1000, the incidence rate was 7.1% with a relative risk of 10.8 (95% CI: 4.2 to 27.7; $p < 0.0001$) (52).

Recommendations

Coronary calcium scoring for risk assessment and detection of CAD in asymptomatic patients

1. Coronary calcium scoring is recommended in patients with a family history of premature CAD (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

2. Coronary calcium scoring is inappropriate in patients at low risk for CAD (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence A).

3. Coronary calcium scoring is recommended in patient at intermediate risk of CAD (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

4. Coronary calcium scoring can be considered in patients at high risk of CAD (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).

Coronary CT Angiography in Asymptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

The need for a new method to assess risk for cardiovascular disease in asymptomatic patients and for the introduction of new prevention measures arose from two issues. First, a large number of patients who died suddenly from heart disease or suffered from fatal myocardial infarction had no previous symptoms of chest pain or dyspnea (53). Second, some recently published reports show that traditional risk evaluation guidelines for CAD such as the Framingham risk score and the National Cholesterol

Education Panel-III guidelines have understated the risk for heart disease in women and younger patients (54, 55).

The frequency of subclinical CAD cannot be ignored, and there are a few studies on the younger population which is generally known to be at low risk for CAD that show the effectiveness of coronary CT angiography in the risk assessment of asymptomatic patients (56, 57). However, radiation exposure due to CT is not negligible, and in some recent studies, the traditional risk factors of CAD and the CAC have been used in combination to give a similar diagnostic performance to coronary CT angiography so that coronary CT angiography is not recommended as a general screening tool in asymptomatic patients (58-61). Still, the CAC cannot help differentiate obstructive CAD and non-obstructive CAD, while coronary CT angiography can with great accuracy in high risk asymptomatic patients, another advantage of importance (62).

Recommendations

Coronary CT angiography for risk assessment and detection of CAD in asymptomatic patients
1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in patients at low risk for CAD (Appropriate Criteria I, Level of Evidence A).
2. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in patients at intermediate risk for CAD (Appropriate Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).
3. Coronary CT angiography is recommended in patients at high risk for CAD (Appropriate Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).

Coronary CT Angiography after Cardiac Transplantation

Coronary allograft vasculopathy which occurs after cardiac transplantation is one of the main causes of death after transplantation and is the reason for post-operative routine coronary angiography. In a recently reported systematic review, 7 studies were analyzed that compared 16-slice CT or 64-slice CT with invasive coronary angiography or intravascular ultrasound. CT was found to have a high sensitivity and negative predictive value and was reported to be useful in the evaluation of allograft vasculopathy (63-67).

Recommendations

Coronary CT angiography after cardiac transplantation
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended for the confirmation of coronary allograft vasculopathy after cardiac transplantation (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 2).

Detection of CAD in Various Clinical Scenarios

Heart Failure Newly Developed or Newly Diagnosed in Patients with No History of CAD

In patients newly diagnosed with heart failure, it is important to rule out ischemic heart disease and coronary CT angiography has been shown to have this utility (68-72). Bhatti et al. (73) performed a meta-analysis of 6 studies and included 452 patients to show that coronary CT angiography was useful in the diagnosis of ischemic heart disease. In a research done by Andreini et al. (70, 72) which studied patients with dilated cardiomyopathy from unknown causes, coronary CT angiography was able to safely and accurately differentiate ischemic cardiomyopathy and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. The functional parameters measured by coronary CT angiography have been well correlated with MRI and echocardiography in a previous meta-analysis (74), and dysfunction in the left ventricle which can be diagnosed by CT is considered to be one of the factors predictive of poor prognosis (75, 76). There is yet to be a study on the utility of coronary CT angiography in heart failure patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction.

Recommendations

CT to detect CAD in patients with newly developed or newly diagnosed heart failure with no previous history of CAD
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended in patients with low or intermediate pretest probability and with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A), and can be considered in patients with high pretest probability (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).
2. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).

Coronary Artery Assessment Prior to Non-Coronary Cardiac Surgery

Various prospective studies have shown that coronary CT angiography can be safely used instead of invasive coronary angiography for preoperative evaluation of the coronary artery prior to non-coronary cardiac surgery (77-85). Gilard et al. (85) performed preoperative 16-slice CT on 55 patients with aortic valve stenosis to compare coronary CT angiography with invasive coronary angiography. Their research showed that coronary CT angiography has an excellent negative predictive value (100%) and that it was feasible for coronary CT angiography to rule out CAD before surgery. Catalán et al. (77) performed 64-slice CT to study patients as well. In their study, 86% of patients with a stenosis of less than 50% underwent surgery without preoperative invasive coronary angiography. None of these patients were found to be with ischemic heart disease during the follow-up period. Bettencourt et al. (86) reported that coronary CT angiography showed great accuracy in the evaluation of the coronary artery in patients before valvular surgery, and there was no difference in diagnostic accuracy even in patients with atrial fibrillation.

Recommendations
Coronary artery assessment prior to non-coronary cardiac surgery
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended for the preoperative assessment of the coronary artery prior to non-coronary cardiac surgery (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

Arrhythmia with Etiology Still Unclear after Initial Evaluation

In arrhythmia patients, the role of CT is to confirm hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or dilated cardiomyopathy or to confirm fat in the myocardium for arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (87). In atrial fibrillation patients, CT is also widely used to accurately assess the anatomic structure of the heart before radiofrequency ablation (88). Also, in patients with the left bundle branch block, coronary CT angiography has been used to rule out CAD (15). Initial studies reported that the diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography decreased in cases of arrhythmia, but due to advances in CT technology, coronary CT angiography still shows a high diagnostic accuracy in the evaluation of left bundle branch block patients and atrial

fibrillation patients (15, 89). However, there still have not been many studies on the role of coronary CT angiography in the assessment of arrhythmia with unclear etiology or in the assessment of patients who have experienced syncope.

Recommendations
CT to find the cause of arrhythmia
1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in cases of newly developed atrial fibrillation (Appropriate Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
2. Coronary CT angiography can be considered for non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
3. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in cases of syncope (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of evidence C).

Elevated Troponin Levels of Uncertain Clinical Significance

Elevations in troponin levels have been associated with increased rates of myocardial infarction, heart failure, and death (90). In cases of myocarditis, abnormal ECG results and increases in troponin levels can be observed and must be distinguished from changes occurring from myocardial infarction. Coronary CT angiography and delayed-phase cardiac CT can help differentiate myocardial infarction and myocarditis (91, 92). In patients complaining of acute chest pain, a study using both high-sensitivity troponin-T and coronary CT angiography was able to show that left ventricle mass, ejection fraction, and regional wall motion abnormalities were also related to increases in high-sensitivity troponin-T levels along with coronary artery stenosis (93). However, there has not been that much study on what role coronary CT angiography plays in cases where troponin levels are elevated without any other evidence of acute coronary syndrome.

Recommendations
Elevated troponin levels of uncertain clinical significance
1. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in cases where troponin levels are elevated with no other evidence of acute coronary syndrome or with no symptoms of CAD (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).

CT before Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI)

Many reports have shown that performing coronary CT angiography prior to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is beneficial in patients with chronic total occlusion of the coronary artery (93-103). Choi et al. (94) reported that other than the duration of occlusion, the length of a lesion and the high CT number inside a lesion were predictive factors of PCI failure. Soon et al. (100) reported that if a lesion had a high calcium percentage, there was a high chance that PCI would fail. In a study by Yokoyama et al. (101), occluded blood vessels were confirmed by CT and CT was beneficial to PCI success. Besides chronic total occlusion, coronary CT angiography has recently been reported to help predict myocardial infarction that might occur after revascularization (104). Watabe et al. (104) reported that when positive remodeling and spotty calcification were confirmed on the atheromatous plaque with coronary CT angiography before PCI, myocardium necrosis occurred with higher frequency after revascularization.

Recommendations
CT before PCI
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended in the evaluation of coronary artery lesions (e.g., chronic total occlusion, bifurcation lesion) before PCI (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 3).

Use of CT According to Different Test Results

Use of Coronary CT Angiography According to Exercise ECG Results

The exercise ECG is the standard evaluation test for ischemic heart disease and is used frequently for screening purposes (105, 106). The benefits of the exercise ECG have been proven for typical chest pain and in patients with intermediate pretest probability for CAD, but the exercise ECG is also known for reduced accuracy in patients with low pretest probability for CAD with no typical symptoms (107-109). If a patient continues to suffer from typical chest pain or have his/her symptoms worsen despite having negative exercise ECG results, a noninvasive examination should be performed. In these cases, coronary CT angiography looks to play a big role in patient evaluation (110-112).

Research shows that coronary CT angiography has a better diagnostic accuracy for CAD than the exercise ECG in stable angina patients (110, 113, 114). When the exercise ECG was negative, CT showed the post-test probability for significant CAD increase from 58% to 91%, and when the exercise ECG was positive, the post-test probability increased from 89% to 99% (113). In patients with chest pain with intermediate pretest probability, using the coronary CT angiography with the exercise ECG leads to an anatomical evaluation as well as a functional evaluation and can increase the diagnostic accuracy of CAD (114). Patients who cannot undergo the exercise ECG test or who have non-diagnostic results have been found to have similar MACEs occurring as patients diagnosed with stable angina. Coronary CT angiography provides an alternative to patients who cannot exercise or who have uninterpretable ECG (13, 115).

The Duke Treadmill Score is based on a large population study with the exercise ECG that filtered out factors related to prognosis and can be calculated with the below formula (116, 117).

Duke Treadmill Score = Total exercise time (minutes) - 5 x (maximum net ST deviation: mm*) - 4 x Angina index during exercise**

*: The maximum net ST deviation is measured at the start of the 60–80 msec mark from the J point and is defined as 0 when less than 1 mm. Exercise time is based on the Standard Bruce protocol.

**: Angina index; 0, no angina during exercise; 1, non-limiting angina during exercise; 2, exercise limiting angina with chest pains that render continuous exercise impossible

Recommendations
Coronary CT angiography according to exercise ECG results
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended if symptoms continue despite a previous normal exercise ECG (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
2. Coronary CT angiography is recommended for patients with intermediate risk on the Duke Treadmill Score of a previous exercise ECG (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).
3. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate if a previous exercise ECG had a Duke Treadmill Score of either low or high risk (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence B).

Use of Coronary CT Angiography after Stress Imaging Procedures

Stress imaging procedures are widely used in cases where CAD is suspected but new problems arise if the results are equivocal or if a patient has symptoms that are discordant with the results. Also, even when a patient has symptoms with abnormalities confirmed on the stress imaging procedure, very few cases are actually diagnosed as CAD and treated with an invasive procedure. According to a study by de Azevedo et al. (118), 421 patients with abnormalities found on stress imaging procedures underwent coronary CT angiography and of these patients, 18% needed invasive coronary angiography. Among the medicated patient group (82%), 6 patients received invasive coronary angiography and only one patient received coronary revascularization. Therefore, the role of coronary CT angiography can be considered quite significant in this patient group.

In about 10% of stress imaging procedures, equivocal results are observed and in most of those cases, invasive coronary angiography is additionally performed to rule out CAD (119, 120). Despite not yet establishing the role of coronary CT angiography, recently published studies state that about 70% of patients who have equivocal stress imaging procedure results do not have CAD detected by coronary CT angiography and these patients do not need invasive coronary angiography. Only about 30% of the other group of patients with CAD detected by coronary CT angiography underwent revascularization (118, 121). In this case, an additional coronary CT angiography may be useful because nuclear imaging methods have high false-positive and false-negative rates (122, 123). In a study by Cole et al. (124), among 206 patients with equivocal or inconclusive results, only 32% of patients underwent revascularization after coronary CT angiography. In a prospective study by Abidov et al. (125), 199 patients who had inconclusive or equivocal results for stress imaging procedures were followed up for two years after undergoing coronary CT angiography. The 93 patients diagnosed as normal on the initial CT had no MACEs and revascularization was only performed in patients with significant stenosis observed on CT. Thus, CT enabled accurate prediction of prognosis in 99% of the patients and most patients were able to avoid invasive coronary angiography that would have been performed for diagnostic purposes. Also, while clinical symptoms, exercise ECG results, and stress imaging procedures showed a weak association with CAD confirmed by invasive coronary angiography, CT results showed a

strong association (118, 126). The more severe the CAD state was on CT, the more MACEs occurred, which proved that coronary CT angiography could play an important role in predicting patient prognosis (118, 126). By using coronary CT angiography as a gate keeper to invasive coronary angiography, it is possible for patients to avoid unnecessary invasive coronary angiography performed for diagnostic purposes and this is also more cost-effective (125).

Recommendations
Coronary CT angiography after stress imaging
1. Coronary CT angiography is recommended when there are discordant results for the exercise ECG and the stress imaging procedures (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).
2. Coronary CT angiography is recommended for cases in which results for the stress imaging studies are equivocal or mild (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).
3. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate when results for the stress imaging studies are moderate or severe (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence B).

Use of Coronary CT Angiography after Coronary Calcium Scoring

Many studies have shown that the CAC is a strong prognostic factor of CAD (44, 45, 127-129). Budoff et al. (44) in a large cohort study showed through long-term follow-up that the CAC is an independent risk factor that predicts death from CAD, and stated that a CAC greater or equal to 10 could be a prognostic factor. According to Raggi et al. (128), the higher the CAC is, the higher probability of acute coronary syndrome occurring and in the St. Francis Heart study which followed 4900 patients for 4.3 years, a CAC of 400 or more was shown to increase the probability of death by CAD and myocardial infarction 30 times the normal rate (39). In comparison, when the CAC was 0, CAD could not be fully ruled out; however, the probability of CAD occurring was extremely low (127, 129).

Many studies have also reported that calcification of the coronary artery affects the image quality of coronary CT angiography and lowers its diagnostic accuracy (130-135). This is because artifacts from the coronary artery calcification increase the false-positive or negative rate (131, 133, 135-138) or increase the number of unassessable

segments (130, 132). In a meta-analysis done to see how much coronary artery calcification affected the diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography, a high CAC was found not to significantly affect the sensitivity or accuracy of coronary CT angiography, but to significantly decrease specificity (131, 137, 139). In another report, a CAC greater than 600 had a lower negative predictive value than a CAC less than 600. Thus, CT was thought to be beneficial when the pretest probability was either low or intermediate or when the CAC was zero (16, 140). However, in a recent meta-analysis, current 64-slice CT shows a high sensitivity and specificity for CAD without any association to the CAC, which suggests that current CT technology is no longer limited by the CAC (17).

Recommendations

Coronary CT angiography after coronary calcium scoring

1. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in patients who have had a CAC of 0 for the past 5 years or more (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).
2. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in patients who have had a CAC higher than 0 for the past two years or more (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence A).
3. Coronary CT angiography is recommended in symptomatic patients with a CAC less than or equal to 400 (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
4. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in symptomatic patients with a CAC of 400 or higher (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).

Use of Coronary CT Angiography after Stress Imaging Procedures or Coronary Angiography

In patients without specific symptoms or who have stable symptoms, coronary CT angiography has yet to show its usefulness in periodic repeated examinations.

Recommendations

Coronary CT angiography after stress imaging procedures or coronary angiography

1. Periodic repeated use of coronary CT angiography is inappropriate after stress imaging procedures or coronary angiography in asymptomatic patients or patients with stable symptoms with no known history

of CAD (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
2. Periodic repeated use of coronary CT angiography is inappropriate after stress imaging procedures or coronary angiography in asymptomatic patients or patients with stable symptoms with known history of CAD (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
3. Coronary CT angiography is recommended in patients with normal results on previous stress imaging procedures who have new or worsening symptoms (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).
4. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in patients who have had abnormalities detected on previous stress imaging procedures who have new or worsening symptoms (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 4).

Risk Assessment in Patients without Acute Heart Disease before Non-Cardiac Surgery

Low-Risk Surgery

Non-cardiac surgeries can be classified into categories of low-risk, intermediate-risk, and high-risk according to the degree of risk exposed to the heart during the surgery. High-risk procedures are surgeries that have a myocardial infarction or cardiogenic death rate of more than 5%. Intermediate-risk procedures have a risk of 1–4%, and low-risk procedures have a risk of less than 1%. Endoscopic procedures, cataract surgery, breast surgery, and etc. are considered low-risk procedures. In low-risk surgeries, cardiac examinations are not generally considered necessary before surgery (141-146).

Recommendations

Preoperative coronary CT angiography prior to low-risk non-cardiac surgeries

1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate for risk assessment prior to low-risk non-cardiac surgeries (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).

Intermediate-Risk Surgery

Surgery for the abdominal and thoracic cavity, head and neck, carotid artery, and musculoskeletal system

are all considered surgeries of intermediate risk. A 1% to 4% risk of myocardial infarction or cardiogenic death has been reported for intermediate-risk surgeries. In patients with reduced functional capacity or symptoms, additional examinations must be performed according to the presence of clinical risk factors (147). When a patient has more than 3 clinical risk factors, the cardiogenic risk associated with surgery increases and a noninvasive stress imaging procedure is recommended (141, 142). Currently used noninvasive examinations are SPECT and stress echocardiography. Some of the latest studies have focused on the potential of coronary CT angiography as a noninvasive detection tool. Kaneko et al. (148) showed that SPECT and coronary CT angiography could be useful screening techniques in patients suspected of CAD who underwent non-cardiac surgeries. They reported that the diagnostic performance of coronary CT angiography was similar to invasive coronary angiography. In another study by Chae et al. (149), coronary CT angiography was shown to be useful in the preoperative cardiac evaluation of recipients of living donor liver transplantation who had poor systematic conditions.

Recommendations

Preoperative coronary CT angiography prior to intermediate-risk non-cardiac surgeries

1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate when there are no clinical risk factors (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
2. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in patients with functional capacity more than 4 METs (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
3. Coronary CT angiography can be considered in patients with more than one clinical risk factor and with functional capacity less than 4 METs (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
4. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in asymptomatic patients with normal results from an exercise ECG or coronary angiography performed in the past year, or who have undergone coronary revascularization in the past year (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).

*MET: estimated metabolic equivalent of exercise, refer to Supplement (in the online-only Data Supplement) for more information

Vascular Surgery

Any surgery of the aorta or other major blood vessels or peripheral arteries is considered as a non-cardiac surgery of high-risk (141, 142, 145). As previously mentioned, if a patient has poor functional capacity or if there are three or more clinical risk factors in symptomatic patients, risks associated with surgery increase and a noninvasive stress test is recommended. Abir et al. (150) found that prior to vascular surgery, a history and physical examination could categorize patients by risk and beta-blockers could be administered to patients at low risk without noninvasive tests, while patients at intermediate or high risk were recommended for noninvasive cardiac examinations before surgery. Also in patients who underwent intermediate- and high-risk non-cardiac surgery, performing preoperative noninvasive stress tests was found to reduce the length of hospital stays and increase the one-year survival rate (151).

Recommendations

Preoperative coronary CT angiography prior to vascular surgery

1. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate for patients without any clinical risk factors (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
2. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate for patients with a functional capacity more than 4 METs (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
3. Coronary CT angiography can be considered for patients with one or more clinical risk factors and with a functional capacity less than 4 METs (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
4. Coronary CT angiography is inappropriate in asymptomatic patients with normal exercise ECG or coronary angiography performed in the past year, or who have undergone coronary revascularization in the past year (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).

*MET: estimated metabolic equivalent of exercise, refer to Supplement (in the online-only Data Supplement) for more information

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 5).

Risk Assessment after Coronary Revascularization

Patients Suspected of Ischemic Chest Pain after Coronary Revascularization

Occlusion of the graft vessel has been observed in about 10% of cases during coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) or right after. During a ten year follow-up period, occlusion was reported in 59% of vein grafts and 17% of artery grafts (152-154). In a systematic review, the diagnostic performance of 64-slice CT for post CABG patients suspected of ischemic chest pain was studied. For total occlusion of graft vessels or for stenosis of more than 50% of the graft vessels, CT showed very high diagnostic accuracy; a sensitivity of 97.6%, a specificity of 96.7%, a positive predictive value of 92.7%, and a negative predictive value of 98.9% (155-160).

There have been many studies on the evaluation of stents after PCI for myocardial infarction patients and in a meta-analysis of 14 recent studies (895 patients, 1447 stents, average diameter 3.1 mm), it was possible to evaluate 91.4% of the included stents with CT and in-stent restenosis (ISR) was reported in approximately 20% of the entire group. For the discovery of ISR, 64-slice CT showed excellent diagnostic performance with a sensitivity of 91%, a specificity of 91%, a positive predictive value of 68%, and a negative predictive value of 98%. However, when including stents that could not be evaluated with CT, values dropped with the sensitivity becoming 87%, the specificity becoming 84%, the positive predictive value 53%, and the negative predictive value 97%. The biggest factor to decide the diagnostic value of CT in stent evaluation was the diameter of the stent. CT showed a high diagnostic accuracy in stents with a diameter of 3 mm or more and other factors such as stent material and strut thickness affected CT performance (161-166).

Recommendations
Coronary CT angiography in patients suspected of ischemic chest pain after coronary revascularization
1. CT is recommended in the evaluation of graft patency in patients suspected of ischemic chest pain after CABG (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
2. CT is recommended in the evaluation of stents with a diameter 3 mm or larger in patients suspected of ischemic chest pain after PCI (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

3. CT can be considered in stents with a diameter smaller than 3 mm or of unknown diameter for patients suspected of ischemic chest pain after PCI (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).

Asymptomatic CABG Patients

Progression of CAD or recurrence of angina due to graftvessel stenosis after CABG during the follow-up period has been studied and angina recurrence was observed in 20–30% of cases in the first year after CABG. The recurrence rate rose to 40% on the sixth year after surgery, and during the follow-up period, about 50% of the patients suspected of angina had symptoms due to the progression of their original CAD (154, 167). Therefore, it has become important to find a method to detect angina recurrence during the follow-up period after CABG or to find a method to evaluate prognostic factors or assess the need to repeat surgery. Also, assessing graft vessels after surgery and possible occlusions of the original coronary artery by CT are also critical issues. Combined research results show that the recurrence rates of myocardial infarction increase greatly after 5 years due to progression of graft occlusion or due to progression of the original CAD. Therefore, patients for whom 5 years have passed since surgery should undergo a noninvasive examination, such as CT even if they are without any particular symptoms (157-160).

Recommendations
Asymptomatic CABG patients
1. CT is recommended in asymptomatic patients if more than 5 years have passed since CABG was performed (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
2. CT can be considered in asymptomatic patients if it has been less than 5 years since CABG was performed (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence A).

Asymptomatic Patients with Coronary Artery Stents

Percutaneous coronary intervention was long considered off-limits to patients with significant left main (LM) disease for whom CABG could be performed. However, several studies have shown that PCI and CABG show similar safety, and in the MAIN-COMPARE study done by 12 of Korean hospitals, 2240 patients with LM disease were enrolled (168). In this 3-year retrospective study, there was no significant difference between PCI and CABG in death,

myocardial infarction, and stroke and PCI was proven to be a safe procedure that could replace CABG (169, 170). However, in the SYNTAX study (171) and the PRECOMBAT study (172), difficulties were reported with revascularization due to stent re-stenosis after PCI. Also, after using a drug-eluting stent in revascularization of the LM coronary artery and observing sudden deaths and fatal myocardial infarction due to stent re-stenosis after revascularization of the LM coronary artery (173-175), a need has arisen to find a way to routinely check for stent re-stenosis after PCI with clinical observation (176). Based on this need, recent research has used coronary CT angiography to evaluate larger diameter coronary artery stents for the LM coronary artery or left anterior descending coronary artery. In LM coronary artery stents with or without extension into a single side branch, a 98% accuracy was observed and an 83% accuracy was observed in LM coronary artery stents with extension into a bifurcation. In measuring stent diameter or area, CT has also shown measurements that correlate greatly with intravascular ultrasound, the method most widely and accurately used for such measurement (177). However, in measuring the minimum inner area of the stents, CT has a tendency to underestimate values compared to intravascular ultrasound (178).

> **Recommendations**
> *Asymptomatic patients with coronary artery stents*
> 1. CT is recommended for patients with a LM coronary artery stent 3 mm or larger in diameter (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
> 2. CT is inappropriate for asymptomatic patients with a stent 3 mm or larger in diameter in anywhere else then the LM coronary artery (Appropriateness Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).
> 3. CT is inappropriate if a stent with a diameter less than 3 mm or with an unknown diameter is placed in a vessel other than the LM coronary artery (Appropriate Criteria I, Level of Evidence C).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 6).

Evaluation of Heart Structure and Function

Congenital Heart Disease in Adults

Cardiac CT is useful in the diagnosis of coronary artery anomaly because it can clearly show the origin of the coronary artery and its course and also because it can simultaneously show the aorta, pulmonary artery, and the rest of the heart structure (179-182). Cademartiri et al. (183) used 64-slice CT in a retrospective study to reveal the frequency of major coronary artery abnormalities found and to report CT features. In a comparison of CT and invasive coronary angiography, cardiac CT accurately diagnosed coronary artery anomalies (184-186). Also, poor prognosis was predicted by CT for certain coronary artery anomalies (187, 188). Lee et al. (187) stated that when the right coronary artery originated from the left aortic sinus between the aorta and the pulmonary artery, prognosis was worse than for those crossed between the aorta and right ventricular outflow tract.

Also, in the diagnosis of congenital anomalies in the thoracic arteries and veins, CT is known as a safe and accurate method of diagnosis, especially because it has the advantage of being able to show clinically important surrounding structures (e.g., trachea and esophagus) along with related blood vessels (189-191). Cardiac CT has high spatial resolution and because it is easy to observe both the pulmonary artery and pulmonary vein, it is useful in the diagnosis of congenital heart disease in adults (192-196). Patients who suffer from congenital heart disease normally survive into adulthood after surgery which makes it even more important to accurately assess the anatomy of cardiovascular structures after surgery. CT provides the means to do this through imaging diagnosis (197-200). With CT, it is possible to measure the volume of the right ventricle after surgery (200), or to measure the calcification of the graft used in surgery (199).

> **Recommendations**
> *Cardiac CT in adults with congenital heart disease*
> 1. Cardiac CT is recommended in the assessment of congenital anomalies of the coronary artery or other parts of the thoracic arteries or vessels (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
> 2. Cardiac CT is recommended in adults with complex congenital heart diseases (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

Evaluation of the Ventricular Structure and Systolic Function

Many studies have proven that CT has diagnostic value in evaluating the left ventricle function in comparison

to echocardiography, MRI, and ventriculography (201-204). Greupner et al. (205) compared CT, ventriculography, 2-dimensional ultrasound, and 3-dimensional ultrasound with MRI as the reference standard. They found that 64-slice CT more accurately evaluated left ventricle function than ventriculography or echocardiography. Other researchers also showed that CT was useful in evaluating the function of the left ventricle in patients with cardiomyopathy or valvular disease (206-208), and reported on the feasibility using CT in the evaluation of the diastolic function of the left ventricle (209). However, the use of CT is restricted by radiation exposure and the use of contrast agents. At the current time, there is no sufficient evidence to recommend CT as a primary evaluation tool for the left ventricle function. Still, in acute chest pain patients, evaluating regional wall motion abnormalities in the ventricle is beneficial in improving the diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography (210).

Cardiac CT also shows a high accuracy when compared to cardiac MRI in the evaluation of the right ventricle function (211-213). Also, in patients suspected of arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy (ARVD/C), cardiac CT can help confirm the presence of fat in the myocardium, making it easier to reach a diagnosis (87, 214, 215). Contrast enhancement can be seen in the myocardium at the delayed phase of cardiac CT and this has been shown to represent myocardial infarction as in MRI through animal studies (216) as well as other clinical studies (217-219). Several studies have shown that CT performed directly after PCI helps predict recovery of ventricular function and ventricular remodeling by the extent and pattern of myocardial enhancement (220, 221). Cardiac CT not only provides anatomical information about the coronary artery stenosis, but also functional information about the resting perfusion state of the myocardium and regional wall motion abnormality. It even helps detect myocardial infarction through delayed myocardial enhancement. Thus, cardiac CT can be considered as a 'one-stop shop' for the diagnosis of ischemic heart diseases. However, increasing the amount of radiation exposure and used contrast agent to capture more of the cardiac cycle are drawbacks to CT as a one-stop shop for ischemic heart disease. The value of cardiac CT as a 'one-stop shop' for ischemic heart disease has not yet been studied in depth (222). Ghoshhajra et al. (223) did show in a recent study that CT with above mentioned protocols shows good performance in diagnosing ischemic heart disease, but this is still a field in need of more research.

Recommendations

Cardiac CT for evaluation of ventricular structure and systolic function

1. CT can be considered as a first-choice assessment tool for left ventricle function after acute myocardial infarction or heart failure (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
2. When images from other noninvasive imaging methods are not adequate, CT is recommended to evaluate the left ventricle function after acute myocardial infarction or in heart failure (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
3. CT is recommended to quantitatively analyze right ventricle function (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
4. In patients suspected of ARVD/C, CT is recommended to evaluate the structure of the right ventricle (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
5. If other imaging test results are insufficient or are contraindicated, CT can be considered in ischemic left-sided heart failure patients to assess myocardial viability before PCI (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence B).
6. CT can be considered after acute myocardial infarction to evaluate the extent of myocardial infarction as well as 'no-reflow' zones (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
7. CT is recommended for comprehensive assessment as a 'one-stop shop' for ischemic heart disease (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).

Evaluation of Intra- and Extracardiac Structures

The first and most important diagnostic tool of choice for the evaluation of heart valves is still echocardiography. However, when echocardiography is difficult to perform or is limited in its images, cardiac CT can play a supplementary role in diagnosis. In cases of aortic stenosis, previous studies have shown that the area of the aortic valve opening measured on CT is closely associated with the opening area measured on echocardiography (224-226). Also, by performing CT, it is possible to accurately diagnose abnormalities such as the bicuspid aortic valve (227, 228). Percutaneous aortic valve replacement has lately received much attention as a promising method of treatment and studies have shown that accurate evaluation of the aortic root is a major factor for its success (229-232). CT can use

three-dimensional data and by increasing spatial resolution, can accurately examine various geometric parameters on the aortic root. In a recent study, valvular regurgitation after the procedure was slightly better predicted by CT than by echocardiography (233). CT has also been found useful in the diagnosis of mitral valve prolapse and study of its anatomy and not just in the aortic valve (234-236). Delgado et al. (235) also reported that CT did not just show the mitral valve but that it showed the subvavular structures such as papillary muscles and chordae tendineae. Prosthetic valves can cause diverse complications such as valvular regurgitation, stenosis, infective endocarditis, etc. and therefore evaluation must be made of the structural characteristics. In mechanical valves, posterior shadowing in ultrasound creates problems in evaluating the valves or their surroundings. Recent studies have shown that cardiac CT can be beneficial in the diagnosis of prosthetic valve diseases in a diverse number of ways (237-241).

When a mass occurs near or on the heart, the primary detection method is echocardiography. However, depending on the location of the lesion, CT can play a supplementary role when echocardiography cannot characterize a certain lesion (242, 243). In patients with valvular heart disease, stroke, and atrial fibrillation, the presence of a thrombus in the atrium become of upmost interest. CT helps to evaluate the presence or absence of thrombi and also helps to differentiate false-positive lesions (e.g., spontaneous echo contrast in the left atrium) from thrombi (244, 245). Not only can cardiac CT show the anatomy of a normal pericardium, it can also show the area of a lesion three-dimensionally in constrictive pericarditis with calcifications, making it possible to plan treatment such as pericardiectomy (246-249). Also, cardiac CT allows anatomic evaluation of the pulmonary vein and cardiac veins before procedures are performed to manage arrhythmia (250-255). By observing anatomical structures before a procedure is performed, it is possible to select patients for whom certain procedures are possible and prevent complications from occurring after surgery. Also, CT plays an important role in the early detection of complications that might occur after a procedure such as cardiac tamponade, pulmonary vein stenosis, and damage to the esophagus and phrenic nerve. Cardiac CT is not only important because it allows confirmation of major structures before initial cardiac surgery, it is also important because it confirms anatomical issues that may cause serious complications when repeat surgery is needed (256-259). It is especially important

to preoperatively confirm the sternum and surrounding aorta, pulmonary vein, coronary artery, and coronary artery grafts. In a study by Kamdar et al. (258), 167 patients who had undergone CABG were found to have a variety of risky anatomical factors for repeat surgery through CT, and through this discovery, 4% had surgery canceled while 8% had changes made to their surgery plans such as incisions avoiding the median line. As advances are made to interventional procedures and equipment, treatment is based more on procedures than on open heart surgery as it was in the past. One example of this is for atrial septal defects and aorta stenosis. Quaife et al. (260) showed that CT images actually had a higher correlation with the actual size of a septal defect than those of transesophageal echocardiography done to assess atrial septal defects with balloon sizing using intracardiac echocardiography, the reference standard.

Recommendations

Evaluation of intra- and extracardiac structures

1. Cardiac CT is recommended when valvular disease is suspected and other noninvasive test methods are not appropriate (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
2. Cardiac CT is recommended when prosthetic valve dysfunction is suspected and no other noninvasive test methods are appropriate (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
3. Cardiac CT can be considered as a primary method of evaluating the presence of cardiac mass (tumor or emboli) (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
4. Cardiac CT is recommended when evaluating cardiac mass (tumor or emboli) that cannot be assessed with other noninvasive methods (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
5. Cardiac CT is recommended in anatomic assessment of the pericardium (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
6. Cardiac CT is recommended to evaluate the anatomy of the pulmonary vein before ablation therapy for atrial fibrillation (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
7. Cardiac CT is recommended to observe the anatomy of the cardiac veins before a biventricular pacemaker is inserted (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).

8. Cardiac CT is recommended to confirm structural information about the blood vessels or retrosternal area before repeat surgery (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence A).
9. Cardiac CT is recommended before procedures for atrial/ventricular septal defects or before transcatheter aortic valve implantation to confirm the anatomical structure of the heart (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).

Morphologic Study of Congenital Heart Disease in Pediatric Patients

Due to developments in CT technology, it is now possible to observe and diagnose congenital malformations of cardiovascular structures in not only adults but in very small neonates (261-263). Khatri et al. (264) compared CT and cardiac catheterization and reported that most cardiac catheterization procedures could be replaced by CT. CT has also been found to sufficiently assess the anatomy of the coronary artery even in very small pediatric patients (265, 266). Another advantage is that airway stenosis developed from either the congenital heart disease or post-operative complications can be observed simultaneously (267). Also, CT has proven useful when analyzing anatomical changes that have occurred after surgery or when analyzing grafts transplanted through surgery (198, 199).

Recommendations
Pediatric patients with congenital heart disease
1. Cardiac CT is recommended when confirming anatomical malformations (e.g., coronary artery anomalies, great vessel anomalies, atrium/ventricle anomalies) in complex congenital heart disease (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence B).
2. Cardiac CT is recommended to evaluate postoperative abnormalities (e.g., residual pulmonary artery stenosis, ventricular septal defect, bypass graft patency) in congenital heart disease patients (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).

Coronary CT Angiography in Kawasaki Disease Patients

Kawasaki disease is an acute systematic vasculitis that occurs in children without a definite known etiology. It is the most common cause of acquired cardiac disease in children and can cause complications by involving the coronary artery (268). It was first reported in Japan in 1967, and has been confirmed since then to occur globally with a greater incidence rate in the Asian region (269). The highest incidence rate of Kawasaki disease is seen in Japan and in a four-year epidemiological survey between 1999 and 2002, the average incidence rate was 137.7 cases per 100000 children under 5 years of age. In an epidemiological survey in Korea, the incidence rate between 2003 and 2005 was approximately 105 cases per 100000 children under 5 years of age, a rate that was the second highest in the world after Japan (270).

For the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of Kawasaki disease, coronary CT angiography has been shown to be of use in several small case-control studies (271-275). Carbone et al. (276) performed 64-slice CT on 12 asymptomatic Kawasaki disease patients and were able to correctly diagnose all aneurysms, stenoses, and occlusion. They were able to prove that for follow-up of Kawasaki patients, coronary CT angiography was a better detection method than invasive coronary angiography. Peng et al. (277) proved that performing 64-slice CT was feasible in even younger Kawasaki disease patients and that CT was a noninvasive evaluation method that could be performed without pediatric patients holding their breath. In a study by Arnold et al. (278), coronary CT angiography was compared with invasive coronary angiography and coronary CT angiography also showed 100% sensitivity for coronary artery aneurysm or stenosis. While coronary CT angiography is comparable to invasive coronary angiography in accuracy when following up coronary aneurysm, the risks from accumulated radiation exposure must be considered and the role of CT in a regular follow-up schedule has yet to be studied.

Recommendations
Coronary CT angiography in Kawasaki disease patients
1. For asymptomatic Kawasaki disease patients who have not undergone previous testing, coronary CT angiography can be considered (Appropriateness Criteria U, Level of Evidence C).
2. For asymptomatic Kawasaki disease patients who have coronary aneurysm or stenosis confirmed with previous tests (coronary angiography, cardiac MRI, coronary CT angiography), coronary CT angiography is recommended for follow-up (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).
3. For symptomatic Kawasaki disease patients with

no previous tests, coronary CT angiography is recommended (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).

4. For symptomatic Kawasaki disease patients who have coronary aneurysm or stenosis confirmed with previous tests (coronary angiography, cardiac MRI, coronary CT angiography), coronary CT angiography is recommended for follow-up (Appropriateness Criteria A, Level of Evidence C).

The recommendations by indication are listed in the Appendix (Summary Table 7).

Supplementary Materials

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2015.16.2.251.

REFERENCES

1. Dennie CJ, Leipsic J, Brydie A; Canadian Association of Radiologists. Canadian Association of Radiologists: Consensus Guidelines and Standards for Cardiac CT. *Can Assoc Radiol J* 2009;60:19-34

2. ASCI CCT & CMR Guideline Working Group, Tsai IC, Choi BW, Chan C, Jinzaki M, Kitagawa K, et al. ASCI 2010 appropriateness criteria for cardiac computed tomography: a report of the Asian Society of Cardiovascular Imaging Cardiac Computed Tomography and Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging Guideline Working Group. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;26 Suppl 1:1-15

3. Liew GY, Feneley M, Worthley SG. Noninvasive coronary artery imaging: current clinical applications: Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand guidelines. *Heart Lung Circ* 2011;20:425-437

4. Taylor AJ, Cerqueira M, Hodgson JM, Mark D, Min J, O'Gara P, et al. ACCF/SCCT/ACR/AHA/ASE/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SCMR 2010 Appropriate Use Criteria for Cardiac Computed Tomography. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *Circulation* 2010;122:e525-e555

5. Vanhoenacker PK, Heijenbrok-Kal MH, Van Heste R, Decramer I, Van Hoe LR, Wijns W, et al. Diagnostic performance of multidetector CT angiography for assessment of coronary artery disease: meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2007;244:419-428

6. Sun Z, Lin C, Davidson R, Dong C, Liao Y. Diagnostic value of 64-slice CT angiography in coronary artery disease: a systematic review. *Eur J Radiol* 2008;67:78-84

7. Stein PD, Yaekoub AY, Matta F, Sostman HD. 64-slice CT for diagnosis of coronary artery disease: a systematic review. *Am J Med* 2008;121:715-725

8. Mowatt G, Cook JA, Hillis GS, Walker S, Fraser C, Jia X, et al. 64-Slice computed tomography angiography in the diagnosis and assessment of coronary artery disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart* 2008;94:1386-1393

9. Bamberg F, Sommer WH, Hoffmann V, Achenbach S, Nikolaou K, Conen D, et al. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the long-term predictive value of assessment of coronary atherosclerosis by contrast-enhanced coronary computed tomography angiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:2426-2436

10. Salavati A, Radmanesh F, Heidari K, Dwamena BA, Kelly AM, Cronin P. Dual-source computed tomography angiography for diagnosis and assessment of coronary artery disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2012;6:78-90

11. Paech DC, Weston AR. A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of suspected coronary artery disease. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2011;11:32

12. Ladapo JA, Jaffer FA, Hoffmann U, Thomson CC, Bamberg F, Dec W, et al. Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of coronary computed tomography angiography in the evaluation of patients with chest pain. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2409-2422

13. Nieman K, Galema T, Weustink A, Neefjes L, Moelker A, Musters P, et al. Computed tomography versus exercise electrocardiography in patients with stable chest complaints: real-world experiences from a fast-track chest pain clinic. *Heart* 2009;95:1669-1675

14. Dedic A, Genders TS, Ferket BS, Galema TW, Mollet NR, Moelker A, et al. Stable angina pectoris: head-to-head comparison of prognostic value of cardiac CT and exercise testing. *Radiology* 2011;261:428-436

15. Ghostine S, Caussin C, Daoud B, Habis M, Perrier E, Pesenti-Rossi D, et al. Non-invasive detection of coronary artery disease in patients with left bundle branch block using 64-slice computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:1929-1934

16. Arbab-Zadeh A, Miller JM, Rochitte CE, Dewey M, Niinuma H, Gottlieb I, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography coronary angiography according to pre-test probability of coronary artery disease and severity of coronary arterial calcification. The CORE-64 (Coronary Artery Evaluation Using 64-Row Multidetector Computed Tomography Angiography) International Multicenter Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:379-387

17. den Dekker MA, de Smet K, de Bock GH, Tio RA, Oudkerk M, Vliegenthart R. Diagnostic performance of coronary CT angiography for stenosis detection according to calcium score: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol* 2012;22:2688-2698

18. Chang SA, Choi SI, Choi EK, Kim HK, Jung JW, Chun EJ, et al. Usefulness of 64-slice multidetector computed tomography as an initial diagnostic approach in patients with acute chest pain. *Am Heart J* 2008;156:375-383

19. Goldstein JA, Gallagher MJ, O'Neill WW, Ross MA, O'Neil BJ, Raff GL. A randomized controlled trial of multi-slice coronary computed tomography for evaluation of acute chest pain. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:863-871

20. May JM, Shuman WP, Strote JN, Branch KR, Mitsumori LM, Lockhart DW, et al. Low-risk patients with chest pain in the emergency department: negative 64-MDCT coronary angiography may reduce length of stay and hospital charges. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;193:150-154

21. Samad Z, Hakeem A, Mahmood SS, Pieper K, Patel MR, Simel DL, et al. A meta-analysis and systematic review of computed tomography angiography as a diagnostic triage tool for patients with chest pain presenting to the emergency department. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2012;19:364-376

22. Schlett CL, Banerji D, Siegel E, Bamberg F, Lehman SJ, Ferencik M, et al. Prognostic value of CT angiography for major adverse cardiac events in patients with acute chest pain from the emergency department: 2-year outcomes of the ROMICAT trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:481-491

23. Hollander JE, Chang AM, Shofer FS, Collin MJ, Walsh KM, McCusker CM, et al. One-year outcomes following coronary computerized tomographic angiography for evaluation of emergency department patients with potential acute coronary syndrome. *Acad Emerg Med* 2009;16:693-698

24. Christiaens L, Duchat F, Boudiaf M, Tasu JP, Fargeaudou Y, Ledref O, et al. Impact of 64-slice coronary CT on the management of patients presenting with acute chest pain: results of a prospective two-centre study. *Eur Radiol* 2012;22:1050-1058

25. Rubinshtein R, Halon DA, Gaspar T, Jaffe R, Karkabi B, Flugelman MY, et al. Usefulness of 64-slice cardiac computed tomographic angiography for diagnosing acute coronary syndromes and predicting clinical outcome in emergency department patients with chest pain of uncertain origin. *Circulation* 2007;115:1762-1768

26. Hollander JE, Chang AM, Shofer FS, McCusker CM, Baxt WG, Litt HI. Coronary computed tomographic angiography for rapid discharge of low-risk patients with potential acute coronary syndromes. *Ann Emerg Med* 2009;53:295-304

27. Yoon YE, Wann S. Evaluation of acute chest pain in the emergency department: "triple rule-out" computed tomography angiography. *Cardiol Rev* 2011;19:115-121

28. Lee HY, Yoo SM, White CS. Coronary CT angiography in emergency department patients with acute chest pain: triple rule-out protocol versus dedicated coronary CT angiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;25:319-326

29. Frauenfelder T, Appenzeller P, Karlo C, Scheffel H, Desbiolles L, Stolzmann P, et al. Triple rule-out CT in the emergency department: protocols and spectrum of imaging findings. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:789-799

30. Halpern EJ. Triple-rule-out CT angiography for evaluation of acute chest pain and possible acute coronary syndrome. *Radiology* 2009;252:332-345

31. Madder RD, Raff GL, Hickman L, Foster NJ, McMurray MD, Carlyle LM, et al. Comparative diagnostic yield and 3-month outcomes of "triple rule-out" and standard protocol coronary CT angiography in the evaluation of acute chest pain. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2011;5:165-171

32. Hoffmann U, Truong QA, Schoenfeld DA, Chou ET, Woodard PK, Nagurney JT, et al. Coronary CT angiography versus standard evaluation in acute chest pain. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:299-308

33. Lloyd-Jones DM, Nam BH, D'Agostino RB Sr, Levy D, Murabito JM, Wang TJ, et al. Parental cardiovascular disease as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in middle-aged adults: a prospective study of parents and offspring. *JAMA* 2004;291:2204-2211

34. Murabito JM, Pencina MJ, Nam BH, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wang TJ, Lloyd-Jones D, et al. Sibling cardiovascular disease as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in middle-aged adults. *JAMA* 2005;294:3117-3123

35. Wang TJ, Nam BH, D'Agostino RB, Wolf PA, Lloyd-Jones DM, MacRae CA, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness is associated with premature parental coronary heart disease: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2003;108:572-576

36. Gaeta G, De Michele M, Cuomo S, Guarini P, Foglia MC, Bond MG, et al. Arterial abnormalities in the offspring of patients with premature myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:840-846

37. Nasir K, Budoff MJ, Wong ND, Scheuner M, Herrington D, Arnett DK, et al. Family history of premature coronary heart disease and coronary artery calcification: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Circulation* 2007;116:619-626

38. Nasir K, Michos ED, Rumberger JA, Braunstein JB, Post WS, Budoff MJ, et al. Coronary artery calcification and family history of premature coronary heart disease: sibling history is more strongly associated than parental history. *Circulation* 2004;110:2150-2156

39. Arad Y, Goodman KJ, Roth M, Newstein D, Guerci AD. Coronary calcification, coronary disease risk factors, C-reactive protein, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease events: the St. Francis Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:158-165

40. LaMonte MJ, FitzGerald SJ, Church TS, Barlow CE, Radford NB, Levine BD, et al. Coronary artery calcium score and coronary heart disease events in a large cohort of asymptomatic men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:421-429

41. Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Schisterman E, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of cardiac risk factors and coronary artery calcium screening for all-cause mortality. *Radiology* 2003;228:826-833

42. Wong ND, Budoff MJ, Pio J, Detrano RC. Coronary calcium and cardiovascular event risk: evaluation by age- and sex-specific quartiles. *Am Heart J* 2002;143:456-459

43. Rozanski A, Gransar H, Shaw LJ, Kim J, Miranda-Peats L, Wong ND, et al. Impact of coronary artery calcium scanning on coronary risk factors and downstream testing the EISNER (Early Identification of Subclinical Atherosclerosis by Noninvasive Imaging Research) prospective randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:1622-1632

44. Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, Weinstein SR, Mosler TP, Tseng PH, et al. Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification: observations from a registry of 25253 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:1860-1870

45. Detrano R, Guerci AD, Carr JJ, Bild DE, Burke G, Folsom AR, et al. Coronary calcium as a predictor of coronary events in four racial or ethnic groups. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1336-1345

46. Greenland P, LaBree L, Azen SP, Doherty TM, Detrano RC. Coronary artery calcium score combined with Framingham score for risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals. *JAMA* 2004;291:210-215

47. Lakoski SG, Greenland P, Wong ND, Schreiner PJ, Herrington DM, Kronmal RA, et al. Coronary artery calcium scores and risk for cardiovascular events in women classified as "low risk" based on Framingham risk score: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). *Arch Intern Med* 2007;167:2437-2442

48. Budoff MJ, Nasir K, McClelland RL, Detrano R, Wong N, Blumenthal RS, et al. Coronary calcium predicts events better with absolute calcium scores than age-sex-race/ethnicity percentiles: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:345-352

49. Kondos GT, Hoff JA, Sevrukov A, Daviglus ML, Garside DB, Devries SS, et al. Electron-beam tomography coronary artery calcium and cardiac events: a 37-month follow-up of 5635 initially asymptomatic low- to intermediate-risk adults. *Circulation* 2003;107:2571-2576

50. Taylor AJ, Bindeman J, Feuerstein I, Cao F, Brazaitis M, O'Malley PG. Coronary calcium independently predicts incident premature coronary heart disease over measured cardiovascular risk factors: mean three-year outcomes in the Prospective Army Coronary Calcium (PACC) project. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:807-814

51. Nasir K, Budoff MJ, Post WS, Fishman EK, Mahesh M, Lima JA, et al. Electron beam CT versus helical CT scans for assessing coronary calcification: current utility and future directions. *Am Heart J* 2003;146:969-977

52. Greenland P, Bonow RO, Brundage BH, Budoff MJ, Eisenberg MJ, Grundy SM, et al. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography) developed in collaboration with the Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:378-402

53. Myerburg RJ, Interian A Jr, Mitrani RM, Kessler KM, Castellanos A. Frequency of sudden cardiac death and profiles of risk. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;80:10F-19F

54. Nasir K, Michos ED, Blumenthal RS, Raggi P. Detection of high-risk young adults and women by coronary calcium and National Cholesterol Education Program Panel III guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:1931-1936

55. Akosah KO, Schaper A, Cogbill C, Schoenfeld P. Preventing

myocardial infarction in the young adult in the first place: how do the National Cholesterol Education Panel III guidelines perform? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:1475-1479

56. Lee S, Choi EK, Chang HJ, Kim CH, Seo WW, Park JJ, et al. Subclinical coronary artery disease as detected by coronary computed tomography angiography in an asymptomatic population. *Korean Circ J* 2010;40:434-441

57. Yoo DH, Chun EJ, Choi SI, Kim JA, Jin KN, Yeon TJ, et al. Significance of noncalcified coronary plaque in asymptomatic subjects with low coronary artery calcium score: assessment with coronary computed tomography angiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;27 Suppl 1:27-35

58. Choi EK, Choi SI, Rivera JJ, Nasir K, Chang SA, Chun EJ, et al. Coronary computed tomography angiography as a screening tool for the detection of occult coronary artery disease in asymptomatic individuals. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:357-365

59. Perrone-Filardi P, Achenbach S, Möhlenkamp S, Reiner Z, Sambuceti G, Schuijf JD, et al. Cardiac computed tomography and myocardial perfusion scintigraphy for risk stratification in asymptomatic individuals without known cardiovascular disease: a position statement of the Working Group on Nuclear Cardiology and Cardiac CT of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:1986-1993, 1993a, 1993b

60. Bachar GN, Atar E, Fuchs S, Dror D, Kornowski R. Prevalence and clinical predictors of atherosclerotic coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients undergoing coronary multidetector computed tomography. *Coron Artery Dis* 2007;18:353-360

61. Cho I, Chang HJ, Sung JM, Pencina MJ, Lin FY, Dunning AM, et al. Coronary computed tomographic angiography and risk of all-cause mortality and non-fatal myocardial infarction in subjects without chest pain syndrome from the CONFIRM Registry (COronary CT Angiography EvaluatioN for Clinical Outcomes: an InteRnational Multicenter Registry). *Circulation* 2012;126:304-313

62. Cademartiri F, Maffei E, Palumbo A, Seitun S, Martini C, Tedeschi C, et al. Coronary calcium score and computed tomography coronary angiography in high-risk asymptomatic subjects: assessment of diagnostic accuracy and prevalence of non-obstructive coronary artery disease. *Eur Radiol* 2010;20:846-854

63. Khan R, Jang IK. Evaluation of coronary allograft vasculopathy using multi-detector row computed tomography: a systematic review. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;41:415-422

64. Iyengar S, Feldman DS, Cooke GE, Leier CV, Raman SV. Detection of coronary artery disease in orthotopic heart transplant recipients with 64-detector row computed tomography angiography. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2006;25:1363-1366

65. Sigurdsson G, Carrascosa P, Yamani MH, Greenberg NL, Perrone S, Lev G, et al. Detection of transplant coronary artery disease using multidetector computed tomography with adaptive multisegment reconstruction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:772-778

66. Bastarrika G, De Cecco CN, Arraiza M, Mastrobuoni S, Pueyo JC, Ubilla M, et al. Dual-source CT for visualization of the coronary arteries in heart transplant patients with high heart rates. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008;191:448-454

67. Pichler P, Loewe C, Roedler S, Syeda B, Stadler A, Aliabadi A, et al. Detection of high-grade stenoses with multislice computed tomography in heart transplant patients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:310-316

68. Hamilton-Craig C, Strugnell WE, Raffel OC, Porto I, Walters DL, Slaughter RE. CT angiography with cardiac MRI: non-invasive functional and anatomical assessment for the etiology in newly diagnosed heart failure. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;28:1111-1122

69. le Polain de Waroux JB, Pouleur AC, Goffinet C, Pasquet A, Vanoverschelde JL, Gerber BL. Combined coronary and late-enhanced multidetector-computed tomography for delineation of the etiology of left ventricular dysfunction: comparison with coronary angiography and contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:2544-2551

70. Andreini D, Pontone G, Bartorelli AL, Agostoni P, Mushtaq S, Bertella E, et al. Sixty-four-slice multidetector computed tomography: an accurate imaging modality for the evaluation of coronary arteries in dilated cardiomyopathy of unknown etiology. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:199-205

71. Ghostine S, Caussin C, Habis M, Habib Y, Clément C, Sigal-Cinqualbre A, et al. Non-invasive diagnosis of ischaemic heart failure using 64-slice computed tomography. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:2133-2140

72. Andreini D, Pontone G, Pepi M, Ballerini G, Bartorelli AL, Magini A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of multidetector computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:2044-2050

73. Bhatti S, Hakeem A, Yousuf MA, Al-Khalidi HR, Mazur W, Shizukuda Y. Diagnostic performance of computed tomography angiography for differentiating ischemic vs nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2011;18:407-420

74. Asferg C, Usinger L, Kristensen TS, Abdulla J. Accuracy of multi-slice computed tomography for measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol* 2012;81:e757-e762

75. Min JK, Lin FY, Dunning AM, Delago A, Egan J, Shaw LJ, et al. Incremental prognostic significance of left ventricular dysfunction to coronary artery disease detection by 64-detector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for the prediction of all-cause mortality: results from a two-centre study of 5330 patients. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:1212-1219

76. Chow BJ, Wells GA, Chen L, Yam Y, Galiwango P, Abraham A, et al. Prognostic value of 64-slice cardiac computed tomography severity of coronary artery disease, coronary

atherosclerosis, and left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:1017-1028

77. Catalán P, Leta R, Hidalgo A, Montiel J, Alomar X, Viladés D, et al. Ruling out coronary artery disease with noninvasive coronary multidetector CT angiography before noncoronary cardiovascular surgery. *Radiology* 2011;258:426-434

78. Buffa V, De Cecco CN, Cossu L, Fedeli S, Vallone A, Ruopoli R, et al. Preoperative coronary risk assessment with dual-source CT in patients undergoing noncoronary cardiac surgery. *Radiol Med* 2010;115:1028-1037

79. Stagnaro N, Della Latta D, Chiappino D. Diagnostic accuracy of MDCT coronary angiography in patients referred for heart valve surgery. *Radiol Med* 2009;114:728-742

80. Shrivastava V, Vundavalli S, Mitchell L, Dunning J. Is cardiac computed tomography a reliable alternative to percutaneous coronary angiography for patients awaiting valve surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2007;6:105-109

81. Scheffel H, Leschka S, Plass A, Vachenauer R, Gaemperli O, Garzoli E, et al. Accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography for the preoperative detection of coronary artery disease in patients with chronic aortic regurgitation. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;100:701-706

82. Pouleur AC, le Polain de Waroux JB, Kefer J, Pasquet A, Coche E, Vanoverschelde JL, et al. Usefulness of 40-slice multidetector row computed tomography to detect coronary disease in patients prior to cardiac valve surgery. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:3199-3207

83. Reant P, Brunot S, Lafitte S, Serri K, Leroux L, Corneloup O, et al. Predictive value of noninvasive coronary angiography with multidetector computed tomography to detect significant coronary stenosis before valve surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;97:1506-1510

84. Meijboom WB, Mollet NR, Van Mieghem CA, Kluin J, Weustink AC, Pugliese F, et al. Pre-operative computed tomography coronary angiography to detect significant coronary artery disease in patients referred for cardiac valve surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:1658-1665

85. Gilard M, Cornily JC, Pennec PY, Joret C, Le Gal G, Mansourati J, et al. Accuracy of multislice computed tomography in the preoperative assessment of coronary disease in patients with aortic valve stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:2020-2024

86. Bettencourt N, Rocha J, Carvalho M, Leite D, Toschke AM, Melica B, et al. Multislice computed tomography in the exclusion of coronary artery disease in patients with presurgical valve disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:306-313

87. Jonnalagadda N, Jain A, Calkins H, Tandri H. Role of cardiac imaging evaluation of patients with documented or suspected ventricular arrhythmias. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2010;17:145-152

88. Wazni OM, Tsao HM, Chen SA, Chuang HH, Saliba W, Natale A, et al. Cardiovascular imaging in the management of atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2077-2084

89. Marwan M, Pflederer T, Schepis T, Lang A, Muschiol G, Ropers D, et al. Accuracy of dual-source computed tomography to identify significant coronary artery disease in patients with atrial fibrillation: comparison with coronary angiography. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:2230-2237

90. Mingels AM, Joosen IA, Versteylen MO, Laufer EM, Winkens MH, Wildberger JE, et al. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin T: risk stratification tool in patients with symptoms of chest discomfort. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e35059

91. Boussel L, Gamondes D, Staat P, Elicker BM, Revel D, Douek P. Acute chest pain with normal coronary angiogram: role of contrast-enhanced multidetector computed tomography in the differential diagnosis between myocarditis and myocardial infarction. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2008;32:228-232

92. Dambrin G, Laissy JP, Serfaty JM, Caussin C, Lancelin B, Paul JF. Diagnostic value of ECG-gated multidetector computed tomography in the early phase of suspected acute myocarditis. A preliminary comparative study with cardiac MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:331-338

93. Januzzi JL Jr, Bamberg F, Lee H, Truong QA, Nichols JH, Karakas M, et al. High-sensitivity troponin T concentrations in acute chest pain patients evaluated with cardiac computed tomography. *Circulation* 2010;121:1227-1234

94. Choi JH, Song YB, Hahn JY, Choi SH, Gwon HC, Cho JR, et al. Three-dimensional quantitative volumetry of chronic total occlusion plaque using coronary multidetector computed tomography. *Circ J* 2011;75:366-375

95. Magro M, Schultz C, Simsek C, Garcia-Garcia HM, Regar E, Nieman K, et al. Computed tomography as a tool for percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusions. *EuroIntervention* 2010;6 Suppl G:G123-G131

96. Hoe J. CT coronary angiography of chronic total occlusions of the coronary arteries: how to recognize and evaluate and usefulness for planning percutaneous coronary interventions. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;25 Suppl 1:43-54

97. García-García HM, van Mieghem CA, Gonzalo N, Meijboom WB, Weustink AC, Onuma Y, et al. Computed tomography in total coronary occlusions (CTTO registry): radiation exposure and predictors of successful percutaneous intervention. *EuroIntervention* 2009;4:607-616

98. Ehara M, Terashima M, Kawai M, Matsushita S, Tsuchikane E, Kinoshita Y, et al. Impact of multislice computed tomography to estimate difficulty in wire crossing in percutaneous coronary intervention for chronic total occlusion. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2009;21:575-582

99. Otsuka M, Sugahara S, Umeda K, Nakamura M, Nakamura A, Bonkohara Y, et al. Utility of multislice computed tomography as a strategic tool for complex percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;24:201-210

100. Soon KH, Cox N, Wong A, Chaitowitz I, Macgregor L, Santos PT, et al. CT coronary angiography predicts the outcome of percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusion. *J Interv Cardiol* 2007;20:359-366

101. Yokoyama N, Yamamoto Y, Suzuki S, Suzuki M, Konno K, Kozuma K, et al. Impact of 16-slice computed tomography

in percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total occlusions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2006;68:1-7

102. Pregowski J, Kepka C, Kruk M, Mintz GS, Kalinczuk L, Ciszewski M, et al. Comparison of usefulness of percutaneous coronary intervention guided by angiography plus computed tomography versus angiography alone using intravascular ultrasound end points. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;108:1728-1734

103. Cho JR, Kim YJ, Ahn CM, Moon JY, Kim JS, Kim HS, et al. Quantification of regional calcium burden in chronic total occlusion by 64-slice multi-detector computed tomography and procedural outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiol* 2010;145:9-14

104. Watabe H, Sato A, Akiyama D, Kakefuda Y, Adachi T, Ojima E, et al. Impact of coronary plaque composition on cardiac troponin elevation after percutaneous coronary intervention in stable angina pectoris: a computed tomography analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:1881-1888

105. Mark DB, Shaw L, Harrell FE Jr, Hlatky MA, Lee KL, Bengtson JR, et al. Prognostic value of a treadmill exercise score in outpatients with suspected coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:849-853

106. Roger VL, Jacobsen SJ, Pellikka PA, Miller TD, Bailey KR, Gersh BJ. Prognostic value of treadmill exercise testing: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Circulation* 1998;98:2836-2841

107. Maffei E, Seitun S, Martini C, Palumbo A, Tarantini G, Berti E, et al. CT coronary angiography and exercise ECG in a population with chest pain and low-to-intermediate pre-test likelihood of coronary artery disease. *Heart* 2010;96:1973-1979

108. Diamond GA, Denton TA, Berman DS, Cohen I. Prior restraint: a Bayesian perspective on the optimization of technology utilization for diagnosis of coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1995;76:82-86

109. Morise AP, Diamond GA. Comparison of the sensitivity and specificity of exercise electrocardiography in biased and unbiased populations of men and women. *Am Heart J* 1995;130:741-747

110. Cademartiri F, La Grutta L, Palumbo A, Maffei E, Martini C, Seitun S, et al. Computed tomography coronary angiography vs. stress ECG in patients with stable angina. *Radiol Med* 2009;114:513-523

111. Bonello L, Armero S, Jacquier A, Com O, Sarran A, Sbragia P, et al. Non-invasive coronary angiography for patients with acute atypical chest pain discharged after negative screening including maximal negative treadmill stress test. A prospective study. *Int J Cardiol* 2009;134:140-143

112. Blankstein R, Ahmed W, Bamberg F, Rogers IS, Schlett CL, Nasir K, et al. Comparison of exercise treadmill testing with cardiac computed tomography angiography among patients presenting to the emergency room with chest pain: the Rule Out Myocardial Infarction Using Computer-Assisted Tomography (ROMICAT) study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:233-242

113. Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, Van Mieghem C, Meijboom B, Pugliese F, Runza G, et al. Adjunctive value of CT coronary angiography in the diagnostic work-up of patients with typical angina pectoris. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:1872-1878

114. Versteylen MO, Joosen IA, Winkens MH, Laufer EM, Snijder RJ, Wildberger JE, et al. Combined use of exercise electrocardiography, coronary calcium score and cardiac CT angiography for the prediction of major cardiovascular events in patients presenting with stable chest pain. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;167:121-125

115. Taylor GL, Murphy NF, Berry C, Christie J, Finlayson A, MacIntyre K, et al. Long-term outcome of low-risk patients attending a rapid-assessment chest pain clinic. *Heart* 2008;94:628-632

116. Alvarez Tamargo JA, Martin-Ambrosio ES, Tarin ER, Fernandez MM, De la Tassa CM. Significance of the treadmill scores and high-risk criteria for exercise testing in non-high-risk patients with unstable angina and an intermediate Duke treadmill score. *Acta Cardiol* 2008;63:557-564

117. Shaw LJ, Peterson ED, Shaw LK, Kesler KL, DeLong ER, Harrell FE Jr, et al. Use of a prognostic treadmill score in identifying diagnostic coronary disease subgroups. *Circulation* 1998;98:1622-1630

118. de Azevedo CF, Hadlich MS, Bezerra SG, Petriz JL, Alves RR, de Souza O, et al. Prognostic value of CT angiography in patients with inconclusive functional stress tests. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:740-751

119. Heller GV, Bateman TM, Johnson LL, Cullom SJ, Case JA, Galt JR, et al. Clinical value of attenuation correction in stress-only Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT imaging. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2004;11:273-281

120. Koller D. Assessing diagnostic performance in nuclear cardiology. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2002;9:114-123

121. Chan RH, Javali S, Ellins ML, Montgomery A, Sheth T. Utility of 64 detector coronary computed tomographic angiography in patients with and without prior equivocal stress tests. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;27:135-141

122. Iskandrian AE. The Achilles heel of SPECT imaging: the false-positive scans--or are they? *J Nucl Cardiol* 2006;13:747-748

123. Schuijf JD, Wijns W, Jukema JW, Atsma DE, de Roos A, Lamb HJ, et al. Relationship between noninvasive coronary angiography with multi-slice computed tomography and myocardial perfusion imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2508-2514

124. Cole JH, Chunn VM, Morrow JA, Buckley RS, Phillips GM. Cost implications of initial computed tomography angiography as opposed to catheterization in patients with mildly abnormal or equivocal myocardial perfusion scans. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2007;1:21-26

125. Abidov A, Gallagher MJ, Chinnaiyan KM, Mehta LS, Wegner JH, Raff GL. Clinical effectiveness of coronary computed tomographic angiography in the triage of patients to cardiac catheterization and revascularization after inconclusive stress testing: results of a 2-year prospective trial. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2009;16:701-713

126. Danciu SC, Herrera CJ, Stecy PJ, Carell E, Saltiel F, Hines JL.

Usefulness of multislice computed tomographic coronary angiography to identify patients with abnormal myocardial perfusion stress in whom diagnostic catheterization may be safely avoided. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;100:1605-1608

127. Villines TC, O'Malley PG, Feuerstein IM, Thomas S, Taylor AJ. Does prolonged warfarin exposure potentiate coronary calcification in humans? Results of the warfarin and coronary calcification study. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2009;85:494-500

128. Raggi P, Callister TQ, Cooil B, Russo DJ, Lippolis NJ, Patterson RE. Evaluation of chest pain in patients with low to intermediate pretest probability of coronary artery disease by electron beam computed tomography. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;85:283-288

129. Sarwar A, Shaw LJ, Shapiro MD, Blankstein R, Hoffmann U, Cury RC, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of absence of coronary artery calcification. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:675-688

130. Stolzmann P, Scheffel H, Leschka S, Plass A, Baumüller S, Marincek B, et al. Influence of calcifications on diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography using prospective ECG triggering. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008;191:1684-1689

131. Raff GL, Gallagher MJ, O'Neill WW, Goldstein JA. Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:552-557

132. Ong TK, Chin SP, Liew CK, Chan WL, Seyfarth MT, Liew HB, et al. Accuracy of 64-row multidetector computed tomography in detecting coronary artery disease in 134 symptomatic patients: influence of calcification. *Am Heart J* 2006;151:1323.e1-1323.e6

133. Leschka S, Alkadhi H, Plass A, Desbiolles L, Grünenfelder J, Marincek B, et al. Accuracy of MSCT coronary angiography with 64-slice technology: first experience. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:1482-1487

134. Gutstein A, Wolak A, Lee C, Dey D, Ohba M, Suzuki Y, et al. Predicting success of prospective and retrospective gating with dual-source coronary computed tomography angiography: development of selection criteria and initial experience. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2008;2:81-90

135. Alkadhi H, Scheffel H, Desbiolles L, Gaemperli O, Stolzmann P, Plass A, et al. Dual-source computed tomography coronary angiography: influence of obesity, calcium load, and heart rate on diagnostic accuracy. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:766-776

136. Pugliese F, Mollet NR, Runza G, van Mieghem C, Meijboom WB, Malagutti P, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive 64-slice CT coronary angiography in patients with stable angina pectoris. *Eur Radiol* 2006;16:575-582

137. Morgan-Hughes GJ, Roobottom CA, Owens PE, Marshall AJ. Highly accurate coronary angiography with submillimetre, 16 slice computed tomography. *Heart* 2005;91:308-313

138. Heuschmid M, Kuettner A, Schroeder S, Trabold T, Feyer A, Seemann MD, et al. ECG-gated 16-MDCT of the coronary arteries: assessment of image quality and accuracy in detecting stenoses. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;184:1413-1419

139. Abdulla J, Pedersen KS, Budoff M, Kofoed KF. Influence of coronary calcification on the diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;28:943-953

140. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, Halamert E, et al. Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:1724-1732

141. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, Calkins H, Chaikof E, Fleischmann KE, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Care for Noncardiac Surgery: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation for Noncardiac Surgery): Developed in Collaboration With the American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, and Society for Vascular Surgery. *Circulation* 2007;116:1971-1996

142. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, Calkins H, Chaikof EL, Fleischmann KE, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation for Noncardiac Surgery) developed in collaboration with the American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, and Society for Vascular Surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;50:e159-e241

143. Fleisher LA; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association. Cardiac risk stratification for noncardiac surgery: update from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association 2007 guidelines. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2009;76 Suppl 4:S9-S15

144. Freeman WK, Gibbons RJ. Perioperative cardiovascular assessment of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2009;84:79-90

145. Williams FM, Bergin JD. Cardiac screening before noncardiac surgery. *Surg Clin North Am* 2009;89:747-762, vii

146. Holt NF. Perioperative cardiac risk reduction. *Am Fam Physician* 2012;85:239-246

147. Nelson CL, Herndon JE, Mark DB, Pryor DB, Califf RM,

Hlatky MA. Relation of clinical and angiographic factors to functional capacity as measured by the Duke Activity Status Index. *Am J Cardiol* 1991;68:973-975

148. Kaneko K, Ito M, Takanashi T, Hashizume E, Owashi K, Kaneko H, et al. Computed tomography and scintigraphy vs. cardiac catheterization for coronary disease screening prior to noncardiac surgery. *Intern Med* 2010;49:1703-1710

149. Chae WY, Hwang S, Yoon YI, Kang MC, Moon DB, Song GW, et al. Clinical value of preoperative coronary risk assessment by computed tomographic arteriography prior to adult living donor liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2012;44:415-417

150. Abir F, Kakisis I, Sumpio B. Do vascular surgery patients need a cardiology work-up? A review of pre-operative cardiac clearance guidelines in vascular surgery. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2003;25:110-117

151. Wijeysundera DN, Beattie WS, Austin PC, Hux JE, Laupacis A. Non-invasive cardiac stress testing before elective major non-cardiac surgery: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2010;340:b5526

152. Bryan AJ, Angelini GD. The biology of saphenous vein graft occlusion: etiology and strategies for prevention. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 1994;9:641-649

153. Barner HB, Standeven JW, Reese J. Twelve-year experience with internal mammary artery for coronary artery bypass. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1985;90:668-675

154. Cameron AA, Davis KB, Rogers WJ. Recurrence of angina after coronary artery bypass surgery: predictors and prognosis (CASS Registry). Coronary Artery Surgery Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:895-899

155. Malagutti P, Nieman K, Meijboom WB, van Mieghem CA, Pugliese F, Cademartiri F, et al. Use of 64-slice CT in symptomatic patients after coronary bypass surgery: evaluation of grafts and coronary arteries. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:1879-1885

156. Dikkers R, Willems TP, Tio RA, Anthonio RL, Zijlstra F, Oudkerk M. The benefit of 64-MDCT prior to invasive coronary angiography in symptomatic post-CABG patients. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2007;23:369-377

157. Hamon M, Lepage O, Malagutti P, Riddell JW, Morello R, Agostini D, et al. Diagnostic performance of 16- and 64-section spiral CT for coronary artery bypass graft assessment: meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2008;247:679-686

158. Meyer TS, Martinoff S, Hadamitzky M, Will A, Kastrati A, Schömig A, et al. Improved noninvasive assessment of coronary artery bypass grafts with 64-slice computed tomographic angiography in an unselected patient population. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:946-950

159. Ropers D, Pohle FK, Kuettner A, Pflederer T, Anders K, Daniel WG, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography in patients after bypass surgery using 64-slice spiral computed tomography with 330-ms gantry rotation. *Circulation* 2006;114:2334-2341; quiz 2334

160. Jones CM, Athanasiou T, Dunne N, Kirby J, Aziz O, Haq A, et al. Multi-detector computed tomography in coronary artery bypass graft assessment: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;83:341-348

161. Sun Z, Almutairi AM. Diagnostic accuracy of 64 multislice CT angiography in the assessment of coronary in-stent restenosis: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol* 2010;73:266-273

162. Sun Z, Davidson R, Lin CH. Multi-detector row CT angiography in the assessment of coronary in-stent restenosis: a systematic review. *Eur J Radiol* 2009;69:489-495

163. Kumbhani DJ, Ingelmo CP, Schoenhagen P, Curtin RJ, Flamm SD, Desai MY. Meta-analysis of diagnostic efficacy of 64-slice computed tomography in the evaluation of coronary in-stent restenosis. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;103:1675-1681

164. Mowatt G, Cummins E, Waugh N, Walker S, Cook J, Jia X, et al. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease. *Health Technol Assess* 2008;12:iii-iv, ix-143

165. Vanhoenacker PK, Decramer I, Bladt O, Sarno G, Van Hul E, Wijns W, et al. Multidetector computed tomography angiography for assessment of in-stent restenosis: meta-analysis of diagnostic performance. *BMC Med Imaging* 2008;8:14

166. Hamon M, Champ-Rigot L, Morello R, Riddell JW, Hamon M. Diagnostic accuracy of in-stent coronary restenosis detection with multislice spiral computed tomography: a meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:217-225

167. Alderman EL, Kip KE, Whitlow PL, Bashore T, Fortin D, Bourassa MG, et al. Native coronary disease progression exceeds failed revascularization as cause of angina after five years in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:766-774

168. Seung KB, Park DW, Kim YH, Lee SW, Lee CW, Hong MK, et al. Stents versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1781-1792

169. Chieffo A, Stankovic G, Bonizzoni E, Tsagalou E, Iakovou I, Montorfano M, et al. Early and mid-term results of drug-eluting stent implantation in unprotected left main. *Circulation* 2005;111:791-795

170. Valgimigli M, van Mieghem CA, Ong AT, Aoki J, Granillo GA, McFadden EP, et al. Short- and long-term clinical outcome after drug-eluting stent implantation for the percutaneous treatment of left main coronary artery disease: insights from the Rapamycin-Eluting and Taxus Stent Evaluated At Rotterdam Cardiology Hospital registries (RESEARCH and T-SEARCH). *Circulation* 2005;111:1383-1389

171. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:961-972

172. Kappetein AP, Feldman TE, Mack MJ, Morice MC, Holmes DR, Ståhle E, et al. Comparison of coronary bypass surgery with drug-eluting stenting for the treatment of left main and/or three-vessel disease: 3-year follow-up of the SYNTAX trial. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:2125-2134

173. Baim DS. Is it time to offer elective percutaneous treatment

274

Korean J Radiol 16(2), Mar/Apr 2015

kjronline.org

of the unprotected left main coronary artery? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;35:1551-1553

174. Takagi T, Stankovic G, Finci L, Toutouzas K, Chieffo A, Spanos V, et al. Results and long-term predictors of adverse clinical events after elective percutaneous interventions on unprotected left main coronary artery. *Circulation* 2002;106:698-702

175. Price MJ, Cristea E, Sawhney N, Kao JA, Moses JW, Leon MB, et al. Serial angiographic follow-up of sirolimus-eluting stents for unprotected left main coronary artery revascularization. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:871-877

176. Smith SC Jr, Feldman TE, Hirshfeld JW Jr, Jacobs AK, Kern MJ, King SB 3rd, et al. ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 guideline update for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA/SCAI Writing Committee to Update the 2001 Guidelines for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:e1-e121

177. Van Mieghem CA, Cademartiri F, Mollet NR, Malagutti P, Valgimigli M, Meijboom WB, et al. Multislice spiral computed tomography for the evaluation of stent patency after left main coronary artery stenting: a comparison with conventional coronary angiography and intravascular ultrasound. *Circulation* 2006;114:645-653

178. Veselka J, Cadova P, Tomasov P, Theodor A, Zemanek D. Dual-source CT angiography for detection and quantification of in-stent restenosis in the left main coronary artery: comparison with intracoronary ultrasound and coronary angiography. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2011;23:460-464

179. Kim SY, Seo JB, Do KH, Heo JN, Lee JS, Song JW, et al. Coronary artery anomalies: classification and ECG-gated multi-detector row CT findings with angiographic correlation. *Radiographics* 2006;26:317-333; discussion 333-334

180. Kang JW, Seo JB, Chae EJ, Jang YM, Do KH, Lee JS, et al. Coronary artery anomalies: classification and electrocardiogram-gated multidetector computed tomographic findings. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 2008;29:182-194

181. Zenooz NA, Habibi R, Mammen L, Finn JP, Gilkeson RC. Coronary artery fistulas: CT findings. *Radiographics* 2009;29:781-789

182. Peña E, Nguyen ET, Merchant N, Dennie G. ALCAPA syndrome: not just a pediatric disease. *Radiographics* 2009;29:553-565

183. Cademartiri F, La Grutta L, Malagò R, Alberghina F, Meijboom WB, Pugliese F, et al. Prevalence of anatomical variants and coronary anomalies in 543 consecutive patients studied with 64-slice CT coronary angiography. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:781-791

184. Kacmaz F, Ozbulbul NI, Alyan O, Maden O, Demir AD, Balbay Y, et al. Imaging of coronary artery anomalies: the role of multidetector computed tomography. *Coron Artery Dis* 2008;19:203-209

185. Schmid M, Achenbach S, Ludwig J, Baum U, Anders K, Pohle K, et al. Visualization of coronary artery anomalies by contrast-enhanced multi-detector row spiral computed tomography.

Int J Cardiol 2006;111:430-435

186. Datta J, White CS, Gilkeson RC, Meyer CA, Kansal S, Jani ML, et al. Anomalous coronary arteries in adults: depiction at multi-detector row CT angiography. *Radiology* 2005;235:812-818

187. Lee HJ, Hong YJ, Kim HY, Lee J, Hur J, Choi BW, et al. Anomalous origin of the right coronary artery from the left coronary sinus with an interarterial course: subtypes and clinical importance. *Radiology* 2012;262:101-108

188. Zhang LJ, Wu SY, Huang W, Zhou CS, Lu GM. Anomalous origin of the right coronary artery originating from the left coronary sinus of valsalva with an interarterial course: diagnosis and dynamic evaluation using dual-source computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2009;33:348-353

189. Maldonado JA, Henry T, Gutiérrez FR. Congenital thoracic vascular anomalies. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2010;48:85-115

190. Schertler T, Wildermuth S, Teodorovic N, Mayer D, Marincek B, Boehm T. Visualization of congenital thoracic vascular anomalies using multi-detector row computed tomography and two- and three-dimensional post-processing. *Eur J Radiol* 2007;61:97-119

191. Lawler LP, Fishman EK. Multi-detector row CT of thoracic disease with emphasis on 3D volume rendering and CT angiography. *Radiographics* 2001;21:1257-1273

192. Nicol ED, Gatzoulis M, Padley SP, Rubens M. Assessment of adult congenital heart disease with multi-detector computed tomography: beyond coronary lumenography. *Clin Radiol* 2007;62:518-527

193. Leschka S, Oechslin E, Husmann L, Desbiolles L, Marincek B, Genoni M, et al. Pre- and postoperative evaluation of congenital heart disease in children and adults with 64-section CT. *Radiographics* 2007;27:829-846

194. Samyn MM. A review of the complementary information available with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and multi-slice computed tomography (CT) during the study of congenital heart disease. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2004;20:569-578

195. Hughes D Jr, Siegel MJ. Computed tomography of adult congenital heart disease. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2010;48:817-835

196. Wiant A, Nyberg E, Gilkeson RC. CT evaluation of congenital heart disease in adults. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;193:388-396

197. Tomasian A, Malik S, Shamsa K, Krishnam MS. Congenital heart diseases: post-operative appearance on multi-detector CT-a pictorial essay. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:2941-2949

198. Spevak PJ, Johnson PT, Fishman EK. Surgically corrected congenital heart disease: utility of 64-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008;191:854-861

199. Hayabuchi Y, Mori K, Kitagawa T, Sakata M, Kagami S. Polytetrafluoroethylene graft calcification in patients with surgically repaired congenital heart disease: evaluation using multidetector-row computed tomography. *Am Heart J* 2007;153:806.e1-806.e8

200. Cook SC, Dyke PC 2nd, Raman SV. Management of adults with congenital heart disease with cardiovascular computed tomography. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2008;2:12-22

201. Kim SS, Ko SM, Song MG, Kim JS. Assessment of global function of left ventricle with dual-source CT in patients with severe arrhythmia: a comparison with the use of two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;26(Suppl 2):213-221

202. Ko SM, Kim YJ, Park JH, Choi NM. Assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction and regional wall motion with 64-slice multidetector CT: a comparison with two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography. *Br J Radiol* 2010;83:28-34

203. Juergens KU, Fischbach R. Left ventricular function studied with MDCT. *Eur Radiol* 2006;16:342-357

204. van der Vleuten PA, Willems TP, Götte MJ, Tio RA, Greuter MJ, Zijlstra F, et al. Quantification of global left ventricular function: comparison of multidetector computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. a meta-analysis and review of the current literature. *Acta Radiol* 2006;47:1049-1057

205. Greupner J, Zimmermann E, Grohmann A, Dübel HP, Althoff TF, Borges AC, et al. Head-to-head comparison of left ventricular function assessment with 64-row computed tomography, biplane left cineventriculography, and both 2- and 3-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging as the reference standard. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:1897-1907

206. Bak SH, Ko SM, Jeon HJ, Yang HS, Hwang HK, Song MG. Assessment of global left ventricular function with dual-source computed tomography in patients with valvular heart disease. *Acta Radiol* 2012;53:270-277

207. Guo YK, Yang ZG, Ning G, Rao L, Dong L, Pen Y, et al. Sixty-four-slice multidetector computed tomography for preoperative evaluation of left ventricular function and mass in patients with mitral regurgitation: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:2107-2116

208. Shudo Y, Taniguchi K, Takeda K, Sakaguchi T, Funatsu T, Kondoh H, et al. Serial multidetector computed tomography assessment of left ventricular reverse remodeling, mass, and regional wall stress after restrictive mitral annuloplasty in dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;143(4 Suppl):S43-S47

209. Boogers MJ, van Werkhoven JM, Schuijf JD, Delgado V, El-Naggar HM, Boersma E, et al. Feasibility of diastolic function assessment with cardiac CT: feasibility study in comparison with tissue Doppler imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:246-256

210. Seneviratne SK, Truong QA, Bamberg F, Rogers IS, Shapiro MD, Schlett CL, et al. Incremental diagnostic value of regional left ventricular function over coronary assessment by cardiac computed tomography for the detection of acute coronary syndrome in patients with acute chest pain: from the ROMICAT trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:375-383

211. Guo YK, Gao HL, Zhang XC, Wang QL, Yang ZG, Ma ES. Accuracy and reproducibility of assessing right ventricular function with 64-section multi-detector row CT: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *Int J Cardiol* 2010;139:254-262

212. Maffei E, Messalli G, Martini C, Nieman K, Catalano O, Rossi A, et al. Left and right ventricle assessment with Cardiac CT: validation study vs. Cardiac MR. *Eur Radiol* 2012;22:1041-1049

213. Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Multimodality comparison of quantitative volumetric analysis of the right ventricle. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:10-18

214. Bomma C, Dalal D, Tandri H, Prakasa K, Nasir K, Roguin A, et al. Evolving role of multidetector computed tomography in evaluation of arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;100:99-105

215. Kimura F, Matsuo Y, Nakajima T, Nishikawa T, Kawamura S, Sannohe S, et al. Myocardial fat at cardiac imaging: how can we differentiate pathologic from physiologic fatty infiltration? *Radiographics* 2010;30:1587-1602

216. Mahnken AH, Jost G, Bruners P, Sieber M, Seidensticker PR, Günther RW, et al. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) evaluation of myocardial viability: intraindividual comparison of monomeric vs. dimeric contrast media in a rabbit model. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:290-297

217. Goetti R, Feuchtner G, Stolzmann P, Donati OF, Wieser M, Plass A, et al. Delayed enhancement imaging of myocardial viability: low-dose high-pitch CT versus MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2011;21:2091-2099

218. Krombach GA, Niendorf T, Günther RW, Mahnken AH. Characterization of myocardial viability using MR and CT imaging. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:1433-1444

219. Nikolaou K, Sanz J, Poon M, Wintersperger BJ, Ohnesorge B, Rius T, et al. Assessment of myocardial perfusion and viability from routine contrast-enhanced 16-detector-row computed tomography of the heart: preliminary results. *Eur Radiol* 2005;15:864-871

220. Rodriguez-Granillo GA, Rosales MA, Baum S, Rennes P, Rodriguez-Pagani C, Curotto V, et al. Early assessment of myocardial viability by the use of delayed enhancement computed tomography after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:1072-1081

221. Sato A, Hiroe M, Nozato T, Hikita H, Ito Y, Ohigashi H, et al. Early validation study of 64-slice multidetector computed tomography for the assessment of myocardial viability and the prediction of left ventricular remodelling after acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:490-498

222. Thilo C, Hanley M, Bastarrika G, Ruzsics B, Schoepf UJ. Integrative computed tomographic imaging of cardiac structure, function, perfusion, and viability. *Cardiol Rev* 2010;18:219-229

223. Ghoshhajra BB, Maurovich-Horvat P, Techasith T, Medina HM, Verdini D, Sidhu MS, et al. Infarct detection with a comprehensive cardiac CT protocol. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2012;6:14-23

224. Leborgne L, Choplin Y, Renard C, Claeys M, Levy F, Jarry G, et al. Quantification of aortic valve area with ECG-gated multi-detector spiral computed tomography in patients with aortic stenosis and comparison of two image analysis methods. *Int J Cardiol* 2009;135:266-269

225. Shah RG, Novaro GM, Blandon RJ, Whiteman MS, Asher CR, Kirsch J. Aortic valve area: meta-analysis of diagnostic performance of multi-detector computed tomography for aortic valve area measurements as compared to transthoracic echocardiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;25:601-609

226. LaBounty TM, Glasofer S, Devereux RB, Lin FY, Weinsaft JW, Min JK. Comparison of cardiac computed tomographic angiography to transesophageal echocardiography for evaluation of patients with native valvular heart disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;104:1421-1428

227. Ko SM, Song MG, Hwang HK. Bicuspid aortic valve: spectrum of imaging findings at cardiac MDCT and cardiovascular MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2012;198:89-97

228. Chen JJ, Manning MA, Frazier AA, Jeudy J, White CS. CT angiography of the cardiac valves: normal, diseased, and postoperative appearances. *Radiographics* 2009;29:1393-1412

229. Stolzmann P, Knight J, Desbiolles L, Maier W, Scheffel H, Plass A, et al. Remodelling of the aortic root in severe tricuspid aortic stenosis: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:1316-1323

230. Smíd M, Ferda J, Baxa J, Cech J, Hájek T, Kreuzberg B, et al. Aortic annulus and ascending aorta: comparison of preoperative and perioperative measurement in patients with aortic stenosis. *Eur J Radiol* 2010;74:152-155

231. Delgado V, Ng AC, van de Veire NR, van der Kley F, Schuijf JD, Tops LF, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation: role of multi-detector row computed tomography to evaluate prosthesis positioning and deployment in relation to valve function. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:1114-1123

232. Jilaihawi H, Kashif M, Fontana G, Furugen A, Shiota T, Friede G, et al. Cross-sectional computed tomographic assessment improves accuracy of aortic annular sizing for transcatheter aortic valve replacement and reduces the incidence of paravalvular aortic regurgitation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:1275-1286

233. Willson AB, Webb JG, Labounty TM, Achenbach S, Moss R, Wheeler M, et al. 3-dimensional aortic annular assessment by multidetector computed tomography predicts moderate or severe paravalvular regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a multicenter retrospective analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:1287-1294

234. Feuchtner GM, Alkadhi H, Karlo C, Sarwar A, Meier A, Dichtl W, et al. Cardiac CT angiography for the diagnosis of mitral valve prolapse: comparison with echocardiography. *Radiology* 2010;254:374-383

235. Delgado V, Tops LF, Schuijf JD, de Roos A, Brugada J, Schalij MJ, et al. Assessment of mitral valve anatomy and geometry with multislice computed tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:556-565

236. Deng W, Yang ZG, Peng LQ, Dong ZH, Chu ZG, Wang QL. Morphological and dynamic features of normal mitral valve evaluated by dual-source computed tomography. *Int J Cardiol* 2010;145:633-636

237. Symersky P, Budde RP, de Mol BA, Prokop M. Comparison of multidetector-row computed tomography to echocardiography and fluoroscopy for evaluation of patients with mechanical prosthetic valve obstruction. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;104:1128-1134

238. Tsai IC, Lin YK, Chang Y, Fu YC, Wang CC, Hsieh SR, et al. Correctness of multi-detector-row computed tomography for diagnosing mechanical prosthetic heart valve disorders using operative findings as a gold standard. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:857-867

239. Habets J, Symersky P, van Herwerden LA, de Mol BA, Spijkerboer AM, Mali WP, et al. Prosthetic heart valve assessment with multidetector-row CT: imaging characteristics of 91 valves in 83 patients. *Eur Radiol* 2011;21:1390-1396

240. Goldstein SA, Taylor AJ, Wang Z, Weigold WG. Prosthetic mitral valve thrombosis: cardiac CT, 3-dimensional transesophageal echocardiogram, and pathology correlation. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2010;4:221-223

241. Teshima H, Hayashida N, Fukunaga S, Tayama E, Kawara T, Aoyagi S, et al. Usefulness of a multidetector-row computed tomography scanner for detecting pannus formation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;77:523-526

242. Kim EY, Choe YH, Sung K, Park SW, Kim JH, Ko YH. Multidetector CT and MR imaging of cardiac tumors. *Korean J Radiol* 2009;10:164-175

243. Anavekar NS, Bonnichsen CR, Foley TA, Morris MF, Martinez MW, Williamson EE, et al. Computed tomography of cardiac pseudotumors and neoplasms. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2010;48:799-816

244. Hur J, Kim YJ, Lee HJ, Nam JE, Ha JW, Heo JH, et al. Dual-enhanced cardiac CT for detection of left atrial appendage thrombus in patients with stroke: a prospective comparison study with transesophageal echocardiography. *Stroke* 2011;42:2471-2477

245. Hur J, Kim YJ, Lee HJ, Ha JW, Heo JH, Choi EY, et al. Left atrial appendage thrombi in stroke patients: detection with two-phase cardiac CT angiography versus transesophageal echocardiography. *Radiology* 2009;251:683-690

246. Verhaert D, Gabriel RS, Johnston D, Lytle BW, Desai MY, Klein AL. The role of multimodality imaging in the management of pericardial disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:333-343

247. Suh SY, Rha SW, Kim JW, Park CG, Seo HS, Oh DJ, et al. The usefulness of three-dimensional multidetector computed tomography to delineate pericardial calcification in constrictive pericarditis. *Int J Cardiol* 2006;113:414-416

248. Song H, Choi YW, Jang IS, Jeon SC, Park CK, Lee IS, et al. Pericardium: anatomy and spectrum of disease on computed tomography. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol* 2002;31:198-209

249. Oren RM, Grover-McKay M, Stanford W, Weiss RM. Accurate preoperative diagnosis of pericardial constriction using cine

computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993;22:832-838

250. Chyou JY, Biviano A, Magno P, Garan H, Einstein AJ. Applications of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in percutaneous ablation therapy for atrial fibrillation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2009;26:47-57

251. Hemminger EJ, Girsky MJ, Budoff MJ. Applications of computed tomography in clinical cardiac electrophysiology. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2007;1:131-142

252. Saremi F, Tafti M. The role of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in ablation procedures for treatment of atrial fibrillation. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 2009;30:125-156

253. Abbara S, Cury RC, Nieman K, Reddy V, Moselewski F, Schmidt S, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of cardiac veins with 16-MDCT angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;185:1001-1006

254. Jongbloed MR, Lamb HJ, Bax JJ, Schuijf JD, de Roos A, van der Wall EE, et al. Noninvasive visualization of the cardiac venous system using multislice computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:749-753

255. Tada H, Kurosaki K, Naito S, Koyama K, Itoi K, Ito S, et al. Three-dimensional visualization of the coronary venous system using multidetector row computed tomography. *Circ J* 2005;69:165-170

256. Gilkeson RC, Markowitz AH, Ciancibello L. Multisection CT evaluation of the reoperative cardiac surgery patient. *Radiographics* 2003;23 Spec No:S3-S17

257. Aviram G, Sharony R, Kramer A, Nesher N, Loberman D, Ben-Gal Y, et al. Modification of surgical planning based on cardiac multidetector computed tomography in reoperative heart surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005;79:589-595

258. Kamdar AR, Meadows TA, Roselli EE, Gorodeski EZ, Curtin RJ, Sabik JF, et al. Multidetector computed tomographic angiography in planning of reoperative cardiothoracic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:1239-1245

259. Khan NU, Yonan N. Does preoperative computed tomography reduce the risks associated with re-do cardiac surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2009;9:119-123

260. Quaife RA, Chen MY, Kim M, Klein AJ, Jehle A, Kay J, et al. Pre-procedural planning for percutaneous atrial septal defect closure: transesophageal echocardiography compared with cardiac computed tomographic angiography. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2010;4:330-338

261. Goo HW, Park IS, Ko JK, Kim YH, Seo DM, Park JJ. Computed tomography for the diagnosis of congenital heart disease in pediatric and adult patients. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2005;21:347-365; discussion 367

262. Goo HW. Cardiac MDCT in children: CT technology overview and interpretation. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2011;49:997-1010

263. Kawano T, Ishii M, Takagi J, Maeno Y, Eto G, Sugahara Y, et al. Three-dimensional helical computed tomographic angiography in neonates and infants with complex congenital heart disease. *Am Heart J* 2000;139:654-660

264. Khatri S, Varma SK, Khatri P, Kumar RS. 64-slice multidetector-row computed tomographic angiography for evaluating congenital heart disease. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2008;29:755-762

265. Juan CC, Hwang B, Lee PC, Meng CC. Diagnostic application of multidetector-row computed tomographic coronary angiography to assess coronary abnormalities in pediatric patients: comparison with invasive coronary angiography. *Pediatr Neonatol* 2011;52:208-213

266. Goo HW, Yang DH. Coronary artery visibility in free-breathing young children with congenital heart disease on cardiac 64-slice CT: dual-source ECG-triggered sequential scan vs. single-source non-ECG-synchronized spiral scan. *Pediatr Radiol* 2010;40:1670-1680

267. Kim YM, Yoo SJ, Kim TH, Park IS, Kim WH, Lee JY, et al. Three-dimensional computed tomography in children with compression of the central airways complicating congenital heart disease. *Cardiol Young* 2002;12:44-50

268. Burns JC, Kushner HI, Bastian JF, Shike H, Shimizu C, Matsubara T, et al. Kawasaki disease: a brief history. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:E27

269. Kawasaki T. [Acute febrile mucocutaneous syndrome with lymphoid involvement with specific desquamation of the fingers and toes in children]. *Arerugi* 1967;16:178-222

270. Park YW, Han JW, Park IS, Kim CH, Cha SH, Ma JS, et al. Kawasaki disease in Korea, 2003-2005. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2007;26:821-823

271. Kanamaru H, Sato Y, Takayama T, Ayusawa M, Karasawa K, Sumitomo N, et al. Assessment of coronary artery abnormalities by multislice spiral computed tomography in adolescents and young adults with Kawasaki disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;95:522-525

272. Sato Y, Kato M, Inoue F, Fukui T, Imazeki T, Mitsui M, et al. Detection of coronary artery aneurysms, stenoses and occlusions by multislice spiral computed tomography in adolescents with kawasaki disease. *Circ J* 2003;67:427-430

273. Sato Y, Tani S, Kunimasa T, Komatsu S, Matsumoto N, Imazeki T, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomography diagnosis of coronary artery aneurysms and collateral vessel after Kawasaki disease in an adult. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med* 2006;7:243-245

274. Sohn S, Kim HS, Lee SW. Multidetector row computed tomography for follow-up of patients with coronary artery aneurysms due to Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2004;25:35-39

275. Wu MT, Hsieh KS, Lin CC, Yang CF, Pan HB. Images in cardiovascular medicine. Evaluation of coronary artery aneurysms in Kawasaki disease by multislice computed tomographic coronary angiography. *Circulation* 2004;110:e339

276. Carbone I, Cannata D, Algeri E, Galea N, Napoli A, De Zorzi A, et al. Adolescent Kawasaki disease: usefulness of 64-slice CT coronary angiography for follow-up investigation. *Pediatr Radiol* 2011;41:1165-1173

277. Peng Y, Zeng J, Du Z, Sun G, Guo H. Usefulness of 64-slice MDCT for follow-up of young children with coronary artery aneurysm due to Kawasaki disease: initial experience. *Eur J*

Radiol 2009;69:500-509
278. Arnold R, Ley S, Ley-Zaporozhan J, Eichhorn J, Schenk JP, Ulmer H, et al. Visualization of coronary arteries in patients after childhood Kawasaki syndrome: value of multidetector CT and MR imaging in comparison to conventional coronary catheterization. *Pediatr Radiol* 2007;37:998-1006

APPENDIX

Summary Table 1. Detection of CAD in Symptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

			Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Non-Acute Chest Pain Patients Suspected of Ischemic Chest Pain					
1	Interpretable ECG and capable of exercise	Low	U (5)	A	(11, 13)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	(11, 13)
		High	I (3)	B	(16)
2	Uninterpretable ECG or unable to exercise	Low	A (7)	A	(11, 13)
		Intermediate	A (8)	A	(11, 13)
		High	U (5)	B	(16)
Acute Chest Pain Patients Suspected of ACS					
3	Definite myocardial infarction (MI)		I (1)	C	NA
4	Persistent ECG ST-segment elevation following exclusion of MI		U (6)	B	(18)
5	Acute chest pain of uncertain causes (pulmonary embolism, aortic dissection, and ACS ["triple rule out"])		A (7)	B	(25, 31)
6	Normal ECG and cardiac biomarkers	Low	A (7)	A	(18, 22, 23)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	(18, 22, 23)
		High	A (7)	A	(18, 22)
7	Uninterpretable ECG	Low	A (7)	A	(18, 21, 23)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	(18, 21, 23)
		High	U (5)	B	(18)
8	Nondiagnostic ECG or equivocal cardiac biomarkers	Low	A (7)	A	(18, 23)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	(18, 23)
		High	U (5)	B	(18)

▢ : Pretest probability of CAD

Summary Table 2. Risk Assessment and Detection of CAD in Asymptomatic Patients with No Previous History of CAD

			Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Coronary Calcium Scoring					
9	Family history of premature CHD	Low	A (7)	A	(37, 38)
10	Asymptomatic No known CAD	Low	I (3)	A	(45-48, 50, 52)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	
		High	U (6)	A	
Coronary CT Angiography					
11	Asymptomatic No known CAD	Low	I (2)	A	(56, 57, 61, 62)
		Intermediate	U (5)	A	
		High	A (7)	C	
12	Routine evaluation of coronary arteries following heart transplantation		A (7)	A	(63)

▢ : Global CHD risk estimates

Summary Table 3. Detection of CAD in Various Clinical Scenarios

			Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Newly Developed or Newly Diagnosed HF with No History of CAD					
13	Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction	Low	A (7)	A	(73)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	(73)
		High	U (5)	A	(73)
14	Normal left ventricular ejection fraction	Low	U (5)	C	NA
		Intermediate	U (5)	C	NA
		High	U (5)	C	NA
Coronary Artery Assessment Prior to Non-Coronary Cardiac Surgery					
15	Coronary artery evaluation before non-coronary cardiac surgery	Low	A (7)	A	(77, 78, 80)
		Intermediate	A (7)	A	
		High	A (7)	A	
Arrhythmias with Etiology Still Unclear after Initial Evaluation					
16	New-onset atrial fibrillation		I (2)	C	(88)
17	Nonsustained ventricular tachycardia		U (6)	C	(87)
18	Syncope		U (4)	C	NA
Elevated Troponin Levels of Uncertain Clinical Significance					
19	Elevated troponin levels without other evidence of ACS or symptoms suggestive of CAD		U (6)	C	NA
Before PCI					
20	Evaluation of complex lesions before PCI (chronic total occlusions, bifurcation lesions, etc.)		A (8)	B	(94, 98, 104)

▢ : Pretest probability of CAD

Summary Table 4. Use of CT According to Different Test Results

				Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Prior Exercise ECG					
21	Prior normal exercise ECG, continued symptoms			A (7)	A	(13, 14, 107, 110, 111, 113)
22	Prior exercise ECG results	Duke Treadmill Score	Low	I (3)	B	(14, 107, 112, 114)
			Intermediate	A (7)	B	
			High	I (3)	B	
After Stress Imaging Procedures					
23	Discordant exercise ECG and stress imaging results			A (8)	B	(107, 110, 126)
24	Prior stress imaging results		Equivocal	A (8)	B	(112, 118, 125)
			Mild	A (7)	B	
			Moderate or Severe	I (3)	B	
Prior Coronary Calcium Scoring					
25	Zero CAC > 5 y ago			U (4)	A	(129)
26	Positive CAC > 2 y ago			I (3)	A	(44, 139)
27	Diagnostic impact of CAC on the decision to perform coronary CT angiography in symptomatic patients		CAC < 100	A (8)	A	(14, 16, 44, 139, 140)
			CAC 100–400	A (8)	A	
			CAC 401–1000	U (6)	A	
			CAC > 1000	U (4)	A	
Asymptomatic or Stable Symptoms: Periodic Repeat Testing in the Setting of Prior Stress Imaging or Prior Coronary Angiography					
28	No known CAD		Last study < 2 y ago	I (2)	C	NA
			Last study ≥ 2 y ago	I (3)	C	
29	Known CAD		Last study < 2 y ago	I (2)	C	NA
			Last study ≥ 2 y ago	I (3)	C	
Evaluation of New or Worsening Symptoms in the Setting of Past Stress Imaging Study					
30	Evaluation of new or worsening symptoms		Previous stress imaging: normal	A (8)	C	NA
			Previous stress imaging: abnormal	U (6)	C	

Summary Table 5. Risk Assessment in Patients without Acute Heart Disease before Non-Cardiac Surgery

		Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
	Low-Risk Surgery			
31	Preoperative evaluation for non-cardiac surgery risk assessment, irrespective of functional capacity	I (2)	C	(141)
	Intermediate-Risk Surgery			
32	No clinical risk predictors	I (3)	C	(141, 149)
33	Functional capacity ≥ 4 METs	I (3)	C	
34	Functional capacity < 4 METs with 1 or more clinical risk predictors	U (6)	C	
35	Asymptomatic < 1 y following a normal coronary angiogram, stress test, or a coronary revascularization procedure	I (2)	C	NA
	Vascular Surgery			
36	No clinical risk predictors	I (2)	C	(141, 150)
37	Functional capacity ≥ 4 METs	I (2)	C	
38	Functional capacity < 4 METs with 1 or more clinical risk predictors	U (6)	C	
39	Asymptomatic < 1 y following a normal coronary angiogram, stress test, or a coronary revascularization procedure	I (2)	C	NA

Summary Table 6. Risk Assessment after Coronary Revascularization (PCI or CABG)

			Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
	Patients Suspected of Ischemic Chest Pain				
40	Evaluation of graft patency after CABG		A (9)	A	(157, 160)
41	Prior coronary stent with stent diameter ≥ 3 mm		A (7)	A	(161, 162)
42	Prior coronary stent with stent diameter < 3 mm or not known		U (5)	A	
	Asymptomatic CABG Patients				
43	Prior CABG	Time since CABG	≥ 5 y ago	A (7)	A	(157, 160)
			< 5 y ago	U (6)	A	
	Asymptomatic Patients with Coronary Artery Stents				
44	Prior left main coronary stent with stent diameter ≥ 3 mm		A (7)	A	(161, 162, 177, 178)
45	Stent diameter ≥ 3 mm	Time since PCI	≥ 2 y ago	I (3)	C	
			< 2 y ago	I (3)	C	
46	Stent diameter < 3 mm or not known		I (3)	C	

Summary Table 7. Evaluation of Heart Structure and Function

		Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Congenital Heart Disease in Adults			
47	Assessment of anomalies of coronary arterial and other thoracic vasculatures	A (9)	A	(179, 183, 187)
48	Assessment of complex adult congenital heart disease	A (9)	A	(193, 198, 199)
Evaluation of Ventricular Structure and Systolic Function			
49	Initial evaluation of left ventricular (LV) function, following acute MI or in HF patients	U (4)	C	NA
50	Evaluation of LV function, following acute MI or in HF patients, inadequate images from other noninvasive methods	A (7)	A	(203, 205)
51	Quantitative evaluation of right ventricular (RV) function	A (7)	A	(211-213)
52	Assessment of RV morphology, suspected arrhythmogenic RV dysplasia	A (7)	A	(214, 215)
53	Assessment of myocardial viability, prior to myocardial revascularization for ischemic LV systolic dysfunction, other imaging modalities are inadequate or contraindicated	U (5)	B	(217-219)
54	To determine the location and extent of myocardial infarction including 'no-reflow' regions, post-acute MI	U (6)	C	(221)
55	Serving as an 'one-stop shop' for ischemic heart disease in diagnosis, comprehensive evaluation and treatment strategy planning in difficult cases	A (8)	C	(222)
Evaluation of Intra- and Extracardiac Structures			
56	Characterization of native cardiac valves, suspected clinically significant valvular dysfunction, inadequate images from other noninvasive methods	A (8)	A	(225, 228)
57	Characterization of prosthetic cardiac valves, suspected clinically significant valvular dysfunction, inadequate images from other noninvasive methods	A (8)	A	(228, 237-239)
58	Initial evaluation of cardiac mass (suspected tumor or thrombus)	U (4)	C	NA
59	Evaluation of cardiac mass (suspected tumor or thrombus), inadequate images from other noninvasive methods	A (8)	A	(243, 244)
60	Evaluation of pericardial anatomy	A (8)	A	(246, 248)
61	Evaluation of pulmonary vein anatomy, prior to radiofrequency ablation for atrial fibrillation	A (8)	A	(252)
62	Noninvasive coronary vein mapping, prior to placement of biventricular pacemaker	A (8)	A	(253-255)
63	Localization of coronary bypass grafts and other retrosternal anatomy, prior to reoperative chest or cardiac surgery	A (8)	A	(258, 259)
64	Anatomic assessment before percutaneous device closure of ASD or VSD or percutaneous aortic valve replacement	A (8)	B	(231-233, 260)
Morphologic Study of Congenital Heart Disease			
65	Assessment of complex congenital heart disease including anomalies of coronary circulation, great vessels, and cardiac chambers and valves	A (8)	B	(261, 263, 266)
66	Assessment of post-operative congenital heart disease, such as residual pulmonary stenosis, ventricular septal defect and patency check for Blalock-Taussig shunt	A (8)	C	(199)

Summary Table 7. Evaluation of Heart Structure and Function (Continued)

		Appropriateness Criteria (Median Score)	Level of Evidence	Key References
Coronary CT Angiography in Kawasaki Disease Patients				
67	Asymptomatic, no previous definite test (invasive angiography, MRCA or coronary CT angiography) available	U (5)	C	NA
68	Asymptomatic, previous tests (invasive angiography, CMR or coronary CT angiography) documented coronary aneurysm/ stenosis, for follow up	A (7)	C	(276, 277)
69	Symptomatic, no previous definite test available	A (7)	C	NA
70	Symptomatic, previous tests (angiography, CMR or coronary CT angiography) documented coronary aneurysm/stenosis, for follow up	A (8)	C	(276, 277)