

See Article page 383.



Commentary: Bespoke Ross procedure: Best fit for patients with aortic regurgitation?

Ko Bando, MD, PhD

Aortic valve replacement (AVR) is an effective procedure, but both mechanical and biological valves have their own risks. AVR with biological valves in nonelderly patients often necessitates reoperation, and mechanical valves require lifelong anticoagulation.¹ The Ross procedure obviates the need for lifelong anticoagulation, provides a hemodynamic profile similar to native valves, and is resistant to infection.²⁻⁴ Thus, it is an ideal surgical option for younger patients. Recent studies have indicated that the Ross procedure confers long-term survival equivalent to that of the age- and sex-matched general population.^{1,3-5}

The Ross procedure has been criticized for complexity, the risk of pulmonary autograft and/or pulmonary homograft reoperations, and the prospect of transforming a single-valve disease into a double-valve disease.^{6,7} Accordingly, the enthusiasm of the early 1990s declined when a significant number of patients with preoperative aortic regurgitation (AR) required reoperation due to autograft valve regurgitation and annular dilatation. The primary risk factors for late failure of the pulmonary autograft included preoperative AR, larger aortic annulus, dilated ascending aorta, and aortic/pulmonary annular mismatch.⁸⁻¹¹ As a result, the Ross procedure accounted for less than 0.1% of all AVRs performed by 2010 in North America based on the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database.⁷

Instead of abandoning this technically challenging but the ideal option in young and adult patients with AR, a few centers of excellence have attempted to refine their



Ko Bando, MD, PhD

CENTRAL MESSAGE

After 5 decades of technical refinement and improved postoperative management, the Ross procedure has become an excellent surgical option for younger patients with aortic regurgitation.

techniques to prevent annular dilatation and postoperative AR and to lessen the need for pulmonary autograft reintervention.^{9,10,12-15}

In this issue of *JTCVS Techniques*, Mazine and El-Hamamsy¹⁵ present an excellent and comprehensive review. The highlights include a detailed description of their own modified technique: full root replacement with extra-aortic annuloplasty and interposition graft, plus technical refinements such as autologous inclusion technique and Dacron inclusion technique, which minimize late AR and annular dilatation in younger patients with AR.^{8,9,12-15}

Since it was first described by Donald Ross 5 decades ago,¹⁶ the Ross procedure has been refined and it is now possible to minimize the risk of late AR and annular dilatation with superior hemodynamics and long-term survival compared with biological and mechanical aortic valves in younger patients.^{2,17}

Full root replacement with either autologous/Dacron inclusion technique provides 97% to 100% survival at 10 years after surgery. Tailored full root replacement with extra-aortic annuloplasty and interposition graft maintains autograft root dynamism and prevents annular and ascending aortic dilatation. It can provide ideal hemodynamics for younger patients with AR. We expect that this technique will continue to improve.¹⁸

The majority of studies included in this expert opinion are single-surgeon experiences from high-volume centers

From the Department of Cardiac Surgery, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan.

Disclosures: The author reported no conflicts of interest.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

Received for publication Aug 10, 2021; revisions received Aug 10, 2021; accepted for publication Aug 11, 2021; available ahead of print Aug 17, 2021.

Address for reprints: Ko Bando, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiac Surgery, The Jikei University School of Medicine, 3-25-8, Nishi-Shimbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 105-8461, Japan (E-mail: kobando@jikei.ac.jp).

JTCVS Techniques 2021;10:390-1
2666-2507

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtc.2021.08.019>

of excellence. Although underuse of the Ross procedure¹⁹ is a valid concern, it is difficult to increase the number of dedicated surgeons for the Ross procedure. Mentorship in the technique and the establishment of formal fellowships in the Ross procedure by surgical societies are the possible solutions. It is imperative to train experienced surgeons in the refined Ross procedure, since it is superior to AVR with mechanical and biological valves in younger patients in terms of hemodynamics and longevity.

References

1. Goldstone AB, Chiu P, Baiocchi M, Lingala B, Patric WL, Fischbein MP, et al. Mechanical or biologic prostheses for aortic-valve and mitral-valve replacement. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:1847-57.
2. Mazine A, El-Hamamsy I, Verna S, Peterson MD, Bonow RO, Yaoub MH, et al. Ross procedure in adults for cardiologists and cardiac surgeons JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:2761-77.
3. El-Hamamsy I, Eryigit Z, Stevens LM, George R, Clark L, Melina G, et al. Long-term outcomes after autograft versus homograft aortic root replacement in adults with aortic valve disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:524-31.
4. David TE, David C, Woo A, Manlhiot C. The Ross procedure: outcomes at 20 years. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;147:85-93.
5. Abound A, Charitos EI, Fujita B, Stierle U, Reil JC, Voth V, et al. Long-term outcomes undergoing the Ross procedure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77:1412-22.
6. Stulak JM, Burkhart HM, Sundt TM, Connolly HM, Suri RM, Schaff HV, et al. Spectrum and outcomes of reoperations after the Ross procedure. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1153-8.
7. Reece TB, Welke KF, O'Brien S, Grau-Sepulveda MV, Grover FL, Gammie JS. Rethinking the Ross procedure in adults. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2014;97:175-81.
8. Etnel JRG, Grashuis P, Huygens SA, Pekbay B, Papageorgiou G, Helbing WA, et al. The Ross procedure: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and microsimulation. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2018;11:e004748.
9. Brown JW, Fehrenbacher JW, Ruzmetov M, Shahriari A, Miller J, Turrentine MW. Ross root dilation in adult patients: is preoperative aortic insufficiency associated with increased late autograft reoperation? *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2011;92:74-81.
10. David TE, Ouzounian M, David CM, Lafreniere-Roula M, Manlhiot C. Late results of the Ross procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019;157:201-8.
11. Sharifulin R, Bogachev-Prokophiew A, Zheleznev S, Demin I, Pivkin A, Afanasyev A, et al. Factors impacting long-term pulmonary autograft durability after the Ross procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019;157:134-41.
12. Skillington LG, Mokhles MM, Takkenberg JJ, Larobina M, O'Keefe M, Wynne R, et al. The Ross procedure using autologous support of the pulmonary autograft: techniques and late results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2015;149(2 suppl):S46-52.
13. Starnes VA, Elsayed RS, Cohen RG, Olds AP, Bojko MM, Mack WJ, et al. Long-term outcomes with the pulmonary autograft inclusion technique in adults with bicuspid aortic valves undergoing the Ross procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. February 4, 2021 [Epub ahead of print].
14. Stelzer P, Mejia J, Varghese R. Operative risks of the Ross procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2021;161:905-15.
15. Mazine A, El-Hamamsy I. Tailoring the Ross procedure for patients with aortic regurgitation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Tech*. 2021;10:383-9.
16. Ross DN. Replacement of aortic and mitral valves with a pulmonary autograft. *Lancet*. 1967;2:956-8.
17. Mazine A, David T, Rao V, Hickey R, Christie S, Manlhiot C, et al. Long-term outcomes of the Ross procedure versus mechanical aortic valve replacement: propensity-matched cohort study. *Circulation*. 2016;134:576-85.
18. Bouhout I, Ghoneim A, Tusch M, Stevens LM, Semplonius T, Tarabzoni M, et al. Impact of a tailored surgical approach on autograft root dimensions in patients undergoing the Ross procedure for aortic regurgitation. *Eur J Card Thorac Surg*. 2019;56:959-67.
19. Yacoub M. Under-use of the Ross operation: a lost opportunity. *Lancet*. 2014;384:559-60.