



OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shicheng Guo, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States

Reviewed by:

Qin An, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, United States Xiaodong Liu, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China Haizhen Lu, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

*Correspondence:

Yan Wang yannanfly@126.com Yuanyi Zheng zhengyuanyi@sjtu.edu.cn Xiangtian Yu graceyu1985@163.com

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 15 June 2021 Accepted: 16 September 2021 Published: 07 October 2021

Citation:

Li Y, Gao W, Cai X, Jin A, Shen J, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Hu B, Zeng T, Yu X, Zheng Y and Wang Y (2021) Exploring Somatic Alteration Associating With Aggressive Behaviors of Papillary Thyroid Carcinomas by Targeted Sequencing. Front. Oncol. 11:722814. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.722814

Exploring Somatic Alteration Associating With Aggressive Behaviors of Papillary Thyroid Carcinomas by Targeted Sequencing

Yi Li^{1†}, Wei Gao^{1†}, Xiaojun Cai^{2†}, Anqi Jin^{3,4}, Jian Shen¹, Yichun Zhang¹, Yutong Chen¹, Bing Hu^{1,2}, Tao Zeng^{5,6}, Xiangtian Yu^{7*}, Yuanyi Zheng^{1,2*} and Yan Wang^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Ultrasound in Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Shanghai Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, ² Shanghai Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of Ultrasound Medicine, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China, ⁴ Department of Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China, ⁵ CAS Key Laboratory of Computational Biology, Bio-Med Big Data Center, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ⁶ Key Laboratory of Systems Biology, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ⁷ Clinical Research Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China

Wisely differentiating high-risk papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) patients from low-risk PTC patients preoperatively is necessary when comes to making a personalized treatment plan. It is not easy to stratify the risk of patients according to sonography or lab results before surgery. This study aims to seek out potential mutation gene markers that may be helpful in stratifying the risk of PTC. A custom panel of 439 PTC relevant and classic tumor metabolic pathway relevant genes was designed. Targeted capture sequencing was performed on 35 pairs of samples from 35 PTC tumors and 35 para-tumor thyroid tissues obtained during surgery. Variant calling and detection of cancer gene mutations were identified by bioinformation analysis. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was performed to do functional enrichment analysis of high-frequency mutant genes. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 6 PTC patients to explore the expression of protein associated with interested genes. Event-free survival (EFS) was calculated to determine which genes might affect the prognosis of patients. We have identified 32 high-frequency mutant genes in PTC including BRAF. RBL2 was found to be significantly correlated to event-free survival, FOXO1, MUC6, PCDHB9, NOTCH1, FIZ1, and RTN1 were significantly associated with EFS, while BRAF mutant was not correlated to any of the prognosis indicators. Our findings in this study might open more choices when designing thyroid gene panels used in FNA samples to diagnose PTC and predict the potentially aggressive behavior of PTC.

Keywords: prognosis, papillary thyroid carcinomas, targeted sequencing, risk stratification, gene test

1

Thyroid carcinoma is the most common malignant tumor in the endocrine system which accounts for 96% malignant tumors of the head and neck. Most occurrences of thyroid carcinoma are papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) (1–4). Although overall prognosis of PTC is good and the mortality is stable at the rate of approximately 0.5 deaths per 100,000 (5, 6), clinical evidence has shown the performances of PTC patients are quite different (3, 7). On average, 50% of the patients are presented with lymph node metastases (LNM) at diagnosis, and in some cases, patients with micro papillary thyroid carcinoma could have macronodular lung metastasis (8, 9).

Considering the different nature of PTC and potential complication of thyroidectomy, doctors have to make a personalized treatment plan by wisely differentiating high-risk PTC patients from low-risk ones (10). Surgery is the most effective way to treat patients with PTC (11). Meanwhile, some other techniques are also applied gradually in PTC treatment, such as ultrasound-guided laser, radiofrequency, microwave ablation and percutaneous ethanol injection therapy (12–14). A strategy of active surveillance (AS) instead of immediate surgery is also proposed for confirmed low-risk PTC patients (15).

But how should high-risk PTC patients be wisely differentiated from low-risk PTC patients preoperatively? Over the years, multiple staging systems have been developed to predict the risk of mortality in patients with PTC. Each of the systems uses particular combinations of some clinical factors including age at diagnosis, size of the primary tumor, specific tumor histology, and extrathyroidal spread of the tumor (direct extension of the tumor outside the thyroid gland, loco-regional metastases, and/or distant metastases), which tend to stratify patients into one of several categories with different risks of death from thyroid cancer (11, 16). Pre-operating TSH lever, TMN stage when diagnosed, and histology subtypes are also factors associated with PTC progression (5, 7). However, preoperative evaluation still has difficulties with demonstrating all of the clinical information because some of factors can only be confirmed by post-operation pathological results. Fine needle aspiration (FNA) is an efficient method for evaluating thyroid nodules that has high sensitivity and specificity, and gene testing based on FNA samples could be a potential tool to stratify the risk of PTC. The key problem for this possibility is which genes and types of the alteration should be chosen to identify for screening the high-risk patients with PTC. Figure 1 demonstrates the workflow of FNA of the thyroid nodule and how gene testing participates in the management of the thyroid nodule.

Presently, next generation sequencing (NGS) technology provides the simultaneous analysis of hundreds of genes of interest, using targeted sequencing panels and NGS-based molecular tests performed on thyroid cancer to seek more valuable information to differentiate III/IV nodules from malignant ones (17–19). Currently, there is less evidence showing specific gene mutations reported for the recurrence or aggressive behaviors of PTC. Thus, in this study, a custom panel was designed and targeted sequencing would be performed to seek potential mutation gene markers helpful for stratifying the risk of PTC.

2 METHODS

2.1 Patients and Samples

2.1.1 General Information and Groups of Patients

The study included 41 PTC patients operated on in hospital between June 2016 and January 2017. 70 tissue samples including tumor tissue (n=35) and para-carcinoma tissue (n=35) samples were obtained during surgery and preserved in liquid nitrogen immediately and 6 paraffin-embedded samples obtained from other patients were sliced. All patients provided written informed consent for sample collection and analysis. The study protocol was approved by Shanghai 6th people's hospital institutional review board and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

(i) Candidate samples for targeted NGS

The cohort of 35 patients in the study group was categorized into two groups: recurrence group (n=8) and no-recurrence group (n=27). The standard of recurrence was as below: new lymph nodes appearing confirmed as metastasized by cytological exam; New mass appearing around thyroid bed confirmed as metastasized by cytological exam; New lymph nodes with obvious malignant characteristics such as liquefaction or calcification with increased TG level; Distant metastasis; Obvious increase in TG without positive imaging findings but undergoing significant reduction after iodine therapy. Meeting any of these rules would be considered as recurrence. If the patient did not meet any of the rules during the following up period, it would be considered as no-recurrence.

Besides being grouped as recurrence or not, the patients were also regrouped into aggressive PTC group (n=18) and mild PTC group (n=17). The aggressive PTC group contained patients with extra-thyroidal invasion or severe lymph nodes involvement (\geq 5) at surgery or with recurrence while the mild group did not meet any of the aggressive behaviors mentioned above.

(ii) Candidates for Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Samples of tumor tissue and normal thyroid tissue from 6 PTC patients in the assessment group which were prepared for validating the NGS findings were randomly selected among 318 patients who underwent thyroid surgery in our hospital. The information of the 6 patients was listed in **Table S1**. One patient died 3 years after their first surgery because of hemoptysis due to PTC recurrence. One patient was confirmed to have multiple lymph nodes metastasis months after surgery. One patient was confirmed to have bone metastasis during surgery. These three patients belonged to the high-risk assessment group, and the other three patients belonged to low-risk assessment group, which were considered relatively mild because of unilateral nodules, no metastatic lymph nodes, and no recurrence in 5 years of follow-up.

2.1.2 Following Up

All of the patients were followed up and the last dates of followup were recorded on August 21st 2020. The events of death, recurrence, or metastasis were recorded by follow-up secretary.



FIGURE 1 | Workflow of FNA of thyroid nodule and how gene test participates in management of thyroid nodule (**A**: Sonography of a thyroid nodule ready for FNA; **B**: Real scene of performance of FNA; **C**: Preoperative FNA Smears; **D**: A figure of gene test; **E**: Traditional morphology diagnosis based on FNA Smears).

2.2 Targeted Capture Sequencing of PTC Genes

2.2.1 Design of SureSelect Custom Panel of PTC Relevant Genes

We performed targeted capture sequencing of prior-collected PTC genes in 70 tissue samples including 35 tumor samples and 35 para-carcinoma tissues, as described previously.

We designed a SureSelect custom panel of PTC relevant genes (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) (**Table S2**). The gene list

in the panel includes genes recurrently mutated in PTC; genes mutated in stage IV PTC analyzed and extracted from TCGA and COSMIC database; genes closely related to the relevant biological pathways including cell cycle, cell growth and proliferation, survival/cell death regulation, TP53 signaling, Notch signaling, DNA Damage response, telomere maintenance, RTK signaling family, PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling, RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK/JNK, regulation of ribosomal protein synthesis and cell growth angiogenesis folate transport invasion and metastasis; and genes mentioned in relative literature and commercial panel. There is 3.342 Mbp in each panel including 439 genes, 8541 regions and 109254 probes. Extracted genomic DNA was fragmented and bait-captured according to manufacturer protocols. Captured DNA libraries were then sequenced using a HiSeq 2000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Raw image files were processed by Illumina base-calling Software 1.7 for base-calling with default parameters and the sequences of each individual were generated as 90/100bp pair-end reads.

2.2.2 Variant Calling and Detection of Genes With High-Frequency Somatic Mutation

Raw FASTQ data were generated from the Illumina pipeline, the adapter sequence in the raw data and low-quality reads were removed in the QC step, and the remaining "clean reads" were used for downstream bioinformatics analysis. Standard bioinformatics analysis was firstly performed on the clean data by Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) to do the sequence alignment. The human genome build37 (hg19) was used as the reference genome for this project which is available at http:// hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/bigZips/. Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net/) was used to fix mate information of the alignment, add read group information and remove duplicate reads caused by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). After these processes, the obtained BAM files were used to do the variant calling. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) was obtained by GATK (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsa/wiki), and annotated on the basis of known protein-coding and non-proteincoding genes taken from the NCBI RNA reference sequences collection (RefSeq). To remove false-positive mutations, these SNPs were validation and compared in databases like dbSNP (The Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database) and 1000 Genomes (The 1000 Genomes Project), and their functionality and conservation annotation were carried out according to SIFT, PolyPhen-2, PhyloP, etc. Meantime, the InDels were also called and annotated as the similar pipeline. Somatic Single Nucleotide Variants (SNVs) and Small Insertion/Deletion (InDels) were detected by Varscan (20) and GATK, which identified the tumor specific somatic substitutions by comparing PTC tumor and normal para-carcinoma in a pair. Then they were given functional annotation and classification by ANNOVAR in the above similar filtering pipeline. QualByDepth (QD), FisherStrand (FS), RMSMappingQuality (MQ), MappingQualityRankSum (MQRankSum) and ReadPosRankSum were applied to filter out variants with low quality. Only variants which would cause an obvious protein-coding change were kept, where specifically, the variants with an ANNOVAR annotation of missense_variant, splice_acceptor_variant, splice_donor_variant, splice_ region_variant, start_lost,stop_gained, stop_lost, initiator_ codon_variant, were counted. Mutation plots were generated using R package complexHeatmap (21).

2.2.3 Functional Enrichment Analysis of Genes With High-Frequency Somatic Mutation by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis

We captured the high-frequency mutant genes of PTC through counting the mutation frequency of the genes with proteincoding change SNVs in all patients. These genes are generally thought to be related to the pathogenicity of thyroid cancer. To further uncover potential disease-associated biological functions regulated by these candidate driver genes, we analyzed these genes by IPA database with diverse functional annotation and enrichment analysis (22).

2.2.4 Mutant Genes With High-Frequency Somatic

Mutation Associated to Aggressive Behaviors of PTC Gene mutation frequency was presented as frequencies or percentages, Fisher's exact test was carried out between "mild PTC" and "aggressive PTC" to search the mutant genes associated with high-risk progression of PTC patients, where statistical significance was defined as one-sided values of p<0.05.

To guarantee clinically detectable/observable protein expression of these mutant genes in thyroid tissue, these candidates were all screened in THE HUMAN PROTEIN ATLAS database (23), which can help provide efficient targets for Immunohistochemistry (IHC) validation of potential protein expression/function change involved in PTC (24).

2.2.5 Immunohistochemistry

Samples of 6 PTC patients were taken to detect the potential protein expression/function alterations of final gene candidates we found, where the 6 patients of IHC assessment group were independent from 35 patients of study group mentioned before (**Table S1**). The catalogs of the antibodies for the 5 proteins are (BS71182 from Bioworld, 101107-T10 from Yiqiao Shenzhou, Ab189647 from Abcam and CY5319 from Abcam).

The samples were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde, decalcified in 50 mM EDTA, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5-mm thickness. Samples were deparaffinized and rehydrated. Twelve high-power fields were randomly selected and photographed in each sample, and the percentage of positive area was used to evaluate coding proteins of target genes. Immunochemistry was used to evaluate protein expressions of candidate mutant genes. The average optical density also called IOD (integrated optical density/area) of positive reactions was analyzed with Image Pro Plus 6.0 software.

2.3 Exploration of the Potential Prognostic Mutant Gene

For each PTC patient, the event-free survival (EFS) was defined as the time between surgical treatment and recurrence. Survival curves were plotted with the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between mutant and non-mutant groups were compared by the log-rank test. Statistical significance was defined as two-sided values of P < 0.05.

2.4 Statistics

Clinical data was presented either as frequencies and percentages, or as means and standard deviations, or medians and interquartile range. Categorical variables were compared using either Pearson chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were compared using either the independent t test or one-way analysis of variance. Statistical significance was defined as two-sided values of P < 0.05. Of note, as limited number of samples and targeted sequencing were used in this study, the (high) mutation frequency

instead of multitest correction was applied to further control the potential false-positive.

Statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS version 23.0 (SPSS Inc). Figure 2 demonstrates the method and flow of the study.

2.5 Code and Data

The raw data generated for this study can be found in The National Omics Data Encyclopedia (NODE), project ID OEP002494. The related analysis and visualization scripts and supported data can be accessed at https://github.com/graceyu1985/TargetedPTC.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Patient Characteristics

Our study group cohort underwent NGS consisting of 35 patients diagnosed with classic PTC. The median age was 43.9 years, ranging from 15 to 80 years, and these patients were predominantly female (60%, 21/35). The median PTC tumor size was 1.8 cm, ranging from 0.3 to 5.0 cm. 62.9%(22/35) of the patients underwent total thyroidectomy, 54.3%(19/35) of the patients underwent unilateral or bilateral central part lymph node dissection while 45.7% (16/35) of the patients got central & lateral cervical lymph node dissection. After surgery, 28.6% (10/

35) of the patients got iodine RAI therapy of which 5 patients did this for prophylactic treatment. Patients were staged using the eighth edition of the American Joint Cancer Committee/Union for International Cancer Control (AJCC/UICC) staging system. Also, patients' recurrence risk was stratified by ATA guidelines (11). One patient died of hemoptysis in the second year of following up. Another 7 patients were confirmed to have recurrence during the 4-year following up. 27 patients did not show any ultrasonographic evidence or lab results indicating recurrence. The patients were divided into two groups according to whether they recurred, no less than 5 metastatic lymph nodes confirmed after the first surgery, the preoperative ATA risk classification and RAI therapy was related to recurrence(p=0.031, 0.012 and 0.048). Tumor size, age, gender, extra thyroidal invasion, BRAFv600E mutation, multifocality, and TMN Stage did not show significant relation to recurrence (Table 1).

3.2 Mutation Profiling of Patients With PTC

We analyzed 439 genes including 8541 regions and 109254 probes using the panel on matched tissue samples from 35 PTC patients. The statistical results are shown in **Figure 3a** and **Table S3**. The average sequencing depth of the target region is about 367.77 X. on average, 99.82% of the target region is covered by at least one read, and 99.12% of the target region is covered by at least 10 reads. Mutations were identified in 35 (100%) PTCs, of which *BRAF* (62.86%) and *NCOR2* (51.43%)



FIGURE 2 | Method and flow of the study (The cohort of 35 patients in study group was categorized into 2 groups: PTC group (n=18) and mild PTC group (n=17). Aggressive PTC contains 8 patients with recurrence, 5 patients with extra-thyroidal invasion, 14 patients with severe lymph nodes involvement; Mild PTC group contains 13 patients without lymph nodes involvement and 4 patients with 0-5 lymph nodes involvement. 70 samples from 35 paired of patients were taken into NGS and bio-information analysis).

Number of pelients 8 (22.86) 27 (77.14) 0.074 Age 35.13 (15-82) 46.44 (16-80) 46.44 (16-80) male 2 (5.71) 12 (34.29) 0.431 fernale 6 (17.14) 15 (42.28) 0.431 fernale 6 (17.14) 15 (42.28) 0.431 funce areanoma 2.08 (0.6-5.0) 1.72 (0.3-5.0) 0.481 Macrocardonoma 0 6 (17.14) 17 (48.57) 0.429 Yes 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 0.429 no 5 (14.29) 2 (5.71) 0.067 no 5 (14.29) 2 (5.71) 0.067 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 0.29 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 0.29 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 0.29 no 2 (5.71) 13 (3.714) 0 0.29 no 2 (5.71) 13 (3.714) 0 0.60 no 2 (5.71) 16 (45.71) 0.602		Recurrence n (%)	no report of recurrence n (%)	p Value
Gender male 2 (5.7) 12 (2 (2.2)) 0.431 female 6 (17.14) 15 (42.86) 0.481 Microaardinoma 2 (3.7) 10 (28.57) 0.481 Microaardinoma 2 (5.7) 10 (28.57) 0.429 no 6 (17.14) 17 (48.57) 0.429 no 5 (14.29) 25 (7.13) 0.07 No 5 (14.29) 25 (7.14) 0.07 ves 3 (8.57) 2 (5.71) 0.09 no 5 (14.29) 25 (71.43) 0.09 ves 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.029 no 12 (2.67) 13 (37.14) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.012 Nicolaement (>5) 9 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.002 no 3 (8.57) 10 (28.57) 0.012 0.012 NTM Stage 1 10 (28.57) 0.012 0.012 NTM Stage 1 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.028 NN Stage <td>Number of patients Age</td> <td>8 (22.86) 35.13 (15-68)</td> <td>27 (77.14) 46.44 (16-80)</td> <td>0.074</td>	Number of patients Age	8 (22.86) 35.13 (15-68)	27 (77.14) 46.44 (16-80)	0.074
male 2 (5.7) 1 (2 (4.29) 0.431 Tumor size/mm) 2.08 (0.6-5.0) 1.72 (0.3-5.0) 0.481 Microcarcinoma 0 0.429 0.481 Microcarcinoma 0 0.429 0.481 Ves 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 0.429 ro 6 (17.14) 17 (46.57) 0.067 ro 5 (14.29) 2 (5.71) 0.067 ro 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.07 ves 1 (2 & 86) 0 (0) 0.29 ro 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.067 ro 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.067 ro 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.017 multificality 1 14 (40.00) 0.029 ro 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.017 ro 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 16 (45.71) 0.062 ro 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.012 17 (48.57) 17 (48.57) Ves 5 (14.29) 16 (45.	Gender			
Lunch stagemm 2.08 (0.6-0.0) (1.0.38.0) (0.480) Mercoarchoma (1.0.28.57) 0.429 Yes 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 0.429 Do 5 (17.14) 17 (48.57) 0.067 The hyroidal invasion 2 (5.71) 0.067 Yes 3 (8.57) 2 (5.71) 0.067 The analysis 2 (5.71) 0.067 The analysis 2 (5.71) 0.067 Yes 0 (0.0) 0.229 Po 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.07 multificality 7 13 (37.14) 0.01 multificality 9 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.01 no 2 (5.71) 18 (61.43) 10 10 BFAF WODE mutation 2 (5.71) 10 (0) 0.012 10 Ne analysis 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.00 0.012 INFERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 10 INFERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 10	male female	2 (5.71) 6 (17.14)	12 (34.29) 15 (42.86)	0.431
Yes 2 (6.71) 10 (28.57) 0.429 no 6 (17.14) 17 (48.57) 2 text thyroidal invasion 2 (5.71) 0.067 no 5 (14.29) 25 (71.43) 0.067 Vascular infitration 7 2 (7.14) 0.067 yes 1 (2.86) 0 (0) 0.229 0 0 0 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.029 0 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00	Nicrocarcinoma	2.08 (0.6-5.0)	1.72 (0.3-5.0)	0.481
no 6 (17.14) 17 (48.57) Extra thyroidal invasion 2 (5.71) 0.067 yes 3 (8.57) 2 (5.71, 43) 25 (71.43) Vasular infiltration 25 (71.43) 25 (71.43) 25 (71.43) yes 1 (2.86) 0 (0) 0.229 0.00 0.229 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.057 0.00 0.052 multificatify 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.029 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.00 0.00 0.012 <td>Yes</td> <td>2 (5.71)</td> <td>10 (28.57)</td> <td>0.429</td>	Yes	2 (5.71)	10 (28.57)	0.429
Extra thyroidal invasion yes 3 (8.57) 2 (5.71) 0.067 yes 5 (14.29) 25 (71.43) 25 Yascular infiltration 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 27 multifocatity 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 25 multifocatify 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.05 no 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.05 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0.05 pes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.00 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0.052 no 3 (8.57) 11 (13.43) 0.029 no 3 (8.57) 11 (13.43) 0.02 no 3 (8.57) 11 (13.43) 0.02 no 3 (8.57) 0 (0) 0.012 no 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 no 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.02 no 3 (8.57) 2 (62.68) 0.288 no 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.02	no	6 (17.14)	17 (48.57)	
yes 3 (8.57) 2 (5.71) 0.067 no 5 (14.29) 25 (71.43) 25 yes 1 (2.66) 0 (0) 0.229 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0.00 nutticoality 9 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 no 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.452 no 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.00 linovbernent (>5) 9 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0.022 0 PAFv600E mutation 9 7 7 0.031 RAFv600E mutation 10 (0.131.43) 0.02 0.012 NTERNEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERNEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 LOW 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERNEDIATE 4 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.685 ILUM 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.012 Upmphadenextomy 2 (5.71)	Extra thyroidal invasion			
no 5 (14.29) 25 (71.43) Vascular infiliration 7 0 0.00 0.229 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 0.229 multifocality 7 0 0.00 0.00 0.229 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 0.462 no 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.462 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0.00 BRAF WOODE mutation 7 0 0.00 0.00 Yes 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.602 0.602 0.012	yes	3 (8.57)	2 (5.71)	0.067
Vascular infiliration 96 1 (2.86) 0 (0) 0.29 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 multifocality 14 (40.00) 0.452 yes 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 no 3 (37.14) 0.01 0.01 LN involvement (-5) 9 0.01 0.01 yes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 10 (45.71) 0.002 no 2 (5.71) 11 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (42.9) 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (45.7) 0 (0) 0.012 No 3 (45.71) 0 (0) 0.012 No 3 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0 LOW 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.028 II 6 (17.14) 2 (62.86) 0.289 III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 Uniteral central 0 (0)	no	5 (14.29)	25 (71.43)	
yes 1 (2.6) 0 (0) 0.229 no 7 (20.00) 27 (77.14) 0 multifocality 27 (77.14) 0 0.452 yes 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 no 3 (8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.452 LN involvement (>5) 9 (25.71) 0.031 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0.602 PRAFv600E mutation 2 (5.71) 0.602 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 10 (28.57) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.012 LOW 2 (5.71) 17 (48.57) 0.602 TMN Stage 1 2 (62.86) 0.268 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 6 (17.14) 0 (0) Operation type 2 11 (31.43) 0.12 Unditored contral 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.12	Vascular infiltration			
no 7 (20.0) 27 (77.1) multicoality 7 7 yes 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 no 3(8.57) 13 (37.14) 0.052 LN involvement (>5) 9 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 BRAFv600E mutation 9 (5.14.3) 0.032 0.031 BRAFv600E mutation 7 9 6 (17.14) 0.001 0.012 No 3 (8.57) 10 (28.57) 0.01 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0.01 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.028 III 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 (0) 0.028 IIII 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 (0) 0.028 IIIIIII 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0 (0) 0.028 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	yes	1 (2.86)	O (O)	0.229
multificality yes 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 yes 5 (14.29) 13 (37.14) 13 (37.14) LNinvolvement (>5) yes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0 0 BRAFv600E mutation 2 (5.71) 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) 0 ATA Risk evaluation 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.012 INTERIMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.028 LOW 2 (5.71) 0.00 5 (14.29) 0.028 INTERIMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.028 LOW 2 (5.71) 0.00 5 (14.29) 0.028 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.00 0.00 Unidered central 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.028 Unidered central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.029 Unidered central 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.04 Unidered central	no	7 (20.00)	27 (77.14)	
yes 5 (14.29) 14 (40.00) 0.452 no 3(8.57) 13 (37.14) 0 LN involvement (>5) 9 (25.71) 0.031 yes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 0 BRAFv600E mutation 785 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) 0 ATA Risk evaluation 11 (31.43) 0 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.012 LOW 2 (5.71) 17 (48.57) 0.0268 0.058 I 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 0.055 LOW 2 (5.71) 17 (48.57) 0.00 0.05 Operation type 7 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.048 I 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.049 0.055 Justeral central 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.124 Unitateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.124	multifocality			
no 3(8.57) 13 (37.14) LN involvement (>5) yes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) BRAFv600E mutation Yes 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) ATA Risk evaluation HIGH 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012	Ves	5 (14.29)	14 (40.00)	0.452
LN involvement (>5) 9	no	3(8.57)	13 (37.14)	
yes 6 (17.14) 9 (25.71) 0.031 no 2 (5.71) 18 (51.43) 3 BRAFv600E mutation	LN involvement (>5)			
no 2 (5.7) 18 (51.43) BRAFv600E mutation	yes	6 (17.14)	9 (25.71)	0.031
BRAFv600E mutation Yes 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) 0.602 ATA Risk evaluation 11 (31.43) 0.012 HIGH 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 LOW 2 (5.71) 17 (48.57) 0.012 TMN Stage 1 0 (0) 5 (14.29) II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.00 Operation type 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.00 Operation type 7 11 (31.43) 0.695 Unlateral central 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.142 Lymphadenectomy 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Unlateral central 0 (0) 4 (14.3) 0.142 Default tueral central 0 (0) 4 (14.43) 0.142 Ves 0 (0) 4 (17.4) 0.142.57) No 3 (8.57)	no	2 (5.71)	18 (51.43)	
Yes 5 (14.29) 16 (45.71) 0.602 no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) ATA ATA Risk evaluation 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.012 LOW 2 (5.71) 17 (48.57) 0.00 0.028 TMN Stage 1 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 I 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.00 0.00 Operation type 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.00 0.028 Total thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.09 0.09 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.048 0.048 Lymphadenectomy 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142	BRAFv600E mutation			
no 3 (8.57) 11 (31.43) ATA Risk evaluation HIGH 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.012 LOW 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 0.00 0.012 TMN Stage 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0.00 0.012 I 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 0.0268 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.00 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.014	Yes	5 (14.29)	16 (45.71)	0.602
ATA Risk evaluation HIGH 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0 (0) 17 (48.57) TOW 2 (5.71) 10 (28.57) 10 (28.57) TOW Stage 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 (0) 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 (0) O (0) Operation type Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0 (0) Operation type Total thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) Umphadenectomy Unitateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) Central+ Lateral cervical S (no	3 (8.57)	11 (31.43)	
HIGH 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0.012 INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ATA Risk evaluation			
INTERMEDIATE 4 (11.43) 10 (28.57) LOW 2 (5.71) 17(48.57) TMN Stage 0 I 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0 Operation type 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Central+ Lateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Vers 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	HIGH	2 (5.71)	O (O)	0.012
LOW 2 (5.71) 17(48.57) TMN Stage 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 (0) UII 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0 Operation type 5 (14.29) 0 (0) 0 Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Ves 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	INTERMEDIATE	4 (11.43)	10 (28.57)	
TMN Stage 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.268 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) Operation type 700 (0) 0 (0) Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Central+ Lateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Katerapy 748 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	LOW	2 (5.71)	17(48.57)	
I 6 (17.14) 22 (62.86) 0.688 II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) 0 III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) 0 Operation type 7 0 (0) 0 Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Central+ Lateral central 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 7 RAI therapy 7 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	TMN Stage			
II 0 (0) 5 (14.29) III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) Operation type 7 0 (0) Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Central+ Lateral central 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 7 RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	1	6 (17.14)	22 (62.86)	0.268
III 2 (5.71) 0 (0) Operation type 70tal thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 0.695 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13 (37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Central+ Lateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0.142 Kat therapy 7 7 9 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	II	O (O)	5 (14.29)	
Operation type 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 1 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0 Central+ Lateral central 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 1 RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0	III	2 (5.71)	O (O)	
Total thyroidectomy 6 (17.14) 16 (45.71) 0.695 subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) 1 Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0 Central+ Lateral central 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 1 RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0 0	Operation type			
subtotal thyroidectomy 2 (5.71) 11 (31.43) Lymphadenectomy 13(37.14) 0.142 Unilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) Central+ Lateral cenvical 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	Total thyroidectomy	6 (17.14)	16 (45.71)	0.695
Lymphadenectomy 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0 Central+ Lateral cenvical 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 7 RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0	subtotal thyroidectomy	2 (5.71)	11 (31.43)	
Unilateral central 2 (5.71) 13(37.14) 0.142 bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) 0 Central+ Lateral cervical 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) 7 RAI therapy Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0	Lymphadenectomy			
bilateral central 0 (0) 4 (11.43) Central+ Lateral cenvical 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) RAI therapy 7 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	Unilateral central	2 (5.71)	13(37.14)	0.142
Central+ Lateral cervical 6 (17.14) 10 (28.57) RAI therapy 7 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	bilateral central	O (O)	4 (11.43)	
Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	Central+ Lateral cervical	6 (17.14)	10 (28.57)	
Yes 5 (14.29) 5 (14.29) 0.048 No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86) 0.048	RAI therapy			
No 3 (8.57) 22 (62.86)	Yes	5 (14.29)	5 (14.29)	0.048
	No	3 (8.57)	22 (62.86)	

mutations were exceeded among 50% patients. Multiple highfrequency mutant genes (with mutations in >20% patients) were found in PTCs by the NGS assay and further analysis is required to reveal PTC-associated biological functions regulated by these mutant genes.

We analyzed 32 high-frequency mutant genes (mutant in >20% patients) by IPA (Ingenuity Pathway Analysis) database with diverse functional annotation and enrichment analysis. The results from IPA analysis (**Table S4**) also supplied many other functional evidences for our selected genes. For example, in the pathway enrichment analysis results (**Figure 3b**), "Notch Signaling" pathway is significantly enriched and mutant genes can participate in many novel pathways such as: "Regulation of the Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Pathway", and "Tumor Microenvironment Pathway".

Of note, we have also summarized the mutation frequency of another PTC driver gene *RAS* as shown in **Figure S1**. Actually, *RAS* tends to have a remarkably high mutation frequency, and especially have more mutations in "aggressive PTC" than those in "mild PTC". However, the dominant mutation type annotated of *RAS* was "intron variant" in our data. Thus, other PTC driver genes listed in **Figure 3c** were considered in the following analysis and discussion.

3.3 Genetic Comparison of "Mild PTC" and "Aggressive PTC"

We further examined the gene mutations in the subgroups "mild PTC" (i.e.17 patients from study group) and "aggressive PTC" (i.e. 18 patients from the study group). We carried out fisher's exact test analysis between "mild PTC" and "aggressive PTC" to search



genes. Each subplot displays the survival plot of one mutant gene candidate.

the differentially mutated genes associated with PTC aggressive behaviors. The genes with one-sided values of P < 0.05 were final candidates. We found different altered genes through different group methods, and the genes are listed in **Table 2**. After being screened in THE HUMAN PROTEIN ATLAS database, we finally confirmed several candidates including "*MDC1*, *NCOR2*, *RBL2*" as well as *BRAF* for the following IHC experiment to further confirm their protein expression state and change during PTC occurrence and progression. Of note, we have also tried to build a logistic regression (LR) model based on these mutant genes for predicting risk classification. The LR model was built on 70% of samples, and evaluated to predict the remaining 30% of samples for belonging to "mild PTC" or "aggressive PTC", which achieved AUC=0.64 and ci= [0.33,0.95] (**Figure S2**).

3.4 Protein Expression of Mutant Gene Candidates in PTC

Immunochemistry was used to evaluate protein expression of *MDC1*, NCOR2, *RBL2*, and *BRAF*, which can provide additional complementary clinical assessment of the functional protein loss

of these coding genes (25). According to the IHC results, all of these candidates have higher protein expressions in tumors no matter their categorization as mild cases or aggressive cases than those in normal thyroid tissue (**Figure 3c** and **Table S5**). Particularly, the average expression of MDC1 protein was higher in aggressive cases than those in mild cases (0.434 \pm 0.057 vs 0.177 \pm 0.021). And the average expression of RBL2 protein was lower in aggressive cases than those in mild cases (0.165 \pm 0.016 vs 0.371 \pm 0.054).

3.5 Prognostic Mutant Gene for PTC

In addition, the prognostic potential of those four mutant gene candidates were also evaluated by Kaplan-Meier method. *RBL2* was found to be remarkably related to event-free survival (P=0.016). Considering many potential functional contributions except for protein expression would also be caused by mutation, other differentially mutated genes associated with PTC disease progression were re-screened again, and it was actually found that *FOXO1*, *MUC6*, *PCDHB9*, *NOTCH1*, *FIZ1*, *RTN1* could be significantly associated with EFS (**Figure 3d**).

Recurrence		More than 5 metastatic lymph nodes		Extra-thyroidal invasion	
Gene name	p value	Gene name	p value	Gene name	p value
NOTCH1	0.047	HNRNPUL2	0.067	MDC1	0.090
MUC6	0.047	GRIK4	0.010	EGFR	0.069
FOXO1	0.001	FOXO1	0.026	NCOR2	0.015
RTN1	0.077	PRDM13	0.006	ITGAX	0.056
RBL2	0.067	FOXE1	0.095	RBL2	0.006
DLL3	0.081			FIZ1	0.090
FIZ1	0.033			PDGFB	0.069
PCDHB9	0.047				

TABLE 2 | List of aggressive PTC special mutated genes by fisher's test in different groups.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Several studies have applied NGS on PTCs (3, 9, 26, 27). The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Network described the genomic characterization of 496 PTCs, and generated data using whole genome sequencing. The TCGA project identified the TERT promoter mutation, which accounts for approximately 1% of PTCs, but shows association with a high risk of recurrence (19, 27). Nikiforova et al. applied the ThyroSeq series NGS panel targeting 12 genes or 14 genes to differentiate FNA III/IV nodules (18, 28). Picarsic et al. analyzed 17 pediatric PTCs by using ThyroSeq v2 panel and found ETV6-NTRK3 fusion was associated with aggressive histologic features. In this study, we focused on core genes related to aggressive behavior of PTC. We not only use recurrence as an indicator to select genes, but also considered severe lymph nodes involvement and extrathyroidal invasion as high risk indicators based on the evidence in the 2015 American Thyroid Association (ATA) management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer (11). In this guideline, it was said that neck lymph node (LN) metastases are found in up to 70% of cases of PTC, and initial LN metastases as well as extra-thyroid infiltration have also been identified as an independent risk factor for distant metastases (29, 30). So, we considered all of the high-risk factors and found that RBL2 was significantly correlated to event-free survival, FOXO1, MUC6, PCDHB9, NOTCH1, FIZ1, RTN1 could be significantly associated with EFS, while BRAF mutant was not correlated to any of the prognosis indicators.

BRAFV600E mutation is considered as the most common mutation in PTC, but its role in predicting prognosis is unclear (31, 32). Recent data suggest that specific molecular profiles, such as the coexistence of BRAF with other oncogenic mutations (such as PIK3CA, AKT1), TERT promoter, or TP53 mutations, may serve as more specific markers of less favorable outcomes of PTC (11). In our study, BRAFV600E showed a high mutation rate (62.86%) in the 35 patients, but it did not show any differentiating capability in picking cases of "aggressive PTC". Other oncogenic mutations including TERT promoter and TP53 mutations also did not show differentiating capability either. Another interesting finding is that RAS tend to have a remarkably high mutation frequency, and especially have more mutations in "aggressive PTC" than those in "mild PTC". However, the dominant mutation type annotated of RAS was "intron variant" in our data. PTCs were separated into two groups of distinct downstream signaling pathways: the

BRAFV600E-like cohort and the RAS-like cohort and most of the RAS-like PTCs were follicular variant PTCs (FVPTCs) (19, 27). In our cohort, there are all classic PTCs, it might help explain why meaningful RAS mutation was rare in our results. So, we did not do further bio-informative analysis in the RAS mutation pathway.

In this study, we have detected some mutant gene related protein candidates to explore the potential influence of these gene signatures, and successfully found that the expression of *MDC1* and *RBL2* proteins were different in aggressive cases than mild cases.

Rbl2(RB transcriptional corepressor like 2) belongs to the retinoblastoma (Rb) family which are considered tumor suppressors (33). RB is closely related to two genes in mice and humans (p107 and 130, which are not commonly mutated in tumors) (34). The retinoblastoma protein (RB) has been implicated in many cellular processes, such as regulation of the cell cycle, DNA-damage responses, DNA repair, DNA replication, protection against apoptosis, and differentiation. They could contribute to the function of RB as a tumor suppressor. The Rb can inhibit cell cycle progression through disabling the E2F family of cell-cycle-promoting transcription factors (35). In our study, we found RBL2 mutated in tumor samples of the group confirmed as recurrence and its protein also called Rbl2 expressed in normal thyroid samples and PTC samples. However, the expression level was lower in patients with recurrence than in tumor samples belonging to patients without recurrence. There were few articles discussing the role of RB in the PTC driving process, and our study was the first to show a potential relation between RB and the prognostic results of thyroid cancer, which deserves further study.

Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint 1 (*MDC1*) is a scaffold protein involved in the early steps of DDR functioning as a tumor suppressor (36, 37). The DNA damage response (DDR) is necessary to maintain genome integrity and prevent the accumulation of oncogenic mutations (38, 39). Consequently, proteins involved in the DDR often serve as tumor suppressors, carrying out the crucial task of keeping DNA fidelity intact (40). Studies have found a correlation between *MDC1* loss and tumor aggression (41). In breast cancer and pancreatic cancer, low *MDC1* expression correlates with more invasive, later stage tumors, indicating that *MDC1* expression may serve to limit the progression of disease (39, 42–44). By contrast, it was found that MDC1 is upregulated in most cervical cancer samples (45), laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma samples (SCC) (46), and nasopharyngeal carcinoma

samples (47, 48). Since SCC are usually driven by human papillomavirus infection (HPV), and nasopharyngeal carcinoma by Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), this made it possible to speculate that viral carcinogenesis activates the DNA damage response via several mechanisms (39, 48). In this study, we observed mutation of MDC1 in the aggressive group, which indicates that the mutation might relate to more aggressive behavior. There are several studies that have reported a correlation between HBV and thyroid cancer (49, 50). And we might also boldly make a hypothesis that virus and viral carcinogenesis could play an important role in the drive and progression of PTC mediated by MDC1 with inducing epithelialmesenchymal-transition. The study of MDC1 and thyroid cancer is few, and our results just unveiled the tip of the iceberg of its relations. This association between MDC1 expression and its potentially oncogenic and tumor suppressive (dual) roles indicate an opportunity for further research such as a 3D tumor microenvironment on thyroid tumor development to understand its complex role in thyroid cancer (39, 51).

Of course, there are still several limits in the study. The numbers of samples were only 35 pairs which was relatively small to capture more mutant gene candidates. It is a single institute investigation using one classic type of PTC rather than all types of thyroid cancers so the sample size was relative small. The resulting data would still be insufficient for making decisions on either patient diagnosis or treatment in clinical practice. To overcome such limitations, an expansion of the study cohort with significantly increased patient sample size in our future work should help investigate and validate more and more new clinical findings on the basis of next-generation sequencing and immunohistochemistry technologies, such as building a more accurate and robust LR model for predicting risk classification.

As we discussed above, scholars have applied multiple panels in helping characterize III/IV nodules in FNA but not specified on aggressive PTCs. Future studies are expected to establish the impact of molecular profiling involving multiple mutations on clinical management of patients with a PTC smaller than 1 cm. Furthermore, characterization of tumor mutation profiles (e.g. exon sequencing) combined with US scan and laboratory results (e.g. immunohistochemistry) might also show a more comprehensive picture of a malignant thyroid nodule and it will also open more choices for therapeutic plans for PTC patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and

REFERENCES

- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, et al. Cancer Statistics in China 2015. CA: Cancer J Clin (2016) 66(2):115–32. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21338
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistic. CA: Cancer J Clin (2016) 66 (1):7–30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21332
- Li X, He J, Zhou M, Cao Y, Jin Y, Zou Q. Identification and Validation of Core Genes Involved in the Development of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma via

accession number(s) can be found below: National Omics Data Encyclopedia (NODE) [accession: OEP002494].

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Shanghai 6th people's hospital institutional review board. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YL, WG, and XC contributed equally to this work. YCZ and YC obtained tumor and para-carcinoma tissue samples and completed follow-up. AJ performed clinic data statistical analysis. XC performed targeted capture sequencing of PTC genes. YL edited the manuscript. WG modified this article. YYZ and YW designed experiments. BH was the consultant, and supervised the project. XY and TZ performed Bioinformatics Analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81701706, 61803360, 11871456), and the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (Grant No. 2017SHZDZX01).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2021.722814/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Mutation profile of RAS genes. Each row represents a RAS gene, and each column represents one individual. The bar on each row indicates the mutation number (mutation frequency) of RAS occurred on all individuals. The bar on each column indicates mutation number of RAS for one individual.

 $\label{eq:supersonance} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Supplementary Figure 2} \ | \ \mathsf{ROC} \ of \ \mathsf{logistic} \ \mathsf{regression} \ \mathsf{model} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{discriminating} \\ \mathsf{mild} \ \mathsf{PTC} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{aggressive} \ \mathsf{PTC} \ \mathsf{groups}. \end{array}$

Bioinformatics Analysis. Int J Genomics (2019) 2019:5894926. doi: 10.1155/2019/5894926

- Yu X, Wang Z, Zeng T. Essential Gene Expression Pattern of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Revealed by Tumor-Specific Expression Rule Based on Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* (2020) 1866(7):165791. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2020.165791
- Leboulleux S, Rubino C, Baudin E, Caillou B, Hartl DM, Bidart J-M, et al. Prognostic Factors for Persistent or Recurrent Disease of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma With Neck Lymph Node Metastases and/or Tumor Extension

Beyond the Thyroid Capsule at Initial Diagnosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2005) 90(10):5723–9. doi: 10.1210/jc.2005-0285

- Davies L, Welch HG. Current Thyroid Cancer Trends in the United States. JAMA Otolaryngology–Head Neck Surg (2014) 140(4):317–22. doi: 10.1001/ jamaoto.2014.1
- Qiu Y, Fei Y, Liu J, Liu C, He X, Zhu N, et al. Prevalence, Risk Factors And Location Of Skip Metastasis In Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma: A Systematic Review And Meta-Analysis. *Cancer Manage Res* (2019) 11:8721. doi: 10.2147/ CMAR.S200628
- Kim NH, Beak SK, Baik SH, Dong SC, Kim SG. A Patient With Micropapillary Thyroid Carcinoma and Macronodular Lung Metastasis: Stable Disease for Eight Years Without Treatment. *Thyroid* (2009) 19 (3):309-11. doi: 10.1089/thy.2008.0272
- Yusof AM, Jamal R, Saidin S, Muhammad R, Mutalib N. Deep Small RNA Sequencing of BRAF V600E Mutated Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma With Lymph Node Metastasis. *Front Genet* (2019) 10:941. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2019.00941
- McLeod DS, Sawka AM, Cooper DS. Controversies in Primary Treatment of Low-Risk Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Lancet* (2013) 381(9871):1046–57. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62205-3
- 11. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients With Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* (2016) 26(1):1–133. doi: 10.1089/ thy.2015.0020
- Singer PA, Cooper DS, Daniels GH, Ladenson PW, Greenspan FS, Levy EG, et al. Treatment Guidelines for Patients With Thyroid Nodules and Well-Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. Arch Internal Med (1996) 156(19):2165–72. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1996.00440180017002
- Mallick U, Harmer C, Yap B, Wadsley J, Clarke S, Moss L, et al. Ablation With Low-Dose Radioiodine and Thyrotropin Alfa in Thyroid Cancer. New Engl J Med (2012) 366(18):1674–85. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1109589
- Papini E, Bizzarri G, Bianchini A, Valle D, Misischi I, Guglielmi R, et al. Percutaneous Ultrasound-Guided Laser Ablation Is Effective for Treating Selected Nodal Metastases in Papillary Thyroid Cancer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2013) 98(1):E92–7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2012-2991
- Ito Y, Uruno T, Nakano K, Takamura Y, Miya A, Kobayashi K, et al. An Observation Trial Without Surgical Treatment in Patients With Papillary Microcarcinoma of the Thyroid. *Thyroid* (2003) 13(4):381–7. doi: 10.1089/ 105072503321669875
- Sherman SI. Toward a Standard Clinicopathologic Staging Approach for Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. Semin Surg Oncol (2015) 16(1):12–5. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1098-2388(199901/02)16:1<12::aid-ssu3>3.0.co;2-m
- Mercier ML, D'Haene N, Nève N, Blanchard O, Salmon I. Next-Generation Sequencing Improves the Diagnosis of Thyroid FNA Specimens With Indeterminate Cytology. *Histopathology* (2014) 66(2):215–24. doi: 10.1111/ his.12461
- Nikiforov Y, Carty E, Sally E, Chiosea SI, Duvvuri U, Ferris RL, et al. Impact of the Multi-Gene ThyroSeq Next-Generation Sequencing Assay on Cancer Diagnosis in Thyroid Nodules With Atypia of Undetermined Significance/ Follicular Lesion of Undetermined Significance Cytology. (2015). doi: 10.1089/thy.2015.0305
- Cha YJ, Koo JS. Next-Generation Sequencing in Thyroid Cancer. J Trans Med (2016) 14(1):1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12967-016-1074-7
- Koboldt DC, Chen K, Wylie T, Larson DE, McLellan MD, Mardis ER, et al. VarScan: Variant Detection in Massively Parallel Sequencing of Individual and Pooled Samples. *Bioinformatics* (2009) 25(17):2283–5. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp373
- Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex Heatmaps Reveal Patterns and Correlations in Multidimensional Genomic Data. *Bioinformatics* (2016) 32 (18):2847–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313
- Krämer A, Green J, Pollard J Jr, Tugendreich S. Causal Analysis Approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. *Bioinformatics* (2014) 30(4):523–30. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt703
- Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al. Proteomics. Tissue-Based Map of the Human Proteome. *Science* (2015) 347(6220):1260419. doi: 10.1126/science.1260419

- Capper D, Voigt A, Bozukova G, Ahadova A, Kickingereder P, Von Deimling A, et al. BRAF V600E-Specific Immunohistochemistry for the Exclusion of Lynch Syndrome in MSI-H Colorectal Cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2013) 133 (7):1624–30. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28183
- Djordjevic B, Hennessy BT, Li J, Barkoh BA, Luthra R, Mills GB, et al. Clinical Assessment of PTEN Loss in Endometrial Carcinoma: Immunohistochemistry Outperforms Gene Sequencing. *Modern Pathol* (2012) 25(5):699–708. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2011.208
- Xiaoyan L, Jing H, Mingxia Z, Yun C, Ji Y, Qiang Z, et al. Identification and Validation of Core Genes Involved in the Development of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma via Bioinformatics Analysis. *Int J Genomics* (2019) 2019:5894926– 5894926. doi: 10.1155/2019/5894926
- Agrawal N, Aksoy BA, Ally A, Arachchi H, Asa SL, Auman JT, et al. Integrated Genomic Characterization of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Cell* (2014) 159 (3):676–90. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.050
- Nikiforova MN, Wald AI, Somak R, Beth DM, Nikiforov YE. Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Panel (ThyroSeq) for Detection of Mutations in Thyroid Cancer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2013) 11):E1852–60. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-2292
- Hughes CJ, Shaha AR, Shah JP, Loree TR. Impact of Lymph Node Metastasis in Differentiated Carcinoma of the Thyroid: A Matched-Pair Analysis. *Head Neck: J Sci Specialties Head Neck* (1996) 18(2):127–32. doi: 10.1002/(SICI) 1097-0347(199603/04)18:2<127::AID-HED3>3.0.CO;2-3
- Chow SM, Law SC, Chan JK, Au SK, Yau S, Lau WH. Papillary Microcarcinoma of the Thyroid—Prognostic Significance of Lymph Node Metastasis and Multifocality. *cancer* (2003) 98(1):31–40. doi: 10.1002/ cncr.11442
- 31. Trovisco V, Soares P, Preto A, Castro IVD, Lima J, Castro P, et al. Type and Prevalence of BRAF Mutations Are Closely Associated With Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma Histotype and Patients' Age But Not With Tumour Aggressiveness. Virchows Archiv (2005) 446(6):589–95. doi: 10.1007/s00428-005-1236-0
- 32. Guo L, Ma Y-Q, Yao Y, Wu M, Deng Z-H, Zhu F-W, et al. Role of Ultrasonographic Features and Quantified BRAFV600E Mutation in Lymph Node Metastasis in Chinese Patients With Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9(1):75. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36171-z
- Norouzi M, Yathindranath V, Thliveris JA, Miller DW. Salinomycin-Loaded Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Glioblastoma Therapy. *Nanomaterials* (2020) 10 (3):477. doi: 10.3390/nano10030477
- Classon M, Harlow E. The Retinoblastoma Tumour Suppressor in Development and Cancer. Nat Rev Cancer (2002) 2(12):910–7. doi: 10.1038/nrc950
- Reynolds MR, Lane AN, Robertson B, Kemp S, Liu Y, Hill BG, et al. Control of Glutamine Metabolism by the Tumor Suppressor Rb. Oncogene (2014) 33 (5):556–66. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.635
- Lou Z, Minter-Dykhouse K, Franco S, Gostissa M, Rivera MA, Celeste A, et al. MDC1 Maintains Genomic Stability by Participating in the Amplification of ATM-Dependent DNA Damage Signals. *Mol Cell* (2006) 21(2):187–200. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.11.025
- 37. Savic V, Yin B, Maas NL, Bredemeyer AL, Carpenter AC, Helmink BA, et al. Formation of Dynamic γ-H2AX Domains Along Broken DNA Strands Is Distinctly Regulated by ATM and MDC1 and Dependent Upon H2AX Densities in Chromatin. *Mol Cell* (2009) 34(3):298–310. doi: 10.1016/ j.molcel.2009.04.012
- Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S, Faustrup H, Melander F, Bartek J, Lukas C, et al. RNF8 Ubiquitylates Histones at DNA Double-Strand Breaks and Promotes Assembly of Repair Proteins. *Cell* (2007) 131(5):887–900. doi: 10.1016/ j.cell.2007.09.040
- Ruff SE, Logan SK, Garabedian MJ, Huang TT. Roles for MDC1 in Cancer Development and Treatment. DNA Repair (2020) 95:102948. doi: 10.1016/ j.dnarep.2020.102948
- 40. Sung S, Li F, Park YB, Kim JS, Kim A-K, Song O-K, et al. DNA End Recognition by the Mre11 Nuclease Dimer: Insights Into Resection and Repair of Damaged DNA. *EMBO J* (2014) 33(20):2422–35. doi: 10.15252/ embj.201488299
- 41. Shahar OD, Gabizon R, Feine O, Alhadeff R, Ganoth A, Argaman L, et al. Acetylation of Lysine 382 and Phosphorylation of Serine 392 in P53 Modulate the Interaction Between P53 and MDC1 In Vitro. *PloS One* (2013) 8(10): e78472. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078472

- 42. Patel AN, Goyal S, Wu H, Schiff D, Moran MS, Haffty BG. Mediator of DNA Damage Checkpoint Protein 1 (MDC1) Expression as a Prognostic Marker for Nodal Recurrence in Early-Stage Breast Cancer Patients Treated With Breast-Conserving Surgery and Radiation Therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) 126(3):601. doi: 10.1007/s10549-010-0960-6
- Zou R, Zhong X, Wang C, Sun H, Wang S, Lin L, et al. MDC1 Enhances Estrogen Receptor-Mediated Transactivation and Contributes to Breast Cancer Suppression. *Int J Biol Sci* (2015) 11(9):992–1005. doi: 10.7150/ ijbs.10918
- Zhou H, Qin Y, Ji S, Ling J, Fu J, Zhuang Z, et al. SOX9 Activity Is Induced by Oncogenic Kras to Affect MDC1 and MCMs Expression in Pancreatic Cancer. Oncogene (2017) 37(7):912–23. doi: 10.1038/onc.2017.393
- Yuan C, Bu Y, Wang C, Yi F, Yang Z, Huang X, et al. NFBD1/MDC1 Is a Protein of Oncogenic Potential in Human Cervical Cancer. *Mol Cell Biochem* (2012) 359(1-2):333. doi: 10.1007/s11010-011-1027-7
- Liu X, Qiu Z, Wang Z, Zuo W, Hu G. NFBD1/MDC1 Participates in the Regulation of Proliferation and Apoptosis in Human Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Clin Trans Oncol* (2017) 20(2):1–8. doi: 10.1007/s12094-017-1748-5
- Tsao G, Tsang C, Lo K. Epstein–Barr Virus Infection and Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. Anticancer Drugs (2015) 26(10):1017–25. doi: 10.1097/CAD. 000000000000276
- Wang Z, Liao K, Zuo W, Liu X, Qiu Z, Gong Z, et al. Depletion of NFBD1/ MDC1 Induces Apoptosis in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Cells Through the P53-ROS-Mitochondrial Pathway. Oncol Res Featuring Preclinical Clin Cancer Ther (2017) 25(1):123. doi: 10.3727/096504016X14732772150226
- 49. Nakanishi M, Ozaki T, Yamamoto H, Hanamoto T, Kikuchi H, Furuya K, et al. NFBD1/MDC1 Associates With P53 and Regulates Its Function at the

Crossroad Between Cell Survival and Death in Response to DNA Damage. *J Biol Chem* (2007) 282(31):22993. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M611412200

- Mohsen M, Mostafaei S, Nesaei A, Etemadi A, Sadri Nahand J, Mirzaei H, et al. Epstein-Barr Virus and Thyroid Cancer: The Role of Viral Expressed Proteins. J Cell Physiol (2019) 234(4):3790–9. doi: 10.1002/jcp.27144
- Pan J, Li H, Fang Y, Shen YB, Zhou XY, Zhu F, et al. Regeneration of a Bioengineered Thyroid Using Decellularized Thyroid Matrix. *Thyroid* (2019) 29(1):142–52. doi: 10.1089/thy.2018.0068

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Li, Gao, Cai, Jin, Shen, Zhang, Chen, Hu, Zeng, Yu, Zheng and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.