

One- and Two-Electron Transfer Oxidation of 1,4-Disilabenzene with Formation of Stable Radical Cations and Dications

Yilin Chen,^[a] Zhikang Chen,^[a] Liuyin Jiang,^{*[a]} Jiancheng Li,^[a] Yiling Zhao,^[a] Hongping Zhu,^{*[a]} and Herbert W. Roesky^{*[b]}

Dedicated to Professor Holger Braunschweig on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract: Electron-transferable oxidants such as B(C₆F₅)₃/ $B(C_6F_5)_3/LiB(C_6F_5)_4$, $B(C_6F_5)_3/LiHBEt_3,$ nBuLi, $AI(C_6F_5)_3/(o RC_6H_4$)AlH₂ (R = N(CMe₂CH₂)₂CH₂), B(C₆F₅)₃/AlEt₃, Al(C₆F₅)₃, Al- $(C_6F_5)_3/nBuLi$, Al $(C_6F_5)_3/AlMe_3$, $(CuC_6F_5)_4$, and Ag₂SO₄, respectively were employed for reactions with $(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2$ (L = PhC(NtBu)₂, 1). The stable radical cation [1]^{+•} was formed and paired with the anions $[nBuB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (in **2**), $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ (in **3**), $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (in **4**), $[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (in 5), $\{[(C_6F_5)_3Al]_2(\mu-F)]^-$ (in 6), $[nBuAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (in 7), and $[Cu(C_6F_5)_2]^-$ (in 8), respectively. The stable dication

Introduction

Most organic radicals are extremely reactive species and their synthesis and reactions have attracted great interest to chemists for over 120 years.^[1] In comparison to the carbon atom-based radicals, the radicals of silicon congeners are in limited numbers available. The organosilicon-based radicals are acting as reactive intermediates in numerous organic and organometallic transformations.^[2] Most of them have been detected using spectroscopic investigations.^[3,4] Only recently, a number of organosilicon radicals have been synthesized and characterized by X-ray single-crystal structural analysis.^[5–13]

[a] Y. Chen, Z. Chen, L. Jiang, J. Li, Y. Zhao, Prof. Dr. H. Zhu State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces National Engineering Laboratory for Green Chemical Productions of Alcohols-Ethers-Esters College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Xiamen University Xiamen, 361005 (China) E-mail: liuyin.jiang@insa-lyon.fr hpzhu@xmu.edu.cn
[b] Prof. Dr. H. W. Roesky

Institut für Anorganische Chemie Georg-August-Universität, 37077 Göttingen (Germany) E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103715
- © © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $[1]^{2+}$ was also generated with the anions $[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (9) and $[MeAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (10), respectively. In addition, the neutral compound $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2][\mu-O_2S(O)_2]$ (11) was obtained. Compounds 2–11 are characterized by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, X-ray crystallography, and elemental analysis. Compounds 2–8 are analyzed by EPR spectroscopy and compounds 9–11 by NMR spectroscopy. The structure features are discussed on the central Si_2C_4-rings of 1, $[1]^{+\bullet}$, $[1]^{2+}$, and 11, respectively.

Benzene and its derivatives are a pivotally important class of basic organic molecules, which feature a 6π -electron delocalization over the C₆ ring and exhibit considerable stability due to the Hückel aromatic nature.^[14] Radicals, cations, anions, and radical cations and anions of benzene and the derivatives have been studied and applied widespread.^[15] The silicon-substituted benzene analogs have been predicted in theory,^[16] and later detected spectroscopically in the gas phase and/or in lowtemperature matrices.^[17] In the year 2000 the first stable organo-silicon compounds of this type have been isolated. So far the following species have been characterized and structurally authenticated: $(H)_5C_5Si(tbt)$ $(tbt = 2,4,6-[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3C_6H_2)$ (2000),^[18] 1,2-disilabenzene $(Ph)_2(H)_2C_4Si_2(R)_2$ (R = SiiPr[CH- $(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ (2007);^[19] (R')₂(H)₂C₄Si₂(Bbt)₂ (R' = H, SiMe₃, Ph; Bbt = $2,6-[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2-4-C(SiMe_3)_3C_6H_2)$ (2010),^[20] hexasilabenzene $(tip)_2Si_2Si_2Si_2(tip)_4$ $(tip = 2,4,6-iPr_3C_6H_2)$ (2010),^[21] and 1,4-disilabenzene $(Ph)_4C_4Si_2(L)_2$ (L = PhC(NtBu)_2) (2010).^[22] However, their radicals and ions were yet not known. More recently we prepared a 1,4-disilabenzene $(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2$ (1), and further obtained $[1]^{+\bullet}[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ and $[1]^{2+}(OSO_2CF_3)^{2-}$ using oxidation reactions.^[23] [1]⁺ represents the first example of the radical cation while [1]²⁺ exhibits a dication among the organosilicon benzene analogs.^[18-22] Compound 1 is able to proceed by electron transfer basically contributing to stabilization of the organic auxiliary at the two Si atoms of the central C₄Si₂ ring. The selection of the electron transferable oxidant appears pivotal in reaction to form the anion(s) in pairing with either $[1]^{+\bullet}$ or $[1]^{2+}$. Nevertheless, study on this chemistry is rare. The suitable oxidant is disclosed in a limited number. Herein, we discovered B(C₆F₅)₃, Al(C₆F₅)₃, and (CuC₆F₅)₄, respectively as





Scheme 1. One-electron transfer oxidation reactions of 1 to form compounds 2-8.



Scheme 2. Two-electron transfer oxidation reactions of 1 to form compounds 9–11.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202103715 (2 of 9)

useful organometallic oxidants in reaction with 1 and $[1]^{+\bullet}$ was produced through a single electron transfer and $[1]^{2+}$ by twoelectron oxidation (Schemes 1 and 2). Then an investigation allows us to discuss the structural features of the central Si₂C₄rings of 1, $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and $[1]^{2+}$, respectively in detail. Herein, we present a study on the reactions, where the products are characterized by X-ray crystallography, UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and/or NMR spectroscopy.

Results and Discussion

One-electron transfer oxidation of 1

We screened to use $B(C_6F_5)_3$ for the reaction with compound 1. At room temperature, the addition of C_6H_6 into a solid mixture of 1 (dark purple) and equivalent $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (off-white) led to a quick color change into a deep brown. The EPR measurement showed the resonances implying the formation of the radical species.^[23] However, this reaction mixture produced an oily mass after ca. 10 min, from which isolation of the pure product was not successful. By a number of try-outs, the addition of *n*BuLi resulted in a pure product. Thus, mixing of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and 1 in a 1:1 molar ratio in C_6H_6 at room temperature followed by the addition of *n*BuLi (*n*-hexane solution) smoothly resulted in compound $[1]^{+\bullet}[nBuB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (2). Compound 2 was isolated as



yellow crystals in 50% yield after the reaction solution was stored for 12 h without any disturbance. Wildgoose and coworkers reported the reaction of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and Cp_2^*Co in CH_2CI_2 (or 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$) to produce a mixture of anions $[HClB(C_6F_5)_2]^-$, $[Cl_2B(C_6F_5)_2]^-$, $[ClB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$, $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$, and $[B-1]_{-1}^{-1}$ $(C_6F_5)_4]^-$, each in pairing with $(Cp*_2Co)^+$ on the basis of NMR and MS characterization.^[24] The $B(C_6F_5)_3$ was able to abstract one electron^[25] from Cp_2^*Co to generate $[B(C_6F_5)_3]^{-\bullet}$ and (Cp*₂Co)⁺. Additional products resulted from solvent by H or Cl atom abstraction to give $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ and $[CIB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ followed with the group exchange reactions. Accordingly, we suggest that in the formation of 2 $B(C_6F_5)_3$ gained an electron from 1 to yield $[B(C_6F_5)_3]^{-\bullet}$ and $[1]^{+\bullet}$. The $[B(C_6F_5)_3]^{-\bullet}$ could snatch one H atom from the solvent media (C_6H_6 or *n*-hexane) to generate $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$. The latter reacted with *n*BuLi to produce $[nBuB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$, an anion in pairing with $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and HLi. We attempted to identify the possible species formed from the H atom-abstracted solvent molecule but were not successful.^[26] However, we were able to detect the HLi-like species through IR spectral measurement.^[27] It is worth mentioning that no reaction happened when using *n*BuLi alone with 1. The $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is indeed the electron transfer oxidant rather than the *n*BuLi. The nBuLi matches well to form the stable isolated product through exchange reaction. Furthermore, a premixing of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and *n*BuLi was not working for oxidation of 1. Mostly, Li[nBuB(C₆F₅)₃] was quickly produced that shuts down any electron transfer.

Furthermore, we used $B(C_6F_5)_3/LiB(C_6F_5)_4$ instead and prepared compound $[1]^{+\bullet}[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ (3) in C_6H_6 . Compound 3 was separated as yellow crystals with a yield of 42% and it is the same product as that obtained from the reaction of 1 with $[Ph_3C]^+[B(C_6F_5)_4]^{-,[23]}$ The $[Ph_3C]^+$ has been known as an electron transfer oxidant,^[25a] which gained an electron from 1 to produce $[Ph_3C \cdot]$ as the side product and then the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ turned to be the non-coordinating anion. Herein $B(C_6F_5)_4^{-}$ turned to be the non-coordinating anion. Herein $B(C_6F_5)_3^{-}$ reacted by one-electron transfer followed with the H atom-abstraction from C_6H_6 to give $[1]^{+\bullet}$ and $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$. $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ reacted further with $Li[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ to form $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$, as the counterpart of $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and $Li[HB(C_6F_5)_3]$. The $LiB(C_6F_5)_4$ alone was tested and shows no reaction with 1.

Moreover, we employed B(C₆F₅)₃/LiBHEt₃ for the reaction and finally obtained compound [1]⁺•[HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (4) from a mixture of C₆H₆ and THF. Compound 4 was isolated as blocklike crystals with green color and a yield of 47%. [HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ is herein the target anion, and this may prove its existence as an intermediate in the production of either 2 or 3. Alternatively to B(C₆F₅)₃/(o-RC₆H₄)AlH₂^[28] (R=N(CMe₂CH₂)₂CH₂, 60% yield) 4 too is formed in C₆H₆.

In addition, reaction of 1 with $B(C_6F_5)_3/AlEt_3$ resulted in [1]⁺ •[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]⁻ (5). Compound 5 was isolated as yellow crystals (41%). It was interesting to see that AlEt_3 alone was capable of oxidizing 1 as well as indicated by a color change into typically brown, although isolation of a pure product failed. Similar property has been reported by Stephan et al. where $Al(C_6F_5)_3$ was used for reactions.^[25c,29] Nonetheless, on the basis of formation of the [EtB(C_6F_5)_3]⁻ anion, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ might dominantly behave as the one-electron oxidant, while $AlEt_3$ reacted with an We then turned to Al(C_6F_5)₃/nBuLi and obtained compound [1]^{+•}[*n*BuAl(C_6F_5)₃]⁻ (7, 67%, yellow crystals). It was surprising to find that Al(C_6F_5)₃ alone yielded compound [1]^{+•}{[(C_6F_5)₃Al]₂(μ -F)}⁻ (6) as yellow crystals in 15% yield. Obviously, Al(C_6F_5)₃ abstracted one electron from 1 to form [Al(C_6F_5)₃]^{-•} and [1]^{+•}. In the absence of *n*BuLi, the [Al(C_6F_5)₃]^{-•} snatched an F atom from the C_6F_5 group^[30] to give [FAl(C_6F_5)₃]⁻ that ligated another molecule of Al(C_6F_5)₃ to form a non-coordinated anion {[(C_6F_5)₃Al]₂(μ -F)}⁻. With the presence of *n*BuLi, the [Al(C_6F_5)₃]^{-•} was prone to abstract one H atom from the solvent to generate [HAl(C_6F_5)₃]^{-[31]} In addition, during the reaction an H⁻-Bu⁻ exchange with *n*BuLi occurred.

Finally, we tried $(CuC_6F_5)_4$ as the oxidant considering close oxidation ability between Cu^+ and $Ag^{+,[32]}$ The reaction was accomplished using 1 with $(CuC_6F_5)_4$ in a molar ratio of 2:1 in C_6H_6 at room temperature. Compound $[1]^{+\bullet}[(C_6F_5)_2Cu]^-$ (8) was obtained as yellow-green crystals in a yield of 54%. The CuC_6F_5 received one electron from 1 to form Cu, $C_6F_5^-$, and $[1]^{+\bullet}$, while the $C_6F_5^-$ coordinated to anther molecule of CuC_6F_5 to give $[(C_6F_5)_2Cu]^-$ as the final counterion. A Cu mirror was observed after the reaction.

Two-electron transfer oxidation of 1

Previously, we prepared compound $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2[OSO_2CF_3]^-$ from 1 and AgOSO₂CF₃ in a 1:2 molar ratio in MeCN where twoelectron transfer oxidation was observed.^[23] The experiments by screening the number of solvents indicate that C₆H₆ is better for obtaining compounds 2-8, while CH₃CN is suitable for gaining $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2[OSO_2CF_3]^-$. Compound 5 was actually obtained by using C_6H_6 , where a quick color change into a deep brown was observed after mixing $B(C_6F_5)_3/AlEt_3$ with 1 and 5 started to precipitate from the solution. However, this reaction changes, when conducted in CH_3CN . Then, mixing of $B(C_6F_5)_3/AIEt_3$ with half equivalent of 1 in CH₃CN exhibited the solution color change into a deep brown. However, instead of the precipitation of 5 further color change into light yellow and finally colorless occurred within 5 h, and compound [1]²⁺ $\cdot 2[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (9, colorless block crystals, 20%) was obtained. This indicates that the $B(C_6F_5)_3/AIEt_3$ performs well with either one- or two-electron transfer oxidation of 1, and the reactions are depending on the solvent. Similarly, the reaction of $Al(C_6F_5)_3/AlMe_3$ (1:1 ratio) with half equivalent of 1 in CH₃CN resulted in compound $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2$ [MeAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (10, colorless crystals, 33% yield, obtained by storing the reaction solution at -20 °C for 24 h). We also tried reactions in CH₃CN in an attempt to obtain 2-4 and 6-8 but were not able to isolate the pure products. Obviously, the selection of the oxidants of B(C₆F₅)₃/ AlEt₃ or of $B(C_6F_5)_3/AlMe_3$ is crucial. Finally, we carried out the reaction with one equivalent each of 1 and Aq₂SO₄ in toluene where we observed gradual dissolving and reacting of Ag₂SO₄. 2 h later, a silver mirror was formed. Picking up the colorless



solution and storing it at -20 °C for 24 h resulted in $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2][\mu-O_2S(O)_2]$ (11, colorless crystals, 80% yield, Scheme 2).

Spectroscopic characterization

Compounds 2–11 were isolated as crystals of X-ray diffraction quality. They are sensitive to air and moisture. Compounds 2–8 are radicals and are studied by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR). Compounds 9 and 10 are ionic in nature and compound 11 is neutral and they are performed by NMR analysis. Compounds 2–11 are investigated by UV-vis spectroscopy.

The EPR spectra of complexes **2–8** all exhibit well-resolved signals consisting of nine resonances (Figures 1 and S15–S20). These signals are centered at a close *g* value of 2.0038 due to the same $[1]^{+\bullet}$ cation in each of **2–8**. These data suggest delocalization of the one-electron over the central C₄Si₂ ring of $[1]^{+\bullet}$. The UV-vis absorption spectra of **2–8** exhibit each the



Figure 1. EPR spectra of compound 6 in toluene at 298 K with the simulated result.



Figure 2. UV-vis absorption spectra of compounds 2–8 measured in toluene at room temperature.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202103715 (4 of 9)

strong long-wavelength absorption ranging within 1200– 1600 nm (Figure 2), which is attributed to HOMO(α)-LUMO(α) electron transition (f=0.16) for [1]^{+•} in each of 2–8 we discussed before.^[23] Compounds 9–11 were exposed to UV-vis spectroscopy but show no absorption at the visible-light region (Figure S21). This indicates no electron delocalization over the C₄Si₂-ring in [1]²⁺ of 9 and 10 as well as in 11, markedly unlike those with the two electrons in 1 and one electron in [1]^{+•} of 2–8.

The ¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR data are recorded for compound **11**. However only ¹H and ¹⁹F data were obtained for compounds **9** and **10** due to low solubility (Figures S22–S30). These data indicate the compositions and structures of **9–11** in line with those analysed by the X-ray crystal structural analysis (Figures 4, 11, and S12–S14).

X-ray crystallographic characterization

The molecular structures of compounds **2** and **4–11** are disclosed by X-ray single-crystal diffraction study; the structure of compound **3** has been reported in our group.^[23] The structure determination reveals that compounds **2** and **4–8** all contain the radical cation [1]^{+•} (Figures 3, S1, S3, S5, S8, and S10). In pairing with [1]^{+•}, the intermolecular separated anion is disclosed as [*n*BuB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ for **2** (Figure S2), [HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ for **4** (Figure S4), [EtB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ for **7** (Figure S6), {[(C₆F₅)₃Al]₂(μ -F)]⁻ for **6** (Figure S1), respectively. Compounds **9** and **10** both consist of the dication [1]²⁺ (Figures 4 and S12), with the two standalone counterions of [EtB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ for **9** (Figure S13) and [MeAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ for **10** (Figure S14). Compound **11** is a neutral species showing a μ -O₂S(O)₂ bridge bond at the two Si atoms of the C₄Si₂ ring (Figure 5).

Table 1 summarizes the important bond parameters inside the C_4Si_2 and CN_2Si rings of 1 and $[1]^{+\bullet}$ (at each of 2–8) and Table 2 records those of $[1]^{2+}$ at each of 9 and 10. Also listed are the Wiberg bond indexes (WBIs) previously calculated for



Figure 3. Crystal structure of $[1]^{+\bullet}$ in **6** with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103715





Figure 4. Crystal structure of $[1]^{2+}$ in **10** with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure 5. Crystal structure of 11 with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

discussion.^[23] It is clearly seen that inside the C_4Si_2 rings, the C–C bond lengths are averaged into 1.446(2) for 1, 1.401(5)– 1.414(3) for [1]^{+•}, and 1.368(3)–1.371(2) Å for [1]²⁺. The WBIs calculations indicate the related bond orders of 1.35, 1.53, and 1.73, respectively. To be correlated, the Si–C bond distances are 1.798(1) (for 1), 1.817(2)–1.826(2) (for [1]^{+•}), and 1.854(3)– 1.861(2) Å (for $[1]^{2+}$), with the WBIs of 1.23, 1.12, and 1.01. Meanwhile, in the CN₂Si rings the Si–N bond lengths appear 1.845(1) for 1, 1.807(3)–1.815(2) for $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and 1.778(2)– 1.782(1) Å for $[1]^{2+}$, whereas the C–N bond distances exhibit little changes (1.336(2) for 1, 1.338(2)–1.342(3) for $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and 1.346(4)–1.349(2) Å for $[1]^{2+}$).

Compound 1 has been well proved to be the open-shell singlet diradical-like compound (L)₂Si₂C₄(Ph)₄.^[22] The electron delocalization occurs over the C₄Si₂ ring as well as the CN₂Si cycle. Ando and coworkers reported 1,4-disila(Dewar-benzene) $(Me_3Si)_4C_4Si_2(Me)_2$ with the Si–Si σ bond [(2.246(2) Å] (Scheme 3).^{\scriptscriptstyle [33]} We found an elongation of the σ bond (Si…Si, 3.345 Å) for 1, when coordinating the bulky groups L at Si and R¹ and R² at C. (Scheme 3). As a matter of fact, the Mulliken spin density distribution computations indicate that in 1 the electron spin density mainly locates at the four carbon atoms of the C₄Si₂ ring, and some reside at the adjacent alkynyl carbon atoms outside this ring. The spin density is C (bond to SiMe₃) $+\,0.19$ and $-0.19,\,C$ (bond to $C\!\equiv\!CSiMe_3)$ $+\,0.16$ and -0.16, and C (bond to CSiMe₃ outside the ring) +0.12 and -0.12respectively.^[23] The contribution from the two silicon atoms in the ring is almost negligible. This implies that the electron delocalization mode over the C_4Si_2 ring of 1 is elusive (Scheme 4), which is in sharp contrast to that for the C_6 ring of benzene and the derivatives.^[15]

 $[1]^{2+}$ shows the C–C bond lengths within the central C_4Si_2 ring close to those found in $[1]^{2+}\cdot 2[OS(O)_2CF_3]^-$ [1.356(6) Å], $^{[23]}$ 1,4-disila(Dewar-benzene) [1.365(6) Å], $^{[33]}$ as well as in $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2](\mu$ -E) $[E=O_2,\ S,\ Se;\ 1.352(6)-1.377(3)$ Å], $^{[22]}$ and 11 [1.367(2) Å], indicating that the double bond character is restricted within the cycle. Meanwhile, the Si–C bond lengths are close to those of the single bonds [1.868(2)–1.920(2) Å]. $^{[22,34]}$

In comparison, $[1]^{+\bullet}$ displays the respective C–C and Si–C bond lengths in the C₄Si₂ ring intermediate between those in the rings of 1 and $[1]^{2+}$. This is a consequence that one single electron is delocalized over the ring, as confirmed from the EPR and UV-vis absorption data. However, the Mulliken spin density data suggest that this electron delocalization is dominantly over the two C₂Si moieties of the C₄Si₂ ring.^[23] These electronic structures compare difference from those of the radical cations of benzene and the derivatives.^[15]

Table 1. Data for key bond lengths (Å), least square planes (Δ, Å), and calculated Wiberg bond indexes (WBIs) of 1 together with those of [1] ^{+•} in 2–8.										
Sample	Bond	1	[1] ^{+•} in 2	[1] ^{+•} in 3	[1] ^{+•} in 4	[1] ^{+•} in 5	[1] ^{+•} in 6	[1] ^{+•} in 7	[1] ^{+•} in 8	
C4Si2 cycle ^[a]	C4Si2 cycle ^[a]	C–C WBI Si–C	1.446(2) 1.35 1.798(1)	1.401(5) 1.823(4)	1.408(3) 1.822(2) 0.0222	1.401(3) 1.826(2)	1.402(3) 1.53 1.820(2)	1.406(2) 1.826(2) 0.0192	1.414(3) 1.817(2) 0.0043	
		WBI Δ	1.23 0.0078	0.0154		0.0360 0.0308	1.12 0.0017 0.0156		0.0097	
CN2Si cycle ^{[a}	Si—N C—N Δ	1.845(1) 1.336(2) 0.0264	1.807(3) 1.340(5) 0.0300	1.812(2) 1.342(3) 0.0277	1.812(2) 1.340(3) 0.0027	1.807(2) 1.340(2) 0.0252	1.815(2) 1.338(2) 0.0143	1.811(2) 1.341(3) 0.0280	1.811(2) 1.341(3) 0.0255	
[a] Average bond data.										

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202103715 (5 of 9)



Table 2. Data for key bond lengths (Å), least-square planes (Δ , Å), and calculated Wiberg bond indexes (WBIs) of $[1]^{2+}$ in 9 and 10 together with those of [1] in 11.

Sample	Bond	[1] ²⁺ in 9	[1] ²⁺ in 10	[1] ln 11			
C ₄ Si ₂ cycle ^[a]	C–C WBI Si–C WBI A	1.371(2) 1.73 1.861(2) 1.01 0.0100	1.368(3) 1.854(3) 0.0078	1.367(2) 1.889(2) 0.1566			
CN ₂ Si cycle ^[a]	Si—N C—N A	1.782(1) 1.349(2) 0.0065	1.778(2) 1.346(4) 0.0266	1.905(2) 1.335(2) 0.0370 0.0205			
[a] Average bond data.							



Scheme 3. Schematic structures of 1,4-disilabenzene and 1,4-disila(Dewarbenzene) with the related bond lengths over the central rings.

Compound 11 displays a μ -O₂S(O)₂ back coordination at the two Si atoms within the C₄Si₂ ring (Figure 5), showing a little different to the anion-cation separated $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2[OS(O)_2CF_3]^{-}$.^[23] Such two Si atoms exhibit formally the positive charge although both are adopting the tetrahedral coordination sphere (Scheme 4). The Si–O_{02S(O)2} bond lengths are 1.789(1) and 1.798(1) Å and a little longer than those of the Si–O₀₂ bonds in $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2](\mu$ -O₂) [1.745(1), 1.749(1) Å].^[23] It is worth noting that the formation of compounds 11 and $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2](\mu$ -E) exhibits a pronounced region-selective reactivity of 1 with respect to the two Si atoms within the C₄Si₂ ring.

The C₄Si₂ rings of 1, [1]^{+•} in 2–8, and [1]²⁺ in 9 and 10 show their planar arrangement with the least square planes (Δ) of 0.0078, 0.0019–0.0321, and 0.0078–0.0100 Å, respectively. The electron delocalization is occurring over both the C₄Si₂ rings of 1 and [1]^{+•} but showing essential differences to [1]²⁺. Furthermore, the C₄Si₂ ring planar feature changes due to the bridge coordination by the μ -O₂S(O)₂ (in 11) and μ -E (in [1](μ -E), E=O₂, S, Se).^[23] In summary the N,N-chelation of the L ligand at the Si atoms supports the C_4Si_2 ring planarity of $[1]^{2+}$. The electron delocalization over the C_4Si_2 rings of 1 and $[1]^{+\bullet}$ plays an important role as well. The nucleus independent chemical shifts (NICS) at 1 Å above the C_4Si_2 rings were calculated to be 1.62 (1), -0.67 ($[1]^{+\bullet}$), and 1.40 ($[1]^{2+}$), respectively.^[23]

Conclusion

In summary, we have investigated reactions using 1,4-disilabenzene 1 with a series of organometallic and inorganic electrontransferable oxidants, from which compounds 2-11 were produced and characterized. Compound 1 has been proved to be the open-shell singlet diradical.[23] Through one-electron transfer, the radical cation [1]^{+•} was formed, pairing with the well-separated anions as $[nBuB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (2), $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ (3), [HB- $(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (4), $[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (5), $\{[(C_6F_5)_3AI]_2(\mu-F)]^-$ (6). $[nBuAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (7), and $[Cu(C_6F_5)_2]^-$ (8), respectively. By the twoelectron transfer, the dication [1]²⁺ was obtained with the isolated anions as $[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (9) and $[MeAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (10). Compound 11 is a neutral species showing back coordination of the $[\mu$ -O₂S(O)₂]²⁻ to the two Si atoms of the central C₄Si₂ ring.

Both B(C₆F₅)₃ and Al(C₆F₅)₃ are the preferred one-electron transferable oxidants.^[24,25,29] They were able to abstract one electron from 1 to form [B(C₆F₅)₃]^{-•} and [Al(C₆F₅)₃]^{-•} that probably snatches the H atom from the solvent to produce [HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ and [HAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻, respectively. Group exchange reactions of either [HB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ or [HAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ might occur with the organometallic reagents as *n*BuLi, LiB(C₆F₅)₄, LiHBEt₃, (*o*-RC₆H₄)AlH₂, AlMe₃, and AlEt₃, respectively. Therefore, combinations as B(C₆F₅)₃/*n*BuLi, B(C₆F₅)₃/LiB(C₆F₅)₄, B(C₆F₅)₃/LiHBEt₃, Al-(C₆F₅)₃/(*o*-TMP-C₆H₄)AlH₂, B(C₆F₅)₃/AlEt₃, Al(C₆F₅)₃/*n*BuLi, Al(C₆F₅)₃/AlMe₃ show the efficiency to produce the stable isolated target compounds. This chemistry is reported limitedly.^[29] The (CuC₆F₅)₄ works well to oxidize 1 by forming Cu and [Cu(C₆F₅)₂]⁻ along with [1]²⁺.

Both 1 and $[1]^{+\bullet}$ exhibit the electron delocalization over the central C_4Si_2 ring. However, $[1]^{2+}$ loses this character due to the loss of two electrons. The planar geometry is present over the C_4Si_2 rings in 1, $[1]^{+\bullet}$, and $[1]^{2+}$.

Experimental Section

Materials and methods: All manipulations were carried out under a dry argon or nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk line and glovebox techniques. Solvents toluene and benzene were dried by refluxing with sodium/potassium benzophenone under N₂ prior to use. Acetonitrile was dried over CaH₂ under N₂. The NMR (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, ²⁹Si) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance II 400 or 500 MHz spectrometers. The melting point of the compound was measured in a sealed glass tube using the Büchi-540 instrument. Elemental analysis was performed with a Thermo Quest Italia SPA EA 1110 instrument. EPR spectra of compounds **3**, **5**, and **8** were obtained using Bruker EMX plus-6/1 and those of **2**, **4**, **6**, **7** with Bruker EMX Plus-10/12 both equipped with X-band variable-temperature apparatus. UV-vis spectra were recorded on Lambda 750 spectrometer. Commercial reagents were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, or Alfa-Aesar Chemical Co. and used as received. Compounds





Scheme 4. Schematic electronic structures of 1, [1]^{+•} (in 2–8), and [1]²⁺ (in 9 and 10).

Synthesis of [1]^{+•}[*n***BuB**(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (2): At room temperature to a mixture of 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) and *n*BuLi (2.4 M in *n*-hexane, 12.4 µL, 0.03 mmol) in C₆H₆ (0.7 mL) was added $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol). A deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow block crystals of 2. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.022 g, 50%. Mp: 198 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₇₂H₉₁BF₁₅N₄Si₆ (M_r =1476.84): C, 58.56; H, 6.21; N, 3.79. Found: C, 58.53; H, 6.19; N, 3.82.

Synthesis of [1]^{+•}**[B(C**₆**F**₅)₄]⁻ (**3**): At room temperature to a mixture of **1** (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) and LiB(C₆F₅)₄ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol) in C₆H₆ (0.7 mL) was added B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol). A deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow block crystals of **3**. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.022 g, 50%. Mp: 198 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₇₂H₉₁BF₁₅N₄Si₆ (M_r =1476.84): C, 58.56; H, 6.21; N, 3.79. Found: C, 58.53; H, 6.19; N, 3.82.

Synthesis of $[1]^{+}[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (4): Method A: At room temperature to a mixture of 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) and LiHBEt₃ (1 M in THF, 30 µL, 0.03 mmol) in C₆H₆ (0.7 mL) was added B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution has stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving green block crystals of 4. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.020 g, 47%. Mp: 165 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₆₈H₈₃BF₁₅N₄Si₆ (M_r =1420.74): C, 57.49; H, 5.89; N, 3.94. Found:

C, 57.45; H, 5.90; N, 3.98. Method B: At room temperature to a mixture of 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) and (o-RC₆H₄)AlH₂ (0.007 g, 0.03 mmol) in C₆H₆ (0.7 mL) was added B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving green block crystals of 4. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.026 g, 60%. Preliminary X-ray diffraction measurement determined the unit cell parameters that are the same as those for compound obtained in method A.

Synthesis of $[1]^{+*}[EtB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (5): At room temperature to a mixture of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (0.015 g, 0.03 mmol) and AlEt₃ (1.0 M in *n*-hexane, 30 µL, 0.03 mmol) in C_6H_6 (0.7 mL) was added 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was kept at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow block crystals of 5. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.018 g, 41 %. Mp: 192 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for $C_{70}H_{87}BF_{15}N_4Si_6$ (M_r =1448.79): C, 58.03; H, 6.05; N, 3.87. Found: C, 58.01; H, 6.02; N, 3.89.

Synthesis of [1]⁺{[(C₆F₅)₃Al]₂(\mu-F)]⁻ (6): At room temperature to a mixture of Al(C₆F₅)₃ (0.036 g, 0.06 mmol) and 1 (0.054 g, 0.06 mmol) was added C₆H₆ (1.0 mL). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow block crystals of 6. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.018 g, 30%. Mp: 231 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₈₆H₈₂Al₂F₃₁N₄Si₆ (M_r = 1983.05): C, 52.09; H, 4.17; N, 2.83. Found: C, 52.06; H, 4.14; N, 2.85.

Synthesis of $[1]^{+\bullet}[nBuAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (7): At room temperature to a mixture of 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) and *n*BuLi (2.4 M in *n*-hexane, 12.4 µL, 0.03 mmol) in C_6H_6 (0.7 mL) was added Al(C_6F_5)_3 (0.018 g, 0.03 mmol). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed. The mixture solution was stored at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow block crystals of 7. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.030 g, 67%. Mp: 194°C (dec.). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₇₂H₉₁AlF₁₅N₄Si₆ (M_r =1493.02): C, 57.92; H, 6.14; N, 3.75. Found: C, 57.88; H, 6.10; N, 3.77.



Synthesis of [1]⁺*[Cu(C₆F₅)₂]⁻ (8): At room temperature to a mixture of $(CuC_6F_5)_4$ (0.014 g, 0.015 mmol) and **1** (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol) was added C₆H₆ (0.5 mL). After addition, a deep brown solution was quickly formed together with the Cu mirror. By filtration to remove the Cu mirror, the mixture was solution kept at room temperature for 12 h, giving yellow-green block crystals of 8. These crystals were collected by filtration. Yield for **8**: 0.028 g, 54%. Mp: 186 °C (dec.). Anal. calcd (%) for C₇₄H₈₂Cu₂F₂₁N₄Si₆ (M_r = 1722.07): C, 51.61; H, 4.80; N, 3.25. Found: C, 51.58; H, 4.79; N, 3.29.

Synthesis of $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2[\text{EtB}(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (9): At room temperature to a mixture of B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.051 g, 0.1 mmol) and AlEt₃ (1 M in THF, 0.1 mL, 0.1 mmol) in CH₃CN (10 mL) was added 1 (0.045 g, 0.05 mmol). After addition, the solution color changed into deep brown. Further color changes to yellow, light yellow, and then almost colorless were observed. The mixture solution was stored at -20° C for 24 h, giving colorless block crystals of **9**. Yield: 0.16 g, 20%. Mp: 216°C (dec.). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = 0.85$ (br, 6 H, CH₂CH₃), 0.95 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 1.21 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 1.50 (s, 36 H, *tBu*), 1.95 (br, 4 H, CH₂CH₃), 7.21–7.83 (m, 10 H, *Ph*). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = -134.49$ (*o*-*F*, 12 F), -158.06 (*p*-*F*, 6 F), -165.13 (*m*-*F*, 12 F). The ¹³C, ¹¹B, and ²⁹Si NMR data were not obtained due to the not good solubility. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₉₀H₉₂B₂F₃₀N₄Si₆ (*M*_r=1989.84): C, 54.33; H, 4.66; N, 2.82. Found: C, 54.31; H, 4.68; N, 2.82.

Synthesis of $[1]^{2+} \cdot 2[MeAl(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (10): At room temperature to a mixture of Al(C_6F_5)_3 (0.032 g, 0.06 mmol) and AlMe₃ (1.0 M in *n*-hexane, 60 µL, 0.06 mmol) in CH₃CN (1.0 mL) was added 1 (0.027 g, 0.03 mmol). After addition, the solution color changed into deep brown. Further color changes to yellow, light yellow, and then almost colorless were observed. The mixture solution was stored at $-20 \,^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, giving colorless block crystals of 10. The crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.020 g, 33%. Mp: 198 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = -0.46$ (s, 6 H, AlMe), 1.22 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 1.27 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 2.32 (s, 36 H, *tBu*), 6.95–7.54 (m, 10 H, Ph). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = -123.53$ (o-*F*, 12 F), -153.25 (*p*-*F*, 6 F), and -162.42 (*m*-*F*, 12 F). The ¹³C, ²⁷Al, and ²⁹Si NMR data were not obtained due to the not good solubility. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₈₈H₈₈Al₂F₃₀N₄Si₆ (*M_r*=1994.13): C, 53.00; H, 4.45; N, 2.81. Found: C, 52.96; H, 4.42; N, 2.85.

Synthesis of $[(L)_2Si_2C_4(SiMe_3)_2(C_2SiMe_3)_2][\mu-O_2S(O)_2]$ (11): At room temperature to a mixture of Ag_2SO_4 (0.012 g, 0.04 mmol) and 1 (0.036 g, 0.04 mmol) was added toluene (10 mL). After addition, the mixture was stirred for 2 h to give a colorless solution along with a black silver mirror. The silver mirror was removed by filtration. The resulting solution was stored at -20°C for 24 h, giving colorless block crystals of 11. The crystals were collected by filtration. Yield: 0.032 g, 80%. Mp: 209°C (dec.). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = 0.35$ (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 0.77 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃), 1.05 (s, 18 H, CMe₃), 1.45 (s, 18 H, CMe₃), 6.97-7.84 (m, 10 H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, $C_6D_{6'}$ 298 K, ppm): $\delta = 0.12$ (SiMe₃), 1.15 (SiMe₃), 33.09 (CMe₃), 33.41 (CMe₃), 55.24 (CMe₃), 57.61 (CMe₃), 104.27 (≡CSiMe₃), 110.54 (=CC), 127.49, 127.63, 128.35, 129.07, 129.13, 130.06, 134.84 (Ph), 150.91 (=CC), 173.21 (=CSiMe₃), 173.43 (NCN). ²⁹Si NMR (79 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm): $\delta = -105.05$ (NSiN), -19.43 (SiMe₃), -6.95 (SiMe₃). Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₀H₈₂Si₆N₄SO₄ ($M_r = 1003.80$): C, 59.83; H, 8.23; N, 5.58. Found: C, 59.81; H, 8.22; N, 5.60.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (21673191 and 21972112) and Longhu Laboratory of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering in Shantou

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202103715 (8 of 9)

(A2019001). H.W.R. thanks the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (RO 224/70-1) for financial support. A great thank is directed to Professor Zhenxing Wang at Huazhong University of Science and Technology for his EPR data simulation work. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Keywords: 1,4-disilabenzene \cdot dication \cdot electron transfer \cdot organometallic oxidant \cdot radical cation

- [1] a) M. Gomberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1900, 22, 757–771; b) R.G. Hicks, Stable Radicals: Fundamental and Applied Aspects of Odd-Electron Compounds, Wiley, Chichester, 2010; c) J. B. Gilroy, The Design, Synthesis, and Chemistry of Stable Verdazyl Radicals and Their precursors, PhD thesis, University of Victoria, 2003; d) A. Rajca, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 871–893; e) R. G. Hicks, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 1321–1338; f) P. P. Power, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 789–809; g) C. D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3020–3030; h) M. Abe, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 7011–7088; i) D. Astruc, Electron Transfer and Radical Processes in Transition Metal Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1995.
- [2] a) C. K. Mondal, S. Roy, H. W. Roesky, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 1080–1111; b) H. Bock, B. Solouki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1161–1190; c) S. U. Ahmad, S. Inoue, Effici. Methods for Prep. Silicon Compd. 2016, 27–72; d) B. Tumanskii, M. Karni, Y. Apeloig, Silicon-Centered Radicals, in Organosilicon Compounds, ed. V. Y. Lee, Academic Press, 2017, pp. 231–294.
- [3] a) H. Sakurai, in *Free Radicals*, ed. J. K. Kochi, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, New York, **1973**, vol. 2, and references therein; b) C. Chatgilialoglu, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 1229–1251, and references therein.
- [4] a) A. Hudson, R. A. Jackson, C. J. Rhodes, A. L. D. Vecchio, J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 280, 173–176; b) M. J. S. Gynane, M. F. Lappert, P. I. Riley, P. Riviere, M. RiviereBaudet, J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 202, 5–12; c) H. Sakurai, H. Umino, H. Sugiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6837– 6840; d) A. J. Mckinley, T. Karatsu, G. M. Wallraff, D. P. Thompson, R. D. Miller, J. Michl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2003–2010; e) S. Kyushin, H. Sakurai, T. Betsuyaku, H. Matsumoto, Organometallics 1997, 16, 5386– 5388.
- [5] A. Sekiguchi, T. Fukawa, M. Nakamoto, V. Y. Lee, M. Ichinohe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9865–9869.
- [6] A. Sekiguchi, T. Matsuno, M. Ichinohe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12436–12437.
- [7] K. Taira, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 9342–9348.
 [8] R. Holzner, A. Kaushansky, B. Tumanskii, P. Frisch, F. Linsenmann, S.
- Inoue, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2019, 25, 2977–2981.
- [9] T. Nozawa, M. Nagata, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5773–5775.
- [10] A. Sekiguchi, S. Inoue, M. Ichinohe, Y. Arai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9626–9629.
- [11] S. Inoue, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, *Organometallics* 2008, 27, 1358–1360.
- [12] a) S. Inoue, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6096–6097; b) S. Inoue, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6078–6079.
- [13] H. Tanaka, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5540– 5543.

- [14] a) F. A. Carey, R. J. Sundberg, Advanced Organic Chemistry, Part A: Structure and Mechanisms, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 4th edn, 2000; b) V. J. Minkin, M. N. Glukhovtsev, Y. B. Simkin, Aromaticity and Antiaromaticity; Electronic and Structural Aspects, Wiley: New York, 1994.
- [15] a) C. P. Andrieux, J. Pinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14801–14806;
 b) D. L. Miller, M. L. Gross, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3783–3788;
 c) R. W. Holman, C. D. Warner, R. N. Hayes, M. L. Gross, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3362–3368;
 d) M. K. Eberhardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3876–3878;
 e) A. Nicolaides, D. M. Smith, F. Jensen, L. Radom, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8083–8088;
 f) M. A. Brooks, L. T. Scott, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 121, 5444–5449;
 g) W. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Gao, C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8621–8627;
 h) A. Necula, L. T. Scott, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1548–1549;
 j) R. H. Chen, S. A. Kafafi, S. E. Stein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1418–1423.
- [16] a) N. Tokitoh, K. Wakita, R. Okazaki, S. Nagase, P. von R. Schleyer, H. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6951–6952; b) Y. Apeloig, in The Chemistry of Organosilicon Compounds, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1989, pp. 151–166; c) K. K. Baldridge, O. Uzan, J. M. L. Martin, Organometallics 2000, 19, 1477–1487.
- [17] a) B. Solouki, P. Rosmus, H. Bock, G. Maier, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 51–52; Angew. Chem. 1980, 19, 56–57; b) G. Maier, G. Mihm, H. P. Reisenauer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 52–53; Angew. Chem. 1980, 19, 58–59; c) C. L. Kreil, O. L. Chapman, G. T. Burns, T. J. Barton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 841–842; d) G. Märkl, P. Hofmeister, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1979, 18, 789–790; Angew. Chem. 1979, 18, 863– 864; e) G. Märkl, W. Schlosser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 963–965; Angew. Chem. 1988, 27, 1009–1011; f) Y. V. D. Winkel, B. L. M. Van Baar, F. Bickelhaupt, W. Kulik, C. Sierakowski, G. Maier, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 185–190.
- [18] K. Wakita, N. Tokitoh, R. Okazaki, N. Takagi, S. Nagase, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5648–5649.
- [19] R. Kinjo, M. Ichinohe, A. Sekiguchi, N. Takagi, M. Sumimoto, S. Nagase, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7766–7767.
- [20] J. S. Han, T. Sasamori, Y. Mizuhata, N. Tokitoh, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 39, 9238–9240.
- [21] K. Abersfelder, A. J. P. White, H. S. Rzepa, D. Scheschkewitz, *Science* 2010, 327, 564–566.
- [22] a) S. S. Sen, H. W. Roesky, K. Meindl, D. Stern, J. Henn, A. C. Stückl, D. Stalke, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 5873–5875; b) H.-X. Yeong, H.-W. Xi, K. H. Lim, C.-W. So, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12956–12961.
- [23] Y. Chen, J. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Zhang, G. Tan, H. Zhu, H. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 2212–2216.
- [24] a) E. J. Lawrence, V. S. Oganesyan, G. G. Wildgoose, A. E. Ashley, *Dalton Trans.* 2013, *42*, 782–789; b) E. J. Lawrence, V. S. Oganesyan, D. L. Hughes, A. E. Ashley, G. G. Wildgoose, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 6031–6036.
- [25] The B(C₆F₅)₃ has been well studied to behave as a unique one-electron transfer oxidant, see: a) A. Merk, H. Großekappenberg, M. Schmidtmann, M.-P. Luecke, C. Lorent, M. Driess, M. Oestreich, H. F. T. Klare, T. Müller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 15267–15271; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 15487–15492; b) X. Zheng, X. Wang, Y. Qiu, Y. Li, C. Zhou, Y. Sui, Y. Li, J.

Ma, X. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14912–14915; c) L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, Y. Shao, D. S. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10062–10071; d) C. J. Beddows, A. D. Burrows, N. G. Connelly, M. Green, J. M. Lynam, T. J. Paget, Organometallics 2001, 20, 231–233; e) R. J. Kwaan, C. J. Harlan, J. R. Norton, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3818–3820.

- [26] After separation of compound 2, we exposed the solution to air and then collected the organic non-volatiles for the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral measurements. The resonances present were complicated and hard to assign to the probable species formed from the H atom-abstracted solvent molecules.
- [27] The IR spectral measurement of the residue obtained by removal of the volatiles of the repeated reaction solution exhibits a band at 1413 cm⁻¹ assignable to the H–Li bond. See related references: a) D. Michalska, L. J. Schaad, P. Čársky, B. Andes Hess, Jr., C. S. Ewig, J. Comput. Chem. 1988, 9, 495–504; b) X. Wang, L. Andrews, J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 6008–6019; c) J.-H. Lei, P.-F. Xing, Y.-J. Tang, W.-D. Wu, F. Wang, J. Appl. Spectrosc. 2010, 77, 140–143.
- [28] Compound (o-RC₆H₄)AlH₂ was prepared from reference, see: S. Chen, B. Li, X. Wang, Y. Huang, J. Li, H. Zhu, L. Zhao, G. Frenking, H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 13633–13637. In this reaction, (o-RC₆H₄)AlH₂ might be the H⁻ source that promoted the stable formation of the $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$.
- [29] G. Ménard, J. A. Hatnean, H. J. Cowley, A. J. Lough, J. M. Rawson, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17, 6446–6449.
- [30] J. Chen, E. Y.-X. Chen, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 6105-6110.
- [31] Compound K⁺[HAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ has been crystal structurally characterized, see: Y. Hu, L. O. Gustafson, H. Zhu, E. Y.-X. Chen, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A* 2011, 49, 2008–2017.
- [32] a) T. V. Gryaznova, K. V. Kholin, E. O. Nikanshina, V. V. Khrizanforova, S. O. Strekalova, R. R. Fayzullin, Y. H. Budnikova, *Organometallics* 2019, 38, 3617–3628; b) T. Li, G. Tan, D. Shao, J. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Song, Y. Sui, S. Chen, Y. Fang, X. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10092–10095; c) X. Pan, X. Chen, T. Li, Y. Li, X. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3414–3417.
- [33] Y. Kabe, K. Ohkubo, H. Ishikawa, W. Ando, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3775–3776.
- [34] a) M. Kaftory, M. Kapon, M. Botoshansky, in *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*, ed. Z. Rappoport and Y. Apeloig, Wiley, Chichester, U. K., **1998**, vol. 2, ch. 5; b) S. S. Sen, S. Khan, S. Nagendran, H. W. Roesky, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 578–587, and references therein.
- [35] E. Ihara, V. G. Young Jr., R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 8277–8278.
- [36] A. G. Massey, A. J. J. Park, J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 245-250.
- [37] J. Klosin, G. R. Roof, E. Y.-X. Chen, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4684-4686.
- [38] A. Cairncross, W. A. Sheppard, E. Wonchoba, W. J. Guilford, C. B. House, R. M. Coates, Org. Synth. 1980, 59, 122–126.

Manuscript received: October 14, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: November 27, 2021 Version of record online: December 16, 2021